Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hui Han, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

REVIEWED BY Lingbing Zeng, Yangtze River Fisheries Research Institute (CAFS), China Sweta Ghosh, University of Louisville, United States Qingyun Yan, Sun Yat-sen University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jianguo Xiang 2507467211@qq.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nutrition and Microbes, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 15 August 2022 ACCEPTED 21 October 2022 PUBLISHED 07 November 2022

CITATION

Hou J, Xiang J, Li D, Liu X and Pan W (2022) Gut microbial response to host metabolic phenotypes. *Front. Nutr.* 9:1019430. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1019430

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Hou, Xiang, Li, Liu and Pan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Gut microbial response to host metabolic phenotypes

Jinliang Hou¹, Jianguo Xiang^{1*}, Deliang Li¹, Xinhua Liu¹ and Wangcheng Pan²

¹College of Animal Science and Technology, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha, China, ²Changde Dabeinong Feed Co. Ltd., Changde, China

A large number of studies have proved that biological metabolic phenotypes exist objectively and are gradually recognized by humans. Gut microbes affect the host's metabolic phenotype. They directly or indirectly participate in host metabolism, physiology and immunity through changes in population structure, metabolite differences, signal transduction and gene expression. Obtaining comprehensive information and specific identification factors associated with gut microbiota and host metabolic phenotypes has become the focus of research in the field of gut microbes, and it has become possible to find new and effective ways to prevent or treat host metabolic diseases. In the future, precise treatment of gut microbes will become one of the new therapeutic strategies. This article reviews the content of gut microbes and carbohydrate, amino acid, lipid and nucleic acid metabolic phenotypes, including metabolic intermediates, mechanisms of action, latest research findings and treatment strategies, which will help to understand the relationship between gut microbes and host metabolic phenotypes and the current research status.

KEYWORDS

metabolic phenotype, gut microbiome, nutrient metabolism, disease control, microbial metabolites

Introduction

Biological metabolic phenotype is based on the analysis of cell types, biological fluids and biological tissues, and uses a variety of parameters to approximately describe the organism in a specific physiological state (1). Johnson et al. (2) included genetic, environmental and gut microbial information into the biological metabolic phenotype for the first time in 2012. This new concept can describe the biological metabolic phenotype more accurately. The so-called biological metabolic phenotype is mainly determined by the organism's genome, intestinal flora, its environment (including stress, diet, and lifestyle) and its intake of foreign substances (including drugs, cosmetics, environmental pollution, and food) (2). It is commonly described by four indexes, including the presence or absence of metabolites, the concentration of metabolites, the ratio between metabolites, and the overall information of metabolites (1).

Hou et al.

Gut microbes are one of the important factors that determine the biological metabolic phenotype. Their metabolites and components directly affect the host's nutrient absorption and development, and affect the host's health by promoting the development of the host's epithelial tissue and the immune system (3). In turn, the host's living environment, nutrient levels, developmental stage and health status influence the composition of the gut microbiome.

The types, concentrations, ratios and overall information of metabolites vary with the composition of gut microbes. Different microbial metabolites play different metabolic roles, and thus make the host present different metabolic phenotypes. For example, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and Eubacterium rectale produce butyrate; Probiotics such as Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, and 7a-dehydroxybacteria such as *Clostridium*, exhibit bile acid resistance associated with glycolytic activation; Gram-positive bacteria are more sensitive to bile acids than Gram-negative bacteria; Bile acids directly and rapidly affect the metabolism of bacteria, including membrane damage, disruption of amino acid, nucleotide and carbohydrate metabolism, and short-term exposure to bile acids significantly affects host metabolism by altering bacterial community structure (4). Some studies have changed the relative abundance of Escherichia, Romboutsia, Intestinibacter, and Clostridium in the gut through the intervention of external drugs (metformin), which leads the alterations of the concentrations of metabolites such as carbohydrates, amino acids, and fatty acids in the gut (5). The metformin also affects energy metabolism, gluconeogenesis, and branched-chain amino acid metabolism, hindering host hypoglycemic-related metabolic pathways (5). Therefore, to study the impact of gut microbes on host metabolic phenotypes, high attention should be paid to comprehensive information on gut microbiota composition and its specific metabolites.

The gut microbiota regulates host metabolism, including carbohydrate, amino acid, lipid and nucleic acid metabolism. So far, there have been a lot of researches on the influence of gut microbes on host metabolism, but most of them focus on the dominant flora and its metabolites. Therefore, comprehensive information about the metabolic phenotype of gut microbiota to the host yet to be fully explored.

Gut microbes influence host metabolic phenotype through metabolites

The metabolites of gut microbes are very rich, such as a variety of short-chain fatty acids (acetate, propionate, and butyrate, etc.), alcohol, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen. They can utilize their respective metabolites to ensure gut viability and influence the development of the host immune system, homeostasis, and function through nutrient- and metabolitedependent mechanisms (**Table 1**) (6). For example, *Blautia* spp. can convert carbon dioxide plus hydrogen to acetate; *Methanobrevibacter* convert carbon dioxide plus hydrogen to methane; *Desulfovibrio* convert sulfate to hydrogen sulfide (7, 8). These metabolites directly or indirectly affect the response of host immune and promote or delay the occurrence of their own diseases.

Fiber and bacterial metabolites affect host and gut health by modulating inflammation, glucose and lipid metabolism (9). For example, bacterial metabolites short-chain fatty acids have anti-inflammatory effects: Butyrate produced by Clostridium butyricum (10) stimulates intestinal epithelial cells and antigen presentation to produce cytokines such as TGF-β, IL-10, IL-18, (11) and reduces mild inflammation, glucose metabolism imbalance and insulin resistance in the host (12); Propionate can fight lipogenesis, lower cholesterol (13), inhibit colon cancer cell proliferation (14, 15) and induce T cells to differentiate into T regulatory cells (16). At the same time, it can control weight by stimulating satiety (17), and be absorbed by intestinal epithelial cells to improve the integrity of host intestinal epithelial cells (18). Propionate alone also reduces intra-abdominal tissue hyperplasia and lipid content in liver cells in overweight adults (19). Studies have confirmed that gut microbes can produce propionate by metabolizing carbohydrates such as L-rhamnose, D-tagatose, Resistant starch, Inulin, Polydextrose, Arabinoxylans, Arabinoxylan oligosaccharides, Mannooligosaccharides, and Laminarans (17); Acetate and propionate can inhibit Tolllike receptor (TLR4) stimulation to mediate the production of proinflammatory cytokines (16, 20), and the ratio of propionate to acetate determines whether propionate inhibits the conversion of acetate to cholesterol and fat (21, 22); Pyruvate mainly comes from carbohydrate metabolism, and can be further metabolized by the microbiota into succinic acid, lactic acid or acetyl-CoA to produce short-chain fatty acids, which provide energy for the normal functioning of the body (16, 18).

When the metabolites interacting with the intestinal flora are disordered, it also leads to increased intestinal permeability, bacterial endotoxin and increased harmful substances absorbed into the liver through the portal system, thereby affecting the metabolism of carbohydrates and lipids in the liver and exacerbating the imbalance between pro-inflammatory factors and anti-inflammatory effectors (23-25). Eventually, it leads to the development of metabolic fatty liver disease, infectious diseases and certain neurological diseases (26-29). For example, The deconjugation, oxidation/epimerization, (7- α -) dehydroxylation and esterification of bile acids (30) by the intestinal microbiota can dramatically change their physicochemical properties and subsequently affect their microbial toxicity and intestinal absorption (31). In addition, the metabolites enhance intestinal reabsorption by uncoupling BAs through microbial bile salt hydrolase (32); Some probiotic

Metabolites	Related bacteria	Potential biological functions	References
Short-chain fatty acids: propionate, butyrate, acetate, hexanoate, valerate	Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Eubacterium rectale, Clostridium butyricum, Blautia spp., Bifidobacterium, lactobacillus, Allobaculum, Roseburia, Butyrivibrio, Dorea, and Blautia genera	Provides energy for body functions and is associated with cholesterol synthesis, inflammation, obesity, imbalances in glucose metabolism, colorectal cancer and insulin resistance.	(7, 8, 13, 16–18, 20–22, 187, 214)
Bile acids	Lactobacillus, Bifidobacteria, Clostridium, Clostridioides, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Akkermansia muciniphila, Bifidobacteria, Enterobacter	Regulates the balance of triglyceride, cholesterol and glucose metabolism, maintains intestinal barrier function and promotes lipid metabolism and absorption.	(4, 30, 34, 180)
Phenolic, benzoyl, and phenyl derivatives: 5-hydroxytryptophan, phenylalanine, tyrosine	Lactobacillus, Some species of the genera Clostridium, Rumenococcus and Eubacterium.	Related to tryptophan metabolism, brain-gut axis.	(174–176, 197, 206)
Indole derivatives: indoleacetate, indole, melatonin, serotonin, 5-hydroxyindole	Lactococcus, Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella	Implicated in gastrointestinal pathologies, brain-gut axis, and a few neurological conditions.	(137, 179, 180)
Lipids: triglycerides, cholesterol, Lipopolysaccharide	Lactiplantibacillus, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Akkermansia muciniphila, Bifidobacterium, Klebsiella, Lactobacillus	Cholesterol is the basis for sterol and bile acid production. Lipopolysaccharide induces chronic systemic inflammation; Regulate carbohydrate and lipid metabolism.	(21, 22, 35, 38, 39, 62, 75, 76, 101)

TABLE 1 Gut bacteria and the metabolites they contribute.

bacteria such as *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* and 7α dehydroxylating bacteria such as *Clostridium scindens* show bile acid resistance that is associated with activation of glycolysis (4).

The use of antibiotics can disrupt the gut microbiota structure and the formation of microbial metabolites, eventually affecting the host health. For example, the antibiotics can disrupt the commensal microbiota that converts primary bile acids into secondary bile acids (33–35), and the gradual accumulation of primary bile acids promotes the germination of *Clostridioides difficile spores* (a spore-forming Gram-positive bacterium and the causative agent of antibiotic-associated diarrhea), bacterial replication and the production of colitismediating enterotoxins, which induce host diarrhea and enteritis (31). Importantly, the gut microbial metabolites are one of the important components of host immune system. A large number of studies have shown that the metabolic phenotype of most hosts could be observed during the changes of intestinal microorganisms and their metabolites.

Gut microbial responses to carbohydrate metabolism phenotypes

Many gut microbes can directly metabolize carbohydrates. *Lactobacillus plantarum* presents a stronger carbohydrate utilization capability (36–39), which also plays a certain role in maintaining the balance of gastrointestinal flora, improving self-immunity of host, promoting effective absorption of nutrients, reducing cholesterol content, and alleviating lactose intolerance (40). In addition to metabolizing exogenous carbohydrates by gut microbes, endogenous carbohydrates released in the gut

mucus are also a constant source of nutrients for the microbiota, which are decomposed and used as components for synthesizing bacterial cell walls, thereby affecting host mucosal immunity (41); Host intestinal epithelial cells also obtain nutrients from microbial metabolism. When nutrients are deficient, microbes undergo autophagy, and some bacteria have evolved a sugardecomposing lifestyle to escape competition (18). This direct metabolism can not only supply the growth and development needs of the host, but also enhance the disease resistance of the host to a certain extent.

Gut microbes are one of the important sources of carbohydrate metabolizing enzymes. Carbohydrate metabolizing enzymes are highly correlated with the abundance of certain gut flora (e.g., *Bacteroides*, *Prevotella*) (42). Studies have reported that a large number of carbohydrate-activating enzymes are found in the human gut flora, such as *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, which has 260 glycoside hydrolases in its genome (43, 44). Human cells rarely produce these enzymes, which rely on gut microbes for energy production from remaining complex carbohydrates (45, 46). Gut microbes are not only dependent on the host, but also influence the balance of the host gut microbial ecology. Intestinal microorganisms produce metabolic enzymes that improve the host's ability to digest complex carbohydrates and promote the growth and reproduction of the corresponding flora.

