#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Rosaura Leis, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain

#### REVIEWED BY Antonia Recabal, University of Concepcion, Chile Christopher Olson, Midwestern University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Cristina Campoy ccampoy@ugr.es

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nutrition, Psychology and Brain Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 04 May 2022 ACCEPTED 20 September 2022 PUBLISHED 12 October 2022

#### CITATION

Diéguez E, Nieto-Ruiz A, Martín-Pérez C, Sepúlveda-Valbuena N, Herrmann F, Jiménez J, De-Castellar R, Catena A, García-Santos JA, Bermúdez MG and Campoy C (2022) Association study between hypothalamic functional connectivity, early nutrition, and glucose levels in healthy children aged 6 years: The COGNIS study follow-up. *Front. Nutr.* 9:935740. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.935740

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Diéguez, Nieto-Ruiz, Martín-Pérez, Sepúlveda-Valbuena, Herrmann, Jiménez, De-Castellar, Catena, García-Santos, Bermúdez and Campoy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Association study between hypothalamic functional connectivity, early nutrition, and glucose levels in healthy children aged 6 years: The COGNIS study follow-up

Estefanía Diéguez<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Ana Nieto-Ruiz<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Cristina Martín-Pérez<sup>4</sup>, Natalia Sepúlveda-Valbuena<sup>5</sup>, Florian Herrmann<sup>1,3</sup>, Jesús Jiménez<sup>6</sup>, Roser De-Castellar<sup>6</sup>, Andrés Catena<sup>7</sup>, José Antonio García-Santos<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Mercedes G. Bermúdez<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Cristina Campoy<sup>1,2,3,8\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Paediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Granada, Granada, Spain, <sup>2</sup>Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria (ibs.GRANADA), Health Sciences Technological Park, Granada, Spain, <sup>3</sup>EURISTIKOS Excellence Centre for Paediatric Research, Biomedical Research Centre, University of Granada, Granada, Spain, <sup>4</sup>Psychology Department, Faculty of Education, University of Valladolid, Segovia, Spain, <sup>5</sup>Nutrition and Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Sciences, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia, <sup>6</sup>Ordesa Laboratories, S.L., Sant Boi de Llobregat, Spain, <sup>7</sup>Department of Experimental Psychology, School of Psychology, University of Granada, Granada, Spain, <sup>8</sup>National Network of Research in Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Institute of Health Carlos III (Granada's Node), Madrid, Spain

Breastfeeding (BF) is the gold standard in infant nutrition; knowing how it influences brain connectivity would help understand the mechanisms involved, which would help close the nutritional gap between infant formulas and breast milk. We analyzed potential long-term differences depending on the diet with an experimental infant formula (EF), compared to a standard infant formula (SF) or breastfeeding (BF) during the first 18 months of life on children's hypothalamic functional connectivity (FC) assessed at 6 years old. A total of 62 children participating in the COGNIS randomized clinical trial (Clinical Trial Registration: www.ClinicalTrials.gov, identifier: NCT02094547) were included in this study. They were randomized to receive an SF (n = 22) or a bioactive nutrient-enriched EF (n = 20). BF children were also included as a control study group (BF: n = 20). Brain function was evaluated using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and mean glucose levels were collected through a 24-h continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) device at 6 years old. Furthermore, nutrient intake was also analyzed during the first 18 months of life and at 6 years old through 3-day dietary intake records. Groups fed with EF and BF showed lower FC between the medial hypothalamus (MH) and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in comparison with SF-fed children. Moreover, the BF children group showed lower FC between the MH and the left putamen extending to the middle insula, and higher FC between the MH and the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) compared to the EF-fed children group. These areas are key regions within the salience network, which is involved in processing salience stimuli, eating motivation, and hedonic-driven desire to consume food. Indeed, current higher connectivity found on the MH-IFG network in the BF group was associated with lower simple sugars acceptable macronutrient distribution ranges (AMDRs) at 6 months of age. Regarding linoleic acid intake at 12 months old, a negative association with this network (MH-IFG) only in the BF group was found. In addition, BF children showed lower mean glucose levels compared to SF-fed children at 6 years old. Our results may point out a possible relationship between diet during the first 18 months of life and inclined proclivity for hedonic eating later in life.

Clinical trial registration: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/, identifier NCT02094547.

KEYWORDS

neuroimaging, hypothalamus, mean glucose levels, milk fat globule membrane (MFGM), long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFAs), synbiotics, eating behavior

# Introduction

The first 1,000 days of life, from conception to 2 years of age, are crucial in brain development, and nutrition plays a key role. In fact, nutrition influences brain development not only after birth but also during the prenatal period as well (1). Thus, infancy is a critical window of opportunity and constitutes the period of greater plasticity throughout human brain development (2). Several environmental and genetic factors, not all of them easy to control, influence brain development; however, among them, nutrition is easily amendable (3, 4). Indeed, nutrition during early life is an important and modifiable factor responsible for shaping cognitive outcomes later in life. Although all nutrients are important for structural and functional brain development, energy, carbohydrates (CHs), proteins, and lipids are of particular importance (4, 5). Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFAs) have been identified as essential for neurogenesis and synaptogenesis, affecting both prenatal and postnatal brain development (6–8).

It is known that there are notable differences in neurodevelopment and cognitive function between breastfed and formula-fed infants. Breast milk is the gold standard for infant feeding due to its composition in bioactive nutrients, and it is associated with short- and long-term health benefits (9, 10), as well as an optimal brain development (4, 11, 12). However, BF may not always be possible or suitable. Current infant formulas are being supplemented with bioactive ingredients to closely mimic the nutritional composition of breast milk and to obtain similar potential beneficial effects on infant growth and cognitive development (13). Several studies reported that supplementation of infant formulas with LC-PUFAs, such as arachidonic acid (ARA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (10), specific oligosaccharides (14), and probiotics and prebiotics (15, 16), determines beneficial effects regarding neurodevelopment and cognitive function (4, 13, 17). In contrast, research efforts have shown

that infant formulas supplemented with milk-fat globule membrane (MFGM) have positive results in neurological development as well (18, 19). Despite the studies mentioned above, there is still scarce evidence about how early nutrition affects cognitive function. Thus, in this study, we focused on a possible relationship between early diet and brain connectivity.

Increasing evidence suggests that the central nervous system plays a key role in glucose regulation and consequent development of metabolic disorders when altered, including type 2 diabetes (T2D) and obesity (20-23). Neuroimaging studies with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) have shown evidence in favor of brain involvement in glucose metabolism and regulation and how glucose dysregulation could affect brain functionality (24). The hypothalamus is one of the most important brain regions involved in the central control of feeding and energy expenditure (25). Indeed, it includes hedonic control of appetite by cortical and subcortical brain areas, processing external sensory information and reward (26). It is constituted by various nuclei [ventromedial nucleus of the hypothalamus (VMN), dorsomedial hypothalamic nucleus (DMH), lateral hypothalamus (LH), arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus (ARC), and paraventricular nucleus (PVN)] containing specific glucose-sensing neurons that contribute to the regulation of glucose metabolism (27). The VMN contains many neural populations with different functions, such as promoting glucose utilization, restraining hepatic glucose production during normal blood glucose levels, and stimulating peripheral glucose uptake (28, 29). The DMH is implicated in the regulation of energy homeostasis, playing an important role in food intake and body weight (30). The LH has an essential role in the control of feeding behavior and metabolism, and it is also important in reward and reinforcement processes (31, 32). Finally, the ARC regulates energy intake, glucose metabolism, and energy expenditure (25).

The primary objective of this study was to analyze potential long-term differences depending on the diet with an

10.3389/fnut.2022.935740

experimental infant formula (EF), compared to a standard infant formula (SF) or breastfeeding (BF) during the first 18 months of life on children's hypothalamic network functional connectivity (FC) assessed at 6 years old. The secondary objective of this study was to study a potential association between nutrient intakes at 6, 12, and 18 months, and FC of the hypothalamus, including a possible correlation between mean glucose levels and hypothalamic FC in children at 6 years old.

# Materials and methods

# Ethics, informed consent, and permissions

The COGNIS study has been performed by following the updated Declaration of Helsinki II Principles (33, 34). Both projects and protocols were approved by the Research Bioethical Committee from the University of Granada (Spain), as well as the Bioethical Committees for Clinical Research from San Cecilio University Clinical and University Mother-Infant Hospitals of Granada (Spain). All families were informed about the procedures and each parent or legal guardian signed a written informed consent before involving their child in the study.

