



Corrigendum: Priority Micronutrient Density in Foods

Ty Beal^{1,2*} and Flaminia Ortenzi³

¹ Knowledge Leadership, Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition, Washington, DC, United States, ² Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, United States, ³ Knowledge Leadership, Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition, Geneva, Switzerland

Keywords: nutrient density, micronutrient deficiencies, animal-source foods, organs, shellfish, fish, dark green leafy vegetables, ruminant meat

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Donato Angelino,
University of Teramo, Italy

*Correspondence:

Ty Beal
tbeal@gainhealth.org

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Nutritional Epidemiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Nutrition

Received: 30 March 2022

Accepted: 05 April 2022

Published: 25 April 2022

Citation:

Beal T and Ortenzi F (2022)
Corrigendum: Priority Micronutrient
Density in Foods.
Front. Nutr. 9:908592.
doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.908592

A Corrigendum on

Priority Micronutrient Density in Foods

by Beal, T., and Ortenzi, F. (2022). *Front. Nutr.* 9:806566. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.806566

In the original article, there was an error in **Table 1** as published. The values for Zinc in the row “Adults 25+” are incorrect. Column R was written as “9.4” when it should be “8.5”. Column SR was “11.7” when it should be “10.5”. Column SU was “14.0” when it should be “12.5” and Column U was “16.3” when it should be “14.5.” The corrected **Table 1** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Beal and Ortenzi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 1 | Recommended nutrient intakes for select groups.

Group	AER (kcal)	Vit A (mcg RAE)	Folate (mcg DFE)	Vit B ₁₂ (mcg)	Calcium (mg)	Iron (mg) ¹			Zinc (mg) ²			
						20%	15%	10%	R	SR	SU	U
Children 2–4	1246	230	128	1.0	590	7.4	9.8	14.8	3.2	3.9	4.7	5.5
Adolescents 10–19	2296	632	292	2.2	1085	9.9	13.2	19.8	8.3	9.9	11.4	13.0
Women 15–49	2305	637	325	2.4	977	15.9	21.2	31.8	8.0	9.6	11.1	12.6
Pregnant women 15–49	2583	700	600	2.6	977	24.3	32.4	48.6	9.1	10.9	12.6	14.3
Adults 25+ ³	2227	694	328	2.4	950	7.0	10.0	14.0	8.5	10.5	12.5	14.5

Average energy requirements for a moderately active individual and recommended intakes for vitamin A, folate, calcium and zinc from the European Food Safety Authority (18). Recommended intakes for iron and vitamin B₁₂ from the Institute of Medicine (19). ¹Percentages represent different levels of bioavailability that correspond with the possible classifications of each food in the analysis. ²Assuming 300 mg phytate/day and 44% absorption for refined (R) diets, 600 mg phytate/day and 35% absorption for semi-refined (SR) diets, 900 mg phytate/day and 30% absorption for semi-unrefined (SU) diets, and 1200 mg phytate/day and 26% absorption for unrefined (U) diets. ³Includes both men and women. AER, Average Energy Requirement; DFE, dietary folate equivalent; R, refined; RAE, retinol activity equivalent; SR, semi-refined; SU, semi-unrefined; U, unrefined; Vit, vitamin.