Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Emilia Butters, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Daniel Milej, Lawson Research Institute, Canada Christos Strubakos, University of Windsor, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Nick W. Bray ⊠ nwbray@mun.ca

RECEIVED 08 January 2025 ACCEPTED 21 March 2025 PUBLISHED 09 April 2025

CITATION

Bray NW, Blaney A and Ploughman M (2025) Shedding light on the brain: guidelines to address inconsistent data collection parameters in resting-state NIRS studies. *Front. Neurosci.* 19:1557471. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2025.1557471

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Bray, Blaney and Ploughman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Shedding light on the brain: guidelines to address inconsistent data collection parameters in resting-state NIRS studies

Nick W. Bray*, Abby Blaney and Michelle Ploughman

Recovery and Performance Lab, Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada

KEYWORDS

methods, experimental design, data analysis, functional neuroimaging, optical tomography, diffuse optics, older adult, dementia

1 Introduction

Butters and colleagues, in their recent and eloquent review, suggest that nearinfrared spectroscopy (NIRS) is a promising tool for exploring brain function across the dementia spectrum (Butters et al., 2023); dementia is a clinical syndrome affecting memory and thinking skills, but we still do not entirely understand the underlying pathophysiology, given the absence of a pharmaceutical cure and the numerous culprits (i.e., protein accumulation, neuronal death, vascular injuries, etc.; Hachinski, 2019; Alzheimer's Association, 2024). The number of studies using NIRS in dementia has increased from \sim 5 per year in 2006 to just over 85 in 2023 (Butters et al., 2023). In brief, NIRS is a non-invasive neuroimaging technique that uses light within the near-infrared range (i.e., \sim 650–1,000 nanometers; Jobsis, 1977) to monitor brain oxygenation and, by extension, brain activity as a result of the hemodynamic response (Ogawa et al., 1990).

In their review, Butters and colleagues examined 88 NIRS studies, including 32 collecting resting-state data and 65 employing a task-based paradigm; several studies acquired data under both conditions (Butters et al., 2023). Broadly, a task-based (i.e., extrinsic activity) condition requires an individual to perform a cognitive and/or physical function test, such as pressing a button in response to a stimulus (Knutson et al., 2000; Linden et al., 1999). Conversely, a resting-state (i.e., intrinsic activity) condition requires an individual to remain stationary and engage in unconstrained mental activity, such as "daydreaming" or "mind wandering" (Biswal et al., 1995; Mason et al., 2007). Each condition provides complementary insight into brain function, and both have been collected as part of cross-sectional (Milham et al., 2002; Bray et al., 2023a), longitudinal (McLaren et al., 2012; Damoiseaux et al., 2012), and interventional (Liu-Ambrose et al., 2012; Bray et al., 2023b) research. However, resting-state NIRS may serve as an indicator of baseline brain activity, as it captures neural signals in the absence of task-related demands, thereby minimizing confounding influences from active task engagement (Boly et al., 2007; Zang et al., 2007); it is worth noting that the concept of "baseline" brain activity is a contentious issue, as others have argued that no such state exists (Morcom and Fletcher, 2007; Gusnard and Raichle, 2001). Further, because resting-state does not require the extra burden of being paired with a task, between-study comparisons are more straightforward.

2 Inconsistent data collection parameters in resting-state NIRS

We reviewed the resting-state studies included in Butters and colleagues' publication and concluded there was considerable inconsistency in how resting-state NIRS data was gathered (Table 1; Butters et al., 2023). Specifically, researchers collected restingstate data anywhere from 60s to 20 min, or, more troubling, the exact length of time was not indicated in nine (\sim 28%) of the 32 studies. Further, we noted variability in the instructions provided to participants regarding eye condition. Specifically, two (\sim 6%) studies instructed participants to keep their eyes open, while nine (\sim 28%) told them to keep their eyes closed. One study instructed participants to alternate between eyes open/closed, and 63% (n =20) did not specify, at least within the reported methods, what participants should do with their eyes. For the three studies that executed an eyes-open condition, the fixation symbol was either not specified or inconsistent between studies. Finally, we noted that the studies employed divergent nomenclature (i.e., "restingstate," "rest period," "baseline," etc.) and instructions regarding what to think about (i.e., "think of nothing in particular," "do not think about anything," etc.). We considered whether such inconsistencies would resolve as the body of research expanded. When investigating the prevalence of these inconsistencies in studies (n = 19) from the last five (i.e., since 2019) years, we found four studies (~21%) did not clarify scan length, and 10 studies (~53%) did not clarify eyes open vs. closed; such values suggest that the inconsistencies persist even in more recent work.

