#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gengjun Chen, Kansas State University, United States

#### **REVIEWED BY**

Emmanuel Oladeji Alamu, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Zambia Thuan Chew Tan, Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Malaysia

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Muhammad Sameem Javed

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nutrition and Food Science Technology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 06 October 2022 ACCEPTED 30 November 2022 PUBLISHED 23 December 2022

#### CITATION

Shah F-u-H, Sharif MK, Ahmad Z, Amjad A, Javed MS, Suleman R, Sattar D-e-S, Amir M and Anwar MJ (2022) Nutritional characterization of the extrusion-processed micronutrient-fortified corn snacks enriched with protein and dietary fiber. *Front. Nutr.* 9:1062616. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1062616

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Shah, Sharif, Ahmad, Amjad, Javed, Suleman, Sattar, Amir and Anwar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Nutritional characterization of the extrusion-processed micronutrient-fortified corn snacks enriched with protein and dietary fiber

Faiz-ul-Hassan Shah<sup>1</sup>, Mian Kamran Sharif<sup>2</sup>, Zulfiqar Ahmad<sup>1</sup>, Adnan Amjad<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Sameem Javed<sup>4\*</sup>, Raheel Suleman<sup>5</sup>, Dur-e-Shahwar Sattar<sup>5</sup>, Muhammad Amir<sup>5</sup> and Muhammad Junaid Anwar<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture and Environment, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Punjab, Pakistan, <sup>2</sup>National Institute of Food Science and Technology, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan, <sup>3</sup>Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan, <sup>4</sup>Department of Food Safety and Quality Management, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan, <sup>5</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan

The current study focused on developing protein- and dietary fiber-enriched, micronutrient-fortified corn snacks using extrusion technology. Corn, soybean, and chickpea flour were used to develop micronutrient-fortified (Fe, Zn, I, and vitamin A, and C) extruded snacks, followed by an exploration of their nutritional traits. Soybean and chickpea were supplemented discretely (20-40/100 g) or in a combination of both (10:10, 15:15, and 20:20/100 g). According to the results, the relative proportion of the raw material composition was reflected in corn snacks' proximate composition and mineral and vitamin levels. Corn snacks with 40/100 g soy flour showed the best nutrient profile, with a maximum percent increase in protein (171.9%) and fiber (106%), as compared to the snacks developed using chickpea and/or mixed supplementation with soy and chickpea. Total dietary fiber  $(18.44 \pm 0.34\%)$ , soluble dietary fiber  $(10.65 \pm 0.13\%)$ , and insoluble dietary fiber (7.76  $\pm$  0.38%) were also found to be highest in the soy-supplemented snacks (40/100 g). It was discovered that 100 g of corn snacks could provide 115-127% of the RDA for iron, 77-82% of the RDA for zinc, 90-100% of the RDA for vitamin A, and 45-50% of the RDA for vitamin C. The results for the effect of extrusion processing on amino acids showed a 2.55-45.1% reduction in essential amino acids, with cysteine and valine showing the greatest decrease and leucine and tryptophan remaining relatively stable during extrusion.

#### KEYWORDS

extrusion, snacks, fortification, protein, dietary fiber

# 1. Introduction

South Asian countries are facing serious health issues because of malnutrition. The situation is worse in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh, where half of the world's malnourished children and women reside (1). Factors such as growth and development are mainly dependent on the status of human beings. Micronutrients are required for the normal functioning of the body, including for nerve impulse conduction, for normal physical and mental function, for maintaining electrolyte balance, for regulating blood pressure, and as part of most enzymes and hormones (2). Therefore, awareness of eradicating micronutrient malnutrition has improved over the last few years (3).

Nutrition-related problems could be best addressed by foodbased approaches. The use of food fortification to improve micronutrient status in a population (4, 5) without requiring any radical changes in food habits and reaching almost all sections of society (6) is one of the most effective and long-term approaches. The most important considerations are to calibrate the nutrient profile during fortification to avoid any toxicological effects, the use of multiple micronutrients, and the cost-effective addition of nutrients to foods (7).

The Composite Flour Program has been initiated by FAO, especially for the development of healthy bakery items for the masses. This initiative has paved the way to introduce an array of products with commercial significance, nutritional benefits, and additional advantages in functional and textural parameters. During the development of composite flours, factors such as consumer acceptability, nutritional quality, and functional and prophylactic benefits are considered (8). Composite flours are developed by supplementing cereal flours (rice, maize, sorghum, and pearl millet) with legume flours to alleviate prevailing malnutrition in South Asia without disturbing the quality of finished products. Despite many advancements, there are still many hurdles in preparing cereal, tuber, and legume-based formulations to replace the gluten in cereal-based products. Rice and maize as composite flours provide a better option to mimic gluten properties. Corn is an underutilized crop in Pakistan. The escalating prices of dietary staples such as wheat flour and rice in Pakistan have created an opportunity to utilize composite flour technology to produce diverse food products and to improve the economic access of the masses to food availability and security (9). In food processing, extrusion technology has been used commercially to develop shelf-stable food products. Extrusion has several advantages, including the development of the desired shape of products, the reduction of anti-nutritional factors, and improved digestibility and palatability of nutrients. During extrusion processing, the digestibility of the starch also increases due to its gelatinization (10). Corn (Zea mays L.) is an ideal ingredient for producing snacks through extrusion due to its starch content (11). Corn is one of the chief cash crops of Pakistan and is ranked third in importance after wheat and rice. Corn is used in various forms in the food processing industry. In developing countries, its utilization is limited to forage forms for livestock and poultry. Therefore, the production of value-added food products from corn is required.

Soybean (*Glycine max*) contains high levels of good-quality protein, making it an ideal option to increase the protein content of different food products. Soybeans contain nearly all the nutrients required for good health, including nine essential amino acids. Similarly, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), a good source of protein, especially after defatting, provides 25.3– 28.9% protein and is a substantial source of essential fatty acids, essential amino acids, and minerals (12). Guar gum is one of the excellent sources of dietary fiber, with a high concentration of soluble dietary fiber (75%) and insoluble dietary fiber (7.6%). Physiological, structural, chemical, and technological traits of soluble and insoluble dietary fiber are different. The addition of soluble dietary fiber, which forms a gel, results in increased satiety and gastric emptying time.

The consumption of snack foods has increased with the changing lifestyle of the 21st century. Snacks are foods that are typically smaller than a regular meal and consumed between meals. In the United States, children take snacks on average six times a day. Keeping in view the increased consumption of snacks in the diet, public departments in various countries, such as Health Canada, are recommending people replace conventional snacks with healthier snacks in their diet (13).

The current study was designed to formulate corn-based micronutrient-fortified extruded snacks enriched with protein by supplementing corn with soybean, chickpea, and dietary fiber from guar gum. These snacks not only fulfill the dietary requirements of the community but also help mitigate certain micronutrient and macronutrient deficiencies in children in developing countries. Therefore, it can serve as a miracle to alleviate the malnutrition burden.

# 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Procurement and preparation of raw materials

For this study, corn (*Zea mays*), soybeans (*Glycine max*), chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum*), and guar gum were procured from the local market in Faisalabad, Pakistan. Micronutrient premix (Fe, Zn, I, and vitamins A and C) was provided by Fortitech Inc. A vitamin/mineral pre-mix comprising five micronutrients used for fortification (Fe as NaFeEDTA, Zn as zinc oxide, I as sodium iodide, vitamin A as retinyl palmitate, and vitamin C as ascorbic acid) was provided by Fortitech in Schenectady, New York, USA. Megazyme total dietary fiber (TDF) test kits were procured from Novozymes, Karachi, Pakistan. All reagents and standards used in the study were procured from Sigma-Aldrich (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Physical impurities were

removed with manual cleaning. Dehulling and milling of both soybeans and chickpeas were carried out to get flour, followed by defatting with the solvent method. Defatted soy and chickpea flours were stored in separate polythene bags with the corn flour at  $25^{\circ}$ C for further use.

# 2.2. Analysis of the raw materials

#### 2.1.1. Proximate composition

Corn, soybean, and chickpea flours were analyzed for crude fat (AACC Method No. 30-25), crude protein (AACC method no. 46-10), moisture (AACC Method No. 46-30), crude fiber (AACC method no. 32-10), and total ash (AACC method no. 08-01) by the respective methods described by AACC (14).