The structure, metabolites and derived metabolites of the host gut flora are altered by the ingestion of different types and levels of carbohydrates, which in turn produce different metabolic phenotypes. The gut microbiota is very sensitive to the subtle structural differences between insoluble (47) and soluble (48) plant fibers, and different fiber structures correspond to specific microbial taxa (49). Shi et al. (50) found

that supplementation with microbially available carbohydrates ameliorated cognitive impairment in obese mice induced by chronic high-fat and fiber-deficient diets. Low-fiber diets cause increased by-products of proteolytic fermentation, resulting in altered microbiota and its derived metabolites (increased fecal branched-chain amino acids and decreased short-chain fatty acids), impaired colonic epithelium and intestinal mucosal detoxification, and increased inflammation (51, 52). The longterm low-carbohydrate diet in traditional Western societies has led to changes in the composition of the intestinal flora. The production of short-chain fatty acids in the metabolites of the intestinal flora is less, which cannot meet the requirements of reducing inflammation, and the incidence of diseases is significantly increased (53). Increasing the content of resistant starch (RS) in the diet increases the content of short-chain fatty acids in the human gut and feces, and the gut microbiota also changes (54, 55). For example, adding RS2 can increase the abundance of Eubacterium rectale and Ruminococcus bromii (56, 57); RS3 can alter the abundance of Lachnospiraceae, Faecalibacterium, Alistipes, and Bifidobacterium; RS4 can increase the relative numbers of R. bromii L2-63, Parabacteroides distasonis 8503, E. rectale 17629, and B. adolescentis IVS-1 (47); RS5 has potential prebiotic activity, and addition of RS5 significantly increases the relative abundance of Bifidobacterium, Dialister, Collinsella, Romboutsia, and Megamonas (58). Recent evidence from molecular ecology has also shown that the amount and type of non-digestible carbohydrates (e.g., resistant starch, nonstarch polysaccharides, and prebiotics) influences the species composition of the intestinal microbiota both in short-term dietary interventions and in response to habitual long-term dietary intake (59). For example, supplementation of the diet with specific polysaccharides can promote the growth of Bifidobacteria, Lactobacilli, or butyric acid-producing bacteria, short-chain fatty acids production, lowering pH, and lead to inhibitory effects toward pathogens (9); A diet with low fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides and polyols (FODMAP) diet can enrich a large amount of sugar catabolizing bacteria, reduce the frequency of abdominal pain, and treat intestinal allergies (60); Study has also shown that gut microbiome biomarkers might be associated with low FODMAP diet efficacy (42). Different types of carbohydrates select the corresponding intestinal dominant flora for the host, producing different metabolites that affect the host's development and immune system. Resistant starch can reduce the proportion of pathogenic bacteria to a certain extent and enhance the host's resistance to disease, which is promising in cultivating intestinal probiotics and regulating host immunity.

The latest research reports that the link between the genes encoding carbohydrate-active enzymes and the host can be used as a tool to predict whether carbohydrates can be metabolized, and guide the restoration of the ecological balance of the host's intestinal flora, transplantation of flora and supplementation of probiotics (61). The correlation analysis between the expressions of regulatory genes and sugar metabolism genes showed that some regulatory genes were correlated with most of the sugar metabolism genes, suggesting that some two-component systems might be involved in the regulation of sugar metabolism (62). Jia et al. (12) also found that individuals with type 2 diabetes (T2D) also had altered gut microbiota. And the detection of genes related to sugar and amino acid metabolism in their gut microbiota found that the abundance of related genes was significantly reduced, indicating that the abundance of these depleted genes can be used as potential biomarkers to identify obese individuals at high risk of developing T2D (12).

Gut microbial responses to lipid metabolism phenotypes

Lipid metabolism includes four categories: triglyceride metabolism, phospholipid metabolism, cholesterol metabolism and blood lipid metabolism, and one of the metabolic pathways is blocked, it can cause lipid metabolism disorders (63). Disorders of lipid metabolism can cause damage to host vascular endothelial cells, abnormal proliferation of smooth muscle cells, enhanced coagulation activity, inhibition of the fibrinolytic system (64), and excessive levels of total cholesterol or triglycerides in serum (65). The most intuitive phenotypes of lipid metabolism disorders in host are obesity and hyperlipidemia (64), which induce thrombosis and complications such as atherosclerosis, coronary heart disease and other cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases (66).

The role of gut microbes in host lipid metabolism is irreplaceable. It regulates the absorption and metabolism of lipids in the host, mainly by affecting bile acid metabolism, producing short-chain fatty acids and regulating the enteroendocrine system. Clinical studies have found that Lactobacillus rhamnosus is a classic probiotic, which can improve metabolism-related fatty liver disease by regulating intestinal flora, improving intestinal mucosal barrier and lowering cholesterol (67). Oral Akkermansia muciniphila supplementation can significantly improve insulin sensitivity and reduce insulinemia and total plasma cholesterol (68); Abnormal levels of one or both of Bifidobacterium and Bacteroidetes in the intestinal flora can cause hyperlipidemia (69-71); With the decreasing abundance of probiotics such as Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus and Faecalibacterium genus in the intestines of most patients with hyperlipidemia and the increasing content of Enterobacteriaceae family and Enterococcus genus the lipopolysaccharide in the body will accumulate due to increased secretion or slowed metabolism (66, 72). Then, a part of the accumulated lipopolysaccharide enters the blood to cause endotoxemia and inflammatory response, and the inflammatory response also aggravates the symptoms of lipid metabolism disorder, resulting in a vicious

circle (66, 72); Most diabetic patients have intestinal flora imbalance, and it will affect lipid metabolism and promote the occurrence and development of diabetes (73-75); Pig gut bacteria Prevotella is the core microbe that dominates fat deposition. Its abundance is positively correlated with feed intake, and significantly negatively correlated with pig carcass lean meat percentage. Induced by a high-fat diet, mice colonized with Prevotelle developed severe adipose tissue deposition (76). Studies have shown that Prevotelle can induce chronic inflammation in the body by activating Toll-like receptor 4 and mammalian target protein signaling pathway of rapamycin, hindering the normal operation of the body's physiological metabolism, thereby aggravating fat inflammation and deposition (77). Lipid metabolism is an important and complex biochemical reaction in the host. Gut microbes can play a role in regulating lipid metabolism, both directly by breaking down lipids and through the production of other metabolites.

Prebiotics or probiotics can modulate lipid metabolism to improve metabolic syndrome and treat diseases such as obesity and diabetes associated with dysbiosis of the gut microbiome (78, 79). Prebiotics can promote the growth of beneficial bacteria such as *Bifidobacteria* and *Lactobacillus*, which are metabolized into lactic acid and short-chain fatty acids in the large intestine, improving host physiology, especially gastrointestinal health (80–82); Studies by Cani et al. (83) have shown that the regulation of intestinal flora by prebiotics such as *Fructooligosaccharides* can increase the content of *Bifidobacteria* in the host intestine and prevent hyperlipidemiainduced metabolic diseases such as diabetes and obesity.

The lipid structure of the diet affects the composition of the gut microbiota, which in turn affects lipid metabolism and host health. High-fat diet has been recognized as a major determinant of obesity, and the gut microbiota plays an important role in this phenotype (84). High-fat diet can induce enrichment of opportunistic pathogens such as Betaproteobacteria (85), Clostridium bolteae, Desulfovibrio sp. (86), and Enterobacter cloacae (87); Regular consumption of functional foods rich in fiber, polyphenols and polysaccharides can reduce the risk of cardiometabolic diseases, and their metabolites may inhibit pathogenic bacteria and stimulate the growth of beneficial bacteria (88); Caesar et al. (89) validated that pro-inflammatory Bilophila wadsworthia thrived on a lard-based diet, while Lactobacillus and Akkermansia muciniphila thrived on a fish oil-based diet; Feeding Auricularia auricula polysaccharid can increase the abundance of intestinal flora in rats, such as Parabacteroides (90), and Auricularia auricula polysaccharid can also play a role by inhibiting the absorption of external fat and enhancing the metabolism of liver fat (91); Lesel et al. (92) fed rainbow trout with low-fat and high-fat diets and found that the main bacterial groups in the feces of the lowfat group were only Acinetobacter spp. and Enterobacteria, while the high-fat group had higher bacterial diversity, mainly

including Acinetobacter spp., Enterobacteria, Flavobacterium spp., Pseudomonas spp., and Coryneforms; In the high-fat-fed mice, the content of Bacteroidetes increased significantly at 1 week, and the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes changed from 0.86 to 1.77 after 8 weeks. At the same time, fat deposition and intestinal dysbiosis were also found, the content of Verrucobacterium was significantly increased, while the E. coli was significantly decreased (93); Recent studies have shown that fasting can reduce host serum lipid levels and improve hepatic steatosis by reducing the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes and increasing the abundance of Allobaculum in the host, resulting in improving metabolic disorders and intestinal flora imbalance caused by high-fat diet (94). The effects of an imbalance in the host's flora reflect the problems caused by an imbalance in diet, and a balanced diet is one of the ways in which the host can ensure that all of its physiological functions are functioning properly. Too high or too low a lipid intake will eventually upset the existing balance.

External environmental conditions affect the structure of gut microbiota, which in turn affects host lipid metabolism. Some studies have found that the structure of the intestinal flora of carp exposed to copper has changed significantly, and the abundance of some short-chain fatty acid-producing species (such as Allobaculum, Blautia, etc.) has decreased significantly (95). Moreover, liver fat synthesis genes were significantly down-regulated, and the expression of lipolysis-related genes was significantly increased. It can be inferred that there is a significant correlation between changes in intestinal flora structure and lipid metabolism (95); Under chronic hypoxia, the intestinal microbiota composition and mucosal morphology of Macrobrachium nipponense are changed, which affects the metabolic enzyme activity of liver, reduces the content of intestinal short-chain fatty acids, affects the immune enzyme activity and inhibits the immune response, thus affecting the intestinal health (96).

Artificial regulation of intestinal flora structure can effectively improve host lipid metabolism and control obesity. Serum lipid levels in patients with hyperlipidemia are significantly correlated with changes in intestinal dominant flora, suggesting that adjusting the structure of intestinal dominant flora can improve serum lipid levels in patients (66). Antibiotics have been reported to disrupt gut microbial structure and gut immune cell composition, resulting in abnormal lipid metabolism. This reflects the importance of promoting antibiotic-free farming in modern farming, which is the ultimate choice in microbial treatment strategies. Monascus vinegar can lower body weight, total cholesterol, and triglycerides as well as ameliorating hyperlipidemia by regulating Peroxisome proliferator-activated α (PPAR α)-, Nuclear factor-E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2)-, and Nuclear factor kB (NF-kB)-mediated signals and modulating the gut microbiota composition in hyperlipidemia rats (97); Octylphenol is a widely distributed endocrine disruptor, which can affect the expression level of genes related to fat digestion and absorption, and change the structure of intestinal flora, gradually reduce the ratio of Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes, and destroy lipid metabolism (98). The artificial addition of biological agents to alter the microbiological structure of the gut to improve disease is increasingly recognized. The ratio of *Firmicutes* to *Bacteroidetes* in the gut microbiota of patients with type 2 diabetes is altered to absorb higher energy for insulin resistance (45, 46); Regulating the content of glucagon and insulin in blood can also improve lipid metabolism disorder and intestinal flora structure, effectively preventing and treating type 2 diabetes (99). Currently, diabetes is treated with extracorporeal insulin injections. Finding insulin-secreting microorganisms and colonizing them in the gut would be a historic advance in the fight against diabetes.

Gut microbial responses to amino acid metabolic phenotypes

Intestinal microorganisms can extensively metabolize a variety of amino acids, such as Histidine, Lysine, Threonine, Arginine, Glutarnine, Leucine, Isoleucine, and Valine, etc., with a metabolic rate of more than 50% (100, 101). When the host metabolizes amino acids, gut microbes secrete enzymes to assist amino acid metabolism, while amino acid metabolites of some microorganisms [e.g., histamine; immunomodulatory signaling; alpha-aminobutyric acid (102); putrescine] can also be involved in immunity, anti-inflammatory and other pathological mechanisms (such as improving obesity, diabetes) (103). The metabolize of amino acids by gut microbes also contribute to the survival and value-added of the microbiota and the further synthesize bacterial components (104).

