



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Frontiers Editorial Office
✉ research.integrity@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 06 June 2025

ACCEPTED 06 June 2025

PUBLISHED 13 June 2025

CITATION

Frontiers Editorial Office (2025) Retraction:
Apolipoprotein E-mimetic peptide COG1410
promotes autophagy by phosphorylating
GSK-3 β in early brain injury following
experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage.
Front. Neurosci. 19:1642430.
doi: 10.3389/fnins.2025.1642430

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an
open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Retraction: Apolipoprotein E-mimetic peptide COG1410 promotes autophagy by phosphorylating GSK-3 β in early brain injury following experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage

Frontiers Editorial Office*

A Retraction of the Original Research Article

[Apolipoprotein E-mimetic peptide COG1410 promotes autophagy by phosphorylating GSK-3 \$\beta\$ in early brain injury following experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage](#)

by Li, X., Peng, J., Pang, J., Wu, Y., Huang, X., Li, Y., Zhou, J., Gu, L., Sun, X., Chen, L., Vitek, M. P., and Jiang, Y. (2018). *Front. Neurosci.* 12:127. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00127

The publisher retracts the article cited above.

Following publication, concerns were raised regarding the integrity of the images in the published figures. The authors failed to provide the raw data or a satisfactory explanation during the investigation, which was conducted in accordance with Frontiers' policies. Given the concerns about the validity of the data, and the lack of raw data, the editors no longer have confidence in the findings presented in the article.

This retraction was approved by the Chief Executive Editor of Frontiers. The authors received a communication regarding the retraction and had a chance to respond. This communication has been recorded by the publisher.