

# Potential Mechanism and Effects of Different Selenium Sources and Different Effective Microorganism Supplementation Levels on Growth Performance, Meat Quality, and Muscle Fiber Characteristics of Three-Yellow Chickens

Junjing Xue<sup>1,2</sup>, Chengkun Fang<sup>1,2</sup>, Rui Mu<sup>1,2</sup>, Ruiwen Zhuo<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuanyuan Xiao<sup>1,2</sup>, Yiqing Qing<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiaxi Tang<sup>1,2</sup> and Rejun Fang<sup>1,2\*</sup>

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Zhaojun Wei, Hefei University of Technology, China

#### Reviewed by:

Khalid M. Mahrose, Zagazig University, Egypt Guangtian Cao, China Jiliang University, China

> \*Correspondence: Rejun Fang fangrj63@126.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Nutrition and Food Science Technology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

Received: 04 February 2022 Accepted: 16 March 2022 Published: 15 April 2022

#### Citation:

Xue J, Fang C, Mu R, Zhuo R, Xiao Y, Qing Y, Tang J and Fang R (2022) Potential Mechanism and Effects of Different Selenium Sources and Different Effective Microorganism Supplementation Levels on Growth Performance, Meat Quality, and Muscle Fiber Characteristics of Three-Yellow Chickens. Front. Nutr. 9:869540. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.869540 <sup>1</sup> College of Animal Science and Technology, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha, China, <sup>2</sup> Hunan Co-Innovation Center of Animal Production Safety, Changsha, China

A trial was conducted to investigate the effects of different Se sources, including sodium selenite (S-Se) and selenium yeast (Y-Se) and different effective microorganism (EM) addition levels on growth performance, meat quality, and muscle fiber characteristics of three-yellow chickens and its potential mechanism. A total of 400 birds were randomly distributed into 4 groups (S-Se, S-Se + EM, Y-Se, and Y-Se + EM groups) consisting of a 2  $\times$  2 factorial arrangement. The main factors were the source of Se (I<sub>Se</sub> = inorganic Se: 0.2 mg/kg S-Se;  $O_{Se}$  = organic Se: 0.2 mg/kg Y-Se) and the level of EM (H<sub>EMB</sub> = high EM: 0.5% EM; Z<sub>FMB</sub> = low EM: 0% EM). Each treatment had 5 replicates and each replicate consisted of 20 broiler chickens. The trial lasted for 70 days. The results showed that, in breast muscle, the broiler chickens fed O<sub>Se</sub> source decreased the pH<sub>24h</sub>, drip loss, shear force, perimeter, cross-sectional area, and diameter, but increased the  $a_{24h}^*$  and density compared with the broiler chickens fed I<sub>Se</sub> source ( $\rho$  < 0.05); broiler chickens supplied with H<sub>EMB</sub> level decreased the cross-sectional area and diameter, but increased the  $pH_{24h}$ ,  $a_{24h}^*$  and density compared with the broiler chickens supplied with  $Z_{\text{EMB}}$  level (p < 0.05). In thigh muscle,  $O_{\text{Se}}$  source and  $H_{\text{EMB}}$  level also could improve the meat quality and change muscle fiber characteristics of broiler chickens (p < 0.05). Meat quality was correlated with the muscle fiber characteristics (p < 0.05). O<sub>Se</sub> source and H<sub>EMB</sub> level could regulate the expression levels of muscle fiber-relative genes in the breast and thigh muscles (p < 0.05). In conclusion, O<sub>Se</sub> source and H<sub>EMB</sub> level could improve the meat quality of the breast and thigh muscles of three-yellow chickens by changing the muscle fiber characteristics, and they changed the muscle fiber characteristics by regulating the expression levels of muscle fiber-relative genes.

Keywords: selenium yeast, effective microorganism, growth performance, meat quality, muscle fiber characteristic

# INTRODUCTION

Three-yellow chicken is one of the most famous indigenous breeds in China. They have a high content of linolenic acid and amino acid, and a low content of sinapic acid in the meat. As people's living standard has improved, the need for high-quality meat products has greatly increased (1). However, large-scale feeding of three-yellow chicken leads to a decrease in meat quality. Thus, more research has gravitated toward improving the meat quality of broiler chicken (2–4).

Sodium selenite (S-Se), selenium veast (Y-Se), selenomethionine, and nano-selenium can be supplied in animal feed (5). Se is an essential trace element and a key part of glutathione peroxidase. Compared with S-Se, Y-Se has greater effects and is widely used (6). Dietary Y-Se supplementation can improve the carcass quality, antioxidant status, nutrition digestibility, Se deposition, and meat quality of Cobb 500 broilers, laying hen, and sheep (4, 7, 8). Probiotics such as Lactobacillus, yeast, and Bacillus subtilis as the possible substitute for antibiotics have attracted a lot of attention (2, 9). Previous studies had shown that, when supplied with Lactobacillus, it could improve the nutrient absorption, intestinal integrity, meat quality, and keep the balance of gut microbiota of weaning piglets, ducks, and Arbor Acres (AA) broilers (3, 10–12). Dietary yeast can improve the antioxidant status and meat quality of AA and Ross broilers (2, 10, 13). Effective microorganism (EM) mainly included Lactobacillus and yeast in this experiment. However, the effect of Y-Se and EM on the growth performance, meat quality, and muscle fiber characteristics of three-yellow chickens is still unknown.

Meat quality is reflected by many indexes such as pH value, color, flavor, drip loss, cooking loss, and shear force. The level of glycolysis and the content of lactic acid and oxidation level in the muscle can influence the meat quality (14-17). Previous studies had shown that, when supplied with Y-Se, Lactobacillus and yeast could increase the antioxidant capacity of ducks, aging mice, Ross broilers, and pigs by increasing the activities of glutathione peroxidase and superoxide dismutase and decreasing the contents of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances and malondialdehyde (2, 13, 18-20). The increase in antioxidant capacity prevents the oxidation of myoglobin, which can deepen the muscle color (21, 22), and it prevents the oxidation of muscle and keeps the cytomembrane integrity, thus decreasing the L\*, drip loss, and cooking loss (14, 16, 23). Previous studies had found a correlation between meat quality and muscle fiber characteristics (24-26). However, there is less information about this correlation in broiler chickens. In this experiment, we investigated the effects of different Se sources and different EM addition levels on the growth performance, meat quality, and muscle fiber characteristics of three-yellow chickens, and studied the correlation between meat quality and muscle fiber characteristics, and then illustrated the underlying mechanism.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Animals and Diets**

A total of 400 one-day-old healthy three-yellow chickens (male, average initial weight was 35.72  $\pm$  0.05 g) were randomly

distributed into 4 groups (S-Se, S-Se + EM, Y-Se, and Y-Se + EM groups) consisting of a 2  $\times$  2 factorial arrangement. The main factors were the source of Se ( $I_{Se}$  = inorganic Se: 0.2 mg/kg S-Se; O<sub>Se</sub> = organic Se: 0.2 mg/kg Y-Se) and the level of EM (H<sub>EMB</sub> = high EM: 0.5% EM;  $Z_{EMB}$  = low EM: 0% EM). The basal diet met the nutrient requirements (except for microelement) of broiler chickens according to NRC 1994 and NY/T33 2004 (Table 1). The additional level of microelement was determined according to our previous study. The broiler chickens in the S-Se and S-Se + EM groups were fed the basal diet supplemented with 0.2 mg/kg S-Se, and in the Y-Se and Y-Se + EM groups were fed the basal diet supplemented with 0.2 mg/kg Y-Se. Meanwhile, the S-Se + EM and Y-Se + EM groups were fed the basal diet supplemented with 0.5% EM (Bokaxi, Changsha, China) which mainly included Lactobacillus and yeast at a dose of 2  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> cfu/kg and 3  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> cfu/kg, respectively. The analyzed value of Se in the diets is shown in Table 2. The trial lasted for 70 days. The birds had ad libitum access to food and water. Each treatment had 5 replicates, and each replicate consisted of 20 broiler chickens. Each replicate was raised in a single cage having the dimensions  $160 \times 160 \times 100$  cm (length  $\times$ width  $\times$  height). The room temperature was maintained constant at 22  $\pm$  1°C. The relative humidity was maintained at 55  $\pm$  5%. The lighting schedule was 23 h light:1 h dark. Birds were weighed in the morning at 1, 35, and 70 days, and feed intake was recorded each day. At the end of the trial, body weight (BW), average daily feed intake (ADFI), average daily gain (ADG), and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were calculated.

