

Higher Circulating Vitamin D Levels Are Associated With Decreased Migraine Risk: A Mendelian Randomization Study

Peng-Peng Niu^{*}, Xue Wang and Yu-Ming Xu^{*} The International Headache Genetics Consortium[†]

Department of Neurology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Rosaura Leis, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Reviewed by:

Chong Chen, Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, Japan Omid Sadeghi, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*Correspondence:

Peng-Peng Niu fccniupp@zzu.edu.cn orcid.org/0000-0002-5943-9654 Yu-Ming Xu xuyuming@zzu.edu.cn

> [†]See full list in Supplementary Materials

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Nutrition, Psychology and Brain Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

> Received: 31 March 2022 Accepted: 03 June 2022 Published: 08 July 2022

Citation:

Niu P-P, Wang X and Xu Y-M (2022) Higher Circulating Vitamin D Levels Are Associated With Decreased Migraine Risk: A Mendelian Randomization Study. Front. Nutr. 9:907789. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.907789 **Background:** Evidence showed the supplementation of vitamin D might have beneficial effects for migraine patients. We aimed to investigate the causal effects of serum vitamin D levels on migraine risk using two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) method.

Methods: A total of 184 independent genetic instruments for serum vitamin D levels were selected from a study in 417,580 Europeans from UK biobank. Six variants from an independent study were obtained to perform replication analysis. Summary-level data for migraine were obtained from three studies with 48,975 migraine cases, 28,852 migraine cases and 10,536 migraine cases, respectively.

Results: The estimated odds ratios (ORs) per standard deviation increase in circulating vitamin D levels based on the three migraine datasets were 0.948 (95% CI = 0.883-1.016, p = 0.133), 0.902 (95% confidence intervals [CI] = 0.825-0.986, p = 0.023), and 0.880 (95% CI = 0.786-0.984, p = 0.025), respectively. Using pooled migraine summary data with no sample overlap, MR analysis showed per standard deviation increase in circulating vitamin D levels was significantly associated with a decreased migraine risk (OR = 0.916, 95% CI = 0.859-0.977, p = 0.008). Multivariable MR analyses, sensitivity analyses and replication analysis confirmed the association. MR analyses showed similar estimates for migraine with aura and migraine without aura but with wider 95% CIs. Mediation analysis showed the effect of vitamin D on migraine risk via pathway of serum calcium was corresponding to an OR of 1.003 (95% CI = 1.001-1.005) and a proportion mediated of 3.42%. The reverse MR analysis showed migraine might not affect vitamin D levels.

Conclusion: This two-sample MR study showed genetically determined increased circulating vitamin D levels are associated with decreased migraine risk. The effect seems consistent across different migraine subtypes. In addition, the role of serum calcium in mediating the association between vitamin D and migraine is negligible. Future large well-designed randomized trials are warranted to assess the effects of vitamin D supplementation for migraine patients, especially in those with vitamin D deficiency.

Keywords: causal relationship, vitamin D, 250HD, migraine, Mendelian randomization

1

INTRODUCTION

Migraine is characterized by recurrent attacks of moderate to severe headache, often nausea, vomiting, photophobia, and phonophobia, lasting for 4–72 h (1). The cumulative lifetime risk of migraine is up to about 33% in women and 18% in men in the general population. The estimated 1-year prevalence in the general population is ~15% (2). As one of the leading chronic neurological disorders, migraine imposes a heavy burden on patients and society (2).

As a recurrent disorder, preventive medications that aim to reduce the frequency, duration, or severity of attacks have been recommended for patients with at least two migraine days per month (3). Traditional recommended migraine preventives such as β -blockers and anticonvulsant agents are often associated with unsatisfied effectiveness and tolerability. New drugs that inhibit the actions of calcitonin gene-related peptide seem promising in the management of episodic and chronic migraine (1, 4). However, more evidence is needed, especially for migraine patients complicated by cardiovascular disease (1, 5, 6). In addition, monoclonal antibodies directed against calcitonin gene-related peptide or its receptor are not recommend for pregnant woman and women with a wish to become pregnant in half a year because the possibility of fetal harm (4).

Given the safety concerns regarding conventional medications, complementary and alternative medicine has increasingly become popular in the management of many diseases including migraine (7-9). Vitamin D supplementation has been discussed to be one potential complementary therapy for migraine management in recent years. Epidemiological evidence showed patients with migraine had higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency compared with controls (10-12). However, the causality remains uncertain. Migraine may lead to low serum vitamin D levels indirectly because sunlight, a trigger of migraine, may be avoided by some migraine patients (13). Although preliminary trials showed the supplementation of vitamin D could lead to an improvement of headache frequency and intensity for both children and adults, the sample sizes were small (14-16).

Using genetic variants as instrumental variables, the Mendelian randomization (MR) method can be used to simulate randomized controlled trial because genetic variants are randomly allocated by nature (17, 18). Therefore, MR study is less prone to reverse causality bias and confounding bias compared with conventional observational study. In the present study, we aimed to assess the causal effects of genetically determined circulating vitamin D levels on risk of migraine using two-sample MR method (**Figure 1**).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Informed consent and ethical approval were obtained in the original studies. We followed recent published guideline to perform the present MR study (19). **Table 1** shows the datasets that we included.

Selection of Genetic Variants

We selected independent single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of 25 hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD) concentration in 417,580 Europeans from UK biobank (20, 25). Non-fasting serum 25OHD levels were assessed using the DiaSorin Liaison XL assay, which is a direct competitive chemiluminescent immunoassay (26). Total 25OHD (including 25OHD2 and 25OHD3) was measured by the assay. Individuals with 25OHD levels out of the range of 10-375 nmol/L were excluded. The median, mean and interquartile range of 25 OHD levels were 47.9, 49.6, 33.5-63.2 nmol/L, respectively. A rank-based inversenormal transformation was applied to the 25 OHD levels before the GWAS. A linear mixed model GWAS was performed by adjusting age at time of assessment, sex, assessment month, assessment center, supplement-intake information, genotyping batch and the first 40 ancestry principal components as covariates.

To identify independent SNPs, we downloaded the full summary data for all SNPs. We next identified SNPs associated with 25 OHD levels at a genome-wide significant level (p $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$). Multiallelic SNPs, palindromic SNPs, SNPs with minor allele frequency less than 0.01, and SNPs located around the human leukocyte antigen region (chr6:25-34 Mb) were further excluded. We performed a clumping procedure to obtain independent SNPs ($r^2 < 0.01$, 10,000 kb) using the European 1,000 Genome Project Phase 3 reference panel as reference. At last, a total of 184 SNPs were included as genetic instruments (Supplementary Table 1). F statistic for each SNP was calculated using the following formula: Beta²/SE². Beta and SE denote the estimate and standard error of effect allele on 25OHD levels. The F statistics of these SNPs range from 30 to 2,482. The proportion of variance explained by each SNP was calculated using the following formula: $2 \times \text{Beta}^2 \times \text{MAF} \times (1-\text{MAF})$ (27). MAF denotes minor allele frequency. The proportion of variance explained by all SNPs was 4.09%.

