



Analysis of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG in Mulberry Galacto-Oligosaccharide Medium by Comparative Transcriptomics and Metabolomics

Erna Li, Qiaoling Zhu, Daorui Pang, Fan Liu, Sentai Liao and Yuxiao Zou*

Key Laboratory of Functional Foods, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Guangdong Key Laboratory of Agricultural Products Processing, Sericultural and Agri-Food Research Institute, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Abraham Wall-Medrano, Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez, Mexico

Reviewed by:

Mercedes G. López, Instituto Politécnico Nacional de México (CINVESTAV), Mexico Alberto Escobar, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Mexico

> *Correspondence: Yuxiao Zou yuxiao_zou@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Nutrition and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

Received: 12 January 2022 Accepted: 18 February 2022 Published: 18 March 2022

Citation:

Li E, Zhu Q, Pang D, Liu F, Liao S and Zou Y (2022) Analysis of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in Mulberry Galacto-Oligosaccharide Medium by Comparative Transcriptomics and Metabolomics. Front. Nutr. 9:853271. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.853271 Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG) has strong acid resistance and can survive passing through the stomach to colonize the intestines, where it promotes the growth of beneficial bacteria. Prebiotics such as mulberry galacto-oligosaccharide (MGO), mulberry polysaccharide solution (MPS), and galactooligosaccharides (GOS) promote LGG proliferation, and MGO has the greatest effect. After culturing LGG with prebiotics, changes in gene expression were studied at the transcriptomic and metabolomic levels. The results showed that, in the stable 24-h growth period of cultivation, \sim 63 and 132% more differential genes were found after MPS and MGO were added to the MRS medium, respectively, than after GOS was added, and the numbers of up-regulated genes were about 18 and 66% higher with MPS and MGO, respectively, than GOS. Analysis using the KEGG database revealed that, when LGG was cultured with MGO, 120 genes that were up-regulated as the growth rate increased were mainly enriched in pathways such as membrane transport, amino acid metabolism, and carbohydrate metabolism. The genes gatB and gatC were up-regulated for galactose metabolism, and bglA was upregulated in the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway. The gRT-RCR results, which were in agreement with the RNA-seq, indicated the genes involved in the proliferation effect of LGG were up-regulated. UDP-glucose may be a key metabolite for MGO to promote LGG proliferation.

Keywords: Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG, mulberry galacto-oligosaccharide, prebiotics, transcriptome analysis, metabolome analysis

INTRODUCTION

Probiotics that can promote the proliferation of lactic acid bacteria have been reported in many research articles. Isomaltooligosaccharide (1), inulin (2), galactooligosaccharides (3), and fructooligosaccharide (4) have all been demonstrated to promote the growth of lactic acid bacteria and bifdobacteria. These oligosaccharides have high stability and are not easily decomposed by enzymes in the digestive tract and reach the intestine directly. They provide nutrients for beneficial bacteria, such as *Lactobacillus* and *Bifdobacterium*, and promote their proliferation

1

but cannot be used by harmful bacteria. Therefore, selective proliferation by these probiotics can maintain the microecological balance of the digestive tract and benefit human health. Different types of prebiotics can promote the proliferation of probiotics. Huebner et al. (5) found that commercially produced fructooligosaccharide (FOS), galactooligosaccharides (GOS), and inulin had a proliferative effect on five Lactobacilli and five Bifidobacterium. Among them, inulin had the most significant effect on Lactobacillus casei 1195. Compared with traditional commercial prebiotics, polysaccharides extracted from natural plants also show good prebiotic activity. Azmi et al. (6) studied the in vitro proliferative effect of bamboo shoot crude polysaccharides on Bifidobacterium and L. acidophilus and found it to be better than that of FOS. Crude bamboo shoot polysaccharide has an average molecular weight of 7,000 Da and contains β -glycosidic bonds, which have been speculated to be major factors explaining why bamboo shoot polysaccharide was not digested by gastric acid and did not promote the proliferation of probiotics. Some studies have shown that, when used as prebiotics, low molecular weight polysaccharides have a better proliferation effect on probiotics. Ramnani et al. (7) studied a low molecular weight polysaccharide (64.64 kDa) from agar that has a significant growth-promoting effect on Bifidobacterium. After 48 h of Bifidobacterium culture in medium containing this polysaccharide, Bifidobacterium numbers increased from 8.06 to 8.55 log CFU. At the same time, the content of short-chain fatty acids increased, especially that of acetic acid and propionic acid, indicating that the polysaccharide can be used by probiotic bacteria. In the differential global transcriptome result, during logarithmic growth of L. acidophilus NCFM using GOS or glucose as a sole source of carbohydrate. lacS, a galactoside-pentose-hexuro-nide permease-encoding gene, was up-regulated 5.1-fold in the presence of GOS (8). The same kinds of commercial prebiotics or plant prebiotics extracted in laboratories show different degrees of proliferation-promoting effects on different probiotics. In addition, various laboratoryextracted prebiotics or plant prebiotics also show different degrees of proliferation-promoting effects on the growth of the same types of probiotics (5). Therefore, the interaction mechanisms between specific prebiotics and probiotics need to be studied in depth.

Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG) is currently one of the most recognized probiotic strains in the world. LGG can adhere to the intestinal mucosa and epithelial cells, has strong acid resistance, and can survive passage through the gastrointestinal tract. It can colonize the intestines, become a part of the normal intestinal flora, and simultaneously, promote the growth of beneficial bacteria (*Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*) in the gut (9, 10). LGG can provide many benefits to human health, including inhibiting the growth of harmful bacteria (11), inhibiting the production of harmful enzymes or other harmful substances, preventing respiratory infections (12), preventing allergies (13), preventing or treating diarrhea (14, 15), and improving immunity (16).