#### 2.2.2. Mineral content

Raw materials (corn, soybean, and chickpea flours) were analyzed for a mineral profile by wet digestion according to the method given in AOAC (15). The sample (0.5) was first digested at low temperature (60–70 $^{\circ}$ C) with 10 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> for 20 min in a 100-mL conical flask on a hot plate. Then, it was digested at a high temperature (190°C) with 5 mL of concentrated HClO<sub>4</sub> until the contents of the flask became clear. The mineral contents of the samples were determined using the respective standard curves prepared for each element. Aliquots were used to estimate Na and K by a flame photometer (Sherwood Flame Photometer, Cambridge, UK). Sodium and potassium contents were determined by using the flame photometer 410 (Sherwood Scientific Ltd., Cambridge, UK), whereas magnesium, calcium, iodine, iron, and zinc contents were determined through an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Varian AA240, Varian Medical Systems Australasia Ltd., Belrose, Australia). The samples were quantified against standard solutions of known concentrations that were analyzed concurrently.

#### 2.2.3. Analysis of vitamins

AOAC, method no. 2012.09, was used for the determination of the vitamin A content of flour samples (15). In contrast, Hernández et al. (16) method was used for vitamin C determination.

#### 2.2.3.1. Vitamin A

Stock solutions ( $100 \mu g/mL$ ) of retinyl palmitate were separately prepared in 100 mL of absolute ethanol. The working standard ( $5 \mu g$  of retinyl palmitate/mL) was prepared by diluting 5 mL of retinyl palmitate stock solution to 100 mL with ethanol. The concentration of the working standard was determined by measuring absorbance at 328 nm and dividing it by the specific absorption coefficient E (1%/1 cm) of 975 for retinyl palmitate. Calibration was performed using a concentration range of 0.09–  $2.0 \ \mu$ g/mL.

Samples were diluted with hexane (1:10), and 200 mL of the sample was transferred to a screw-capped tube, followed by the addition of 600 mL of methanol. The solution was vortex mixed, followed by centrifugation (3,000 g, 5 min) and filtration through a 0.45 mm pore-size filter, and the aliquot was injected into the HPLC (17).

The HPLC system (Perkin Elmer-200 Series, PerkinElmer Life, and Analytical Sciences, USA) was used in this study. HPLC was assisted with a degasser, an auto-sampler, a binary pump, and a UV/Vis detector. A reversed-phase  $C_{18}$  column (150  $\times$  4.6 mm, 3.5  $\mu$ m particle sizes) was used. Total Chrome Software was used to evaluate and quantify. Acetonitrile/methanol (75:25%) was used as the mobile phase, which was delivered at a 1.0 mL/min flow rate and held at 40°C.

The sample volume to be injected into HPLC was 20  $\mu$ L with the (96:4) mobile phase consisting of methanol and water; elution was performed at a flow rate of 2 mL/min. The temperature of the analytical column was 45°C. Vitamin A was detected at 265 and 325 nm for 15 min. The quantification was performed using the retention times and peak areas of the standards and samples.

#### 2.2.3.2. Vitamin C

Then, 0.25 g of DCIP was dissolved in 500 mL of distilled water to prepare the 2,6-dichloroindophenol (DCIP) solution, followed by the addition of sodium bicarbonate (0.21 g). The final volume of the solution was made up to 1 L with the help of distilled water. The concentration of the DCIP was almost 250 mg of DCIP/L. The next step was the standardization of the DCIP solution. Subsequently, 5 mL of an ascorbic acid solution was carefully pipetted into a 250-mL Erlenmeyer flask. The concentration of standard ascorbic acid was recorded. Afterward, 2 mL of the sulfuric acid mixture was added, and about 25 mL of distilled water was added to the flask. The flask was swirled to mix the solution. Then, 50 mL of the DCIP solution was added to the burette. DCIP was used to titrate the ascorbic acid until a permanent light red or pink color appeared, which lasted more than 30 s. After standardization, a standard DCIP solution was used to titrate the sample until a permanent light red or pink appeared, which lasted for more than 30 s. Vitamin C was calculated by the oxidation balance (16).

## 2.3. Extrusion formulations

As shown in Table 1, different levels of soybean and chickpea flour were used for the preparation of extrusion formulations. All formulations contained 7 g of guar gum per 100 g, except Control 1 treatment. In all treatments, minor ingredients (table salt, distilled mono-glycerides, and lecithin) were added, along

TABLE 1 Extrusion formulations (g/100 g).

Treatments*	Guar gum	Corn flour	Soy flour	Chickpea flour
Control 1	-	97	-	-
Control 2	7	90	-	-
T1	7	70	20	-
T <sub>2</sub>	7	60	30	-
T <sub>3</sub>	7	50	40	_
$T_4$	7	70	-	20
T <sub>5</sub>	7	60	_	30
T <sub>6</sub>	7	50	-	40
T <sub>7</sub>	7	70	10	10
T <sub>8</sub>	7	60	15	15
Т9	7	50	20	20

\*The remaining formulation (3/100 g) was distilled mono-glycerides, table salt, and lecithin along with micronutrient premix comprising nutrients according to the percent daily value of added nutrients.

with a micronutrient premix (Fe, Zn, I, and vitamins A and C) as per 3 g of the RDA per 100 g of the treatment formulations.

# 2.4. Extrusion processing

A pilot-scale single screw extruder (DGP-50, Henan Manufacturer, China) with instrumental specifications, i.e., a feed rate of 30 kg/h, a barrel diameter of 50 mm, and a temperature of 120–180°C, was used. The temperature was maintained at  $150^{\circ}$ C during the processing of corn snacks with the help of water circulation and monitored with the help of a thermocouple. The die was fitted with one circular insert of 4.2 mm diameter × 18.90 mm length. The operating variable was adjusted with the help of a pre-run of the extruder so that products with desired physical and textural properties were obtained. As the product exited the extruder barrel, it was collected in the trays and cooled at room temperature, followed by the collection of samples in the zip-lock polythene bags for further analysis.

# 2.5. Chemical composition of micronutrient-fortified corn snacks

Proximate composition, mineral content, and vitamins A and C were determined by following the methods described earlier.

Megazyme Test Kit was used to determine the dietary fiber content of corn snacks using the AACC (Method 32-05) and AOAC (Method 985.29) methods. The details of the procedures are given as follows:

#### 2.5.1. Total dietary fiber (TDF)

The samples were dispersed in a buffer solution and incubated with heat-stable  $\alpha$ -amylase at a temperature of 95–100°C for 35 min. After cooling the samples to 60°C, 1001 of protease solution was added and incubated at 60°C for 30 min. Finally, these contents were incubated with amyloglucosidase at 60°C for 30 minutes. The fiber contents were precipitated by the addition of alcohol in 1:4 ratio. The contents were filtered and washed with alcohol and acetone. A blank was run through the entire procedure along with test samples to calculate any contribution from reagents to the residue.

## 2.5.2. Soluble dietary fiber (SDF)

The samples were dispersed in a buffer solution and incubated with heat-stable  $\alpha$ -amylase at 95–100°C for 35 min. After cooling the samples to 60°C, 100 µL of protease solution was added, and the contents were incubated at 60°C for 30 min. Finally, the contents by adding amyloglucosidase were incubated at a temperature of 60°C for 30 min. The residue after filtration was washed and rinsed with 10 mL of water. The filtrate and water washing were weighed, and soluble dietary fiber was precipitated with four volumes of ethyl alcohol. The contents were filtered and dried, and corrected for ash and protein contents. A blank was also run simultaneously through the entire procedure along with test samples to calculate any contribution from reagents to the residue.

#### 2.5.3. In-soluble dietary fiber (IDF)

The samples were dispersed in a buffer solution and incubated with heat-stable  $\alpha$ -amylase at a temperature of 95–100°C for 35 min. After cooling up to 60°C, the samples were incubated by adding 100 µL protease solutions at 60°C for 30 min, and then the contents were incubated by adding amyloglucosidase at 60°C for 30 min. The residue after filtration was washed and rinsed with 10 mL of water. The resultant residue was weighed, and soluble dietary fiber was precipitated with four volumes of ethyl alcohol. The contents were filtered, dried, and corrected for ash and protein contents. A blank was also run simultaneously through the entire procedure to calculate any contribution from reagents to the residue.