We performed a replication analysis using six independent SNPs ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) associated with 25OHD levels from a GWAS meta-analysis in up to 79,366 European-ancestry individuals (21). These six SNPs were also significant ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) associated with 25 OHD levels in the GWAS based on UK biobank.

Outcome Dataset

We obtained summary-level data for migraine from four largest studies. The first study was performed by Hautakangas et al. (22). This study combined data on 102,084 migraine cases and 771,257 controls of European ancestry from five study collections including IHGC2016 (23), 23andMe, UK biobank (25), GeneRISK (generisk.fi) and HUNT All-in Headache

Abbreviations: 25OHD, 25 hydroxyvitamin D; CI, confidence interval; GWAS, genome wide association study; IVW, inverse–variance weighted; MR, Mendelian randomization; MR-PRESSO, MR-Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.



FIGURE 1 Directed acyclic graph representing the Mendelian randomization framework. There were three core assumptions for two-sample MR method. Assumption 1: the instrument SNP is associated with the exposure of interest. Assumption 2: the instrument SNP does not affect outcome via pathways other than the exposure. Assumption 3: the instrument SNP is not associated with confounders. Horizontal pleiotropy will present if the effect of the instrument SNP on the outcome was all or partially via other pathways. MR, Mendelian randomization; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of GWAS datasets.

Trait	Study	Data source	Sample size	Ancestry	Migraine definition
Exposure					
250HD	Revez et al. (20)	UK biobank	417,580 individuals	European	Not applicable
250HD	Jiang et al. (21)	31 studies	79,366 individuals	European	Not applicable
Outcome					
Any migraine	Hautakangas et al. (22)	21 studies from Gormley et al. (23) [†]	29,209 cases and 172,931 controls	European	Self-reported and ICHD-I
		UK biobank	10,881 cases and 330,170 controls	European	Self-reported
		GenerlSK (generisk.fi)	1,084 cases and 4,857 controls	European	Self-reported
		HUNT	7,801 cases and 32,423 controls	European	Self-reported or modified ICHD-II
Any migraine	Choquet et al. (24)	UK biobank	17,532 cases and 465,435 controls	European (94.19%)	Self-reported
		GERA cohort	11,320 cases and 60,282 controls	European (81.53%)	A algorithm and ICD-8, ICD-9 and ICD-10
Any migraine	FinnGen (Release 6)	FinnGen study	10,536 cases and 208,845 controls	European	ICD-8, ICD-9 and ICD-10
MA	Gormley et al. (23)	12 studies	6,332 cases and 144,883 controls	European	Self-reported and ICHD-I
MA	FinnGen (Release 6)	FinnGen study	4,366 cases and 208,845 controls	European	ICD-8, ICD-9 and ICD-10
MO	Gormley et al. (23)	11 studies	8,348 cases and 139,622 controls	European	Self-reported and ICHD-I
MO	FinnGen (Release 6)	FinnGen study	3,924 cases and 208,845 controls	European	ICD-8, ICD-9 and ICD-10

[†]Data from 23andme was not included.

25OHD, 25 hydroxyvitamin D; GERA, Genetic Epidemiology Research in Adult Health and Aging; GWAS, genome-wide association study; HUNT, an acronym for the Norwegian name: Helseundersøkelsen i Nord-Trøndelag; MA, migraine with aura; MO, migraine without aura; ICHD-II, International Classification of Headache Disorders second edition; ICD, International Classification of Disease.

(28). We used the combined data on 48,975 migraine cases and 540,381 controls of European ancestry from four study collections (no 23andme) in the present study. Migraine cases were determined using self-reported data or the second edition of international Classification of Headache Disorders. Note there was sample overlap between this study and the main 25 OHD GWAS. The proportion of sample overlap was up to 57.88%. However, recent study showed two-sample MR method can be safely used for large datasets (e.g., UK biobank) with large proportion of sample overlap (29). In addition, we estimated the bias caused by sample overlap using a web tool (https://sb452. shinyapps.io/overlap/) (30). The estimated bias was negligible (\sim 1%). On the other hand, the statistic of variability in instrument strength across the 184 SNPs was very high (I_{GX}^2 of 99.08%), which further ensuring the use of MR-Egger method (29).

The second study was performed by Choquet et al. (24). The authors undertook GWAS analysis of migraine in the Genetic Epidemiology Research in Adult Health and Aging cohort and UK biobank, (31) followed by a meta-analysis combining the two results. There were 28,852 migraine cases and 525,717 controls. Most of them were of European descent (92.55%). The proportions of female were 77.98% in cases and 53.22% in controls. In the Genetic Epidemiology Research in Adult Health and Aging cohort, migraine cases were determined using a migraine probability algorithm based on migraine-specific prescriptions and codes from the 9th and 10th versions of the International Classification of Disease. In UK biobank, migraine cases were determined using self-reported data. The proportion of sample overlap between exposure dataset and this study was up to 75.30%. The estimated bias was also negligible (\sim 1%).

The third migraine dataset was performed by the FinnGen study. We obtained summary-level data from the last release (release 6) (32). There were 10,536 migraine cases and 208,845 controls. The mean age at first migraine attack was 40.27 years. The proportion of female was 82.07%. Migraine cases determined using codes from the 8th, 9th, and 10th versions of the International Classification of Disease. Summary-level data on migraine with aura included 4,366 cases and 208,845 controls. Summary-level data on migraine without aura included 3,924 cases and 208,845 controls.

The fourth study was performed by Gormley et al. (23). Summary data for any migraine has been included in the study by Hautakangas et al. (22). However, in the study by Hautakangas et al. (22) analysis for migraine subtypes was only performed for SNPs significantly associated with any migraine. Summary data on migraine with aura (6,332 cases and 144,883 controls) and migraine without aura (8,348 cases and 139,622 controls) were extracted from the study by Gormley et al. (23).

Supplementary Tables 2, **3** list the effects of genetic instruments on migraine and migraine subtypes.