# Sample Collection

At the end of the experiment, birds were fasted for 24 h before slaughter, and electrically stunned and euthanized by cervical dislocation. The right breast and thigh muscles were collected from one bird in each replicate. Some of the muscles were fixed in 4% formalin for morphometric analyses, some were used for meat quality examination, and some were immediately frozen at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis.

## **Meat Quality**

Meat quality of the right breast and thigh muscles was determined according to the method developed by Meng et al. with a slight modification (27). The pH values were measured at 45 min, 24 h, and 48 h postmortem using a portable pH meter (Mettler-Toledo, Shanghai, China). At the same time, meat colors, which included L\*, a\*, and b\*, were determined by Minolta chroma meter (Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan). During 45 min after postmortem, the surface water of muscles (around 30 g) was removed, and the initial weight was recorded. After hanging vertically at 4°C for 24 h, the final weight was recorded. The drip loss was calculated as follows:

# Drip loss (%) = $\frac{\text{initial weight} - \text{final weight}}{\text{initial weight}} \times 100$

The muscles were trimmed to  $2 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm}$ , and the initial weight was recorded. The meats were packed by bag and immersed in 80°C water until the internal temperature of meats reached 74°C. The meats were cooled and the final weight was

TABLE 1	Composition of th	e basal diet and	d nutrition level	(dry matter).
---------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	---------------

Ingredient	Cont	ent, %	Nutrient level <sup>c</sup>	Con	tent
	1 to 35 d	36 to 70 d		1 to 35 d	36 to 70 d
Corn	59.00	65.00	DE (Mcal/kg)	3.00	3.12
Soybean meal	30.28	20.00	Crude protein (%)	21.26	16.32
Cottonseed meal	2.00	4.50	Crude lipid (%)	4.35	4.56
Fish meal	3.20	-	Crude ash (%)	6.08	5.93
Wheat bran	-	3.15	Ca (%)	0.97	0.88
Soybean oil	1.45	3.00	Total P	0.72	0.65
Ca(H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	1.05	1.10	Available P (%)	0.46	0.36
Limestone	1.50	1.70	Lys (%)	1.11	0.86
Choline chloride	0.10	0.10	Met (%)	0.53	0.41
DL-Met	0.18	0.15	Met + Cys (%)	0.86	0.69
NaCl	0.24	0.20	Thr	0.80	0.69
NaHCO3	-	0.10			
Mineral premix <sup>a</sup>	0.98	0.98			
Vitamin premix <sup>b</sup>	0.02	0.02			
Total	100.00	100.00			

<sup>a</sup>The mineral premix provided per kilogram of complete feed: Cu, 1.06 mg; Fe, 84.67 mg; Zn, 29.24 mg; Mn, 4.43 mg.

<sup>b</sup> The vitamin premix provided per kilogram of complete feed: vitamin A, 6,500 IU; vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, 2,000 IU; vitamin E, 16 IU; vitamin K<sub>3</sub>, 2 mg; vitamin B<sub>1</sub>, 2 mg; vitamin B<sub>2</sub>, 5 mg; vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, 1.6 mg; vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 0.015 mg; D–biotin, 0.12 mg; D–pantothenic acid, 10 mg; folic acid, 1 mg; nicotinamide, 20 mg.

<sup>c</sup> The crude protein, crude lipid, and crude ash were measured values, and the others were calculated values.

TABLE 2 | Concentration of Se in experimental diets (mg/kg).

Treatments	Supplemented values	Analyze	d value
	1 to 70 d	1 to 35 d	36 to 70 d
S-Se	0.200	0.215	0.210
S-Se + EM	0.200	0.220	0.215
Y–Se	0.200	0.215	0.217
Y-Se + EM	0.200	0.209	0.213
SEM	0.002	0.002	
P value	0.592	0.593	

S-Se, sodium selenite; S-Se + EM, sodium selenite + 0.5% EM; Y-Se, selenium yeast; Y-Se + EM, selenium yeast + 0.5% EM.

recorded after removing the surface water of meats. The cooking loss was calculated as follows:

Cooking loss (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{initial weight} - \text{final weight}}{\text{initial weight}} \times 100$$

After measuring the cooking loss, the shear force was measured using digital muscle shear apparatus (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK).

## **Muscle Fiber Characteristics**

The right breast and thigh muscles were embedded in paraffin and cut into 10  $\mu$ m sections (horizontal axis). The samples were dehydrated *via* a series of incubations in xylene and ethanol solutions, and then stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE staining), and observed through a microscope (400×) (Nikon,

Tokyo, Japan). Three areas (each area was 0.15 mm<sup>2</sup>) in a slide (6 slides in each treatment) were randomly selected to examine the perimeter, cross-sectional area, diameter, and density of muscle fiber using CaseViewer.

## **qRT-PCR** Analysis

The relative expression levels of myogenic factor 5 (Myf5), myogenin (MyoG), myogenic regulatory factor 4 (MRF4), calpain 2 (CAPN2), calpain 3 (CAPN3), calpastatin (CAST), myostatin (MSTN), myocyte enhancer factor 2A (MEF2A), myocyte enhancer factor 2D (MEF2D), fast myosin heavy chain (FM), slow myosin heavy chain (SM), insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I), and insulin-like growth factor II (IGF-II) in the right breast and thigh muscles were detected by qRT-PCR. The primers (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China) used are listed in Table 3. The  $\beta$ -actin gene was chosen as the reference gene for sample normalization. qRT-PCR reactions were carried out in a -Bio-Rad CFX96 touch qPCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) in 20  $\mu$ l volumes that contained the following components: 10 µl of SYBR Green Mix (Takara, Changsha, China), 2 µl cDNA  $(1,000 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}), 0.4 \mu\text{l} \text{ of each primer (10 mM), and 7.2 }\mu\text{l} \text{dH}_2\text{O},$ followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C-58°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. Finally, a melt curve analysis was used to detect the single product. The relative expression level was analyzed using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. The amplification efficiencies of all primes ranged from 0.90 to 1.00. All samples were tested in triplicate.

## Statistical Analysis

The experimental design was a 2  $\times$  2 factorial arrangement, and the main factors were the source of Se and the level of

#### TABLE 3 | The primers for qRT-PCR.

Genes	Primer Sequence (5' to 3')	Accession number	Size (bp)
Myf5	F: CAACCAGAGACTCCCCAAA	NM_001030363.1	87
	R: TCCACCTGTTCCCTCAAGA		
MyoG	F: GCAGAGGTTTTACGATGGGG	NM_204184.1	102
	R: CTTTCAGGGCACAGGGTCAC		
MRF4	F: AAAAGGCGGACTGTGGC	D10599.1	234
	R: GGAATGGTCGGAAGCG		
CAPN2	F: ACCACTGAAGGCTTTGAGG	NM_205080.1	264
	R: GGGATTTCGGATTCTGATG		
CAPN3	F: TTGCTTGCCTGACACTGAAT	NM_001004405.2	162
	R: GCTGGTTGTTGTATGTGGGTAG		
CAST	F: GGATGAATCAGCACTGGACA	NM_001137650.1	149
	R: GGAGGCTACCTTCTCGTTTT		
MSTN	F: GGCTCTGGATGGCAGTAGTC	DQ912835.1	293
	R: TAATCGTCTCGGTTGTGGC		
MEF2A	F: TCACGAGAATAATGGACGAAC	NM_204864.3	158
	R: AGTGCTGGCATACTGAAAGAG		
MEF2D	F: CTTGATGAAGAAAGCCTACGAG	NM_001031600.3	173
	R: TGATGTCCGCATTGGTCC		
FM	F: CTGTGCTATCCTCGTGTCAAG	AF272033.1	202
	R: CAAATCCAGCGATGTCCA		
SM	F: GCTCCACTCGCAGAACAC	U85022.1	89
	R: CAGCATCCTCCACCTCG		
IGF - I	F: GGTTGATGCTCTTCAGTTCGTA	M32791.1	96
	R: CCCTTGTGGTGTAAGCGTCT		
IGF - II	F: GGGACAGGGGCTTCTACTTC	NM_001030342.3	142
	R: CGCTCTGACTTGACGGACTT		
B - actin	F: TGAAGCCCAGAGCAAAAGA	L08165.1	275
	R: TACGACCAGAGGCATACAGG		

Myf5, myogenic factor 5; MyoG, myogenin; MRF4, myogenic regulatory factor 4; CAPN2, calpain 2; CAPN3, calpain 3; CAST, calpastatin; MSTN, myostatin; MEF2A, myocyte enhancer factor 2A; MEF2D, myocyte enhancer factor 2D; FM, fast myosin heavy chain; SM, slow myosin heavy chain; IGF-I, insulin-like growth factor I; IGF - II, insulin - like growth factor II; β-actin, beta-actin.