#### 2.5.4. Calorific value

The calorific value of the extruded snack was determined by using an oxygen bomb calorimeter (C2000 Basic, IKA-WERKE, Germany), as described by 9. The sample (0.5 g) was taken into the metallic decomposition vial. The vial was unscrewed and fastened by a cotton thread with a loop onto the middle of the ignition wire before loading the sample. Then, the screw cap was tightened. The decomposition vial was guided into the filler head through the open measuring cell cover until it was in place. The start button was pushed, and the measuring cell cover was closed. An electric spark was used to burn the sample contained within the vial. The heat produced was noted by C5040 CalWin software of the computer (IKA-Werke, Germany) and displayed as calories per gram of a sample.

## 2.6. Amino acids profile

The amino acid profile of the raw materials was assessed to calculate the relative amino acid concentration in the extrusion formulations as per proportion in the final formulation treatments, followed by the evaluation of the fortified corn snacks for the essential and non-essential amino acids. The amino acid composition of the extrusion formulations and extruded snacks was used to estimate the impact of extrusion processing on the amino acid profile in extruded fortified corn snacks. The amino acid profile of the snacks was determined through an automatic amino acid analyzer (Hitachi L8500, Tokyo, Japan) by following the method described by Adeyeye and Afolabi (18). Then, 30 mg of defatted ground sample, 5 µm of leucine, and 5 mL of 6 M HCl were filled in a glass ampule. Evacuation of the ampule was done using liquid nitrogen, followed by ampule sealing with a burner. The hydrolyzation of the ampoules was done in an oven at 110°C for 24 h. The tip of the ampoule was broken down to cool and filter the contents in it. The contents were dried in a rotary evaporator at 40°C under a vacuum. Acetate buffer (pH 2.2) was used for the preparation of samples for different amino acids. Subsequently, 5 µL of acetate buffer was used for neutral amino acids and 10 µL for basic amino acids. The resultant sample solution was dispensed into the amino acid analyzer cartridge. A peak area comparison of the standards and samples was made for the quantification of the amino acid content.

## 2.7. Statistical analysis

The collected data were subjected to statistical analysis using SPSS version 25. For the quantitative variables, frequency, percentages, and means (standard deviation) were used. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine the significance of the treatments used in the study. Tukey's honest significance test was used for posthoc analysis. The level of significance was taken as a *p*-value of  $\leq 0.005$  (19).

# 3. Results and discussion

Protein- and dietary fiber-enriched, nutrient-dense extruded snacks were developed using soy, chickpea, and corn flours, along with micronutrient fortification. Soybean, chickpea, and corn flours were subjected to chemical and nutritional analyses for the estimation of nutrient potential. The extruded snacks were studied for nutritional traits such as proximate composition, vitamins, minerals, amino acids, dietary fiber, and caloric evaluation.

# 3.1. Chemical composition of raw materials

The results of the proximate composition of raw materials (corn, soy, and chickpea flours) showed the highest moisture content (10.61  $\pm$  0.21%) in the corn flour and the lowest in the soy flour (7.20  $\pm$  0.27%). Soy flour had the highest protein level (46.2  $\pm$  0.17%), followed by chickpea flour (22.5  $\pm$  0.11%). Soy flour was subjected to defatting before use, which is why crude fat was lowest (1.22  $\pm$  0.13%) in defatted soy flour, followed by corn flour (3.86  $\pm$  0.07%) and chickpea flour (6.51  $\pm$  0.12%). The crude fiber of the chickpea flour was found to be the highest (17.5  $\pm$  0.08%) among all raw materials. Ash content was highest (3.06  $\pm$  0.03%) in soy flour among all the raw materials.

Mineral analysis depicted that corn and chickpeas were excellent sources of sodium, i.e.,  $57.3 \pm 4.2 \text{ mg/100 g}$  and  $64 \pm 5.4 \text{ mg/100 g}$ , respectively. Soybean showed a relatively low sodium content ( $20 \pm 1.5 \text{ mg/100 g}$ ). The highest levels of potassium, calcium, and magnesium ( $2,384 \pm 48.2 \text{ mg/100 g}$ ,  $241 \pm 25.3 \text{ mg/100 g}$ , and  $290 \pm 12.3 \text{ mg/100 g}$ , respectively) were found to be in soybeans. Zinc and iron contents, which ranged from  $1.73 \pm 0.10$  to  $2.81 \pm 0.14 \text{ mg/100 g}$  and  $2.38 \pm 0.64$  to  $9.24 \pm 0.71 \text{ mg/100 g}$ , respectively, were far below the daily requirements, which highlighted the need for the fortification of the food products containing corn, soybean, and chickpea as chief ingredients.

Corn showed maximum vitamin A content ( $214 \pm 5.3$ IU), followed by chickpea ( $41 \pm 2.2$ IU) and soy ( $40 \pm 3.1$ IU). It is worth mentioning that none of the raw materials contained vitamin C. It was concluded from the results that the fortification of vitamin C would be obligatory to fulfill nutrient requirements in the diet. The results for proximate composition, mineral composition, and vitamin composition were consistent with earlier findings (20–22).

# 3.2. Compositional and nutritional analysis of micronutrient-fortified corn snacks

Significant differences were observed for the crude protein, moisture, crude fat, crude fiber, and ash content in fortified corn snacks (Figure 1). It was noted that the lowest moisture level (3.40  $\pm$  0.02%) was present in corn snacks developed with 40/100 g of soy flour supplementation. Corn snacks developed without supplementation had a high moisture



content (5.400.01%). It can be concluded from the results that the supplementation with soy and chickpea flours resulted in decreased moisture content in the corn snacks. Varied moisture content (7.1  $\pm$  0.17 to 9.1  $\pm$  0.34%) in extruded snacks prepared using corn and rice was reported in another study as well (23). Means for crude protein content (7.600.10%) were lowest in corn snacks extruded without soy or chickpea flour supplementation. The maximum value (20.67  $\pm$  0.46%) of proteins was noticed in corn snacks developed with 40/100 g of soy flour supplementation, while the lowest value (14.0  $\pm$  0.50%) was noted in corn snacks developed with 20/100 g of soy flour supplementation. It was also concluded from the results that the protein contents of soy-supplemented corn snacks were higher than those of chickpea-supplemented snacks at each supplementation level.

A minimum amount of crude fat (0.79  $\pm$  0.01%) was found in non-supplemented corn snacks. An increase in crude fat was observed with increasing supplementation levels, and corn snacks supplemented with chickpea flour showed higher crude fat content as compared to both the soy-supplemented and mixed-supplemented snacks.

Ash content was lowest  $(2.50 \pm 0.03\%)$  in the nonsupplemented corn snacks, followed by corn snacks that contained only 7/100 g of guar gum  $(2.64 \pm 0.01\%)$ . Soy flourbased snacks had the highest ash content (3.390.02%) of any supplemented snack. It was also observed that the ash of soysupplemented corn snacks was higher than that of chickpeasupplemented corn snacks at each level of supplementation.

The proximate composition of the corn snacks revealed that the non-supplemented corn snacks contained the least amount of crude fiber (0.300.02%). Soy-supplemented corn snacks depicted the highest amount of crude fiber content as compared to others due to escalated levels of supplementation.

The results of the present research cohere with a previous study on the development of crisp from soy flour (25-40/100 g) and rice flour by supercritical fluid extrusion. Protein

(334–568%) and dietary fiber (571–901%) were improved in the final products due to soy flour supplementation (24). Another study suggested that the incorporation of soy flour into cereals can assist in overcoming the deficiency of the protein (25). Similarly, in a study, a novel source of protein, i.e., spirulina, was used to increase the protein content of dry pasta, with the amount of protein ranging from 12.91/100 to 23.49/100 g in the final products (26). Another study concluded that protein-rich (40–60/100 g dry basis) extruded products prepared from a soy protein isolate-corn flour blend can be prepared with minimum moisture, ranging from 2.2 to 3.5% (11).