Mendelian Randomization Analysis

We used the multiplicative random-effects inverse varianceweighted (IVW) method as the main MR method. The randomeffects IVW method will return an unbiased estimate if the horizontal pleiotropy is balanced. Other methods including MR-Egger method, weighted median method, weighted mode method, and MR-Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier (MR-PRESSO) method were used as sensitive analyses. The MR-Egger method allows all genetic variants to have pleiotropic effects (33). The MR-Egger regression intercept was used to assess if there was unbalanced horizontal pleiotropy. The weighted median method will return an unbiased estimate if more than 50% of the instrument SNPs were valid. The weighted mode method will return an unbiased estimate even if the majority of the instrument SNPs were invalid (34). The MR-PRESSO method can be used to detect horizontal pleiotropic outliers (35). If outliers were detected, an outlier corrected estimate will be reported.

In addition, we performed GWAS meta-analysis to combine SNP-migraine effects (i.e., beta and se) from different outcome datasets with no sample overlap using a fixed-effects inversevariance approach implemented in METAL (36). The resulting pooled SNP-migraine effects were used to perform downstream MR analysis. This strategy has been used in previous two-sample MR studies with more than one outcome datasets (37, 38). We performed steiger filtering procedure to remove potential reverse causal instruments (39). Steiger-filtered SNPs with low probability of reverse causality (Steiger p value < 0.01) were used to perform a sensitivity analysis. Steiger-filtered SNPs were identified based on the pooled migraine dataset with largest sample size.

Previous MR analyses showed smoking, diastolic blood pressure, insomnia and serum calcium were positively associated with migraine risk, while alcohol drinking and coffee consumption might be negatively associated with migraine risk (40–43). We performed multivariable MR analyses to adjust these factors using large public available GWASs for each of them (44–46). In addition, body mass index was also adjusted (47). For SNPs that have not been found in the select GWASs, we identified them from datasets based on UK biobank in the MRC IEU OpenGWAS database (18). For coffee consumption, we used the dataset in the MRC IEU OpenGWAS database (ID: ukb-b-5237). Pleiotropic SNPs are listed in **Supplementary Table 4**.

Because 25OHD may indirectly increase migraine risk via increasing serum calcium levels, we performed a two-step MR mediation analysis to assess the role of serum calcium in mediating the association between 25OHD and migraine (48). For mediation analysis, we first performed a MR analysis to assess the effect (β 1) of 25OHD on serum calcium levels. We next performed a MR analysis to assess the effect (B2) of serum calcium levels on migraine risk by adjusting 25OHD. The indirect effect (i.e, mediation effect) of 250HD via pathway of serum calcium was the product of these two estimates (i.e., $\beta 1 \times \beta 2$) (48). Bootstrap method was used to estimate the 95% confidence interval (CI). The proportion mediated was calculated by dividing the indirect effects by the total effect. The total effect of 25OHD on migraine risk was the estimate from univariable MR IVW analysis. The pooled migraine dataset with largest sample size was used to perform the multivariable MR analysis and mediation analysis. The genetic instruments and full GWAS for serum calcium levels were obtained from a GWAS with 363,228 individuals from the UK Biobank (49).

At last, we performed a reverse two-sample MR analysis to assess the causal effect of migraine on circulating 25OHD levels. Genetic instruments for migraine were obtained from the Hautakangas et al. study (22). A total of 120 independent SNPs ($r^2 < 0.01, 10,000$ kb) were identified (**Supplementary Table 5**).

We used R (version 3.6.1) to perform all of the analyses. We used the R packages of TwoSampleMR and MR-PRESSO to perform the MR analyses. Steiger filtering procedure was performed using "steiger_filtering" function in the TwoSampleMR package. For MR analyses, a *p*-value small than 0.017 (0.05/3) was considered as statistical significant. A *p*-value between 0.017 and 0.05 was considered as nominally significant.

RESULTS

Any Migraine

Using migraine GWAS from Hautakangas et al. (22), twosample MR analysis showed per standard deviation increase in circulating 25OHD levels were not associated with migraine

Outcome dataset and MR method	SNP, n	OR (95% CI)		p value
Hautakangas et al. 2022				
(48,975 cases and 540,381 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	180	0.948(0.883-1.016)	⊢ ∎-†•	0.133
MR Egger	180	0.958(0.854-1.075)		- 0.468
Weighted median	180	0.940(0.854-1.035)	· • • • •	0.208
Weighted mode	180	0.948(0.859-1.047)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.293
MR-PRESSO	178	0.964(0.903-1.030)	⊢ ∎∔1	0.282
Sensitivy analysis 1	158	0.959(0.893-1.029)	·	0.240
Sensitivy analysis 2	153	0.948(0.895-1.004)	⊢ ∎	0.071
Sensitivy analysis 3	134	0.954(0.896-1.014)	⊢ ∎-+	0.132
Choquet et al. 2021				
(28,852 cases and 525,717 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	168	0.902(0.825-0.986)		0.023
MR Egger	168	0.857(0.738-0.994)	·•	0.042
Weighted median	168	0.820(0.730-0.920)		7.81E-04
Weighted mode	168	0.852(0.754-0.963)	·•	0.011
MR-PRESSO	167	0.910(0.835-0.991)		0.032
Sensitivy analysis 1	146	0.936(0.857-1.022)		0.141
Sensitivy analysis 2	144	0.896(0.827-0.970)		0.007
Sensitivy analysis 3	126	0.922(0.850-1.001)	· · · · ·	0.053
FinnGen				
(10,536 cases and 208,845 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	179	0.880(0.786-0.984)	—	0.025
MR Egger	179	0.805(0.670-0.967)	·	0.022
Weighted median	179	0.797(0.661-0.960)	• • •••	0.017
Weighted mode	179	0.714(0.576-0.887)	<	0.003
MR-PRESSO (No outlier)				
Sensitivy analysis 1	157	0.856(0.760-0.965)	·	0.011
Sensitivy analysis 2	152	0.876(0.783-0.979)	·•	0.020
Sensitivy analysis 3	134	0.851(0.756-0.957)	·	0.007
			0.7 1	1.1
			0.7 I OR(95% CI)	1.1

FIGURE 2 | Mendelian randomization analyses of 250HD on migraine risk. Sensitivity analysis 1: SNPs associated with potential confounders were excluded. Sensitivity analysis 2: Potential reverse causal SNPs were excluded (Steiger-filtered analysis). Sensitivity analysis 3: All SNPs excluded in sensitivity analysis 1 and sensitivity analysis 2 were excluded. 250HD, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; CI, confidence interval; MR, Mendelian randomization; MR-PRESSO, MR-Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

risk (**Figure 2**). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI from IVW method were 0.948 and 0.883–1.016 (p = 0.133). No evidence of unbalanced horizontal pleiotropy was found by MR-Egger regression (p = 0.808).

