Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pradeep Kumar, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India

REVIEWED BY Ryuzaburo Kanazawa, Nagareyama central hospital, Japan Xia Zhang, Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, China Oifu Li, Hainan Medical University, China Marjaana Tiainen, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Finland

*CORRESPONDENCE Ning Chen ⊠ jingning22@163.com Li He ⊠ heli2003new@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 06 October 2023 ACCEPTED 11 December 2023 PUBLISHED 05 January 2024

CITATION

Jiang X, Wang J, Hu Y, Lang H, Bao J, Chen N and He L (2024) Is endovascular treatment still good for acute ischemic stroke in the elderly? A metaanalysis of observational studies in the last decade. *Front. Neurosci.* 17:1308216. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1308216

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Jiang, Wang, Hu, Lang, Bao, Chen and He. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Is endovascular treatment still good for acute ischemic stroke in the elderly? A meta-analysis of observational studies in the last decade

Xin Jiang[†], Jian Wang[†], Yaowen Hu, Hui Lang, Jiajia Bao, Ning Chen* and Li He*

Department of Neurology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

Background: The lack of randomized evidence makes it difficult to establish reliable treatment recommendations for endovascular treatment (EVT) in elderly patients. This meta-analysis aims to evaluate the therapeutic effects of endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke in the elderly compared with younger patients.

Methods: Comprehensive literature retrieval was conducted to identify studies that directly compared the outcomes of EVT in elderly patients and those aged <80 years. The primary outcome was functional independence, defined as mRS 0–2 at 90 days after EVT. The secondary outcomes were the rate of successful recanalization, symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (sICH) and mortality. Odds ratios (ORs) were estimated using a random effects model.

Results: In total, twenty-six studies with 9,492 enrolled participants were identified. Our results showed that, compared with patients aged <80 years undergoing EVT, EVT was associated with a lower rate of functional independence at 90 days (OR = 0.38; 95% CI, 0.33–0.45; p < 0.00001) and a higher mortality rate (OR = 2.51; 95% CI, 1.98–3.18; p < 0.00001) in the elderly. Furthermore, even without a significantly observed increase in sICH (OR = 1.19; 95% CI, 0.96–1.47; p = 0.11), EVT appeared to be associated with a lower rate of successful recanalization (OR = 0.81; 95% CI, 0.68–0.96; p = 0.02).

Conclusion: Evidence from observational studies revealed that EVT has less functional outcomes in elderly patients with acute ischemic stroke. Further studies are needed to better identify patients aged \geq 80 years who could potentially benefit from EVT.

KEYWORDS

acute ischemic stroke, clinical outcome, endovascular treatment, elderly, meta-analysis

Introduction

With the aging of the global population, the burden of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases has increased significantly (Qi et al., 2023). Acute ischemic stroke, the most common and serious manifestation of cerebrovascular disease, is the leading cause of disability and death in adults in China (Liu et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2019; Tu and Wang, 2023). Endovascular treatment (EVT) has developed rapidly in the past decade and is now recommended as the standard reperfusion therapy for acute ischemic stroke (AIS) due to large vessel occlusion (LVO) (Powers et al., 2019; Herpich and Rincon, 2020; Wassélius et al., 2022). Even with advances in technology and improved recanalization rates, only half of patients who received EVT could regain functional independence (Goyal et al., 2016; Pajor and Adeoye, 2023). However, patients who received EVT in real world practice substantially differ from those fulfilling trial inclusion criteria. In the past few years, much effort has been made to promote trials examining EVT efficacy and safety in various conditions, including extended time window, large ischemic core, distal occlusions and more (Rikhtegar et al., 2021; Kobeissi et al., 2023; Sarraj et al., 2023a,b). Patients aged \geq 80 years, accounting for over 30% of stroke admissions, are excluded from several landmark clinical trials due to very stringent inclusion/exclusion criteria necessitating good functional baseline, and only a small number of patients enrolled in the remainder (Chen et al., 2015). This is likely related to the fact that elderly patients are more likely to experience poor functional outcome and complications, such as intracranial hemorrhage and infection (Fonarow et al., 2010).

Many observational studies have investigated the safety and efficacy of EVT in elderly patients and the conclusions are inconsistent (Alawieh et al., 2018; Adcock et al., 2022). According to the results of a previous meta-analysis, the proportion of functional independence at 90 days after EVT in elderly patients was only 27% (Hilditch et al., 2018). In addition, the results of two meta-analyses in 2019 showed that elderly patients who underwent EVT had a worse functional outcome and higher mortality, and there was a trend toward an increased incidence of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (sICH) and a decreased successful recanalization (Sharobeam et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019). We noticed that, in recent years, many new studies have done effort in investigating the efficacy and safety of EVT in elderly patients and the results are discrepant (Choi et al., 2021; Jiao et al., 2022; Narloch et al., 2023; Scopelliti et al., 2023). Some studies indicated that elderly patients undergoing EVT had comparable functional outcomes and rates of successful recanalization to younger patients, without an increased risk of sICH, while others studies failed to confirm this conclusion (Kawabata et al., 2019; Groot et al., 2020; Choi et al., 2021; Sudre et al., 2021; Han et al., 2023; Narloch et al., 2023). Considering that it has been more than four years since the latest meta-analysis by Zhao et al., we sought to conduct this metaanalysis of available observational studies published in the last decade to evaluate the therapeutic effects of endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke in the elderly compared with younger patients.

Methods

Literature search

Literatures were systematically searched by 2 reviewers (XJ and JW) in PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library (Cochrane

Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Methodology) from September 2013 to September 2023. For the search strategy, the following keywords and free text searches were used in combination with the Boolean operators "or" and "and": acute ischemic stroke, large vessel occlusion, mechanical thrombectomy, thrombectomy, endovascular therapy, endovascular treatment, elderly, octogenarian, nonagenarian, 80 or older. This meta-analysis was conducted according to the recommendations of the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009).