3 Discussion

Research in functional magnetic resonance imaging, the "gold standard for in vivo imaging of the brain" (Klein et al., 2022), supports that methodological details, such as scan length, eye condition, and the fixation symbol, alter study findings. Notably, functional magnetic resonance imaging and NIRS are similar in that they share hemodynamic origins and reveal brain function through neurovascular coupling, albeit by measuring hemoglobin differently; (validation) studies spanning more than a decade suggest that the techniques overlap in their activation and connectivity profiles, yet they are not identical, and this has been attributed to the amount of data collected (i.e., runs), spatial coverage, and-or brain-scalp distance of NIRS (Novi et al., 2023a; Zinos et al., 2024; Cui et al., 2011; Uchitel et al., 2022). Using functional magnetic resonance imaging, Birn and colleagues determined that longer resting-state scans (i.e., 12+ min vs. the "standard" 5-7 min) improved reliability due to an increase in both the time points and scan length (Birn et al., 2013). Weng and colleagues found the brain to be more active and less stable in an eyes-closed condition (Weng et al., 2020). Findings from Patriat and colleagues indicated there is a small but significant difference in network-specific activity when participants fixate on a cross versus a non-fixated eyes-open condition (Patriat et al., 2013). To the best of our knowledge, no systematic review has been executed on scan length, eyes open vs. closed, and/or fixation symbol, but additional work (Han et al., 2023; Agcaoglu et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2013; Zou et al., 2015) further supports the impact of such parameters on resting-state outputs. Together, the evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging suggests that consistent methodology is the first step in meaningful data comparisons. Therefore, while no fault of Butters and colleagues, making direct comparisons between their review's resting-state studies is not just difficult but likely incorrect.

Moving forward with the budding NIRS field, researchers must standardize elements of the data pipeline where possible. Yücel and colleagues have laid the groundwork with their seminal report on best practices (Yucel et al., 2021), while others have looked to bring consistency to specific pipeline parameters (Abdalmalak et al., 2022; Pinti et al., 2018; Tsuzuki and Dan, 2014; Notte et al., 2024); notably, none have addressed the factors highlighted in this work, underscoring its novelty and importance. Despite the two techniques not being identical, functional magnetic resonance imaging could serve as inspiration for standardizing basic, fundamental NIRS resting-state data collection parameters. For example, the Canadian Dementia Imaging Protocol harmonizes imaging acquisitions to study neurodegeneration; it requires resting-state to be acquired for a specific length and, if available, using a fixation cross (Duchesne et al., 2019). To this end, we suggest that researchers use the term "resting-state" when collecting NIRS data where participants are instructed to "let your mind wander freely." Further, researchers collecting resting-state NIRS data should consider doing so for at least 12 min, and anything less should be clearly indicated (i.e., abbreviated resting-state); note that abbreviated resting-state differs from a rest block, which is typically incorporated before and/or after a task. We recommend 12 min as it aligns with previous studies and protocols from functional magnetic resonance imaging (Birn et al., 2013; Duchesne et al., 2019; Gunter et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2024; Abdul Wahab et al., 2022). Importantly, such a recommendation is not based on the data or time points acquired (i.e., machinery); if it were, the superior temporal resolution of resting-state NIRS might allow for a shorter scan length than those completed by functional magnetic resonance imaging. Instead, the 12-min duration is grounded in the theory that the functional connectivity of these resting-state networks evolves on a slow time scale (i.e., human physiology), which may only be partially captured by scans of shorter durations.