Two-sample MR analyses showed increased circulating 25OHD levels were suggestively associated with decreased migraine risk by using migraine GWASs from Choquet et al. (24) and FinnGen study separately (**Figure 2**). The ORs based on IVW method were 0.902 (95% CI = 0.825-0.986, p = 0.023) and 0.880 (95% CI = 0.786-0.984, p = 0.025), respectively. Most of other robust MR methods and sensitivity analyses

showed similar results. No evidence of unbalanced horizontal pleiotropy was found by MR-Egger regression (*p*-values: 0.397 and 0.234).

Using pooled SNP-migraine effects based on samples from Gormley et al. (23), Hautakangas et al. (22) and FinnGen, MR analysis showed increased circulating 25OHD levels were associated with decreased migraine risk (IVW method: OR = 0.916, 95% CI = 0.859–0.977, p = 0.008) (Figure 3). Similar estimates were found by using other two pooled migraine datasets. No evidence of unbalanced horizontal pleiotropy was found by MR-Egger regression (*p*-values: 0.151, 0.812, and 0.225).

Outcome dataset and MR method	SNP, n	OR (95% CI)		p value
Gormley et al, Choquet et al. and Finno	Gen			
(68,597 cases and 907,493 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	183	0.916(0.859-0.977)	⊢_ ∎	0.008
MR Egger	183	0.860(0.772-0.957)	—	0.006
Weighted median	183	0.898(0.826-0.976)	———	0.011
Weighted mode	183	0.883(0.815-0.957)	—	0.003
MR-PRESSO	181	0.918(0.864-0.976)	——	0.007
Sensitivy analysis 1	161	0.929(0.871-0.991)	—— —	0.025
Sensitivy analysis 2	155	0.913(0.866-0.962)		7.02E-04
Sensitivy analysis 3	137	0.921(0.872-0.972)	⊢ ∎→1	0.003
Hautakangas et al. and FinnGen				
(59,511 cases 749,226 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	182	0.932(0.874-0.994)		0.033
MR Egger	182	0.922(0.830-1.026)		0.137
Weighted median	182	0.894(0.819-0.977)	⊢ ∎−−+	0.013
Weighted mode	182	0.898(0.827-0.975)	⊢ •−	0.011
MR-PRESSO	179	0.946(0.891-1.004)	⊢ ∎→	0.069
Sensitivy analysis 1	160	0.935(0.876-0.997)	—	0.041
Sensitivy analysis 2	154	0.932(0.884-0.982)		0.008
Sensitivy analysis 3	136	0.930(0.880-0.982)		0.009
Choquet et al. and FinnGen				
(39,388 cases 734,562 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	183	0.896(0.831-0.967)	——	0.005
MR Egger	183	0.842(0.743-0.955)	⊢	0.008
Weighted median	183	0.865(0.782-0.956)		0.004
Weighted mode	183	0.822(0.748-0.902)	⊢ ∎→1	6.11E-05
MR-PRESSO	182	0.902(0.838-0.971)	⊢ ∎→	0.007
Sensitivy analysis 1	161	0.914(0.847-0.986)	—	0.020
Sensitivy analysis 2	155	0.891(0.833-0.953)	⊢ ∎→	7.24E-04
Sensitivy analysis 3	137	0.902(0.841-0.968)		0.004
			7	1 1
		0.	7 1 OR(95% CI)	1.1

FIGURE 3 | Mendelian randomization analyses of 250HD on migraine risk using pooled migraine datasets. Sensitivity analysis 1: SNPs associated with potential confounders were excluded. Sensitivity analysis 2: Potential reverse causal SNPs were excluded (Steiger-filtered analysis). Sensitivity analysis 3: All SNPs excluded in sensitivity analysis 1 and sensitivity analysis 2 were excluded. 250HD, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; Cl, confidence interval; MR, Mendelian randomization; MR-PRESSO, MR-Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

The estimates remained essentially unchanged in almost all other analyses.

Supplementary Figures 1, **2** show the scatter plots of SNP-25OHD and SNP-migraine associations.

Replication analysis using six genetic instruments from an independent GWAS confirmed the association (**Figure 4**).

Migraine Subtype

All primary IVW analyses did not found significant effect of circulating 25OHD levels on risk of migraine with aura or migraine without aura (**Figures 5**, **6**). No evidence of unbalanced horizontal pleiotropy was found by MR-Egger regression (*p*-values ≥ 0.162). However, significant or nominally significant

associations were found by several robust MR methods and sensitivity analyses, especially for migraine without aura. **Supplementary Figures 3**, **4** show the scatter plots of SNP-25OHD and SNP-migraine associations.

Replication analysis using six genetic instruments also showed increased 25OHD levels trended toward decrease the disease risk, especially for migraine with aura (IVW method: OR = 0.779, 95% CI = 0.562-1.080, p = 0.134) (**Supplementary Figure 5**).

Multivariable Analysis and Mediation Analysis

Multivariable MR analyses showed the benefit effect of 250HD on risk of any migraine remained in all analyses

Outcome dataset and MR method	SNP, n	OR (95% CI)		p value
Hautakangas et al. 2022				
(48,975 cases and 540,381 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	6	0.907(0.756-1.087)	· • • • • •	0.289
MR Egger	6	0.815(0.581-1.143) -	<	0.302
Weighted median	6	0.885(0.747-1.048)	· • • · · ·	0.156
Weighted mode	6	0.875(0.735-1.042)	·····	0.194
Choquet et al. 2021				
(28,852 cases and 525,717 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	5	0.788(0.656-0.946) +	(0.010
MR Egger	5	0.747(0.534-1.046) -	<	0.188
Weighted median	5	0.768(0.628-0.938) -	<	0.010
Weighted mode	5	0.762(0.624-0.930) -	< ∎	0.056
FinnGen				
(10,536 cases and 208,845 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	6	0.756(0.545-1.049) -	←	0.094
MR Egger	6	0.990(0.543-1.806) -	<	-> 0.975
Weighted median	6	0.843(0.580-1.226) -	< • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- 0.371
Weighted mode	6	0.885(0.588-1.333) -	< • •	-> 0.585
Gormley et al, Choquet et al. and FinnGe	en			
(68,597 cases and 907,493 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	6	0.844(0.743-0.958)		0.009
MR Egger	6	0.870(0.691-1.096)		0.304
Weighted median	6	0.848(0.741-0.970)		0.016
Weighted mode	6	0.852(0.735-0.987)		0.087

confidence interval; MR, Mendelian randomization; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

(Supplementary Figure 6). The OR was 0.913 (95% CI = 0.855-0.975, p = 0.006) when adjusting all covariates at the same time. Multivariable MR analysis by adjusting serum calcium levels showed the effect of 25OHD on migraine risk increased very slightly (from an OR of 0.916 to 0.915), which suggest the role of calcium in mediating the association between 25OHD and migraine was very limited.