In our previous study, mulberry oligosaccharide was produced from mulberry polysaccharides by physical ultrasonic hydrolysis, chemical acid hydrolysis, and enzymatic hydrolysis. Among

four probiotic bacteria, the growth of L. rhamnosus was affected the most by oligosaccharides produced with β-mannanase hydrolysis (17). The enzymatically prepared mulberry oligosaccharides were also superior to two commercial prebiotics (galactooligosaccharides and isomaltooligosaccharides). The mulberry oligosaccharides were then further purified by using DEAE-52 cellulose and Sephadex G-100 columns. The purified oligosaccharides were collected and freeze-dried for further physical and chemical analysis, which showed that the oligosaccharide consists of galactose and has an average molecular weight of 987 Da. Because the purified oligosaccharides only contain galactose units, we named it mulberry galactooligosaccharide (MGO). The proliferation of L. rhamnosus reached 1,420% when 4% (w/v) MGO was added, when the number of colonies produced by culture in MRS medium without added oligosaccharide was defined as 100% proliferation (18). Research has shown Lactobacillus to be beneficial to the health of the host (19, 20), and MGO can increase the content of Lactobacillus in the body. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying LGG proliferation when different kinds of prebiotics are added to MRS (de Man, Rogosa and Sharp) medium are highly complex, and multiple genes, proteins, metabolites, and bio-processes are likely to be involved. Understanding the regulatory pathways and molecular mechanisms of the MGO promotion of LGG proliferation is of great significance for the development of intestinal prebiotic mulberry foods.

In this study, we used LGG as a model strain and cultured it with different prebiotics in MRS medium. We initially used transcriptomics and metabolomics to screen for genes with differential expression. Then, real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) experiments were employed to examine selected differential genes to verify the accuracy and repeatability of the RNA-seq data. These results provide the foundation for understanding the mechanism by which MGO promotes the proliferation of LGG.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Strain

MRS medium and agar powder were purchased from Guangdong Huankai Microbial Sci. & Tech. Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China). Galactooligosaccharides (GOS) and β -Mannanase (50 U/mg) were purchased from Shanghai Yuanye Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. (China). All other chemicals were of analytical grade and purchased from Guangzhou Chemical Reagent Factory (Guangzhou, China). *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG (ATCC 53103) was obtained from a laboratory culture collection 25% glycerol stock maintained at -80° C and propagated in MRS medium with shaking at 37°C.

Preparation of MGO

Fresh mulberry, obtained from Huadu Bosun field production (Guangzhou, Guangdong, China), was washed with water and dried using a heat pump at 70°C for 5 h to bring humidity below 30%. Briefly, MGO was isolated as previously described (18), and polysaccharides were extracted from mulberry powder (500 g, crushed mulberry and passed through a 50-mesh screen)

with water (10 L) at 70°C for 6 h. The extraction was reduced to 1/5 of its original volume by vacuum filtration at 45°C, followed by precipitation for 24 h with four volumes of 95% (*v*/*v*) ethanol at 4°C. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation (9,000 × g for 20 min), and this precipitate (crude mulberry polysaccharide solution, MPS) was incubated with 5% (*w*/*v*) βmannanase at 50°C for 4 h and subsequently lyophilized. The crude precipitate (mulberry oligosaccharide solution) was further purified using DEAE-52 cellulose and Sephadex G-100 columns. The purified oligosaccharide was collected and lyophilized for further experiments. Based on previous research results, this purified oligosaccharide consists of galactose and has an average molecular weight of 987 Da (18). Because the purified mulberry oligosaccharide only contains galactose, it was named MGO.

Effects of Different Prebiotics on the Growth of LGG

LGG was employed to investigate the effects of GOS, MPS, and MGO on bacterial growth, and GOS was used as a positive control. Medium without polysaccharides or oligosaccharides was used for the negative control. GOS, MPS, or MGO was added to MRS (de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe) medium at a final concentration of 4% (w/v). The mixtures medium was then inoculated with 1% (v/v) of an overnight culture of LGG and incubated with shaking at 180 rpm at 37°C for 18 h. Samples were plated every 3 h to count the number of resulting colonies.

RNA-Seq and Real-Time Quantitative PCR Validation

RNA Extraction

The initial logarithmic phase (8 h) and stationary phase (24 h) of LGG growth were selected as the sampling time points for comparative transcriptomics. For transcriptome sequencing, cells in MRS medium were separated by centrifugation (9,000 \times g, 10 min), washed with PBS three times, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted from the tissue using TRIzol reagent in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and genomic DNA was removed using DNase I (TaKaRa, Bio Inc., Dalian, China). Then RNA quality was determined with a 2100 Bioanalyser (Agilent Technologies Co., Ltd., Colorado Springs, CO, USA) and quantified using the ND-2000 (NanoDrop Technologies). An RNA-seq transcriptome library was prepared with the TruSeq RNA sample preparation Kit from Illumina (San Diego, CA, USA) using 2 µg of total RNA. Shortly after preparation, ribosomal (r)RNA depletion, instead of poly (A) purification, was performed using the Ribo-Zero Magnetic kit (Epicenter), then all mRNAs were broken into short fragments by adding fragmentation buffer. Double-stranded cDNA was synthesized using a SuperScript double-stranded cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen) with random hexamer primers (Illumina). The ligation products were size-selected via agarose gel electrophoresis, amplified via PCR using Phusion DNA polymerase (NEB) for 15 cycles, and sequenced using Illumina HiSeq \times TEN (2 \times 150 bp read length).

TABLE 1 | List of primers for qRT-PCR.