EM. Data were analyzed by –two-way ANOVA using SPSS 22.0 (SPSS. Inc., Chicago, USA), which included the main effects of Se source, EM level, and their interaction (Se source × EM level). Tukey's multiple-range test was used to analyze the differences. All data were further subjected to one-way ANOVA. When overall differences were significant, the differences were tested by Duncan's multiple-range test (SPSS 22.0). The correlations between the meat quality and muscle fiber characteristics in the breast and thigh muscles were analyzed by SPSS 22.0. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05, and high level of significance was set at p < 0.01. The results are presented as the mean values and SEM.

# RESULTS

## **Growth Performance**

The effect of Se source and EM level on growth performance is shown in **Table 4**. Se source influenced FCR of three-yellow

chickens (p < 0.05). There was no interaction between Se source and EM level regarding growth performance (p > 0.05). Broiler chickens fed O<sub>Se</sub> source decreased the FCR during 1–35 days compared with the broiler chickens fed I<sub>Se</sub> source (p < 0.05). During 1–35 days, a greater FCR was observed in the S-Se + EM group compared with the Y-Se and Y-Se + EM groups (p < 0.05), whereas there were no significant differences among the S-Se, Y– Se, and Y-Se + EM groups, or between the S-Se and S-Se + EM groups (p > 0.05).

# Meat Quality in the Breast and Thigh Muscles

The effect of Se source and EM level on meat quality in the breast and thigh muscles is shown in Table 5. In breast muscle, the present experiment showed significant interactions between the Se source and EM level regarding  $pH_{24h}$  and drip loss (p < p0.05). Compared with the broiler chickens fed I<sub>Se</sub> source, broiler chickens fed OSe source decreased the pH24h, drip loss, and shear force, and increased the  $a_{24h}^{*}$  (p < 0.05). Broiler chickens supplied with  $H_{EMB}$  level increased  $pH_{24h}$  and  $a_{24h}^*$  compared with the broiler chickens supplied with  $Z_{EMB}$  level (p < 0.05). In detail, the S-Se + EM group had a greater  $pH_{24h}$  compared with the other groups, and the S-Se group had a greater pH<sub>24h</sub> compared with the Y-Se + EM group (p < 0.05). The Y-Se + EM group had a greater  $a_{24h}^*$  compared with the other groups (p < 0.05). The drip loss in the Y-Se + EM group was lower than that in the S-Se + EM and Y-Se groups (p < 0.05). Shear force in the Y-Se + EM group was lower than that in the S-Se and S-Se + EM groups (p < 0.05).

In the thigh muscle, Se source and EM level showed significant interactions on  $b_{24h}^*$ ,  $a_{48h}^*$ ,  $b_{48h}^*$ , and drip loss (p < 0.05). Compared with broiler chickens supplied with ISe source, broiler chickens fed O<sub>Se</sub> source decreased the L<sup>\*</sup><sub>24h</sub>, L<sup>\*</sup><sub>48h</sub>, drip loss, and shear force, and increased the  $b_{24h}^*$  and  $a_{48h}^*$  (p < 0.05). Broiler chickens supplied with  $H_{EMB}$  level increased the  $b^*_{45min}$ ,  $a^*_{24h}$ , and a<sup>\*</sup><sub>48b</sub> compared with the broiler chickens supplied with Z<sub>EMB</sub> level (p < 0.05). In detail, the Y-Se + EM group had a greater  $a_{24h}^*$ compared with the other groups, and S-Se + EM group had a greater  $a_{24h}^*$  compared with the S-Se and Y-Se groups (p < 0.05). The Y-Se + EM group had a greater  $b_{24h}^*$  compared with the other groups, and the S-Se group had a greater b<sup>\*</sup><sub>24h</sub> compared with the S-Se + EM and Y-Se groups (p < 0.05). The Y-Se + EM group had a greater a<sup>\*</sup><sub>48h</sub> compared with the other groups, and the S-Se group had a greater  $a_{48h}^*$  compared with the S-Se + EM group (*p* < 0.05). The Y-Se + EM group had a greater  $b^{\ast}_{48h}$  compared with the S-Se + EM and Y-Se groups (p < 0.05). The S-Se and S-Se + EM groups had a higher  $L^*_{48h}$  compared with the Y-Se and Y-Se + EM groups (p < 0.05). The S-Se + EM group had a greater drip loss compared with the other groups (p < 0.05). The S-Se group had a greater shear force compared with the Y-Se and Y-Se + EM groups (p < 0.05).

# Muscle Fiber Characteristics in the Breast and Thigh Muscles

The effect of Se source and EM level on muscle fiber characteristics in the breast and thigh muscles is shown in

TABLE 4 Effects of different Se sources and different bacteria supplementation levels on the growth performance of three-yellow chickens.

Items		Groups				fect of S	Main ef	fect of E	SEM	P-value			
	S – Se	S – Se + EM	Y – Se	Y – Se + EM	I <sub>Se</sub>	O <sub>Se</sub>	Z <sub>EMB</sub>	H <sub>EMB</sub>		Treatment	S	L	S × L
BW (g)													
Initial	35.80	35.65	35.76	35.68	35.73	35.72	35.78	35.67	0.05	0.735	0.963	0.294	0.746
35 d	714.05	702.63	716.21	725.82	708.34	721.02	715.13	714.23	4.12	0.272	0.132	0.911	0.206
70 d	1,909.01	1,912.58	1,898.09	1,883.30	1,910.80	1,890.69	1,903.55	1,897.94	11.10	0.815	0.405	0.814	0.701
ADG (g)													
1 to 35 d	19.23	18.96	19.43	19.68	19.09	19.56	19.33	19.32	0.12	0.171	0.055	0.972	0.256
36 to 70 d	34.14	34.46	33.26	33.07	34.30	33.16	33.70	33.76	0.32	0.375	0.095	0.920	0.697
1 to 70 d	26.61	26.65	26.30	26.36	26.63	26.33	26.45	26.51	0.16	0.843	0.386	0.873	0.970
ADFI (g)													
1 to 35 d	36.78	37.19	36.69	37.32	36.99	37.00	36.74	37.25	0.23	0.759	0.970	0.300	0.830
36 to 70 d	98.82	97.97	96.79	96.40	98.40	96.60	97.81	97.19	0.69	0.620	0.223	0.668	0.875
1 to 70 d	67.50	67.36	66.55	66.80	67.43	66.67	67.02	67.08	0.39	0.832	0.381	0.946	0.816
FCR (g:g)													
1 to 35 d	1.92 <sup>ab</sup>	1.94 <sup>a</sup>	1.89 <sup>b</sup>	1.89 <sup>b</sup>	1.93*	1.89	1.90	1.91	0.01	0.011	0.002	0.284	0.198
36 to 70 d	2.89	2.84	2.91	2.92	2.87	2.92	2.90	2.88	0.02	0.650	0.324	0.664	0.516
1 to 70 d	2.54	2.53	2.53	2.54	2.53	2.54	2.54	2.53	0.01	0.994	0.884	0.942	0.826

G

S-Se, sodium selenite; S-Se + EM, sodium selenite + 0.5% EM; Y-Se, selenium yeast; Y-Se + EM, selenium yeast + 0.5% EM. S, Se source; E, EM level; S × E, interaction between Se source and EM level; I<sub>Se</sub>, inorganic Se; O<sub>Se</sub>, organic Se; H<sub>EMB</sub>, high EM; Z<sub>EMB</sub>, low EM; BW, body weight; ADG, average daily gain; ADFI, average daily feed intake; FCR, feed conversion ratio.  $^{a,b}$ Values of group in the same row with the same superscript or absence of a superscript were not significantly different (p > 0.05).

\*Values of the main effect of S in the same row were significantly different (p < 0.05).

