#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Rui Liu, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

REVIEWED BY Michal Pyzik, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States Giuseppe Murdaca, University of Genoa, Italy Beniamin Oskar Grabarek, Academy of Silesia. Poland

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jocelyn H. Leu ⊠ jleu@its.jnj.com

RECEIVED 26 September 2023 ACCEPTED 03 January 2024 PUBLISHED 01 February 2024

#### CITATION

Leu JH, Vermeulen A, Abbes C, Arroyo S, Denney WS and Ling LE (2024) Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics across infusion rates of intravenously administered nipocalimab: results of a phase 1, placebo-controlled study. *Front. Neurosci.* 18:1302714. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2024.1302714

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Leu, Vermeulen, Abbes, Arroyo, Denney and Ling. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics across infusion rates of intravenously administered nipocalimab: results of a phase 1, placebo-controlled study

Jocelyn H. Leu<sup>1\*</sup>, An Vermeulen<sup>2</sup>, Claudia Abbes<sup>3</sup>, Santiago Arroyo<sup>3</sup>, William S. Denney<sup>4</sup> and Leona E. Ling<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Spring House, PA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Janssen Research & Development, LLC, a Division of Janssen Pharmaceutica NV, Beerse, Belgium, <sup>3</sup>Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, MA, United States, <sup>4</sup>Human Predictions, LLC, Boston, MA, United States, <sup>5</sup>Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Cambridge, MA, United States

**Introduction:** Nipocalimab is a high-affinity, fully human, aglycosylated, effectorless, immunoglobulin G (IgG) 1 monoclonal antibody that targets the neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn), decreases systemic IgG including autoantibodies, and is under development in several IgG autoantibody- and alloantibody-mediated diseases, including generalized myasthenia gravis, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, maternal-fetal medicine, and multiple other therapeutic areas. An initial phase 1 study with single and multiple ascending doses of nipocalimab infused intravenously (IV) over 2 h demonstrated dose-dependent serum pharmacokinetics and IgG reductions, with an adverse event (AE) profile comparable to placebo.

**Methods:** The current investigation evaluates the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of single doses of nipocalimab across various IV infusion rates in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, sequential-dose study. Forty participants were randomized to receive nipocalimab 30 mg/kg over 60, 30, 15 or 7.5 min (0.5, 1, 2, or 4 mg/kg/min); nipocalimab 60 mg/kg over 15 min (4 mg/kg/min); or matching placebo.

**Results:** At doses up to 60 mg/kg and infusion rates up to 4 mg/kg/min (maximum clinically feasible rate), single doses of nipocalimab were tolerable, with 12 (40%) participants experiencing AEs across nipocalimab cohorts compared with 1 (10%) participant in the placebo cohort. AEs deemed treatment related occurred in 6 (20%) participants receiving nipocalimab and 1 (10%) participant receiving placebo. None of the AEs were severe, and no participants discontinued treatment due to AEs. Nipocalimab provided consistent, dose-dependent serum pharmacokinetics and IgG reductions, regardless of infusion rate.

**Discussion:** This study supports the use of shortened durations of nipocalimab infusion for future studies.

#### KEYWORDS

neonatal Fc receptor, monoclonal antibodies, phase 1 clinical trial, intravenous infusions, pharmacokinetics, immunoglobulin G



# **1** Introduction

Autoantibody-mediated diseases impact nearly 3% of the global population and comprise over 80 chronic autoimmune and acute alloimmune conditions across multiple therapeutic areas (Eggert et al., 2010; Ludwig et al., 2017). Traditional therapeutic strategies for the treatment of chronic autoantibody-mediated diseases include conventional immunosuppression, the use of B-cell-targeting drugs to reduce antibody production, the removal of monomeric pathogenic autoantibodies and immune complexes via plasma exchange (PLEX), immunoadsorption (IA), or intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) (Shock et al., 2020). However, these treatment options may lack adequate efficacy, be nonspecific (resulting in substantial safety and/ or tolerability issues with long-term exposure), and impose a substantial burden to patients and health care systems (Osanan et al., 2012; Strengers and Klein, 2016; Bacci et al., 2019; US Food and Drug Administration, 2019; Jacob et al., 2021). There is a significant unmet need for safe and targeted therapies to address the underlying cause of auto- and alloantibody-mediated diseases.

The neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn) is a promising treatment target for immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody-mediated diseases under evaluation in multiple therapeutic areas, including neuroimmunology, benign hematology, rheumatology, and maternal fetal medicine (Gable and Guptill, 2019; Chalayer et al., 2022; Pyzik et al., 2023). FcRn is responsible for the long 21-day half-life of IgG as it specifically binds and recycles IgG and albumin preventing their clearance through the intracellular lysosomal degradation pathway (Roopenian and Akilesh, 2007). Targeted blockade of FcRn-IgG binding inhibits IgG recycling and accelerates the destruction of pathogenic and total IgG. Lowering IgG (including pathogenic IgG) could provide significant therapeutic benefit for patients with IgG auto/alloantibodymediated diseases (Pyzik et al., 2023). Determining the optimal administration and use of FcRn-targeted agents will be important in addressing the underlying cause of auto- and alloantibody-mediated diseases to reduce disease burden on patients and health care systems.

Efgartigimod and rozanolixizumab were the first two FcRntargeted agents approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG), the chronic neuromuscular disease in which the role of pathogenic IgG is well established (Gilhus, 2016; Howard et al., 2019; Bril et al., 2021; Howard et al., 2021; Bril et al., 2023).

Nipocalimab is a high-affinity, fully human, aglycosylated, effectorless, IgG1 monoclonal antibody designed to selectively block the IgG binding site on FcRn to increase IgG clearance and reduce IgG auto/alloantibody-mediated disease pathology. Unlike other FcRn-targeted agents, nipocalimab binds with picomolar affinity to FcRn at both the endosomal and extracellular pH of 6.0 and 7.4 allowing rapid full occupancy of FcRn throughout the recycling pathway. These attributes result in the potential for rapid, deep and sustained effects on pathogenic IgG and disease response (Ling et al., 2019; Antozzi et al., 2024). While nipocalimab selectively and effectively increases IgG clearance, it spares IgG production and other key humoral and cellular immune functions (Ling et al., 2022).

The safety and efficacy of nipocalimab are being evaluated across a broad range of IgG auto- and alloantibody-mediated diseases, including diseases in neurology, dermatology, rheumatology, immunohematology, and alloantibody-mediated maternal fetal medicine indications, many of which are rare with limited treatment options (ClinicalTrials.gov, 2019a,b, 2021a,b,c,d,e, 2022a,b) In a phase 2 study of patients with gMG (Vivacity-MG [N=67]; ClinicalTrials. gov Identifier: NCT03772587), nipocalimab treatment resulted in substantial, rapid, and dose-dependent reductions in total serum IgG and in anti-acetylcholine receptor–specific autoantibodies compared with placebo (Antozzi et al., 2024). Myasthenia Gravis Activities of Daily Living scores significantly improved with nipocalimab compared with placebo, while the frequency of adverse events (AEs) was comparable to placebo.