Gene	Forward primer (5'-3')	Reverse primer (5'-3')		
LGG_RS12740 (<i>gatB</i>)	CCGGTACTTTTGGTTCTTTT	CTTGGGCACTTTTTCATTC		
LGG_RS12745 (<i>gatC</i>)	ACGGTGGTTGCGTCTAAGAT	GCAGAGGCAGTCCATGAATA		
LGG_RS01630 (<i>lacC</i>)	TCAGGCAGAAACACGTAGCT	CAATCCTTGTGGCATAGAAC		
LGG_RS03075 (<i>galK</i>)	TTGACATTCCGTTCCCTGAT	CATTTTCGACTTTAACCCCG		
LGG_RS05080 (<i>galU</i>)	GACCATTCAATTTATCGTTG	CGTCTTTATGTTTTCTTTC		
LGG_RS09660 (<i>galE</i>)	TGCACAAACGGACAAGTTGG	CTGAACCGACGCCACATACT		
LGG_RS05090 (<i>bglA</i>)	CGACGGCCCAAACAAAGAAG	CGAGATCGTGACAAGTGGCT		
LGG_RS02500 (fbaA)	CAGCTACCGGGATCGACTTC	TAAGCGGTCGAAGTGCAGAC		
LGG_RS06325 (pdhA)	GCCAGCATGATCGGTAGTCA	AGCGGTAACCCGTGTTGAAT		
LGG_RS06330 (<i>pdhB</i>)	TTGGCGAACGACCCTAAGAC	ACACGATCCTCACCGTGTTT		
LGG_RS06335 (<i>aceF</i>)	CAGTCGCGCCTTACGTTTCG	CATGCGGGCTCCGTTGTTTC		
LGG_RS06340 (<i>lpd</i>)	ACCGGTGGCCTAAACTTACC	CCTAAGTTGGCATAGGCGCT		
LGG_16SrRNA	AAGGGTTTCGGCTCGTAAAA	TGCACTCAAGTTTCCCAGTT		

Bioinformatics Analysis and Differential Expression Analysis

The data generated from the Illumina platform were used for bioinformatics analysis. All of the analyses were performed using the free online platform Majorbio Cloud Platform (www.majorbio.com) at Shanghai Majorbio Bio-pharm Technology Co., Ltd. For each data set, and for each alignment and quantification protocol, we identified differentially expressed genes using the edgeR (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/ 2.12/bioc/html/edgeR.html), DESeq2 (http://bioconductor.org/ packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html), and DESeq (http:// www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq. html) packages.

Gene Ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Enrichment Analysis

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the control and treatment groups were determined using gene ontology (GO). GO enrichment analysis was used to identify GO terms that are enriched with DEGs and to illustrate the difference between two particular samples at the functional level.

Metabolic pathways analysis was carried out with the Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) database to identify the most important biological metabolic pathways and signal transduction pathways related to the DEGs.

Validation of RNA-Seq Results by RT-QPCR

Twelve DEGs associated with galactose metabolism (*gatB*, *gatC*, *lacC*, *galK*, *galU*, and *galE*) or glycolysis/gluconeogenesis (*bglA*,

fbaA, *pdhA*, *pdhB*, *aceF*, and *lpd*) were selected for validation by RT-qPCR to examine the reliability of the RNA-seq results. Total RNA was extracted using a total RNA extractor with a TRIzol kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China), and reverse transcription and RT-qPCR were performed using a PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Takara) and the ABI7300 drop digital PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), respectively, in accordance with the manufacturers' instructions. The gene names and primer sequences used for qRT-PCR are shown in **Table 1**. The PCR programs included 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 40 s. We amplified the 16 SrRNA gene as an internal standard to normalize gene expression. Three independent repetitions of each sample were performed, and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to calculate the relative expression levels of the genes.

Metabonomic Analysis

Sample Preparation for Metabolomics

The initial logarithmic phase (8 h) and stationary phase (24 h) of LGG growth were selected as the sampling time points for comparative metabolomics, and six biological replicates were used per sample. Samples stored at -80° C were thawed at room temperature, and the following steps were conducted by Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd. To 50 mg of each sample, 400 μ L of methanol extraction solution (methanol-water, 4:1, v/v) was added. The mixture was allowed to settle at -10° C and processed with a Wonbio-96c high-throughput tissue crusher (Shanghai Wanbo Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) at 50 Hz for 6 min, followed by 40 kHz ultrasound treatment for 30 min at 5°C. The solution was kept at -20° C for 30 min to precipitate the

protein. After centrifugation at 13,000 \times *g* at 4°C for 15 min, the supernatant was carefully transferred to sample vials for LC-MS/MS analysis. A pooled quality control (QC) sample was prepared by mixing equal volumes of all samples, and the QC mix was processed and tested in the same manner as the analytic samples. The QC mix was injected at regular intervals (every six sample runs) to monitor the stability of the analysis.

LC-MS/MS Metabolomics Equipment and Parameters

LC-MS/MS was performed on an AB Sciex Triple TOF 5600TM mass spectrometer system (AB SCIEX, USA). The following steps and LC conditions were followed by the Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co.: a BEH C18 column (100-mm × 2.1mm i.d., 1.7 µm; Waters, Milford, USA) was used; the flow rate was set to 0.4 mL/min, and the sample injection volume was 10 µL. The mobile phases consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water:acetonitrile (95:5, v/v) (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile:isopropanol:water (47.5:47.5:5, v/v) (solvent B). The solvent gradient changed according to the following conditions: from 0 to 0.5 min, 0 to 0% B; from 0.5 to 2.5 min, 0% B to 25% B; from 2.5 to 9 min, 25% B to 100% B; from 9 to 13 min, 100 to 100% B; from 13 to 13.1 min, 100 to 5% B; and from 13.1 to 16 min, 5 to 5% B for equilibrating the systems. The column temperature was maintained at 40°C. During the analysis, all samples were stored at 4°C.