We further performed a two-step MR analysis to assess the role of calcium in mediating the association between 25OHD and migraine. The first MR analysis showed 25OHD was positively associated with serum calcium level (beta = 0.0504, se = 0.0194, p = 0.010). Using pooled migraine dataset with 68,597 migraine cases, the second MR analysis showed serum calcium levels were not significant associated with migraine risk based on IVW method (210 SNPs, OR = 1.050, p = 0.092). However, the effect was significant after excluding seven outlier SNPs (OR = 1.066, 95% CI = 1.013–1.123, p = 0.016). To avoid

a conservative estimate of mediation effect, we excluded these outlier SNPs from the analysis. After excluding the seven outliers, multivariable MR IVW analysis by adjusting 25OHD showed calcium was significant associated with migraine risk (beta = 0.0601, se = 0.0267, p = 0.024). The two estimates (i.e., 0.0504 and 0.0601) were then multiplied together to get an indirect effect of 0.0030 (i.e., mediation effect), which corresponding to an OR of 1.003. The 95% CI of the OR was 1.001–1.005. Therefore, the indirect effect was only account for 3.42% (0.003/0.0878) of the total effect.

Reverse Mendelian Randomization Analysis

Two-sample MR IVW analysis showed migraine was associated with decreased circulating 25OHD levels (beta = -0.016, 95% CI = -0.030 to -0.003, p = 0.019) (**Supplementary Figure 7**). However, MR-Egger regression showed evidence of unbalanced

Outcome dataset and MR method	SNP, n	OR (95% CI)		p value
MA, FinnGen				
(6,332 cases and 144,883 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	179	0.922(0.788-1.078)	·•	0.306
MR Egger	179	0.903(0.699-1.166)		0.436
Weighted median	179	0.744(0.556-0.995)	<	0.046
Weighted mode	179	0.769(0.570-1.036)	← ∎	0.086
MR-PRESSO (No outlier)				
Sensitivy analysis 1	157	0.890(0.752-1.052)	· •	0.172
Sensitivy analysis 2	152	0.912(0.777-1.071)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.262
Sensitivy analysis 3	134	0.868(0.731-1.031)	· • • · · ·	0.106
MA, Gormley et al. 2016				
(4,366 cases and 208,845 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	171	0.921(0.774-1.096)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.355
MR Egger	171	0.838(0.626-1.123)	<>	0.239
Weighted median	171	0.918(0.699-1.206)		0.539
Weighted mode	171	0.917(0.713-1.179)	- _>	0.500
MR-PRESSO (No outlier)				
Sensitivy analysis 1	149	0.894(0.740-1.079)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.243
Sensitivy analysis 2	145	0.959(0.802-1.146)	 >	0.645
Sensitivy analysis 3	127	0.917(0.755-1.113)	- _>	0.381
Pooled MA				
(10,698 cases and 353,728 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	181	0.921(0.813-1.044)		0.197
MR Egger	181	0.871(0.708-1.072)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.194
Weighted median	181	0.889(0.729-1.084)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.244
Weighted mode	181	0.827(0.679-1.008)	F	0.062
MR-PRESSO (No outlier)				
Sensitivy analysis 1	159	0.891(0.782-1.016)	⊢ − ● −+	0.086
Sensitivy analysis 2	153	0.934(0.824-1.059)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.286
Sensitivy analysis 3	135	0.890(0.779-1.018)		0.088
				1
			0.7 1 1.1 OR(95% CI)	L

FIGURE 5 | Mendelian randomization analyses of 250HD on risk of migraine with aura. Sensitivity analysis 1: SNPs associated with potential confounders were excluded. Sensitivity analysis 2: Potential reverse causal SNPs were excluded (Steiger-filtered analysis). Sensitivity analysis 3: All SNPs excluded in sensitivity analysis 1 and sensitivity analysis 2 were excluded. 250HD, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; CI, confidence interval; MA, migraine with aura; MR, Mendelian randomization; MR-PRESSO, MR-Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

horizontal pleiotropy (p = 0.018). MR-Egger, weighted median and weighted mode methods all showed no association. In addition, Steiger filtered analysis showed the association attenuated to null (beta = -0.002, 95% CI = -0.016 to 0.012, p= 0.719), which suggest the association observed in IVW method might be attributed to reverse causality.

DISCUSSION

This two-sample MR study showed genetically determined increased circulating vitamin D levels are associated with

decreased migraine risk. The effect seems consistent across migraine subtypes of migraine with aura and migraine without aura. In addition, the role of serum calcium in mediating the association between vitamin D and migraine is negligible.

Beside the effect on bone metabolism disorders such as rickets and osteomalacia, it has long been suggested that vitamin D has beneficial effects for many non-skeletal disorders 50]. Many observational studies showed vitamin D insufficiency was associated with extra-skeletal clinical outcomes including cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and mortality. However, except for potential benefits in cancer mortality and acute respiratory

Outcome dataset and MR method	SNP, n	OR (95% CI)		p value
MO, FinnGen				
(8,348 cases and 139,622 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	179	0.892(0.749-1.062)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.200
MR Egger	179	0.759(0.570-1.009)	<	0.059
Weighted median	179	0.638(0.478-0.852)	←──	0.002
Weighted mode	179	0.640(0.475-0.863)	<	0.004
MR-PRESSO (No outlier)				
Sensitivy analysis 1	157	0.843(0.703-1.010)	⊢ _	0.064
Sensitivy analysis 2	152	0.865(0.722-1.037)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.117
Sensitivy analysis 3	134	0.820(0.681-0.988)	► 	0.037
MO, Gormley et al. 2016				
(3,924 cases and 208,845 controls)				
Inverse variance weighted	170	0.918(0.779-1.081)		0.304
MR Egger	170	0.907(0.689-1.194)	>	0.487
Weighted median	170	0.853(0.658-1.107)		0.232
Weighted mode	170	0.903(0.719-1.135)	>	0.385
MR-PRESSO	168	0.909(0.779-1.060)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.224
Sensitivy analysis 1	148	0.917(0.766-1.097)		0.342
Sensitivy analysis 2	144	0.912(0.782-1.064)	·	0.241
Sensitivy analysis 3	126	0.919(0.778-1.087)	·····	0.327
Pooled MO				
(12,272 cases and 348,467 controls)			
nverse variance weighted	181	0.906(0.801-1.025)	F • • •	0.115
MR Egger	181	0.837(0.683-1.026)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.089
Weighted median	181	0.843(0.695-1.022)	· • • •	0.082
Weighted mode	181	0.828(0.693-0.988)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.038
	179	0.926(0.826-1.037)	⊢ ∎+	0.186
MR-PRESSO		0 000/0 777 1 000)		0.054
	159	0.883(0.777-1.002)		0.004
MR-PRESSO Sensitivy analysis 1 Sensitivy analysis 2	159 153	0.883(0.777-1.002)	· - •	0.049

FIGURE 6 | Mendelian randomization analyses of 250HD on risk of migraine without aura. Sensitivity analysis 1: SNPs associated with potential confounders were excluded. Sensitivity analysis 2: Potential reverse causal SNPs were excluded (Steiger-filtered analysis). Sensitivity analysis 3: All SNPs excluded in sensitivity analysis 1 and sensitivity analysis 2 were excluded. 250HD, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; CI, confidence interval; MO, migraine without aura; MR, Mendelian randomization; MR-PRESSO, MR-Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

infections, randomized trials did not confirm the effects on the other outcomes including cancer, cardiovascular events, and mortality (50).

