

Effects of Oral Multi-Vitamin Multi-Mineral Supplement Formulations on Laboratory Outcomes and Quality of Life: A Quasi-Experimental Study

Nawin Jittat¹, Krit Pongpirul^{1,2,3*}, Bhakanij Tepwituksakit¹, Pratchayada lammaleerat⁴, Julia Heath³, Palita Lungchukiet¹, Nimit Taechakraichana¹ and Artirat Charukitpipat¹

¹ Bumrungrad International Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand, ² Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkom University, Bangkok, Thailand, ³ Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, United States, ⁴ Asia Global Research Co., Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Surasak Saokaew, University of Phayao, Thailand

Reviewed by:

Oraluck Pattanaprateep, Mahidol University, Thailand Adinat Umnuaypomlert, University of Phayao, Thailand lain Hargreaves, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

> *Correspondence: Krit Pongpirul doctorkrit@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Nutritional Epidemiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

Received: 04 March 2022 Accepted: 19 May 2022 Published: 27 June 2022

Citation:

Jittat N, Pongpirul K, Tepwituksakit B, lammaleerat P, Heath J, Lungchukiet P, Taechakraichana N and Charukitpipat A (2022) Effects of Oral Multi-Vitamin Multi-Mineral Supplement Formulations on Laboratory Outcomes and Quality of Life: A Quasi-Experimental Study. Front. Nutr. 9:889910. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.889910 **Background:** Multi-vitamin multi-mineral (MVMM) products often come in several singlesubstance capsules from different manufacturers. However, attempts to mix several vitamins and minerals into one MVMM product have been complicated and often involve legal concerns. This study aimed to comparatively investigate the changes in laboratory parameters and the quality of life (QOL) among individuals who received different MVMM formulations.

Methods: This three-arm non-randomized controlled trial was conducted at VitalLife Scientific Wellness Center (VSWC), Bangkok, Thailand. A total of 72 healthy adult individuals with total serum 25-(OH)D level of 20–29 ng/ml were invited to choose from the three available options, namely, (1) Hydro-Cell-Key (HCK[®], Hepart AG, Switzerland) contains vitamin D3 2,000 IU, vitamin C 1,000 mg, vitamin E 166 mg, vitamin A 1 mg, coenzyme Q10 30 mg, natural carotenoids 8 mg, and citrus flavonoids 200 mg in granule formulation; (2) VTL-7 (VWSC) contains similar vitamins and minerals but in capsule formulation; and (3) placebo capsule (no supplement). The 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) was used to measure QOL at baseline, month 3 and 6. A generalized estimating equation (GEE) was used to compare the repeated-measure outcomes across the three groups. This study was registered at the Thai Clinical Trial Registration (TCTR20190205002) and approved by the Bumrungrad International Institutional Review Board (BI-IRB No.258-10-18PhFub).

Results: Both VTL-7 and HCK saw a significantly higher increase in vitamin D than placebo at months 3 and 6, i.e., VTL-7 from 25.15 ± 2.13 to 35.53 ± 6.11 (p < 0.001) and 33.38 ± 6.89 (p < 0.001); HCK from 24.25 ± 3.08 to 28.43 ± 5.93 (p = 0.005) and 27.40 ± 5.24 (p = 0.012); and placebo from 24.00 ± 2.73 to 23.05 ± 4.39 (p = 0.273) and 22.30 ± 6.23 (p = 0.200), respectively. Similarly, β -carotenoids of VTL-7 vs. HCK groups significantly increased from 0.88 ± 0.68 vs. 0.94 ± 0.55 at baseline to 3.03 ± 1.79 (p < 0.001) vs. 1.09 ± 0.61 (p = 0.125) and 3.26 ± 1.74 (p < 0.001) vs. 1.15 ± 0.66 (p = 0.064), respectively. These findings were corroborated through the GEE analysis.

1

Other micronutrients at months 3 and 6 did not increase significantly from baseline in any group. The overall QOL among the three groups in terms of physical (p = 0.560) and mental (p = 0.750) health increased but was not statistically significant.

Conclusion: The supplements of MVMM in capsule formulation increased the serum levels of some micronutrients to a higher extent than that of granule formulation. Participant adherence remains a potential confounder and should be further explored.

Clinical Trial Registration: identifier: TCTR20190205002.

Keywords: multi-vitamin supplement, quality of life, drug formulations, quasi-experiment design, multi-mineral supplement

BACKGROUND

The human diet requires both macronutrients, including carbohydrates, proteins, and fats, and micronutrients, such as vitamins and minerals. While macronutrients provide the main source of calories, micronutrients are required for developmental processes. Even though they are only required in a small amount, they have a profound impact on health and are vital to body development, disease prevention, immune function, tissue regeneration, and optimizing health (1, 2).

