Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Marco Cintoni, Clinical Nutrition Unit, Fondazione Policlinico Agostino Gemelli (IRCCS), Italy

REVIEWED BY

Ulrike Löber, Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine, HZ, Germany Hai-yue Liu, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Shinji Fukuda ⊠ sfukuda@metagen.co.jp

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Clinical Nutrition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 23 October 2022 ACCEPTED 12 December 2022 PUBLISHED 05 January 2023

CITATION

Nishimoto Y, Fujisawa K, Ukawa Y, Kudoh M, Funahashi K, Kishimoto Y and Fukuda S (2023) Effect of urolithin A on the improvement of vascular endothelial function depends on the gut microbiota. *Front. Nutr.* 9:1077534. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1077534

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Nishimoto, Fujisawa, Ukawa, Kudoh, Funahashi, Kishimoto and Fukuda. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effect of urolithin A on the improvement of vascular endothelial function depends on the gut microbiota

Yuichiro Nishimoto¹, Kota Fujisawa^{1,2}, Yuichi Ukawa³, Masatake Kudoh⁴, Kazuki Funahashi¹, Yoshimi Kishimoto⁵ and Shinji Fukuda^{1,6,7,8,9}*

¹Metagen Inc., Tsuruoka, Japan, ²Department of Life Science and Technology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, ³Healthcare SBU, DAICEL Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, ⁴Healthcare SBU, DAICEL Corporation, Myoko, Japan, ⁵Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Setsunan University, Hirakata, Japan, ⁶Institute for Advanced Biosciences, Keio University, Tsuruoka, Japan, ⁷Gut Environmental Design Group, Kanagawa Institute of Industrial Science and Technology, Kawasaki, Japan, ⁸Transborder Medical Research Center, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan, ⁹Laboratory for Regenerative Microbiology, Juntendo University Graduate School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

Background: Urolithin A (UA) is a metabolite produced by gut microbiota from ingested ellagic acid. Although the effect of ellagic acid intake on vascular endothelial function (VEF) improvement has been reported, the effect of UA intake on VEF improvement remains obscure. In addition, UA has been reported to improve the intestinal barrier function, and UA may have improved VEF by gut microbiome alteration.

Objective: In this study, we conducted a clinical trial to explore and analyze the effects of UA intake on vascular endothelial function (VEF) and characteristics of the intestinal environment, such as gut microbiome profiling and organic acid composition.

Methods: A placebo-controlled, randomized, double-blinded, parallel group trial was conducted on participants who could metabolize small amounts of UA from ellagic acid (non-UA producers) and had relatively poor VEF. VEF was assessed using the flow-mediated vasodilatation (FMD) score. Participants were administered placebo, UA 10 mg/day, or UA 50 mg/day for 12 weeks. FMD was measured and fecal samples were collected at 0, 4, 8, and 12 weeks of treatment. Gut microbiome analysis and organic acid level measurements were performed to evaluate the effects of UA intake on the intestinal environment. This clinical trial is publicly registered at the UMIN-CTR, trial number: UMIN000042014.

Results: The gut microbiota of the UA 50 mg/day group showed a significant increase in alpha diversity (Faith's phylogenetic diversity). Four and nine microbial genera were significantly altered in the UA 10 mg/day and UA 50 mg/day groups, respectively (p < 0.05, not corrected). Participants whose

FMD scores improved with UA intake had poor baseline FMD values as well as a low Bacillota/Bacteroidota ratio.

Conclusion: Urolithin A intake alters the gut microbiota and improves their alpha diversity. In addition, the effect of UA on VEF correlated with the individual gut microbiota. Our results have practical implications for a new approach to providing healthcare that focuses on intestinal environment-based diet therapy.

KEYWORDS

urolithin A, gut microbiota, vascular endothelial function, organic acids, flow-mediated vasodilatation

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of death worldwide, having caused 17.8 million deaths in 2019. This figure is expected to increase to 23 million by 2030 (1, 2). The symptoms of cardiovascular diseases worsen with age due to the deterioration of vascular endothelial function (VEF) (3). Degradation of VEF also has been reported to be associated with CVD events such as cardiac death, myocardial infarction and stroke, type 2 diabetes, chronic kidney disease, and mild cognitive impairment (4–7).

The gut microbiota and their derived metabolites influence VEF. For example, the synbiotic intake of Bifidobacterium animalis and arginine improves VEF via gut microbiota-derived polyamines (8). Urolithin A (UA) is a microbiota-derived metabolite produced from dietary ellagic acid present in certain foods, including pomegranates, berries, and walnuts. UA improves mitochondrial function by activating mitochondrial recycling (9). Other benefits include improved exercise performance and enhancement of gut barrier integrity (10, 11). A previous study reported that UA inhibits intestinal permeability by activating the aryl hydrocarbon receptornuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 pathway (10). In addition, there is a report that a single dose of raspberry containing ellagic acid, a precursor of UA, improved VEF (12). Therefore, as a microbiota-derived metabolite, UA may alter the intestinal environment, thereby improving the VEF.