## 3.3. Mineral profile

Fortified corn snacks showed significant differences in calcium, potassium, magnesium, sodium, iron, and zinc content in comparison with the control (Table 2). The maximum value ( $100.70 \pm 9.21 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g}$ ) of calcium was observed in corn snacks containing 40/100 g of soy flour, whereas the least value ( $6.62 \pm 0.59 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g}$ ) was observed in corn snacks extruded without soy or chickpea flour supplementation.

Corn snacks showed potassium levels ranging from 402.82  $\pm$  34.21 to 1,124.4  $\pm$  55.32 mg/100 g in the snacks that contained soy and chickpeas. In contrast, non-supplemented corn snacks contained 296.88  $\pm$  16.64 mg/100 g potassium. An analysis of treatment supplemented with soy or chickpea flour for magnesium content revealed a range of 102.98  $\pm$  9.53 to 166.92  $\pm$  4.98 mg/100 g among all the soy or chickpea flour-supplemented snacks. However, sodium content ranged from 7.67  $\pm$  0.74 to 28.35  $\pm$  1.23 mg/100 g among the soy- and chickpea-supplemented treatments. Non-supplemented corn snacks showed the lowest sodium content (4.71  $\pm$  0.21 mg/100 g), whereas the highest sodium content (28.35  $\pm$  1.23 mg/100 g of chickpea flour.

10.3389/fnut.2022	.1062616
-------------------	----------

Ireatments	Ca	¥	Mg	Na	Fe	Zn	Total dietary fiber	Soluble dietary fiber	Insoluble dietary fiber	Calorific value
CI	$6.62 \pm 0.59i$	296.88 ± 16.64i	88.36 ± 5.54f	$4.71 \pm 0.21$ j	$10.95\pm0.89c$	$10.65\pm0.43c$	$10.36\pm0.43c$	4.56 ± 0.21e	$5.80\pm0.21c$	3,933 ± 4.22f
C2	$6.65\pm0.53i$	297.27 ± 18.94i	89.93 ± 6.35f	$4.73\pm0.82j$	$10.27 \pm 1.32b$	$10.59\pm0.64c$	$15.40 \pm 0.67b$	8.33 ± 0.17d	$6.36\pm0.31\mathrm{bc}$	$3,910 \pm 3.68g$
T1	53.69 ± 4.21d	$710.50 \pm 25.32d$	$129.60 \pm 8.53c$	$7.67\pm0.74\mathrm{i}$	$15.49\pm1.01\mathrm{ab}$	$10.78\pm0.64 \mathrm{bc}$	$17.12\pm0.63ab$	$10.03\pm0.32 \mathrm{abc}$	$7.05\pm0.12ab$	$4,009 \pm 6.43e$
T2	76.58 ± 6.32b	913.84 ± 30.67b	$148.67 \pm 7.52b$	$9.25\pm0.22h$	$15.98\pm1.32ab$	$10.86\pm0.86abc$	17.78 ± 0.56ab	10.34 ± 0.22ab	$7.41\pm0.25 \mathrm{ab}$	4,030 ± 4.87d
Т3	$100.70 \pm 9.21a$	$1,124.4\pm55.32a$	166.92 土 4.98a	$10.69\pm0.82$ g	$17.03\pm1.56a$	$10.92\pm0.94 \mathrm{abc}$	18.44 ± 0.34a	$10.65\pm0.13a$	7.76 ± 0.38a	4,064±6.31a
T4	14.41 ± 1.32h	402.82 ± 34.21h	$102.98 \pm 9.53e$	$16.57\pm1.39d$	$14.47\pm0.93$ ab	$11.02 \pm 0.91$ abc	$15.50\pm0.61\mathrm{b}$	$8.60\pm0.42$ cd	$6.87\pm0.19 \mathrm{abc}$	$4,043 \pm 5.35c$
T5	18.04g ± 1.42h	$456.50 \pm 29.54$ g	$110.23 \pm 10.01 de$	22.44 ± 1.11b	$15.05\pm0.78ab$	11.23 ± 0.65ab	$15.60\pm0.52b$	$8.87\pm0.34$ bcd	$6.70 \pm 0.21$ abc	4,049 ± 4.62bc
Τ6	$21.93 \pm 2.03 \mathrm{g}$	$510.88 \pm 40.23 f$	$117.56 \pm 9.32d$	$28.35\pm1.23a$	$15.31\pm1.11ab$	$11.33\pm0.34a$	$15.77\pm0.53ab$	$9.41 \pm 0.13$ abcd	$7.04\pm0.15\mathrm{ab}$	4,061 ± 3.53ab
T7	$33.27 \pm 3.12f$	558.97 ± 49.32e	$115.04 \pm 10.65 d$	$12.14 \pm 1.76f$	$14.65\pm1.12ab$	$10.80\pm1.02 bc$	$16.36\pm0.61ab$	$9.45\pm0.21\mathrm{abcd}$	$6.88\pm0.20 abc$	$4,005 \pm 6.72e$
T8	$47.84 \pm 3.89e$	688.33 ± 54.34d	$128.51 \pm 9.43c$	$15.82 \pm 0.98e$	$15.22\pm1.26ab$	$10.85\pm0.53 \mathrm{bc}$	16.64 ± 0.48ab	$9.47\pm0.18$ abcd	$7.14\pm0.17 \mathrm{ab}$	$4,015 \pm 5.98e$
T9	$61.04 \pm 5.87c$	$816.55 \pm 38.2c$	142.42 ± 12.76b	$19.51\pm0.78c$	$15.64\pm1.43\mathrm{ab}$	$10.95\pm0.32 \mathrm{abc}$	$16.92\pm0.58\mathrm{ab}$	$9.49 \pm 0.17$ abcd	$7.40 \pm 0.19 \mathrm{ab}$	4,030 ± 3.47d

Soy and chickpea-supplemented corn snacks showed iron content ranging from 14.47  $\pm$  0.93 to 15.98 mg/100 g. In contrast, non-supplementation snacks contained 10.95  $\pm$  0.89 mg/100 g of iron. It was also noted that the iron level decreased to 10.27  $\pm$  1.32 mg/100 g with the addition of guar gum. Zinc was found to be more abundant in the snacks supplemented with chickpea flour as compared to soy flour, with the highest content of this nutrient (11.33  $\pm$  0.34 mg/100 g) in snacks made with 40/100 g of chickpea flour. However, a minimum (10.65  $\pm$  0.43 mg/100 g) level of zinc was noted in the non-supplemented snacks.

Existing literature suggests that the bioavailability of the minerals improves during extrusion processing owing to the inactivation of anti-nutritional factors, e.g., phytates (24, 27). Moreover, instead of harsh processing conditions, mineral content remains steady during extrusion, and nearly no mineral loss occurs. Some studies even observed an increase in iron, which is linked to the liberation of iron from complex molecules that increases its bioavailability (27). Similarly, another study that used superficial fluid extrusion to prepare micronutrient-fortified rice soy crisp found iron content ranging from 26.19 to 32.09 mg/100 g and zinc content ranging from 13.65 to 14.92 mg/100 g. The study revealed 100% retention of all added minerals, with even a 25% increase in iron level in the crisps (24). Another study revealed that 10% of the RDA of Zn can be filled by consuming spirulina-supplemented corn crisps (28).

# 3.4. Vitamin A and C content

Vitamin A, the content of the corn snacks, was observed at its maximum (4,962.0  $\pm$  20.12IU) in the non-supplemented corn snacks, followed by the corn snacks (4,950.5  $\pm$  19.32IU) containing 7 g/100 of guar gum (Figure 1). It was also noted that the lowest levels of soy, chickpeas, and mixed supplementation with soy and chickpea contain 4,820.2  $\pm$  17.43, 4,834.5  $\pm$  23.42, and 4,825.5  $\pm$  23.82 IU vitamin A, respectively. Corn is the best source of vitamin A among all the raw materials, as shown by the composition of the raw materials. As a result, corn-based snacks have a higher level of vitamin A. No statistical difference was observed regarding mean vitamin C content. This indicates that supplementation with soy and chickpea has no effect on the vitamin C content of the extruded snacks. The only source of vitamin C is the fortification of snacks with ascorbic acid.