Selection criteria

We included studies comparing outcomes of endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke in the elderly and in patients younger than 80 years of age. Full texts of eligible studies were reviewed according to the criteria of inclusion and exclusion. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) studies reporting patients with acute ischemic stroke who received EVT; (2) studies reporting results of clinical follow-up, especially functional independence at 90 days; and (3) studies with direct comparison of clinical outcome between elderly and young patients who were under 80 years old. Those with <10 participants in either group or those lacking outcome variables, especially the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) at 90 days, were excluded.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two authors (XJ and YH) separately reviewed all eligible articles and extracted data using a structured data extraction form. The following data were extracted: (1) study characteristics: first author, year of publication, study design, sample size, and quality of study; (3) data relating to treatment: admission NIHSS score, functional independence at 90 days, mortality, sICH, and successful recanalization rate. The risk of bias was assessed by 2 reviewers independently. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to assess the quality of each eligible study (Stang, 2010).

Outcome measures

The proportion of patients with mRS scores 0 to 2 at 90 days after endovascular treatment was considered the primary outcome (Banks and Marotta, 2007). The secondary outcomes included successful recanalization rate, sICH, and mortality at 90 days (Von Kummer et al., 2015).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed by using Review Manager 5.4 software. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs were calculated and pooled for each outcome of interest. As clinical diversity and methodological differences among the studies were assumed, a random-effects model was used to pool outcomes for all

meta-analyses (DerSimonian and Laird, 1986). The statistical heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the Q test and the calculation of I². We considered p < 0.10 or I² \geq 50% as an indication of substantial heterogeneity. We used subgroup analysis to analyze the source of heterogeneity when I² \geq 40% (Cumpston et al., 2022). Visual funnel plots were used to evaluate the publication bias in this meta-analysis.

Results

The initial literature search yielded 3,357 articles and 26 studies of 9,492 enrolled participants (2,303 \geq 80 years of age and 7,189 below 80 years) were finally included in this meta-analysis after screening the abstract and full text (Castonguay et al., 2014; Parrilla et al., 2015; Broussalis et al., 2016; Cohen et al., 2016; Kleine et al., 2016; Calle et al., 2017; Figueiredo et al., 2017; Imahori et al., 2017; Sallustio et al., 2017; Son et al., 2017; Tajima et al., 2017; Alawieh et al., 2018; Karhi et al., 2018; Koizumi et al., 2018; Alawieh et al., 2019; Kawabata et al., 2019; Rezai et al., 2019; Sharobeam et al., 2019; Groot et al., 2020; Choi et al., 2021; Sudre et al., 2021; Jiao et al., 2022; Han et al., 2023; Narloch et al., 2023; Rhiner et al., 2023; Scopelliti et al., 2023). A flow diagram of the detailed search process is presented in Figure 1. Among the included studies, eight were multicenter studies, and the others were single-center studies. The detailed baseline characteristics and outcomes of each study are presented in Supplemental Table S1.

mRS score 0 to 2 at 90 days

For the primary outcome, 403 of included patients were lost to follow-up at 90 days, and 9,089 patients were included in the analysis. The rates of mRS scores of 0–2 were 26.3% (578/2196) and 48.4% (3,335/6893) in the elderly and younger arms, respectively. In the main analysis, EVT was associated with lower odds of functional independence in elderly patients (OR=0.38; 95% CI, 0.33–0.45; p<0.00001; Figure 2) than in young patients. Substantial heterogeneity was detected (I² = 42%) and multiple subgroup analyses were performed.



Church a sea Carlo and sea	Age ≥		Age <		Mainte	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup						M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Adrien 2020	71	350		1046	8.3%	0.30 [0.23, 0.40]	
Alawieh 2018	22	108	100	227	5.0%	0.32 [0.19, 0.56]	
Alawieh 2019	65	310	398	905	8.0%	0.34 [0.25, 0.46]	
Azkune 2016	16	31	32	50	2.5%	0.60 [0.24, 1.49]	
Broussalis 2015	5	21	66	138	2.0%	0.34 [0.12, 0.98]	
Castonguay 2015	18	66	113	249	4.5%	0.45 [0.25, 0.82]	
Choi 2021	8	16	25	44	1.7%	0.76 [0.24, 2.39]	
Cohen 2016	3	14	27	47	1.2%	0.20 [0.05, 0.82]	
Figueiredo 2017	21	35	69	106	3.1%	0.80 [0.37, 1.76]	
Han 2023	48	148	712	1543	7.2%	0.56 [0.39, 0.80]	
lmahori 2017	15	36	25	44	2.6%	0.54 [0.22, 1.32]	
Jiao 2022	11	42	51	106	3.1%	0.38 [0.17, 0.84]	
Karhi 2018	10	37	84	162	3.1%	0.34 [0.16, 0.76]	
Kawabata 2019	6	19	16	40	1.7%	0.69 [0.22, 2.20]	
Kleine 2015	5	40	47	85	2.0%	0.12 [0.04, 0.32]	the second se
Koizumi 2018	27	78	73	143	4.7%	0.51 [0.29, 0.90]	
Narloch 2023	5	34	37	128	2.1%	0.42 [0.15, 1.18]	
Parrilla 2014	4	29	58	102	1.8%	0.12 [0.04, 0.37]	
Rezai 2019	16	57	63	136	3.9%	0.45 [0.23, 0.88]	
Rhiner 2023	16	75	90	172	4.2%	0.25 [0.13, 0.46]	- - -
Sallustio 2017	19	62	54	157	4.2%	0.84 [0.45, 1.59]	
Scopelliti 2023	21	101	127	284	5.1%	0.32 [0.19, 0.55]	
Sharobeam 2019	20	71	61	110	4.1%	0.32 [0.17, 0.60]	
Son 2017	15	34	108	173	3.4%	0.48 [0.23, 1.00]	
Sudre 2021	100	357	388	643	8.4%	0.26 [0.19, 0.34]	+
Tajima 2017	11	25	34	53	2.3%	0.44 [0.17, 1.16]	
Total (95% CI)		2196		6893	100.0%	0.38 [0.33, 0.45]	•
Total events	578		3335				
Heterogeneity: Tau² = Test for overall effect				5 (P = (0.01); I² =	42%	H H H 0.001 0.1 1 10 1000 Favours [Age <80]
RE 2 est plot of modified Ra							