The UA-producing ability varies among individuals because of differences in the gut microbiota involved in ellagic acid metabolism. In the previous studies, some gut microbes such as *Gordonibacter urolithinfaciens* DSM 27213^T = CCUG 64261^T, *Gordonibacter pamelaeae* DSM 19378^T = CCUG 55131^T, *Ellagibacter isourolithinifaciens* DSM 104140^T = CCUG 70284^T, *Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum* INIA P815, and *Enterococcus faecium* FUA027 have been reported to produce urolithins from ellagic acid (13–16). Among them, *B. pseudocatenulatum* INIA P815 and *Enterococcus faecium* FUA027 showed urolithin A-producing ability (15, 16). Despite this, not all urolithin-producing bacteria are known. Approximately 40% of human individuals harvest a microbiome capable of producing UA (17). Further, UA-producers have a high Bacillota/Bacteroidota ratio. In previous studies, there were individual differences in the effects of oral intake of foods and drugs, which depended on the gut microbiota (18, 19). Thus, it is possible that the efficacy of UA supplementation depends on an individual's intestinal environmental features (gut microbiota and metabolites).

In this study, we conducted a clinical trial on UA nonproducers with relatively poor VEF scores to examine the effects of UA on gut microbiota, organic acids, and VEF. Furthermore, we analyzed the correlation between intestinal environmental features and UA-induced improvement in flowmediated vasodilatation (FMD) scores.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Clinical trial

A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, threeway parallel-group study was conducted on Japanese individuals for 12 weeks (**Figure 1A** and **Supplementary Table 1**). Consent was obtained from the participants, and the study adhered to the Helsinki Declaration and Ethical Guidelines on Epidemiological Research in Japan referring to cases concerning standards for clinical trials of drugs. The experimental groups were provided capsules containing 10 or 50 mg of UA, and the control group was provided UA-free capsules; these were to be consumed daily throughout the 12 weeks. The primary outcome was FMD score using UNEXEF18VG (UNEX Corporation, Nagoya, Japan). The secondary outcomes were blood pressure (systolic and

Abbreviations: ASV, amplicon sequence variant; Faith's PD, Faith's phylogenetic diversity; FMD, flow-mediated vasodilatation; QOL, quality of life; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; UA, urolithin A; VEF, vascular endothelial function.

diastolic), reactive hyperemia index (RHI) using Endo-PAT2000 (Doctor Planets Ltd., Hyogo, Japan), gut microbiome, organic acids, stool data (stool days, frequency, amount, property, color, feeling of residual, smell, and incidence of abdominal pain during defecation), quality of life questionnaire responses, and clinical blood tests. During the trial, FMD, blood pressure, quality of life questionnaire data, and fecal samples were collected at 0, 4, 8, and 12 weeks of the dietary intervention period. The stool samples were frozen at $-20^{\circ}C$ until analysis. Depending on the primary outcome results, further microbiome and organic acid analyses were performed on the stool samples from weeks 0 to 8. Blood samples were collected at 0 and 12 weeks.

This trial was conducted with the approval of the Clinical Trial Ethics Review Committee of the Chiyoda Paramedical Care Clinic (publicly registered at UMIN-CTR, trial number: UMIN000042014¹). The inclusion criteria were as follows:

- (1) Male or female aged between 40 and 65 years.
- (2) Individuals whose FMD was below 7.0%.
- (3) Individuals who are UA non-producer or low producer.
- (4) Individuals who could understand the study procedure, agreed to participate in the study, and provided informed consent prior to the study.

The exclusion criteria were as follows:

- (1) Individuals who were currently receiving medication.
- (2) Individuals who planned to or already had taken medication (e.g., drug for intestinal disorder, antihypertensive, laxative, or antibiotic) a month prior to the trial, which would have affected the results.
- (3) Individuals who regularly consumed food for specified health uses, food with function claims, supplements, and/or health foods that would affect the trial results, more than three times per week.
- (4) Individuals who were breastfeeding, pregnant, or are planning pregnancy.
- (5) Individuals who have current medical history/anamnesis of severe cardiac, hepatic, renal, or digestive disease.
- (6) Individuals with high alcohol consumption.
- (7) Individuals with a history of smoking. However, if the individual had quit smoking for more than a month prior to trial, the individual could be included.
- (8) Individuals with irregular lifestyle and diet.
- (9) Individuals who have allergies to food or medication.
- (10) Individuals who are currently participating, have participated in other studies on medicine or food a month prior to the trial, or participated in other clinical trials after agreeing to participate in this trial.

- (11) Individuals who had donated more than 200 ml of blood and/or blood components a month prior to the trial.
- (12) Males who had donated more than 400 ml of blood and/or blood components 3 months prior to the trial.
- (13) Females who had donated more than 400 ml of blood and/or blood components 4 months prior to the trial.
- (14) Males who will receive over 1,200 ml of blood and/or blood components, when the sampling amounts within the last 12 months are added to the planned sampling amounts of this study.
- (15) Females who will receive over 800 ml of blood and/or blood components when the sampling amounts within the last 12 months are added to the planned sampling amounts of this study.
- (16) Others who were deemed ineligible by the principal investigator or sub-investigator.