The UPLC system was coupled to a quadrupole time-offlight mass spectrometer (Triple TOF 5600+, AB Sciex, USA) equipped with an electrospray ionization source operating in positive mode and negative mode. The optimal conditions were



set as follows: source temperature, 550°C; curtain gas, 30 psi; ion source GS1 and GS2, both 50 psi; ion-spray voltage floating, -4,000 V in negative mode and 5,000 V in positive mode; declustering potential, 80 V; collision energy, 40 ± 20 V rolling for MS/MS; cycle time, 510 ms. Data acquisition was performed with the data-dependent acquisition mode, and detection was carried out over a mass range of 50–1,000 m/z.

Statistical Analysis

All data represent the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of at least three replicate measurements, and the results were analyzed on SPSS-19 (Chicago, IL, USA.). Statistical significance (*P* < 0.05) between treatments was analyzed by one-way analysis

of variance, followed by Duncan's multiple-range test. For analysis software, the online platform of Majorbio I-Sanger Cloud platform (https://cloud.majorbio.com/) was used.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth Curve of LGG in MRS Medium With Different Prebiotics

The growth curve in **Figure 1** shows the effect on LGG when 4% (w/v) GOS, MPS, or MGO was added to the MRS medium. After 24h of culture, the culture reached about 2.9 × 10⁹ CFU/mL when 4% (w/v) of MGO was added. The CFU increased about



by 3 to 11 times in MGO medium compared with in the GOS (1 \times 10⁹ CFU/mL), MPS (6.4 \times 10⁸ CFU/mL), and control (2.6 \times 10⁸ CFU/mL) media.

Analysis of Differential Gene Expression Cluster Analysis of Differential Gene Expression

The reproducibility and significance of gene expression differences between samples can be seen from the Pearson correlation coefficient graph (**Figure 2**). The higher the intensity of the blue color indicates a higher correlation between two samples and a smaller difference between them. The higher the intensity of the red color indicates the poorer the correlation between two samples and the greater the difference. The number represents the Pearson correlation coefficient between the samples. As illustrated in **Figure 2**, the biological replicate samples from each test group had good parallelism, and the 8-h and 24-h transcription levels were quite different between the sample groups.

Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes

To understand the gene expression responses of LGG to different prebiotic treatments, transcriptome analysis was conducted to identify the DEGs at the 24 h culture stage. GOS, MPS, and MGO were, respectively added to the MRS medium, and after 24 h culture, 179, 212, and 298 genes, respectively, were discovered to be up-regulated as the growth rate increased. Furthermore, 88, 223, and 321 genes, respectively, were shown to be downregulated as the growth rate increased. The remaining 2876, 2708, and 2524 genes in the respective media did not change with growth rate. The statistical results of the gene quantification are shown in the volcano plot (**Figure 3**). There were \sim 63 and 132% more genes differentially expressed when MPS and MGO were added to the MRS medium, respectively, than GOS, of which there were approximately 18% and 66% more upregulated genes. In terms of promoting the proliferation of probiotics, the proliferation rate of LGG was also higher with MGO treatment than GOS treatment. In the LGG cultivation, slightly more up-regulated genes were seen with MGO than MPS. This may be because prebiotics with a smaller molecular weight are more easily used by LGG. MGO containing six galactose units resulted in a better LGG proliferation rate and stimulated the up-regulation of more genes.

As illustrated in **Table 2**, when GOS, MPS, or MGO was added to the MRS medium, 17, 10, and 29 genes, respectively, were up-regulated, with a more than 5 log2-fold change. *LGG_RS01420*, *LGG_RS03150*, *LGG_RS02910*, *LGG_RS03140* and *LGG_RS03145* genes, which encode hypothetical protein, DeoR/GlpR transcriptional regulator, amino acid permease, galactose-6-phosphate isomerase subunit LacB and galactose-6-phosphate isomerase subunit LacA, respectively, were highly expressed. The DeoR/GlpR transcriptional regulator is involved in the production of amino acids (including L-lysine) (21, 22), and amino acid permease plays an important role in the absorption and transportation of amino acids (23, 24). Galactose-6-phosphate-tagatose and participate in the metabolism of galactose (25).

GO Annotation Analysis of **DEGs**

To clarify the changes in LGG biological processes that occurred after different prebiotics were added, the up-regulated and down-regulated genes identified *via* RNA-seq were subjected to GO term enrichment analysis. As illustrated in **Figure 4**, up-regulated GO functional gene enrichment analysis resulted in a list of biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions. The molecular function category contained the majority of the GO annotations, followed by the biological



FIGURE 3 | Volcano plots of differentially expressed genes after different prebiotic treatments. Genes with differences in expression are shown in red (up-regulated) or green (down-regulated), and genes with no significant differential expression are shown in blue. The horizontal axis represents the change in gene expression in different samples. (A) GOS added to the MRS medium and culture for 24 h; (B) MPS added to the MRS medium and culture for 24 h; (C) MGO added to the MRS medium and culture for 24 h.

TABLE 2 | Differentially expressed genes (fold change >5) of LGG cultured for 24 h in different prebiotic media.