The nutritional composition of the extruded product is mainly dependent on the processing variables of extrusion (29). Some studies utilizing novel extrusion processes retain about 50% of vitamins A and C at low-shear and low-temperature conditions (24). Similarly, 64–76% retention of vitamin C and 55–58% retention of vitamin A were noted in puffed rice made using supercritical fluid extrusion (27).

5:15/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T9, Corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour

chickpea flour; T5, corn snacks supplemented with 30/100 g of chickpea flour; T6,

corn snacks supplemented with 40/100 gof chickpea flour; 77, Corn snacks supplemented with 10:10/100 gof soy and chickpea flour; 78, corn snacks supplemented with

## 3.5. Dietary fiber

Dietary fiber in the corn snacks developed with 40/100 g of soy flour showed a maximum level of  $18.44 \pm 0.34\%$ , whereas a minimum level of  $10.36 \pm 0.43\%$  was observed in the non-supplemented corn snacks (Table 2). The total dietary fiber of the corn snacks containing soy flour was higher at each supplementation level as compared to the other snacks. The study conducted by Alonso et al. (30) and Varo showed an insignificant variation in total dietary fiber in the product extruded at  $161-180^{\circ}$ C. Although extrusion showed insignificant changes in the total dietary fiber, the distribution of the soluble to insoluble dietary fiber changed during extrusion (31).

Overall, soluble dietary fiber ranged from  $9.41 \pm 0.13$  to  $10.65 \pm 0.13\%$ . It was observed that dietary fiber increased with increased supplementation. Soy-supplemented corn snacks have more soluble dietary fiber as compared to the other treatments. Onwulata et al. (32) revealed a 10% increase in soluble dietary fiber after the extrusion of the food product.

As depicted in Table 2, the means for the insoluble dietary fiber of corn snacks showed that insoluble dietary fiber was lowest (5.80  $\pm$  0.21%) in the non-supplemented corn snacks, followed by corn snacks containing guar gum along with corn (6.36  $\pm$  0.31%). The insoluble dietary fiber of the

soy-supplemented corn snacks was higher than that of the remaining corn snacks at each supplementation level. Insoluble dietary fiber reduction is linked with extrusion processing, as presented in a study conducted on the extrusion of black beans and cereals (31).

# 3.6. Calorific value

The corn snack containing 40/100 g soy flour had the highest mean calorific value (40,646.31 kcal/g). The minimum calorific value (3,933  $\pm$  4.22 kcal/g) was noted in non-supplemented corn snacks. Overall, soy-supplemented corn snacks showed higher calorific values as compared to chickpea-supplemented snacks (Table 2).

# 3.7. Effect of extrusion on the amino acid composition of fortified corn snacks

The basic aim behind supplementing soy and chickpeas with corn was to improve the quantity and quality of protein in the final extruded product. Extrusion has both positive and negative effects on different amino acids. In the current study, first, raw materials, i.e., soy, chickpea, and corn flour, were analyzed for



10.3389/fnut.2022.1062616

#### TABLE 3 Amino acids composition (mg/100g) of extrusion formulation of corn snacks based on raw material composition.

Treatments				Essen	Essential amino acids								
	Cysteine	Isoleucine	Lucien	Lysine	Methi	onine	Phenyl	alanine	Threonine	Tryptophan	Tyrosine		
C1	$124.16\pm8.41\mathrm{f}$	$285.18 \pm \mathbf{3.22j}$	$979.70\pm9.15j$	$211.46\pm5.33i$	149.38	± 3.24g	397.70	$\pm$ 3.87j	$285.18\pm2.47j$	$49.47\pm2.43\mathrm{i}$	$372.48\pm5.46j$		
C2	$115.20\pm6.91g$	$264.60\pm o5.95k$	$909.43\pm7.17k$	$196.20\pm8.34j$	138.60	± 8.72h	369.23	± 4.35k	$264.60\pm9.60\text{kS}$	$45.90 \pm 1.78 \text{j}$	$345.60\pm6.54k$		
T1	$220.23\pm4.44c$	$569.80\pm8.51e$	$1,345.67 \pm 8.53$ d	$674.60\pm5.85d$	212.45	± 8.90d	691.43	± 9.07e	$517.87\pm4.67d$	$139.96\pm4.65\mathrm{f}$	$660.83 \pm 7.85e$		
T2	$272.40\pm2.12b$	$722.40\pm8.90b$	$1,563.45 \pm 9.35b$	$913.80\pm5.13a$	248.72	± 5.63b	852.12	± 7.25b	$644.44\pm5.83b$	$186.91\pm2.46c$	$818.41\pm9.35b$		
Т3	$324.80\pm8.72a$	875.33 ± 7.55a	$1,781.43 \pm 9.80a$	$115.34\pm9.24k$	285.40	± 7.50a	1,013.45	$\pm 4.53a$	$771.34\pm4.84a$	$233.92\pm8.72a$	976.23 ± 8.39a		
T4	$114.35\pm3.82h$	$400.23\pm 6.20\mathrm{i}$	$1,026.05 \pm 8.20i$	$427.55\pm5.42h$	176.20	$\pm$ 6.17f	512.32	$\pm 5.35i$	$321.45\pm7.10\mathrm{i}$	$96.90 \pm 1.57 h$	$463.65\pm7.54\mathrm{i}$		
T5	$113.95\pm3.24\mathrm{h}$	$468.34\pm4.60\mathrm{h}$	$1,084.75 \pm 9.14 \mathrm{h}$	$543.22\pm7.17g$	195.34	± 0.08e	583.50	$\pm$ 4.97h	$349.87\pm9.35h$	$122.44\pm2.46g$	$522.65\pm4.14h$		
Т6	$113.53\pm2.40h$	$535.85\pm5.67\mathrm{f}$	$1,\!143.12\pm7.18g$	$658.90\pm3.95e$	213.85	± 2.50d	655.23	$\pm 6.35 f$	$378.33\pm8.34g$	$147.92\pm3.75e$	$581.70\pm5.20\mathrm{f}$		
Τ7	$167.17\pm2.65e$	$485.13\pm5.18g$	$1,\!185.52\pm 4.60{ m f}$	$551.07\pm4.40\mathrm{f}$	194.13	± 3.58	601.50	± 8.93g	$419.62\pm2.54\mathrm{f}$	$118.42\pm1.45h$	$562.25\pm4.80g$		
Т8	$193.16\pm2.44d$	$595.24\pm7.70d$	$1,323.78\pm9.80e$	$728.51\pm5.20c$	221.85	± 2.98c	717.75	± 8.56d	$497.13\pm5.30e$	$154.65\pm3.53d$	$670.53\pm4.35d$		
Т9	$219.15\pm5.23c$	$705.42\pm2.48c$	$1,462.05 \pm 2.40c$	$905.95\pm9.15b$	249.62	± 5.72b	834.42	± 5.20c	$574.65\pm2.69c$	$190.92\pm3.24b$	$778.85\pm3.53c$		
Treatments				Non-Es	sential a	mino aci	ids						
	Alanine	Arginine	Aspartic acid	Glutamic a	icid	Glyo	cine	Histi	dine Pr	oline	Serine		
C1	$583.94\pm7.64j$	$323.01\pm3.20 j$	$496.64\pm3.95j$	$1,600.5 \pm 6.1$	1h 285.18 ± 2.		± 2.23i	211.46	± 3.25i 695.4	$19 \pm 2.53$ j	$397.70\pm7.43$ j		
C2	$541.80\pm7.25k$	$299.70\pm2.24k$	$460.80\pm2.51k$	$1,485.78 \pm 5.$	32i	264.60 ± 3.76j 196.20		196.20 :	$\pm 1.41j$ 645.30 $\pm 5.83k$		$369.53 \pm 8.75 \mathrm{k}$		
T1	$773.46\pm 6.94e$	$819.10\pm5.42\mathrm{f}$	$1,310.48 \pm 9.35e$	2,679.64 ± 10	.2d 543.80 ± 6.28d 36		360.68 =	± 3.24d 945.9	$2 \pm 4.97$ d	$705.34 \pm 4.34d$			
T2	$889.25\pm3.20b$	$1,078.87 \pm 6.17c$	$1,735.20 \pm 8.42b$	3,276.78 ± 20	.2b 683.40 ± 7.21b		± 7.21b	442.86 ± 2.99b		$21 \pm 8.22b$	$873.24\pm3.20\mathrm{b}$		
Т3	$1,005.55 \pm 9.74a$	$1,338.55 \pm 5.35a$	$2,\!160.56\pm9.42a$	3,873.78 ± 18	3.1a 823.		± 6.42a 525.46		± 5.32a 1,246.	52 ± 9.30a 1	,041.76 ± 5.42a		
T4	$633.35\pm4.54\mathrm{i}$	$636.34\pm5.42\mathrm{i}$	$785.45\pm5.21\mathrm{i}$	1,873.65 ± 10	.2g	$367.35\pm2.18\mathrm{h}$		273.22 =	± 2.87h 685.0	$05 \pm 6.32i$	$420.20 \pm 6.11$ i		
T5	$679.13\pm4.23h$	$804.63\pm5.20g$	$947.17\pm8.01\mathrm{h}$	2,067.98 ± 9.	81f 418.73 ±		± 5.32g	5.32g 311.74 ± 3.64g		$3 \pm 7.17h$	$445.83\pm5.23\mathrm{h}$		
Т6	$724.95\pm7.62\mathrm{f}$	$972.95\pm8.56d$	$1,109.27 \pm 9.98 \mathrm{f}$	$2,262.30 \pm 10$	.4e	4e 470.10 ±		37e 350.22 ± 2.34e		$0 \pm 4.22g$	$471.42\pm8.37g$		
Τ7	$703.38\pm5.51g$	$727.77\pm8.93\mathrm{h}$	$1,047.74 \pm 8.29$ g	2,276.33 ± 9.8	31e	455.58	± 4.99f	± 4.99f 316.94 ± 5.10f		$8 \pm 7.32 f$	$562.61\pm7.42\mathrm{f}$		
T8	$784.16\pm\pm8.23d$	$941.78\pm 6.35e$	1,341.15 ± 9.13d	2,671.99 ± 10	.9d	551.06	± 6.98d	98d 377.25 ± 4.26c		6 ± 6.91e	$659.42 \pm 5.45e$		
Т9	864.95 ± 4.12c	$1,155.70 \pm 4.97b$	1,634.63 ± 7.99c	$3,067.65 \pm 12$	.2c	2c 646.55 ±		$\pm 5.62c$ 437.64 $\pm 3.53b$		5 ± 7.77c	$756.20 \pm 6.98c$		