Nipocalimab was well tolerated, with an acceptable safety profile, clear dose, pharmacokinetic (PK), and exhibited and pharmacodynamic (PD) relationships when administered as an infusion over 2h at rates up to 0.5 mg/kg/min in a first-in-human, single and multiple ascending dose study in healthy participants (Ling et al., 2019). In subsequent studies and in clinical practice, shorter durations of infusion are desirable if tolerability and safety can be maintained and PK and PD remain consistent. The study presented here aimed to assess the safety, tolerability, PK, and PD of single 30 or 60-mg/kg intravenous (IV) doses of nipocalimab in healthy adults over infusion times ranging from 60 to 7.5 min to examine shorter nipocalimab IV infusions for potential use in clinical studies and in clinical practice.

# 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Ethics

This study was in compliance with the International Council for Harmonisation Harmonised Tripartite Guideline regarding good clinical practice. Study protocols were reviewed by the Advarra, Inc. institutional review board and is registered with the US Food and Drug Administration (Registration Number: IRB 00000971). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was consistent with good clinical practices and regulatory requirements. Participants provided written informed consent prior to enrollment.

## 2.2 Participants

Eligible participants were males or females aged 18 to 55 years with no clinically significant medical conditions, body mass index (BMI)  $\geq$ 18.5 and < 32.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, body weight  $\geq$  50 and  $\leq$  110 kg, and total serum IgG levels  $\geq$ 1,000 mg/dL at screening. Women of childbearing potential and nonsterile men agreed to use effective contraception to prevent pregnancy prior to dosing, during the study, or in a specified period after dosing. Participants with a history of disease(s) of the immune system, use of immunosuppressive therapy, history or presence of hypersensitivity or idiosyncratic reaction to the study drug or related compounds, or history or presence of a clinically significant medical or psychiatric condition were excluded.

## 2.3 Study design

This single-infusion, sequential, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, escalating dose and infusion rate, phase 1 study was conducted at a single clinical site in the United States. The study consisted of a screening phase (within 30 days before study drug administration), a 29-day study phase (single IV doses of nipocalimab were given on Day 1, PK parameters were assessed for 336h [up to Day 15], and PD parameters were assessed for 672 h [up to Day 29]), and a follow-up phase (every 14 days following Day 29 until safety laboratory results returned to the normal range; Figure 1). Safety parameters were assessed throughout the study, including the screening phase; Day -1; prespecified time points between Day 1 and Day 2, Day 8, Day 15, and Day 29; and the follow-up phase. On Day 1, participants were randomized to 1 of 5 cohorts (n=8 per cohort [nipocalimab, n = 6; placebo, n = 2]) to receive nipocalimab 30 mg/kgIV infused over 60, 30, 15, or 7.5 min (0.5, 1, 2, or 4 mg/kg/min); nipocalimab 60 mg/kg IV infused over 15 min (4 mg/kg/min); or matching placebo. The maximum infusion rate of 4 mg/kg/min was the fastest rate that was feasible at the clinical site. Escalation to the next dose level was permitted following review by a safety monitoring committee.

## 2.4 Safety and tolerability evaluations

Safety and tolerability of study treatments were assessed via laboratory tests, vital signs, electrocardiogram (ECG), and by the frequency and nature of treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) and serious AEs (SAEs). AEs were coded using the *Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities*, version 21.1. Optional laboratory tests were performed every 14 days post–Day 29 until safety laboratory test results returned to the normal range.

## 2.5 PK evaluations

Blood samples for PK analysis of nipocalimab were collected at Day 1 predose and at prespecified time points between Day 1 and Day 2, Day 8, and Day 15. PK samples at the middle and end of infusion varied for each cohort as a result of different infusion rates. Serum concentrations of nipocalimab were determined using an enzymelinked immunosorbent assay method validated with respect to



#### FIGURE 1

Study design of a phase 1, single-dose, sequential escalating-dose, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, infusion rate study. BMI, body mass index; EOI, end of infusion; IgG, immunoglobulin G; IV, intravenous; MOI, middle of infusion; PD, pharmacodynamic; PK, pharmacokinetic. <sup>a</sup>The PK assessment time points included Day 1 predose, MOI, EOI + 0.083 h, EOI + 0.25 h, EOI + 1 h; Day 2 (24 h postdose); Day 8 (169 h postdose); and Day 15 (337 h post dose). The PD and safety assessment time points included Day –1, Day 2 (24 h postdose), Day 8 (169 h postdose), Day 15 (337 h postdose), and Day 29 (672 h postdose), with an optional test every 14 days post–Day 29 until clinically significant laboratory test results returned to normal ranges.

accuracy, precision, linearity, sensitivity, and specificity (Charles River Laboratories, Reno, NV, USA). The limits of quantification for nipocalimab were 0.150 to 1,250 µg/mL. Standard serum PK parameters were derived including maximum observed serum concentration ( $C_{max}$ ), time to reach the maximum observed serum concentration ( $T_{max}$ ), area under the serum concentration-time curve (AUC) from time 0 (start of infusion) to the time of the last observed nonzero concentration (AUC<sub>0-last</sub>), AUC from time 0 (start of infusion) extrapolated to infinity (AUC<sub>0-inf</sub>), half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ), observed concentration at the end of infusion ( $C_{eoi}$ ), clearance (CL), and volume of distribution (Vz).

### 2.6 PD evaluations

Blood samples for PD analysis of total serum IgG and albumin concentrations were collected at Day -1, Day 2, Day  $8 \pm 1$ , Day  $15 \pm 2$ , and Day  $29 \pm 3$ , with an optional test every 14 days post–Day 29 until clinically significant laboratory test results returned to normal ranges (ie, 768–1,632 mg/dL for total serum IgG). Total serum IgG and albumin concentrations were analyzed by Celerion (Tempe, AZ, USA). The proportion of participants with hypoalbuminemia categorized based on Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (grade 3: <20 g/L, grade 2: 20 to <30 g/L, grade 1: 30 to <40 g/L) or with normal (40–50 g/L) or high albumin levels (>50 g/L) was also reported at each postdose time point compared with baseline.

#### 2.7 Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used for participant characteristics, serum concentrations of nipocalimab and total IgG, derived PK parameters (as appropriate), laboratory tests, ECG, and vital signs. PK parameters were calculated from the serum nipocalimab concentration-time data using noncompartmental analysis (Phoenix<sup>®</sup> WinNonlin<sup>®</sup>, version 7.0; Certara, Princeton, NJ, USA). All statistical analysis and reporting were generated using SAS for Windows, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

## **3 Results**

### 3.1 Participants

A total of 40 participants received study treatment and were included in the safety analysis. Of those, 30 participants received nipocalimab and were included in the PK and PD analyses. A total of 39 participants completed the study, and 1 participant who received placebo was considered lost to follow-up after missing a scheduled return visit (14 days after end of study). Baseline demographic and selected clinical characteristics were similar across all treatment cohorts (Table 1). The mean age was 37.0 years, 70% were female, 92.5% were White, mean baseline BMI was 26.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and mean baseline serum IgG was 1,183.9 mg/dL.

