Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mark Elisabeth Willems, University of Chichester, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Ashril Yusof, University of Malaya, Malaysia Michael Green, Troy University, United States Ben Krings, University of Wisconsin–Platteville, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Flávio O. Pires ⊠ piresfo@usp.br

RECEIVED 27 October 2023 ACCEPTED 22 January 2024 PUBLISHED 20 February 2024

CITATION

Pires FO, Pinheiro FA, Brietzke C, Franco-Alvarenga PE, Veras K, de Matos ECT, Rodacki ALF and Ugrinowitsch C (2024) Carbohydrate mouth rinse failed to reduce central fatigue, lower perceived exertion, and improve performance during incremental exercise. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1329074.

doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1329074

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Pires, Pinheiro, Brietzke, Franco-Alvarenga, Veras, de Matos, Rodacki and Ugrinowitsch. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY) The use distribution or reproduction

in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Carbohydrate mouth rinse failed to reduce central fatigue, lower perceived exertion, and improve performance during incremental exercise

Flávio O. Pires^{1*}, Fabiano A. Pinheiro^{1,2}, Cayque Brietzke¹, Paulo Estevão Franco-Alvarenga¹, Katherine Veras³, Eugênia C. T. de Matos⁴, André L. F. Rodacki⁴ and Carlos Ugrinowitsch^{2,5}

¹Exercise Psychophysiology Research Group, School of Arts, Science and Humanities, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ²School of Physical Education and Sports, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ³Department of Nutrition, University of Mogi das Cruzes, São Paulo, Brazil, ⁴Department of Physical Education, Federal University of Paraná, Paraná, Brazil, ⁵Department of Health Sciences and Human Performance, The University of Tampa, Tampa, FL, United States

We examined if carbohydrate (CHO) mouth rinse may reduce central fatigue and perceived exertion, thus improving maximal incremental test (MIT) performance. Nine recreational cyclists warmed up for 6min before rinsing a carbohydrate (CHO) or placebo (PLA) solution in their mouth for 10s in a double-blind, counterbalanced manner. Thereafter, they performed the MIT (25W·min⁻¹ increases until exhaustion) while cardiopulmonary and ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) responses were obtained. Pre- to post-MIT alterations in voluntary activation (VA) and peak twitch torque (Tw) were determined. Time-to-exhaustion (p=0.24), peak power output (PPO; p=0.45), and VO_{2MAX} (p=0.60) were comparable between conditions. Neither treatment main effect nor time-treatment interaction effect were observed in the first and second ventilatory threshold when expressed as absolute or relative VO_2 (p=0.78 and p=0.96, respectively) and power output (p=0.28 and p=0.45, respectively) values, although with moderate-to-large effect sizes. RPE increased similarly throughout the tests and was comparable at the ventilatory thresholds (p=0.56). Despite the time main effect revealing an MIT-induced central and peripheral fatigue as indicated by the reduced VA and Tw, CHO mouth rinse was ineffective in attenuating both fatigues. Hence, rinsing the mouth with CHO was ineffective in reducing central fatigue, lowering RPE, and improving MIT performance expressed as PPO and time-to-exhaustion. However, moderateto-large effect sizes in power output values at VT_1 and VT_2 may suggest some beneficial CHO mouth rinse effects on these MIT outcomes.

KEYWORDS

cycling, endurance performance, supplementation, brain, VO_{2MAX}

1 Introduction

Carbohydrate (CHO) mouth rinse has been suggested as a potential aid to improve endurance performance in exercises with different durations (1, 2). The suggested mechanism underpinning the CHO mouth rinse effects on exercise performance is the likely enhanced neuromuscular activation and reduced rating of perceived exertion (RPE) (3, 4) when the CHO is sensed by oral cavity sensors (5, 6). For example, Gant et al. (6) found an increased force production in participants rinsing their mouths with CHO due to a facilitated motor output as a result of the enhanced corticospinal excitability. Others have observed that the improved cycling performance with CHO mouth rinse was associated with a reduced RPE during exercise (3). Thus, the overall suggestion is that the improved endurance motor output with CHO mouth rinse is due to an ameliorated neuromuscular activation and attenuated RPE (6).

Most studies reporting an improved endurance cycling performance with CHO mouth rinse have used trials lasting more than 30-60 min (2). These longer-lasting trials are characterized by submaximal power output values, that is, values usually $\leq 80\%$ of the peak power output (PPO) attained in maximal incremental test (MIT), therefore eliciting central rather than peripheral fatigue (7). However, a previous study (8) observed that CHO mouth rinse failed to improve performance in a shorter-lasting cycling time trial (4km distance), eliciting mean power output values closer to PPO values (i.e., ≥80% PPO) as peripheral rather than central fatigue would limit this type of effort (7, 9). Together, these results may suggest that CHO mouth rinse has the potential to improve endurance cycling performance during exercises requiring power output values $\leq 80\%$ PPO, during which central rather than peripheral fatigue is limiting. Consequently, CHO mouth rinse would be ineffective in improving short-endurance cycling exercise performance with peripheral fatigue as a limiting factor (3).