Micronutrient supplementation has gained popularity among individuals who want to ensure and maintain their health and wellness. Consumed by 50% of adults and one-third of children in economically advanced economies, the dietary supplement business is worth more than US\$100 billion annually (3). According to the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) collected between 1999 and 2014, the prevalence of any supplement use has significantly increased from 52 to 58%, whereas that of vitamin and mineral use has increased from 47 to 52% and from 47 to 51%, respectively (4). The trend of any supplement use varied by age, sex, race/ethnicity, or education but not by diabetes duration or comorbidities associated with diabetes. During 2017-2018, 57.6% of adults of at least 20 years of age reported that they had taken a dietary supplement within the past 30 days; individuals with a higher family income were more likely to consume a dietary supplement than those with a lower family income (4). Furthermore, women were significantly more likely than men to use a dietary supplement overall (63.8 vs. 50.8%) (4).

In contrast to mono-substance supplements, supplementation of multiple vitamins and minerals has significantly decreased from 36 to 32%, largely because micronutrient supplements are often manufactured in numerous single-substance capsules, which can decrease compliance. Multi-vitamin multi-mineral (MVMM) supplements, which contain numerous vitamins and minerals within one single-substance capsule, alleviate the issue of non-compliance by making it easier for consumers to supplement with multiple vitamins and minerals. However, attempts to mix several vitamins and minerals into one MVMM product have been both legally and technically complicated. Each MVMM "recipe" must be registered with the national authority of the country, such as the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (5). Furthermore, product variation of available MVMM

supplements, including varying product formulations, has led to limited evidence on the efficacy of different forms of MVMM supplements. It has been suggested that different MVMM formulations could also impact the degree of nutrient absorption in the body. There is some evidence to suggest that vitamin D buccal spray, for instance, has higher absorption than soft gel capsules (6). However, the lack of evidence looking at the formulation of MVMM supplementation and its effects on laboratory parameters makes it difficult to understand the extent to which MVMM formulation impacts its efficacy. Thus, the objective of this study is to comparatively investigate the effectiveness of different formulations of combined MVMM products, specifically Hydro-Cell-Key (HCK) granules vs. VTL-7 capsules, and their impacts on certain laboratory parameters, including serum micronutrient levels and quality of life (QOL) (focusing on the vitality domain).

A hospital with a qualified production facility might procure raw substances and produce an MVMM product that does not require FDA approval for in-house use. With this in mind, Vital-Life Scientific Wellness Center (VSWC), i.e., a medical anti-aging and wellness center in Bangkok, Thailand, developed an in-house personalized vital-life (VTL-7) MVMM capsules. As several patients preferred fewer capsules per day, along with an absorption concern, VSWC considered switching from capsule to granule formulation. To compare the efficacy of a similar MVMM product in granule formulation, the HCK[®], i.e., MVMM granules of the Hepart AG Switzerland group, was included in the study. The HCK granule contains vitamin D3, vitamin C, vitamin E, vitamin A, coenzyme Q10, carotenoids, and flavonoids; hence, it was determined to be one of the best available candidates in comparison with the VTL-7 capsules. Built into a plant hydrocolloid matrix, the vitamins and minerals in granules are released in the intestines through the colloid film formed by the colloidal system after ingestion. This process mimics the absorption of micronutrients from natural fruits and vegetables, resulting in optimal nutrient distribution throughout the body, along with prolonged absorption over several hours, prevention of disturbances, and antagonism of various micronutrients. However, the formation of a dry granulation requires many more steps than capsule formulation.

The clinical efficacy (i.e., serum level of the micronutrients) of the original $HCK^{(\mathbb{R})}$ granules has been anticipated to be higher than conventional capsule formulation; however,



there has been no comparative evidence of the changes in blood vitamins and minerals between the two products. As previously mentioned, product formulation could affect not only individual compliance but also laboratory changes. Thus, the methods used to examine both the effects of MVMM supplementation and the relationship between MVMM product formulation on laboratory parameters and QOL are presented in this study.

METHODS

Study Design and Setting

This three-arm non-randomized controlled trial was conducted at VSWC, a medical anti-aging and wellness center, focusing on promoting good health and preventing illness and chronic diseases. It is a subsidiary company of Bumrungrad International Hospital Public Company Limited, i.e., one of the largest international hospitals for medical tourism located in Bangkok, Thailand.

Participants

A total of 72 healthy adult individuals with insufficient levels of total serum 25-(OH)D level (20–29 ng/ml) were invited to participate in this study. Individuals with poorly controlled blood pressure, impaired kidney function (eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73 m²), pregnancy, malabsorption, bowel surgery, and currently on medications or supplements that might affect the levels of vitamins were excluded from this study. Individuals who have underlying diseases that require vitamin D treatment (i.e., osteoporosis and hyperparathyroidism) or those who have a deficient level of total serum 25-(OH)D level (<20 ng/ml) were also excluded. As carotenoids could increase the risk of lung cancer (7), current and former smokers were also excluded.