Gut microbes can synthesize a variety of amino acids. Dietary amino acids can be absorbed and metabolized by intestinal cells for life activities and protein synthesis, and can also be metabolized and decomposed by intestinal microbes to maintain the ecology of intestinal microbes, meet the host's amino acid requirements, and regulate the host's inflammation and immune levels. Gut microbes such as Streptococcus bovis, Selenomonas ruminantium, and Prevotella bryantii can synthesize amino acids at physiological peptide concentrations and integrate them into host proteins (105, 106); The most abundant BCAAs, valine, isoleucine and leucine, are essential amino acids synthesized by plants, fungi and bacteria, particularly by members of the gut microbiota (107); Gut bacteria can produce aspartic-, cysteine-, serine-, and metalloproteases, in a typical fecal sample, these bacterial enzymes are far outnumbered by proteases arising from human cells (108).

The difference in the amount of essential amino acids measured by N balance method and tracer-derived statistics also indicates that in addition to diet, the gastrointestinal microbiota can also synthesize essential amino acids to meet host requirements (109). Gut microbes synthesize amino acids not only to deliver energy but also to maintain a reduced cofactor cycle (109).

Amino acids and their metabolites are integral components of host nutrition and synthetic immunity, and they play a role in maintaining mammalian homeostasis by regulating protein synthesis, glucose and lipid metabolism, insulin resistance, hepatocyte proliferation, and immunity (107). For example, L-tryptophan (Trp) is an important component of protein, and can be converted into a variety of substances (such as serotonin, melatonin, indole, kynurenine) as a metabolic substrate. It plays an important role in the onset of neurological diseases and immune regulation such as intestinal tolerance, balance between intestinal microbiota, hinder depression, chronic fatigue syndrome and physical mobility disorder (110-113); Endogenous Trp metabolites (kynurenines, serotonin, and melatonin), and bacterial Trp metabolites (indole, indolic acid, skatole, and tryptamine) also have profound effects on gut microbial composition, microbial metabolism, the host's immune system, the host-microbiome interface, and host immune system-intestinal microbiota interactions (114); Aromatic amino acids are metabolized by gut microbes and their products can serve as signaling molecules in host physiology (115).

Try metabolism has a central role in host health. Host genes can directly or indirectly modulate the production of microbial Trp metabolites and affect the composition and function of the gut microbiota (114). Shotgun metagenomics data shows that host hepatic steatosis and metabolism are associated with an imbalance in aromatic and branchedchain amino acid metabolism (116). The phenotype of host metabolic disturbances in the gut microbiota has been found preclinically and clinically to be a reduced ability of microorganisms to metabolize tryptophan as an aromatic hydrocarbon agonist (117). When the activation of the aromatic hydrocarbon pathway is defective, host GLP-1 and IL-22 production is reduced, intestinal permeability is altered, and lipopolysaccharide translocation is promoted, leading to host inflammation, insulin resistance, and hepatic steatosis (118). When changes in host tissue homeostasis require an immune response, gut microbiota can directly or indirectly activate host aryl hydrocarbon receptors and pregnane X receptors through derivatives produced by tryptophan metabolism (indole and its compounds) (119). A tryptophan-rich diet increases Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor (AhP) mRNA expression and activates AhP (114). AhR can mediate exogenous metabolism, rely on IL-22 activation in the gut, and influence the balance between immunity and microbiota by modulating microbial composition through antimicrobial peptides (120-122). On a low-tryptophan diet, tryptophan metabolites were reduced, and mice or piglets were prone to inflammation (123). After adequate supplementation, metabolites were increased, inflammation was significantly reduced, and the degree of dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis was reduced (121, 124, 125).

In turn, the composition of microbiota can influence the production of Trp microbiota-derived metabolites, affect the host's intestinal homeostasis and lead to the loss of intestinal homeostasis and intestinal inflammation (114). Specific gut bacteria determines the availability of Trp to the host and then regulates serotonin and subsequent melatonin synthesis (126). Serotonin is a monoamine neurotransmitter involved in the regulation of central nervous system transmission and intestinal physiological functions, while tryptophan is the only precursor for serotonin production. Melatonin, a powerful anti-inflammatory factor, is also produced through tryptophan metabolism and can alleviate increase gut permeability and immune activation caused by *Escherichia coli* (127–130).

Various preclinical experimental strategies emphasize that both tryptophan availability and 5-HT signaling are profoundly affected by gut microbiota composition (131), and intervention targeting the microbiota can modulate the tryptophan metabolites kynurenine, tryptamine, indole, serotonin, and melatonin, which has important implications for the treatment of brain-gut axis diseases (127, 129). Gut microbiota derivatives reduce the ratio of kynurenine to tryptophan in blood in the regulation of tryptophan metabolism, suggesting that lack of gut microbiota reduces the activity of host Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, which affects color kynurenine pathway of amino acid (118, 132). Gut microbiota can also influence tryptophan degradation and tryptophan cycling in the host through kynurenine pathway (131), and mitigate the adverse effects of aging on the central nervous system (133–136).

The dietary structure of amino acids affects the host gut microbial structure, which in turn affects host metabolism and health. Studies have shown that the foul odor of pig excrement is produced by intestinal microorganisms metabolizing amino acids (137). The level of amino acids in a balanced diet can change the abundance of pig intestinal microbes, thereby improving fermentation and reducing odorcausing compounds in pig excrement (137). An increase in branched-chain amino acids induces changes in the abundance of certain gut flora, such as increased abundance of Akkermansia and Bifidobacterium in mice supplemented with a mixture of branched-chain amino acids (138). The accumulation of branched-chain amino acids is positively correlated with insulin resistance. The number of bacteria that absorb branched-chain amino acids (such as Butyrivibrio crossotus and Eubacterium siraeum) gradually decreases in insulin-resistant patients, resulting in the accumulation of branched-chain amino acids and an insulin-resistant phenotype (139). Metabolism of proline is associated with disturbances in host emotional expression. Proline is the dietary factor that has the greatest impact on depression, and individual depression levels are enhanced with increased proline intake and plasma proline levels, which are not significantly associated with

antidepressants (140). The higher the proline content in plasma, the lower the proline recycling-involved in glutamate, gammaaminobutyric acid receptor activation, synaptic interactions, and axon guidance pathways. Likewise, the higher abundance of *Parabacteroides* and *Acidaminococcus* species and lower abundance of Bifidobacterium and short-chain fatty acidproducing species (such as *Roseburia*, *Butyrivibrio*, *Dorea*, and *Blautia genera*) in the gut (140). If mice are fed with a diet deficient in tryptophan, the gut microbiota will be dysregulated and gut immunity will be compromised (130).

Gut microbes can affect host health by affecting protease activity. The protease activity in the intestine is not only affected by the environment such as temperature and pH, but also restricted by microorganisms such as Bacteroides, Clostridium, Propionibacterium, Fusobacterium, Streptococcus, and Lactobacillus (141). Affected by various factors such as heredity, diet, medication, the host has respective characteristic of intestinal flora. Under normal circumstances, intestinal flora and host can benefit from this kind of dynamic balance. Once this balance is broken, the body of the peroxidase proliferation of activated receptors (PPARs) signaling pathway and amp activated protein kinase (AMPK) path are blocked (142, 143). The lack of proteases in the host will lead to increased susceptibility to disease, such as lack of angiotensin I-converting enzyme 2 (Ace2), which will lead to increased susceptibility to intestinal inflammation caused by intestinal epithelial cells (123).

Gut microbial responses to nucleic acid metabolic phenotypes

Nucleic acids in food mostly exist in the form of nucleoproteins, which are broken down into nucleic acids and proteins in the stomach. Nucleotide is the basic unit of nucleic acid. Its main functions are the raw material for nucleic acid synthesis, the utilization form of energy in the body, the participation in metabolism and physiological regulation, the component of coenzyme, the intermediate product of activation, etc. The assembly of nucleotides has a high metabolic demand and requires substances such as glucose, aspartic acid, serine, glycine, CO₂, and glutamine for synthesis. These substances supplement the carbon and nitrogen sources for nucleotide synthesis through major pathways such as glycolysis, the serineglycine pathway, the glutamine aminotransferase reaction, the Krebs cycle without or with anaplerotic inputs, and the pentose phosphate pathway (144).

Nucleic acid substances are considered to be an very important class of molecules in organisms because they have function of heredity, mediating and catalyzing biochemical reactions, providing or transferring energy, etc. (145). Lack of nucleotides in food can damage the host liver (146, 147), gut (148–151) and immune system (152, 153). Exogenous addition

can promote the maturation, activation and proliferation of lymphocytes, improve the phagocytosis of macrophages (154, 155), promote the balance of intestinal flora (such as increasing the proportion of *Bifidobacteria*), and reduce the proportion of pathogenic bacteria (145). Exogenous nucleotides have an important impact on maintaining the normal function of the host immune system, intestinal function, improving the structure of the intestinal flora and lipid metabolism, and will have a broad prospect and development space in the field of medical and health care.

The intestinal flora is one of the important "organs" for the host to absorb and utilize nucleic acids. For example, the nucleosidase produced by *Ochrobactrum anthropi* in the human intestine can decompose purine nucleosides in food, and also promote other enzymatic reactions (156–158). *Escherichia coli* and baker's yeast (dietary nucleotides) can convert glucose to 2deoxyribose 5-phosphate and generate the desired substrate for 2-deoxyribose 5-phosphate, respectively (159).

Nucleotides and nucleosides strongly dose-dependently modulate infant gut microbiota composition and metabolic activity. Nucleotide and nucleoside are added in the infant formula, increasing the amount of the anaerobic bacteria, gastrointestinal bacteria, Fusobacterium, Lactobacillus, Staphylococcus, Leuconostoc, increased levels of bacteria and bacteria related to nucleotide and metabolism of sulfur and iron transcription content will increase, and decreasing the biosynthesis of Salmonella, total factor and vitamin (160). Yeast extract (dietary nucleotides) can increase the number of Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria, thereby increasing butyrate production to stabilize and promote the gut microbiota in the elderly (161). Numerous studies have also demonstrated that the addition of exogenous nucleotides can increase the proportion of beneficial bacteria in the host gut microflora and play a positive regulatory role.

Signaling roles of gut microbes in host metabolism

In recent years, the gut microbiota has attracted much attention as a key mediator of brain-gut axis signaling. Braingut interactions are mainly divided into neural, endocrine and immune pathways. There is growing evidence that the influence of the gut microbiota extends beyond the gut, which modulates brain function and subsequent behavior through the brain-gut axis (162–164). Dysregulation of the microbiotagut-brain axis leads to neuroinflammation and synaptic damage that contribute to obesity-related cognitive decline (50). Preclinical and clinical studies have also shown that the gut microbiota influences central nervous system physiology, anxiety, depression, social behavior, cognitive function and visceral pain (134). For example, high-fat diet-induced changes in gut microbiota can lead to cognitive impairment in mice; Mice transplanted with microbiota from obese humans also showed reduced memory scores. Moreover, RNA sequencing of the medial prefrontal cortex showed that short-term memory was associated with aromatic amino acid pathways, inflammatory genes, and bacterial communities (165). Among them, *Clostridium, Ruminococcus* and *Eubacterium* genera in *Firmicutes* phylum, as well as *Selenomonas* family are positively correlated with memory score, while *Bacteroidetes* phylum and *Proteobacteria* phylum species are negatively correlated with memory score (165). This suggests that gut microbes can talk to the brain through neural interactions and influence host cognition and behavior.

Gut microbiota imbalance affects hippocampal brain and nerve development by activating microglia (32). Brain-gut interactions play a role in functional GI disorders [e.g., irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)] (166), organic GI disorders to a lesser extent [e.g., inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)], and other disorders that may be associated with dysregulation of brain-gut communication (e.g., obesity and anorexia nervosa), but the specific mechanisms of the interaction are not well understood (167).

In the study of the host brain-gut axis, it was found that tryptophan and 5-hydroxytryptophan metabolic systems are involved in all levels of the brain-gut-microbiome axis. For example, germ-free mice show different social novelty preferences than normal mice (132, 168, 169); Bacterial colonization of germ-free mice after weaning normalizes this phenomenon (132, 169, 170); More importantly, bacterial colonization of weaned germ-free mice normalizes circulating tryptophan availability and kynurenine pathway metabolism (132, 171). Lactococcus, Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella can directly use tryptophan to synthesize serotonin (127, 131). Serotonin is widely present in the gut, which can cross the blood-brain barrier and act directly on the central nervous system. At present, tryptophan treatment strategies have focused on direct regulation of the 5-hydroxytryptophan (5-HT) metabolic system, but due to the heterogeneous of the disease and the diversification of 5-HT effects, these strategies are only partially effective in distinct patient subsets with stress-related brain-gut axis disorders (131).