The means carrying the same letter in a column differed non-significantly (p > 0.05).

C1, control 1; C2, control 2; T1, corn snacks supplemented with 20/100 g of soy flour; T2, corn snacks supplemented with 30/100 g of soy flour; T3, corn snacks supplemented with 40/100 g of soy flour; T4, corn snacks supplemented with 20/100 g of chickpea flour; T5, corn snacks supplemented with 40/100 g of soy flour; T4, corn snacks supplemented with 20/100 g of soy flour; T4, corn snacks supplemented with 40/100 g of soy flour; T4, corn snacks supplemented with 40/100 g of soy flour; T4, corn snacks supplemented with 40/100 g of soy flour; T6, corn snacks supplemented with 40/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T6, corn snacks supplemented with 10:10/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 10:10/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T9, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour.

TABLE 4 Means for the effect of treatments on essential and non-essential amino acids (mg/100g) of extruded corn snacks.

Treatments	Cysteine	Isoleucine	Lucien	Lysin	e Methionine	e Pr	nenylalanine	Threonine	Trypto	ophan	Tyrosine	Valine
C1	$68.160\pm3.23g$	$145.79\pm4.22e$	$987.54 \pm 3.35$	199.41 ±	5.07j 149.38 $\pm$ 6.42f		$376.62 \pm 4.34 \mathrm{j}$	$280.33\pm 6.53j$	49.770	± 3.92j	$287.37 \pm 4.41$	h $363.91 \pm 5.25i$
C2	$63.480 \pm 4.14\mathrm{i}$	$135.41\pm 6.41\mathrm{f}$	$918.09 \pm 5.06$	185.61 ± 3	3.01k 138.60 $\pm$ 7.51f	:	$346.86\pm6.41\mathrm{k}$	$260.37\pm4.41$	46.310 :	± 4.63k	$267.18\pm6.31$	i 336.61 ± 3.22j
T1	$121.66\pm5.02c$	$207.34\pm2.29d$	$1,361.1 \pm 21.7$	d $640.20 \pm 1$	0.03e 212.00 $\pm$ 4.32c		$651.61 \pm 3.14e$	$508.48\pm3.33d$	140.32	$\pm$ 8.95f	457.33 ± 3.53	d $642.30 \pm 5.04d$
T2	$151.18\pm3.12b$	$243.48\pm5.5b$	$1,584.9 \pm 51.8$	869.94±9	9.05b $248.70 \pm 7.32b$		805.99 ± 7.05b	$636.67\pm5.66b$	187.27	± 6.37c	$551.81 \pm 6.62$	b 793.85 ± 3.75b
T3	$180.91\pm 6.33a$	$276.84\pm2.35a$	$1,809.5 \pm 62.2$	a 1,101.1 ± -	41.9a 285.40 ± 3.53a		961.34 ± 51.4a	$762.52\pm8.37a$	235.77	± 7.58a	$650.60 \pm 2.77$	a 955.50 ± 6.57a
T4	$63.920\pm4.51\mathrm{h}$	$171.09\pm2.54e$	$1,044.5 \pm 27.5$	i 409.59 ± 5	5.73i 176.20 $\pm$ 3.71e		$482.30\pm4.73\mathrm{i}$	$312.77\pm5.46\mathrm{i}$	97.380	± 2.56i	$326.89 \pm 6.33$	g $451.60 \pm 2.73h$
T5	$62.320\pm3.01j$	$190.13\pm 6.26\text{d}$	$1,091.1 \pm 66.9$	h 510.63 $\pm$ 3	3.64h 195.00 $\pm$ 7.41d	4	$550.82\pm7.63h$	$336.93\pm 6.43\mathrm{h}$	122.64	± 8.54g	$359.01 \pm 3.72$	f $508.56 \pm 6.44g$
Т6	$61.860\pm5.62\mathrm{k}$	$208.24\pm 6.65c$	$1,147.7 \pm 63.6$	g 617.39±0	6.58f $213.80 \pm 6.71c$		$616.36\pm3.29\mathrm{f}$	$371.87\pm7.64g$	148.05	± 6.46e	389.44 ± 8.82	e 567.16 ± 8.79e
T7	$90.780\pm1.42\mathrm{f}$	$188.86\pm8.74\text{d}$	$1,187.9 \pm 30.7$	f 514.70 $\pm 3$	$3.29g$ 194.10 $\pm$ 5.82d		$568.42\pm7.37g$	$414.17\pm3.83\mathrm{f}$	118.40 :	± 1.55h	$393.57\pm9.93$	e $549.29 \pm 5.38f$
T8	$104.50\pm6.71e$	$215.64\pm4.28b$	1,323.8 ± 71.8	e $678.25 \pm 7$	7.28d $221.85 \pm 4.42c$		$676.84 \pm 2.46d$	$486.20\pm5.32e$	153.88 :	± 5.34d	$456.29 \pm 4.08$	d 653.77 ± 4.37d
Т9	$118.13\pm8.23d$	$242.36\pm3.66b$	$1,459.1 \pm 71.9$	c 840.73 ± 4	4.43c 249.60 $\pm$ 8.31b		$787.30 \pm 4.57c$	$566.04\pm3.51c$	188.05 :	± 3.43b	$516.73\pm3.01$	c $758.60 \pm 7.05c$
	Alanine	Arginir	ne Asp	artic acid	Glutamic ac	d	Glycine	Histic	dine	Pr	oline	Serine
C1	$418.68\pm8.31j$	$307.51 \pm 6$	.31i 46	$4.36 \pm 9.32 \mathrm{j}$	$1,525.3 \pm 13.44h$	l	$257.80 \pm 7.42j$ 20		2.41g	682.2	$8 \pm 4.43i$	$375.43 \pm \mathbf{6.01j}$
C2	$389.55\pm7.52k$	$285.91\pm3$	.41j 43	$.69 \pm 8.21 \mathrm{k}$	$1,412.2 \pm 15.33i$		$238.93 \pm 4.31$	k 191.49 ±	= 4.31g 635.6		$2 \pm 7.32k$	$350.18\pm4.41k$
T1	$552.21\pm5.65e$	$784.70\pm 6$	.09f 1,22	$9.2 \pm 15.17e$	$2,561.1 \pm 20.54c$	l	497.58 ± 6.45	e 350.14 ±	± 2.63d 923.2		$0 \pm 5.67 d$	$666.93\pm 6.35d$
T2	$634.00\pm8.04b$	1,024.9 ± 1	3.56c 1,62	$5.9 \pm 23.26b$	3,128.6 ± 26.30b	)	626.68 ± 8.65	b 433.50 ±	<u>= 6.05b 1,091.</u>		8 ± 14.46b	$823.24\pm4.76b$
T3	$720.59\pm9.35a$	$1,270.2 \pm 1$	5.38a 2,02	$3.9 \pm 29.28a$	3,710.3 ± 31.64a		747.28 ± 4.33	a 509.77 ±	$509.77\pm6.46a$		6 ± 18.06a	$978.54\pm3.47a$
T4	$457.28\pm6.63\mathrm{i}$	$602.58 \pm 10$	0.82h 73	$.62\pm8.39\mathrm{i}$	$1,780.0 \pm 14.77$ g	;	$331.35 \pm 7.24$	.35 ± 7.24i 267.46 ±		$46 \pm 7.22 f$ 672.7		$398.77\pm7.24\mathrm{i}$
T5	$492.37\pm4.74h$	774.83 ± 9	.05f 88	$.33 \pm 7.59 h$	1,966.6 ± 12.971		377.27 ± 5.57	h $302.97 \pm 4.62e$		$697.17\pm9.36h$		$421.73\pm8.73h$
Т6	$508.88\pm 6.06\mathrm{f}$	933.01 ± 12	2.33d 1,0	$7.1 \pm 10.14 \mathrm{f}$	2,162.8 ± 27.256	:	426.38 ± 8.77	'f 339.69 ±	5.64d	711.0	$3\pm7.27g$	$444.53\pm5.86g$
Τ7	$500.80\pm7.68g$	692.04 ± 11	52g 975	$41 \pm 12.08$ g	$2,176.2 \pm 18.306$		$412.75 \pm 4.09$	g 308.98 ±	9.83e	798.3	$5 \pm 5.69 \mathrm{f}$	$531.66\pm9.44\mathrm{f}$
Т8	$555.97\pm4.69d$	898.38 ± 18	3.98e 1,24	$7.3 \pm 18.12$ d	$2,562.4 \pm 27.21c$	l	500.92 ± 7.36	d 369.33 ±	4.36c	896.9	$6 \pm 8.88e$	$625.77\pm 6.84e$
Т9	$616.72\pm3.88c$	$1,088.7 \pm 12$	2.46b 1,52	$0.2 \pm 21.20c$	$2,938.8 \pm 14.706$		$590.96 \pm 9.66$	$2  424.47 \pm 5.75b$		971.8	$6 \pm 7.49c$	$717.63\pm7.45c$