### Study design and subjects

The COGNIS study is a prospective, double-blind randomized clinical trial with a nutritional intervention (Clinical Trial Registration: www.ClinicalTrials.gov, identifier: NCT02094547). Detailed information about this study, including subject recruitment, design, and population characteristics, has been described elsewhere (35-37). Concisely, a total of 220 healthy Spanish infants were enrolled in the COGNIS study; of them, 170 were randomized (ratio of 1:1) to receive, during their first 18 months of life, either an SF (n =85) or an EF (n = 85) enriched with milk-fat globule membrane (MFGM) components [10% of total protein content (wt:wt)], LC-PUFAs (DHA and ARA), synbiotics (fructooligosaccharides: inulin proportion of 1:1; Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis CECT7210 (Bifidobacterium infantis IM1) and Lactobacillus rhamnosus LCS-742], gangliosides, nucleotides, and sialic acid. The detailed nutritional composition of SF and EF can be found in Supplementary Table 2, and it has been described elsewhere (36, 38). In addition, 50 exclusively breastfed infants were included as a control group (BF: n = 50).

In addition, parents' baseline information was obtained at study entry, including age, preconceptional maternal body mass index (pBMI), gestational weight gain (GWG), smoking during pregnancy, educational level, place of residence, as well as employment and socioeconomic status. Postpartum depression was assessed with the Spanish version of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (39), and parents' intelligence quotient (IQ) was evaluated using the G factor of the Cattell Intelligence test (40, 41).

Dietary intake was evaluated from 6 to 18 months of age and at 6 years old through 3-day dietary intake records. After drop-outs, 110 (SF: 39; EF: 39; BF: 32) children attended a follow-up visit at 6 years old. Participants were asked to participate in an fMRI session, and mean glucose data were also collected for an average of 7 days by using a 24-h continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) device. It is worth noting that all children were healthy and within the normal range for brain function and glucose levels. Nonetheless, not all parents attending the follow-up visit wanted their children to participate in the fMRI session or wear the 24-h CGM device, and not all images from the fMRI session were suitable for analysis due to excessive movement inside the scanner (42). Thus, the current analysis included 62 children at 6 years old (SF: n = 22; EF: n = 20; BF: n = 20). A detailed participant flowchart from the baseline visit to 6 years old is shown in Figure 1.

# Functional resting-state magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) procedure

#### Imaging data acquisition

Prior to the neuroimaging session, children were familiarized with the scanner's sounds and fMRI environment using a mock fMRI scanner. Brain data were acquired using a 3T magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanner equipped with a 32-channel phased-array head coil for reception (Magnetom Trio Siemens Medical System, Erlangen, Germany), located at the Mind, Brain, and Behavior Research Center (CIMCYC, University of Granada, Spain).

Functional resting-state imaging was obtained with a T2\*-weighted echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence using the following parameters: repetition time (TR) = 2,000 ms, echo time (TE) = 25 ms, flip angle =  $80^{\circ}$ , field of view (FOV) = 240 × 240 mm, matrix size =  $68 \times 68$ , number of slices = 35, and voxel size =  $3.5 \times 3.5 \times 3.5$  mm. We acquired 160 volumes for a total acquisition time of 5 min 20 s. Participants were instructed to keep calm and close their eyes during acquisition. A high-resolution T1-weighted anatomical image for each subject with 160 slices (TR = 8.3 ms; TE = 3.8 ms; flip angle =  $8^{\circ}$ ; FOV =  $240 \times 240 \text{ mm}$ ; in-plane resolution =  $0.94 \times 0.94 \times 1$ ; slice thickness = 1 mm) was also acquired to discard gross radiological alterations and for preprocessing purposes.

#### Preprocessing of functional imaging data

Functional images were preprocessed using the CONNv17 FC toolbox (43), implemented in MatlabR2017a (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA). The



the BF group, one infant was excluded, because he was not exclusively breastfed beyond the 2 months of age, when he started to take only infant formula. In the follow-up visits, drop-outs were due to participants' parents deciding to withdraw from the study. After drop-outs, \*110 children (SF: 39; EF: 32) attended the follow-up visit at 6 years old. Nonetheless, not all parents attending to the follow-up visit wanted their children to participate in the fMRI session or wear the 24-h continuous glucose monitoring device. Moreover, according to methodology previously described (42), some fMRI data were eliminated because image quality was not adequate due to excessive movement inside the scanner (SF: 15; EF: 19; BF: 4). In addition, mean glucose data were collected with a continuous glucose monitoring device for an average of 7 days. Those glucose data registered for <3 days were not included in final analysis (SF: 5; EF: 8; BF: 4). Finally, 62 children were included at 6 years old in the current analysis (SF: 22; EF: 20; BF: 20).

preprocessing pipeline included realignment, denoising of motion artifacts, and head motion (aCompCor (44), segmentation, coregistration to each participant's anatomical scan, normalization to an age-specific T1 template for pediatric studies (45), re-sliced to a 2-mm isotropic resolution in MNI space, and smoothing using a Gaussian kernel of 6 mm full-width at half-maximum [FWHM]). Additional steps after denoising included band-pass filtering of the BOLD time series (between 0.008 and 0.09 Hz) and linear detrending. After the motion artifacts and head motion detection, 34 children were excluded for excessive motion (which is to say, those with <4 min of data were excluded) (42).

## **Dietary intake**

Three-day dietary intake records were used to collect information about participants' dietary intake from 6 to 18 months of age and at 6 years old, based on the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) methods (46). In addition, the DIAL software (Alce Ingeniería, Madrid, Spain) (47) was used to convert food consumption data into macro- and micronutrient intakes. Nutrient intake was analyzed according to the dietary reference intakes (DRIs) (48), a set of reference values used to assess nutrient intake, to know whether the dietary intake is deficient (below recommendation), adequate (met recommendation), or excessive (exceeded recommendation), taking into account age (refer to Supplementary Methodology). Acceptable macronutrient distribution ranges (AMDRs) (48) were also calculated and classified as deficient (below recommendation), adequate (met recommendation), or excessive (exceeded recommendation) according to age (refer to Supplementary Methodology). AMDR stands for the energy that each macronutrient (CHs, proteins, or lipids) supplies to the total energy intake per day expressed as percentages.

## Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM)

To evaluate glucose homeostasis, a 24-h CGM was performed in children aged 6 years for an average of 7 days. Glucose levels were measured with the FreeStyle Glucose FlashMonitoring System (49) (http://www.freestylelibre.es; Reference 0086, Abbott Laboratories), which measures glucose levels in the interstitial fluid of subjects aged 4 years or older. Parents were instructed on how to use the device by trained personnel, and they told to scan the sensor before and after every meal and again 2 h after eating. Glucose data were downloaded using the FreeStyle LibreLink software (version 2.4.1; Abbott Laboratories) and, subsequently, analyzed to obtain mean glucose levels for each child every 24 h for at least 1 week.

#### Statistical analysis

General statistical analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS Statistics<sup>®</sup> program, version 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Normally distributed variables were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD), and non-normal variables were presented as median and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables are displayed as frequencies and percentages. The ANOVA test for normally distributed variables, the Kruskal–Wallis test for non-normal continuous variables, and the chi-square or Fisher's test for categorical variables were performed. Bonferroni-corrected *post-hoc* 

comparisons were used to identify significant pair-wise group differences (corrected p-values < 0.05).

Hypothalamic seed-based functional connectivity analyses. As previously reported (50), respective seeds of interest were placed in the LH (x = +6, y = -10, z = -10) and the MH (x = +4, y = -2, z = -12), using 2-mm radius spheres distinguished per hemisphere. The MH included the ARC and VMN and parts of the DMH. In contrast, the LH seed was in the most posterior part of the hypothalamus to minimize overlap with the MH. First-level t-test maps were estimated for each of the LH and MH seeds by including its mean activity time courses [extracted using marsbar toolbox (51)] together with nuisance signals as predictors of interest and no interest in whole-brain linear regression analyses. Then, the left and right sides of each seed (LH and MH) were joined in a unique seed per region. Contrast images were generated for each subject by estimating the regression coefficient between all brain voxels and each seed's time series. Then, the contrast images were included in separate second-level t-test models to analyze study group differences together with variables of no interest, such as smoking during pregnancy and the type of delivery, and the sex and body mass index (BMI) of the children.