In the additional analysis, EVT in elderly patients was associated with worse functional outcomes in both multicenter studies (OR=0.39; 95% CI, 0.32–0.47) and single-center studies (OR=0.38; 95% CI, 0.29–0.49) (Supplemental Figure S1). Moreover, no observed difference was identified in different study period subgroups (OR=0.42, 95% CI 0.32–0.55 for studies published between 2014–2018 and OR=0.35, 95% CI 0.29–0.42 for studies published between 2019–2023) (Supplemental Figure S2).

Successful recanalization rate

The rates of successful recanalization were 74.8% (1722/2303) and 79.8% (5,739/7189) in the elderly and younger arms, respectively. The results of the analysis showed that EVT was associated with a lower recanalization rate in the elderly (OR=0.81; 95% CI, 0.68–0.96; p=0.02; Figure 3). No substantial heterogeneity was detected across the 26 included studies (I²=32, p=0.06).

Symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage

Twenty-one studies involving 8,388 patients reported the information regarding sICH. The rates of sICH were 6.7% (135/2017) and 5.9% (375/6371) in the elderly and younger arms, respectively. No significant difference was identified between the elderly group and young group (OR = 1.19; 95% CI, 0.96–1.47; p = 0.11; Figure 4).

No heterogeneity among the 21 studies was identified (p=0.92, $I^2=0\%$).

Mortality

Twenty-five studies involving 8,751 patients reported information regarding mortality at 90 days. The overall mortality was 34.8% (732/2101) and 16.9% (1,122/6650) in the elderly and younger arms, respectively. In the main analysis, EVT was associated with a significantly higher mortality in the elderly (OR = 2.51; 95% CI, 1.98–3.18; p < 0.00001; Figure 5). Substantial heterogeneity was detected (I² = 63%) and multiple subgroup analyses were performed. In the additional analysis, similar results were observed in both the study design subgroup (OR = 2.92, 95% CI 2.14–3.98 for single-center studies and OR = 1.99, 95% CI 1.38–2.87 for multicenter studies) and the study period subgroup (OR = 2.36, 95% CI 1.61–3.46 for studies published between 2014–2018 and OR = 2.65, 95% CI 1.95–3.60 for studies published between 2019–2023) (Supplemental Figure S3, S4).

Quality and bias assessment

The quality of 5 of 26 studies was calculated as 6 by the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, due to unclear selection methods, insufficient follow-up, and low comparability of the studies (Supplemental Table S2). No

	Age ≥		Age <		101-1-1-4	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
tudy or Subgroup						M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
drien 2020	202	380		1146	12.3%	0.82 [0.65, 1.03]	
Alawieh 2018	97	108	212	227	3.5%	0.62 [0.28, 1.41]	
Alawieh 2019	304	346	884		9.0%	0.95 [0.65, 1.38]	
Azkune 2016	29	31	48	50	0.7%	0.60 [0.08, 4.52]	
Broussalis 2015	19	28	99	138	3.1%	0.83 [0.35, 2.00]	
Castonguay 2015	54	78	201	276	6.1%	0.84 [0.48, 1.45]	
Choi 2021	12	16	31	44	1.6%	1.26 [0.34, 4.63]	
Cohen 2016	14	16	47	55	1.0%	1.19 [0.23, 6.27]	
Figueiredo 2017	31	35	99	106	1.6%	0.55 [0.15, 2.00]	
Han 2023	135	148	1374	1543	5.6%	1.28 [0.71, 2.31]	
lmahori 2017	30	36	41	44	1.3%	0.37 [0.08, 1.58]	
Jiao 2022	33	42	100	106	2.1%	0.22 [0.07, 0.66]	
Karhi 2018	28	37	121	162	3.4%	1.05 [0.46, 2.42]	
Kawabata 2019	17	19	29	40	1.1%	3.22 [0.64, 16.31]	
Kleine 2015	32	40	70	85	2.7%	0.86 [0.33, 2.23]	
Koizumi 2018	62	78	112	143	4.6%	1.07 [0.54, 2.11]	
Narloch 2023	23	34	101	128	3.4%	0.56 [0.24, 1.29]	
Parrilla 2014	30	34	109	116	1.6%	0.48 [0.13, 1.76]	
Rezai 2019	43	57	107	138	4.2%	0.89 [0.43, 1.83]	
Rhiner 2023	70	79	170	185	3.2%	0.69 [0.29, 1.64]	
Sallustio 2017	43	62	83	157	5.2%	2.02 [1.08, 3.77]	
Scopelliti 2023	77	112	254	321	7.1%	0.58 [0.36, 0.94]	
Sharobeam 2019	68	71	107	110	1.1%	0.64 [0.12, 3.24]	
Son 2017	28	34	148	173	2.6%	0.79 [0.30, 2.10]	
Sudre 2021	217	357	479	643	11.2%	0.53 [0.40, 0.70]	
Tajima 2017	24	25	47	53	0.6%	3.06 [0.35, 26.92]	
				-			
Total (95% CI)		2303		7189	100.0%	0.81 [0.68, 0.96]	
Total events	1722		5739				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	•		•	5 (P = (0.06); I ^z =	32%	0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect	Z = 2.42	(P = 0.0)2)				Favours [Age <80] Favours [Age ≥80]

Forest plot of successful recanalization rate.