	Overall	HCK	VTL-7	Placebo	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	35.06 ± 8.47	37.64 ± 10.04	36.14 ± 7.09	31.41 ± 6.99	0.037
Women	83.30%	77.30%	77.30%	95.50%	0.175
Systolic BP (mm Hg)	109.14 ± 11.24	109.95 ± 11.39	111.64 ± 12.34	105.82 ± 9.51	0.212
Diastolic BP (mm Hg)	70.62 ± 8.72	71.27 ± 9.38	71.05 ± 9.73	69.55 ± 7.15	0.780
Pulse rate	76.45 ± 14.28	71.77 ± 10.55	76.50 ± 11.12	81.09 ± 18.73	0.095
Body temperature (°C)	36.75 ± 0.28	36.69 ± 0.18	36.90 ± 0.35	36.67 ± 0.24	0.120
Body weight (kg)	58.27 ± 11.26	58.12 ± 10.90	58.08 ± 13.16	58.60 ± 10.03	0.986
BMI	22.51 ± 3.57	21.95 ± 3.39	22.54 ± 3.75	23.05 ± 3.62	0.601

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the participants.

Supplements

Participants were assigned to one of the three groups (**Figure 1**), namely, $HCK^{(\mathbb{R})}$ (Hepart AG, Switzerland), VTL-7 (VSWC, Thailand), and placebo group (no supplement). The two study groups have similar micronutrient compositions, namely, vitamin D3 2,000 IU, vitamin C 1,000 mg, vitamin E 166 mg, vitamin A 1 mg, coenzyme Q10 30 mg, natural carotenoids 8 mg, and citrus flavonoids 200 mg; HCK was prepared in granules, while VTL-7 was the capsule formulation of the HCK product. The placebo group was used to allow for a comparison of the effects of each MVMM formulation on specified lab parameters and QOL vs. no supplementation, in addition to providing comparative evidence between the two products. Diet counseling was not included for any group as a part of this trial.

Outcomes

Primary outcomes (blood levels of the six micronutrients) and secondary outcomes (hs-CRP, homocysteine, lipid profile, ESR, CD4, CD8, and QOL) were measured at baseline, month 3, and 6. Although previous studies have found micronutrient supplementation to have a positive impact on overall mood and QOL, the 36-Items Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) was used to determine if different MVMM formulations impact the QOL in different ways (10–14). Circulatory cholesterol, lipoproteins, and homocysteine were also included as secondary outcomes since MVMM supplementation has been previously found to impact cholesterol levels and decrease homocysteine levels in certain populations (12, 18).

Sample Size

Previous trials suggest that a weekly supplementation of 50,000 IU cholecalciferol for 12 weeks resulted in an increase of 25-(OH)D (8). Given the differences in 25-(OH)D levels between the intervention and placebo control groups of 7.90 ng/ml and a pooled standard deviation of 7.85 ng/ml, an alpha SD of 0.05%, and a beta SD of 0.20, approximately 16 subjects were required per arm. Assuming a conservative dropout rate of 30%, 22 subjects were anticipated for each arm of this study.

Statistical Methods

Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, and percentage) were used for demographic variables. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) and generalized estimating equation (GEE) were used to compare the changes in cross-sectional and longitudinal laboratory outcomes between the three groups, respectively. No subgroup analysis was performed. The data were analyzed based mainly on the intention to treat (ITT) principle, whereas per-protocol (PP) analysis was also performed to ensure the robustness of the analysis. In case of discrepant findings from both approaches, the ITT analysis was chosen.

Trial Registration and Ethical Approval

This study was registered at the Thai Clinical Trial Registration (Registration No. TCTR20190205002) and approved by the Bumrungrad International Institutional Review Board (BI-IRB No. 258-10-18PhFub). All participants provided written informed consent.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Participants

The age of the participants was 35.06 ± 8.47 years, 83.3% were women, and the BMI of the participants was 22.51 ± 3.57 (**Table 1**). While almost all the characteristics of the participants were not significantly different across the three groups, the placebo control group was significantly younger than the intervention groups (p = 0.037). The baseline micronutrient and biomarker levels were comparable across the three groups (**Table 2** and **Figures 1**, **2**).