**Imaging thresholding criteria.** Minimum thresholds for the imaging analyses were calculated for all statistical comparisons by 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations using the cluster-extent-based AlphaSim thresholding approach (52) as implemented in the SPM RESTplusV1.2 toolbox. We included as input parameters an individual voxel threshold probability of 0.001, a cluster connection radius of 5 mm, and the actual smoothness of imaging data after model estimation, incorporating a wholebrain image mask.

**Correlation analyses.** Finally, partial correlations were carried out in order to explore the potential associations between brain connectivity and mean glucose levels measured at 6 years old, as well as between brain connectivity at 6 years of age and nutrient intake analyzed at 6, 12, and 18 months of age. These correlations were adjusted by the following confounder variables involved in brain development, including sex, maternal age, smoking during pregnancy, and socioeconomic status. All these variables have been named important factors regarding brain development in children (53–55). *P*-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The JASP software was used to carry out the aforementioned correlation analyses.

# Results

# Characteristics of the COGNIS study participants at 6 years old

The background and baseline characteristics of parents and children participating in this study are shown in Table 1. Differences were found in variables analyzed between the three

		SF(n = 22)	EF(n = 20)	BF $(n = 20)$	p <sup>2</sup>
Mother					
Age (years)		$30.77\pm 6.99^{a,b}$	$30.40\pm3.90^a$	$33.45 \pm \mathbf{3.75^b}$	0.043
pBMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		$23.98 \pm 4.01$	$24.48 \pm 3.29$	$24.48 \pm 3.26$	0.871
GWG (kg)		7.00 (7.50)	5.00 (6.25)	5.50 (4.75)	0.994
Type of delivery	Vaginal	16.00 (72.70%)	13.00 (65.00%)	15.00 (75.00%)	0.765
Type of delivery	Cesarean section	6.00 (27.30%)	7.00 (35.00%)	5.00 (25.00%)	
IQ (points)		$105.23 \pm 13.02$	$101.05 \pm 16.40$	$108.55 \pm 13.82$	0.266
Educational level	NS/primary	2.00 (9.10%)	5.00 (25.00%)	1.00 (5.00%)	0.073
	Secondary	7.00 (31.80%)	5.00 (25.00%)	1.00 (5.00%)	
	VT	6.00 (27.30%)	7.00 (35.00%)	8.00 (40.00%)	
	University/PhD	7.00 (31.80%)	3.00 (15.00%)	10.00 (50.00%)	
Smoking during pregnancy	No	19.00 (86.40%)	18.00 (90.00%)	19.00 (95.00%)	0.864
0 01 0 7	Yes	3.00 (13.60%)	2.00 (10.00%)	1.00 (5.00%)	
Postpartum depression	No	16.00 (72.70%)	17.00 (85.00%)	17.00 (85.00%)	0.557
A A	Yes	6.00 (27.30%)	3.00 (15.00%)	3.00 (15.00%)	
Employment status	Unemployed	5.00 (22.70%)	2.00 (10.00%)	3.00 (15.00%)	0.851
1 /	Domestic work	1.00 (4.50%)	2.00 (10.00%)	1.00 (5.00%)	
	ТС	1.00 (4.50%)	3.00 (15.00%)	2.00 (10.00%)	
	SE	15.00 (68.20%)	13.00 (65.00%)	14.00 (70.00%)	
Siblings	0	5.00 (22.70%)	3.00 (15.00%)	3.00 (15.00%)	0.770
0	$\geq 1$	17.00 (77.30%)	17.00 (85.00%)	17.00 (85.00%)	
Father					
Age (years)		$31.50\pm7.25^{a,b}$	$32.06 \pm 4.63^{\text{a}}$	$35.56\pm3.05^{\rm b}$	0.014
IQ (points)		$108.86 \pm 12.94$	$107.50 \pm 14.91$	$106.78 \pm 12.41$	0.882
Educational level	NS/primary	4.00 (18.20%)	7.00 (35.00%)	4.00 (20.00%)	0.197
	Secondary	10.00 (45.50%)	6.00 (30.00%)	5.00 (25.00%)	
	VT	3.00 (13.60%)	6.00 (30.00%)	4.00 (20.00%)	
	University/PhD	5.00 (22.70%)	1.00 (5.00%)	7.00 (35.00%)	
Employment status	Unemployed	4.00 (18.20%)	0 (0.0%)	1.00 (5.00%)	0.279
Employment status	Domestic work	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.279
	TC	3.00 (13.60%)	1.00 (5.60%)	2.00 (10.00%)	
	SE	15.00 (68.20%)	17.00 (94.40%)	17.00 (85.00%)	
Parents		15.00 (00.2070)	17.00 (74.4070)	17.00 (03.0070)	
Socioeconomic status	Low	5.00 (22.70%)	3.00 (15.00%)	0 (0.0%)	0.009
	Middle-low	10.00 (45.50%)	9.00 (45.00%)	5.00 (25.00%)	0.009
	Middle-high	6.00 (27.30%)	8.00 (40.00%)	8.00 (40.00%)	
	High	$1.00 (4.50\%)^{a}$	$0 (0.0\%)^{a}$	7.00 (35.00%) <sup>b</sup>	
Place of residence	Urban	11.00 (50.00%)	6.00 (30.00%)	3.00 (15.00%)	0.051
	Rural	11.00 (50.00%)	14.00 (70.00%)	17.00 (85.00%)	0.051
Neonate	Kulai	11.00 (30.0070)	14.00 (70.0070)	17.00 (85.0070)	
		40.00 (2.00)	40.00 (2.00)	30.00 (2.00)	0.593
Gestational age (weeks)		40.00(2.00)	40.00(2.00) 17 50 (28 00) <sup>a</sup>	39.00 (3.00) 480.00 (270.00) <sup>b</sup>	
Breastfeeding (days) Sex of the child	Boy	11.50 (35.25) <sup>a</sup>	$17.50 (28.00)^{a}$		<0.00
	Boy	15.00 (68.20%)	13.00 (65.00%)	10.00 (50.00%)	0.442
Children at 6 years ald	Girl	7.00 (31.80%)	7.00 (35.00%)	10.00 (50.00%)	
Children at 6 years old		15.02 (1.62)	16 26 (2 26)	15 70 (2.40)	0.010
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		15.92 (1.63)	16.26 (2.36)	15.70 (2.40)	0.819
HC (cm)		$51.28 \pm 1.62$	$51.74 \pm 1.90$	$51.48\pm0.91$	0.716

TABLE 1 Children's and parents' baseline characteristics at 6 years old depending on their type of diet during the first 18 months of life<sup>1</sup>.

(Continued)

		SF(n = 22)	EF(n = 20)	BF $(n = 20)$	p <sup>2</sup>
Mean glucose (mg/dl)		101.24 (15.34) <sup>a</sup>	95.73 (15.82) <sup>a,b</sup>	91.16 (13.17) <sup>b</sup>	0.027
Macronutrients' intake	Energy (kcal/day)	$1741.91 \pm 112.46$	$1811.19 \pm 193.94$	$1711.48 \pm 108.47$	0.100
(g/day)					
	Total carbohydrates	177.00 (36.00)	191.50 (48.00)	173.50 (34.50)	0.522
	Simple sugars	$91.70 \pm 14.61$	$81.63 \pm 17.62$	$92.28\pm16.19$	0.086
	Total lipids	$74.63\pm20.97$	$76.38 \pm 16.33$	$72.26\pm9.71$	0.638
	Linoleic acid	5.80 (4.00)	6.60 (5.00)	6.95 (4.20)	0.758
	Total proteins	61.50 (23.15)	68.80 (18.70)	64.90 (13.02)	0.442

#### TABLE 1 (Continued)

<sup>1</sup>Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  stardard deviation (SD) for parametrically distributed data, n (%) for categorical data, and median (interquartile ranges) for non parametrically distributed data. <sup>2</sup>P-values for overall differences between COGNIS groups. ANOVA for normally distributed variables, Kruskal–Wallis test for non-normal continuous variables, and chi-square or Fisher's test for categorical variables. Values not sharing the same suffix (ab) were significantly different in the Bonferroni *post-hoc* test. P-values of <0.05 are highlighted in bold. BF, breastfed infants; BMI, body mass index; EF, experimental infant formula; GWG, gestational weight gain; HC, head circumference; IQ, intelligence quotient; kcal, kilocalories; NS, no schooling; pBMI, preconceptional body mass index; SE, stable employment; SF, standard infant formula; TC, Temporary contract; VT, Vocational training.

study groups. Indeed, mothers and fathers from the BF group were significantly older than parents from the EF-fed group (p = 0.043; p = 0.014, respectively). Regarding socioeconomic status, parents from the BF group had a significantly higher socioeconomic status compared to both infant formula-fed groups (p = 0.009). Finally, due to the COGNIS study design, days of BF during the first 18 months of life significantly differed between BF and both formula-fed groups (p < 0.001). In contrast, parents' educational level and IQ were similar across the three study groups. No significant differences were detected regarding maternal pBMI, as well as GWG. Mothers were usually non-smokers during pregnancy, and all infants participating in the COGNIS study were born more frequently by vaginal delivery.