For headache disorders, as early as 1994, Thys-Jacobs reported two premenopausal women with a history of menstrually-related migraines and premenstrual syndrome (51). A combination of vitamin D and elemental calcium were prescribed to treat the premenstrual syndrome. Surprisingly, the two patients showed a significant reduction in frequency and duration of migraine headaches in 2–3 months. Later in 1996, a questionnaire study on headaches showed that the prevalence of the daily headache in the general population was higher in the northern areas of Greece than in the southern areas (52). This is consistent with the finding that vitamin D deficiency might be associated with migraine risk. A review performed by Prakash et al. confirmed the relation between the prevalence of migraine with the latitude (53). A more recently study reviewed 22 observational studies (including observational studies) and eight clinical trials that focus on vitamin D and various headache disorders. Most of the studies showed a link between serum vitamin D levels and headaches. The association was more obvious in patients with migraine than in non-migraine headaches. For example, Song et al. found that up to 77.1% (121/157) of migraine patients had vitamin D deficiency (defined as <20 ng/mL) and up to 94.9% (149/157) of migraine patients had vitamin D insufficiency (defined as <30 ng/mL) (54). In addition, monthly headache was 1.203 times more frequent in patients with vitamin D deficiency than in those without deficiency. However, no association was also observed by several other studies. Zandifar et al. showed that the prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency (<20 ng/mL) and vitamin D deficiency (<10 ng/mL) were 80 and 45.7% in cases and 81.8 and 51.8% in controls, respectively (55). Similarly, although most of preliminary clinical trials showed beneficial effect, no association was also observed. Recently, a meta-analysis including six trials with 301 migraine cases showed vitamin D supplementation was associated with decreased headache attacks per month, headache days per month, and disability grade score, but not associated with attack duration or headache severity (15).

Observational studies are often biased by confounders, as well as by reverse causality sometimes. Meta-analysis with small clinical trials is possible biased by publication bias, because small trials with positive results are easier to be published than those with non-significant results. Using two-sample MR method, our study supports the causal role of serum vitamin D in migraine.

Vitamin D receptors are expressed in almost all human cells and tissues including many regions of the brain (11). Vitamin D might has specific functions in the central nervous system by affecting cellular proliferation, acting as a neuroprotective agent and a potent antioxidant, and influencing neurotransmitters (11). Although it has been suggested that vitamin D may mainly influence migraines through its anti-inflammatory effect, randomized trials failed to confirm its effect on biomarkers of systemic inflammation (56). Vitamin D insufficiency might be a consequence of inflammatory processes involved in disease occurrence and clinical course, rather than a cause (56). There were several other possible explanations for the association between vitamin D and migraine. Vitamin D insufficiency might contribute to migraine through prompting sensitization of the second and third neurons, decreasing intestinal absorption of magnesium, and increasing the expression of nitric oxide synthase (11). However, the specific mechanisms remain to be further studied.

Although long-term vitamin D supplementation with routine dosage was considered to be safe (57), there was a concern that hypercalcemia caused by vitamin D supplementation might induce migraine attack. A previous two-sample MR study showed genetically elevated serum calcium levels and hypercalcemia were associated with increased migraine risk (43). Although the unit of serum calcium was different between the study and our study, it seems that the effect in the study was more robust compared with that of our study. However, only eight SNPs were included in the study. In addition, the result was largely attributed to one single SNP (i.e., rs1801725) that associated with hypercalcemia. The effect allele of this SNP was associated with increased risk of migraine in the GWAS (23,285 cases) that the study used (OR = 1.049, p = 0.0038). However, this SNP was not associated with migraine risk in the three main GWASs that we used (ORs were 1.022, 1.012 and 0.975 respectively; p values were 0.054, 0.354 and 0.251, respectively), nor in the pooled migraine GWAS (OR = 1.013, p = 0.144). Although our analysis did found that increased serum calcium levels might be associated with increased migraine risk, the effect was mild to moderate. Our mediation analysis showed the harmful effect of vitamin D supplementation for migraine via increasing serum calcium levels is negligible compared with the beneficial effect. Nevertheless, although hypercalcemia occurs rarely and usually mildly (58), it would better to monitor serum calcium levels regularly and to avoid unnecessary high vitamin D dosage to prevent hypercalcemia. In addition, some vitamin D analogs might be promising alternatives due to the low calcemic effect (59).

Our study has several strengths. First, the genetic instruments we used had enough strength. In addition, we performed replication analysis using six genetic instruments obtained from another study. Second, we used several large outcome data sources. The results were overall consistent across different outcome datasets. Third, the results are consistent across multivariable analyses, sensitivity analyses and replication analysis. Fourth, we performed MR analyses stratified by migraine subtypes. Fifth, we performed a formal mediation analysis to assess the role of serum calcium in mediating the association between 25OHD and migraine. Last, we performed a reverse MR analysis. The reverse MR analysis found migraine might not casually affect vitamin D levels.

There were several limitations of our study. First, both the exposure data and the outcome data included samples from UK biobank. Sample overlap may bias the estimates of twosample MR study. However, recently study showed two-sample MR method can be safely used for large data from signal source such as UK biobank (29). The calculated results showed the bias due to sample overlap was negligible. In addition, the replication analysis using six genetic instruments obtained from another study with no sample overlap confirmed the association. Second, migraine is twice as common in females as in males. There were several sex-specific loci associated with migraine susceptibility in females but not in males (24). However, sex-specific MR analysis was not performed in our study because sex-specific GWAS was not available. Third, although suggestively association was found based on two separate outcome datasets, we did not found significant association based on the largest outcome dataset. This difference might be attributed to the different characteristics between the migraine samples. Fourth, the sample size for migraine with aura and migraine without aura are relatively small. However, it seems that the effect was consistent across migraine subtypes. Last, almost all of the included individuals were of European descent, which may limit the generalization of our findings for other populations.