The gut-liver axis is another pathway by which the host controls and shapes the gut microbe to protect the gut barrier. The gut microbiome, liver inflammation and metabolism are connected by the gut-liver axis, and the important mediators between the two are the gut microbiota and microbiota metabolites (172). Gut-derived compounds, such as short-chain fatty acids, bile acids, methylamine, amino acid derivatives, and related microbial molecular patterns stimulate host energy metabolism, food intake, and regulate signaling and metabolic pathways in key tissues by sensing signals, enhancing host– gut microbiota interactions (173). Another example is that microbial tryptophan metabolites are directly involved in hepatic immune responses in liver disease, or indirectly affect function by modulating gut barrier function and signaling along the gut-liver axis (117, 174). The link between the gut and liver directly influences the composition of the gut flora and the integrity of the barrier, and is an important factor in liver function. An imbalance on either side will lead to a decrease in immunity and even disease.

In the brain-gut axis and gut-liver axis, the gut microbes directly participate in or indirectly regulate the metabolic functions of key tissues such as the brain and liver through signal transduction, thereby affecting the health of the host. At present, although the key mediators and specific mechanisms of braingut axis and gut-liver axis signaling are still poorly understood, it is of great significance to pay close attention to the signaling of gut microbes.

Prospects for the study of gut microbes and host metabolic phenotypes

Various chemicals produced or modified by the gut microbiota trigger responses in various physiological functions of the host, including immune, neurological, and metabolic (175). A large number of studies have proved that the occurrence of host diseases is closely related to the diversity of intestinal flora, the difference of main representative flora, and the abundance and ratio of different flora, mainly affecting host metabolism and metabolites (144). The role and status of intestinal flora and its metabolites in monitoring host health and disease prevention and control is becoming more and more important. Furthermore, the monitoring of key indicators and the mining of therapeutic factors will be another great progress in the history of human disease prevention and control.

Gut microbes and their metabolites may be important markers for disease screening

Numerous studies have demonstrated that changes in the abundance of gut microbiota are a common marker of neoplastic diseases, such as *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Escherichia coli, Bacteroides fragilis*, and *Aspergillus* are associated with carcinogenesis (176, 177); By comparing the gut flora of gestational diabetes patients and healthy people, it was found that gestational diabetes patients had abundant OTUs of *Lachnospiraceae* family, and decreased OTUs of *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Ruminococcaceae* families (178); Obese patients are often complicated by diabetes, and the abundances of *Ruminococcus gnavus*, different *Bacteroidetes* and *Enterobacter* species in the gut tend to increase compared with insulin resistance and obesity (179, 180); Compared with healthy people, patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis had significantly reduced gut microbiota but significantly enriched *Clostridium* species with reduced *Eubacterium* spp. and *Ruminococcus obeum* (181); And then a further study of its gut microbial genes showed that the genes related to the synthesis of vitamin B6 and branched-chain amino acid cores in gut microbes were significantly reduced (181).

Different microbial metabolites in blood can reflect different healthy aging (age) states with unique gut microbiota. In the study of the intestinal flora of physiologically aging people, the core flora of the gut microbiota of the elderly is attenuated, and the results at the genus level show that Bacteroides are mainly reduced (182). Studies have also confirmed that there are key families of microorganisms in the gut microbiota with potential therapeutic effects (183–185).

The structure of the gut microbiota and its metabolites are also markers of many diseases. Elevated ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes is regarded as a microbial marker of obesity (186); The profiles of gut microbiota and serum metabolites are potential diagnostic markers for lung cancer. Compared with healthy individuals, the feces of patients with lung cancer showed significant differences in Megasphaera, Clostridioides, Erysipelotrichaceae, and Phascolarctobacterium, which the diversity of intestinal flora in patients with lung cancer was higher. Moreover, the serum levels of certain glycerophospholipids (e.g., LysoPE, LysoPC, LysoPC), AcylGlcADG, AcylGlcADG, Acylcarnitine and hypoxanthine can be used to distinguish lung cancer patients from normal individuals (187); Microbial metabolic imbalance of aromatic and branched-chain amino acids is a metabolic hallmark that accompanies liver inflammation (188). Aromatic amino acid metabolites can be used as signal molecules in host physiology (116). For example, phenylalanine (189) metabolism can be used as a stage-specific marker for colorectal cancer, because it can be seen that the genes that metabolize Phe in the intestinal flora of patients with colorectal cancer are increased, and it is significantly increased in the gut (116); Tyrosine and tryptophan metabolism and valine, leucine, and isoleucine degradation are significantly associated with identified gut microbiota markers and osteoporosis. Ling et al. (190) found that the relative abundances of Actinobacillus, Blautia, Oscillospira, Bacteroides, and Phascolarctobacterium were positively correlated with osteoporosis, while some of genera of Veillonellaceae, Ruminococcaceae, and Collinsella were negatively associated with the presence of osteoporosis. However, further confirmation in animal or in vitro experiments is required as a diagnostic or therapeutic marker (190).

Inflammatory cytokines are key factors for the expression of indoleamine 2, 3-dioxygenase (IDO1) and tryptophan 2, 3dioxygenase (TDO) (131). Moreover, it has been proposed as markers of gastrointestinal diseases in the study of mucosal inflammation and colon cancer (131). Different types of carbohydrate-active enzymes may provide markers for the screening of certain diseases. For example, glycosyltransferases can catalyze the synthesis of glycoconjugates, including the inflammatory factor lipopolysaccharide-a common feature of many diseases. Comparing differences in carbohydrate-active enzymes in health and disease suggests that microbial carbohydrate metabolism can be a good basis for optimizing pre-selected, pro- and synergistic biological candidates (61). Most disease changes are associated with changes in gut microbial abundance, while further research is needed to prove whether differential bacteria can be used as indicators. The clarification of indicator species will provide new ideas for therapeutic strategies.

Improving gut microbes will become an effective means of disease prevention and treatment

Gut microbes are an important factor in regulating various physiological functions, immune system, cardiovascular system, intestinal function, digestion and absorption of nutrients and their metabolites (191). Once the healthy balance of the host's intestinal flora is disrupted, the host will become ill. On the contrary, adjusting the balance of the host's intestinal flora will help the host maintain a healthy state. Direct supplementation of beneficial microorganisms modulates host hepatic and systemic lipid metabolism (192), energy stabilization (193), and glycemic control (194). Meanwhile, it reduces the risk of diet-induced obesity, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes (195).

Transplanting fecal microbiota can effectively treat some recurrent Clostridium difficile infections in small trial in metabolic syndrome and obesity (196); Gavage of mice with Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron reduced plasma glutamate concentrations and attenuated diet-induced weight gain and obesity in mice, suggesting the possibility of targeting the gut microbiota to intervene in obesity (197); In mouse experiments, it was found that Prevotella copri and Bacteroides vulgatus are the key species driving branched chain amino acids (BCAAs) biosynthesis and insulin resistance (139). In addition, using Prevotella copri to interfere with the intestinal flora of mice can induce insulin resistance, aggravate glucose intolerance and increase BCAAs circulation level (139). Kato et al. (198) found that oral administration of Porphyromonas gingivalis could alter the composition of the host gut microbiota, thereby impeding or altering the metabolic function of host amino acids, altering immune regulation and gut barrier function, and mediating systemic diseases.

Nutritional stress caused by an unbalanced diet can lead to changes in the host gut microbiome, which is one of the important factors in the occurrence of metabolic diseases such as hypertension, abnormal lipid metabolism, and vascular dysfunction (191). This makes dietary guidelines for the population, based on regional differences, particularly important. Dietary and lifestyle interventions to supplement carbohydrates needed by microorganisms can enhance the ability of intestinal microbiota to metabolize carbohydrates, reduce body weight (199), and reduce metabolic diseases caused by intestinal microbiota metabolism in overweight and obese patients. An unbalanced diet not only adds to the metabolic stress of the host's metabolic organs, but also disrupts the balance of the intestinal microbial ecology.

More and more data suggest that host health is associated with dietary microbial and carbohydrate-induced changes in microbiota composition and diversity (53). Breast milk contains a variety of complex oligosaccharides that are not easily digested but are essential for the composition of the neonatal gut microbiota (200). The microbiota that colonize an infant's gut are directly related to its ability to grow and develop normally in later life and to the strength of its immune system. Compared with formula, breastfed infants had higher levels of Bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria as well as lower levels of potential pathogens. By contrast, formula-fed infants had a more diverse gut flora, mainly Staphylococcus, Bacteroides, Clostridium, Enterococcus, Enterobacter, and Atopobium (201). During weaning, the phyla Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria are replaced by Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes as the dominant members of the infant microbiome due to the complementary introduction of a variety of novel food substances and nutrients (202, 203). Tanes et al. (204) found that unbalanced dietary nutrition can lead to an imbalance of gut microbiota, and dietary fiber plays an important role in the restoration of gut microbiota, but the mechanism remains unclear. Flint found that both the amount and type of indigestible carbohydrates (such as resistant starch, non-starch polysaccharides, and prebiotics) affected the species composition of the gut microbiota during both shortterm dietary interventions and long-term dietary habits (59). Different geographic regions have different dietary habits, and there are also significant differences in the composition of gut microbes. Compared with Europeans, Africans consume approximately twice as much dietary fiber, have a higher diversity of gut microbes, produce more short-chain fatty acids, and are more abundant in Bacteroidetes relative to Firmicutes (179, 205).

It is clear that differences in food culture also nutritional reflect the consistency of needs from the dietary guidelines of different countries¹. All guidelines recommend limiting or avoiding foods with high added sugar, salt, and saturated fat (206).

¹ http://www.fao.org/nutrition/education/food-based-dietaryauidelines

Some countries specifically mention avoiding processed, ultraprocessed and/or packaged foods (206).

Conclusion

The metabolic phenotype of organisms exists objectively (207), and is gradually being recognized and deciphered by humans. Gut microbes are the second digestive organ in the host and one of the key factors determining the host's metabolic phenotype (2). They directly or indirectly participate in the host's metabolism, physiology, and immunity through population structure changes, metabolite differences, signal transduction, and gene expression, helping the host to resist disease and maintain health. A large number of studies have confirmed that finding new and effective ways to prevent or treat host metabolic diseases through the association of gut microbiota with host metabolic phenotypes is not only feasible and will be a historic step in the study of gut microbiota.

But gut microbiota composition and function are also influenced by host genetics (208), diet (209), age (210, 211), mode of birth (212), geography (210), host immune status (213), travel (214), and drug use (215, 216). How to obtain comprehensive information on the impact of gut microbes on host metabolic phenotypes? What are the mechanisms underlying the role of gut microbes in host metabolic phenotypes? Can we find the key regulators and indicators that gut microbes regulate host metabolism? These issues would be the focuses of future research on gut microbes.

The gut microbiota and metabolites are not only the monitors of host disease, but also the guardians of maintaining and stabilizing host health. Although the existing researches still focus on the simple flora structure and the level of a few influencing factors, the theoretical concept that gut microbes affects the host's metabolic phenotype provides a good research idea for the systematic study of metabolic diseases caused by gut microbes. As more and more new discoveries about the metabolic phenotypes of gut microbes on the host are published, it is about to become possible to use gut microbes to maintain the metabolic health of life.

Author contributions

JH and JX conceived the manuscript. JH wrote the manuscript. JX, DL, XL, and WP edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by the Hunan Province Modern Agricultural Industrial Technology System Project (Xiangnongfa [2019] No. 105) and the School-Enterprise Horizontal Project (5026401/0319085).

Acknowledgments

We thank the reviewers for their constructive and valuable comments and the editor for their assistance in refining this article.

Conflict of interest

Author WP was employed by Changde Dabeinong Feed Co. Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

4. Tian Y, Gui W, Koo I, Smith PB, Allman EL, Nichols RG, et al. The microbiome modulating activity of bile acids. *Gut Microbes*. (2020) 11:979–96.

^{1.} Zhou XW, Zhong RM. Review on metabotype. J Food Sci Biotechnol. (2015) 34:673–8.