Furthermore, at 6 years old, children did not differ in their BMI and head circumference (HC). Although children aged 6 years participating in the COGNIS study presented mean glucose values between 70 and 125 mg/dl (normal range after at least 8 h of fasting) (56), BF children showed lower mean glucose levels compared to the SF-fed group (p = 0.027), and there were no differences between children fed with BF and EF. Finally, no differences were found between study groups regarding the intake of macronutrients at 6 years of age.

# Differences between COGNIS groups in resting-state functional connectivity of the hypothalamus at 6 years old

Compared to the EF-fed group, BF children showed higher FC between the MH and the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) (Figure 2B), as well as lower FC between the MH and the left putamen extending to the middle insula (Figure 2A). Moreover, those children in groups fed with EF and BF showed lower FC between the MH and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in comparison with children fed with SF (Figure 2C). No differences in lateral hypothalamus FC were found between COGNIS study groups (Table 2).

# Dietary intake analysis in COGNIS children up to 18 months of life

Dietary intake was analyzed from 6 to 18 months of age in the three study groups to assess its adequacy to nutritional recommendations (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 1).

At 6 months of age, groups fed with SF and EF had a more adequate CHs, simple sugars, and lipids AMDRs (%), compared to BF infants (p = <0.001).

At 12 months old, the SF-fed group showed more adequate energy intake according to DRIs (g/day) compared to the EFfed group (p = 0.006). However, a more adequate simple sugars AMDR was found in EF-fed infants compared to the groups fed with SF and BF (p = <0.001). Finally, those infants who were breastfed showed a less lipid deficiency, considering the AMDR, compared to both formula-fed groups (p = 0.001), as well as a lower linolenic acid deficiency according to DRIs, compared to the SF-fed group (p = 0.003).

At 18 months old, a higher simple sugars AMDR was observed in SF-fed infants compared to the groups fed with BF and EF (p = <0.001). Moreover, the SF-fed group also showed a lipid deficiency considering the AMDR, compared to the BF group (p = 0.006).

# Association between resting-state functional connectivity at 6 years old and nutrient intake during the first 18 months of life

Correlation analyses were performed to evaluate potential associations between resting-state FC in children at 6 years



Differences between groups in the resting-state functional connectivity of the medial hypothalamus. This figure shows different brain images of the medial hypothalamus. Color bars represent the connectivity intensity value or t-value. (A) EF > BF: MH-putamen extending to insula; (B) BF > EF: MH-IFG; (C) SF > EF + BF: MH-dorsal ACC. ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; BF, breastfeeding; EF, experimental infant formula; IFG, inferior frontal gyrus; MH, medial hypothalamus; SF, standard infant formula. Refer to Table 2 for indicated brain regions.

TABLE 2 Study group differences in the resting-state functional connectivity of the medial hypothalamus.

Brain region	Н	<i>x</i> , <i>y</i> , <i>z</i>	t	CS	Contrast
Putamen extending to insula	L	-22, 6, 14	4.28	116	EF > BF
IFG	R	46, 44, 2	4.73	118	BF > EF
Dorsal ACC	L	-12, 48, 18	4.96	158	SF > EF + BF

Coordinates (*x*, *y*, *z*) are given in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) Atlas space. ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; BF, breastfeeding; CS, cluster size; EF, experimental infant formula; H, hemisphere; IFG, inferior frontal gyrus; L, left; R, right; SF, standard infant formula; *t*, connectivity intensity value. All results herein surpassed a height threshold of P < 0.001 and a cluster of 110 voxels.

old and their nutrient intake up to 18 months of age. As shown in Figure 4A, children who were breastfed showed higher connectivity on the MH-IFG, which was associated with lower simple sugars AMDR or energy supply by simple sugars to total daily energy intake (%) at 6 months of age (r = -0.503; p = 0.047). Moreover, children in the BF group showed a negative association between this same network (MH-IFG) and linoleic acid intake (g/day) at 12 months old (r = -0.511; p = 0.043; Figure 4B). Interestingly, brain FC was not correlated with early nutrition at 18 months old in BF children. Similarly, no significant associations between early nutrition at 6, 12, and 18 months and the connectivity of hypothalamus were found in either of the infant formula-fed COGNIS groups.

# Association between mean glucose levels and resting-state functional connectivity in COGNIS children at 6 years old

Finally, we also analyzed potential associations between the resting-state FC of the hypothalamus and mean glucose levels in COGNIS children at 6 years old. However, independently of COGNIS study groups, no significant associations were found between both outcomes at this age.

# Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate potential long-term differences depending on the diet with a bioactive nutrient-enriched infant formula, compared to an SF or BF during the first 18 months of life, on brain function and glucose levels in healthy children at 6 years old. It is worth noting that all participants were healthy and within the normal range regarding brain function and glucose levels at 6 years of age, and no differences were found between study groups regarding the intake of macronutrients at that age. Our results showed that at 6 years old, children fed with EF and BF showed lower FC between the MH and the ventral ACC compared to SF-fed children. In addition, BF children had higher FC between the MH and the IFG and lower FC between the MH and the left putamen extending to the middle insula, compared with EF-fed children. These areas are key regions within the salience network, which is involved in processing salience stimuli, eating



motivation, and hedonic-driven desire to consume food. Indeed, current higher connectivity found on the MH-IFG network in the BF group was associated with lower simple sugars AMDR at 6 months of age. Regarding linoleic acid intake at 12 months old, a negative association with the same network (MH-IFG) was found but only in the BF group. Our results show that children fed with EF and BF had lower FC between the MH and the ventral ACC compared to SF-fed children at 6 years old. The ventral ACC is part of the salience network together with the insula and the putamen; higher FC in this network may promote the consumption of high-caloric foods (57). Therefore, it is possible that SF-fed



children might be more inclined to develop unhealthier food choices compared to the children fed with EF and BF later in life, increasing the risk for obesity development. This similarity in FC between EF and BF might be due to EF was enriched with different bioactive nutrients (LC-PUFAs, MFGM components, synbiotics, gangliosides, nucleotides, and sialic acid) to narrow the nutritional gap with human breast milk. It is well known that LC-PUFAs (DHA and ARA) play a key role in brain development, contributing to the growth of neurons and synapses and the operation of neurotransmitters (58). In fact, the supplementation with fish oil (nutritional source of LC-PUFAs) during pregnancy seems to be able to shape restingstate network functioning in their children at school age (9.5-10 years old) (59). MFGM components positively influence brain development (60). Recent results from the COGNIS study suggest that the supplementation of infant formulas with MFGM components, LC-PUFAs, and synbiotics could be associated with beneficial long-term effects on neurocognitive development and brain structure at 6 years old (61). Gangliosides have important functions in neurogenesis and neural repair, being in great abundance during development in the hippocampal region of the brain, which is responsible for memory and higher cognitive function (62). Infant formulas supplemented with nucleotides have been associated with increased head growth, related to long-term cognitive function (63). In addition, sialic acid is an essential nutrient for brain development and cognition (64). At 6 years old, no significant differences in food intake were found, and children at this age are not completely autonomous regarding food decision-making, because they are not in charge of deciding what food should be bought or the daily menu of the family, which is mainly their parents' decision.

Higher connectivity between MH and IFG has been related to better food intake control and cognitive inhibition (65). Activation of the IFG is involved in suppressing the desire

for food and resisting temptation, and it seems to ensure that overfeeding does not occur (66). Thus, children with a hedonically motivated eating behavior may continue to overeat later on in life; that is children with greater interest in food demonstrated weaker communication between reward and response inhibition-related regions, promoting greater enjoyment and consumption of foods, as well as eating in absence of hunger (65). In our study, BF children had higher FC between these areas, which may mean better food intake control compared to EF-fed children later in life. Indeed, shorter BF duration during infancy has been related to poorer satiety response and higher consumption in absence of hunger in adolescents (67). Thus, understanding if BF influences the development of satiety responsiveness and a less hedonically motivated eating behavior may be key, since, nowadays, food choices are characterized by abundant calorie-dense foods, and diabetes and obesity have become a worldwide health problem, affecting people of all ages (68).