Gene_id	Gene description	GOS/MRS	MPS/MRS	MGO/MRS
LGG_RS00640	MurR/RpiR family transcriptional regulator	5.981	6.188	13.73
LGG_RS00645	TetR/AcrR family transcriptional regulator	6.539	6.673	15.04
LGG_RS01415	Branched-chain amino acid transport system II carrier protein		5.017	8.158
LGG_RS01420	Hypothetical protein	29.647		
LGG_RS01495	ABC transporter substrate-binding protein			5.408
LGG_RS01785	Lacl family DNA-binding transcriptional regulator	6.934		
LGG_RS02525	Dipeptide epimerase			5.831
LGG_RS02720	Amino acid ABC transporter permease			6.044
LGG_RS02730	Branched-chain amino acid transport system II carrier protein			5.6
LGG_RS02895	ABC-F type ribosomal protection protein		5.299	8.473
LGG_RS02910	Amino acid permease		8.007	19.921
LGG_RS03135	Tagatose-bisphosphate aldolase	8.159		
LGG_RS03140	Galactose-6-phosphate isomerase subunit LacB	12.353		
LGG_RS03145	Galactose-6-phosphate isomerase subunit LacA	16.671		
LGG_RS03150	DeoR/GlpR transcriptional regulator	22.369		
LGG_RS04110	NUDIX hydrolase		5.226	
LGG_RS06015	Amino acid permease			6.305
LGG_RS08675	Copper-translocating P-type ATPase		5.179	5.754
LGG_RS10195	Nitronate monooxygenase	5.405		
LGG_RS10200	Acyl carrier protein	6.225		
LGG_RS10205	Ketoacyl-ACP synthase III	5.945		
LGG_RS10210	MarR family transcriptional regulator	6.707		
LGG_RS10215	Beta-hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase	7.904		
LGG_RS10325	Proline/glycine betaine ABC transporter permease			6.358
LGG_RS10330	Glycine betaine/L-proline ABC transporter ATP-binding protein			5.21
LGG_RS10520	PTS glucose transporter subunit IIA	6.401		
LGG_RS10665	Hydrolase	8.037		
LGG_RS11005	Nucleoside 2-deoxyribosyltransferase			5.071
LGG_RS11410	Threonine/serine exporter family protein			6.95
LGG_RS11560	Hypothetical protein			5.5
LGG_RS11590	30S ribosomal protein S14			5.793
LGG_RS12095	NAD(P)H-dependent oxidoreductase			5.172
LGG_RS12585	DUF1275 domain-containing protein			6.815
LGG_RS14005	Carbon-nitrogen family hydrolase	6.421	6.575	11.35
LGG_RS14010	MetQ/NIpA family ABC transporter substrate-binding protein			7.613
LGG_RS14065	tRNA uridine-5-carboxymethylaminomethyl(34) synthesis GTPase MnmE			5.659
_ LGG_RS15770	Putative metal homeostasis protein			6.979
_ LGG_RS16050	D-ribose pyranase	5.338		
_ LGG RS16085	Hypothetical protein		5.009	
sRNA0007	Alexandre de la companya de la company			6.649
sRNA0038			6.316	
sRNA0038				5.268
sRNA0053				6.593
sRNA0222				5.053
sRNA0304				7.463
				8.539

process and cellular component categories. In the ontology of molecular function, the main categories were catalytic activity, binding, and transporter activity. In the biological process ontology, most DEGs were enriched in metabolic processes, cellular processes, and localization. For the cellular component ontology, most DEGs were associated with integral components of cellular anatomical entity and protein-containing complexes. The up-regulated GO functional gene component category for



category. The bar indicates the number of up-regulated genes.

MGO, MPS, and GOS treatments contained 558, 426, and 340 genes, respectively. The highest number of up-regulated GO functional genes was observed when MGO was added to the LGG cultivation.

KEGG Analysis of DEGs

To further identify changes in biochemical pathways during treatment with the different prebiotics, all DEGs were further mapped using the KEGG database (26). The top 10 KEGG pathways with the largest number of differential genes and differential metabolites were identified for analysis (Figure 5). Of these, ABC transporters, the phosphotransferase system (PTS), galactose metabolism, fructose and mannose metabolism, pyruvate metabolism, quorum sensing, amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism, purine metabolism, and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and etc. were enriched. Among these, the five pathways with the largest number of differential genes or differential metabolites when MGO was added to the MRS medium were ABC transporters, fructose and mannose metabolism, PTS, amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism, and purine metabolism. The differences of metabolites were analyzed, and 18 compounds such as Linoleamide, Uridine

diphosphate-N-acetylglucosamine, Isoleucyl-Glutamate, 13Z-Docosenamide and Simonin IV were found to be significantly different (Figure 6, $p \le 0.001$). Linoleamide is one of the endogenous fatty acid primary amides and the most of the significantly different metabolites. It was shown that linoleamide appears to be long-chain bases structurally related to sphingosine and sphinganine into which a second unsaturated bond has been introduced (27). Uridine diphosphate-N-acetylglucosamine is an acetylated aminosugar nucleotide. A previous study show uridine diphosphate-N-acetylglucosamine serves as the donor sugar nucleotide for lipid and secretory protein complex glycosylation, glycosyl phosphatidylinositol anchor synthesis, and N-acetylglucosmine modification of nuclear and cytosolic proteins (28). Isoleucyl-Glutamate is a dipeptide composed of isoleucine and glutamate. It is an incomplete breakdown product of protein digestion or protein catabolism. This dipeptide has not yet been identified in human tissues or biofluids and so it is classified as an "Expected" metabolite (29). MGO is an oligosaccharide consisting of galactose, based on the results of the KEGG metabolic pathology analysis, we found UDP-glucose was more abundant in the MGO group than other groups, which may be a key metabolite for MGO to promote LGG proliferation. The abundance of UDP-glucose in MGO group





 (3.8816 ± 0.0215) was 106, 131 and 137% higher than that of MPS group (3.6770 ± 0.0296) , GOS group (2.9741 ± 0.0395) and MRS group (2.8367 ± 0.1465) , respectively. UDP-glucose is a nucleotide sugar. It involves the reactions of glycosyltransferases in metabolism. It is a precursor of glycogen, which can be converted into galactose and UDP-glucuronic acid, which are then enzymatically used to make polysaccharides containing galactose and glucuronic acid (30).