^{2.} Johnson CH, Patterson AD, Idle JR, Gonzalez FJ. Xenobiotic metabolomics: major impact on the metabolome. *Ann Rev Pharmacol.* (2012) 52:37–56. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010611-134748

^{3.} Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. *Science*. (2005) 308:1635–8. doi: 10.1126/science.1110591

5. Lee Y, Kim AH, Kim E, Lee S, Yu KS, Jang IJ, et al. Changes in the gut microbiome influence the hypoglycemic effect of metformin through the altered metabolism of branched-chain and nonessential amino acids. *Diabetes Res Clin.* (2021) 178:108985. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.108985

6. Brestoff JR, Artis D. Commensal bacteria at the interface of host metabolism and the immune system. *Nat Immunol.* (2013) 14:676–84. doi: 10.1038/ni.2640

7. Krajmalnik-Brown R, Ilhan ZE, Kang DW, DiBaise JK. Effects of gut microbes on nutrient absorption and energy regulation. *Nutr Clin Pract.* (2012) 27:201–14. doi: 10.1177/0884533611436116

8. Wolf PG, Biswas A, Morales SE, Greening C, Gaskins HR. H2 metabolism is widespread and diverse among human colonic microbes. *Gut Microbes.* (2016) 7:235–45. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2016.1182288

9. Chassard C, Lacroix C. Carbohydrates and the human gut microbiota. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr.* (2013) 16:453–60. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e3283619e63

10. Chen DF, Jin DC, Huang SM, Wu J, Xu M, Liu T, et al. Clostridium butyricum, a butyrate-producing probiotic, inhibits intestinal tumor development through modulating Wnt signaling and gut microbiota. *Cancer Lett.* (2020) 469:456–67. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2019.11.019

11. Lee WJ, Hase K. Gut microbiota-generated metabolites in animal health and disease. *Nat Chem Biol.* (2014) 10:416–24. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1535

12. Jia RK, Huang M, Qian LC, Yan X, Lv Q, Ye H, et al. The depletion of carbohydrate metabolic genes in the gut microbiome contributes to the transition from central obesity to type 2 diabetes. *Front Endocrinol.* (2021) 12:747646. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.747646

13. Delzenne NM, Williams CM. Prebiotics and lipid metabolism. *Curr Opin Lipidol.* (2002) 13:61–7. doi: 10.1097/00041433-200202000-00009

14. Jan G, Belzacq AS, Haouzi D, Rouault A, Métivier D, Kroemer G. Propionibacteria induce apoptosis of colorectal carcinoma cells via short-chain fatty acids acting on mitochondria. *Cell Death Differ*. (2002) 9:179–88. doi: 10. 1038/sj.cdd.4400935

15. Li CJ, Elsasser TH. Butyrate-induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in bovine kidney epithelial cells: involvement of caspase and proteasome pathways. *J Anim Sci.* (2005) 83:89–97. doi: 10.2527/2005.83189x

16. Koh A, De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Bäckhed F. From dietary fiber to host physiology: short-chain fatty acids as key bacterial metabolites. *Cell.* (2016) 165:1332–45. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.041

17. Hosseini E, Grootaert C, Verstraete W, Van de Wiele T. Propionate as a health-promoting microbial metabolite in the human gut. *Nutr Rev.* (2011) 69:245–58. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2011.00388.x

18. Oliphant K, Allen-Vercoe E. Macronutrient metabolism by the human gut microbiome: major fermentation by-products and their impact on host health. *Microbiome*. (2019) 7:91. doi: 10.1186/s40168-019-0704-8

19. Chambers ES, Viardot A, Psichas A, Morrison DJ, Murphy KG, Zac-Varghese SEK, et al. Effects of targeted delivery of propionate to the human colon on appetite regulation, body weight maintenance and adiposity in overweight adults. *Gut.* (2015) 64:1744–54. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307913

20. den Besten G, van Eunen K, Groen AK, Venema K, Reijngoud DJ, Bakker BM. The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota, and host energy metabolism. *J Lipid Res.* (2013) 54:2325–40. doi: 10.1194/jlr. R036012

21. Morrison DJ, Preston T. Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota and their impact on human metabolism. *Gut Microbes*. (2016) 7:189–200. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2015.1134082

22. Nishina PM, Freedland RA. Effects of propionate on lipid biosynthesis in isolated rat hepatocytes. J Nutr. (1990) 120:668–73. doi: 10.1093/jn/120.7.668

23. Kolodziejczyk AA, Zheng DP, Shibolet O, Elinav E. The role of the microbiome in NAFLD and NASH. *Embo Mol Med.* (2019) 11:e9302. doi: 10. 15252/emmm.201809302

24. Passos M, Moraes-Filho JP. Intestinal microbiota in digestive diseases. Arq Gastroenterol. (2017) 54:255–62. doi: 10.1590/S0004-2803.201700000-31

25. Xie C, Halegoua-DeMarzio D. Role of probiotics in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: does gut microbiota matter? *Nutrients.* (2019) 11:2837. doi: 10.3390/ nu11112837

26. Beilharz JE, Kaakoush NO, Maniam J, Morris MJ. The effect of short-term exposure to energy-matched diets enriched in fat or sugar on memory, gut microbiota and markers of brain inflammation and plasticity. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2016) 57:304–13. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2016.07.151

27. de Clercq NC, Frissen MN, Groen AK, Nieuwdorp M. Gut microbiota and the gut-brain axis: new insights in the pathophysiology of metabolic syndrome. *Psychosom Med.* (2017) 79:874–9. doi: 10.1097/PSY.00000000000495

28. Hand TW. The role of the microbiota in shaping infectious immunity. *Trends Immunol.* (2016) 37:647–58. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2016.08.007

29. Marques C, Meireles M, Faria A, Calhau C. High-fat diet-induced dysbiosis as a cause of neuroinflammation. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2016) 80:e3–4. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsych.2015.10.027

30. Rabinowitz T, Polsky A, Golan D, Danilevsky A, Shapira G, Raff C, et al. Bayesian-based noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of single-gene disorders. *Genome Res.* (2019) 29:428–38. doi: 10.1101/gr.235796.118

31. Gruner N, Mattner J. Bile acids and microbiota: multifaceted and versatile regulators of the liver-gut axis. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:1397. doi: 10.3390/ ijms22031397

32. Erny D, de Angelis ALH, Jaitin D, Wieghofer P, Staszewski O, David E, et al. Host microbiota constantly control maturation and function of microglia in the CNS. *Nat Neurosci.* (2015) 18:965–77. doi: 10.1038/nn.4030

33. Giel JL, Sorg JA, Sonenshein AL, Zhu J. Metabolism of bile salts in mice influences spore germination in *Clostridium difficile*. *PLoS One*. (2010) 5:e8740. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008740

34. Sorg JA, Sonenshein AL. Inhibiting the initiation of clostridium difficile spore germination using analogs of chenodeoxycholic acid, a bile acid. *J Bacteriol*. (2010) 192:4983–90. doi: 10.1128/JB.00610-10

35. Weingarden AR, Chen C, Bobr A, Yao D, Lu Y, Nelson VM, et al. Microbiota transplantation restores normal fecal bile acid composition in recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Am J Physiol Gastr.* (2014) 306:G310–9. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00282.2013

36. Buntin N, Hongpattarakere T, Ritari J, Douillard FP, Paulin L, Boeren S. An inducible operon is involved in inulin utilization in lactobacillus plantarum strains, as revealed by comparative proteogenomics and metabolic profiling. *Appl Environ Microb.* (2017) 83:e2402–16. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02402-16

37. Fuhren J, Rosch C, ten Napel M, Schols HA, Kleerebezem M. Synbiotic matchmaking in *Lactobacillus plantarum*: substrate screening and gene-trait matching to characterize strain-specific carbohydrate utilization. *Appl Environ Microb.* (2020) 86:e1081–1020. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01081-20

38. Mao BY, Yin RM, Li XS, Cui S, Zhang H, Zhao J. Comparative genomic analysis of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* isolated from different niches. *Genes Basel.* (2021) 12:241. doi: 10.3390/genes12020241

39. Siezen RJ, Tzeneva VA, Castioni A, Wels M, Phan HT, Rademaker JL, et al. Phenotypic and genomic diversity of *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains isolated from various environmental niches. *Environ Microbiol.* (2010) 12:758–73. doi: 10.1111/ j.1462-2920.2009.02119.x

40. Seddik HA, Bendali F, Gancel F, Fliss I, Spano G, Drider D, et al. *Lactobacillus plantarum* and its probiotic and food potentialities. *Probiotics Antimicro*. (2017) 9:111–22. doi: 10.1007/s12602-017-9264-z

41. Weiss GA, Grabinger T, Garzon JG. Intestinal inflammation alters mucosal carbohydrate foraging and monosaccharide incorporation into microbial glycans. *Cell Microbiol.* (2021) 23:e13269. doi: 10.1111/cmi.13269

42. Aakko J, Pietila S, Toivonen R, Rokka A, Mokkala K, Laitinen K, et al. A carbohydrate-active enzyme (CAZy) profile links successful metabolic specialization of prevotella to its abundance in gut microbiota. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:12411. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69241-2

43. Singh RK, Chang HW, Yan D, Ucmak D. Influence of diet on the gut microbiome and implications for human health. *J Transl Med.* (2017) 15:73. doi: 10.1186/s12967-017-1175-y

44. Xu J, Bjursell MK, Himrod J, Deng S, Carmichael LK, Chiang HC, et al. A genomic view of the human-*Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron symbiosis. Science.* (2003) 299:2074–6. doi: 10.1126/science.1080029

45. Bhattacharya T, Ghosh TS, Mande SS. Global profiling of carbohydrate active enzymes in human gut microbiome. *PLoS One.* (2015) 10:e0142038. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0142038

46. Wong JMW, Jenkins DJA. Carbohydrate digestibility and metabolic effects. J Nutr. (2007) 137:2539s-46s. doi: 10.1093/jn/137.11.2539S

47. Deehan EC, Yang C, Perez-Munoz ME, Nguyen NK, Cheng CC, Triador L, et al. Precision microbiome modulation with discrete dietary fiber structures directs short-chain fatty acid production. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2020) 27:389–404e6. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2020.01.006

48. Tuncil YE, Thakkar RD, Arioglu-Tuncil S, Hamaker BR, Lindemann SR. Subtle variations in dietary-fiber fine structure differentially influence the composition and metabolic function of gut microbiota. *Msphere*. (2020) 5:e180–120. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00180-20

49. Cantu-Jungles TM, Hamaker BR. Erratum for cantu-jungles and hamaker, "new view on dietary fiber selection for predictable shifts in gut microbiota". *mBio.* (2020) 11:e747–720. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00747-20

50. Shi H, Wang Q, Zheng M, Hao S, Lum JS, Chen X, et al. Supplement of microbiota-accessible carbohydrates prevents neuroinflammation and cognitive decline by improving the gut microbiota-brain axis in diet-induced obese mice. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2020) 17:77. doi: 10.1186/s12974-020-01760-1

51. Blachier F, Beaumont M, Portune KJ, Steuer N, Lan A, Audebert M, et al. High-protein diets for weight management: interactions with the intestinal microbiota and consequences for gut health. A position paper by the my new gut study group. *Clin Nutr.* (2019) 38:1012–22. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2018. 09.016

52. Hernandez MAG, Canfora EE, Blaak EE. Faecal microbial metabolites of proteolytic and saccharolytic fermentation in relation to degree of insulin resistance in adult individuals. *Beneficial Microbes.* (2021) 12:259–66. doi: 10. 3920/Bm2020.0179

53. Sonnenburg ED, Sonnenburg JL. Starving our microbial self: the deleterious consequences of a diet deficient in microbiota-accessible carbohydrates. *Cell Metab.* (2014) 20:779–86. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.07.003

54. Duan YF, Wang Y, Liu QS. Changes in the intestine microbial, digestion and immunity of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in response to dietary resistant starch. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:6464. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-42939-8

55. Muegge BD, Kuczynski J, Knights D, Clemente JC, González A, Fontana L, et al. Diet drives convergence in gut microbiome functions across mammalian phylogeny and within humans. *Science.* (2011) 332:970–4. doi: 10.1126/science. 1198719

56. Abell GCJ, Cooke CM, Bennett CN, Conlon MA, McOrist AL. Phylotypes related to *Ruminococcus bromii* are abundant in the large bowel of humans and increase in response to a diet high in resistant starch. *Fems Microbiol Ecol.* (2008) 66:505–15. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00527.x