In contrast, BF children also showed lower connectivity between the MH and reward-related brain areas compared to the EF-fed children group. More specifically, MH, insula, and putamen are key regions within the salience network, which is involved in the processing of salience stimuli, eating motivation, and the hedonic-driven desire to consume food (69-72). In this sense, putamen and insula are known to promote approaching behavior to foods with palatable properties, i.e., foods with high content of lipids, simple sugars, and energy (69). Shapiro et al. (65), in a study performed on non-obese children between 3 and 6 years old, found that alterations in salience and reward begin early in life and may constitute a risk for obesity development later in life via overeating. Since the MH is considered to be the "satiety center" of the brain, an altered FC pattern with the insula and the putamen could lead to an amplified response to food cues, promoting increased sensitivity to immediate

reward and overconsumption of high-caloric foods (57). In our study, BF children presented lower FC between these areas. Thus, this may express a lesser preference for highcaloric foods or a decreased eating motivation compared to EF-fed children. This lower FC between these areas in BF children could explain the multiple health benefits attributed to breast milk, such as the high content of human milk oligosaccharides (HMOs), not present in any of the study infant formulas or any of the infant formulas commercialized when we started the COGNIS study (73-75), and its subsequent benefits over brain-gut-microbiome interactions (76). Currently, infant formulas are being supplemented with HMOs or ingredients that are functionally similar to HMOs (77), including infant formulas developed from the COGNIS study. Interestingly, a normal eating behavior has been shown to be dependent on a tightly regulated balance between intestinal and extra-intestinal homeostatic and hedonic mechanisms in the brain-gut axis. Early-life influences can prepare the gut microbiome and brain for food addiction, such as the consumption of highly palatable and high-caloric foods, shifting this balance toward hedonic eating through both central and intestinal mechanisms (76).

Remarkably, BF children showed a dual pattern of FC with brain areas. On the one hand, they showed higher FC with areas related to behavioral inhibition and, on the other hand, lower FC with reward-related areas, in comparison with EF-fed children. These results are in line with the triadic neural model in problematic eating (78), which proposes that a balanced function between prefrontal-inhibitory system, striatal-impulsive system, and insular-interoceptive system is crucial for a healthy homeostatic eating. This model also suggests that a lower prefrontal function and a hyperactive impulsive system may lead to overeating and a subsequent excess weight gain (78).

Concerning dietary intake analysis in COGNIS children, at 6 months of age, we found that groups fed with SF and EF had a more adequate CHs, simple sugars, and lipids AMDR compared to BF infants. It is worth noting that breast milk has a varying nutritional composition to adapt to infants' nutritional needs through time. Breast milk composition was not analyzed during the follow-up; thus, we estimated its composition based on a full mature breast milk composition reported in the USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (79). In addition, at 12 months old, a more adequate simple sugars AMDR was found in EF-fed infants compared to the groups fed with SF and BF, and BF infants showed less lipid deficiency according to the AMDR compared to both formula-fed groups. This could be explained because of human milk composition. It is well known that lipids are the largest source of energy in breast milk, contributing 40-55% of the total energy of breast milk (10, 80), that is why the BF group had higher lipid AMDR at 6 months of age and less lipid deficiency, considering the AMDR, at 12 months of age. In contrast, human milk contains  $\sim$ 7% CHs, with lactose as the main CH, and additional CH fractions, such

as HMOs and fructose, contributing altogether to the higher energy supply of CHs and simple sugars to the total daily energy intake (81) compared to both infant formulas at 6 months and to EF-fed infants at 12 months of age. From 6 to 12 months of age, we observed a greater difference between BF and both formula-fed groups regarding dietary intake, because it is the period when complementary feeding is initiated, together with a gradual reduction of breast milk or infant formula intake (82). Nonetheless, at 18 months old, other dietary factors come into play, because their diet is not mainly based on breast milk or infant formula intake anymore, and they are almost completely integrated with family meals (83). Thus, we observed a closer dietary pattern between infants fed with BF and EF at this age, since we found higher simple sugars AMDR in the diet of SF-fed infants compared to the groups fed with BF and EF, and lipid deficiency considering the AMDR in SF-fed infants compared to the BF group.

Regarding associations between nutrient intake during the first 18 months of life and brain FC at 6 years old, we found that higher connectivity on the MH-IFG network was associated with lower simple sugars AMDR or, in other words, lower simple sugars energy supply to the total daily energy intake at 6 months old and only in the BF group. A higher connection between MH and IFG has been related to a more homeostatic eating behavior (65). Again, this could be explained by the presence of HMOs in breast milk, which are part of the CH components and have several health benefits (77), not present in any of the study infant formulas, as mentioned above. Therefore, it is possible that BF during the first months of life may lead to a lower simple sugars content in the diet later in life, or that lactating mothers may positively influence their offspring's diet up to 6 years, since at 6 years old, no significant differences were found regarding simple sugars intake. Then, BF influences offspring's diet during childhood, which might lead to a more advantageous FC in the MH.

Concerning linoleic acid intake at 12 months old, we found a negative association with this same network (MH-IFG) in the BF group as well. This was unexpected, considering that the BF group presented less lipid deficiency according to the AMDR compared to children from both formula-fed groups at 12 months old, as well as lower linolenic acid deficiency according to the DRI compared to the SF-fed group; furthermore, human breast milk fat provides 40-55% of the total daily energy intake in infants up to 6 months of age (80, 84), and LC-PUFAs represent about 15% of the total lipids in breast milk (84). However, studies in mice have shown that high-fat diets with high linoleic acid content (22.5% of kilocalories) seem to have an obesogenic effect, causing greater body weight gain, decreasing physical activity, and inducing insulin resistance compared to a low-fat control diet or a diet with a lower content in linoleic acid (1% of kilocalories) (85). On this matter, in another study carried out in mice fed with a high-fat diet, increased linoleic acid in the whole brain and hypothalamus was found, suggesting

that this PUFA could be acting as a homeostatic suppressor in the initiation of hypothalamic inflammation triggered by saturated fatty acids, which may protect against dysfunction of hypothalamic activity (86). Therefore, a higher concentration of linoleic acid in the brain could be due to its effect as a suppressor of hypothalamic inflammation, rather than being the responsible factor for that inflammation leading to insulin resistance and other metabolic disturbances (86). Thus, linoleic acid content in the diet seems to be important to determine the effects that this PUFA could have at a brain metabolic level.

No significant correlation was found between brain FC and mean glucose levels, both measured at 6 years old, in any of the three study groups. Nonetheless, BF children had lower mean glucose levels compared to SF-fed ones. This could be explained because of many short- and long-term health benefits of breast milk. Indeed, BF has been related to a lower incidence of obesity and diabetes (type 1 and type 2) later in life, since it is inversely associated with adult risk factors for metabolic syndrome, including insulin resistance (87–89). Moreover, no correlation between brain FC and mean glucose levels could be explained because the CGM device is an innovative, although reliable, method to measure glucose levels (90), and studies on this matter are still scarce and mainly carried out in diabetic populations.

The main strength of this study is its design as a prospective, randomized, double-blind longitudinal study. To the best of our knowledge, the COGNIS study is the first study trying to analyze potential differences, depending on the type of diet during the first 18 months, on brain function (through neuroimaging examination) and mean glucose levels (through a 24-h CGM device) in healthy term infants, including a long-term follow-up, up to 6 years of age. Compared to previous studies, our nutritional intervention has added a value due to the long-term follow-up and the supplementation of the EF with several functional nutrients (LC-PUFAs, MFGM components, synbiotics, gangliosides, nucleotides, and sialic acid). Furthermore, it is well known that brain function in children is influenced by several environmental factors, such as nutrition, gender, and socioeconomic status, among others (91-94). Therefore, to obtain consistent and reliable results and conclusions, several confounding factors, previously mentioned (53-55), were taken into account in the statistical analysis.

However, this study has limitations that should be addressed as well: the drop-outs during the 6-year follow-ups, and the fact that the resting-state fMRI scanning requires that children remain still; thereby, some data were not suitable for analysis due to excessive motion. Nonetheless, it should be considered that fMRI scanning is even more difficult during the restingstate evaluation in pediatric populations. It is worth noting that not all parents who came to the 6-year follow-up visit with their children wanted them to participate in the fMRI or wear the 24-h CGM device. In this regard, the statistical power reached to detect minimum differences of 0.9 SD in brain connectivity, mean glucose values, and dietary intake between groups was 70%; thus, more studies in this field are needed to corroborate the current study findings.