The means carrying the same letter in a column differed non-significantly (p > 0.05); C1, control 1; C2, control 2; T1, corn snacks supplemented with 20/100 g of soy flour; T2, corn snacks supplemented with 30/100 g of soy flour; T3, corn snacks supplemented with 40/100 g of soy flour; T4, corn snacks supplemented with 20/100 g of chickpea flour; T5, corn snacks supplemented with 30/100 g of chickpea flour; T7, corn snacks supplemented with 10:10/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T6, corn snacks supplemented with 10:10/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 10:10/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 10:10/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented with 20:20/100 g of soy and chickpea flour; T8, corn snacks supplemented

the amino acid profile. The results are summarized in Figure 2. in an These concentrations of the amino acids were used to compute that the amino acid profile of the extrusion premixes based on their proportion in the final formulation. The amino acid profile 116, was evaluated using the essential and non-essential amino acid effect composition (mg/100 g) of extruded corn spacks based on the

composition (mg/100 g) of extruded corn snacks based on the raw material composition. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 3. The means for the essential and non-essential amino acids (mg/100 g) of extruded fortified corn snacks are shown in Table 4.

These values of the amino acid composition of the extrusion formulations were compared with the experimental results of the final extruded snacks' amino acid profile to estimate the effect of extrusion processing on amino acid retention. The percent change during extrusion for the essential and nonessential amino acids is shown in Figure 2C. The results revealed that the amino acid that is affected the most by extrusion processing is cysteine (45.1%). Essential amino acids sensitive to extrusion processing can be arranged in the following descending order, starting from most affected to least affected, i.e., lysine, phenylalanine, isoleucine, tyrosine, methionine, and valine, with reduction percentages of 5.7, 5.6, 3.78, 3.47, 2.65, and 2.55%, respectively. Leucine (0.83%) and tryptophan (0.15%) are among the essential amino acids that remained almost unaffected during extrusion processing. Among the nonessential amino acids, the most unstable is alanine (-28.52%). Similarly, as per the results of the study, non-essential amino acids could be arranged in descending order, starting from most affected to least affected, i.e., glycine, aspartic acid, serine, arginine, glutamic acid, histidine, and proline, with reduction percentages of 9.22, 6.12, 5.43, 4.98, 4.52, 2.62, and 1.57%, respectively. This increase is probably due to the inactivation of anti-nutritional compounds such as phytates and trypsin. However, heat-sensitive amino acids' levels decreased during extrusion; the operating temperature of conventional extrusion is always more than 100°C to change the moisture in the recipe to water vapors, which in turn gives extrudates their characteristic puffiness. Another reason is the Millard reaction between sugars and amino acids due to heat during extrusion. It is a leading cause of amino acid loss during extrusion. The findings of the current study were verified by the results of the previous studies. In a study, the effect of heat treatment on the retention of amino acids in infant formula was studied. The results of the study revealed that amino acid destruction during autoclaving is almost 19.5% greater than the usual preparation method that does not include heat treatment. The study reported losses in the range of 4.1-71.5%. Maximum reductions were observed in valine, glutamine, and lysine, i.e., 71.5, 60.6, and 39.2%, respectively. Overall, 28.17% of essential and 27.13% of non-essential amino acids were affected by heat treatment (18). Another study conducted on amino acid retention in canned baby foods also provided similar results on heat treatment of the amino acid profile. It was reported that there was a decrease in amino acids during heat processing; however, it also showed that isoleucine remained unaffected by the heat treatment. The percent recovery of phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine was 116, 107, and 102%, respectively, which indicates the positive effect of heat treatment on these amino acids (33, 34).

# Conclusions

Supplementing corn snacks with soy and chickpeas increased the protein and dietary fiber content of the snacks. Soy-fortified snacks were nutritionally superior to chickpeasupplemented snacks. Corn snacks, developed by using 40/100 g soy flour, showed a high content of protein  $(20.67 \pm 0.46\%)$ , dietary fiber  $(18.44 \pm 0.34/100 \text{ g})$ , calcium  $(100.70 \pm 9.21 \text{ mg/100 g})$ , magnesium  $(166.92 \pm 4.98 \text{ mg/100 g})$ , potassium  $(1,124.4 \pm 55.32 \text{ mg/100 g})$ , and iron  $(17.03 \pm 1.56 \text{ mg/100 g})$  contents. The supplementation with soy and chickpea improved balance in the amino acid profile of the corn snacks. Amino acids such as lysine (5.70%), phenylalanine (5.6%), isoleucine (3.78%), tyrosine (3.47%), methionine (2.65%), and valine (2.55%) decrease when processed by extrusion technology to produce corn snacks.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

F-u-HS: writing—original draft. MS: conceptualization. ZA and RS: review and editing. AA: investigation and supervision. MJ: conducting research and performing the experiments, data analysis resources provision, and formal analysis. D-e-SS: validation and verification of data. MA: data interpretation. MJA: formal analysis. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan and is part of the thesis submitted to the University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, for the award of a degree.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. United Nations Children's Fund. *Improving Child Nutrition: The Achievable Imperative for Global Progress.* New York, NY: United Nations Children's Fund (2013). Available online at: https://data.unicef.org/resources/improving-child-nutrition-the-achievable-imperative-for-global-progress (accessed November 10, 2020).