Providing suggestions regarding eyes open or closed and the usage and type of fixation symbol is more challenging, as researchers may need to modify these parameters depending on their research question. However, if researchers have no preference, they should consider opting for an eyes-open condition, as participants are more likely to enter a drowsy or early-sleep state with their eyes closed (Allen et al., 2018). Such states affect resting-state output, given that the default mode, one of the three core networks in the triple network model (Menon, 2011), becomes decoupled during sleep (Horovitz et al., 2009). For NIRS studies where participants are instructed to fixate on a symbol, but researchers are indifferent to the type, we suggest using a

Abbreviations: NIRS, near-infrared spectroscopy.

First author, year	Length of scan (minutes)	Eyes condition	Fixation symbol if eyes opened
Babiloni, 2014	2 eyes open and 2 eyes closed	Open and Closed	None specified
Soo Baik, 2021	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Bär, 2007	5	Unclear	Unclear
Bu, 2019	15	Closed	NA
Canario, 2022	11	Closed	NA
Chiarelli, 2021	5	Closed	NA
Fallgatter, 1997	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Ferdinando, 2022	5	Unclear	Unclear
Ghafoor, 2019	4	Unclear	Unclear
Greco, 2021	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Но, 2022	1	Open	White cross on monitor
Keles, 2022	5	Closed	NA
Li, 2018b	11	Closed	NA
Li, 2022	15	Unclear	Unclear
Schwarz, 2004	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Liu, 2014	20	Unclear	Unclear
Marmarelis, 2017	5-6	Unclear	Unclear
Marmarelis, 2021	5	Unclear	Unclear
Morimoto, 2022	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Nguyen, 2019	1	Open	White cross on black background
Niu, 2019	11	Closed	NA
Oyama, 2018	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Tarumi, 2014	5	Unclear	Unclear
Tatsuno, 2021	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Van Beek, 2010	5	Unclear	Unclear
Van Beek, 2012	5	Unclear	Unclear
Viola, 2013	Unclear	Closed	NA
Viola, 2014	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Yang and Hong, 2021	5	Unclear	Unclear
Yang, 2022	8	Closed	NA
Zeller, 2019	5	Closed	NA
Zhang, 2022	10	Unclear	Unclear

TABLE 1 Variability in resting-state near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) parameters.

All included studies were classified as resting-state in the 2023 review from Butters et al. (2023).

white cross on a black background, given its widespread uptake in functional magnetic resonance imaging (Patriat et al., 2013; Agcaoglu et al., 2019).

We offer these suggestions as interim guidelines until a consensus group establishes definitive standards and/or original research demonstrates that scan length, eye condition, and eye fixation symbol do not significantly influence NIRS findings or their interpretations. For example, the optimal scan length is unknown until there exists a direct comparison between various durations. We also welcome alternative suggestions, as they could spark broader discussions and potentially catalyze the formation of said consensus group to define best practices in resting-state NIRS. Overall, our findings indicate significant methodological variability among resting-state NIRS. To enhance transparency and reproducibility, we urge researchers to, at a minimum, clearly report scan length and eye condition moving forward. For studies conducted with eyes open, researchers should explicitly state whether participants were instructed to fixate on a symbol and specify the type of symbol used.

In reality, all parameters that can meaningfully influence the measured signals should be meticulously recorded and reported; this includes but is certainly not limited to: clinical classification, the NIRS device, the preprocessing pipeline, and figures and visualization. In particular, incorporating additional tools to monitor and ultimately account for physiological parameters (i.e., breathing and heart rate, mean arterial pressure, etc.) may be especially important in resting-state versus task-based paradigms, given the variability that can be introduced when activity is not driven by an external stimulus. Previous work has indeed demonstrated that "systemic physiology augmented" NIRS (i.e., SPA-NIRS) impacts study findings and reflects the "gold standard" (Novi et al., 2023b; Scholkmann et al., 2022). Of course, including such external measures is not always feasible or possible, so others have suggested potential workarounds, such as temporally shifting short-channel data (Novi et al., 2023b). Again, we direct the interested reader to the seminal work from Yücel and colleagues for guidance on best practices in reporting (Yucel et al., 2021).