# Conclusion

The results obtained in the resting-state FC evaluation by fMRI at 6 years of age may be the first to establish a possible relationship between the type of diet, breast milk, or infant formulas (standard or enriched with synbiotics, LC-PUFAs, MFGM components, gangliosides, nucleotides, and sialic acid), during the first months of life and an inclined proclivity for hedonic eating later in life, when these children become independent in their food choices. Thus, this could lead to overconsumption and a preference of eating for hedonic reasons rather than physiological ones, predisposing the development of metabolic diseases, such as T2D or obesity. As diabetes and obesity have become a worldwide health problem affecting people of all ages, and T2D is being diagnosed in children now more frequently (68), it is necessary to carry out more studies to explore the possible relationship that might exist between FC of certain brain areas and the development of unhealthy behaviors toward food. Moreover, as T2D has been associated with cognitive decline and represents an increased risk for brain diseases (95-97), it would be necessary to deepen the knowledge in this area to prevent aging brain pathologies later in life.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Research BioEthical Committee from the University of Granada (Spain) and the BioEthical Committees for Clinical Research from San Cecilio University Clinical and University Mother-Infant Hospitals of Granada (Spain). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

## Author contributions

CC and AC: conceptualization. ED, AN-R, CM-P, AC, and CC: methodology. CM-P, AN-R, ED, NS-V, and AC: formal analysis. ED, AN-R, NS-V, and FH: investigation. ED, AN-R, and CM-P: writing—original draft preparation. ED, AN-R, CM-P, NS-V, JJ, RD-C, AC, JG-S, MB, and CC: writing review and editing. AC and CC: supervision. CC: project administration. JJ, RD-C, and CC: funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

# Funding

This project has been partially funded by Ordesa Laboratories, S.L. Contract University of Granada General Foundation, No. 3349, and SMARTFOODS (CIEN-IDI-20141206) Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry, and Competitiveness and Contract University of Granada General Foundation, No. 4003; also partially funded by HORIZON 2020 EU DynaHEALTH Project (GA No. 633595). ED has been granted a predoctoral scholarship from Junta de Andalucía Consejería de Transformación Económica, Industria, Conocimiento y Universidades (contract code 404), Granada, Spain. NS-V has been granted a scholarship from Fundación Carolina, Madrid, Spain.

# Acknowledgments

ED acknowledges to Junta de Andalucía and University of Granda for supporting the development of her Ph.D. thesis. The results of this article are likely to be included in the Doctoral Thesis of ED in the context of the Clinical Medicine and Public Health Doctoral Program at the University of Granada.

# References

1. Black MM. Impact of nutrition on growth, brain, and cognition. *Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser.* (2018) 89:185–95. doi: 10.1159/000486502

2. Gao W, Lin W, Grewen K, Gilmore JH. Functional connectivity of the infant human brain: plastic and modifiable. *Neurosci Rev J Bring Neurobiol Neurol Psychiatry.* (2017) 23:169–84. doi: 10.1177/1073858416635986

3. Deoni SCL. Neuroimaging of the developing brain and impact of nutrition. *Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser.* (2018) 89:155–74. doi: 10.1159/000486500

4. Deoni S, Dean D, Joelson S, O'Regan J, Schneider N. Early nutrition influences developmental myelination and cognition in infants and young children. *Neuroimage*. (2018) 178:649–59. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.056

5. Georgieff MK, Ramel SE, Cusick SE. Nutritional influences on brain development. Acta Paediatr Oslo Nor. (2018) 107:1310-21. doi: 10.1111/apa.14287

6. Catena A, Muñoz-Machicao JA, Torres-Espínola FJ, Martínez-Zaldívar C, Diaz-Piedra C, Gil A, et al. Folate and long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation during pregnancy has long-term effects on the attention system of 85-year-old offspring: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2016) 103:115–27. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.115.109108

7. Catena A, Martínez-Zaldívar C, Diaz-Piedra C, Torres-Espínola FJ, Brandi P, Pérez-García M, et al. On the relationship between head circumference, brain size, prenatal long-chain PUFA/5-methyltetrahydrofolate supplementation and cognitive abilities during childhood. *Br J Nutr.* (2019) 122:S40–8. doi: 10.1017/S0007114516004281

8. Lin W, Baluyot KR, Yao M, Yan J, Wang L, Li G, et al. Early-life nutrition and cognitive development: imaging approaches. *Hum Milk Compos Clin Benefits Future Oppor*. (2019) 90:121–35. doi: 10.1159/000490300

9. Sauer PJJ. Can we define an infant's need from the composition of human milk? 1-3. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2013) 98(suppl C):521S-8S. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.044370

# **Conflict of interest**

Authors JJ and RD-C are employees of Ordesa Laboratories, S.L.

remaining The authors declare that the research conducted in the absence of was any commercial or financial relationships that could conflict be construed as а potential of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fnut.2022.935740/full#supplementary-material

10. Martin C, Ling PR, Blackburn G. Review of infant feeding: key features of breast milk and infant formula. *Nutrients*. (2016) 8:279. doi: 10.3390/nu8050279

11. Horta BL, de Sousa BA, de Mola CL. Breastfeeding and neurodevelopmental outcomes. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* (2018) 21:174–8. doi: 10.1097/MCO.000000000000453

12. Schipper L, van Dijk G, van der Beek EM. Milk lipid composition and structure; the relevance for infant brain development. *OCL.* (2020) 27:5. doi: 10.1051/ocl/2020001

13. De Almagro García MC, Moreno Muñoz JA, Jiménez López J, Rodríguez-Palmero Seuma M. New ingredients in infant formula. Health and functional benefits. *Nutr Hosp.* (2017) 34(Suppl 4):8–12. doi: 10.20960/nh.1564

14. Boehm G. Supplementation of a bovine milk formula with an oligosaccharide mixture increases counts of faecal bifidobacteria in preterm infants. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* (2002) 86:178F–181. doi: 10.1136/fn.86.3.F178

15. Zhang GQ, Hu HJ, Liu CY, Zhang Q, Shakya S, Li ZY. Probiotics for prevention of atopy and food hypersensitivity in early childhood. *Medicine*. (2016) 95:e2562. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000002562

16. West CE, Jenmalm MC, Kozyrskyj AL, Prescott SL. Probiotics for treatment and primary prevention of allergic diseases and asthma: looking back and moving forward. *Exp Rev Clin Immunol.* (2016) 12:625–39. doi: 10.1586/1744666X.2016.1147955

17. Lepping RJ, Honea RA, Martin LE, Liao K, Choi IY, Lee P, et al. Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation in the first year of life affects brain function, structure, and metabolism at age 9 years. *Dev Psychobiol.* (2019) 61:5–16. doi: 10.1002/dev.21780

18. Timby N, Domellöf E, Hernell O, Lönnerdal B, Domellöf M. Neurodevelopment, nutrition, and growth until 12 mo of age in infants fed

a low-energy, low-protein formula supplemented with bovine milk fat globule membranes: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2014) 99:860–8. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.064295

19. Hernell O, Timby N, Domellöf M, Lönnerdal B. Clinical benefits of milk fat globule membranes for infants and children. *J Pediatrics.* (2016) 173(Suppl):S60–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016. 02.077

20. Lundqvist MH, Almby K, Abrahamsson N, Eriksson JW. Is the brain a key player in glucose regulation and development of type 2 diabetes? *Front Physiol.* (2019) 10:457. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2019.00457

21. Chang GQ, Gaysinskaya V, Karatayev O, Leibowitz SF. Maternal high-fat diet and fetal programming: increased proliferation of hypothalamic peptideproducing neurons that increase risk for overeating and obesity. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci*. (2008) 28:12107–19. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2642-08.2008

22. Nascimento LFR, Souza GFP, Morari J, Barbosa GO, Solon C, Moura RF, et al. N – 3 fatty acids induce neurogenesis of predominantly POMC-expressing cells in the hypothalamus. *Diabetes.* (2016) 65:673-86. doi: 10.2337/db15-0008

23. Schwartz MW, Woods SC, Porte D, Seeley RJ, Baskin DG. Central nervous system control of food intake. *Nature*. (2000) 404:661–71. doi: 10.1038/35007534