Because MGO is an oligosaccharide consisting of galactose, combined with the results of the KEGG metabolic pathology analysis, we speculated the mechanism of MGO proliferation of LGG was the stimulation of genetic changes related to carbohydrate metabolic pathways, specifically galactose metabolism and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, which promoted important signal pathways for LGG proliferation. To understand the molecular mechanism of the action of MGO on the synthesis

Gene ID (KEGG Name)	Gene description	FC			Style
		GOS/MRS	MPS/MRS	MGO/MRS	
Galactose metabolism					
LGG_RS12740 (gatB)	PTS galactitol transporter subunit IIC	2.267	2.782	2.204	Up
LGG_RS12745 (gatC)	PTS sugar transporter subunit IIB	2.551	3.244	2.776	Up
LGG_RS01630 (<i>lacC</i>)	Hexose kinase	0.705	0.433	0.392	Down
LGG_RS03075 (galK)	Galactokinase	1.465	1.460	0.492	Down
LGG_RS05080 (<i>galU</i>)	UTP-glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase GalU	0.610	0.548	0.464	Down
LGG_RS09660 (<i>galE</i>)	NAD-dependent epimerase/dehydratase family protein	1.197	0.331	0.298	Down
Glycolysis/gluconeogenesi	S				
LGG_RS05090 (bglA)	Glycoside hydrolase family 1 protein	1.183	1.757	2.701	Up
LGG_RS02500 (fbaA)	Class II fructose-1%2C6-bisphosphate aldolase	0.952	0.455	0.387	Down
LGG_RS06325 (pdhA)	Pyruvate dehydrogenase (acetyl-transferring) E1 component subunit alpha	0.697	0.466	0.454	Down
LGG_RS06330 (<i>pdhB</i>)	Alpha-ketoacid dehydrogenase subunit beta	0.677	0.448	0.469	Down
LGG_RS06335 (<i>aceF</i>)	2-oxo acid dehydrogenase subunit E2	0.651	0.415	0.427	Down
LGG_RS06340 (lpd)	Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase	0.606	0.362	0.384	Down

TABLE 3 | Effect of different prebiotics on galactose metabolism- and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis- related gene transcription in LGG cultured for 24 h.

of galactose metabolism and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, we screened for representative DEGs associated with galactose metabolism and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis synthesis in the transcriptomic sequencing results (**Table 3**). Two DEGs (*gatB* and *gatC*) involved in galactose metabolism were up-regulated, and four DEGs (*lacC*, *galK*, *galU* and *galE*) were down-regulated. As shown in **Figure 7A**, the qRT-PCR data showed the two highest gene expression promotion rates, with an increase of up to 669% (*gatC*) and 276% (*gatB*), when 4% (*w/v*) MGO was added to the MRS medium, which is consistent with the gene expression results obtained from the RNA-seq, suggesting the RNA-seq data were reliable.

The definitions for gatB and gatC in the KEGG pathway are galactitol PTS system EIIB component and galactitol PTS system EIIC component, respectively. The bacterial PTS is widely found in bacteria, fungi, and some archaea and is composed of phosphotransferases, such as enzyme I (EI), histidine phosphate carrier protein (HPr or NPr), and enzyme II complex (31). PTS is mainly responsible for the absorption of carbohydrates, such as hexose, 6-deoxyhexose, amino sugar, N-acetyl amino sugar, gluconic acid, pentitol, ascorbic acid, and disaccharides, during energy transportation, and it catalyzes their conversion to their respective phosphate esters (32). PTS is both a sugar transport system that mediates the uptake and phosphorylation of carbohydrates and a very powerful regulator. It participates in the metabolism of carbon and nitrogen sources, regulates the homeostasis of iron and potassium, regulates the virulence of certain pathogens, and mediates stress responses. During these different regulatory processes, the regulatory processes signal is provided by the phosphorylation state of the PTS components, which changes according to the availability of the PTS substrate and the metabolic state of the cell (33, 34).

Only one DEG (*bglA*) involved in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis was up-regulated, and five DEGs (*fbaA*, *pdhA*, *pdhB*, *aceF*, and *lpd*) were down-regulated when 4% (*w*/*v*) MGO was added to

the MRS medium (Figure 7B). In addition, these DEGs were verified by qRT-PCR, which showed that bglA expression was promoted by 296% and that of fbaA, pdhA, pdhB, aceF, and lpd was reduced to 40.9, 61.9, 87.3, 73.0, and 70.6% when LGG was grown in 4% (w/v) MGO in MRS medium. The KEGG pathway definition of bglA is 6-phospho-beta-glucosidase; this intracellular enzyme of microorganisms catalyzes the hydrolysis of $\beta(1,4)$ -linked cellobiose to produce glucose and glucose-6phosphate. Both of these reaction products can further enter the glycolysis pathway to be used in energy production (35, 36). PET-PTS, a phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent sugar PTS, is a multi-component sugar transport system that usually coexists with 6-phospho-beta-glucosidase in cellulose-degrading bacteria. PET-PTS phosphorylates the C6 position of β-glucoside compounds while transporting them into the cell, allowing the phosphorylated products to be decomposed and used by 6phospho- β -glucosidase (37–39).