Primary Outcomes

Vitamin D and β -carotenoids levels increased in all three groups (**Figure 2**). Both VTL-7 and HCK observed a significantly higher increase in vitamin D than placebo, i.e., VTL-7 from 25.15 ± 2.13 to 35.53 ± 6.11 at month 3 (p < 0.001) and 33.38 ± 6.89 at month 6 (p < 0.001); HCK from 24.25 ± 3.08 to 28.43 ± 5.93 at month 3 (p = 0.005) and 27.40 ± 5.24 at month 6 (p = 0.012); and placebo from 24.00 ± 2.73 to 23.05 ± 4.39 at month 3 (p = 0.273) and 22.30 ± 6.23 at month 6 (p = 0.200). Similarly, β -carotenoids of VTL-7 vs. HCK groups significantly increased from 0.88 ± 0.68 vs. 0.94 ± 0.55 at baseline to 3.03 ± 1.79 (p < 0.001) vs. 1.09 ± 0.61 (p = 0.125) at month 3 and 3.26 ± 1.74 (p < 0.001) vs. 1.15 ± 0.66 (p = 0.064) at month 6, respectively. GEE analysis revealed a significantly higher increase in vitamin D (p < 0.001) and β -carotenoids (p < 0.001) in VTL-7 (capsule formulation) than HCK (granule formulation), both

TABLE 2 | Laboratory outcomes and quality of life at baseline, month 3 and 6.

	Overall	НСК	VTL-7	Placebo	p-value*
Primary outcomes					
Vitamin D (ng/mL)					
Month 0	24.47 ± 2.68	24.25 ± 3.08	25.15 ±2.13	24.00 ±2.73	0.325
Month 3	28.90 ± 7.47	28.43 ± 5.93	35.53 ±6.11	23.05 ± 4.39	<0.001
Month 6	27.61 ± 7.60	27.40 ± 5.24	33.38 ± 6.89	22.30 ± 6.23	<0.001
Vitamin C (μmol/L)					
Month 0	88.99 ± 32.52	86.20 ± 28.35	87.71 ± 35.48	93.07 ±34.40	0.768
Month 3	110.96 ± 24.62	113.22 ±25.84	117.71 ±21.93	102.25 ±24.31	0.103
Month 6	120.24 ± 35.82	123.62 ± 37.45	126.74 ± 36.36	110.82 ±33.29	0.306
Vitamin E (mg/L)					
Month 0	30.33 ± 7.86	32.45 ± 10.76	29.11 ± 5.81	29.42 ± 5.86	0.300
Month 3	34.58 ± 12.39	41.60 ± 16.35	31.89 ± 7.85	30.13 ±7.89	0.003
Month 6	36.07 ± 9.50	28.60 ± 5.35	29.02 ±7.90	31.19 ±8.39	0.004
Vitamin A (μmol/L)					
Month 0	1.90 ± 0.53	2.05 ± 0.67	1.82 ± 0.52	1.83 ± 0.35	0.283
Month 3	1.86 ± 0.53	2.08 ± 0.64	1.82 ±0.49	1.66 ±0.33	0.026
Month 6	1.71 ± 0.46	1.85 ± 0.52	1.68 ±0.48	1.62 ± 0.34	0.230
α-Carotenoid (µmol/L)					
Month 0	0.25 ± 0.20	0.27 ± 0.15	0.24 ± 0.24	0.25 ± 0.20	0.895
Month 3	0.27 ± 0.24	0.39 ± 0.32	0.20 ± 0.17	0.21 ± 0.13	0.011
Month 6	0.22 ± 0.20	0.31 ± 0.25	0.20 ± 0.19	0.16 ± 0.10	0.024
β-Carotenoid (μmol/L)					
Month 0	0.89 ± 0.55	0.94 ± 0.55	0.88 ± 0.68	0.84 ± 0.43	0.823
Month 3	1.67 ±1.44	1.09 ± 0.61	3.03 ±1.79	0.95 ± 0.44	<0.001
Month 6	1.76 ± 1.52	1.15 ± 0.66	3.26 ± 1.74	0.93 ± 0.52	<0.001
Co-Q10 (μmol/L)					
Month 0	1.61 ± 0.75	1.71 ± 0.89	1.55 ± 0.85	1.57 ± 0.48	0.765
Month 3	1.89 ± 0.96	2.15 ± 1.21	1.98 ± 0.94	1.55 ± 0.59	0.107
Month 6	1.73 ± 0.71	1.96 ± 0.72	1.67 ± 0.65	1.57 ± 0.74	0.181
Secondary outcomes					
hs-CRP (mg/L)					
Month 0	0.28 ± 0.60	0.25 ± 0.79	0.20 ± 0.33	0.40 ± 0.60	0.522
Month 3	0.19 ± 0.30	0.15 ± 0.15	0.16 ± 0.28	0.24 ± 0.43	0.559
Month 6	0.22 ± 0.37	0.18 ± 0.23	0.23 ± 0.39	0.25 ± 0.46	0.848
Homocysteine (µmol/L)					
Month 0	8.86 ±2.27	9.01 ±2.20	8.99 ± 2.39	8.60 ±2.29	0.800
Month 3	8.67 ± 2.20	8.34 ±2.13	9.15 ± 2.10	8.53 ± 2.38	0.466
Month 6	8.43 ± 2.02	8.09 ± 1.65	9.00 ± 2.31	8.20 ±2.01	0.285
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)					
Month 0	202.22 ±31.16	213.09 ± 34.34	190.44 ±28.90	203.13 ±26.85	0.052
Month 3	204.08 ± 28.68	201.38 ± 26.71	200.41 ± 27.55	210.30 ±31.76	0.463
Month 6	200.54 ± 33.92	193.93 ±31.14	194.59 ±26.72	212.52 ±40.22	0.123
Triglyceride (mg/dL)					
Month 0	97.23 ±98.27	125.57 ± 160.99	79.26 ± 40.06	86.85 ± 32.82	0.248
Month 3	99.39 ±61.45	126.99 ±84.85	77.96 ± 32.59	92.25 ±44.56	0.024
Month 6	81.93 ± 34.84	87.25 ±23.12	70.27 ±32.81	87.98 ±43.64	0.174
HDL (mg/dL)					
Month 0	60.44 ± 14.26	61.04 ± 10.50	60.66 ± 18.44	59.61 ±13.33	0.944
Month 3	59.16 ± 13.31	58.01 ± 10.32	60.42 ± 16.68	59.10 ±12.85	0.843
Month 6	57.70 ± 14.32	54.83 ± 12.21	58.84 ± 15.27	59.34 ± 15.45	0.539