Studies have reported a growing use of CHO mouth rinse as a strategy to improve performance in different endurance exercise modes such as cycling and running (2, 8, 10, 11). The fact that CHO mouth rinse induces no gastric discomfort, as no ingestion is necessary, may make this strategy a promising ergogenic aid for endurance sports competitions and training sessions (10). In this regard, trainers and cyclists may be interested in knowing if CHO mouth rinse affects outcomes used to prescribe and monitor endurance cycling training sessions, as this may overestimate training zone intensities when compared with non-supplemented conditions. For example, they may want to know if CHO mouth rinse changes the power output and RPE corresponding to the first and second ventilatory thresholds (VT1 and VT2, respectively) or the PPO associated with the maximal oxygen uptake (i.e., VO_{2MAX}), as these variables have been traditionally used to determine endurance intensities training zones (12, 13). For example, the ameliorated neuromuscular activation and attenuated RPE with CHO mouth rinse (6) could lead to a higher power output and lowered RPE during exercise at VT₁ and VT₂. Unfortunately, studies on CHO mouth rinse effects have focused on cycling time trials (14-17), so we are unaware of studies assessing the effects of CHO mouth rinse on MIT performance and their underlying mechanisms. In contrast to short cycling time trials (8, 9), most of the MIT duration is performed under submaximal intensities-elicited central fatigue (≤80% PPO) (9); thus, one may argue that CHO mouth rinse may potentiate MIT performance due to an ameliorated neuromuscular activation and reduced RPE during most MIT intensities. Consequently, CHO mouth rinse may increase PPO and lower the RPE at VT1, VT2, and VO_{2MAX} intensities during an MIT.

In the present study, we examined if CHO mouth rinse improved MIT performance, changing outcomes such as PPO and RPE at VT₁, VT₂, and VO_{2MAX} due to attenuated central fatigue, as reported

elsewhere (15). Due to submaximal power output values during most stages of the MIT, we hypothesized that CHO mouth rinse would attenuate central fatigue and reduce RPE during exercise, thus improving MIT performance outcomes.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Participants

Nine recreational male cyclists ranked as Performance Level 2 (18) (36.9 ± 6.4 years, VO_{2MAX} of 54.3 ± 9.3 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; body mass of 73.1 ± 13.0 kg; height of 180 ± 5 cm; PPO of 333 ± 29 W), and having >2 years of experience in regional competitions voluntarily participated in this study after signing the consent form. All procedures were approved by the ethics committee of the University of São Paulo (#0023.0.342.000-10) and conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants were free from cardiovascular, neural, or musculoskeletal diseases/conditions that could interfere with the experimental protocol. Furthermore, they were asked to refrain from caffeine, alcohol, and other stimulant substances, as well as intense exercises, during the 24 h preceding the experimental tests.

2.2 Study design

The cyclists attended the laboratory on four occasions. During the first visit, the cyclists were familiarized with the maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) and twitch interpolation protocol, the 15-point RPE scale (19), and were asked to report their preceding 24-h alimentary intake. On the second visit, they were familiarized with the mouth rinses and performed another familiarization with the MVC before performing a preliminary MIT. During visits 3 and 4, they performed the MIT while rinsing their mouth either with CHO or with a placebo (PLA) solution. Visits 3 and 4 were performed in a randomized, counterbalanced, and double-blind design. Importantly, visits were interspaced by 3-7 days. After performing a 5-min self-paced exercise followed by 1 min controlled-pace exercise (100 W pedaling at 80 rpm), the MIT increased 25 W•min⁻¹ until exhaustion (i.e., the inability to maintain 80 rpm pedal cadence), while strong verbal encouragement was provided by the same researcher. The cyclists used the same speed bicycle (Giant® Thousand Oaks, CA, United States) individually adjusted before each test, connected to a cycle-simulator (Computrainer[™] Lab 3D, RacerMate, Seattle, United States) calibrated according to the manufacturer's recommendation.

2.3 Dietary control

We decided to evaluate the cyclists in a fed and normal muscle glycogen state, thus mimicking a condition met in practical situations, as individuals are frequently oriented to consume a balanced diet ~2 h before an MIT in practical situations such as in clinical and sports science environments. Thus, the cyclists followed an individual, one-day alimentary plan (~55% CHO, ~25% protein, and ~20% fat) based on the alimentary record previously provided in the first visit. Then, the cyclists were required to repeat the dietary plan before the experimental sessions, including a breakfast 2 h before the

experimental trials. Upon their arrival at the laboratory, we asked them to confirm that they had followed the diet recommendations, mainly the individualized breakfast composed of ~55% CHO, ~25% protein, and ~20% fat.