24. Simon JJ, Stopyra MA, Mönning E, Sailer S, Lavandier N, Kihm LP, et al. Neuroimaging of hypothalamic mechanisms related to glucose metabolism in anorexia nervosa and obesity. *J Clin Invest.* (2020) 130:4094–103. doi:10.1172/JCl1136782

25. Timper K, Brüning JC. Hypothalamic circuits regulating appetite and energy homeostasis: pathways to obesity. *Dis Model Mech.* (2017) 10:679–89. doi: 10.1242/dmm.026609

26. Berthoud HR, Münzberg H, Morrison CD. Blaming the brain for obesity: integration of hedonic and homeostatic mechanisms. *Gastroenterology.* (2017) 152:1728–38. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.12.050

27. Pozo M, Claret M. Hypothalamic control of systemic glucose homeostasis: the pancreas connection. *Trends Endocrinol Metab TEM*. (2018) 29:581–94. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2018.05.001

28. Flak JN, Goforth PB. Dell'Orco J, Sabatini PV, Li C, Bozadjieva N, et al. Ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus neuronal subset regulates blood glucose independently of insulin. J Clin Invest. (2020) 130:2943–52. doi: 10.1172/JCI134135

29. Hirschberg PR, Sarkar P, Teegala SB, Routh VH. Ventromedial hypothalamus glucose-inhibited neurones: a role in glucose and energy homeostasis? *J Neuroendocrinol.* (2020) 32:e12773. doi: 10.1111/jne.12773

30. Hyland L, Park SB, Abdelaziz Y, Abizaid A. Ghrelin infused into the dorsomedial hypothalamus of male mice increases food intake and adiposity. *Physiol Behav.* (2020) 220:112882. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.112882

31. Petrovich GD. Lateral hypothalamus as a motivation-cognition interface in the control of feeding behavior. *Front Syst Neurosci.* (2018) 12:14. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2018.00014

32. Burdakov D, Karnani MM. Ultra-sparse connectivity within the lateral hypothalamus. *Curr Biol CB*. (2020) 30:4063–70.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.07.061

33. World Medical Association Inc. Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *J Indian Med Assoc.* (2009) 107:403–5. doi: 10.1515/JIBL.2009.12

34. World Medical Association. World medical association declaration of helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. (2013) 310:2191–4. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.281053

35. Nieto-Ruiz A, García-Santos JA, Bermúdez MG, Herrmann F, Diéguez E, Sepúlveda-Valbuena N, et al. Cortical visual evoked potentials and growth in infants fed with bioactive compounds-enriched infant formula: results from COGNIS randomized clinical trial. *Nutrients.* (2019) 11:E2456. doi: 10.3390/nu11102456

36. Nieto-Ruiz A, Diéguez E, Sepúlveda-Valbuena N, Herrmann F, Cerdó T, López-Torrecillas F, et al. The effects of an infant formula enriched with milk fat globule membrane, long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and synbiotics on child behavior up to 25 years old: the COGNIS study. *Nutrients.* (2020) 12:E3825. doi: 10.3390/nu12123825

37. Sepúlveda-Valbuena N, Nieto-Ruiz A, Diéguez E, Herrmann F, Escudero-Marín M, De-Castellar R, et al. Growth patterns and breast milk/infant formula energetic efficiency in healthy infants up to 18 months of life: the COGNIS study. *Br J Nutr.* (2021) 126:1809–22. doi: 10.1017/S000711452100057X

38. Herrmann F, Nieto-Ruiz A, Sepúlveda-Valbuena N, Miranda MT, Diéguez E, Jiménez J, et al. Infant formula enriched with milk fat globule membrane, longchain polyunsaturated fatty acids, synbiotics, gangliosides, nucleotides and sialic acid reduces infections during the first 18 months of life: the COGNIS study. J Funct Foods. (2021) 83:104529. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2021.104529 39. Garcia-Esteve L, Ascaso C, Ojuel J, Navarro P. Validation of the edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS) in Spanish mothers. J Affect Disord. (2003) 75:71–6. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0327(02)00020-4

40. Cattell RB. Theory of fluid and crystallized intelligence: a critical experiment. *J Educ Psychol.* (1963) 54:1–22.

41. Factor G-R. *Test De Inteligencia No Verbal: Revisado*. TEA Ediciones. Available online at: https://web.teaediciones.com/factor-g-r-test-de-inteligenciano-verbal.aspx (accessed February 24, 2022).

42. Satterthwaite TD, Elliott MA, Gerraty RT, Ruparel K, Loughead J, Calkins ME, et al. An improved framework for confound regression and filtering for control of motion artifact in the preprocessing of resting-state functional connectivity data. *Neuroimage.* (2013) 64:240–56. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.08.052

43. Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Nieto-Castanon A. Conn: a functional connectivity toolbox for correlated and anticorrelated brain networks. *Brain Connect.* (2012) 2:125-41. doi: 10.1089/brain.2012.0073

44. Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *Neuroimage*. (2012) 59:2142–54. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.018

45. Fonov V, Evans AC, Botteron K, Almli CR, McKinstry RC, Collins DL, et al. Unbiased average age-appropriate atlases for pediatric studies. *Neuroimage*. (2011) 54:313–27. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.07.033

46. World Health Organization. Preparation and use of food-based dietary guidelines. Report of a joint FAO/WHO consultation. FAO/WHO. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser.* (1998) 880:i-vi, 1–108.

47. ALCE INGENIERIA. Programa Dial De Cálculos Nutricionales. Madrid: Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Available online at: https://www. alceingenieria.net/nutricion.htm (accessed February 24, 2022).

48. Institute of Medicine (US) Subcommittee on Interpretation and Uses of Dietary Reference Intakes, Institute of Medicine (US) Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes. DRI Dietary Reference Intakes: Applications in Dietary Assessment. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (US) (2000). Available online at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK222890/ (accessed Feb 24, 2022).

49. Sistema Flash de Monitorización de Glucosa. *FreeStyle Libre*. Abbott Laboratories. Available online at: https://www.freestylelibre.es/libre/index.html (accessed February 24, 2022).

50. Baroncini M, Jissendi P, Balland E, Besson P, Pruvo JP, Francke JP, et al. MRI atlas of the human hypothalamus. *Neuroimage*. (2012) 59:168-80. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.07.013

51. Brett M, Anton JL, Valabregue R, Poline JB. Region of interest analysis using an SPM toolbox. In: *Presented at the 8th International Conference on Functional Mapping of the Human Brain*, Vol. 16. Sendai (2002).

52. Song XW, Dong ZY, Long XY Li SF, Zuo XN, Zhu CZ, et al. REST: a toolkit for resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging data processing. *PLoS ONE.* (2011) 6:e25031. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0025031

53. Kaczkurkin AN, Raznahan A, Satterthwaite TD. Sex differences in the developing brain: insights from multimodal neuroimaging. *Neuropsychopharmacol Off Publ Am Coll Neuropsychopharmacol.* (2019) 44:71–85. doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0111-z

54. Miguel PM, Pereira LO, Silveira PP, Meaney MJ. Early environmental influences on the development of children's brain structure and function. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* (2019) 61:1127–33. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14182

55. Robbins LS, Blanchard CT, Sinkey RG, Harris SL, Tita AT, Harper LM. Prenatal tobacco exposure and childhood neurodevelopment among infants born prematurely. *Am J Perinatol.* (2021) 38:218–23. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-17 15845

56. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2017 abridged for primary care providers. *Clin Diabetes Publ Am Diabetes Assoc.* (2017) 35:5-26. doi: 10.2337/cd16-0067

57. Kullmann S, Veit R. Resting-state functional connectivity of the human hypothalamus. *Handb Clin Neurol.* (2021) 179:113–24. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819975-6.00005-4

58. Willatts P. Effects of nutrition on the development of higher-order cognition. Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser. (2018) 89:175–84. doi: 10.1159/000486501

59. Azaryah H, Verdejo-Román J, Martin-Pérez C, García-Santos JA, Martínez-Zaldívar C, Torres-Espínola FJ, et al. Effects of maternal fish oil and/or 5-methyltetrahydrofolate supplementation during pregnancy on offspring brain restingstate at 10 years old: a follow-up study from the NUHEAL randomized controlled trial. *Nutrients.* (2020) 12:E2701. doi: 10.3390/nu12092701 60. Cerdó T, Diéguez E, Campoy C. Infant growth, neurodevelopment and gut microbiota during infancy: which nutrients are crucial? *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* (2019) 22:434–41. doi: 10.1097/MCO.00000000000606