TABLE 5 | Effects of different Se sources and different bacteria supplementation levels on the meat quality of three-yellow chickens.

Items		Gro	oups		Main ef	fect of S	Main e	ffect of E	SEM	P-value			
	S – Se	S – Se + EM	Y – Se	Y – Se + EM	I <sub>Se</sub>	O <sub>Se</sub>	Z <sub>EMB</sub>	H <sub>EMB</sub>		Treatment	S	Е	S × E
Breast muscle													
pH <sub>45min</sub>	5.86	5.62	5.75	5.72	5.74	5.74	5.81	5.67	0.05	0.462	0.954	0.201	0.351
pH <sub>24h</sub>	5.70 <sup>b</sup>	6.01 <sup>a</sup>	5.62 <sup>bc</sup>	5.59°	5.85*	5.60	5.66	5.80 <sup>§</sup>	0.04	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
pH <sub>48h</sub>	5.79	5.86	5.81	5.81	5.83	5.81	5.80	5.83	0.03	0.903	0.827	0.641	0.598
a <sup>*</sup> <sub>45min</sub>	1.59	1.74	2.12	1.85	1.66	1.98	1.85	1.80	0.11	0.382	0.154	0.789	0.349
b <sub>45min</sub>	6.78	6.86	5.43	6.26	6.82	5.80	6.03	6.59	0.31	0.333	0.135	0.472	0.560
L <sup>*</sup> <sub>45min</sub>	42.05	45.04	41.36	43.60	43.55	42.48	41.67	44.24	0.74	0.330	0.476	0.095	0.802
a <sub>24h</sub>	1.40 <sup>b</sup>	1.54 <sup>b</sup>	1.71 <sup>b</sup>	3.43 <sup>a</sup>	1.50	2.40*	1.58	2.08 <sup>§</sup>	0.26	0.021	0.016	0.033	0.062
b*24h	8.51	8.21	8.76	8.16	8.33	8.46	8.63	8.19	0.21	0.817	0.854	0.408	0.767
L*24h	48.30	47.10	47.80	45.07	47.79	46.58	48.02	45.94	0.63	0.287	0.321	0.135	0.542
a <sub>48h</sub>	2.09	1.16	1.51	2.07	1.72	1.83	1.80	1.77	0.19	0.332	0.675	0.638	0.085
b* <sub>48h</sub>	7.8	8.23	9.61	8.92	8.04	9.26	8.71	8.53	0.34	0.289	0.082	0.843	0.412
L <sub>48h</sub>	42.27	43.86	45.59	42.87	43.07	44.50	43.93	43.46	0.73	0.419	0.452	0.708	0.183
Drip loss (%)	2.74 <sup>bc</sup>	3.75 <sup>a</sup>	3.14 <sup>b</sup>	2.34°	3.14*	2.74	2.94	2.90	0.17	0.006	0.026	0.573	0.002
Cooking loss (%)	20.37	20.94	20.90	20.18	20.73	20.54	20.70	20.56	0.37	0.870	0.890	0.930	0.450
Shear force (N)	12.15 <sup>a</sup>	12.9 <sup>a</sup>	10.32 <sup>ab</sup>	8.35 <sup>b</sup>	12.47*	9.48	11.24	10.62	0.60	0.023	0.004	0.498	0.151
Thigh muscle													
pH <sub>45min</sub>	5.98	5.95	5.99	5.97	5.97	5.98	5.99	5.96	0.03	0.954	0.810	0.620	0.936
pH <sub>24h</sub>	6.30	6.22	6.25	6.28	6.26	6.27	6.27	6.25	0.02	0.688	0.902	0.652	0.276
pH <sub>48h</sub>	6.44	6.49	6.41	6.46	6.47	6.44	6.42	6.48	0.03	0.816	0.650	0.408	0.988
a <sup>*</sup> <sub>45min</sub>	6.13	5.04	6.34	6.63	5.58	6.46	6.23	5.72	0.30	0.286	0.152	0.507	0.261
b <sub>45min</sub>	5.79	6.53	5.19	6.17	6.16	5.56	5.36	6.31 <sup>§</sup>	0.21	0.085	0.224	0.047	0.747
L <sup>*</sup> <sub>45min</sub>	40.6	42.64	39.36	40.05	41.62	39.67	39.91	41.35	0.51	0.113	0.057	0.158	0.474
a <sup>*</sup> <sub>24h</sub>	6.04 <sup>c</sup>	11.09 <sup>b</sup>	6.56 <sup>c</sup>	13.96 <sup>a</sup>	8.92	10.26	6.30	12.32 <sup>§</sup>	0.99	0.000	0.072	0.000	0.193
b <sup>*</sup> <sub>24h</sub>	6.57 <sup>b</sup>	4.34 <sup>c</sup>	4.66 <sup>c</sup>	7.72 <sup>a</sup>	5.62	5.97*	5.62	6.03	0.40	0.000	0.046	0.227	0.000
L <sup>*</sup> <sub>24h</sub>	40.22	42.48	39.82	38.69	41.35*	39.34	39.99	40.58	0.53	0.074	0.037	0.531	0.080
a <sub>48h</sub>	5.93 <sup>b</sup>	3.73 <sup>c</sup>	5.29 <sup>bc</sup>	10.69 <sup>a</sup>	4.67	7.60*	5.56	6.71 <sup>§</sup>	0.75	0.000	0.001	0.032	0.000
b <sub>48h</sub>	5.61 <sup>ab</sup>	3.83 <sup>b</sup>	4.57 <sup>b</sup>	6.80 <sup>a</sup>	4.85	5.52	5.09	5.32	0.38	0.022	0.110	0.687	0.004
L <sup>*</sup> <sub>48h</sub>	41.54 <sup>a</sup>	42.64 <sup>a</sup>	36.40 <sup>b</sup>	35.00 <sup>b</sup>	42.17*	35.70	38.97	39.36	1.17	0.018	0.003	0.926	0.456
Drip loss (%)	2.99 <sup>b</sup>	4.22 <sup>a</sup>	2.99 <sup>b</sup>	2.27 <sup>b</sup>	3.48*	2.63	2.99	2.92	0.21	0.003	0.003	0.306	0.003
Cooking loss (%)	17.07	15.43	15.41	15.68	16.37	15.53	16.15	15.58	1.05	0.948	0.770	0.780	0.690
Shear force (N)	12.39 <sup>a</sup>	10.21 <sup>ab</sup>	8.45 <sup>b</sup>	8.75 <sup>b</sup>	11.30*	8.62	10.42	9.37	0.58	0.039	0.012	0.301	0.183

S-Se, sodium selenite; S-Se + EM, sodium selenite + 0.5% EM; Y-Se, selenium yeast; Y-Se + EM, selenium yeast + 0.5% EM. S, Se source; E, EM level; S × E, interaction between Se source and EM level; I<sub>Se</sub>, inorganic Se; O<sub>Se</sub>, organic Se; H<sub>EMB</sub>, high EM; Z<sub>EMB</sub>, low EM.

 $a^{-c}$ Values of group in the same row with the same superscript or absence of a superscript were not significantly different (p > 0.05).

\*Values of the main effect of S in the same row were significantly different (p < 0.05).

 $^{\$}$  Values of the main effect of E in the same row were significantly different (p < 0.05).





**Figure 1** and **Table 6**. In the breast muscle, there were no interactions between Se source and EM level regarding the muscle fiber characteristics (p > 0.05). Compared with the broiler chickens fed I<sub>Se</sub> source, broiler chickens fed O<sub>Se</sub> source decreased the perimeter, cross-sectional area, and diameter, and improved the density (p < 0.05). Broiler chickens supplied with H<sub>EMB</sub> level decreased the cross-sectional area and diameter, and enhanced the density, compared with the broiler chickens supplied with Z<sub>EMB</sub> level (p < 0.05). In detail, the S-Se group had a greater cross-sectional area and diameter compared with the other groups (p < 0.05). The Y-Se + EM group had a greater density compared with the S-Se and S-Se + EM groups, and the Y-Se group had a greater density compared with the S-Se group (p < 0.05).