CONCLUSION

This two-sample MR study showed genetically determined increased circulating vitamin D levels are associated with decreased migraine risk. The effect seems consistent across migraine subtypes of migraine with aura and migraine without aura. In addition, the role of serum calcium in mediating the association between vitamin D and migraine is negligible. Future large well-designed randomized trials are warranted to assess the effect of vitamin D supplementation for migraine patients, especially in those with vitamin D deficiency.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

P-PN and Y-MX contributed to conception and design of the study. XW organized the database. P-PN performed the statistical

REFERENCES

- Ferrari MD, Goadsby PJ, Burstein R, Kurth T, Ayata C, Charles A, et al. Migraine. Nat Rev Dis Primers. (2022) 8:2. doi: 10.1038/s41572-021-00328-4
- Collaborators GBDH. Global, regional, and national burden of migraine and tension-type headache, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2016. *Lancet Neurol.* (2018) 17:954– 76. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30322-3
- 3. Ashina M. Migraine. N Engl J Med. (2020) 383:1866– 76. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1915327
- Al-Hassany L, Goadsby PJ, Danser AHJ, MaassenVanDenBrink A. Calcitonin gene-related peptide-targeting drugs for migraine: how pharmacology might inform treatment decisions. *Lancet Neurol.* (2022) 21:284–94. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00409-9
- Mulder IA Li M, de Vries T, Qin T, Yanagisawa T, Sugimoto K, et al. Anti-migraine Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide Receptor Antagonists Worsen Cerebral Ischemic Outcome in Mice. *Ann Neurol.* (2020) 88:771– 84. doi: 10.1002/ana.25831
- Wang X, Chen Y, Song J, You C. Efficacy and safety of monoclonal antibody against calcitonin gene-related peptide or its receptor for migraine: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:649143. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.649143
- Adams J, Barbery G, Lui CW. Complementary and alternative medicine use for headache and migraine: a critical review of the literature. *Headache*. (2013) 53:459–73. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.2012.02271.x
- Zhang Y, Dennis JA, Leach MJ, Bishop FL, Cramer H, Chung VCH, et al. Complementary and alternative medicine use among us adults with headache or migraine: results from the 2012 national health interview survey. *Headache*. (2017) 57:1228–42. doi: 10.1111/head.13148
- Kolahdouz-Mohammadi R, Malekahmadi M, Clayton ZS, Sadat SZ, Pahlavani N, Sikaroudi MK, et al. Effect of egg consumption on blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* (2020) 22:24. doi: 10.1007/s11906-020-1029-5
- Ghorbani Z, Togha M, Rafiee P, Ahmadi ZS, Rasekh Magham R, Haghighi S, et al. Vitamin D in migraine headache: a comprehensive review on literature. *Neurol Sci.* (2019) 40:2459–77. doi: 10.1007/s10072-019-04021-z
- Nowaczewska M, Wicinski M, Osinski S, Kazmierczak H. The Role of Vitamin D in Primary Headache-from Potential Mechanism to Treatment. Nutrients. (2020) 12:243. doi: 10.3390/nu120 10243
- Liampas I, Siokas V, Brotis A, Dardiotis E. Vitamin D serum levels in patients with migraine: a meta-analysis. *Rev Neurol (Paris)*. (2020) 176:560– 70. doi: 10.1016/j.neurol.2019.12.008

analysis. P-PN and XW wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We want to acknowledge the International Headache Genetics Consortium for providing summary-data on migraine. We want to acknowledge the participants and investigators of the FinnGen study, the Genetic Epidemiology Research in Adult Health and Aging cohort, and the UK Biobank. We also want to acknowledge the participants and investigators of all other studies.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2022. 907789/full#supplementary-material

- Bekkelund SI, Muller KI, Wilhelmsen A, Alstadhaug KB. Photophobia and seasonal variation of migraine in a subarctic population. *Headache*. (2017) 57:1206–16. doi: 10.1111/head.13131
- Dell'Isola GB, Tulli E, Sica R, Vinti V, Mencaroni E, Di Cara G, et al. The Vitamin D Role in Preventing Primary Headache in Adult and Pediatric Population. J Clin Med. (2021) 10:5983. doi: 10.3390/jcm10245983
- Hu C, Fan Y, Wu S, Zou Y, Qu X. Vitamin D supplementation for the treatment of migraine: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *Am J Emerg Med.* (2021) 50:784–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2021.07.062
- Buettner C, Nir RR, Bertisch SM, Bernstein C, Schain A, Mittleman MA, et al. Simvastatin and vitamin D for migraine prevention: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Neurol.* (2015) 78:970–81. doi: 10.1002/ana.24534
- Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JA, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Stat Med.* (2008) 27:1133–63. doi: 10.1002/sim.3034
- Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife*. (2018) 7:34408. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34408
- Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Yarmolinsky J, Davies NM, Swanson SA, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomization: the STROBE-MR statement. *JAMA*. (2021) 326:1614–21. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.18236
- Revez JA, Lin T, Qiao Z, Xue A, Holtz Y, Zhu Z, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 143 loci associated with 25 hydroxyvitamin D concentration. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:1647. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15421-7
- Jiang X, O'Reilly PF, Aschard H, Hsu YH, Richards JB, Dupuis J, et al. Genome-wide association study in 79,366 European-ancestry individuals informs the genetic architecture of 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:260. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02662-2
- Hautakangas H, Winsvold BS, Ruotsalainen SE, Bjornsdottir G, Harder AVE, Kogelman LJA, et al. Genome-wide analysis of 102,084 migraine cases identifies 123 risk loci and subtype-specific risk alleles. *Nat Genet.* (2022) 54:152–60. doi: 10.1038/s41588-021-00990-0
- Gormley P, Anttila V, Winsvold BS, Palta P, Esko T, Pers TH, et al. Metaanalysis of 375,000 individuals identifies 38 susceptibility loci for migraine. *Nat Genet.* (2016) 48:856–66. doi: 10.1038/ng.3598
- Choquet H, Yin J, Jacobson AS, Horton BH, Hoffmann TJ, Jorgenson E, et al. New and sex-specific migraine susceptibility loci identified from a multiethnic genome-wide meta-analysis. *Commun Biol.* (2021) 4:864. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-02356-y
- Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature*. (2018) 562:203–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z