#### TABLE 1 Demographic and other baseline characteristics.

Characteristic <sup>a</sup>	Nipocalimab						
	30 mg/kg (60 min; 0.5 mg/kg/ min) (n = 6)	30 mg/kg (30 min; 1 mg/kg/ min) ( <i>n</i> = 6)	30 mg/kg (15 min; 2 mg/kg/ min) ( <i>n</i> = 6)	30 mg/kg (7.5 min; 4 mg/kg/ min) ( <i>n</i> = 6)	60 mg/kg (15 min; 4 mg/kg/ min) ( <i>n</i> = 6)	Total (n = 30)	( <i>n</i> = 10)
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)							
Female	4 (67)	3 (50)	5 (83)	5 (83)	5 (83)	22 (73)	6 (60)
Male	2 (33)	3 (50)	1 (17)	1 (17)	1 (17)	8 (27)	4 (40)
Ethnicity, n (%)							
Hispanic or Latino	5 (83)	4 (67)	5 (83)	5 (83)	6 (100)	25 (83)	7 (70)
Not Hispanic or Latino	1 (17)	2 (33)	1 (17)	1 (17)	0	5 (17)	3 (30)
Race, <i>n</i> (%)							
Black or African American	0	1 (17)	0	0	0	1 (3)	0
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0	1 (17)	0	0	0	1 (3)	0
White	6 (100)	4 (67)	6 (100)	6 (100)	6 (100)	28 (93)	9 (90)
Mixed White, Black, or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (10)
Age, y	37.7 (6.5)	35.0 (8.7)	43.8 (11.0)	36.7 (11.7)	34.0 (11.0)	37.4 (9.9)	35.8 (10.9)
Weight, kg	75.2 (10.2)	77.2 (14.0)	71.5 (9.7)	74.1 (5.0)	73.9 (10.5)	74.4 (9.7)	71.5 (15.2)
Height, cm	167.2 (7.2)	169.8 (12.4)	161.8 (6.6)	162.7 (4.4)	164.5 (9.3)	165.2 (8.4)	167.1 (10.2)
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	26.9 (3.9)	26.7 (3.0)	27.3 (3.0)	28.1 (2.4)	27.3 (3.0)	27.2 (2.9)	25.4 (3.6)
Albumin, g/L	44.7 (1.8)	45.2 (2.8)	46.7 (2.9)	43.5 (3.3)	45.7 (2.0)	45.1 (2.7)	46.5 (1.8)
IgG, mg/dL	1,104.5 (261.5)	1,134.5 (209.7)	1,261.7 (173.9)	1,136.5 (200.9)	1,270.0 (174.8)	1,181.4 (204.6)	1,191.3 (208.4)
IgM, mg/dL	79.8 (25.5)	146.5 (27.6)	89.7 (49.5)	154.2 (91.9)	143.3 (78.2)	122.7 (64.8)	123.5 (39.7)
IgA, mg/dL	168.8 (95.2)	254.0 (72.2)	213.2 (63.9)	245.8 (91.1)	240.7 (46.8)	224.5 (77.3)	239.9 (83.6)
IgE, mg/dL	60.5 (114.2)	25.2 (31.2)	105.7 (131.1)	115.8 (128.3)	86.8 (159.0)	78.8 (117.0)	394.5 (1162.4)

BMI, body mass index; Ig, immunoglobulin; SD, standard deviation. <sup>a</sup>Data are mean (SD) unless otherwise noted.

3.2 Safety

A total of 12 (40%) participants experienced TEAEs across all nipocalimab dosing cohorts, and 1 (10%) participant experienced TEAEs following the administration of placebo (Table 2). None of the TEAEs were severe, and there were no deaths, SAEs, or discontinuations of treatment due to TEAEs at any infusion rate of nipocalimab. A total of 6 (20%) participants in the nipocalimab dosing cohorts experienced TEAEs that were considered related to the study drug. All of these TEAEs were of mild (grade 1) or moderate (grade 2) severity and were observed to resolve by the end of the study, except for 3 grade 1 TEAEs in 1 participant in the 60-mg/kg cohort (15-min infusion duration; 4 mg/kg/min) who was lost to follow-up. Grade 2 infusion-site reactions were reported in 2 participants. Both were considered unrelated to the study drug. One participant in the 30-mg/ kg cohort (60-min infusion duration; 0.5 mg/kg/min) exhibited a vessel puncture-site reaction on the right hand that began approximately 29 min following the start of the infusion and later developed ecchymosis, erythema, pain, redness, and swelling in the right hand. The AE was completely resolved after approximately 21 days. Another participant in the 30-mg/kg cohort (15-min infusion duration; 2 mg/kg/min) exhibited infusion-site discomfort at the right antecubital IV site without erythema, induration, or swelling that began 5 min following the start of the infusion. The AE resolved approximately 5 h after onset.

The most frequently reported TEAE was headache, reported by 6 participants (20%) with nipocalimab and 1 participant (10%) with placebo. None of the headache AEs occurred during the infusion. Grade 1 headaches were reported by 4 participants receiving nipocalimab (1 each in the 30-mg/kg cohorts [60-min infusion duration, 0.5 mg/kg/min; 30-min infusion duration, 1 mg/kg/min] and 2 in the 60-mg/kg cohort [15-min infusion duration, 4 mg/kg/ min]) and by 1 participant receiving placebo. Grade 2 headaches were reported by 2 participants receiving nipocalimab at 30 mg/kg (7.5-min infusion duration; 4 mg/kg/min). All headache AEs were considered related to the study treatment, except for 1 participant in the 60-mg/ kg cohort (15-min infusion duration; 4 mg/kg/min). Grade 1 nausea was reported by 2 participants (6.7%) receiving nipocalimab (60-mg/ kg cohort [15-min infusion duration; 4mg/kg/min]). Grade 2 intermittent nausea occurred in 1 participant 4h after the infusion of 30 mg/kg (7.5-min infusion duration; 4 mg/kg/min), lasted until Day 28, and was considered related to the study drug. Grade 1 nausea

TEAE, n (%)	Nipocalimab						Placebo
	30 mg/kg (60 min; 0.5 mg/kg/ min) (n = 6)	30 mg/kg (30 min; 1 mg/kg/ min) (n = 6)	30 mg/kg (15 min; 2 mg/kg/ min) (n = 6)	30 mg/kg (7.5 min; 4 mg/kg/ min) (n = 6)	60 mg/kg (15 min; 4 mg/kg/ min) (n = 6)	Total (n = 30)	( <i>n</i> = 10)
Any TEAE	3 (50)	1 (17)	2 (33)	3 (50)	3 (50)	12 (40)	1 (10)
Death	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Any serious TEAE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Any TEAE leading to discontinuation of study drug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Treatment-related adverse events	1 (17)	1 (17)	0	2 (33)	2 (33)	6 (20)	1 (10)
Any infusion-site reaction	1 (17)ª	0	1 (17)ª	0	0	2 (7)	0
Most frequent TEAE				·	'		
Headache	1 (17) <sup>b</sup>	1 (17) <sup>b</sup>	0	2 (33)ª	2 (33) <sup>b</sup>	6 (20)	1 (10) <sup>b</sup>
Nausea	0	0	0	1 (17) <sup>a</sup>	2 (33) <sup>b</sup>	3 (10)	0
Vomiting	0	0	0	0	2 (33)	2 (7)	0
Back pain	0	0	1 (17)ª	0	1 (17) <sup>b</sup>	2 (7)	0
Nasal congestion	0	0	0	2 (33) <sup>a,b</sup>	0	2 (7)	0
Rhinorrhea	0	0	0	2 (33) <sup>b</sup>	0	2 (7)	0
Pruritis	0	0	0	1 (17) <sup>b</sup>	1 (17) <sup>b</sup>	2 (7)	0
Rash	0	0	0	1 (17) <sup>b</sup>	1 (17) <sup>b</sup>	2 (7)	0

TABLE 2 Number of participants reporting TEAEs and most frequent TEAEs (in ≥2 participants) by dosing cohort.

TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

<sup>a</sup>Event reported by participant(s) considered moderate in intensity (grade 2).

<sup>b</sup>Event reported by participant(s) considered mild in intensity (grade 1).

accompanied by grade 1 intermittent vomiting was reported in 2 participants receiving the 60-mg/kg infusion (15-min infusion duration; 4 mg/kg/min), occurred within 24 to 28 days after the infusion of the study drug, and was considered related to the study drug in 1 participant.

A total of 4 participants (3 receiving nipocalimab and 1 receiving placebo) experienced AEs that occurred within 24 h after the infusion and were considered related to the treatment. These AEs were mostly headaches, which began from 5 to 11 h after the beginning of the infusion. Also reported were numbness at the top of the head at 10 h after infusion by 1 participant (30 mg/kg cohort [30-min infusion duration; 1.0 mg/kg/min]), as well as nausea at 4 h after infusion and "arms feel cool" during the infusion by another participant (30 mg/kg cohort [7.5-min infusion duration; 4 mg/kg/min]). All resolved and did not require pausing or discontinuation of dosing.

There were no laboratory-related AEs during this study. All individual abnormal laboratory results were considered clinically insignificant and not related to the study drug. There were no clinically significant changes in ECG, vital signs, or physical exams.

## 3.3 PK

The mean serum nipocalimab concentration-time profiles were similar following all 30-mg/kg infusions regardless of infusion rate and were approximately 2 times higher following a 60-mg/kg infusion (Figure 2). In all treatment cohorts, mean serum concentrations of nipocalimab declined in a dose-dependent nonlinear manner, suggesting target-mediated drug disposition, and remained detectable for at least 169 h postdose for all 30-mg/kg cohorts and 337 h postdose for the 60-mg/kg cohort.

The geometric means of AUC<sub>0-inf</sub> and C<sub>max</sub> of nipocalimab in serum were unaffected by infusion rate when administered at 30 mg/ kg (Table 3). Following a 60-mg/kg infusion, the AUCs were 2.4 to 2.8 times higher and C<sub>max</sub> was 2.0 to 2.2 times higher than with the 30-mg/kg infusions. T<sub>max</sub> following 30 and 60-mg/kg infusions ranged from 20 to 68 min after initiation of IV infusion. Higher infusion rates were associated with a numerical trend toward shorter T<sub>max</sub>, although a delayed T<sub>max</sub> was observed at the highest infusion rate (30 mg/kg cohort [7.5-min infusion duration; 4 mg/kg/min]). Mean t<sub>1/2</sub>, CL, and Vz values ranged from 48.8 to 58.0 h, 0.03 to 0.05 L/h, and 2.6 to 3.4 L, respectively, across nipocalimab dosing cohorts. Numerical trends toward slower CL and lower Vz were observed with shorter infusion following 30-mg/kg infusions, except for in the 4-mg/kg/min cohort (*n* = 1).

#### 3.4 PD

Mean baseline serum IgG levels ranged from 1,105 to 1,270 mg/dL across treatment cohorts (Table 1). Following nipocalimab administration, the mean IgG values decreased from baseline



compared with placebo, with similar decreases following all 30-mg/kg infusions and larger decreases following a 60-mg/kg infusion (Figure 3; Table 4). The maximum decrease from baseline in mean serum IgG levels ranged from -61.5% to -66.2% (Day 8 or Day 15) for the 30-mg/kg infusion cohorts and was 79.0% (Day 15) for the 60-mg/kg infusion cohort. The mean IgG values remained below baseline (-27.4% to -46.7% from baseline) at Day 29 for all nipocalimab dosing cohorts. The mean serum IgG values following placebo administration remained within the normal range throughout the study.

Mean baseline serum albumin levels ranged from 43.5 g/L to 46.7 g/L across treatment cohorts (Table 1). Following nipocalimab administration, mean albumin values generally decreased from baseline compared with placebo. As with IgG, similar decreases

followed all 30-mg/kg infusions, with larger decreases following the 60-mg/kg infusion (Figure 4; Table 5). However, the maximum decrease from baseline in mean serum albumin levels was observed in both dosing cohorts on Day 15 and ranged from -4.4% to -8.2% for the 30-mg/kg infusion cohorts and was most pronounced for the 60-mg/kg infusion cohort at -14.6%. The mean albumin values had recovered at Day 29 to near or just below baseline (-0.7% to -4.4% from baseline) in the 30-mg/kg infusion cohort. The mean serum albumin values following placebo administration remained within -1% of the normal range throughout the study. There were no clinically relevant shifts from normal at baseline to abnormal postdose in serum albumin levels in any of the nipocalimab dosing cohorts (Supplementary Table 1).

PK parameter <sup>a</sup>	30 mg/kg (60 min; 0.5 mg/ kg/min)	30 mg/kg (30 min; 1 mg/ kg/min)	30 mg/kg (15 min; 2 mg/ kg/min)	30 mg/kg (7.5 min; 4 mg/ kg/min)	60 mg/kg (15 min; 4 mg/ kg/min)
ALIC	43,050 (22.4)	43,530 (28.2)	49,950 (19.3)	47,670 (10.9)	119,600 (10.1)
AUC <sub>0-last</sub> , µg•h/mL	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]
	47,000 (28.7)	48,650 (32.9)	52,270 (17.3)	51,820	128,700 (7.7)
$AUC_{0-inf} \mu g \bullet h/mL$	[ <i>n</i> = 5]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 5]	[ <i>n</i> = 1]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]
$C_{eoi}$ , $\mu g/mL$	720 (16.8)	679 (18.1)	697 (19.0)	736 (8.5)	1,488 (18.1)
	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]
C <sub>max</sub> , μg/mL	756 (17.5)	704 (18.7)	761 (16.6)	790 (10.8)	1,547 (15.6)
	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]
T <sub>max</sub> , h	1.08 (1.01, 2.03)	0.55 (0.52, 0.76)	0.44 (0.34, 1.26)	1.13 (0.14, 1.13)	0.33 (0.27, 0.50)
	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]
t <sub>1/2</sub> , h	49.3 (9.5)	51.2 (10.3)	48.8 (1.5)	58.0	55.0 (17.1)
	[ <i>n</i> = 5]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 5]	[ <i>n</i> = 1]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]
CL, L/h	0.049 (30.2)	0.047 (20.2)	0.041 (4.3)	0.040	0.034 (17.2)
	[ <i>n</i> = 5]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 5]	[ <i>n</i> = 1]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]
Vz, L	3.42 (9.4)	3.41 (17.4)	2.88 (5.5)	3.33	2.60 (41.6)
	[ <i>n</i> = 5]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]	[ <i>n</i> = 5]	[ <i>n</i> = 1]	[ <i>n</i> = 6]