57. Martinez I, Wallace G, Zhang CM, Legge R, Benson AK, Carr TP, et al. Dietinduced metabolic improvements in a hamster model of hypercholesterolemia are strongly linked to alterations of the gut microbiota. *Appl Environ Microb.* (2009) 75:4175–84. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00380-09

58. Qin RB, Wang J, Chao C. RS5 produced more butyric acid through regulating the microbial community of human gut microbiota. *J Agr Food Chem.* (2021) 69:3209–18. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.0c08187

59. Flint HJ. The impact of nutrition on the human microbiome. *Nutr Rev.* (2012) 70:S10–3. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2012.00499.x

60. Chumpitazi BP, Cope JL, Hollister EB, Tsai CM, McMeans AR, Luna RA, et al. Randomised clinical trial: gut microbiome biomarkers are associated with clinical response to a low FODMAP diet in children with the irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2015) 42:418–27. doi: 10.1111/apt.13286

61. Onyango SO, Juma J, De Paepe K, Van de Wiele T. Oral and gut microbial carbohydrate-active enzymes landscape in health and disease. *Front Microbiol.* (2021) 12:653448. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.653448

62. Cui Y, Wang M, Zheng Y, Miao K, Qu X. The carbohydrate metabolism of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*. Int J Mol Sci. (2021) 22:13452. doi: 10.3390/ ijms222413452

63. Tang FL, Wang F, Su XJ. Effects of deep eutectic solvents on the properties and antioxdant activity of polysaccharides from *Polygonatum cyrtonema* hua. *Food Ferment Indust.* (2021) 47:151–7. doi: 10.13995/j.cnki.11-1802/ts.026440

64. Furino VO, Alves JM, Marine DA, Sene-Fiorese M, Rodrigues CNDS, Arrais-Lima C, et al. Dietary intervention, when not associated with exercise, upregulates Irisin/FNDC5 while reducing visceral adiposity markers in obese rats. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 12:564963. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.564963

65. Bordoloi J, Ozah D, Bora T, Kalita J, Manna P. Gamma-glutamyl carboxylated Gas6 mediates the beneficial effect of vitamin K on lowering hyperlipidemia via regulating the AMPK/SREBP1/PPARalpha signaling cascade of lipid metabolism. *J Nutr Biochem.* (2019) 70:174–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2019.05.006

66. Xiong JF. Correlations between gut predominant bacteria and serum lipids in patients with hyperlipidemia. *Chin J Microecol.* (2013) 25:1282–5. doi: 10.13381/j. cnki.cjm.2013.11.028

67. Li MY, Liu Y. Research progress of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* intervention on intestinal flora and lipid metabolism in the treatment of metabolic associated fatty liver disease. *Chin J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2022) 31:141–5.

68. Depommier C, Everard A, Druart C, Plovier H, Van Hul M, Vieira-Silva S, et al. Supplementation with Akkermansia muciniphila in overweight and obese human volunteers: a proof-of-concept exploratory study. Nat Med. (2019) 25:1096–103. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0495-2

69. Alessandri G, van Sinderen D, Ventura M. The genus bifidobacterium: from genomics to functionality of an important component of the mammalian gut microbiota running title: bifidobacterial adaptation to and interaction with the host. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J.* (2021) 19:1472–87. doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2021.03. 006

70. Bernini LJ, Simao AN, Alfieri DF, Lozovoy MA, Mari NL. Beneficial effects of *Bifidobacterium lactis* on lipid profile and cytokines in patients with metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial. Effects of probiotics on metabolic syndrome. *Nutrition.* (2016) 32:716–9. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2015.11.001

71. Guo ZT, Hu B, Wang HX. Supplementation with nanobubble water alleviates obesity-associated markers through modulation of gut microbiota in high-fat diet fed mice. *J Funct Foods.* (2020) 67:130820. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2020.103820

72. Wang WH. Study on the effect and mechanism of strengthening spleen and expectorating phlegm in improving dyslipidemia by regulating intestinal flora and bile acid metabolism. *Liaoning Univ Tradit Chin Med.* (2020) 2020:28. doi: 10.27213/d.cnki.glnzc.2020.000028

73. Li QW, Zhao YH, Gao F, Zhang K, Tian J. Research progress of regulatory key factors involved in cholesterol metabolism by lactic acid bacteria. *J Chin Instit Food Sci Technol.* (2021) 21:341–50. doi: 10.16429/j.1009-7848.2021.01.041

74. Wu JX. The Effects of Mussel Polysaccharide a-D-Glucan(MP-A) on Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Based on Gut Microbiota and Related Gut-Liver Axis Signaling Pathways. Jinan: Shandong University (2019).

75. Zheng LS, Tai W, Lan DB, Li P, Wang M, Wang T, et al. Research progress on traditional Chinese medicine against diabetes based on intestinal flora new targets. *Drug Evaluat Res.* (2017) 40:1173–81. doi: 10.1007/s11655-017-2811-3

76. Yang H, Yang M, Fang SM, Huang X, He M, Ke S, et al. Evaluating the profound effect of gut microbiome on host appetite in pigs. *BMC Microbiol.* (2018) 18:215. doi: 10.1186/s12866-018-1364-8

77. Chen CY, Fang SM, Wei H, He M, Fu H, Xiong X, et al. Prevotella copri increases fat accumulation in pigs fed with formula diets. *Microbiome*. (2021) 9:175. doi: 10.1186/s40168-021-01110-0

78. He MQ, Shi BY. Gut microbiota as a potential target of metabolic syndrome: the role of probiotics and prebiotics. *Cell Biosci.* (2017) 7:54. doi: 10.1186/s13578-017-0183-1

79. Yang JY, Kweon MN. The gut microbiota: a key regulator of metabolic diseases. *BMB Rep.* (2016) 49:536–41. doi: 10.5483/bmbrep.2016.49.10.144

80. Azmi AFMN, Mustafa S, Hashim DM, Manap YA. Prebiotic activity of polysaccharides extracted from *Gigantochloa levis* (buluh beting) shoots. *Molecules*. (2012) 17:1635–51. doi: 10.3390/molecules17021635

81. Deville C, Gharbi M, Dandrifosse G. Study on the effects of laminarin, a polysaccharide from seaweed, on gut characteristics. *J Sci Food Agr.* (2007) 87:1717-25. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.2901

82. Zaporozhets TS, Besednova NN, Kuznetsova TA, Zvyagintseva TN, Makarenkova ID. The prebiotic potential of polysaccharides and extracts of seaweeds. *Russian J Mar Biol.* (2014) 40:1–9. doi: 10.1134/S1063074014010106

83. Cani PD, Neyrinck AM, Fava F, Knauf C, Burcelin RG, Tuohy KM, et al. Selective increases of bifdobacteria in gut microflora improve high-fat-dietinduced diabetes in mice through a mechanism associated with endotoxaemia. *Diabetologia*. (2007) 50:2374–83. doi: 10.1007/s00125-007-0791-0

84. Gao J, Ding GQ, Li Q, Gong L, Huang J, Sang Y, et al. Tibet kefir milk decreases fat deposition by regulating the gut microbiota and gene expression of Lpl and Angptl4 in high fat diet-fed rats. *Food Res Int.* (2019) 121:278–87. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2019.03.029

85. Larsen N, Vogensen FK, van den Berg FWJ, Nielsen DS, Andreasen AS, Pedersen BK, et al. Gut microbiota in human adults with type 2 diabetes differs from non-diabetic adults. *PLoS One.* (2010) 5:9085. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0009085

86. Qin JJ, Li YR, Cai ZM. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature*. (2012) 490:55–60. doi: 10.1038/nature11450

87. Fei N, Zhao LP. An opportunistic pathogen isolated from the gut of an obese human causes obesity in germfree mice. *Isme J.* (2013) 7:880–4. doi: 10.1038/ismej. 2012.153

88. Lyu M, Wang YF, Fan GW, Wang XY, Xu SY, Zhu Y, et al. Balancing herbal medicine and functional food for prevention and treatment of cardiometabolic diseases through modulating gut microbiota. *Front Microbiol.* (2017) 8:2146. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02146

89. Caesar R, Tremaroli V, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Cani PD, Bäckhed F. Crosstalk between gut microbiota and dietary lipids aggravates WAT inflammation through TLR signaling. *Cell Metab.* (2015) 22:658–68. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2015.07.026

90. Zhang TT, Zhao WY, Xie BZ. Effects of *Auricularia auricula* and its polysaccharide on diet-induced hyperlipidemia rats by modulating gut microbiota. *J Funct Foods*. (2020) 72:104038. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2020.104038

91. Luo Y, Chen G, Li B, Ji B. Evaluation of antioxidative and hypolipidemic properties of a novel functional diet formulation of Auricularia auricula and Hawthorn. *Innov Food Sci Emerg.* (2009) 10:215–21.

92. Lésel R, Choubert G. Fecal bacterial flora of rainbow trout under antibiotic treatment: effect of the number of pyloric caeca and the lipid content of food. *IMIS.* (1989) 1:897–903.

93. Davis CD. The gut microbiome and its role in obesity. *Nutr Today.* (2016) 51:167–74. doi: 10.1097/NT.00000000000167

94. Deng Y, Liu WJ, Wang JQ, Yu J, Yang LQ. Intermittent fasting improves lipid metabolism through changes in gut microbiota in diet-induced obese mice. *Med Sci Monitor*. (2020) 26:e926789. doi: 10.12659/MSM.926789

95. Meng XL, Li S, Qin CB, Zhu ZX, Hu WP, Yang LP, et al. Intestinal microbiota and lipid metabolism responses in the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) following copper exposure. *Ecotox Environ Safe*. (2018) 160:257–64. doi: 10. 1016/j.ecoenv.2018.05.050

96. Sun SM, Yang M, Fu HT. Altered intestinal microbiota induced by chronic hypoxia drives the effects on lipid metabolism and the immune response of oriental river prawn *Macrobrachium nipponense. Aquaculture.* (2020) 526:735431. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735431

97. Song J, Zhang JJ, Su Y. Monascus vinegar-mediated alternation of gut microbiota and its correlation with lipid metabolism and inflammation in hyperlipidemic rats. *J Funct Foods.* (2020) 74:104152. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2020. 104152

98. Liu R, Zhang YH, Gao JS, Li X. Effects of octylphenol exposure on the lipid metabolism and microbiome of the intestinal tract of rana chensinensis tadpole by RNAseq and 16s amplicon sequencing. *Ecotox Environ Safe*. (2020) 197:110650. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110650

99. Zhang J. Gut microflora induce type 2diabetes mellitus: progress in researches. *Chin J Microecol.* (2016) 28:113–6.

100. Dai ZL, Li XL, Xi PB, Zhang J, Wu G, Zhu WY, et al. Metabolism of select amino acids in bacteria from the pig small intestine. *Amino Acids*. (2012) 42:1597–608. doi: 10.1007/s00726-011-0846-x

101. Dai ZL, Zhang J, Wu G, Zhu WY. Utilization of amino acids by bacteria from the pig small intestine. *Amino Acids*. (2010) 39:1201–15. doi: 10.1007/s00726-010-0556-9

102. Verberkmoes NC, Russell AL, Shah M, Godzik A, Rosenquist M, Halfvarson J, et al. Shotgun metaproteomics of the human distal gut microbiota. *Isme J.* (2009) 3:179–89. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2008.108

103. Devaraj S, Hemarajata P, Versalovic J. The human gut microbiome and body metabolism: implications for obesity and diabetes. *Clin Chem.* (2013) 59:617–28. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2012.187617

104. Libao-Mercado AJ, Zhu CL, Cant JP, Lapierre H, Thibault JN, Sève B, et al. Dietary and endogenous amino acids are the main contributors to microbial protein in the upper gut of normally nourished pigs. *J Nutr.* (2009) 139:1088–94. doi: 10.3945/jn.108.103267

105. Ciarlo E, Heinonen T, Herderschee J, Fenwick C, Mombelli M, Le Roy D, et al. Impact of the microbial derived short chain fatty acid propionate on host susceptibility to bacterial and fungal infections in vivo. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:37944. doi: 10.1038/srep37944

106. Hullar MA, Fu BC. Diet, the gut microbiome, and epigenetics. *Cancer J.* (2014) 20:170–5. doi: 10.1097/PPO.00000000000053

107. Tajiri K, Shimizu Y. Branched-chain amino acids in liver diseases. *Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2018) 3:47. doi: 10.21037/tgh.2018.07.06