A total of 157 individuals participated in the pre-trial, from which 36 were selected for the main trial based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. To select UA nonproducers or low-producers, the participants were instructed to consume a commercially available food containing ellagic acid (pomegranate seed extract, DHC, Tokyo, Japan) before sleeping on the night of the pre-test, and the first urine sample was collected early the next day, which was analyzed to measure the urinary UA. Those with urinary creatinine-corrected UA less than 1.00 nM/mg were categorized as UA non-producers or low producers. Among the initial 157 participants, 73, 14, and 70 participants were designated as UA non-producers, UA low-producers, and UA-producers, respectively. Randomization was performed using the block-stratified randomization method with the participant assignment manager. Participants who met the inclusion criteria were assigned to three groups (P, Q, and R) by stratification, while accounting for age, sex, pre-tested FMD, and blood pressure values. Subsequently, the symbols "P," "Q", and "R" were randomly assigned to each group of participants. A test food assignment table with the test food symbol and participant identification code was prepared. Immediately after assignment to the test food, the table was sealed and concealed by the participant assignment manager. The table was only disclosed to the test analyst, investigator, and test-sharing doctor after data collection. A total of 36 participants were included in the main trial. One participant dropped out due to refusal to visit the hospital. Hence, 35 participants completed the trial.

2.2. Gut microbiome analysis

The extraction and measurement of DNA and organic acids from fecal samples were performed as previously described (20). Briefly, the samples were lyophilized using a VD-800R lyophilizer (TAITEC Corp., Saitama, Japan) for at least 24 h. The lyophilized stool samples were then separated for DNA

¹ https://center6.umin.ac.jp/cgi-open-bin/ctr_e/ctr_view.cgi? recptno=R000047949



and metabolite extraction. After DNA extraction, the V1– V2 variable region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using the bacterial universal primers 27F-mod (5'-AGRGT TTGATYMTGGCTCAG-3') and 338R (5'-TGCTGCCTCCC GTAGGAGT-3') with Tks Gflex DNA Polymerase (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan) (21). Amplicon DNA was sequenced using MiSeq (Illumina, USA), according to the manufacturer's protocol. All 16S rRNA amplicon sequence files generated in this study are available in the DRA of the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DRA accession number: DRA014918).

2.3. Organic acid level measurement

Metabolites were extracted from feces using the following procedure. Freeze-dried feces were disrupted with 3.0 mm zirconia beads by vigorous shaking (1,500 \times g for 10 min) using a Shake Master (Biomedical Science, Tokyo, Japan).

Fecal samples (10 mg) were suspended in 1,000 µl of internal standard (crotonic acid), followed by 500 µl of concentrated HCl and 2,000 µl of ether added to each tube. The tubes were then vigorously shaken (1,500 \times g for 10 min) using a Shake Master and centrifuged at 10,000 \times g for 10 min. After centrifugation, 80 µl of the top ether layer was collected and added to an Agilent crimp-cap vial containing 16 µl of N-tert-butyldimethylsilyl N-methyltrifluoroacetamide for gas chromatography. The vials were capped with a crimptop natural rubber/polytetrafluoroethylene seal type seven aluminum silver 11 mm chromacol cap and sealed using a crimper. After tapping and mixing, the vials were tightly sealed with parafilm and heated in a water bath at 80°C for 20 min. The capped vials were then left overnight at room temperature for derivatization. Calibration was achieved using standard solutions of derivatized formic, acetic, propionic, isobutyric, butyric, isovaleric, valeric, lactic, and succinic acids, as described for the test samples. The final concentrations of

each standard were 50, 30, 20, 10, 5, 1, 0.5, 0.1, 0.05, and 0.01 mM. The derivatized samples were run through a 7,890 series GC-MS system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) fitted with a DB-5ms column (0.25 mm \times 30 m \times 0.25 μ m; Agilent Technologies). Helium was used as the carrier gas and delivered at a flow rate of 1.2 ml/min. The head pressure was set at 10.5 psi with a split ratio of 100:1. The injector, ion source, quadrupole mass spectrometer, and transfer line were set to 250, 230, 150, and 260°C, respectively. Two microliters of each sample were injected with a run time of 30 min. The measurement was controlled using the Agilent MassHunter Workstation Data Acquisition software (version 10.0, Agilent Technologies), and the obtained data were analyzed using the Agilent MassHunter Quantitative Analysis software (version 10.1, Agilent Technologies). Organic acid data are presented in Supplementary Table 2.

2.4. Bioinformatics and statistical analysis

For 16S rRNA gene-based microbiome analysis, QIIME2 (version 2019.10) was used (22). Primer bases were trimmed using cutadapt (option: -p-discard-untrimmed) (23). Sequence data were processed using the DADA2 pipeline for quality filtering and denoising (options: -p-trunc-len-f 230 -p-trunclen-r 130) (24). The contamination of human genome was checked by mapping the filtered output sequences, and no contamination were found. The filtered output sequences were assigned to taxa using the "qiime feature-classifier classify-sklearn" command with the default parameters (25). Silva SSU Ref Nr 99 (version 132) was used as the reference database for taxonomic assignment. Alpha and beta diversities were calculated using "qiime phylogeny align-to-tree-mafft-fasttree" and "qiime diversity core-metricsphylogenetic" commands with the sampling depth to the lowest read numbers. The microbiome data are presented in Supplementary Table 3.

All statistical analyses were performed using Python scripts (version 3.7.6). Multidimensional scaling was performed using unweighted/weighted UniFrac distance calculated from the microbiome amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) data (scikitlearn version 0.20.0). For pairwise comparison of bacteria, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test with Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction was used (scipy version 1.5.2 and statsmodels version 0.10.0, respectively). A trend test (Jonckheere-Terpstra test) was also performed to identify consistent changes in bacteria between the placebo, UA 10 mg/day and UA 50 mg/day groups (scipy version 1.5.2). Bacteria with mean relative abundance below 0.001 were excluded from the comparison. In the comparison of organic acids, two-way analysis of variance were used (statsmodels version 0.10.0). In this study, the test food effect size for each participant was defined as the responder score and was used to determine whether the effects depended on individual basal characteristics. The response score was defined as the value of each individual after 8 weeks of intake minus the baseline. In the responder feature analysis, the Spearman rank correlation coefficient and the test for no correlation were used (scipy version 1.5.2).