- Fry D, Almond R, Moffat S, Gordon M, Singh PB. UK Biobank Biomarker Project: Companion Document to Accompany Serum Biomarker Data. UK Biobank Document Showcase (2019). Available online at: https://biobank. ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/showcase/docs/serum_biochemistry.pdf. (accessed
- May 10, 2022).
 27. Park JH, Wacholder S, Gail MH, Peters U, Jacobs KB, Chanock SJ, et al. Estimation of effect size distribution from genome-wide association studies and implications for future discoveries. *Nat Genet.* (2010) 42:570–5. doi: 10.1038/ng.610
- Krokstad S, Langhammer A, Hveem K, Holmen TL, Midthjell K, Stene TR, et al. Cohort profile: the HUNT study, Norway. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2013) 42:968–77. doi: 10.1093/ije/dys095
- Minelli C, Del Greco MF, van der Plaat DA, Bowden J, Sheehan NA, Thompson J. The use of two-sample methods for mendelian randomization analyses on single large datasets. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2021) 50:1651– 9. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyab084
- Burgess S, Davies NM, Thompson SG. Bias due to participant overlap in two-sample Mendelian randomization. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2016) 40:597– 608. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21998
- Kvale MN, Hesselson S, Hoffmann TJ, Cao Y, Chan D, Connell S, et al. Genotyping informatics and quality control for 100,000 subjects in the genetic epidemiology research on adult health and aging (GERA) cohort. *Genetics*. (2015) 200:1051–60. doi: 10.1534/genetics.115.178905
- FinnGen. Documentation of R6 release. Available online at: https://finngen. gitbook.io/documentation/ (accessed February 15, 2022).
- Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44:512–25. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080
- Burgess S, Davey Smith G, Davies NM, Dudbridge F, Gill D, Glymour MM, et al. Guidelines for performing Mendelian randomization investigations. *Wellcome Open Res.* (2019) 4:186. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres. 15555.2
- 35. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7
- Willer CJ Li Y, Abecasis GR, METAL. fast and efficient metaanalysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics*. (2010) 26:2190–1. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq340
- Pistis G, Milaneschi Y, Vandeleur CL, Lasserre AM, Penninx B, Lamers F, et al. Obesity and atypical depression symptoms: findings from Mendelian randomization in two European cohorts. *Transl Psychiatry*. (2021) 11:96. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01236-7
- Howell AE, Robinson JW, Wootton RE, McAleenan A, Tsavachidis S, Ostrom QT, et al. Testing for causality between systematically identified risk factors and glioma: a Mendelian randomization study. *BMC Cancer*. (2020) 20:508. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-06967-2
- Hemani G, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. *PLoS Genet.* (2017) 13:e1007081. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007081
- Yuan S, Daghlas I, Larsson SC. Alcohol, coffee consumption, and smoking in relation to migraine: a bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. *Pain.* (2022) 163:e342–8. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.00000000002360
- Guo Y, Rist PM, Daghlas I, Giulianini F, International Headache Genetics C. andMe Research T, et al. A genome-wide cross-phenotype meta-analysis of the association of blood pressure with migraine. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:3368. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17002-0
- Daghlas I, Vgontzas A, Guo Y, Chasman DI, International Headache Genetics C, Saxena R. Habitual sleep disturbances and migraine: a Mendelian randomization study. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol.* (2020) 7:2370– 80. doi: 10.1002/acn3.51228
- Yin P, Anttila V, Siewert KM, Palotie A, Davey Smith G, Voight BF. Serum calcium and risk of migraine: a Mendelian randomization study. *Hum Mol Genet.* (2017) 26:820–8. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddw416
- 44. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F, et al. Association studies of up to 1. 2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nat Genet.* (2019) 51:237– 44. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0307-5

- 45. Evangelou E, Warren HR, Mosen-Ansorena D, Mifsud B, Pazoki R, Gao H, et al. Genetic analysis of over 1 million people identifies 535 new loci associated with blood pressure traits. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:1412–25. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0205-x
- Lane JM, Jones SE, Dashti HS, Wood AR, Aragam KG, van Hees VT, et al. Biological and clinical insights from genetics of insomnia symptoms. *Nat Genet.* (2019) 51:387–93. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-0361-7
- Yengo L, Sidorenko J, Kemper KE, Zheng Z, Wood AR, Weedon MN, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for height and body mass index in approximately 7,00,000 individuals of European ancestry. *Hum Mol Genet.* (2018) 27:3641–9. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddy271
- Carter AR, Sanderson E, Hammerton G, Richmond RC, Davey Smith G, Heron J, et al. Mendelian randomisation for mediation analysis: current methods and challenges for implementation. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2021) 36:465– 78. doi: 10.1007/s10654-021-00757-1
- Sinnott-Armstrong N, Tanigawa Y, Amar D, Mars N, Benner C, Aguirre M, et al. Genetics of 35 blood and urine biomarkers in the UK Biobank. *Nat Genet.* (2021) 53:185–94. doi: 10.1038/s41588-020-00757-z
- Pilz S, Trummer C, Theiler-Schwetz V, Grubler MR, Verheyen ND, Odler B, et al. Critical Appraisal of Large Vitamin D Randomized Controlled Trials. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:20303. doi: 10.3390/nu140 20303
- 51. Thys-Jacobs S. Vitamin D and calcium in menstrual migraine. *Headache*. (1994) 34:544–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.1994.hed3409544.x
- Mitsikostas DD, Tsaklakidou D, Athanasiadis N, Thomas A. The prevalence of headache in Greece: correlations to latitude and climatological factors. *Headache*. (1996) 36:168–73. doi: 10.1046/j.1526-4610.1996.3603168.x
- Prakash S, Mehta NC, Dabhi AS, Lakhani O, Khilari M, Shah ND. The prevalence of headache may be related with the latitude: a possible role of Vitamin D insufficiency? *J Headache Pain.* (2010) 11:301– 7. doi: 10.1007/s10194-010-0223-2
- Song TJ, Chu MK, Sohn JH, Ahn HY, Lee SH, Cho SJ. Effect of Vitamin D deficiency on the frequency of headaches in Migraine. *J Clin Neurol.* (2018) 14:366–73. doi: 10.3988/jcn.2018.14.3.366
- Zandifar A, Masjedi SS, Banihashemi M, Asgari F, Manouchehri N, Ebrahimi H, et al. Vitamin D status in migraine patients: a case-control study. *Biomed Res Int.* (2014) 2014:514782. doi: 10.1155/2014/514782
- Autier P, Mullie P, Macacu A, Dragomir M, Boniol M, Coppens K, et al. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on non-skeletal disorders: a systematic review of meta-analyses and randomised trials. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2017) 5:986–1004. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30357-1
- Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med. (2007) 357:266– 81. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra070553
- Billington EO, Burt LA, Rose MS, Davison EM, Gaudet S, Kan M, et al. Safety of high-dose Vitamin D supplementation: secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2020) 105:212. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgz212
- Maestro MA, Molnar F, Carlberg C. Vitamin D and its synthetic analogs. J Med Chem. (2019) 62:6854–75. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b00208

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Niu, Wang and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.