Age ≥80 Age <80 Odds Ratio **Odds Ratio** Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% Cl M-H, Random, 95% Cl Adrien 2020 380 68 1146 17.7% 0.93 [0.56, 1.53] 21 Alawieh 2018 0.89 [0.33, 2.40] 6 108 14 227 4.6% Alawieh 2019 15 346 33 1000 11.6% 1.33 [0.71, 2.48] Azkune 2016 5 31 2 50 1.5% 4.62 [0.84, 25.46] Broussalis 2015 5 14 138 3.6% 1.93 [0.63, 5.87] 28 Castonguay 2015 10 78 25 276 7.4% 1.48 [0.68, 3.22] Cohen 2016 2 16 55 0.7% 7.71 [0.65, 91.33] 1 Figueiredo 2017 0.42 [0.02, 8.26] 0 35 3 106 0.5% Han 2023 11 148 103 1543 10.8% 1.12 [0.59, 2.14] Imahori 2017 1 36 2 44 0.8% 0.60 [0.05, 6.90] Kawabata 2019 0.68 [0.03, 17.35] 0 40 0.4% 19 1 Kleine 2015 0 40 2 85 0.5% 0.41 [0.02, 8.79] Koizumi 2018 3 78 8 143 2.4% 0.68 [0.17, 2.62] Narloch 2023 0 128 0.4% 1.23 [0.05, 30.91] 34 1 2.35 [0.38, 14.70] Parrilla 2014 2 34 3 116 1.3% Rezai 2019 4 57 11 138 3.2% 0.87 [0.27, 2.86] Sallustio 2017 7 0.78 [0.32, 1.93] 62 22 157 5.5% Sharobeam 2019 3 71 5 110 2.1% 0.93 [0.21, 4.00] Son 2017 2 34 6 173 1.7% 1.74 [0.34, 9.01] Sudre 2021 36 357 1.46 [0.92, 2.30] 46 643 21.6% Tajima 2017 5 2 25 53 1.5% 0.83 [0.15, 4.63] Total (95% CI) 2017 6371 100.0% 1.19 [0.96, 1.47] 375 135 Total events Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 11.93, df = 20 (P = 0.92); l² = 0% 0.01 0.1 10 100 1 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.61 (P = 0.11) Favours [Age \geq 80] Favours [Age < 80]

FIGURE 4

Forest plot of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (sICH).

25 b. (2000) T	Age ≥		Age <		1144.01704.00	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup						M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Adrien 2020	178	350	229		7.7%	3.71 [2.87, 4.79]	-
Alawieh 2018	37	108	45	227	6.0%	2.11 [1.26, 3.52]	
Alawieh 2019	118	310	184	920	7.6%	2.46 [1.86, 3.25]	
Azkune 2016	6	31	5	50	2.4%	2.16 [0.60, 7.80]	
Broussalis 2015	4	21	3	138	1.8%	10.59 [2.18, 51.39]	
Castonguay 2015	29	66	68	249	5.7%	2.09 [1.19, 3.65]	
Choi 2021	0	16	4	44	0.6%	0.27 [0.01, 5.35]	
Cohen 2016	6	15	4	55	2.0%	8.50 [1.99, 36.24]	
Figueiredo 2017	5	35	9	106	2.8%	1.80 [0.56, 5.77]	
Han 2023	28	148	243	1543	6.6%	1.25 [0.81, 1.93]	+
mahori 2017	3	36	2	44	1.4%	1.91 [0.30, 12.10]	
Jiao 2022	15	42	15	106	4.1%	3.37 [1.46, 7.77]	
<arhi 2018<="" td=""><td>17</td><td>37</td><td>16</td><td>162</td><td>4.1%</td><td>7.76 [3.39, 17.74]</td><td></td></arhi>	17	37	16	162	4.1%	7.76 [3.39, 17.74]	
<awabata 2019<="" td=""><td>5</td><td>19</td><td>11</td><td>40</td><td>2.5%</td><td>0.94 [0.27, 3.24]</td><td></td></awabata>	5	19	11	40	2.5%	0.94 [0.27, 3.24]	
<leine 2015<="" td=""><td>13</td><td>40</td><td>10</td><td>85</td><td>3.6%</td><td>3.61 [1.42, 9.19]</td><td></td></leine>	13	40	10	85	3.6%	3.61 [1.42, 9.19]	
<oizumi 2018<="" td=""><td>6</td><td>78</td><td>11</td><td>143</td><td>3.2%</td><td>1.00 [0.36, 2.82]</td><td></td></oizumi>	6	78	11	143	3.2%	1.00 [0.36, 2.82]	
Varloch 2023	9	34	10	128	3.4%	4.25 [1.56, 11.53]	
Parrilla 2014	12	34	20	116	4.0%	2.62 [1.12, 6.14]	
Rezai 2019	19	57	19	136	4.7%	3.08 [1.48, 6.41]	
Rhiner 2023	43	75	51	172	5.7%	3.19 [1.82, 5.60]	
Sallustio 2017	25	62	46	157	5.4%	1.63 [0.88, 3.01]	+
Sharobeam 2019	19	71	18	110	4.7%	1.87 [0.90, 3.87]	+
Son 2017	1	34	20	173	1.1%	0.23 [0.03, 1.79]	
Sudre 2021	132	357	73	643	7.3%	4.58 [3.31, 6.34]	
Tajima 2017	2	25	6	53	1.6%	0.68 [0.13, 3.64]	
fotal (95% CI)		2101		6650	100.0%	2.51 [1.98, 3.18]	•
Total events	732		1122			15 /2 200	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = Fest for overall effect				4 (P < (0.0001); P	²= 63%	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
RE 5							
st plot of mortality at	OO days						

significant publication bias was observed in funnel plots among the included studies (Supplemental Figures S5–S8).