In the thigh muscle, there were no interactions between Se source and EM level regarding the muscle fiber characteristics (p > 0.05). Compared with the broiler chickens fed I<sub>Se</sub> source, broiler chickens fed O<sub>Se</sub> source decreased the cross-sectional area and diameter, and increased the density (p < 0.05). Broiler

chickens supplied with  $H_{EMB}$  level decreased the perimeter and cross-sectional area, and increased the density, compared with the broiler chickens supplied with  $Z_{EMB}$  level (p < 0.05). In detail, the Y-Se + EM group had a greater density compared with the other groups (p < 0.05).

# The Correlations Between the Meat Quality and Muscle Fiber Characteristics

The correlations between the meat quality and muscle fiber characteristics in the breast and thigh muscles are shown in **Figure 2**. In the breast muscle,  $a_{24h}^*$  showed a negative correlation with perimeter, but shear force showed a positive correlation with perimeter (p < 0.05). The  $a_{45min}^*$  and  $a_{24h}^*$  were negatively related to cross-sectional area, and  $L_{24h}^*$  and shear force were positively related to cross-sectional area (p < 0.05).  $a_{24h}^*$  showed a negative correlation with diameter (p < 0.05).  $L_{24h}^*$  was negatively related to density (p < 0.05). In the thigh muscle,  $a_{24h}^*$  and  $a_{48h}^*$  showed negative correlations with perimeter, but shear force showed a

ltems		Groups	sdi		Main effect of S	ect of S	Main effect of E	∋ct of E	SEM		P-value	_	
	S - Se	S – Se + EM	Y - Se	Y - Se + EM	Ise	O <sub>Se</sub>	<b>Z</b> <sub>EMB</sub>	H <sub>EMB</sub>		Treatment	S	ш	S × E
Breast muscle													
Perimeter (µm)	148.97	138.84	128.79	116.88	142.64*	123.69	137.44	130.61	4.75	0.146	0.033	0.230	0.920
Cross-sectional area ( $\mu m^2$ )	1,694.13 <sup>a</sup>	1,264.07 <sup>b</sup>	1,197.35 <sup>b</sup>	987.53 <sup>b</sup>	1,479.10*	1,092.44	1,445.74 <sup>§</sup>	1,125.80	89.08	0.008	0.006	0.015	0.317
Diameter (µm)	38.02 <sup>a</sup>	31.71 <sup>b</sup>	30.93 <sup>b</sup>	29.45 <sup>b</sup>	33.51*	29.95	34.47 <sup>§</sup>	30.71	1.02	0.028	0.016	0.036	0.161
Density	75.61°	90.67 <sup>bc</sup>	106.00 <sup>ab</sup>	130.67 <sup>a</sup>	85.02	116.57*	92.98	105.67 <sup>§</sup>	6.29	0.011	0.002	0.047	0.600
Thigh muscle													
Perimeter (µm)	145.39	129.50	136.82	119.93	137.45	128.37	141.11 <sup>§</sup>	124.71	3.93	0.061	0.113	0.022	0.916
Cross-sectional area ( $\mu m^2$ )	1,691.05	1,280.20	1,315.96	1,087.79	1,485.63*	1,201.87	$1,503.50^{\$}$	1,184.00	90.84	0.053	0.047	0.033	0.413
Diameter (µm)	32.89	31.47	28.79	26.80	32.18*	27.59	30.84	28.67	0.99	0.056	0.018	0.238	0.833
Density	80.75 <sup>b</sup>	91.89 <sup>b</sup>	100.50 <sup>b</sup>	140.89 <sup>a</sup>	85.52	117.81*	90.63	116.39 <sup>§</sup>	7.85	0.024	0.014	0.049	0.233

 $^{a-c}$ Values of group in the same row with the same superscript or absence of a superscript were not significantly different (p>0.05).

Values of the main effect of S in the same row were significantly different (p < 0.05). the Values of

< 0.05). Q different were significantly MO. same of E in the effect main

positive correlation with perimeter (p < 0.05).  $a_{24h}^*$  and  $a_{48h}^*$  were negatively related to cross-sectional area; however, L\* and shear force were positively related to cross-sectional area (p < 0.05).  $a_{24h}^{*}$  and  $a_{48h}^{*}$  showed negative correlations with diameter, but  $L_{24h}^{*}, L_{48h}^{*}, drip loss, and shear force showed positive correlations$ with diameter (p < 0.05).  $L_{24h}^*$ ,  $L_{48h}^*$ , and drip loss were negatively related to density, but  $a_{24h}^*$  and  $a_{48h}^*$  were positively related to density (p < 0.05).

# Expression Levels of Muscle Fiber-Relative Genes in the Breast and Thigh Muscles

The effect of Se source and EM level on the expression levels of muscle fiber-relative genes in the breast and thigh muscles is shown in Table 7. In the breast muscle, this study showed significant interactions between the Se source and EM level regarding the expression levels of CAST and SM (p < 0.05). Compared with the broiler chickens fed ISe source, broiler chickens fed O<sub>Se</sub> source decreased the expression level of CAST (p < 0.05) and increased the expression levels of MRF4 and CAPN2 (p < 0.05). Broiler chickens supplied with H<sub>EMB</sub> level increased the expression levels of MyoG and CAPN3 compared with the broiler chickens supplied with  $Z_{EMB}$  level (p < 0.05). In detail, the S-Se + EM and Y-Se + EM groups increased the expression level of *CAPN3* compared with the Y-Se group (p < 0.05). The S-Se group had a greater expression level of CAST compared with the other groups, and the S-Se + EM and Y-Se + EM groups had a greater expression level of CAST compared with the Y-Se group (p < 0.05).

In the thigh muscle, this study showed significant interactions between the Se source and EM level regarding the expression levels of CAST, MEF2A, MEF2D, IGF-I, and IGF-II (p < 0.05). Compared with the broiler chickens fed ISe source, broiler chickens fed OSe source decreased the expression level of FM and increased the expression levels of Myf5, CAPN3, MEF2A, and SM (p < 0.05). Broiler chickens supplied with H<sub>EMB</sub> level increased the expression levels of Myf5, MyoG, MRF4, CAPN2, MEF2A, and MEF2D compared with the broiler chickens supplied with  $Z_{\rm EMB}$  level (p < 0.05). In detail, the S-Se group had the lowest expression level of Myf5 compared with the other groups (p < 0.05). The S-Se + EM and Y-Se + EM groups increased the expression level of MyoG compared with the S-Se and Y-Se groups (p < 0.05). The S-Se + EM and Y-Se + EM groups increased the expression level of MRF4 compared with the Y-Se group (p < 0.05). The Y-Se and Y-Se + EM groups increased the expression level of CAPN3 compared with the S-Se and S-Se + EM groups (p < 0.05). The Y-Se + EM group had the highest expression levels of MEF2A and MEF2D compared with the other groups (p < 0.05). The S-Se and S-Se + EM groups increased the expression level of FM compared with the Y-Se + EM group (p < 0.05). The Y-Se group had the highest expression level of *SM* compared with the other groups (p < 0.05). The S-Se group increased the expression level of IGF-I compared with the S-Se + EM and Y-Se groups, and the Y-Se + EM group increased the expression level of IGF-I compared with the Y-Se group (p <0.05). The S-Se and Y-Se + EM groups increased the expression



level of IGF-II compared with the S-Se + EM and Y-Se groups (p < 0.05).

# DISCUSSION

Recently, the application of Y-Se and EM has received amounts of attention. The effect of dietary Y-Se supplementation on growth performance of chickens is controversial. Some studies show that dietary Y-Se supplementation increases the BW and weight gain, and decreases the FCR (5, 7). However, some studies show that dietary Y-Se supplementation has no influence on the BW, ADG, ADFI, and FCR of chicken during the overall period (28-30). Our results indicated that, compared with dietary ISe source, dietary OSe source only decreased the FCR during 1-35 days of three-yellow chickens. In this study, EM mainly included Lactobacillus and yeast. A previous study showed that dietary Lactobacillus increased the BW, ADG, and decreased the FCR (31). However, a previous study also showed that dietary Lactobacillus had no influence on the BW, ADG, ADFI, and increased the FCR (32). When supplied with 0.5% dried yeast, it decreases the ADFI of broiler chickens, but has no influence on the ADG and FCR (2). Our results indicated that compared with supplied with  $Z_{\rm EMB}$  level, those supplied with  $H_{\rm EMB}$  level had no influence on growth performance during the overall period. These discrepancies maybe related to the differences about the adding levels of bacteria and Se, bacteria species, and living environment.