61. Nieto-Ruiz A, García-Santos JA, Verdejo-Román J, Diéguez E, Sepúlveda-Valbuena N, Herrmann F, et al. Infant formula supplemented with milk fat globule membrane, long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, and synbiotics is associated with neurocognitive function and brain structure of healthy children aged 6 years: the COGNIS study. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:820224. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022. 820224

62. Ryan JM, Rice GE, Mitchell MD. The role of gangliosides in brain development and the potential benefits of perinatal supplementation. *Nutr Res.* (2013) 33:877–87. doi: 10.1016/j.nutres.2013.07.021

63. Singhal A, Kennedy K, Lanigan J, Clough H, Jenkins W, Elias-Jones A, et al. Dietary nucleotides and early growth in formula-fed infants: a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics*. (2010) 126:e946–953. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-2609

64. Wang B. Sialic acid is an essential nutrient for brain development and cognition. *Annu Rev Nutr.* (2009) 29:177–222. doi: 10.1146/annurev.nutr.28.061807.155515

65. Shapiro ALB, Johnson SL, Sutton B, Legget KT, Dabelea D, Tregellas JR. Eating in the absence of hunger in young children is related to brain reward network hyperactivity and reduced functional connectivity in executive control networks. *Pediatrics Obes.* (2019) 14:e12502. doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12502

66. Wright H, Li X, Fallon NB, Crookall R, Giesbrecht T, Thomas A, et al. Differential effects of hunger and satiety on insular cortex and hypothalamic functional connectivity. *Eur J Neurosci.* (2016) 43:1181–9. doi: 10.1111/ejn.13182

67. Reyes M, Hoyos V, Martinez SM, Lozoff B, Castillo M, Burrows R, et al. Satiety responsiveness and eating behavior among Chilean adolescents and the role of breastfeeding. *Int J Obes.* (2014) 38:552–7. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2013.191

68. Xu H, Verre MC. Type 2 diabetes mellitus in children. Am Fam Phys. (2018) 98:590-4.

69. García-García I, Jurado MÁ, Garolera M, Segura B, Sala-Llonch R, Marqués-Iturria I, et al. Alterations of the salience network in obesity: a resting-state fMRI study. *Hum Brain Mapp.* (2013) 34:2786–97. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22104

70. Kullmann S, Pape AA, Heni M, Ketterer C, Schick F, Häring HU, et al. Functional network connectivity underlying food processing: disturbed salience and visual processing in overweight and obese adults. *Cereb Cortex.* (2013) 23:1247–56. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhs124

71. Ravichandran S, Bhatt RR, Pandit B, Osadchiy V, Alaverdyan A, Vora P, et al. Alterations in reward network functional connectivity are associated with increased food addiction in obese individuals. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:3386. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-83116-0

72. Wijngaarden MA, Veer IM, Rombouts SARB, van Buchem MA. Willems van Dijk K, Pijl H, et al. Obesity is marked by distinct functional connectivity in brain networks involved in food reward and salience. *Behav Brain Res.* (2015) 287:127–34. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2015.03.016

73. Bode L. Human milk oligosaccharides: prebiotics and beyond. *Nutr Rev.* (2009) 67(Suppl 2):S183–191. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2009.00239.x

74. Shen Q, Tuohy KM, Gibson GR, Ward RE. *In vitro* measurement of the impact of human milk oligosaccharides on the faecal microbiota of weaned formula-fed infants compared to a mixture of prebiotic fructooligosaccharides and galactooligosaccharides. *Lett Appl Microbiol.* (2011) 52:337–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2011.03005.x

75. Schwab C, Gänzle M. Lactic acid bacteria fermentation of human milk oligosaccharide components, human milk oligosaccharides and galactooligosaccharides. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* (2011) 315:141–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.02185.x

76. Gupta A, Osadchiy V, Mayer EA. Brain-gut-microbiome interactions in obesity and food addiction. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2020) 17:655–72. doi: 10.1038/s41575-020-0341-5

77. Wiciński M, Sawicka E, Gebalski J, Kubiak K, Malinowski B. Human milk oligosaccharides: health benefits, potential applications in infant formulas, and pharmacology. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:E266. doi: 10.3390/nu12010266

78. Chen R, Li DP, Turel O, Sørensen TA, Bechara A, Li Y, et al. Decision making deficits in relation to food cues influence obesity: a triadic neural model of problematic eating. *Front Psychiatry*. (2018) 9:264. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00264

79. FoodData Central. USDA, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Research Service. Available online at: https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/fdc-app.html#/fooddetails/171279/nutrients (accessed March 3, 2022).

80. Andreas NJ, Kampmann B, Mehring Le-Doare K. Human breast milk: a review on its composition and bioactivity. *Early Hum Dev.* (2015) 91:629–35. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2015.08.013

81. Berger PK, Plows JF, Demerath EW, Fields DA. Carbohydrate composition in breast milk and its effect on infant health. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. (2020) 23:277–81. doi: 10.1097/MCO.00000000000658

82. Dipasquale V, Romano C. Complementary feeding: new styles vs. old myths. Minerva Med. (2020) 111:141–52. doi: 10.23736/S0026-4806.19.06320-1

83. Scaglioni S, De Cosmi V, Ciappolino V, Parazzini F, Brambilla P, Agostoni C. Factors influencing children's eating behaviours. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:E706. doi: 10.3390/nu10060706

84. Ramiro-Cortijo D, Singh P, Liu Y, Medina-Morales E, Yakah W, Freedman SD, et al. Breast milk lipids and fatty acids in regulating neonatal intestinal development and protecting against intestinal injury. *Nutrients.* (2020) 12:E534. doi: 10.3390/nu12020534

85. Mamounis KJ, Yasrebi A, Roepke TA. Linoleic acid causes greater weight gain than saturated fat without hypothalamic inflammation in the male mouse. *J Nutr Biochem.* (2017) 40:122–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2016.10.016

86. Tu TH, Kim H, Yang S, Kim JK, Kim JG. Linoleic acid rescues microglia inflammation triggered by saturated fatty acid. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2019) 513:201-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.03.047

87. Binns C, Lee M, Low WY. The long-term public health benefits of breastfeeding. *Asia Pac J Public Health*. (2016) 28:7–14. doi: 10.1177/1010539515624964

88. Mosca F, Giannì ML. Human milk: composition and health benefits. *Pediatrics Med E Chir Med Surg Pediatrics*. (2017) 39:155. doi: 10.4081/pmc.2017.155

89. Shoji H, Shimizu T. Effect of human breast milk on biological metabolism in infants. *Pediatrics Int Off J Jpn Pediatrics Soc.* (2019) 61:6–15. doi: 10.1111/ped.13693

90. Freckmann G, Pleus S, Grady M, Setford S, Levy B. Measures of accuracy for continuous glucose monitoring and blood glucose monitoring devices. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* (2019) 13:575–83. doi: 10.1177/1932296818812062

91. Duncan AF, Matthews MA. Neurodevelopmental outcomes in early childhood. *Clin Perinatol.* (2018) 45:377–92. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2018.05.001

92. Jednoróg K, Altarelli I, Monzalvo K, Fluss J, Dubois J, Billard C, et al. The influence of socioeconomic status on children's brain structure. *PLoS ONE*. (2012) 7:e42486. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042486

93. Johnson SB, Riis JL, Noble KG. State of the art review: poverty and the developing brain. *Pediatrics*. (2016) 137:e20153075. doi: 10.1542/peds. 2015-3075

94. McDermott CL, Seidlitz J, Nadig A, Liu S, Clasen LS, Blumenthal JD, et al. Longitudinally mapping childhood socioeconomic status associations with cortical and subcortical morphology. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci.* (2019) 39:1365–73. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1808-18.2018

95. Biessels GJ, Reijmer YD. Brain changes underlying cognitive dysfunction in diabetes: what can we learn from MRI? *Diabetes.* (2014) 63:2244–52. doi: 10.2337/db14-0348

96. Geijselaers SLC, Sep SJS, Stehouwer CDA, Biessels GJ. Glucose regulation, cognition, and brain MRI in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2015) 3:75–89. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(14)70148-2

97. van Bussel FCG, Backes WH, Hofman PAM, van Oostenbrugge RJ, van Boxtel MPJ, Verhey FRJ, et al. Cerebral pathology and cognition in diabetes: the merits of multiparametric neuroimaging. *Front Neurosci.* (2017) 11:188. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00188