108. Vergnolle N. Protease inhibition as new therapeutic strategy for GI diseases. *Gut.* (2016) 65:1215–24. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309147

109. Metges CC. Contribution of microbial amino acids to amino acid homeostasis of the host. J Nutr. (2000) 130:1857S-64S. doi: 10.1093/jn/130.7. 1857S

110. Maes M, Leonard BE, Myint AM, Kubera M, Verkerk R. The new '5-HT' hypothesis of depression: cell-mediated immune activation induces indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, which leads to lower plasma tryptophan and an increased synthesis of detrimental tryptophan catabolites (TRYCATs), both of which contribute to the onset of depression. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* (2011) 35:702–21. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.12.017

111. Maes M, Rief W. Diagnostic classifications in depression and somatization should include biomarkers, such as disorders in the tryptophan catabolite (TRYCAT) pathway. *Psychiatry Res.* (2012) 196:243–9. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres. 2011.09.029

112. Morris G, Berk M, Carvalho A, Caso JR, Sanz Y, Walder K, et al. The role of the microbial metabolites including tryptophan catabolites and short chain fatty acids in the pathophysiology of immune-inflammatory and neuroimmune disease. *Mol Neurobiol.* (2017) 54:4432–51. doi: 10.1007/s12035-016-0004-2

113. Nguyen NT, Nakahama T, Le DH, Van Son L, Chu HH, Kishimoto T, et al. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor and kynurenine: recent advances in autoimmune disease research. *Front Immunol.* (2014) 5:551. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00551

114. Gao J, Xu K, Liu HN, Liu G, Bai M, Peng C, et al. Impact of the gut microbiota on intestinal immunity mediated by tryptophan metabolism. *Front Cell Infect Mi.* (2018) 8:13. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00 013

115. Liu YL, Hou YL, Wang GJ, Zheng X, Hao H. Gut microbial metabolites of aromatic amino acids as signals in host-microbe interplay. *Trends Endocrin Met.* (2020) 31:818–34. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2020.02.012

116. Hoyles L, Fernandez-Real JM, Federici M. Molecular phenomics and metagenomics of hepatic steatosis in non-diabetic obese women. *Nat Med.* (2018) 24:1070–80. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0061-3

117. Natividad JM, Agus A, Planchais J. Impaired aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligand production by the gut microbiota is a key factor in metabolic syndrome. *Cell Metab.* (2018) 28:737–749.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2018. 07.001

118. Taleb S. Tryptophan dietary impacts gut barrier and metabolic diseases. Front Immunol. (2019) 10:2113. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02113

119. Grifka-Walk HM, Jenkins BR, Kominsky DJ. Amino acid trp: the far out impacts of host and commensal tryptophan metabolism. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:653208–653208. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.653208

120. Behnsen J, Jellbauer S, Wong CP. The cytokine IL-22 promotes pathogen colonization by suppressing related commensal bacteria. *Immunity*. (2014) 40:262–73. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.01.003

121. Zelante T, Iannitti RG, Cunha C, De Luca A, Giovannini G, Pieraccini G, et al. Tryptophan catabolites from microbiota engage aryl hydrocarbon receptor and balance mucosal reactivity via interleukin-22. *Immunity*. (2013) 39:372–85. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.003

122. Zenewicz LA, Yin X, Wang G, Elinav E, Hao L, Zhao L, et al. IL-22 deficiency alters colonic microbiota to be transmissible and colitogenic. *J Immunol.* (2013) 190:5306–12. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1300016

123. Hashimoto T, Perlot T, Rehman A, Trichereau J, Ishiguro H, Paolino M, et al. ACE2 links amino acid malnutrition to microbial ecology and intestinal inflammation. *Nature.* (2012) 487:477-81. doi: 10.1038/nature1 1228

124. Etienne-Mesmin L, Chassaing B, Gewirtz AT. Tryptophan: a gut microbiotaderived metabolites regulating inflammation. *World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther.* (2017) 8:7–9. doi: 10.4292/wjgpt.v8.i1.7

125. Kim CJ, Kovacs-Nolan JA, Yang C. l-tryptophan exhibits therapeutic function in a porcine model of dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced colitis. J Nutr Biochem. (2010) 21:468–75. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2009.01.019

126. Sun X, Shao Y, Jin Y, Huai J, Zhou Q, Huang Z, et al. Melatonin reduces bacterial translocation by preventing damage to the intestinal mucosa in an experimental severe acute pancreatitis rat model. *Exp Ther Med.* (2013) 6:1343–9. doi: 10.3892/etm.2013.1338

127. Gao K, Mu CL, Farzi A, Zhu WY. Tryptophan metabolism: a link between the gut microbiota and brain. *Adv Nutr.* (2020) 11:709–23. doi: 10.1093/advances/ nmz127

128. George A, Maes M. The gut-brain axis: the role of melatonin in linking psychiatric, inflammatory and neurodegenerative conditions. *Adv Int Med.* (2015) 2:31–7. doi: 10.1016/j.aimed.2014.12.007

129. Gheorghe CE, Martin JA, Manriquez FV, Dinan TG, Cryan JF, Clarke G, et al. Focus on the essentials: tryptophan metabolism and the microbiome-gut-brain axis. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* (2019) 48:137–45. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2019.08.004

130. Wikoff WR, Anfora AT, Liu J. Metabolomics analysis reveals large effects of gut microflora on mammalian blood metabolites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2009) 106:3698–703. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812874106

131. O'Mahony SM, Clarke G, Borre YE, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Serotonin, tryptophan metabolism and the brain-gut-microbiome axis. *Behav Brain Res.* (2015) 277:32–48. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2014.07.027

132. Clarke G, Grenham S, Scully P, Fitzgerald P, Moloney RD, Shanahan F, et al. The microbiome-gut-brain axis during early life regulates the hippocampal serotonergic system in a sex-dependent manner. *Mol Psychiatr.* (2013) 18:666–73. doi: 10.1038/mp.2012.77

133. Claesson MJ, Jeffery IB, Conde S. Gut microbiota composition correlates with diet and health in the elderly. *Nature*. (2012) 488:178–84. doi: 10.1038/ nature11319

134. Kennedy PJ, Cryan JF, Dinan TG, Clarke G. Kynurenine pathway metabolism and the microbiota-gut-brain axis. *Neuropharmacology.* (2017) 112:399-412. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2016.07.002

135. Oxenkrug GF. Genetic and hormonal regulation of tryptophan kynurenine metabolism: implications for vascular cognitive impairment, major depressive disorder, and aging. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2007) 1122:35–49. doi: 10.1196/annals. 1403.003

136. Prenderville JA, Kennedy PJ, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Adding fuel to the fire: the impact of stress on the ageing brain. *Trends Neurosci.* (2015) 38:13–25. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2014.11.001

137. Recharla N, Kim K, Park J, Jeong J, Jeong Y, Lee H, et al. Effects of amino acid composition in pig diet on odorous compounds and microbial characteristics of swine excreta. *J Anim Sci Technol.* (2017) 59:28. doi: 10.1186/s40781-017-0153-5

138. Yang Z, Huang S, Zou D, Dong D, He X, Liu N, et al. Metabolic shifts and structural changes in the gut microbiota upon branched-chain amino acid supplementation in middle-aged mice. *Amino Acids.* (2016) 48:2731–45. doi: 10. 1007/s00726-016-2308-y

139. Pedersen HK, Gudmundsdottir V, Nielsen HB. Human gut microbes impact host serum metabolome and insulin sensitivity. *Nature*. (2016) 535:376–81. doi: 10.1038/nature18646

140. Mayneris-Perxachs J, Castells-Nobau A, Arnoriaga-Rodriguez M. Microbiota alterations in proline metabolism impact depression. *Cell Metab.* (2022) 34:681–701e10. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2022.04.001

141. Dalmasso G, Nguyen HT, Yan Y. Butyrate transcriptionally enhances peptide transporter PepT1 expression and activity. *PLoS One.* (2008) 3:e2476. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002476

142. Chen XP, Zhang F. Effect of forsythin on lipid metabolism and intestinal flora imbalance in obese rats through PPARs signaling pathway. *J Chin Med Mater.* (2022) 2022:949–54. doi: 10.13863/j.issn1001-4454.2022.04.031

143. Park H, Kaushik VK, Constant S. Coordinate regulation of malonyl-CoA decarboxylase, sn-glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase, and acetyl-CoA carboxylase by AMP-activated protein kinase in rat tissues in response to exercise. *J Biol Chem.* (2002) 277:32571–7. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M201692200

144. Bordignon V, Cavallo I, D'Agosto G, Trento E, Pontone M, Abril E, et al. Nucleic acid sensing perturbation: how aberrant recognition of self-nucleic acids may contribute to autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases. *Int Rev Cel Mol Bio.* (2019) 344:117–37. doi: 10.1016/bs.ircmb.2018.09.001

145. Liang XG, Li Q, Huang LL. Reasearchs on nucleic acid metabolism and nutrition and their development. *Period Ocean Univ China*. (2019) 49:064–078.

146. Lopez-Navarro A, Gil A, Sanchez-Pozo A. Age-related effect of dietary nucleotides on liver nucleic acid content in rats. *Ann Nutr Metab.* (1997) 41:324–30. doi: 10.1159/000177963

147. Valdes R, Ortega MA, Casado FJ. Nutritional regulation of nucleoside transporter expression in rat small intestine. *Gastroenterology*. (2000) 119:1623–30. doi: 10.1053/gast.2000.20183

148. Che LQ, Hu L, Liu Y. Dietary nucleotides supplementation improves the intestinal development and immune function of neonates with intra-uterine growth restriction in a pig model. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0157314. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0157314

149. Meng Y, Ma R, Ma J. Dietary nucleotides improve the growth performance, antioxidative capacity and intestinal morphology of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Aquacult Nutr. (2017) 23:585–93. doi: 10.1111/anu.12425

150. Miao X, Cao JJ, Xu W, Zhang L, Lin F. Effects of dietary nucleotides on growth performance, intestinal morphology and anti-oxidative capacities of large yellow croaker(*Larimichthys crocea*). J Fish China. (2014) 38:1140–8.

151. Xu L, Ran C, He S. Effects of dietary yeast nucleotides on growth, nonspecific immunity, intestine growth and intestinal microbiota of juvenile hybrid tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* female symbol x *Oreochromis aureus* male symbol. *Anim Nutr.* (2015) 1:244–51. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2015.08.006

152. Hess JR, Greenberg NA. The role of nucleotides in the immune and gastrointestinal systems: potential clinical applications. *Nutr Clin Pract.* (2012) 27:281–94. doi: 10.1177/0884533611434933

153. Hester SN. Impact of Formula Additives on Immune and Gastrointestinal Development in the Piglet. Chicago, IL: Natritional Sciences in the Graduate College, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (2012).

154. Gil A. Modulation of the immune response mediated by dietary nucleotides. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2002) 56:S1–4. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601475

155. Xu M, Zhao M, Yang R. Effect of dietary nucleotides on immune function in Balb/C mice. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2013) 17:50–6. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2013. 04.032

156. Edwards NL, Fox IH. Disorders associated with purine and pyrimidine metabolism. *Spec Top Endocrinol Metab.* (1984) 6:95–140.