Meat quality is an important aspect of the poultry industry and deeply influences the economic benefits. It can be reflected by the pH value, meat color, drip loss, cooking loss, and shear force. Meat colors include a\*, b\*, and L\*, which is a major aspect to evaluate the products (33). Water-holding capacity, a key characteristic of meat quality, is reflected by drip loss and cooking loss (1). Shear force can reflect the tenderness of the meat (13), which is considered the most important index for eating quality (34). Previous studies had shown that dietary Y-Se supplementation decreased the drip loss and cooking loss of pigs and Ross 308 broilers (18, 22). Our results indicated that compared with dietary I<sub>Se</sub> source, the broiler chickens fed O<sub>Se</sub> source increased the meat quality by decreasing L\*, drip loss, and shear force, and by increasing the a\* and b\* in the muscles of three-yellow chickens, which were in agreement with

Items		Gro	oups		Main et	ffect of S	Main e	ffect of E	SEM		P-valu	e	
	S – Se	S – Se + EM	Y – Se	Y – Se + EM	I <sub>Se</sub>	O <sub>Se</sub>	Z <sub>EMB</sub>	H <sub>EMB</sub>		Treatment	S	Е	S × E
Breast muscle													
Myf5	1.01	0.66	0.92	0.88	0.79	0.90	0.96	0.76	0.07	0.317	0.639	0.179	0.273
MyoG	1.00	1.67	1.02	1.77	1.38	1.39	1.01	1.70 <sup>§</sup>	0.15	0.135	0.823	0.030	0.877
MRF4	1.00	0.70	1.37	1.32	0.85	1.34*	1.15	1.01	0.12	0.152	0.045	0.414	0.546
CAPN2	1.03	1.15	1.45	1.57	1.09	1.51*	1.24	1.36	0.09	0.085	0.016	0.448	0.983
CAPN3	1.15 <sup>ab</sup>	1.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.73 <sup>b</sup>	1.39 <sup>a</sup>	1.18	1.02	0.94	1.31 <sup>§</sup>	0.09	0.046	0.414	0.032	0.074
CAST	1.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.49 <sup>c</sup>	0.82 <sup>b</sup>	0.87*	0.68	0.69	0.81	0.06	0.000	0.001	0.240	0.000
MSTN	1.00	2.37	2.22	2.62	1.82	2.42	1.87	2.53	0.23	0.193	0.138	0.080	0.317
MEF2A	1.00	1.04	0.74	0.82	1.02	0.79	0.85	0.93	0.06	0.292	0.076	0.647	0.850
MEF2D	1.00	0.66	0.51	0.66	0.76	0.59	0.65	0.66	0.07	0.197	0.085	0.489	0.089
FM	1.00	1.06	0.89	0.80	1.03	0.85	0.95	0.93	0.06	0.436	0.166	0.875	0.514
SM	1.02	0.58	0.74	1.91	0.80	1.21	0.85	1.25	0.21	0.078	0.122	0.251	0.037
IGF - I	1.01	2.12	1.40	1.37	1.65	1.39	1.23	1.75	0.17	0.110	0.549	0.089	0.075
IGF - 11	1.00	1.81	1.97	2.14	1.51	2.06	1.55	1.98	0.17	0.153	0.065	0.148	0.337
Thigh muscle													
Myf5	1.01 <sup>b</sup>	3.14 <sup>a</sup>	3.20 <sup>a</sup>	4.20 <sup>a</sup>	2.07	3.80*	1.88	3.67 <sup>§</sup>	0.40	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.115
MyoG	1.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.78 <sup>a</sup>	0.76 <sup>b</sup>	2.89 <sup>a</sup>	1.71	1.82	0.86	2.85 <sup>§</sup>	0.31	0.001	0.821	0.000	0.562
MRF4	1.02 <sup>ab</sup>	1.41 <sup>a</sup>	0.62 <sup>b</sup>	1.43 <sup>a</sup>	1.21	1.08	0.82	1.42 <sup>§</sup>	0.11	0.005	0.188	0.001	0.141
CAPN2	1.00	1.39	1.22	2.32	1.23	1.66	1.13	1.76 <sup>§</sup>	0.19	0.079	0.084	0.037	0.246
CAPN3	1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	2.53ª	2.42ª	0.79	2.46*	1.61	1.50	0.32	0.023	0.004	0.527	0.704
CAST	1.03	0.50	0.70	1.29	0.77	1.05	0.90	0.90	0.13	0.071	0.269	0.863	0.021
MSTN	1.01	1.45	1.17	2.09	1.23	1.51	1.11	1.77	0.19	0.222	0.285	0.082	0.511
MEF2A	1.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.31 <sup>b</sup>	1.12 <sup>b</sup>	4.08 <sup>a</sup>	1.16	2.31*	1.07	2.70 <sup>§</sup>	0.45	0.003	0.007	0.004	0.009
MEF2D	1.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.79 <sup>b</sup>	1.85ª	0.97	1.09	0.87	1.24 <sup>§</sup>	0.13	0.031	0.121	0.033	0.018
FM	1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.83 <sup>a</sup>	0.59 <sup>ab</sup>	0.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.92*	0.40	0.80	0.52	0.12	0.024	0.009	0.062	0.366
SM	1.07 <sup>b</sup>	1.18 <sup>b</sup>	2.93 <sup>a</sup>	1.70 <sup>b</sup>	1.12	2.31*	2.00	1.44	0.30	0.038	0.017	0.140	0.093
IGF - I	1.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.43 <sup>bc</sup>	0.28 <sup>c</sup>	0.72 <sup>ab</sup>	0.68	0.43	0.60	0.52	0.10	0.005	0.089	0.524	0.002
IGF - 11	1.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.38 <sup>b</sup>	0.52 <sup>b</sup>	1.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.64	0.74	0.66	0.75	0.11	0.002	0.120	0.554	0.000

TABLE 7 | Effects of different Se sources and different bacteria levels on the expression levels of muscle fiber-relative genes in the breast and thigh muscles.

S-Se, sodium selenite; S-Se + EM, sodium selenite + 0.5% EM; Y-Se, selenium yeast; Y-Se + EM, selenium yeast + 0.5% EM. S, Se source; E, EM level; S × E, interaction between Se source and EM level; I<sub>Se</sub>, inorganic Se; O<sub>Se</sub>, organic Se; H<sub>EMB</sub>, high EM; Z<sub>EMB</sub>, low EM; Myf5, myogenic factor 5; MyoG, myogenic; MRF4, myogenic regulatory factor 4; CAPN2, calpain 2; CAPN3, calpain 3; CAST, calpastatin; MSTN, myostatin; MEF2A, myocyte enhancer factor 2A; MEF2D, myocyte enhancer factor 12; IGF-11, insulin-like growth factor 12; IGF-11, insulin-like growth factor 12; GA-R04, beta-actin.

 $a^{-c}$  Values of group in the same row with the same superscript or absence of a superscript were not significantly different (p > 0.05).

\*Values of the main effect of S in the same row were significantly different (p < 0.05).

<sup>§</sup>Values of the main effect of E in the same row were significantly different (p < 0.05).

the previous studies. Dietary *Lactobacillus* supplement increases the b<sup>\*</sup> in the thigh muscle of AA broilers (10), and dietary yeast supplement decreases the shear force in drumstick of Ross broilers (13). Our results indicated that compared with supplied with  $Z_{EMB}$  level, broiler chickens supplied with  $H_{EMB}$  level improved the meat quality by increasing the pH, a<sup>\*</sup>, and b<sup>\*</sup> in the muscles of three-yellow chickens. The mechanism of meat quality change may be related to the change in antioxidant levels. As an antioxidant mineral, Se is an essential co-factor in the antioxidant enzyme system (35). When supplied with Y-Se, *Lactobacillus* and yeast can increase the antioxidant capacity (2, 13, 18–20).