Discussion

In this study, we made a direct comparison showing that, compared with patients younger than 80 years undergoing EVT, EVT was associated with lower rate of functional independence at 90 days and higher mortality in the elderly. Furthermore, even without a significantly observed increase in sICH, EVT appeared to be associated with a lower rate of successful recanalization. Our results are consistent with previous meta-analyses and, to the best of our knowledge, this study represents the largest comprehensive meta-analysis of the data including 26 studies of 9,492 enrolled participants evaluating the therapeutic effect of endovascular treatment in the elderly (Sharobeam et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019).

It is well established that advanced age is an independent predictor of poor prognosis in LVO patients who underwent EVT (Russo et al., 2011; Saposnik et al., 2019). Although endovascular treatment has shown remarkable effects in patients with large vessel occlusion stroke, the effectiveness is limited to a selected population (Widimsky et al., 2023). The proportion of functional independence for endovascular treatment in elderly patients reported in randomized controlled trials was 29.8%, vs. 13.9% in those who did not receive EVT (Goyal et al., 2016). In our study, the proportion of functional independence in elderly patients who received EVT is 26.3%, which is consistent with previous study (Hilditch et al., 2018). The reasons are multiple and there are several possible explanations for the poor prognosis in the elderly. First and foremost, age is closely linked with frailty, which has been proven to be independently associated with poor functional outcome (Pinho et al., 2021; Tan et al., 2022). Second, people with advanced age may have a decreased neurological reserve and a higher risk of decompensation of previously stable or nondisabling conditions than young patients, which may delay neurologic recovery (Chandra et al., 2012; Imam et al., 2021). In addition, elderly patients are reported to have higher rates of in-hospital complications, a higher incidence of health care-associated infections, and a higher risk of cognitive decline (Denti et al., 2010; Robertson et al., 2013; Cosentino et al., 2021). To explore whether the advances of EVT techniques and nursing management had an impact on the results, we further conducted subgroup analyses based on study period. The results indicated no observed difference between studies published in 2014-2018 and 2019-2023. Moreover, our results showed that elderly patients who received EVT were associated with a lower rate of successful recanalization, thus affecting the prognosis of neurological function (Groot et al., 2020). The lower rate of successful recanalization in elderly patients may be due to severe vascular stiffness and tortuosity, which could increase the difficulty of the operation to some extent (Shirakawa et al., 2017; Koge et al., 2022; Bala et al., 2023). In addition, elderly patients may receive lower number of thrombectomy passes, which might reduce the likelihood of recanalization (Alawieh et al., 2019). Moreover, a recent study revealed that aspiration was associated with better reperfusion in elderly patients compared with stent-retriever, the use of EVT technique in different studies may have an impact on this difference (D'Anna et al., 2023). However, since the

number of thrombectomy passes and devices of EVT could not be extracted from all included studies, it is impossible to perform further analysis to confirm our presumption.

Our study provides the latest evidence from observational studies that patients aged ≥80 years with LVO stroke undergoing endovascular treatment had a worse neurological outcome, higher risk of mortality, and lower rate of successful recanalization than young patients. Our results have implications for clinical practice and future research. First, EVT should be offered to elderly patients with acute ischemic stroke due to a significantly observed improvement in functional outcome compared with natural history. However, patient selection should be prudent and the evaluation before EVT should be adequate. Ten of the included studies enrolled patients with premorbidly functional dependence (mRS [>]2), and the proportion was higher in elder patients in most of these studies. Further studies are needed to better estimate the therapeutic effect of EVT and best EVT technique (i.e., aspiration vs. stent retriever), and to better identify elderly patients who will not benefit from recanalization (i.e., pre-mRS, baseline NIHSS score, ASPECTS, type of anesthesia, leukoaraiosis, and brain atrophy) to avoid futile recanalization and potentially harmful interventions.

There are some limitations that need to be acknowledged. First, all the studies included in this meta-analysis were observational in nature, and the associations of EVT with clinical outcomes in elderly patients were determined in a nonrandomized manner. Selection bias in the treatment of patients in clinical practice is inevitable. Second, the heterogeneity was substantial in some outcomes, although the risk of bias was reasonably excluded. Furthermore, more detailed data could not be completely extracted from the included studies, including the type of anesthesia, number of procedures, time from puncture to recanalization, complications, etc., and part of the analysis could not be performed.