3. Results

3.1. Effect of UA on the gut microbiome profile

A placebo-controlled, randomized, double-blinded, parallel group trial was conducted on 36 Japanese UA nonproducers or low-producers (**Figures 1A, B**). Baseline (0 weeks) characteristics were similar in all three groups, but FMD scores tended to be slightly higher in the 50 mg/day group (Placebo group: $5.9 \pm 2.0\%$, 10 mg/day group: $4.7 \pm 2.2\%$, 50 mg/day group: $6.6 \pm 2.3\%$; *p*-value in Kruskal-Wallis test: 0.148; **Supplementary Table 4**). One participant dropped out due to refusal to visit the hospital and 35 participants completed the trial. Effects of UA intake other than those on gut microbiota and metabolites have been reported in a previous study (26).

To investigate the effect of UA intake on the participants' gut microbiota, we performed 16S rRNA gene-based microbiome analysis at 0 and 8 weeks following UA intake. No significant improvements in all VEF score (FMD, RHI, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure) were observed (Figure 1C and Supplementary Table 5). As there is a known link between the alpha diversity of the gut microbiota and host metabolism and disease, the alpha diversity of the placebo and UA groups was compared. Shannon diversity, number of bacteria (ASV count), and Faith's phylogenetic diversity (Faith's PD) were calculated as alpha diversity indices. We found significant differences in Faith's PD between the placebo and UA 50 mg/day groups (Dunn's test; Figure 2A). A beta diversity analysis of the gut microbiome profile was also performed (Figures 2B, C). Furthermore, unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances before and after UA intake were calculated for each treatment group (Figures 2D, E). The results showed no significant differences among the three groups, suggesting that intake of 10 and 50 mg/day of UA had no significant effect on the overall gut microbiome profiles.

3.2. Effect of UA on gut microbes and organic acids

Gut microbial genera with differential abundances between the placebo and UA groups were detected using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Compared with the placebo group, four and nine genera were significantly altered in the UA 10 mg/day Nishimoto et al.



and UA 50 mg/day groups, respectively (**Figures 3A, B**). However, the results were not significant after multiple test corrections (false discovery rate-corrected q-value >0.10). In addition, a trend test revealed consistent changes in bacteria

in the placebo, UA 10 mg/day, and UA 50 mg/day groups, with changes in the 50 mg/day group consistent with those in the three groups (**Figure 3B**). In addition, the changes in decreasing of *Escherichia-Shigella* and increasing of *Eggerthella*



were consistent in the 10 mg/day group and 50 mg/day group. To further investigate the effects of UA intake on the intestinal environment, the similar analysis was performed using organic acids. Differences among placebo, UA 10 mg/day, and UA 50 mg/day groups were detected for formic acid and propionic acid (p < 0.05, **Table 1**).

3.3. Correlation analysis between the FMD score, gut microbiome, and organic acids before and after UA intake

A correlation analysis was performed to investigate the relationship between the participants' response to UA intake and their clinical features, such as gut microbiota, organic acids, and VEF score. We defined the difference in FMD scores before and after UA intake as FMD response scores, and responses for other clinical features were defined in a similar fashion. Correlation analysis between FMD response score and baseline clinical features showed that baseline FMD values were negatively correlated with FMD response scores for participants in the placebo and UA 10 mg/day groups (Figure 4A). In the UA 10 mg/day group, FMD response scores correlated with baseline RHI values. Several correlations were observed between the FMD response scores and the baseline gut microbiome features of the UA 10 mg/day group, such as the FMD response score's positive correlation with Bacteroidota as well as negative

correlation with Bacillota and all calculated alpha diversity indices (Shannon diversity, number of ASVs, and Faith's PD). Regarding the Bacteroidota genera, especially Bacteroides and Prevotella 9, baseline Bacteroides showed a positive correlation with the FMD response score, whereas Prevotella 9 showed a negative correlation (Figure 4A). None of the organic acids were significantly correlated. Finally, we analyzed the correlation between the FMD and clinical feature response scores (Figure 4B). The results showed that the FMD response score and the Bacillota, and Shannon diversity response scores had a significant positive correlation in the UA 10 mg/day group, suggesting that these features could be UA responder markers (Figure 4B). After FDR correction, only the correlation between Erysipelotrichaceae UCG-003 response score and FMD response score was significant in the UA 10 mg/day group (Figure 4B, *q*-value < 0.10).