Our results showed that dietary  $O_{Se}$  source and  $H_{EMB}$  level decreased perimeter, cross-sectional area, and diameter, and increased density of muscle fiber on the breast and thigh muscles of three-yellow chickens. In the body of vertebrates, the most abundant tissue is skeletal muscle, and around 75-90% of skeletal muscle is composed of muscle fiber (36). Therefore, muscle fiber is a main factor to influence the meat quality. Muscle tenderness is negatively related to muscle fiber diameter and positively related to muscle fiber density (37). The glycogen concentration is low and the fat concentration is high in the slow muscle fiber (24), and it is positively related to pH and negatively related to drip loss and shear force (25, 38). However, the contents of myohemoglobin and chondriosome are low in the fast muscle fiber, which makes it easy to produce lactic acid (26), and it is positively related to drip loss, shear force, and L\*, and negatively related to pH (24, 25). The present findings suggest that the muscle fiber characteristics were closely related to the meat quality, which were in agreement with the previous studies. Muscle fiber perimeter, cross-sectional area, and diameter were negatively related to a\* and were positively related to the shear force. Muscle fiber density was positively related to a\* and was negatively related to L\* and drip loss. Our study illuminated that Ose source and HEMB level could improve the meat quality by regulating the muscle fiber characteristics.

Myf5, MyoG, and MRF4 are the members of myogenic regulatory factor family (39). Myf5, as a myogenic determination factor, takes part in the specification and proliferation of myoblasts (40). MyoG and MRF4 lead myoblast to form multinucleated myofiber (41, 42). Our results showed that in the breast and/or thigh muscles of three-yellow chickens,  $O_{Se}$ source and/or H<sub>EMB</sub> level increased the expression levels of Myf5, MyoG, and MRF4 genes. These results explained how Y-Se and EM increased the density of muscle fiber. Calpain belongs to the papain superfamily, which includes CAPN1, CAPN2, and CAPN3, and is a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-regulated proteolytic enzyme (43). It degrades the myofibrillar protein (44), which affects the diameter of muscle fiber. Postmortem proteolysis of myofibrillar protein deeply influences the tenderness of the meat (45). CAST specifically inhibits the activity of calpain (46). Our

# REFERENCES

1. Oliveira TFB, Rivera DFR, Mesquita FR, Braga H, Ramos EM, Bertechini AG. Effect of different sources and levels of selenium on performance, meat

results showed that OSe source and/or HEMB level increased the expression levels of CAPN2 and CAPN3 genes in the breast and/or thigh muscles of three-yellow chickens, and Ose source decreased the expression level of CAST gene in the breast muscle. This was a reason why Y-Se and EM decreased the perimeter, cross-sectional area, and diameter of muscle fiber, and it also explains how Y-Se decreased the shear force of muscles. MEF2A and MEF2D, as members of the MEF2 family, are DNA-binding transcription factors and play key roles in muscle development and differentiation (47). They are related to the formation of slow muscle fiber (48, 49). FM and SM are the fast myosin heavy chain and slow myosin heavy chain, respectively. Our results showed that in the thigh muscle Ose source and H<sub>EMB</sub> level increased the expression levels of MEF2A and MEF2D genes, and OSe source increased the expression level of SM gene and decreased the expression level of FM gene. These results indicated that Y-Se and EM increased the number of slow muscle fibers, and Y-Se decreased the number of fast muscle fibers. This was a reason why Y-Se and EM decreased the perimeter, cross-sectional area, and diameter of muscle fiber, and it also explains how Y-Se and EM increased the meat quality.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Committee of Laboratory Animal Management and Animal Welfare of Hunan Agricultural University.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JX: conceptualization and writing–original draft preparation. CF: methodology and resources. RM: validation and supervision. RZ: software and data curation. YX: visualization. YQ: formal analysis. JT: investigation. RF: writing–review and editing, project administration, and funding acquisition. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

This research was funded by the Double first-class construction project of Hunan Agricultural University and the National Key Research and Development Program of China, grant number 2018YFD0501403.

quality, and tissue characteristics of broilers. J Appl Poultry Res. (2014) 23:15-22. doi: 10.3382/japr.2013-00761

2. Hussein E, Selim S. Efficacy of yeast and multi-strain probiotic alone or in combination on growth performance, carcass traits, blood biochemical

constituents, and meat quality of broiler chickens. *Livest Sci.* (2018) 216:153–9. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2018.08.008

- Li Y, Hou S, Chen J, Peng W, Wen W, Chen F, et al. Oral administration of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* during the suckling period improves intestinal integrity after weaning in piglets. *J Funct Foods*. (2019) 63:103591. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2019.103591
- Lu J, Qu L, Shen MM, Wang XG, Guo J, Hu YP, et al. Effects of high-dose selenium-enriched yeast on laying performance, egg quality, clinical blood parameters, organ development, and selenium deposition in laying hens. *Poultry Sci.* (2019) 98:2522–30. doi: 10.3382/ps/pey597
- Yang Y, Meng F, Wang P, Jiang Y, Yin Q, Chang J, et al. Effect of organic and inorganic selenium supplementation on growth performance, meat quality and antioxidant property of broilers. *Afr J Biotechnol.* (2014) 11:3031–6.
- Yoon I, Werner TM, Butler JM. Effect of source and concentration of selenium on growth performance and selenium retention in broiler chickens. *Poultry Sci.* (2007) 86:727–30. doi: 10.1093/ps/86.4.727
- Marković R, Cirić J, Drljačić A, Šefer D, Jovanović I, Jovanović D, et al. The effects of dietary Selenium-yeast level on glutathione peroxidase activity, tissue Selenium content, growth performance, and carcass and meat quality of broilers. *Poultry Sci.* (2018) 97:2861–70. doi: 10.3382/ps/pey117
- Wang Z, Tan Y, Cui X, Chang S, Xiao X, Yan T, et al. Effect of different levels of selenium yeast on the antioxidant status, nutrient digestibility, selenium balances and nitrogen metabolism of Tibetan sheep in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Small Ruminant Res.* (2019) 180:63– 9. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2019.10.001
- Zhang L, Jin P, Qin S, Liu J, Yang Z, Zhao H, et al. Effects of dietary supplementation with S. Platensis and probiotics on the growth performance, immune response and the fecal Lactobacillus spp And E Coli contents of weaned piglets. *Livest Sci.* (2019) 225:32–8. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2019.04.013
- Zhu NH, Zhang RJ, Wu H, Zhang B. Effects of *Lactobacillus cultures* on growth performance, xanthophyll deposition, and color of the meat and skin of broilers. *J Appl Poultry Res.* (2009) 18:570–8. doi: 10.3382/japr.2009-00012
- Vasaï F, Ricaud KB, Cauquil L, Daniel P, Peillod C, Gontier K, et al. Lactobacillus sakei modulates mule duck microbiota in ileum and ceca during overfeeding. Poultry Sci. (2014) 93:916–25. doi: 10.3382/ps.2013-03497
- Tian Z, Cui Y, Lu H, Ma X. Effects of long-term feeding diets supplemented with *Lactobacillus reuteri* 1 on growth performance, digestive and absorptive function of the small intestine in pigs. *J Funct Foods*. (2020) 71:104010. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2020.104010
- Zhang AW, Lee BD, Lee SK, Lee KW, An GH, Song KB, et al. Effects of yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) cell components on growth performance, meat quality, and ileal mucosa development of broiler chicks. *Poultry Sci.* (2005) 84:1015–21. doi: 10.1093/ps/84.7.1015
- Cortinas L, Barroeta A, Villaverde C, Galobart J, Guardiola F, Baucells MD. Influence of the dietary polyunsaturation level on chicken meat quality: lipid oxidation. *Poultry Sci.* (2005) 84:48–55. doi: 10.1093/ps/84.1.48
- Faustman C, Sun Q, Mancini R, Suman SP. Myoglobin and lipid oxidation interactions: Mechanistic bases and control. *Meat Sci.* (2010) 86:86– 94. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.04.025
- Traore S, Aubry L, Gatellier P, Przybylski W, Jaworska D, Kajak-Siemaszko K, et al. Higher drip loss is associated with protein oxidation. *Meat Sci.* (2012) 90:917–24. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.11.033
- Zhang ZY, Jia GQ, Zuo JJ, Zhang Y, Lei J, Ren L, et al. Effects of constant and cyclic heat stress on muscle metabolism and meat quality of broiler breast fillet and thigh meat. *Poultry Sci.* (2012) 91:2931–7. doi: 10.3382/ps.2012-02255
- Li J, Zhou J, Zhao H, Lei X, Xia X, Gao G, et al. Enhanced waterholding capacity of meat was associated with increased Sepw1 gene expression in pigs fed selenium-enriched yeast. *Meat Sci.* (2011) 87:95– 100. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.05.019
- Sharma R, Kapila R, Kapasiya M, Saliganti V, Dass G, Kapila S. Dietary supplementation of milk fermented with probiotic *Lactobacillus fermentum* enhances systemic immune response and antioxidant capacity in aging mice. *Nutr Res.* (2014) 34:968–81. doi: 10.1016/j.nutres.2014.09.006
- Baltić MŽ, Dokmanović Starčević M, Bašić M, Zenunović A, Ivanović J, Marković R, et al. Effects of selenium yeast level in diet on carcass and meat quality, tissue selenium distribution and glutathione peroxidase activity in ducks. *Anim Feed Sci Tech.* (2015) 210:225–33. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.10.009