Conclusion

This meta-analysis provides supporting evidence based on realworld data that EVT is associated with a lower rate of functional recovery and successful recanalization, and a higher risk of mortality in elderly patients. Further studies are needed to better identify patients aged \geq 80 years who could potentially benefit from EVT.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

References

Adcock, A. K., Schwamm, L. H., Smith, E. E., Fonarow, G. C., Reeves, M. J., Haolin, X., et al. (2022). Trends in use, outcomes, and disparities in endovascular Thrombectomy in US patients with stroke aged 80 years and older compared with younger patients. *JAMA Netw. Open* 5:e2215869. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.15869

Alawieh, A., Chatterjee, A., Feng, W., Porto, G., Vargas, J., Kellogg, R., et al. (2018). Thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke in the elderly: A 'real world experience. *Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery* 10, 1209–1217. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2018-013787

Alawieh, A., Starke, R. M., Chatterjee, A. R., Turk, A., de Leacy, R., Rai, A. T., et al. (2019). Outcomes of endovascular Thrombectomy in the elderly: A 'real-world'

Author contributions

XJ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. JW: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. YH: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. HL: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. JB: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. NC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft. LH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Science and Technology Planning Project of Sichuan Province (No. 2021YJ0437) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82101395).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2023.1308216/ full#supplementary-material

Multicenter study. Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery 11, 545–553. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2018-014289

Bala, F., Cimflova, P., Singh, N., Zhang, J., Kappelhof, M., Kim, B. J., et al. (2023). Impact of vessel tortuosity and radiological thrombus characteristics on the choice of first-line Thrombectomy strategy: results from the ESCAPE-NA1 trial. *European Stroke Journal, June. England* 8, 675–683. doi: 10.1177/23969873231183766

Banks, J. L., and Marotta, C. A. (2007). Outcomes validity and reliability of the modified Rankin scale: implications for stroke clinical trials: A literature review and synthesis. *Stroke* 38, 1091–1096. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000258355.23810.c6

Broussalis, E., Weymayr, F., Hitzl, W., Unterrainer, A. F., Trinka, E., and Killer, M. (2016). Endovascular mechanical recanalization of acute ischaemic stroke in octogenarians. *Eur. Radiol.* 26, 1742–1750. doi: 10.1007/s00330-015-3969-8

Calle, A., Itxaso, J. B., Portillo, A. A.-L., Garcia, A. G., Diaz, E. G., Gomez-Beldarrain, M., et al. (2017). Clinical outcome of mechanical Thrombectomy for stroke in the elderly. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 26, 582–588. doi: 10.1016/j. jstrokecerebrovasdis.2016.11.117

Castonguay, A. C., Zaidat, O. O., Novakovic, R., Nguyen, T. N., Taqi, M. A., Gupta, R., et al. (2014). Influence of age on clinical and revascularization outcomes in the north American solitaire stent-retriever acute stroke registry. *Stroke* 45, 3631–3636. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.006487

Chandra, R. V., Leslie-Mazwi, T. M., Oh, D. C., Chaudhry, Z. A., Mehta, B. P., Rost, N. S., et al. (2012). Elderly patients are at higher risk for poor outcomes after intraarterial therapy. *Stroke* 43, 2356–2361. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.650713

Chen, C.-J., Ding, D., Starke, R. M., Prachi Mehndiratta, R., Crowley, W., Liu, K. C., et al. (2015). Endovascular vs medical Management of Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Neurology* 85, 1980–1990. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000002176

Choi, S., Lee, E., Sheen, J. J., Kim, M. S., Kim, Y. W., Won, Y., et al. (2021). Direct aspiration Thrombectomy as a first-pass approach for very elderly patients with ischemic stroke. *Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg.* 207:106797. doi: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2021.106797

Cohen, J. E., Gomori, J. M., and Leker, R. R. (2016). Stent retriever-based Thrombectomy in octogenarians. *Interventional Neurology* 5, 111–117. doi: 10.1159/000446795

Cosentino, C. B., Mitchell, B. G., Brewster, D. J., and Russo, P. L. (2021). The utility of frailty indices in predicting the risk of health care associated infections: A systematic review. *Am. J. Infect. Control* 49, 1078–1084. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2020.12.001

Cumpston, M.S., McKenzie, Joanne E., Welch, Vivian A., and Brennan, Sue E. (2022). Strengthening systematic reviews in public health: guidance in the Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions, 2nd Edition." *J. Public Health (Oxf.)* 44: e588–e592. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdac036

D'Anna, L., Barba, L., Foschi, M., Romoli, M., Abu-Rumeileh, S., Dolkar, T., et al. (2023). Safety and outcomes of different endovascular treatment techniques for anterior circulation ischaemic stroke in the elderly: data from the Imperial college Thrombectomy registry. *Journal of Neurology, November. Germany*, 1–10. doi: 10.1007/ s00415-023-12077-3

Denti, L., Scoditti, U., Tonelli, C., Saccavini, M., Caminiti, C., Valcavi, R., et al. (2010). The poor outcome of ischemic stroke in very old people: A cohort study of its determinants. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 58, 12–17. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02616.x

DerSimonian, R., and Laird, N. (1986). Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control. Clin. Trials* 7, 177–188. doi: 10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2

Figueiredo, S., Carvalho, A., Rodrigues, M., Cunha, A., Paredes, L., Costa, H., et al. (2017). Endovascular stroke treatment of patients over 80 years old: cumulative evidence from the 'real world'. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 26, 2949–2953. doi: 10.1016/j. jstrokecrebrovasdis.2017.07.020

Fonarow, G. C., Reeves, M. J., Zhao, X., Olson, D. M., Smith, E. E., Saver, J. L., et al. (2010). Age-related differences in characteristics, performance measures, treatment trends, and outcomes in patients with ischemic stroke. *Circulation* 121, 879–891. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.892497

Goyal, M., Menon, B. K., van Zwam, W. H., Dippel, D. W. J., Mitchell, P. J., Demchuk, A. M., et al. (2016). Endovascular Thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: A meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. *Lancet (London, England)* 387, 1723–1731. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00163-X

Groot, A. E., Treurniet, K. M., Jansen, I. G. H., Lingsma, H. F., Hinsenveld, W., van de Graaf, R. A., et al. (2020). Endovascular treatment in older adults with acute ischemic stroke in the MR CLEAN registry. *Neurology* 95, e131–e139. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000009764