CONCLUSION

In the present study, we discovered that MGO has a stronger proliferative effect on LGG than GOS and MPS. The transcriptome results showed that after adding MGO to the MRS medium, GO functional analysis showed that 558 genes were up-regulated as the LGG growth rate increased, and these genes were mainly enriched for cellular processes, cellular anatomical entities, and catalytic activity. When differential genes were mapped to the KEGG database, we found that genes that were up-regulated with the increasing growth rate were mainly enriched in the membrane transport, amino acid metabolism, and carbohydrate metabolism pathways. Of the significantly up-regulated genes, *gatB* and *gatC* are related to galactose metabolism, and *bglA* is related to the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway. The metabolomics results showed UDP-glucose may be a key metabolite for MGO to promote LGG proliferation.



DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The name of the repository and accession number can be found at: NCBI; PRJNA800608.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EL: methodology, software, funding acquisition, and writing—original draft. QZ: investigation and formal analysis. DP: methodology. FL: formal analysis. SL: funding acquisition.

YZ: project administration. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant (No. 31801525), China Agriculture Research System of MOF and MARA, Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2018A030313874), Agricultural Competitive Industry Discipline Team

REFERENCES

- Vernazza CL, Gibson GR, Rastall RA. Carbohydrate preference, acid tolerance and bile tolerance in five strains of Bifidobacterium. J Appl Microbiol. (2006) 100:846–53. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.02832.x
- de Souza Oliveira RP, Perego P, de Oliveira MN, Converti A. Effect of inulin on the growth and metabolism of a probiotic strain of Lactobacillus rhamnosus in co-culture with Streptococcus thermophilus. *LWT Food Sci Technol.* (2012) 47:358–63. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2012.01.031
- Hernandez-Hernandez O, Muthaiyan A, Moreno FJ, Montilla A, Sanz ML, Ricke SC. Effect of prebiotic carbohydrates on the growth and tolerance of Lactobacillus. *Food Microbiol.* (2012) 30:355–61. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2011.12.022
- Gullón B, Gullón P, Sanz Y, Alonso JL, Parajó JC. Prebiotic potential of a refined product containing pectic oligosaccharides. *LWT Food Sci Technol.* (2011) 44:1687–96. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2011.03.006
- Huebner J, Wehling RL, Hutkins RW. Functional activity of commercial prebiotics. *Int Dairy J.* (2007) 17:770–5. doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2006.10.006
- Azmi AF, Mustafa S, Hashim DM, Manap YA. Prebiotic activity of polysaccharides extracted from gigantochloa levis (Buluh beting) shoots. *Molecules*. (2012) 17:1635–51. doi: 10.3390/molecules17021635
- Ramnani P, Chitarrari R, Tuohy K, Grant J, Hotchkiss S, Philp K, et al. *In vitro* fermentation and prebiotic potential of novel low molecular weight polysaccharides derived from agar and alginate seaweeds. *Anaerobe.* (2012) 18:1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2011.08.003
- An De Rsen JM, Barrangou R, Hachem MA, Lahtinen S, Yong JG, Svensson B, et al. Transcriptional and functional analysis of galactooligosaccharide uptake by lacS in Lactobacillus acidophilus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2011) 108:17785–90. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1114152108
- Succi M, Tremonte P, Reale A, Sorrentino E, Grazia L, Pacifico S, et al. Bile salt and acid tolerance of Lactobacillus rhamnosus strains isolated from Parmigiano Reggiano cheese. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* (2005) 244:129– 37. doi: 10.1016/j.femsle.2005.01.037
- Guerin J, Burgain J, Francius G, El-Kirat-Chatel S, Beaussart A, Scher J, et al. Adhesion of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG surface biomolecules to milk proteins. *Food Hydrocoll.* (2018) 82:296–303. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.04.016
- 11. Wei H, Loimaranta V, Tenovuo J, Rokka S, Syväoja E-L, Korhonen H, et al. Stability and activity of specific antibodies against Streptococcus mutans and Streptococcus sobrinus in bovine milk fermented with Lactobacillus rhamnosus strain GG or treated at ultra-high temperature. Oral Microbiol Immunol. (2002) 17:9–15. doi: 10.1046/j.0902-0055.2001.00084.x
- Hojsak I, Snovak N, Abdović S, Szajewska H, Mišak Z, Kolaček S. Lactobacillus GG in the prevention of gastrointestinal and respiratory tract infections in children who attend day care centers: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. *Clin Nutr.* (2010) 29:312–6. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2009.09.008
- Kalliomäki M, Salminen S, Poussa T, Arvilommi H, Isolauri E. Probiotics and prevention of atopic disease: 4-year followup of a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* (2003) 361:1869–71. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13490-3
- Agamennone V, Krul CAM, Rijkers G, Kort R. A practical guide for probiotics applied to the case of antibiotic-associated diarrhea in The Netherlands. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2018) 18:103. doi: 10.1186/s12876-018-0831-x

Building Project of Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences (No. 202119TD), Guangdong Modern Agricultural Industry Technology System Innovation Team (2021KJ124), and Science and Technology Projects of Guangzhou City (202102020965).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Suzanne Leech, Ph.D., from Liwen Bianji (Edanz), for editing the English text of a draft of this manuscript.