- Sukumaran AT, Holtcamp AJ, Englishbey AK, Campbell YL, Kim T, Schilling MW, et al. Effect of deboning time on the growth of *Salmonella*, *E*. Coli, aerobic, and lactic acid bacteria during beef sausage processing and storage. *Meat Sci.* (2018) 139:49–55. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.01.012
- 22. Bakhshalinejad R, Hassanabadi A, Swick RA. Dietary sources and levels of selenium supplements affect growth performance, carcass yield, meat quality and tissue selenium deposition in broilers. *Animal Nutrition*. (2019) 5:256–63. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2019.03.003
- Meng Q, Velalar CN, Ruan R. Effects of epigallocatechin-3-gallate on mitochondrial integrity and antioxidative enzyme activity in the aging process of human fibroblast. *Free Radical Bio Med.* (2008) 44:1032– 41. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2007.11.023
- Choe JH, Choi YM, Lee SH, Shin HG Ryu YC, Hong KC, et al. The relation between glycogen, lactate content and muscle fiber type composition, and their influence on postmortem glycolytic rate and pork quality. *Meat Sci.* (2008) 80:355–62. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.12.019
- 25. Hwang Y, Kim G, Jeong J, Hur S, Joo S. The relationship between muscle fiber characteristics and meat quality traits of highly marbled Hanwoo (Korean native cattle) steers. *Meat Sci.* (2010) 86:456–61. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.05.034
- Choi YM, Nam KW, Choe JH Ryu YC, Wick MP, Lee K, et al. Growth, carcass, fiber type, and meat quality characteristics in Large White pigs with different live weights. *Livest Sci.* (2013) 155:123–9. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2013. 02.009
- 27. Meng Q, Sun S, Bai Y, Luo Z, Li Z, Shi B, et al. Effects of dietary resveratrol supplementation in sows on antioxidative status, myofiber characteristic and meat quality of offspring. *Meat Sci.* (2020) 167:108176. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2020.108176
- Wang Y, Xu B. Effect of different selenium source (sodium selenite and selenium yeast) on broiler chickens. *Anim Feed Sci Tech.* (2008) 144:306– 14. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007.10.012
- Han XJ, Qin P, Li WX, Ma QG Ji C, Zhang JY, et al. Effect of sodium selenite and selenium yeast on performance, egg quality, antioxidant capacity, and selenium deposition of laying hens. *Poultry Sci.* (2017) 96:3973– 80. doi: 10.3382/ps/pex216
- Khan MT, Mahmud A, Javed K, Zahoor I, Rehman MS. Organic and inorganic selenium in Aseel chicken diets: Effect on production performance. J Appl Poultry Res. (2018) 27:292–8. doi: 10.3382/japr/pfx070
- Chen F, Gao SS, Zhu LQ, Qin SY, Qiu HL. Effects of dietary Lactobacillus rhamnosus CF supplementation on growth, meat quality, and microenvironment in specific pathogen-free chickens. Poultry Sci. (2018) 97:118–23. doi: 10.3382/ps/pex261
- 32. Mahrose KM, Alagawany M, Abd ElHack ME, Mahgoub SA, Attia FAM. Influences of stocking density and dietary probiotic supplementation on growing Japanese quail performance. An Acad Bras Ciênc. (2019) 91:e20180616. doi: 10.1590/0001-3765201920180616
- Castaneda MP, Hirschler EM, Sams AR. Skin pigmentation evaluation in broilers fed natural and synthetic pigments. *Poultry Sci.* (2005) 84:143– 7. doi: 10.1093/ps/84.1.143
- Moeller SJ, Miller RK, Edwards KK, Zerby HN, Logan KE, Aldredge TL, et al. Consumer perceptions of pork eating quality as affected by pork quality attributes and endpoint cooked temperature. *Meat Sci.* (2010) 84:14– 22. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2009.06.023
- 35. Hassan F, Mobarez S, Mohamed M, Attia Y, Mekawy A, Mahrose K. Zinc and/or selenium enriched Spirulina as antioxidants in growing rabbit diets to alleviate the deleterious impacts of heat stress during summer season. *Animals.* (2021) 11:756. doi: 10.3390/ani11030756
- Biressi S, Bjornson CRR, Carlig PMM, Nishijo K, Keller C, Rando TA. Myf5 expression during fetal myogenesis defines the developmental progenitors of adult satellite cells. *Dev Biol.* (2013) 379:195–207. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.04.021
- Zampiga M, Tavaniello S, Soglia F, Petracci M, Mazzoni M, Maiorano G, et al. Comparison of 2 commercial turkey hybrids: Productivity, occurrence of breast myopathies, and meat quality properties. *Poultry Sci.* (2019) 98:2305– 15. doi: 10.3382/ps/pey607
- Jeong JY, Hur SJ, Yang HS, Moon SH, Hwang YH, Park GB, et al. Discoloration characteristics of 3 major muscles from cattle during cold storage. *J Food Sci.* (2009) 74:C1–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2008.00983.x

- Weintraub H, Da Vis R, Tapscott S, Thayer M, Krause M, Benezra R, et al. The myoD gene family: Nodal point during specification of the muscle cell lineage. *Science*. (1991) 251:761-6. doi: 10.1126/science.1 846704
- 40. Steinbacher P, Haslett JR, Obermayer A, Marschallinger J, Bauer H, Sänger AM, et al. MyoD and Myogenin expression during myogenic phases in brown trout: A precocious onset of mosaic hyperplasia is a prerequisite for fast somatic growth. *Dev Dynam.* (2007) 236:1106–14. doi: 10.1002/dvdy. 21103
- Wright WE, Sassoon DA, Lin VK. Myogenin, a factor regulating myogenesis, has a domain homologous to MyoD. *Cell.* (1989) 56:607– 17. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(89)90583-7
- Hinits Y, Osborn DPS, Carvajal JJ, Rigby PWJ, Hughes SM. Mrf4 (myf6) is dynamically expressed in differentiated zebrafish skeletal muscle. *Gene Expr Patterns*. (2007) 7:738–45. doi: 10.1016/j.modgep.2007.06.003
- Ono Y, Saido TC, Sorimachi H. Calpain research for drug discovery: challenges and potential. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* (2016) 15:854–76. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2016.212
- Koohmaraie M. The role of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent proteases (calpains) in post mortem proteolysis and meat tenderness. *Biochimie*. (1992) 74:239– 45. doi: 10.1016/0300-9084(92)90122-U
- 45. Gandolfi G, Pomponio L, Ertbjerg P, Karlsson AH, Nanni Costa L, Lametsch R, et al. Investigation on CAST, CAPN1 and CAPN3 porcine gene polymorphisms and expression in relation to postmortem calpain activity in muscle and meat quality. *Meat Sci.* (2011) 88:694–700. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.02.031
- Zhou H, Hickford JGH. Allelic polymorphism of the caprine calpastatin (CAST) gene identified by PCR–SSCP. *Meat Sci.* (2008) 79:403–5. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.10.015

- Bhagavatula MRK, Fan C, Shen G, Cassano J, Plow EF, Topol EJ, et al. Transcription factor MEF2A mutations in patients with coronary artery disease. *Hum Mol Genet.* (2004) 13:3181–8. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddh329
- Potthoff MJ, Wu H, Arnold MA, Shelton JM, Olson EN. Histone deacetylase degradation and MEF2 activation promote the formation of slow-twitch myofibers. J Clin Invest. (2007) 117:2459–67. doi: 10.1172/JCI31960
- 49. Kang Y, Kim J, Anderson JP, Wu J, Gleim SR, Kundu RK, et al. Apelin-APJ signaling is a critical regulator of endothelial MEF2 activation in cardiovascular development. *Circ Res.* (2013) 113:22–31. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.301324

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Xue, Fang, Mu, Zhuo, Xiao, Qing, Tang and Fang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.