157. Krenitsky TA, Koszalka GW, Tuttle JV. Purine nucleoside synthesis, an efficient method employing nucleoside phosphorylases. *Biochemistry*. (1981) 20:3615–21. doi: 10.1021/bi00515a048

158. Ogawa J, Takeda S, Xie SX. Purification, characterization, and gene cloning of purine nucleosidase from *Ochrobactrum anthropi. Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2001) 67:1783–7. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.4.1783-1787.2001

159. Horinouchi N, Ogawa J, Sakai T, Kawano T, Matsumoto S, Sasaki M, et al. Construction of deoxyriboaldolase-overexpressing *Escherichia coli* and its application to 2-deoxyribose 5-phosphate synthesis from glucose and acetaldehyde for 2'-deoxyribonucleoside production. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2003) 69:3791–7. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.7.3791-37 97.2003

160. Doo EH, Chassard C, Schwab C. Effect of dietary nucleosides and yeast extracts on composition and metabolic activity of infant gut microbiota in PolyFermS colonic fermentation models. *Fems Microbiol Ecol.* (2017) 93:88. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fix088

161. Doo EH, Schwab C, Chassard C. Cumulative effect of yeast extract and fructooligosaccharide supplementation on composition and metabolic activity of elderly colonic microbiota in vitro. *J Funct Foods.* (2019) 52:43–53. doi: 10.1016/j. jff.2018.10.020

162. Cryan JF, Dinan TG. Mind-altering microorganisms: the impact of the gut microbiota on brain and behaviour. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2012) 13:701–12. doi: 10.1038/nrn3346

163. Mayer EA, Knight R, Mazmanian SK. Gut microbes and the brain: paradigm shift in neuroscience. *J Neurosci.* (2014) 34:15490–6. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 3299-14.2014

164. Sampson TR, Mazmanian SK. Control of brain development, function, and behavior by the microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2015) 17:565–76. doi: 10.1016/j. chom.2015.04.011

165. Arnoriaga-Rodriguez M, Mayneris-Perxachs J, Burokas A, Contreras-Rodríguez O, Blasco G, Coll C, et al. Obesity impairs short-term and working memory through gut microbial metabolism of aromatic amino acids. *Cell Metab.* (2020) 32:548–560e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.09.002

166. Mayer EA, Aziz Q, Coen S, Labus JS, Lane R, Kuo B, et al. Brain imaging approaches to the study of functional GI disorders: a rome working team report. *Neurogastroent Motil.* (2009) 21:579–96. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2982.2009.01304.x

167. Schellekens H, Finger BC, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Ghrelin signalling and obesity: at the interface of stress, mood and food reward. *Pharmacol Therapeut.* (2012) 135:316–26. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2012.06.004

168. Arentsen T, Raith H, Qian Y, Forssberg H, Diaz Heijtz R. Host microbiota modulates development of social preference in mice. *Microb Ecol Health Dis.* (2015) 26:29719. doi: 10.3402/mehd.v26.29719

169. Desbonnet L, Clarke G, Shanahan F, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Microbiota is essential for social development in the mouse. *Mol Psychiatr.* (2014) 19:146–8. doi: 10.1038/mp.2013.65

170. O'Hara AM, Shanahan F. The gut flora as a forgotten organ. *Embo Rep.* (2006) 7:688–93. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7400731

171. El Aidy S, van Baarlen P, Derrien M, Lindenbergh-Kortleve DJ, Hooiveld G, Levenez F, et al. Temporal and spatial interplay of microbiota and intestinal mucosa drive establishment of immune homeostasis in conventionalized mice. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2012) 5:567–79. doi: 10.1038/mi.2012.32

172. Lee K, Jayaraman A. Interactions between gut microbiota and non-alcoholic liver disease: the role of microbiota-derived metabolites. *Pharmacol Res.* (2019) 142:314–314. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2019.02.013

173. Ringseis R, Gessner DK, Eder K. The gut-liver axis in the control of energy metabolism and food intake in animals. *Ann Rev Anim Biosci.* (2020) 8:295–319. doi: 10.1146/annurev-animal-021419-083852

174. Krishnan S, Ding Y, Saedi N, Choi M, Sridharan GV, Sherr DH. Gut microbiota-derived tryptophan metabolites modulate inflammatory response in hepatocytes and macrophages. *Cell Rep.* (2018) 23:1099–111. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep. 2018.03.109

175. Fan Y, Pedersen O. Gut microbiota in human metabolic health and disease. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2021) 19:55–71. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-0433-9

176. Garrett WS. Cancer and the microbiota. Science. (2015) 348:80-6. doi: 10. 1126/science.aaa4972

177. Schwabe RF, Jobin C. The microbiome and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2013) 13:800–12. doi: 10.1126/science.abc4552

178. Wang X, Liu HL, Li YF, Huang S, Zhang L, Cao C, et al. Altered gut bacterial and metabolic signatures and their interaction in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Gut Microbes.* (2020) 12:1–13. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2020.18 40765

179. Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, Gordon JI. Microbial ecology: human gut microbes associated with obesity. *Nature*. (2006) 444:1022–3. doi: 10.1038/ 4441022a

180. Org E, Parks BW, Joo JWJ, Emert B, Schwartzman W, Kang EY, et al. Genetic and environmental control of host-gut microbiota interactions. *Genome Res.* (2015) 25:1558–69. doi: 10.1101/gr.194118.115

181. Kummen M, Thingholm LB, Ruhlemann MC. Altered gut microbial metabolism of essential nutrients in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gastroenterology.* (2021) 160:1784–98. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020. 12.058

182. Wilmanski T, Diener C, Rappaport N. Gut microbiome pattern reflects healthy ageing and predicts survival in humans (vol 3, pg 274, 2021). *Nat Metab.* (2021) 3:586–586. doi: 10.1038/s42255-021-00377-9

183. de Groot P, Scheithauer T, Bakker GJ. Donor metabolic characteristics drive effects of faecal microbiota transplantation on recipient insulin sensitivity, energy expenditure and intestinal transit time. *Gut.* (2020) 69:502–12. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318320

184. Kootte RS, Levin E, Salojarvi J, Smits LP, Hartstra AV, Udayappan SD, et al. Improvement of insulin sensitivity after lean donor feces in metabolic syndrome is driven by baseline intestinal microbiota composition. *Cell Metab.* (2017) 26:611–619e6. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.09.008

185. Yu EW, Gao L, Stastka P, Cheney MC, Mahabamunuge J, Torres Soto M, et al. Fecal microbiota transplantation for the improvement of metabolism in obesity: the FMT-TRIM double-blind placebo-controlled pilot trial. *PLoS Med.* (2020) 17:e1003051. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.10 03051

186. Wu CF, Lyu WT, Hong QH. Gut microbiota influence lipid metabolism of skeletal muscle in pigs. *Front Nutr.* (2021) 8:675445. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021. 675445

187. Zhao F, An R, Wang LQ, Shan J, Wang X. Specific gut microbiome and serum metabolome changes in lung cancer patients. *Front Cell Infect Mi*. (2021) 11:725284. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.725284

188. Yachida S, Mizutani S, Shiroma H. Metagenomic and metabolomic analyses reveal distinct stage-specific phenotypes of the gut microbiota in colorectal cancer. *Nat Med.* (2019) 25:968–76. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0458-7

189. Pearson RG, Raxworthy CJ, Nakamura M, Peterson AT. ORIGINAL ARTICLE: predicting species distributions from small numbers of occurrence records: a test case using cryptic geckos in madagascar. J Biogeogr. (2007) 34:102–17. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006. 01594.x

190. Ling CW, Miao ZL, Xiao ML, Zhou H, Jiang Z, Fu Y, et al. The association of gut microbiota with osteoporosis is mediated by amino acid metabolism: multiomics in a large cohort. *J Clin Endocr Metab.* (2021) 106:e3852–64. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgab492

191. Duttaroy AK. Role of gut microbiota and their metabolites on atherosclerosis, hypertension and human blood platelet function: a review. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13:144. doi: 10.3390/nu13010144

192. Christ B, Bruckner S, Winkler S. The therapeutic promise of mesenchymal stem cells for liver restoration. *Trends Mol Med.* (2015) 21:673–86. doi: 10.1016/j. molmed.2015.09.004

193. Backhed F, Manchester JK, Semenkovich CF. Mechanisms underlying the resistance to diet-induced obesity in germ-free mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2007) 104:979–84. doi: 10.1073/pnas.06053 74104

194. Mazloom Z, Yousefinejad A, Dabbaghmanesh MH. Effect of probiotics on lipid profile, glycemic control, insulin action, oxidative stress, and inflammatory markers in patients with type 2 diabetes: a clinical trial. *Iran J Med Sci.* (2013) 38:38–43.

195. Lin R, Liu WT, Piao MY, Zhu H. A review of the relationship between the gut microbiota and amino acid metabolism. *Amino Acids.* (2017) 49:2083–90. doi: 10.1007/s00726-017-2493-3

196. Agus A, Clement K, Sokol H. Gut microbiota-derived metabolites as central regulators in metabolic disorders. *Gut.* (2021) 70:1174–82. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-323071

197. Liu RX, Hong J, Xu XQ, Feng Q, Zhang D, Gu Y, et al. Gut microbiome and serum metabolome alterations in obesity and after weight-loss intervention. *Nat Med.* (2017) 23:859. doi: 10.1038/nm.4358

198. Kato T, Yamazaki K, Nakajima M. Oral administration of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* alters the gut microbiome and serum metabolome. *Msphere*. (2018) 3:e460–418. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00460-18

199. Muniz Pedrogo DA, Jensen MD, Van Dyke CT. Gut microbial carbohydrate metabolism hinders weight loss in overweight adults undergoing lifestyle intervention with a volumetric diet. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2018) 93:1104–10. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.02.019

200. Zivkovic AM, German JB, Lebrilla CB, Zhang Y, Zhong H, Liu R, et al. Human milk glycobiome and its impact on the infant gastrointestinal microbiota. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2011) 108:4653–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000083107

201. Milani C, Duranti S, Bottacini F, Casey E, Turroni F, Mahony J, et al. The first microbial colonizers of the human gut: composition, activities, and health implications of the infant gut microbiota. *Microbiol Mol Biol.* (2017) 81:17. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00036-17

202. Fallani M, Amarri S, Uusijarvi A, Adam R, Khanna S, Aguilera M, et al. Determinants of the human infant intestinal microbiota after the introduction of first complementary foods in infant samples from five European centres. *Microbiol Sgm.* (2011) 157:1385–92. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.042143-0

203. Koenig JE, Spor A, Scalfone N, Fricker AD. Succession of microbial consortia in the developing infant gut microbiome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2011) 108:4578–85. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000081107

204. Tanes C, Bittinger K, Gao Y, Friedman ES, Nessel L, Paladhi UR, et al. Role of dietary fiber in the recovery of the human gut microbiome and its metabolome. *Cell Host Microbe.* (2021) 2:394–407.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2020.12.012

205. Ley RE, Backhed F, Turnbaugh P, Lozupone CA, Knight RD, Gordon JI, et al. Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2005) 102:11070–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0504978102

206. Armet AM, Deehan EC, O'Sullivan AF, Mota JF, Field CJ, Prado CM, et al. Rethinking healthy eating in light of the gut microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe.* (2022) 30:764–85. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2022.04.016

207. Assfalg M, Bertini I, Colangiuli D, Luchinat C, Schäfer H, Schütz B. Evidence of different metabolic phenotypes in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2008) 105:1420–4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0705685105

208. Blekhman R, Goodrich JK, Huang K, Sun Q, Bukowski R, Bell JT, et al. Host genetic variation impacts microbiome composition across human body sites. *Genome Biol.* (2015) 16:191. doi: 10.1186/s13059-015-0759-1

209. Graf D, Di Cagno R, Fak F, Flint HJ, Nyman M, Saarela M, et al. Contribution of diet to the composition of the human gut microbiota. *Microb Ecol Health Dis.* (2015) 26:26164. doi: 10.3402/mehd.v26.26164

210. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, et al. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. *Nature*. (2012) 486:222–7. doi: 10.1038/nature11053

211. Odamaki T, Kato K, Sugahara H, Hashikura N, Takahashi S, Xiao JZ, et al. Age-related changes in gut microbiota composition from newborn to centenarian: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Microbiol.* (2016) 16:90. doi: 10.1186/s12866-016-0708-5

212. Nagpal R, Tsuji H, Takahashi T. Ontogenesis of the gut microbiota composition in healthy, full-term, vaginally born and breast-fed infants over the first 3 years of life: a quantitative bird's-eye view. *Front Microbiol.* (2017) 8:1388. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01388

213. Fiebiger U, Bereswill S, Heimesaat MM. Dissecting the interplay between intestinal microbiota and host immunity in health and disease: lessons learned from germfree and gnotobiotic animal models. *Eur J Microbiol Immunol.* (2016) 6:253–71. doi: 10.1556/1886.2016.00036

214. Riddle MS, Connor BA. The traveling microbiome. Curr Infect Dis Rep. (2016) 18:29. doi: 10.1007/s11908-016-0536-7

215. Cho I, Yamanishi S, Cox L. Antibiotics in early life alter the murine colonic microbiome and adiposity. *Nature*. (2012) 488:621–6. doi: 10.1038/nature11400

216. Hasan N, Yang HY. Factors affecting the composition of the gut microbiota, and its modulation. *Peerj.* (2019) 7:e7502. doi: 10.7717/peerj.7502