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

	Overall	HCK	VTL-7	Placebo	<i>p</i> -value
_DL (mg/dL)					
Month 0	125.30 ± 26.37	129.80 ± 28.14	117.80 ±25.27	128.29 ± 25.17	0.262
Month 3	126.58 ±27.70	123.13 ±27.06	124.40 ±25.68	132.12 ±30.49	0.517
Nonth 6	123.33 ±32.56	121.39 ±31.67	115.09 ±35.58	133.05 ±29.11	0.186
.DL (Oxidized) (U/L)					
Nonth 0	38.89 ±11.30	41.32 ± 14.10	36.55 ± 10.69	38.82 ±8.38	0.380
Nonth 3	44.28 ± 14.10	44.18 ± 13.56	45.90 ± 15.56	42.82 ± 13.67	0.778
Nonth 6	39.50 ± 14.46	36.71 ± 12.92	37.95 ± 16.52	43.64 ± 13.42	0.247
SR (mm/hr)					
Nonth 0	22.64 ±14.24	20.64 ± 10.89	21.45 ±14.85	25.82 ±16.50	0.438
Nonth 3	21.11 ±13.96	17.77 ±8.26	20.10 ± 15.80	25.41 ±16.00	0.179
Nonth 6	20.41 ± 13.64	18.67 ± 10.53	18.05 ± 12.85	24.32 ±16.46	0.253
D4 (cells/mm ³)					
Nonth 0	772.92 ±236.59	790.95 ±270.73	812.73 ± 171.79	715.09 ±254.85	0.362
Nonth 3	808.77 ±244.93	813.36 ± 242.67	836.71 ±219.77	777.50 ±275.89	0.732
Nonth 6	787.25 ±232.78	751.90 ±217.81	788.48 ±219.61	819.82 ±262.84	0.639
D8 (cells/mm ³)					
Nonth 0	552.20 ±201.81	560.86 ± 158.28	588.05 ±215.45	507.68 ±226.04	0.412
Ionth 3	552.62 ±202.54	552.77 ± 197.89	589.29 ±216.07	517.45 ± 196.86	0.516
Nonth 6	546.94 ±200.19	509.71 ± 169.50	565.14 ± 223.15	565.09 ± 208.28	0.590
CD4/CD8	010101 1200110		000111 12220110	000100 1200120	0.000
Ionth 0	1.69 ± 1.41	1.91 ±2.32	1.54 ±0.63	1.62 ±0.50	0.659
Ionth 3	1.56 ± 0.53	1.57 ±0.52	1.56 ± 0.64	1.56 ± 0.43	0.998
1onth 6	1.56 ± 0.52	1.55 ± 0.64	1.51 ± 0.39	1.54 ±0.51	0.938
Quality of life	100 ± 0102	1100 ± 010 1	101 ±0100	101 ±0101	0.000
Physical outcomes					
Nonth 0	75.63 ±14.30	75.72 ± 13.91	72.57 ± 15.57	78.60 ± 13.33	0.382
Nonth 3	80.69 ± 14.00	83.76 ± 13.74	81.54 ± 12.18	76.80 ± 15.50	0.246
Nonth 6	81.66 ± 13.19	85.46 ± 9.76	84.23 ± 11.50	75.58 ± 15.66	0.025
hysical functioning	01.00 ± 10.10	00.10 ±0.10	01.20 ± 11.00	10.00 ± 10.00	0.020
Nonth 0	77.12 ±20.44	79.32 ± 16.35	68.64 ±23.31	83.41 ±18.99	0.044
Nonth 3	82.46 ± 15.89	88.64 ± 12.36	82.38 ± 15.78	76.36 ± 17.33	0.035
Nonth 6	86.17 ± 16.49	92.14 ± 9.43	87.14 ± 15.21	79.55 ± 20.70	0.039
hysical role functioning	00.17 ± 10.40	52.14 ± 0.40	01.14 ± 10.21	10.00 ±20.10	0.000
Nonth 0	88.07 ±17.12	86.08 ± 19.95	86.08 ± 18.49	92.05 ±11.92	0.417
Ionth 3	90.00 ± 16.75	90.34 ± 15.64	94.94 ± 9.40	84.94 ±21.79	0.147
Ionth 6	91.21 ± 13.53	90.77 ± 12.12	95.54 ± 8.65	87.50 ± 17.47	0.148
Body pain	91.21 ± 10.00	30.77 ± 12.12	90.04 ± 0.00	01.00 ± 11.41	0.140
Nonth 0	76.67 ±21.06	75.00 ±21.77	77.61 ±20.81	77.39 ±21.48	0.904
Nonth 3	81.04 ± 20.55	80.80 ± 22.89	79.76 ± 19.90	82.50 ± 19.53	0.904
Nonth 6	77.50 ± 21.38	82.14 ± 19.63	79.05 ± 18.90	71.59 ± 24.56	0.910
ieneral health perception	11.30 ±21.30	02.14 ± 19.05	19.03 ± 10.90	71.08 ±24.00	0.232
fonth 0	60.67 10.00	60.60 01.17	57.95 ± 16.57	C1 EE 17 04	0.600
	60.67 ± 18.29	62.50 ± 21.17		61.55 ± 17.34	0.692
Ionth 3 Ionth 6	69.26 ± 17.64	75.27 ± 13.92	69.10 ± 16.02	63.41 ± 20.88	0.081
1onth 6	71.75 ± 16.76	76.76 ± 15.77	75.19 ±15.46	63.68 ± 16.53	0.017
	71 00 1 15 17	70 41 1 10 00		70 60 1 46 05	0 700
Nonth 0	71.99 ± 15.17	72.41 ± 16.66	69.98 ± 13.85	73.58 ± 15.35	0.730
Aonth 3	76.21 ± 13.15	77.20 ± 14.42	76.85 ± 11.81	74.63 ± 13.49	0.788
Nonth 6	77.80 ±11.43	79.51 ±12.36	80.42 ± 10.85	73.67 ±10.35	0.108
litality					