NIRS is an emerging neuroimaging field that is portable, less expensive, and more accessible than (functional) magnetic resonance imaging (Furlano and Nagamatsu, 2020). Restingstate NIRS provides an opportunity to understand the human brain at baseline. Until definitive guidelines are established, adopting interim standards for resting-state NIRS—specifically, collecting data for at least 12 min with participants fixating on a cross in an eyes-open condition—can help reproducibility and facilitate between-study comparisons, ultimately enhancing our understanding of the human brain in those living with and without dementia.

Author contributions

NB: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AB: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. MP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. NB is supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Fellowship (FRN: 489847) and the Health Data Research Network Canada Pragmatic Trials Training Program—Postdoctoral Fellowship Stream. MP is supported by The Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Grant Numbers: 169649 and 173526), Newfoundland and Labrador Research and Development Corporation (Grant Number: 5404.1699.104), Canada Foundation for Innovation (Grant Number: 33621), and the Canada Research Chairs Program (950-232532). Sponsors had no role in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the report and, decision to submit the article for publication.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Abdalmalak, A., Novi, S. L., Kazazian, K., Norton, L., Benaglia, T., Slessarev, M., et al. (2022). Effects of systemic physiology on mapping resting-state networks using functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *Front. Neurosci.* 16:803297. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.803297

Abdul Wahab, N. S., Yahya, N., Yusoff, A. N., Zakaria, R., Thanabalan, J., Othman, E., et al. (2022). Effects of different scan duration on brain effective connectivity among default mode network nodes. *Diagnostics* 12:1277. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics12051277

Agcaoglu, O., Wilson, T. W., Wang, Y. P., Stephen, J., and Calhoun, V. D. (2019). Resting state connectivity differences in eyes open versus eyes closed conditions. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 40, 2488–2498. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24539

Allen, E. A., Damaraju, E., Eichele, T., Wu, L., and Calhoun, V. D. (2018). EEG signatures of dynamic functional network connectivity states. *Brain Topogr.* 31, 101–116. doi: 10.1007/s10548-017-0546-2

Alzheimer's Association (2024). Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement. 20, 3708-3821. doi: 10.1002/alz.13809

Birn, R. M., Molloy, E. K., Patriat, R., Parker, T., Meier, T. B., Kirk, G. R., et al. (2013). The effect of scan length on the reliability of resting-state fMRI connectivity estimates. *Neuroimage* 83, 550–558. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.099 Biswal, B., Yetkin, F. Z., Haughton, V. M., and Hyde, J. S. (1995). Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 34, 537–541. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910340409

Boly, M., Balteau, E., Schnakers, C., Degueldre, C., Moonen, G., Luxen, A., et al. (2007). Baseline brain activity fluctuations predict somatosensory perception in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 104, 12187–12192. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0611404104

Bray, N. W., Pieruccini-Faria, F., Witt, S. T., Bartha, R., Doherty, T. J., Nagamatsu, L. S., et al. (2023b). Combining exercise with cognitive training and vitamin D(3) to improve functional brain connectivity (FBC) in older adults with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). Results from the SYNERGIC trial. *Geroscience* 45, 1967–1985. doi: 10.1007/s11357-023-00805-6

Bray, N. W., Pieruccini-Faria, F., Witt, S. T., Rockwood, K., Bartha, R., Doherty, T. J., et al. (2023a). Frailty and functional brain connectivity (FBC) in older adults with mild cognitive impairment (MCI): baseline results from the SYNERGIC Trial. *Geroscience* 45, 1033–1048. doi: 10.1007/s11357-022-00702-4

Butters, E., Srinivasan, S., O'Brien, J. T., Su, L., and Bale, G. (2023). A promising tool to explore functional impairment in neurodegeneration: a systematic review of near-infrared spectroscopy in dementia. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 90:101992. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2023.101992

Cui, X., Bray, S., Bryant, D. M., Glover, G. H., and Reiss, A. L. (2011). A quantitative comparison of NIRS and fMRI across multiple cognitive tasks. *Neuroimage* 54, 2808–2821. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.069

Damoiseaux, J. S., Prater, K. E., Miller, B. L., and Greicius, M. D. (2012). Functional connectivity tracks clinical deterioration in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging.* 33:828.e19-30. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.06.024

Duchesne, S., Chouinard, I., Potvin, O., Fonov, V. S., Khademi, A., Bartha, R., et al. (2019). The Canadian dementia imaging protocol: harmonizing national cohorts. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging.* 49, 456–465. doi: 10.1002/jmri.26197