#### TABLE 3 Serum PK parameters of nipocalimab.

 $AUC_{0-infs}$  area under the serum concentration-time curve from time 0 (start of infusion) extrapolated to infinity;  $AUC_{0-infs}$  area under the serum concentration-time curve from time 0 (start of infusion) to the time of the last observed nonzero concentration;  $C_{eob}$  observed concentration at the end of infusion; CL, clearance;  $C_{mass}$  maximum observed serum concentration; CV%, coefficient of variation; PK, pharmacokinetic; SD, standard deviation;  $t_{1/2}$ , half-life;  $T_{maxs}$  time to reach maximum observed serum concentration; Vz, volume of distribution. <sup>a</sup>Area under the serum concentration-time curves,  $C_{eob}$ ,  $C_{maxs}$ , CL, and Vz are presented as geometric mean and geometric CV%;  $T_{max}$  is presented as median (minimum, maximum);  $t_{1/2}$  is presented as arithmetic mean ± SD. When n = 1, geometric CV% or SD are not available.

# 4 Discussion

This single-infusion, sequential, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, escalating dose and infusion rate, phase 1 study examined the safety, tolerability, PK, and PD of nipocalimab when administered at different infusion rates and dose levels. Nipocalimab administered at doses up to 60 mg/kg and infusion rates up to 4 mg/kg/min, with infusion durations as short as 7.5 min, was well tolerated with no new safety findings and a safety profile generally comparable to placebo, highlighting the favorable safety and tolerability of nipocalimab when administered at high doses with shortened infusion duration. Nipocalimab also exhibited consistent, dose-dependent PK and induced dose-dependent total serum IgG reductions. These results provide evidence to support durations of administration as short as 7.5 min (when infusing 4 mg/kg/min with a 30-mg/kg dose). A phase 3 study of nipocalimab for gMG (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04951622) is underway and uses the shortened infusion durations shown in this study to be safe, with consistent PK and PD effects. The tolerability of these rapid infusion rates and the consistency of PK and PD across infusion rates and doses up to 60 mg/kg may also provide a window of safety in dosing, decreased patient burden, as well as greater ease and efficiency of administration in clinical settings.

These results support the safety of nipocalimab at higher infusion rates and at doses up to 60 mg/kg as assessed up to 28 days following single IV administration. TEAEs considered related to the study treatment occurred in both placebo and nipocalimab cohorts. There was a trend toward higher rates of the most frequent TEAEs with shorter rates of infusion. However, most of these TEAEs occurred more than 24 h after the infusion in participants receiving the highest rate of infusion. Given that the PK parameters of nipocalimab were generally similar by 24 h after the beginning of the infusion for all nipocalimab dosing cohorts regardless of infusion rate, it is unlikely that TEAEs that occurred 24 h after the infusion were infusion-rate driven. Nevertheless, it should be noted that these TEAEs could still be considered related to the study drug. No serious TEAEs or deaths occurred during the study. Altogether, these results complement the single and multiple dose safety profile observed previously with IV nipocalimab administered at 30 mg/kg weekly for up to 28 days or 30 mg/kg every 4 weeks or 60 mg/kg every other week for 57 days (Ling et al., 2019; Antozzi et al., 2024).

Overall PK profiles of nipocalimab were similar regardless of infusion rate, although a numerical trend toward shorter  $T_{max}$  lower CL, and lower Vz with higher infusion rates was observed. The PK parameters determined in this phase 1 study were generally comparable to those found in the initial phase 1 study (Ling et al., 2019).

Serum IgG reductions with nipocalimab demonstrated dosedependence, with approximately 64% and 79% reductions from baseline following the 30-mg/kg infusions and 60-mg/kg infusion, respectively. Serum IgG reductions were not affected by infusion rates when given at a dose of 30 mg/kg, with approximately 61% and 64% maximum reductions from baseline following the 30-mg/kg infusions at the rates of 0.5 mg/kg/min and 4 mg/kg/min, respectively. The IgG reduction observed in this study are associated with the IgG levels associated with positive clinical responses observed in patients with IgG autoantibody-mediated diseases who underwent efgartigimod,



(B) Mean (±SD) total IgG serum concentrations (n = 6 per nipocalimab cohort, n = 10 for placebo cohort). IgG, immunoglobulin G; SD, standard deviation.

PLEX or IA treatment (Liu et al., 2010; Kohler et al., 2011; Kasuya et al., 2013; VYVGART®, 2023). Serum albumin decreases observed following nipocalimab dosing remained within the normal range and within 10% of baseline for the 30-mg/kg cohorts and within 15% of baseline for the 60-mg/kg cohort. These changes were within the normal range, asymptomatic, and showed recovery toward baseline following nadir at approximately 8 to 15 days postdose as observed in the previous single dose study (Aull and McCord, 1957; Felding et al., 1980; Ling et al., 2019). These data further support the potential use of nipocalimab for treatment of IgG autoantibody-mediated diseases at durations of IV infusion as short as 7.5 min. The tolerability of nipocalimab may relate to its high affinity for the FcRn IgG binding site and aglycosylated design that contribute to its selectivity and effectorless binding (Ling et al., 2022).

The percentage of total IgG reduction in this study was similar to that observed in the previous phase 1 and Vivacity-MG studies when given at similar doses (Ling et al., 2019; Antozzi et al., 2024). By targeting IgG clearance while permitting IgG production and cellular immune functions, nipocalimab and other FcRn-targeting agents do not result in broad immunosuppression allowing sparing of corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive agents (Peter et al., 2020; Ling et al., 2022).

The limitations of this study include its small number of participants. The maximum infusion rate conducted in this study was restricted to 4 mg/kg/min as the fastest available rate of infusion at the clinical site; thus, higher infusion rates may be possible although not evaluated. Furthermore, the study included only healthy volunteers who had no significant comorbidities or

#### TABLE 4 Percent change from baseline in total serum IgG concentration.

Time point	Percent change from baseline in total serum IgG concentration, % (SD)					
			Nipocalimab			
	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
Day 2	-5.74 (5.10)	-1.08 (3.94)	-4.95 (3.80)	0.36 (3.96)	-0.75 (5.56)	4.51 (7.57)
Day 8	-61.46 (5.38)	-56.24 (2.79)	-62.13 (5.66)	-63.82 (4.23)	-62.72 (5.63)	4.03 (9.72)
Day 15	-61.42 (4.95)	-63.92 (3.96)	-66.15 (3.67)	-62.12 (12.00)	-78.99 (4.56)	6.31 (5.46)
Day 29	-27.43 (7.87)	-33.12 (5.31)	-35.17 (10.52)	-34.50 (11.14)	-46.71 (9.64)	0.17 (8.23) <sup>a</sup>

IgG, immunoglobulin G; SD, standard deviation.