4. Discussion

A trend toward FMD score improvement was observed at 8 weeks after treatment of UA 10 mg/day group (p = 0.078), suggesting that UA intake potentially improves VEF. However, this result did not account for the fact that multiple groups and time periods were involved in this study because of the small sample size. Therefore, a larger interventional study is necessary to demonstrate the effect of UA intake on VEF. Although the UA 50 mg/day group was assumed to be more effective, there was

(µmol/g)	Placebo				UA 10 mg/day				UA 50 mg/day				<i>P</i> -value			
	0 week		8 weeks		0 week		8 weeks		0 week		8 weeks		Timepoint	Group	Timepoint and group	
Formic acid	2.77	(0.04)	2.76	(0.11)	2.84	(0.07)	2.14	(0.05)	3.15	(0.08)	3.75	(0.23)	0.839	0.019	0.161	
Acetic acid	146.3	(7.53)	199.1	(9.53)	143.3	(6.18)	157.8	(6.87)	134.5	(6.45)	149.1	(9.56)	0.142	0.384	0.624	
Propionic acid	69.7	(4.29)	86.56	(3.39)	52.13	(2.03)	57.44	(2.64)	53.67	(1.89)	56.65	(3.81)	0.260	0.018	0.721	
Isobutyric acid	3.55	(0.15)	3.4	(0.19)	3.68	(0.16)	3.43	(0.21)	2.91	(0.14)	3.53	(0.17)	0.897	0.793	0.652	
Butyric acid	30.28	(1.62)	35.26	(1.95)	31.93	(1.04)	38.14	(2.58)	27.09	(1.56)	29.55	(1.78)	0.293	0.452	0.939	
Isovaleric acid	2.85	(0.15)	2.65	(0.16)	3.02	(0.16)	2.82	(0.22)	2.52	(0.15)	3.02	(0.16)	0.957	0.926	0.714	
Valeric acid	4.7	(0.39)	5.05	(0.54)	4.31	(0.22)	5.7	(0.38)	7.03	(0.56)	6.66	(0.58)	0.665	0.281	0.809	
Lactic acid	0.31	(0.01)	1.3	(0.25)	0.37	(0.02)	0.45	(0.02)	1.28	(0.31)	0.47	(0.03)	0.775	0.570	0.174	
Succinic acid	1.63	(0.26)	20.68	(4.55)	7.00	(2.12)	3.94	(0.69)	17.18	(5.41)	19.87	(3.75)	0.431	0.421	0.498	

TABLE 1 Amount of organic acids in each group.

Values are presented as mean (standard deviation). P-value was calculated by two-way analysis of variance. UA, urolithin A.

no difference at any time point in this clinical trial. This may be due to higher FMD scores at week 0 in the UA 50 mg/day group (**Supplementary Table 4**), given that the FMD response score (difference in FMD scores before and after UA intake) and baseline FMD scores were negatively correlated for participants in the placebo and UA 10 mg/day groups (**Figure 4A**).

Alpha diversity of the human gut microbiome has been linked to host metabolism and diseases. Upon analysis, Faith's PD of the UA 50 mg/day group was significantly higher than that of the placebo group. As other alpha diversity indices did not show significant differences, it is likely that gut microbes with a low relative abundance and distant phylogenetic distance were enriched in the gut microbiota of the UA 50 mg/day group. A previous study reported that Western diets increased the number of obese individual's with a decrease in Faith's PD (27). In addition, negative correlations between inflammatory scores and Faith's PD in mice have been reported (28). Although previous studies have not proven a causal relationship between Faith's PD and these phenotypes, higher Faith's PD may lead to the maintenance of intestinal homeostasis and prevention of obesity. Beta diversity analysis of the gut microbiome showed no significant differences in gut microbiome in either UA intake group compared to that in the placebo group, suggesting that UA had no significant effect on the overall gut microbiome profiles.

Regarding the individual microbes, although no significant differences were detected after FDR correction, four microbial genera in the UA 10 mg/day group and nine genera in the UA 50 mg/day group showed significant differences compared with those in the placebo group. Of these, a trend test revealed consistent changes in bacteria in the placebo, UA 10 mg/day, and UA 50 mg/day groups, with changes in the 50 mg/day group consistent with those in the three groups (**Figure 3B**). The relative abundance of *Ruminiclostridium* 5, (*Eubacterium*) *coprostanoligenes* group, and (*Eubacterium*) *hallii* group was significantly higher in the UA 50 mg/day group than that in the placebo group. *Ruminiclostridium* 5 enrichment restored

circadian rhythm disturbances and decreased body temperature and sleep disturbances in rats (29). In addition, insulin activity in a type II diabetes mouse model was restored upon oral administration of Eubacterium hallii (30). Furthermore, the Eubacterium coprostanoligenes was found to lower blood cholesterol by converting cholesterol to coprostanol, a less absorbable form (31). These results suggest that UA 50 mg/day intake may induce lower blood cholesterol levels, improve insulin sensitivity, and regulate the circadian rhythm. In the previous studies, UA also suppresses intestinal permeability via the aryl hydrocarbon receptor-nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 pathway (10) and reduces intestinal inflammation by suppressing the NF-κB (32); Inclusion criteria in this study were individuals with relatively poor VEF, who may also have relatively more intestinal inflammation compared to healthy individuals. Therefore, it is possible that suppression of intestinal inflammation may have an indirect effect on the intestinal microbiota. Intestinal organic acid analysis show significant differences only in two organic acid such as formic acid and propionic acid among placebo, UA 10 mg/day, and UA 50 mg/day groups (Table 1). Formic acid was higher in the UA 50 mg/day group at baseline and increased on average after intake, suggesting that UA intake may have affected formic acid-producing bacteria and increased formic acid. In addition, propionic acid was higher in the placebo group for both 0 and 8 weeks. This may might have influenced the differences between three groups. Hence, it is possible that while UA had some effects on the gut microbiota, it may have a minor effect on intestinal metabolites.