Han, B., Sun, D., Raynald, B. J., Tong, X., Wang, A., Mo, D., et al. (2023). Current status of endovascular treatment for older adults with acute large vessel occlusion stroke in China: subgroup analysis of ANGEL act registry. *Front. Neurol.* 14:1114556. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1114556

Herpich, F., and Rincon, F. (2020). Management of Acute Ischemic Stroke. Crit. Care Med. 48, 1654–1663. doi: 10.1097/CCM.000000000004597

Hilditch, C. A., Nicholson, P., Murad, M. H., Rabinstein, A., Schaafsma, J., Pikula, A., et al. (2018). Endovascular Management of Acute Stroke in the elderly: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 39, 887–891. doi: 10.3174/ajnr. A5598

Imahori, T., Tanaka, K., Arai, A., Shiomi, R., Fujiwara, D., Mori, T., et al. (2017). Mechanical Thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke patients aged 80 years or older. J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis. 26, 2793–2799. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.06.060

Imam, T., Konstant-Hambling, R., Fluck, R., Hall, N., Palmer, J., and Conroy, S. (2021). The hospital frailty risk score-outcomes in specialised services. *Age Ageing* 50, 511–518. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afaa156

Jiao, J., Liu, S., Cui, C., Cao, Y., Jia, Z., Liu, H., et al. (2022). Endovascular Thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation. *BMC Neurol.* 22:100. doi: 10.1186/s12883-022-02631-3

Karhi, S., Nerg, O., Miettinen, T., Mäkipaakkanen, E., Taina, M., Manninen, H., et al. (2018). *Mechanical Thrombectomy of large artery occlusion is beneficial in octogenarians In Vivo.* 32, 1223–1230. doi: 10.21873/invivo.11368

Kawabata, Y., Nakajima, N., Miyake, H., Fukuda, S., and Tsukahara, T. (2019). Endovascular treatment of acute ischaemic stroke in octogenarians and nonagenarians compared with younger patients. *Neuroradiol. J.* 32, 303–308. doi: 10.1177/1971400919840847

Kleine, J. F., Boeckh-Behrens, T., Prothmann, S., Zimmer, C., and Liebig, T. (2016). Discrepancy between early neurological course and mid-term outcome in older stroke patients after mechanical Thrombectomy. *Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery* 8, 671–676. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2015-011702

Kobeissi, H., Ghozy, S., Adusumilli, G., Kadirvel, R., Brinjikji, W., Rabinstein, A. A., et al. (2023). Endovascular therapy for stroke presenting beyond 24 hours: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw. Open* 6:e2311768. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.11768

Koge, J., Tanaka, K., Yoshimoto, T., Shiozawa, M., Kushi, Y., Ohta, T., et al. (2022). Internal carotid artery tortuosity: impact on mechanical Thrombectomy. *Stroke* 53, 2458–2467. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.121.037904

Koizumi, S., Ota, T., Shigeta, K., Amano, T., Ueda, M., Matsumaru, Y., et al. (2018). Onset to reperfusion time was not important in mechanical Thrombectomy for elderly patients: A retrospective Multicenter study in Tama area, Tokyo. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 46, 89–96. doi: 10.1159/000492867

Liu, L., David Wang, K. S., Wong, L., and Wang, Y. (2011). Stroke and stroke Care in China: huge burden, significant workload, and a National Priority. *Stroke* 42, 3651–3654. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.635755

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., and Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

Narloch, J., Piasecki, A., Ziecina, P., Dębiec, A., Wierzbicki, M., Staszewski, J., et al. (2023). Is aspiration an effective acute stroke treatment in older adults? *Front. Neurol.* 14:1149531. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1149531

Pajor, M. J., and Adeoye, O. M. (2023). Evolving stroke Systems of Care: stroke diagnosis and treatment in the post-Thrombectomy era. *Neurotherapeutics: The Journal of the American Society for Experimental NeuroTherapeutics* 20, 655–663. doi: 10.1007/s13311-023-01371-7

Parrilla, G., Carreón, E., Zamarro, J., Espinosa de Rueda, M., García-Villalba, B., Marín, F., et al. (2015). Recanalization and mortality rates of Thrombectomy with stentretrievers in octogenarian patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Cardiovasc. Intervent. Radiol.* 38, 288–294. doi: 10.1007/s00270-014-0920-3

Pinho, J., Küppers, C., Nikoubashman, O., Wiesmann, M., Schulz, J. B., Reich, A., et al. (2021). Frailty is an outcome predictor in patients with acute ischemic stroke receiving endovascular treatment. *Age Ageing* 50, 1785–1791. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afab092

Powers, W. J., Rabinstein, A. A., Ackerson, T., Adeoye, O. M., Bambakidis, N. C., Becker, K., et al. (2019). Guidelines for the early Management of Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke: 2019 update to the 2018 guidelines for the early Management of Acute Ischemic Stroke: A guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 50, e344–e418. doi: 10.1161/ STR.000000000000211

Qi, X., Jia, N., Jiabin, H., Meng, L.-B., Zeng, P., Liu, J., et al. (2023). Analysis of the status of social frailty in Chinese older adults with cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases: A National Cross-Sectional Study. *Front. Public Health* 11:1022208. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1022208

Rezai, M. K., Advani, R., Dalen, I., Fjetland, L., Kurz, K. D., and Kurz, M. W. (2019). Endovascular Thrombectomy in the elderly: do radiological and clinical outcomes differ from those in younger patients? A prospective single-Center experience. *Cerebrovascular Diseases (Basel, Switzerland)* 47, 65–71. doi: 10.1159/000497315

Rhiner, N., Thut, M. Z., Thurner, P., Madjidyar, J., Schubert, T., Globas, C., et al. (2023). Impact of age on mechanical Thrombectomy and clinical outcome in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 32:107248. doi: 10.1016/j. jstrokecerebrovasdis.2023.107248