 ${}^{a}n = 9.$ 



#### FIGURE 4

Serum albumin concentrations over time were assessed for up to 672 h following single doses of nipocalimab (30 and 60 mg/kg) administered at escalating infusion rates (0.5–4 mg/kg/min). (A) Percent change from baseline of serum albumin concentration (n = 6 per nipocalimab cohort, n = 10 for placebo cohort). (B) Mean ( $\pm$ SD) serum albumin concentrations (n = 6 per nipocalimab cohort, n = 10 for placebo cohort). SD, standard deviation.

Time point	Percent change from baseline in serum albumin concentration, % (SD)						
		Nipocalimab					
	30 mg/kg (60 min; 0.5 mg/kg/ min) (n = 6)	30 mg/kg (30 min; 1 mg/ kg/min) (n = 6)	30 mg/kg (15 min; 2 mg/ kg/min) (n = 6)	30 mg/kg (7.5 min; 4 mg/ kg/min) ( <i>n</i> = 6)	60 mg/kg (15 min; 4 mg/ kg/min) ( <i>n</i> = 6)	Placebo (n = 10)	
Day 2	2.25 (3.45)	1.30 (3.97)	1.99 (4.52)	1.34 (3.50)	0.90 (5.07)	0.93 (3.25)	
Day 8	4.80 (6.43)	-6.13 (3.49)	-7.43 (3.03)	-5.26 (3.66)	-10.15 (3.74)	1.18 (7.53)	
Day 15	-4.84 (2.93)	-7.24 (5.25)	-8.22 (4.24)	-4.39 (3.49)	-14.64 (2.45)	-0.01 (4.77)	
Day 29	-0.72 (4.42)	-1.66 (4.79)	-4.44 (4.86)	1.01 (4.10)	-6.47 (3.70)	-0.71 (4.12)ª	

TABLE 5 Percent change from baseline in serum albumin concentration.

SD, standard deviation

 ${}^{a}n = 9.$ 

concomitant medications, which does not generally reflect the impact of nipocalimab treatment on patients with IgG autoantibody-mediated disease.

The results reported here underscore the ability to safely administer nipocalimab up to 60 mg/kg with an infusion rate as high as 4 mg/kg/min in healthy individuals. These preliminary findings also support the selection of dose and infusion rate in ongoing phase 2 and 3 studies of nipocalimab for the treatment of gMG and other IgG autoantibody-mediated diseases.

# Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available. The data sharing policy of Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson is available at https://www.janssen.com/clinical-trials/transparency. As noted on this site, requests for access to the trial data can be submitted through Yale Open Data Access (YODA) Project site at http://yoda.yale.edu.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by Advarra, Inc. institutional review board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## Author contributions

JHL: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing – review & editing. AV: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing – review & editing. CA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. SA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. WSD: Conceptualization, Data curation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. LEL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study (MOM-M281-007) was sponsored by Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

# Acknowledgments

The authors thank the volunteers who participated in this study. This study (MOM-M281-007) was sponsored by Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Nipocalimab is being developed by Janssen Research & Development, LLC. Medical writing support was provided by Panita Maturavongsadit, PhD, of Lumanity Communications Inc., and Elizabeth Rosenberg, PhD, of Kelly Science and Clinical, and funded by Janssen Global Services, LLC.

# **Conflict of interest**

JHL, AV, and LEL are employees of Janssen Research & Development, LLC and hold stock/stock options from Johnson & Johnson. CA and WSD were consultants for Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. during this study and for Janssen during interpretation of the study. SA was an employee of Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. during this study and a consultant for Janssen during interpretation of the study.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2024.1302714/ full#supplementary-material

## References

Antozzi, C., Guptill, J., Bril, V., Gamez, J., Meuth, S. G., Quan, D., et al. (2024). Safety and efficacy of nipocalimab in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis: results from the randomized phase 2 vivacity-MG study. *Neurology* 102:e207937. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000207937

Aull, J. C., and McCord, W. M. (1957). Effects of posture and activity on the major fractions of serum protein. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* 27, 52–55. doi: 10.1093/ajcp/27.1.52

Bacci, E. D., Coyne, K. S., Poon, J. L., Harris, L., and Boscoe, A. N. (2019). Understanding side effects of therapy for myasthenia gravis and their impact on daily life. *BMC Neurol.* 19:335. doi: 10.1186/s12883-019-1573-2

Bril, V., Benatar, M., Andersen, H., Vissing, J., Brock, M., Greve, B., et al. (2021). Efficacy and safety of rozanolixizumab in moderate to severe generalized myasthenia gravis: a phase 2 randomized control trial. *Neurology* 96, e853–e865. doi: 10.1212/ WNL.000000000011108

Bril, V., Druzdz, A., Grosskreutz, J., Habib, A. A., Mantegazza, R., Sacconi, S., et al. (2023). Safety and efficacy of rozanolixizumab in patients with generalised myasthenia gravis (MycarinG): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, adaptive phase 3 study. *Lancet Neurol.* 22, 383–394. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(23)00077-7

Chalayer, E., Gramont, B., Zekre, F., Goguyer-Deschaumes, R., Waeckel, L., Grange, L., et al. (2022). Fc receptors gone wrong: a comprehensive review of their roles in autoimmune and inflammatory diseases. *Autoimmun. Rev.* 21:103016. doi: 10.1016/j. autrev.2021.103016

ClinicalTrials.gov (2019a). Efficacy and safety of M281 in adults with warm autoimmune hemolytic anemia. Available at: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT04119050 (Accessed August 14, 2023).

ClinicalTrials.gov (2019b). A study to evaluate the safety, efficacy, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of M281 administered to pregnant women at high risk for early onset severe hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (HDFN). Available at: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03842189 (Accessed August 14, 2023).

ClinicalTrials.gov (2021a). A proof-of-concept study of the efficacy and safety of nipocalimab in participants with active rheumatoid arthritis. Available at: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04991753 (Accessed August 14, 2023).

ClinicalTrials.gov (2021b). A study of nipocalimab administered to adults with generalized myasthenia gravis. Available at: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04951622 (Accessed August 14, 2023).

ClinicalTrials.gov (2021c). A study of nipocalimab in adult participants with active lupus nephritis. Available at: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04883619 (Accessed August 14, 2023).

ClinicalTrials.gov (2021d). A study of nipocalimab in adult participants with active systemic lupus erythematosus. Available at: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04882878 (Accessed August 14, 2023).

ClinicalTrials.gov (2021e). A study of nipocalimab in adults with primary Sjögren's syndrome (pSS). Available at: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04968912 (Accessed August 14, 2023).

ClinicalTrials.gov (2022a). Efficacy and safety study of nipocalimab for adults with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05327114 (Accessed August 14, 2023).

ClinicalTrials.gov (2022b). A study of nipocalimab in participants with active idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (SPIREA). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT05379634 (Accessed August 14, 2023).