Differences in individual responses to the oral intake of food and drugs have been observed, with studies showing a link with gut microbiota (18, 19). In the placebo and UA 10 mg/day groups, improved FMD response scores were observed in the participants with lower FMD baseline values. As the placebo group also showed same trend, it is possible that there may be cyclic variation in FMD values. In a previous study, the

P	Placebo	UA 10	UA 50	_		Placebo	UA 10	UA 50	_
	0.259	-0.602*	-0.173	RHI		-0.539	0.538	0.282	RHI
-	-0.581*	-0.644*	-0.309	FMD					_
F	Placebo	UA 10	UA 50			Placebo	UA 10	UA 50	
	0.389	-0.664*	-0.282	- Shannon diversity		-0.221	0.594*	0.415	-Shannon diversity
	0.600*	-0.581*	-0.327	-Number of ASV					
	0.504	-0.601*	-0.245	Faith's phylogenetic diversity					
F	Placebo	UA 10	UA 50	_		Placebo	UA 10	UA 50	_
	-0.228	0.580*	-0.209	Bacteroidota		0.382	0.580*	-0.282	-Bacillota
	0.228	-0.573	0.255	Bacillota/Bacteroidota		-0.282	-0.420	0.518	Bacteroidota
l	0.214	-0.636*	0.136	Bacillota					
F	Placebo	UA 10	UA 50			Placebo	UA 10	UA 50	
ſ	0.046	0.566	0.555	Bacteroides		-0.461	0.129	0.682*	- Veillonella
-0.598 -0.36 -0.24	-0.082	0.270	0.623*	- Erysipelatoclostridium		0.069	-0.567	0.137	Acidaminococcus
	-0.598*	0.508	-0.305	5 - Megasphaera		-0.071	-0.568*	0.057	Lachnospiraceae UCG-004
	-0.361	0.538	0.172	Fusobacterium		0.495	0.795**	0.357	Erysipelotrichaceae UCG-003
	-0.241	0.507	0.339	[Ruminococcus] gnavus group		0.189	0.727**	0.251	[Ruminococcus] torques group
	0.067	-0.780**	0.057	Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group	•	0.421	-0.014	-0.580	- Subdoligranulum
	0.596*	-0.513	0.027	-Ruminiclostridium 5		-0.229	0.534	-0.642*	-CAG-56
	0.168	-0.545	-0.114	- [Ruminococcus] torques group	Ľ	0.193	0.594*	-0.318	Blautia
	0.353	-0.530	-0.100	Ruminococcus 1	l	0.011	0.413	-0.573	Butyricicoccus
	0.323	-0.585*	-0.216	[Eubacterium] eligens group		0.180	0.370	-0.505	Sellimonas
	-0.364	-0.310	-0.648*	Collinsella					
	0.243	-0.242	-0.572	Oscillibacter					
ĺ	0.137	-0.545	-0.455	Fusicatenibacter			-0.5		
	0.131	-0.477	-0.584	Prevotella 9			-0.0		
	0.267	-0.486	-0.572	Intestinibacter		Sn 07	nan corr	alations	

significance or with absolute Spearman's correlation coefficient larger than 0.50 in either treatment group are shown in the heatmap (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, Spearman's correlation test). Row clustering using the Ward method was performed for each of the four data categories (VEF score, alpha-diversity, phylum, and genus). When FDR is corrected, none of the correlations are significant (FDR corrected *q*-value > 0.10). (B) Correlation analysis between FMD response score and gut microbes, organic acids, and VEF response scores. Correlations with statistical significance or with absolute Spearman's correlation coefficient larger than 0.50 in either treatment group were shown in the heatmap (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, Spearman's correlation coefficient larger than 0.50 in either treatment group were shown in the heatmap (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, Spearman's correlation coefficient larger than 0.50 in either treatment group were shown in the heatmap (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, Spearman's correlation test; [†]FDR corrected *q*-value <0.10). Row clustering using the Ward method was performed for each of the four data categories (VEF score, alpha-diversity, phylum, and genus). UA, urolithin A; UA 10, UA 10 mg/day; UA 50, UA 50 mg/day; VEF, vascular endothelial function; FMD, flow-mediated vasodilatation; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; FDR, false discoversy rate.

FMD values were lower in winter than those in the other seasons (33). Whether this variation depends on the initial values remains unknown; however, this should be considered in subsequent analyses. A previous study reported that UA nonproducers have low ratio of Bacillota (old name, Firmicutes) to Bacteroidota (old name, Bacteroidetes), and high alpha diversity in their intestines (17). From the correlation analysis between FMD response score and baseline clinical features, we found that the baseline Bacteroidota of the UA 10 mg/day group had a positive correlation with FMD response score, while Bacillota and alpha diversity had a negative correlation. These observations are consistent with the observations obtained for gut microbiota of UA non-producers in a previous study (17). In this study, UA non-producers were selected based on their urinary UA concentration at the time of ellagic acid intake in the pre-trial. Stronger characteristics of UA non-producers in the intestinal environment may be correlated with the effect of UA on improving VEF. As a regular diet generally contains some ellagic acid, it is assumed that supplementation will have a stronger effect on UA non-producers. Further, the FMD