Rikhtegar, R., Mosimann, P. J., Weber, R., Wallocha, M., Yamac, E., Mirza-Aghazadeh-Attari, M., et al. (2021). Effectiveness of very low profile Thrombectomy device in primary distal medium vessel occlusion, as rescue therapy after incomplete proximal recanalization or following iatrogenic thromboembolic events. *Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery* 13, 1067–1072. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-017035

Robertson, D. A., Savva, G. M., and Kenny, R. A. (2013). Frailty and cognitive impairment--a review of the evidence and causal mechanisms. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 12, 840–851. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2013.06.004

Russo, T., Felzani, G., and Marini, C. (2011). Stroke in the very old: A systematic review of studies on incidence, outcome, and resource use. *J. Aging Res.* 2011:108785, 1–6. doi: 10.4061/2011/108785

Sallustio, F., Koch, G., Motta, C., Diomedi, M., Alemseged, F., D'Agostino, V. C., et al. (2017). Efficacy and safety of mechanical Thrombectomy in older adults with acute ischemic stoke. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 65, 1816–1820. doi: 10.1111/jgs.14909

Saposnik, G., Menon, B. K., Kashani, N., Wilson, A. T., Yoshimura, S., Campbell, B. C. V., et al. (2019). Factors associated with the decision-making on endovascular

Thrombectomy for the Management of Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Stroke* 50, 2441–2447. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.025631

Sarraj, A., Hassan, A. E., Abraham, M. G., Ortega-Gutierrez, S., Kasner, S. E., Shazam Hussain, M., et al. (2023a). Trial of endovascular Thrombectomy for large ischemic strokes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 388, 1259–1271. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a2214403

Sarraj, A., Kleinig, T. J., Hassan, A. E., Portela, P. C., Ortega-Gutierrez, S., Abraham, M. G., et al. (2023b). Association of Endovascular Thrombectomy vs medical management with functional and safety outcomes in patients treated beyond 24 hours of last known well: the SELECT late study. *JAMA Neurol.* 80, 172–182. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.4714

Scopelliti, G., Benzakoun, J., Hassen, W. B., Bretzner, M., Bricout, N., Puy, L., et al. (2023). Diffusion-weighted imaging lesion reversal in older patients with stroke treated with mechanical Thrombectomy. *Stroke* 54, 1823–1829. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.123.042491

Sharobeam, A., Cordato, D. J., Manning, N., Cheung, A., Wenderoth, J., and Cappelen-Smith, C. (2019). Functional outcomes at 90 days in octogenarians undergoing Thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke: A prospective cohort study and meta-analysis. *Front. Neurol.* 10:254. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00254

Shirakawa, M., Yoshimura, S., Uchida, K., Shindo, S., Yamada, K., Kuroda, J., et al. (2017). Relationship between Hemorrhagic complications and target vessels in acute Thrombectomy. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 26, 1732–1738. doi: 10.1016/j. jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.03.038

Son, S., Kang, D.-H., Hwang, Y.-H., Kim, Y.-S., and Kim, Y.-W. (2017). Efficacy, safety, and clinical outcome of modern mechanical Thrombectomy in elderly patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Acta Neurochir.* 159, 1663–1669. doi: 10.1007/s00701-017-3269-y

Stang, A. (2010). Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 25, 603–605. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z

Sudre, J., Venditti, L., Ancelet, C., Chassin, O., Sarov, M., Smadja, D., et al. (2021). Reperfusion therapy for acute ischemic stroke in older people: an observational real-life study. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 69, 3167–3176. doi: 10.1111/jgs.17394

Tajima, Y., Hayasaka, M., Ebihara, K., Kawauchi, D., and Suda, S. (2017). Thrombectomy in octogenarians in the era of stent retriever: is an age limit necessary? *Journal of Neuroendovascular Therapy* 11, 563–569. doi: 10.5797/jnet.oa.2017-0031

Tan, B. Y. Q., Ho, J. S. Y., Leow, A. S., Chia, M. L. J., Sia, C. H., Koh, Y. Y., et al. (2022). Effect of frailty on outcomes of endovascular treatment for acute ischaemic stroke in older patients. *Age Ageing* 51:afac096. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afac096

Tu, W.-J., and Wang, L.-D. (2023). China stroke surveillance report 2021. *Mil. Med. Res.* 10:33. doi: 10.1186/s40779-023-00463-x

Von Kummer, R., Broderick, J. P., Campbell, B. C. V., Demchuk, A., Goyal, M., Hill, M. D., et al. (2015). The Heidelberg bleeding classification: classification of bleeding events after ischemic stroke and reperfusion therapy. *Stroke* 46, 2981–2986. doi: 10.1161/ STROKEAHA.115.010049

Wassélius, J., Arnberg, F., von Euler, M., Wester, P., and Ullberg, T. (2022). Endovascular Thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke. *J. Intern. Med.* 291, 303–316. doi: 10.1111/joim.13425

Widimsky, P., Snyder, K., Sulzenko, J., Hopkins, L. N., and Stetkarova, I. (2023). Acute ischaemic stroke: recent advances in reperfusion treatment. *Eur. Heart J.* 44, 1205–1215. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac684

Wu, S., Bo, W., Liu, M., Chen, Z., Wang, W., Anderson, C. S., et al. (2019). Stroke in China: advances and challenges in epidemiology, prevention, and management. *The Lancet. Neurology* 18, 394–405. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30500-3

Zhao, W., Ma, P., Zhang, P., and Yue, X. (2019). Mechanical Thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke in octogenarians: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Neurol.* 10:1355. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.01355