Eggert, M., Zettl, U. K., and Neeck, G. (2010). Autoantibodies in autoimmune diseases. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 16, 1634–1643. doi: 10.2174/138161210791164144

Felding, P., Tryding, N., Hyltoft Petersen, P., and Horder, M. (1980). Effects of posture on concentrations of blood constituents in healthy adults: practical application of blood specimen collection procedures recommended by the Scandinavian committee on reference values. *Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest.* 40, 615–621. doi: 10.3109/00365518009091972

Gable, K. L., and Guptill, J. T. (2019). Antagonism of the neonatal fc receptor as an emerging treatment for myasthenia gravis. *Front. Immunol.* 10:3052. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.03052

Gilhus, N. E. (2016). Myasthenia gravis. N. Engl. J. Med. 375, 2570–2581. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMra1602678

Howard, J. F. Jr., Bril, V., Burns, T. M., Mantegazza, R., Bilinska, M., Szczudlik, A., et al. (2019). Randomized phase 2 study of FcRn antagonist efgartigimod in generalized myasthenia gravis. *Neurology* 92, e2661–e2673. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000 0007600

Howard, J. F. Jr., Bril, V., Vu, T., Karam, C., Peric, S., Margania, T., et al. (2021). Safety, efficacy, and tolerability of efgartigimod in patients with generalised myasthenia gravis (ADAPT): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Neurol.* 20, 526–536. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00159-9

Jacob, S., Mazibrada, G., Irani, S. R., Jacob, A., and Yudina, A. (2021). The role of plasma exchange in the treatment of refractory autoimmune neurological diseases: a narrative review. *J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol.* 16, 806–817. doi: 10.1007/s11481-021-10004-9

Kasuya, A., Moriki, M., Tatsuno, K., Hirakawa, S., and Tokura, Y. (2013). Clearance efficacy of autoantibodies in double filtration plasmapheresis for pemphigus foliaceus. *Acta Derm. Venereol.* 93, 181–182. doi: 10.2340/00015555-1444

Kohler, W., Bucka, C., and Klingel, R. (2011). A randomized and controlled study comparing immunoadsorption and plasma exchange in myasthenic crisis. *J. Clin. Apher.* 26, 347–355. doi: 10.1002/jca.20317

Ling, L. E., Hillson, J. L., Tiessen, R. G., Bosje, T., van Iersel, M. P., Nix, D. J., et al. (2019). M281, an anti-FcRn antibody: pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics, and safety across the full range of IgG reduction in a first-in-human study. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 105, 1031–1039. doi: 10.1002/cpt.1276

Ling, L. E., Tyler, S., Beneduce, C. J., Yu, F., Brown, J., Kumar, S., et al. (2022). Selective targeting of FcRn and IgG clearance by nipocalimab preserves key immune functions. *Hematol. Transfus. Cell Ther.* 44:S13. doi: 10.1016/j.htct.2022.09.022

Liu, J. F., Wang, W. X., Xue, J., Zhao, C. B., You, H. Z., Lu, J. H., et al. (2010). Comparing the autoantibody levels and clinical efficacy of double filtration plasmapheresis, immunoadsorption, and intravenous immunoglobulin for the treatment of late-onset myasthenia gravis. *Ther. Apher. Dial.* 14, 153–160. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-9987.2009. 00751.x

Ludwig, R. J., Vanhoorelbeke, K., Leypoldt, F., Kaya, Z., Bieber, K., McLachlan, S. M., et al. (2017). Mechanisms of autoantibody-induced pathology. *Front. Immunol.* 8:603. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00603

Osanan, G. C., Silveira Reis, Z. N., Apocalypse, I. G., Lopes, A. P., Pereira, A. K., da Silva Ribeiro, O. M., et al (2012). Predictive factors of perinatal mortality in transfused fetuses due to maternal alloimmunization: what really matters? *J. Matern. Fetal. Neonatal Med* 25, 1333–1337. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2011.633668

Peter, H. H., Ochs, H. D., Cunningham-Rundles, C., Vinh, D. C., Kiessling, P., Greve, B., et al. (2020). Targeting FcRn for immunomodulation: benefits, risks, and practical considerations. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 146, 479–491.e5. doi: 10.1016/j. jaci.2020.07.016

Pyzik, M., Kozicky, L. K., Gandhi, A. K., and Blumberg, R. S. (2023). The therapeutic age of the neonatal fc receptor. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 23, 415–432. doi: 10.1038/s41577-022-00821-1

Roopenian, D. C., and Akilesh, S. (2007). FcRn: the neonatal fc receptor comes of age. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 7, 715–725. doi: 10.1038/nri2155

Shock, A., Humphreys, D., and Nimmerjahn, F. (2020). Dissecting the mechanism of action of intravenous immunoglobulin in human autoimmune disease: lessons from therapeutic modalities targeting Fcy receptors. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 146, 492–500. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2020.06.036

Strengers, P. F., and Klein, H. G. (2016). Plasma is a strategic resource. *Transfusion* 56, 3133–3137. doi: 10.1111/trf.13913

US Food and Drug Administration (2019). Information about immune globulin (human) product shortage. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/ safety-availability-biologics/information-about-immune-globulin-human-productshortage (Accessed December 6, 2023).

VYVGART<sup>®</sup> (2023). "VYVGART<sup>®</sup> HYTRULO (efgartigimod alfa and hyaluronidaseqvfc) [package insert]. Boston, MA, USA; argenx US, Inc."