response score correlated with increase in relative abundance of Bacillota and Shannon's diversity, thus becoming associated with the gut microbiota of UA producers. UA intake may cause positive feedback on gut microbial UA production by increasing the number of bacteria that can produce UA from ellagic acid. Some bacteria, such as Gordonibacter pamelaeae and G. urolithinfaciens, can produce urolithin C from ellagic acid, and Clostridium bolteae, C. asparagiforme, and C. citroniae can produce UA from urolithin C (34). Regarding the known UA-producing bacteria, Gordonibacter and Lachnoclostridium, based on the database used in this study, there was no significant correlation between the baseline and response scores (Figure 4). Assuming that the subject's gut microbiota is approaching the gut microbiota of a UA producer, it is possible that the major UA-producing bacteria in the human gut may be neither Gordonibacter nor Lachnoclostridium. In addition, Lachnoclostridium were detected in all samples; therefore, it is possible that species other than UA-producing bacteria were detected (Supplementary Table 3). Gordonibacter was rarely detected in this study (placebo group: four participants at 0 weeks and two participants at 8 weeks; UA 10 mg/day intake group: one participant at 0 weeks and zero participants at 8 weeks; UA 50 mg/day intake group: zero participants at 0 weeks and three participants at 8 weeks). Gordonibacter is a minor gut microbe that may be below the quantification limit. Therefore, a quantitative polymerase chain reaction test is necessary to evaluate the abundance of this genus.

The limitation of this study was the small sample size (approximately 12 participants per group). Although this study used two dosages, the results were inconsistent. Thus, a large interventional study or an animal model study is necessary to validate these findings.

This randomized controlled trial showed that UA has a modest effect on gut microbiota, such as increasing of Faith's PD and *Ruminiclostridium* 5 in the 50 mg/day UA group. In addition, correlation analysis suggested that UA supplementation may be effective in UA non-producers. By analyzing the gut microbiota and its association with FMD improvement the results obtained have practical implications for a new approach to providing healthcare that focuses on intestinal environment-based diet therapy.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://ddbj.nig. ac.jp/resource/sra-submission/DRA014918.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Chiyoda Paramedical Care Clinic. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YN and KTF: visualization. YU and MK: methodology. YN: writing—original draft and formal analysis. YN, KTF, YU, MK, KZF, YK, and SF: writing—review and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was funded by the DAICEL Corporation.

Acknowledgments

The super-computing resource was provided by the Human Genome Center, the Institute of Medical Science, and the University of Tokyo. We thank CPCC Co., Ltd. for conducting the clinical trial. Additionally, we thank the Metagen, Inc. staff, especially Felix Salim, who participated in discussion of this research.

Conflict of interest

YN, KTF, and KZF were employed by Metagen Inc. SF serves as CEO and holds equity in Metagen, Inc. YU and MK were employed by DAICEL Corporation.

The authors declare that this study received funding from DAICEL Corporation. The funder had the following involvement in the study: study design and discussion of the manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fnut.2022.1077534/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Roth G, Abate D, Abate K, Abay S, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet.* (2018) 392:1736–88. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32203-7

2. Zhang J, Xu J, Qu Q, Zhong G. Risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events in polycystic ovarian syndrome women: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2020) 7:552421. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2020.552421

3. Lakatta E, Levy D. Arterial and cardiac aging: major shareholders in cardiovascular disease enterprises: part I: aging arteries: a "set up" for vascular disease. *Circulation*. (2003) 107:139–46. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.0000048892.83521.58

4. Ras R, Streppel M, Draijer R, Zock P. Flow-mediated dilation and cardiovascular risk prediction: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol.* (2013) 168:344–51. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.09.047

5. Henry R, Ferreira I, Kostense P, Dekker J, Nijpels G, Heine R, et al. Type 2 diabetes is associated with impaired endothelium-dependent, flow-mediated dilation, but impaired glucose metabolism is not. *Atherosclerosis.* (2004) 174:49–56. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2004.01.002

6. Li Y, Cui R, Liu K, Eshak E, Cui M, Dong J, et al. Relationship between endothelial dysfunction and prevalence of chronic kidney disease: the circulatory risk in communities study (CIRCS). *J Atheroscler Thromb.* (2021) 28:622–9. doi: 10.5551/jat.56424

7. Vendemiale G, Romano A, Dagostino M, de Matthaeis A, Serviddio G. Endothelial dysfunction associated with mild cognitive impairment in elderly population. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2013) 25:247–55. doi: 10.1007/s40520-013-0043-8

8. Matsumoto M, Kitada Y, Naito Y. Endothelial function is improved by inducing microbial polyamine production in the gut: a randomized placebocontrolled trial. *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:1188. doi: 10.3390/nu11051188

9. Andreux P, Blanco-Bose W, Ryu D, Burdet F, Ibberson M, Aebischer P, et al. The mitophagy activator urolithin A is safe and induces a molecular signature of improved mitochondrial and cellular health in humans. *Nat Metab.* (2019) 1:595–603. doi: 10.1038/s42255-019-0073-4

10. Singh R, Chandrashekharappa S, Bodduluri S, Baby BV, Hegde B, Kotla N, et al. Enhancement of the gut barrier integrity by a microbial metabolite through the Nrf2 pathway. *Nat Commun.* (2019) 10:89. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07859-7

11. Singh A, D'Amico D, Andreux P, Fouassier A, Blanco-Bose W, Evans M, et al. Urolithin A improves muscle strength, exercise performance, and biomarkers of mitochondrial health in a randomized trial in middle-aged adults. *Cell reports Med.* (2022) 3:100633. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100633

12. Istas G, Feliciano R, Weber T, Garcia-Villalba R, Tomas-Barberan F, Heiss C, et al. Plasma urolithin metabolites correlate with improvements in endothelial function after red raspberry consumption: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* (2018) 651:43–51. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2018.05.016