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

	Overall	HCK	VTL-7	Placebo	p-value*
Month 3	64.04 ± 15.78	66.48 ± 15.36	65.48 ± 15.64	60.23 ±16.31	0.377
Month 6	68.55 ± 15.31	71.13 ± 17.51	69.05 ± 15.99	65.63 ± 12.31	0.498
Social role functioning					
Month 0	77.65 ± 19.05	76.70 ± 22.59	76.70 ± 17.80	79.55 ± 17.05	0.854
Month 3	80.77 ± 17.41	84.66 ± 17.22	78.57 ± 19.02	78.98 ± 16.08	0.441
Month 6	81.05 ± 15.59	83.33 ± 13.88	84.52 ± 18.50	75.57 ±13.07	0.121
Role emotional					
Month 0	86.62 ± 19.66	85.61 ± 19.28	83.71 ± 20.49	90.53 ± 19.47	0.501
Month 3	88.59 ± 17.53	83.33 ± 19.42	91.67 ± 14.91	90.91 ± 17.43	0.225
Month 6	88.93 ± 15.00	88.10 ± 15.94	95.24 ± 10.06	83.71 ± 16.36	0.037
Mental health					
Month 0	66.21 ± 16.24	69.09 ± 17.50	62.95 ± 14.20	66.59 ± 17.00	0.459
Month 3	71.46 ± 15.43	74.32 ± 16.71	71.67 ±12.97	68.41 ± 16.36	0.452
Month 6	72.66 ± 14.42	75.48 ±17.39	72.86 ±11.68	69.77 ±13.76	0.437

*Analysis of variance.

Bold values indicate outcome values that were statistically significant, with a p-value < 0.05.

of which were significantly higher than the control groups (p < 0.001). Vitamin C, vitamin E, vitamin A, α -carotenoid, and coenzyme Q10 at months 3 and 6 did not increase significantly from baseline in any of the three groups.

Secondary Outcomes

All of the secondary laboratory outcomes (hs-CRP, homocysteine, lipid profile, ESR, CD4, and CD8) and QOL did not increase significantly from baseline in any of the three groups (**Figure 3**). The overall QOL among the three groups in terms of physical health (p = 0.560) and mental health (p = 0.750) has increased but is not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Absorption of micronutrients could be affected by several factors such as variants of genetic polymorphisms, underlying health conditions, diet, exercise, smoking, alcohol consumption, age, and form of supplements (9). This quasi-experimental study revealed a comparably significant increase in serum levels of two out of the six micronutrients that were provided to the participants as supplements in two different oral formulations. The significant increase in only two out of the six micronutrients could be due to various reasons, including dose intake of these nutrients or individual absorption capabilities. Further and longer studies are needed to assess the reasoning behind a lack of significant increase among the other four micronutrients observed in this study.