Furlano, J. A., and Nagamatsu, L. S. (2020). Feasibility of a 26-week exercise program to improve brain health in older adults at risk for type 2 diabetes: a pilot study. *Can. J. Diabetes.* 45, 546–552. doi: 10.1016/j.jcjd.2020.11.001

Gunter, J., Thostenson, K., Borowski, B., and Reid, R. (2017). ADNI-3 MRI protocol. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 13, 104–105. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2017.06.2411

Gusnard, D. A., and Raichle, M. E. (2001). Searching for a baseline: functional imaging and the resting human brain. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2, 685–694. doi: 10.1038/35094500

Hachinski, V. (2019). Dementia: paradigm shifting into high gear. Alzheimers Dement. 15, 985–994. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2019.01.006

Han, J., Zhou, L., Wu, H., Huang, Y., Qiu, M., Huang, L., et al. (2023). Eyesopen and eyes-closed resting state network connectivity differences. *Brain Sci.* 13:122. doi: 10.3390/brainsci13010122

Horovitz, S. G., Braun, A. R., Carr, W. S., Picchioni, D., Balkin, T. J., Fukunaga, M., et al. (2009). Decoupling of the brain's default mode network during deep sleep. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106, 11376–11381. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0901435106

Jobsis, F. F. (1977). Noninvasive, infrared monitoring of cerebral and myocardial oxygen sufficiency and circulatory parameters. *Science* 198, 1264–1267. doi: 10.1126/science.929199

Klein, F., Debener, S., Witt, K., and Kranczioch, C. (2022). fMRI-based validation of continuous-wave fNIRS of supplementary motor area activation during motor execution and motor imagery. *Sci. Rep.* 12:3570. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-06519-7

Knutson, B., Westdorp, A., Kaiser, E., and Hommer, D. (2000). FMRI visualization of brain activity during a monetary incentive delay task. *NeuroImage* 12, 20–27. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2000.0593

Linden, D. E., Prvulovic, D., Formisano, E., Völlinger, M., Zanella, F. E., Goebel, R., et al. (1999). The functional neuroanatomy of target detection: an fMRI study of visual and auditory oddball tasks. *Cereb. Cortex.* 9, 815–823. doi: 10.1093/cercor/9.8.815

Liu, D., Dong, Z., Zuo, X., Wang, J., and Zang, Y. (2013). Eyes-open/eyes-closed dataset sharing for reproducibility evaluation of resting state fMRI data analysis methods. *Neuroinformatics* 11, 469–476. doi: 10.1007/s12021-013-9187-0

Liu-Ambrose, T., Nagamatsu, L. S., Voss, M. W., Khan, K. M., and Handy, T. C. (2012). Resistance training and functional plasticity of the aging brain: a 12-month randomized controlled trial. *Neurobiol. Aging.* 33, 1690–1698. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.05.010

Ma, L., Braun, S. E., Steinberg, J. L., Bjork, J. M., Martin, C. E., Keen Ii, L. D., et al. (2024). Effect of scanning duration and sample size on reliability in resting state fMRI dynamic causal modeling analysis. *Neuroimage* 292:120604. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2024.120604

Mason, M. F., Norton, M. I., Van Horn, J. D., Wegner, D. M., Grafton, S. T., Macrae, C. N., et al. (2007). Wandering minds: the default network and stimulus-independent thought. *Science* 315, 393-395. doi: 10.1126/science. 1131295

McLaren, D. G., Sreenivasan, A., Diamond, E. L., Mitchell, M. B., Van Dijk, K. R., Deluca, A. N., et al. (2012). Tracking cognitive change over 24 weeks with longitudinal functional magnetic resonance imaging in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurodegener Dis.* 9, 176–186. doi: 10.1159/000335876

Menon, V. (2011). Large-scale brain networks and psychopathology: a unifying triple network model. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 15, 483–506. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2011.08.003

Milham, M. P., Erickson, K. I., Banich, M. T., Kramer, A. F., Webb, A., Wszalek, T., et al. (2002). Attentional control in the aging brain: insights from an fMRI study of the stroop task. *Brain Cogn.* 49, 277–296. doi: 10.1006/brcg.2001.1501