# Glossary

AcautesteenAUCare aunder the curveAUCsizedthe time of the last observed nonzero concentrationAUCsizedare aunder the serum concentration-time of (start of infusion) extrapolated to infinityBMIbody mass indexCurvedobserved concentration-time curve from time 0 (start of infusion) extrapolated to infinityCurvedobserved concentration-time curve from time 0 (start of infusion)Curvedobserved concentration at the end of infusionCurvedobserved concentration at the end of infusionCurvedcentracion at the end of infusionCurvedobserved serum concentrationCurvedobserved serum concentrationCurvedcentracion at the end of infusionCurvedobserved serum concentrationCurvedobserved serum concentrationCurvedobserved serum concentrationCurvedobserved serum concentrationCurvedobserved serum concentrationCurvedobserved serum concentrationFARobserved serum concentrationFARserum concentrationFARinstancologobulinFARinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulinFAinstancologobulin <th>AE</th> <th>adverse event</th>	AE	adverse event
Alcsisstetine of the last observed nonzero concentrationAVCsissarea under the serum concentration-time curve from time 0 (start of infusion) extrapolated to infinityBMIboly mass indexCscobserved concentration at the end of infusionClaclaranceCurstanximunobserved serum concentrationCurstcoefficient of variationCVcoefficient of variationEGGelectocardiogramEGAelectocardiogramFRAcoefficient of variationFRAgeneralized mystensia gravisIAGimmonologobalinIAGimmonologobalinIAGintraconscinationIAG		
Note     Note       Research     area under the serum concentration-time curve from time 0 (start of infusion) extrapolated to infinity       BMI     body mass index       Served concentration at the end of infusion     clearner       Curved     clearner       Curved concentration     clearner       Curved     coefficient of variation       CV     coefficient of variation       FRA     intravenosity       FRA     intravenosity       FRA     paraeodynamice       FRA     p		
BMIbody mass indexCmobserved concentration at the end of infusionCLobserved concentration at the end of infusionCLclearaceCmmainum observed serum concentrationCVcefficient of variationECGcleficient of variationECGelectorardiogramFRAfreeporgMGgeneralized mysthenia gravisIAimunoadsorptionIgimunoadsorptionIVGindirenousNGindirenousMIindirenousMIindirenousMIindirenousIVGindirenousMIindirenousPDphamacohamica serveritPLXphamacohamica serveritSAcons adverse eventSAalcifieTAEinternengent adverse eventTAEinternengent adverse eventTaginternengent adverse event	AUC <sub>0-last</sub>	the time of the last observed nonzero concentration
Cscobserved concentration at the end of infusionCLclanaceCscinfum observed serum concentrationCVcoefficient of variationECGclericor at a fuid of an and coefficient of a served serum concentrationECGclericor and coefficient of a served	AUC <sub>0-inf</sub>	area under the serum concentration-time curve from time 0 (start of infusion) extrapolated to infinity
n     n       CL     cleance       CL     cleance       Cmat     maximum observed serum concentration       CV     cefficient of variation       CV     cefficient of variation       EGG     electoratiogram       FGR     electoratiogram       FGR     freeport       gMG     generalized mystenia gravis       IA     minunodostratingravis       IA     minunodostratingra	BMI	body mass index
Cmataxiaum observed serum concentrationCVcoefficient of variationEGGdeficient of variationEGGdefocardiogramFRfor of infusiongMGgeneralized mystemia gravisIAimmunodosprioniIgimmunodosprioniIVGinfurenous <td>C<sub>eoi</sub></td> <td>observed concentration at the end of infusion</td>	C <sub>eoi</sub>	observed concentration at the end of infusion
Image     Image       CV     cefficient of variation       CV     cefficient of variation       ECG     cefectoratiogram       ECG     electrocardiogram       ECG     electrocardiogram       FCN     ceceptor       gMG     electrocardiogram       gMG     generalized mysthenia gravis       IA     immunoadsorption       Ig     immunoglobulin       IV     intarenous       IVG     intarenous       MOI     indid of infusion       PD     indid of infusion       IVEX     paracokinetics       PX     paracokinetics       IVEX     paracokinetics	CL	clearance
ECGelectroardiogramECGelectroardiogramEQend of infusionFcRnFcreeporgMGgeneralized mystenia gravisIAimunoalsorptionIgimunoalsorptionIgimunoalsorptionIVintrarenusIVGintrarenusIVGintrarenusINGindid of infusionIVGphaneodynamicsIVGphaneodynamicsIVGindid of infusionIVGphaneodynamicsI	C <sub>max</sub>	maximum observed serum concentration
Formula     Formula       Formula     Formula       gMG     generalized myashenia gravis       IA     immunoadsorption       Ig     immunoadsorption       Ig     immunoadsorption       IVG     intravenous       IVIG     intravenous       IVIG     intravenous       IVIG     midel of infusion       PD     midel of infusion       PLEX     phrmacokinetics       SAE     plasma exchange       SAE     erious adverse event       SD     adid elviation       trap     textment-emergent adverse event       TEAE     textment-emergent adverse event	CV	coefficient of variation
FcRn     Fcreepor       gMG     genralized myasthenia gravis       IA     imunoadsorption       Ig     imunoadsorption       Ig     imunoaglobulin       IVG     intrenous       IVG     intrenous       IVG     indid of infusion       IVG     indid of infusion       IVG     paracodynamics       IVG     paracodynamics       IVG     paracodynamics       IVG     paracodynamics       IVEX     paracodynamics	ECG	electrocardiogram
gMGgeneralized myashenia gravisIAimmunoadsorptionIAimmunoadsorptionIgimmunoadsorptionINGintravenousIVGintravenousMGImidel of infusionMOImidel of infusionPDpharnacodynamicsPKplasmackineticsSAEserious adverse eventSDstadard deviationfu2haf-fufeTEAEiteratement eventTEAEiteratement eventTmaxiteratement event	EOI	end of infusion
IAimunoadorptionIqimunoglobulinIVintraenousIVIGintraenous imunoglobulinMOIindle of infusionPDpharnacodynamicsPKpharnacodynamicsSAEsensue averateSDsadard extenttuzandal deviationtuzsensue averatetuzsensue averateTaKaintercement averatefuzsensue averatetuzsensue averateTaKaintercement averatetuzintercement averatetuzintercement averateTakaintercement averateTakaintercement averatetuzintercement averate	FcRn	Fc receptor
IgimmoglobulinIVintravenousIVIGintravenous immoglobulinMOImiddeo infusionPDpharnacodynamicsPKpharnacodynamicsPLEXplasma exchangeSAEsrious adverse eventSDsandard deviationt <sub>1/2</sub> haf-lifeTEAEtextenenge eventTeAEtextenenge eventTmaxtite textenenge event	gMG	generalized myasthenia gravis
IVintravenousIVIGintravenous immunoglobulinMOImiddle of infusionPDpharnacodynamicsPKpharnacodynamicsPLEXplasma exchangeSAEsrious adverse eventSDstadard deviationt <sub>1/2</sub> half-lifeTEAEtreatment energent adverse eventTEAEinte or energent adverse eventTmaxinto reatment on concentration	IA	immunoadsorption
IVIGintravenous immunoglobulinMOImiddle of infusionPDpharnacodynamicsPKpharnacodynamicsPLEXplasma exchangeSAEerious adverse eventSDstandard deviationt <sub>1/2</sub> half-lifeTEAEintent-emergent adverse eventTEAEintent-emergent adverse eventTmaxinte to exclusion of the tot event	Ig	immunoglobulin
MOI   middle of infusion     PD   pharnacodynamics     PK   pharnacodynamics     PLEX   plasma exchange     SAE   serious adverse event     SD   standard deviation     t <sub>1/2</sub> half-life     TEAE   reatment gent adverse event     Tmax   tine to react on underset on	IV	intravenous
PD     pharnacodynamics       PK     pharnacodynamics       PK     pharnacodynamics       PLEX     plasmackinetics       SAE     seious adverse event       SD     standard deviation       t <sub>1/2</sub> half-life       TEAE     reatment adverse event       TEAE     inet nemergent adverse event	IVIG	intravenous immunoglobulin
PKpharmacokineticsPLEXplasma exchangeSAEserious adverse eventSDstandard deviationt <sub>1/2</sub> half-lifeTEAEtreatment eventTmaxtine to reach maximum observed serum concentration	MOI	middle of infusion
PLEX plasma exchange   SAE serious adverse event   SD standard deviation   t <sub>1/2</sub> half-life   TEAE treatment-gent adverse event   Tmax time to reach maximum observed serum concentration	PD	pharmacodynamics
SAE serious adverse event   SD standard deviation   t <sub>1/2</sub> half-life   TEAE treatment energent adverse event   T <sub>max</sub> tine to reach maximum observed serum concentration	РК	pharmacokinetics
SD standard deviation   t <sub>1/2</sub> half-life   TEAE treatment envert   Tmax time to reaction underserved serum concentration	PLEX	plasma exchange
t <sub>1/2</sub> haf-life   TEAE treatment-emergent adverse event   T <sub>max</sub> time to reach maximum observed serum concentration	SAE	serious adverse event
TEAE treatment-emergent adverse event   Tmax time to reach maximum observed serum concentration	SD	standard deviation
Tmax time to reach maximum observed serum concentration	t <sub>1/2</sub>	half-life
	TEAE	treatment-emergent adverse event
Vz volume of distribution	T <sub>max</sub>	time to reach maximum observed serum concentration
	Vz	volume of distribution