The difference in laboratory results (vitamin D and beta carotene levels) between the study groups could be since vitamin D and beta carotenoid differed between the two products' formulations, representing two common types of Solid Oral Dosage Form (SODF). Some key differences among the differently formulated micronutrients include variations in the physicochemical state of the vitamin D (molecular forms,

potency, and their physiological linkages), the complexity of the food matrix (the amount and type of fatty acids, dietary fibers, and presence/absence of vitamin D enhancer and inhibitor), and its interaction of other fat-soluble compounds with vitamin D, as well as the host-associated factors (e.g., age, disease, surgery, obesity, and genetic variation) (10). The homeostasis of vitamin C is influenced by several factors, including genetic polymorphisms and environmental and lifestyle factors, such as smoking and diet, as well as the presence of diseases (11). Excessive chronic alcohol intake is generally associated with vitamin deficiency (especially folate, thiamine, and vitamin B6) due to malnutrition, malabsorption, and ethanol toxicity. The effects of moderate alcohol use are mainly explained by a lower vitamin intake. In the case of vitamin A and beta-carotene, the effects on post-absorptive (lipoprotein) metabolism have been demonstrated (12).

Besides the effects of vitamins and minerals on blood biomarkers, previous studies showed that supplementation of multivitamin and mineral preparations has beneficial effects on mood and stress (13–15). In addition, the association between serum level of vitamin D and self-rated health in healthy male workers was observed (16). Moreover, results from a previous study revealed that elderly participants with poor physical health status assessed by the SF-36 exhibit lower alphatocopherol blood concentrations (17). The formulation type of the MVMM supplement used differed with each study but included both capsule and granule formulations. None of these studies, however, looked at the difference between MVMM formulation and its effect on the QOL. Nonetheless, our findings did not suggest that supplementation of vitamins and minerals may improve the QOL.

We observed that the participants who preferred no supplement were younger than the study groups. Previous studies revealed that supplement use increased with age, with 72% of adults of 65 years or older of age reporting



an increased use compared with 40% of adults of 20–39 years of age (18). Several lifestyle and behavioral factors were associated with relatively less herb and dietary supplement use in young adults (19) for various reasons. First, they might prefer improving their diet and lifestyle rather than taking an oral supplement. Second, they might

have been concerned about the potential side effects of the supplement (20).

The findings presented in this article could serve to influence the future of micronutrient supplementation, particularly for those with vitamin A or vitamin D deficiency. As the results from the GEE analysis (presented in the Results section) revealed a significantly higher increase in vitamin D and β -carotenoids in VTL-7 than HCK, consumers looking for supplementation of these nutrients may benefit more from capsule formulation than granule formulation. Knowing the composition of different MVMM formulations can help us to better understand the differences in the mechanism of

action through which the nutrients are absorbed, based on the formulation type. Furthermore, expanding our knowledge of the different MVMM formulations can influence what kind of supplements are prescribed by medical providers to help with vitamin and mineral deficiencies, as well as help consumers to determine over-the-counter counter supplements to purchase.





Still, more research should be done in this area to further explore the differences in efficacy between the two formulations by looking at micronutrients not included in this study.

This quasi-experimental study has some limitations. First and most important is the non-randomization nature of the study. Although a random allocation of participants is usually preferred, this study was conducted in a private international hospital setting, in which the participants were healthy and did not receive financial support from a third-party payer for this type of wellness service. Second, the different product formulations, which was the primary objective of this study, could indeed introduce another bias. That is, the participants who were familiar with conventional capsules, as opposed to the new formulation, were health-conscious. Although only two formulations/products were included, the findings from this study could be suggestive of the effect of supplement formulations on the change in laboratory parameters. Finally, it was not required that subjects follow a specific diet during participation in this study and, therefore, it cannot be ascertained that the diet of the subjects did not impact the uptake of CoQ10 or vitamins into the blood. Similarly, there was no method in place to assure that subjects took their supplementation exactly as prescribed, which could also influence the laboratory outcomes. Future studies should be conducted to control these factors.