13. Selma MV, Beltrán D, García-Villalba R, Espín J, Tomás-Barberán F. Description of urolithin production capacity from ellagic acid of two human intestinal Gordonibacter species. *Food Funct.* (2014) 5:1779–84. doi: 10.1039/C4FO00092G

14. Beltrán D, Romo-Vaquero M, Espín J, Tomás-Barberán F, Selma MV. Ellagibacter isourolithinifaciens gen. nov., sp. nov., a new member of the family *Eggerthellaceae*, isolated from human gut. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. (2018) 68:1707–12. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.002735

15. Gaya P, Peirotén Á, Medina M, Álvarez I, Landete J. Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum INIA P815: the first bacterium able to produce urolithins A and B from ellagic acid. *J Funct Foods*. (2018) 45:95–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2018.03.040

16. Zhang X, Fang Y, Yang G, Hou X, Hai Y, Xia M, et al. Isolation and characterization of a novel human intestinal *Enterococcus* faecium FUA027 capable of producing urolithin A from ellagic acid. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:1039697. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1039697

17. Singh A, D'Amico D, Andreux P, Dunngalvin G, Kern T, Blanco-Bose W, et al. Direct supplementation with urolithin A overcomes limitations of dietary exposure and gut microbiome variability in healthy adults to achieve consistent levels across the population. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2022) 76:297–308. doi: 10.1038/ s41430-021-00950-1

18. Suez J, Korem T, Zeevi D, Zilberman-Schapira G, Thaiss C, Maza O, et al. Artificial sweeteners induce glucose intolerance by altering the gut microbiota. *Nature*. (2014) 514:181–6. doi: 10.1038/nature13793

19. Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Nilsson A, Akrami R, Lee Y, De Vadder F, Arora T, et al. Dietary fiber-induced improvement in glucose metabolism is associated with increased abundance of *Prevotella. Cell Metab.* (2015) 22:971–82. doi: 10.1016/j. cmet.2015.10.001

20. Hashimoto S, Tochio T, Funasaka K, Funahashi K, Hartanto T, Togashi Y, et al. Changes in intestinal bacteria and imbalances of metabolites induced in the intestines of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients in a Japanese population: a preliminary result. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* (2022). [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1080/00365521.2022.2114812

21. Kim S, Suda W, Kim S, Oshima K, Fukuda S, Ohno H, et al. Robustness of gut microbiota of healthy adults in response to probiotic intervention revealed by high-throughput pyrosequencing. *DNA Res.* (2013) 20:241–53. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dst006

22. Bolyen E, Rideout J, Dillon M, Bokulich N, Abnet C, Al-Ghalith G, et al. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2019) 37:852–7. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

23. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet J.* (2011) 17:10. doi: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200

24. Callahan B, McMurdie P, Rosen M, Han A, Johnson A, Holmes S. DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods.* (2016) 13:581–3. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869

25. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2013) 41:D590-6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gk s1219

26. Ukawa Y, Kudoh M, Oe K, Kishimoto Y, Suzuki C, Nishimoto Y, et al. Investigation of effects of pomegrate extract fermented product (UrorichTM) on vascular endothelial function and safety – Placebo-controlled, randomized, doubleblind, parallel-group study. *Jpn Pharmacol Ther.* (2021) 49:1715–28.

27. Vangay P, Johnson A, Ward T, Al-Ghalith G, Shields-Cutler R, Hillmann B, et al. US immigration westernizes the human gut microbiome. *Cell.* (2018) 175:962–72.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.029

28. Bassett S, Young W, Barnett M, Cookson A, McNabb W, Roy N. Changes in composition of caecal microbiota associated with increased colon inflammation in interleukin-10 gene-deficient mice inoculated with *Enterococcus* species. *Nutrients.* (2015) 7:1798–816. doi: 10.3390/nu7031798

29. Thompson R, Gaffney M, Hopkins S, Kelley T, Gonzalez A, Bowers S, et al. Ruminiclostridium 5, Parabacteroides distasonis, and bile acid profile are modulated by prebiotic diet and associate with facilitated sleep/clock realignment after chronic disruption of rhythms. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2021) 97:150–66. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2021.07.006

30. Udayappan S, Manneras-Holm L, Chaplin-Scott A, Belzer C, Herrema H, Dallinga-Thie G, et al. Oral treatment with eubacterium hallii improves insulin sensitivity in db/db mice. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes*. (2016) 2:16009. doi: 10.1038/ npjbiofilms.2016.9

31. Kenny D, Plichta D, Shungin D, Koppel N, Hall A, Fu B, et al. Cholesterol metabolism by uncultured human gut bacteria influences host cholesterol level. *Cell Host Microbe.* (2020) 28:245–57.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2020.0 5.013

32. Abdelazeem K, Kalo M, Beer-Hammer S, Lang F. The gut microbiota metabolite urolithin A inhibits NF-κB activation in LPS stimulated BMDMs. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:7117. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-86514-6

33. Honda H, Igaki M, Komatsu M, Tanaka S. Seasonal variations on endothelium-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation in adults with type 2 diabetes and nondiabetic adults with hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia who perform regular exercise. *Endocrinol Diabetes Metab.* (2021) 4:e00168. doi: 10.1002/edm2. 168

34. Kudoh M, Nakajima T, Yamamoto H. *Method for producing urolithins*. Osaka: Daicel Corporation (2017).