Morcom, A. M., and Fletcher, P. C. (2007). Does the brain have a baseline? Why we should be resisting a rest. *Neuroimage* 37, 1073-1082. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.09.013

Notte, C., Alionte, C., and Strubakos, C. D. (2024). The efficacy and methodology of using near-infrared spectroscopy to determine resting-state brain networks. *J. Neurophysiol.* 131, 668–677. doi: 10.1152/jn.00357.2023

Novi, S., Abdalmalak, A., Kazazian, K., Norton, L., Debicki, D., Mesquita, R., et al. (2023b). Improved short-channel regression for mapping resting-state functional connectivity networks using functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *bioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2023.06.12.543244

Novi, S. L., Carvalho, A. C., Forti, R. M., Cendes, F., Yasuda, C. L., Mesquita, R. C., et al. (2023a). Revealing the spatiotemporal requirements for accurate subject identification with resting-state functional connectivity: a simultaneous fNIRS-fMRI study. *Neurophotonics* 10:13510. doi: 10.1117/1.NPh.10.1.013510

Ogawa, S., Lee, T. M., Kay, A. R., and Tank, D. W. (1990). Brain magnetic resonance imaging with contrast dependent on blood oxygenation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 87, 9868–9872. doi: 10.1073/pnas.87.24.9868

Patriat, R., Molloy, E. K., Meier, T. B., Kirk, G. R., Nair, V. A., Meyerand, M. E., et al. (2013). The effect of resting condition on resting-state fMRI reliability and consistency: a comparison between resting with eyes open, closed, and fixated. *NeuroImage* 78, 463–473. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.013

Pinti, P., Scholkmann, F., Hamilton, A., Burgess, P., and Tachtsidis, I. (2018). Current status and issues regarding pre-processing of fNIRS neuroimaging data: an investigation of diverse signal filtering methods within a general linear model framework. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 12:505. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00505

Scholkmann, F., Tachtsidis, I., Wolf, M., and Wolf, U. (2022). Systemic physiology augmented functional near-infrared spectroscopy: a powerful approach to study the embodied human brain. *Neurophotonics* 9:30801. doi: 10.1117/1.NPh.9.3.030801

Tsuzuki, D., and Dan, I. (2014). Spatial registration for functional near-infrared spectroscopy: from channel position on the scalp to cortical location in individual and group analyses. *Neuroimage* 85, 92–103. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.07.025

Uchitel, J., Blanco, B., Vidal-Rosas, E., Collins-Jones, L., and Cooper, R. J. (2022). Reliability and similarity of resting state functional connectivity networks imaged using wearable, high-density diffuse optical tomography in the home setting. *Neuroimage* 263:119663. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119663

Weng, Y., Liu, X., Hu, H., Huang, H., Zheng, S., Chen, Q., et al. (2020). Open eyes and closed eyes elicit different temporal properties of brain functional networks. *NeuroImage* 2020:222. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117230

Yucel, M. A., Luhmann, A. V., Scholkmann, F., Gervain, J., Dan, I., Ayaz, H., et al. (2021). Best practices for fNIRS publications. *Neurophotonics* 8:12101. doi: 10.1117/1.NPh.8.1.012101

Zang, Y. F., He, Y., Zhu, C. Z., Cao, Q. J., Sui, M. Q., Liang, M., et al. (2007). Altered baseline brain activity in children with ADHD revealed by resting-state functional MRI. *Brain Dev.* 29, 83–91. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2006.07.002

Zinos, A., Wagner, J. C., Beardsley, S. A., Chen, W. L., Conant, L., Malloy, M., et al. (2024). Spatial correspondence of cortical activity measured with whole head fNIRS and fMRI: Toward clinical use within subject. *Neuroimage* 290:120569. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2024.120569

Zou, Q., Miao, X., Liu, D., Wang, D. J., Zhuo, Y., Gao, J. H., et al. (2015). Reliability comparison of spontaneous brain activities between BOLD and CBF contrasts in eyes-open and eyes-closed resting states. *Neuroimage* 121, 91–105. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.044