CONCLUSION

Micronutrient supplement formulation, specifically granule vs. capsule formulation, was found to impact certain

laboratory outcomes but not QOL. More specifically, MVMM supplements in capsule formulation were found to increase the serum levels of some micronutrients, namely, vitamin D and β -carotenoids, to a higher extent than that of granule formulation. Nonetheless, participant adherence remains a potential confounder and should be further explored.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Bumrungrad International Institutional Review Board. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AC conceived of and supervised the study. BT and PI collected and help to analyze the data. KP analyzed the data. PL and NT facilitate the data collection, data analysis, and supervised the study. KP, NJ, BT, PI, and JH drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Angelo G, Drake VJ, Frei B. Efficacy of multivitamin/mineral supplementation to reduce chronic disease risk: a critical review of the evidence from observational studies and randomized controlled trials. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* (2015) 55:1968–91. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2014.912199
- Shenkin A. Micronutrients in health and disease. Postgrad Med J. (2006) 82:559–67. doi: 10.1136/pgmj.2006.047670
- Binns CW, Lee MK, Lee AH. Problems and prospects: public health regulation of dietary supplements. *Annu Rev Public Health*. (2018) 39:403– 20. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040617-013638
- Li J, Li X, Gathirua-Mwangi W, Song Y. Prevalence and trends in dietary supplement use among US adults with diabetes: the national health and nutrition examination surveys, 1999-2014. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care. (2020) 8:e000925. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2019-000925
- Announcement of the Food and Drug Administration Re: Requirement for Use of Vitamins and Minerals as Active Ingredients in Food Supplements (2006).
- Satia MC, Mukim AG, Tibrewala KD, Bhavsar MS. A randomized two way cross over study for comparison of absorption of vitamin D3 buccal spray and soft gelatin capsule formulation in healthy subjects and in patients with intestinal malabsorption. *Nutr J.* (2015) 14:114. doi: 10.1186/s12937-015-0105-1
- Goralczyk R. Beta-carotene and lung cancer in smokers: review of hypotheses and status of research. *Nutr Cancer.* (2009) 61:767–74. doi: 10.1080/01635580903285155
- Alvarez JA, Law J, Coakley KE, Zughaier SM, Hao L, Shahid Salles K, et al. High-dose cholecalciferol reduces parathyroid hormone in patients with early chronic kidney disease: a pilot, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2012) 96:672–9. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.11 2.040642
- 9. Campbell JA, Morrison AB. Some factors affecting the absorption of vitamins. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (1963) 12:162–9. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/12.3.162
- Maurya VK, Aggarwal M. Factors influencing the absorption of vitamin D in GIT: an overview. J Food Sci Technol. (2017) 54:3753–65. doi: 10.1007/s13197-017-2840-0
- Lykkesfeldt J, Tveden-Nyborg P. The pharmacokinetics of vitamin C. Nutrients. (2019) 11:2412. doi: 10.3390/nu11102412
- van den Berg H, van der Gaag M, Hendriks H. Influence of lifestyle on vitamin bioavailability. Int J Vitam Nutr Res. (2002) 72:53–9. doi: 10.1024/0300-9831.72.1.53
- Benton D, Haller J, Fordy J. Vitamin supplementation for 1 year improves mood. *Neuropsychobiology*. (1995) 32:98–105. doi: 10.1159/000119220
- 14. Schlebusch L, Bosch BA, Polglase G, Kleinschmidt I, Pillay BJ, Cassimjee MH, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, double-centre study of the

effects of an oral multivitamin-mineral combination on stress. S Afr Med J. (2000) 90:1216–23.

- White DJ, Cox KH, Peters R, Pipingas A, Scholey AB. Effects of four-week supplementation with a multi-vitamin/mineral preparation on mood and blood biomarkers in young adults: a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. *Nutrients*. (2015) 7:9005–17. doi: 10.3390/nu7115451
- Tepper S, Dabush Y, Shahar DR, Endevelt R, Geva D, Ish-Shalom S. Vitamin D status and quality of life in healthy male high-tech employees. *Nutrients*. (2016) 8:366. doi: 10.3390/nu8060366
- Capuron L, Moranis A, Combe N, Cousson-Gelie F, Fuchs D, De Smedt-Peyrusse V, et al. Vitamin E status and quality of life in the elderly: influence of inflammatory processes. *Br J Nutr.* (2009) 102:1390– 4. doi: 10.1017/S0007114509990493
- Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Du M, White E, Giovannucci EL. Trends in dietary supplement use among US adults from 1999-2012. JAMA. (2016) 316:1464– 74. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.14403
- Gardiner P, Kemper KJ, Legedza A, Phillips RS. Factors associated with herb and dietary supplement use by young adults in the United States. BMC Complement Altern Med. (2007) 7:39. doi: 10.1186/1472-6882-7-39
- Timbo BB, Ross MP, McCarthy PV, Lin CT. Dietary supplements in a national survey: prevalence of use and reports of adverse events. J Am Diet Assoc. (2006) 106:1966–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2006.09.002

Conflict of Interest: PI was employed by Asia Global Research Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Jittat, Pongpirul, Tepwituksakit, Iammaleerat, Heath, Lungchukiet, Taechakraichana and Charukitpipat. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.