- Kołodziej M, Szajewska H. Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938 in the prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhoea in children: protocol of a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open.* (2017) 7:13928. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013928
- de Vrese M, Rautenberg P, Laue C, Koopmans M, Herremans T, Schrezenmeir J. Probiotic bacteria stimulatevirus–specific neutralizing antibodiesfollowing a booster polio vaccination. *Eur J Nutr.* (2005) 44:406– 13. doi: 10.1007/s00394-004-0541-8
- Li E, Yang H, Zou Y, Wang H, Hu T, Li Q, et al. *In-vitro* digestion by simulated gastrointestinal juices of Lactobacillus rhamnosus cultured with mulberry oligosaccharides and subsequent fermentation with human fecal inocula. *LWT Food Sci Technol.* (2019) 101:61–8. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2018.11.029
- Li E, Yang S, Zou Y, Cheng W, Pang D. Purification, characterization, prebiotic preparations and antioxidant activity of oligosaccharides from mulberries. *Molecules*. (2019) 24:2329. doi: 10.3390/molecules24122329
- Koebnick C, Wagner I, Leitzmann P, Stern U, Zunft H. Probiotic beverage containing lactobacillus casei shirota improves gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with chronic constipation. *Can J Gastroenterol.* (2003) 17:655– 9. doi: 10.1155/2003/654907
- Choque Delgado GT, Tamashiro WMDSC. Role of prebiotics in regulation of microbiota and prevention of obesity. *Food Res Int.* (2018) 113:183– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2018.07.013
- Rawls K, Yacovone S, Maupin-Furlow J. GlpR represses fructose and glucose metabolic enzymes at the level of transcription in the haloarchaeon haloferax volcanii. J Bacteriol. (2010) 192:6251–60. doi: 10.1128/JB.0 0827-10
- Arnold J, Simpson J, Roach J, Kwintkiewicz J, Azcárate-Peril M. Intra-species genomic and physiological variability impact stress resistance in strains of probiotic potential. *Front Microbiol.* (2018) 9:242. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00242
- Tanahashi R, Matsushita T, Nishimura A, Takagi H. Downregulation of the broad-specificity amino acid permease Agp1 mediated by the ubiquitin ligase Rsp5 and the arrestin-like protein Bull in yeast. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*. (2021) 85:1266–74. doi: 10.1093/bbb/zbab028
- Imanishi D, Kera Y, Takahashi S. Identification of an acidic amino acid permease involved in d-aspartate uptake in the yeast cryptococcus humicola. *Microorganisms*. (2021) 9:192. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9010192
- Rooijen R, Schalkwijk SV, Vos W. Molecular cloning, characterization, and nucleotide sequence of the tagatose 6-phosphate pathway gene cluster of the lactose operon of Lactococcus lactis. J Biol Chem. (1991) 266:7176– 81. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(20)89626-4
- Dong Y, Hu J, Fan L, Chen Q. RNA-Seq-based transcriptomic and metabolomic analysis reveal stress responses and programmed cell death induced by acetic acid in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:42659. doi: 10.1038/srep42659
- Huang JK, Jan CR. Linoleamide, a brain lipid that induces sleep, increases cytosolic Ca2+ levels in MDCK renal tubular cells. *Life Sci.* (2001) 68:997– 1004. doi: 10.1016/S0024-3205(00)01002-X
- Wells L, Vosseller K, Hart GW. A role for N-acetylglucosamine as a nutrient sensor and mediator of insulin resistance. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2003) 60:222– 8. doi: 10.1007/s000180300017
- 29. Brown DG, Rao S, Weir TL, O'Malia J, Bazan M, Brown RJ, et al. Metabolomics and metabolic pathway networks from human

colorectal cancers, adjacent mucosa, and stool. Cancer Metab. (2016) 4:11. doi: 10.1186/s40170-016-0151-y

- Abbracchio MP, Boeynaems JM, Barnard EA, Boyer JL, Burnstock G. Characterization of the UDP-glucose receptor (re-named here the P2Y14 receptor) adds diversity to the P2Y receptor family. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* (2003) 24:52–5. doi: 10.1016/S0165-6147(02)00038-X
- Katharina PG, Lorenzo VD. From the phosphoenolpyruvate phosphotransferase system to selfish metabolism: a story retraced inPseudomonas putida. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* (2014) 356:144– 53. doi: 10.1111/1574-6968.12459
- Deutscher J, Francke C, Postma P. How phosphotransferase system-related protein phosphorylation regulates carbohydrate metabolism in bacteria. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* (2007) 70:939–1031. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00024-06
- Kundig W, Ghosh S, Roseman S. Phosphate bound to histidine in a protein as an intermediate in a novel phosphotransferase system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S* A. (1964) 52:1067–74. doi: 10.1073/pnas.52.4.1067
- Morabbi Heravi K, Altenbuchner J. Cross talk among transporters of the phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase system in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol. (2018) 200:e00213–18. doi: 10.1128/JB.00213-18
- Desai S, Nandimath K, Mahadevan S. Diverse pathways for salicin utilization in Shigella sonnei and Escherichia coli carrying an impaired bgl operon. *Arch Microbiol.* (2010) 192:821–33. doi: 10.1007/s00203-010-0610-8
- Thompson J, Ruvinov S, Freedberg D, Hall B. Cellobiose-6-phosphate hydrolase (CelF) of Escherichia coli: characterization and assignment to the unusual family 4 of glycosylhydrolases. J Bacteriol. (2000) 181:7339– 45. doi: 10.1128/JB.181.23.7339-7345.1999
- 37. Krüger S, Gertz S, Hecker M. Transcriptional analysis of bglPH expression in Bacillus subtilis: evidence for two distinct pathways

mediating carbon catabolite repression. J Bacteriol. (1996) 178:2637-44. doi: 10.1128/jb.178.9.2637-2644.1996

- 38. Cote C, Cvitkovitch D, Bleiweis A, Honeyman A. A novel β -glucoside-specific PTS locus from Streptococcus mutans that is not inhibited by glucose. *Microbiology.* (2000) 146:1555–63. doi: 10.1099/00221287-146-7-1555
- Fox C, Wilson G. The role of a phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent kinase system in beta-glucoside catabolism in Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA. (1968) 59:988–95. doi: 10.1073/pnas.59.3.988

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Li, Zhu, Pang, Liu, Liao and Zou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.