2. Shah FUH, Sharif MK, Bashir S, Ahsan F. Role of healthy extruded snacks to mitigate malnutrition. *Food Rev. Int.* (2019) 35:299–323. doi: 10.1080/87559129.2018.1542534

3. Altaf U, Hussain SZ, Qadri T, Iftikhar F, Naseer B, Rather AH. Investigation on mild extrusion cooking for development of snacks using rice and chickpea flour blends. *J Food Sci Technol.* (2021) 58:1143–55. doi: 10.1007/s13197-020-04 628-7

4. World Health Organization. Supplementary Foods for the Management of Moderate Acute Malnutrition in Infants and Children 6–59 Months of Age. Geneva: World Health Organization (2012). Available online at: https://www.who.int/ nutrition/publications/moderate-malnutrition/9789241504423/en/ (accessed February 18, 2021).

5. Shah FUH, Sharif MK, Butt MS, Shahid M. Development of protein, dietary fiber, and micronutrient enriched extruded corn snacks. *J Text Stud.* (2017) 48:221–30. doi: 10.1111/jtxs.12231

6. Darnton-Hill I, Nalubola R. Fortification strategies to meet micronutrient needs: sucesses and failures. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (2002) 61:231-41. doi: 10.1079/PNS2002150

7. Reshi M, Shafiq F, Hussain SZ, Naseer B, Amin T. Physicochemical properties of iron-fortified, low glycemic index (GI) barley based extruded ready-to-eat snacks developed using twin-screw extruder. *J Food Process Preserv.* (2020) 44:14606. doi: 10.1111/jfpp.14606

8. Muldabekova BZ, Umirzakova GA, Assangaliyeva ZR, Maliktayeva PM, Zheldybayeva AA, Yakiyayeva MA. Nutritional evaluation of buns developed from chickpea-mung bean composite flour and sugar beet powder. *Int J Food Sci.* (2022) 2022:9998. doi: 10.1155/2022/6009998

9. Hasmadi M, Noorfarahzilah M, Noraidah H, Zainol MK, Jahurul MHA. Functional properties of composite flour: a review. *Food Res.* (2020) 4:1820–31. doi: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(6).419

10. Stojceska V, Ainsworth P, Plunkett A, Ibanoglu S. The advantage of using extrusion processing for increasing dietary fibre level in gluten-free products. *Food Chem.* (2010) 121:156–64. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2009. 12.024

11. Lai YC, Wang SY, Gao HY, Nguyen KM, Nguyen CH, Shih MC, et al. Physicochemical properties of starches and expression and activity of starch biosynthesis-related genes in sweet potatoes. *Food Chem.* (2016) 199:556–64. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.12.053

12. Zia-Ul-Haq M, Iqbal S, Ahmad S, Imran M, Niaz A, Bhanger MI. Nutritional and compositional study of desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars grown in Punjab, Pakistan. *Food Chem.* (2007) 105:1357–63. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.05.004

13. Smart Snacking. *Canada's Food Guide*. (2012). Available online at: http://en. wikipedia.org/wiki/Snack\_food (accessed October 25, 2020).

14. AACC. Approved Methods of American Association of Cereal Chemists, 10th ed. St. Paul, MN: The American Association of Cereal Chemists (2000).

15. AOAC. Association of Official Analysis Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis 18th edn. Arlington, VA: AOAC Press (2012).

16. Hernández Y, Lobo MG, González M. Determination of vitamin C in tropical fruits: a comparative evaluation of methods. *Food Chem.* (2006) 96:654–64. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.04.012

17. Ryynänen M, Lampi AM, Salo-Väänänen P, Ollilainen V, Piironen V. A small-scale sample preparation method with HPLC analysis for determination

of tocopherols and tocotrienols in cereals. J Food Comp Anal. (2004) 17:749–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2003.09.014

18. Adeyeye EI, Afolabi EO. Amino acid composition of three different types of land snails consumed in Nigeria. *Food Chem.* (2004) 85:535–9. doi: 10.1016/S0308-8146(03)00247-4

19. Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA. Principles and procedures of statistics. In: *A Biometrical Approach, 3rd edn*. New York, NY: McGraw HillBook Co. Inc. (1997), p. 352–399.

20. Pérez AA, Drago SR, Carrara CR, De Greef DM, Torres RL, González RJ. Extrusion cooking of a maize/soybean mixture: factors affecting expanded product characteristics and flour dispersion viscosity. *J Food Eng.* (2008) 87:333–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.12.008

21. Cordesse R. Value of chickpea as animal feed. In: Saxena MC, Cubero JI, Wery J, editors. *Present Status and Future Prospects of Chickpea Crop Production and Improvement in the Mediterranean Countries*. Zaragoza: International Centre for Advanced Mediterranean Agronomic Studies (1990). p. 127–31. Available online at: https://om.ciheam.org/om/pdf/a09/91605019.pdf (accessed February 18, 2021).

22. Kaisangsri N, Kowalski RJ, Wijesekara I, Kerdchoechuen O, Laohakunjit N, Ganjyal GM. Carrot pomace enhances the expansion and nutritional quality of corn starch extrudates. *LWT-Food Sci Technol.* (2016) 68:391–9. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2015.12.016

23. Pastor-Cavada E, Drago SR, González RJ, Juan R, Pastor JE, Alaiz M, et al. Effects of the addition of wild legumes (*Lathyrus annuus* and *Lathyrus clymenum*) on the physical and nutritional properties of extruded products based on whole corn and brown rice. *Food Chem.* (2011) 128:961–7. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.03.126

24. Sharif MK, Rizvi SS, Paraman I. Characterization of supercritical fluid extrusion processed rice-soy crisps fortified with micronutrients and soy protein. *LWT Food Sci Technol.* (2014) 56:414–20. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2013.10.042

25. Nicole M, Fei HY, Claver IP. Characterization of ready-to-eat composite porridge flours made by soy-maize-sorghum-wheat extrusion cooking process. *Pak J Nutr.* (2010) 9:171–8. doi: 10.3923/pjn.2010.171.178

26. Baik OD, Marcotte M. Modeling the moisture diffusivity in a baking cake. J Food Eng. (2003) 56:27–36. doi: 10.1016/S0260-8774(02)00144-9

27. Paraman I, Wagner ME, Rizvi SS. Micronutrient and protein-fortified whole grain puffed rice made by supercritical fluid extrusion. *J Agric Food Chem.* (2012) 60:11188–94. doi: 10.1021/jf3034804

28. Voltarelli FA, Araujo BM, Moura LP, Garcia A, Silva CMS, Junior RCV, et al. Nutrition recovery with spirulina diet improves body growth and muscle protein of protein-restricted rats. *Int J Nutr Metab.* (2011) 3:22–30. doi: 10.5897/IJNAM.9000028

29. Riaz MN. Healthy baking with soy ingredients. Cereal Foods World. (1999) 44:136-9.

30. Alonso R, Rubio LA, Muzquiz M, Marzo F. The effect of extrusion cooking on mineral bioavailability in pea and kidney bean seed meals. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2001) 94:1–13. doi: 10.1016/S0377-8401(01)00302-9

31. Berrios JDJ. Extrusion cooking of legumes: dry bean flours. *Encyclopedia Agric Food Boil Eng.* (2006) 1:1–8. doi: 10.1081/E-EAFE-120041506

32. Onwulata CI, Konstance RP, Smith PW, Holsinger VH. Co-extrusion of dietary fiber and milk proteins in expanded corn products. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* (2001) 34:424–9. doi: 10.1006/fstl.2000.0742

33. American Association of Cereal Chemists and Approved Methods Committee. *Approved Methods of the American Association of Cereal Chemists, Vol. 1.* Saint Paul, MN: Amer. Assn. of Cereal Chemists (2000).

34. Saadat S, Akhtar S, Ismail T, Sharif MK, Shabbir U, Ahmad N, et al. Multilegume bar prepared from extruded legumes flour to address protein energy malnutrition. *Ital J Food Sci.* (2020) 32:1559. doi: 10.14674/IJFS-1559