2.4 Mouth rinse

The cyclists rinsed their mouths with 25 mL of CHO or PLA solution for the last 10s of the controlled-pace warm-up, spitting the substance into a bowl immediately after the mouth rinse (20). The volume spat into the bowl was checked after the test to confirm they had not ingested the solution. Importantly, in addition to the practical approach as above clarified, an MIT is comparable to a high-intensity time trial (9) so that the vigorous body movement and hyperventilation after VT₂ limit the use of mouth rinse. In contrast, removing the mask of the gas analyzer during the MIT would increase the likelihood of experimental error associated with gaseous exchanges. The CHO solution was composed of 64 g of glucose diluted in 1,000 mL of water (6.4%), while the PLA solution was made of 36.2 g of non-caloric saccharin-based sweetener diluted in 1,000 mL of water (3.6%). These concentrations are in agreement with previous results suggesting that a higher concentration than 6% of CHO produces no increment in exercise performance (21, 22). Importantly, we confirmed that participants were unable to distinguish the placebo from the CHO solution during the familiarization visit.

2.5 Twitch interpolation protocol

The pre- and post-knee extension torque measures (twitch torque and interpolated torque) were performed on the dominant limb through a Biodex System 3 (Biomedical Systems [®], Newark, CA, United States) immediately before and after the MIT (<40 s). Participants were seated on the chair, individually adjusted to maintain the hips and knees at 90° and 60°, respectively, from the horizontal plane. Importantly, the chest and hips were carefully fixed to avoid any accessory movements. The estimated center of rotation of the knee joint was visually aligned with the Biodex rotation center. Torque measures were assumed to be the torque produced by the Biodex motor, adjusted by gravity.

To impose supramaximal electrical stimuli, two 7.5 × 13-centimeter self-adhesive electrodes (Mundinter Dantec Clavis ®, Lisbon, Portugal) were positioned on the first- (cathode) and secondthirds (anode) of distance from the anterior superior iliac spine to the proximal border of the patella of the right thigh, respectively. Before the electrode's placement, regions of the cathode and anode were shaved, abraded, and cleaned using alcohol to reduce skin impedance. Two pulses of 1 ms at 100 Hz were delivered over the muscle belly (Nicolet Viking Quest portable EMG apparatus; CareFusion ®, San Diego, CA, United States) using an individualized intensity determined during the maximum torque at rest (23). To perform the maximal torque test, the participants were instructed to perform a 5-s maximal MVC of the knee extensors. Electric stimuli were imposed at the maximal torque plateau (visually identified) (24), while the second stimulus was imposed 1.5s after the end of the MVC (potentiated twitch). Torque values were controlled by visual feedback and saved for offline analysis (LabVIEW 2010, National Instruments,

São Paulo, Brazil). Voluntary activation (VA) is described by the Equation (1) (25, 26). Delta of peak twitch torque (Δ Tw) was measured using the potentiated 100 Hz doublet (after MVC), pre- and post-MIT to determine changes in peripheral fatigue (7, 15).

$$VA(\%) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{interpolated \ twitch}{potentiated \ twitch}\right)\right] * 100 \tag{1}$$

2.6 Measures and data analysis

2.6.1 Maximal incremental test performance

Time-to-exhaustion, PPO, and power output at VT_1 and VT_2 expressed as absolute and relative values (% PPO) were used as performance outcomes.

2.6.2 Cardiopulmonary responses

Cardiopulmonary responses such as oxygen uptake (VO₂) and minute ventilation (VE) were assessed breath-by-breath through an open-system gas analyzer (Cosmed, Quark PT, Albano Laziale, Rome, Italy) calibrated before each test. Expired air volume was assessed through a bi-directional flow sensor calibrated before each test using a 3-L air syringe. The cyclists used a mask (Hans Rudolph R, Lenexa, KS, United States) connected to the gas analyzer to measure breath-by-breath gaseous exchange, which was filtered to 10 s epochs thereafter. VO_{2MAX} was calculated as the average of the last 30 s of the test (27). Moreover, two evaluators visually identified VT1 and VT2 at the first and second breakpoints of the VE-time relationship, respectively. These breakpoints were confirmed by the breakpoint of the expired fractions of O_2 (FeO₂) and CO₂ (FeCO₂) responses (27). The PPO was calculated as suggested by De Pauw et al. (18) (Equation 2), where PO1 is the power output during the last fully completed stage, PO2 is the power output during the highest stage (not completed), T1 is the time (in min) of the previous stage duration, and T2 is the time spent at the last stage.

$$PPO = PO1 + \frac{\left[\left(PO2 - PO1 \right) T2 \right]}{T1}$$
(2)

2.6.3 Ratings of perceived exertion

The RPE was assessed at the end of each MIT stage so that the RPE corresponding to VT_1 and VT_2 were reported. In addition, the slope of the RPE-time relationship (RPE_{SLOPE}) was used to verify how cyclists' RPE increased throughout the MIT after CHO or PLA mouth rinse.

2.7 Statistical analysis

Data normality and homoscedasticity were initially checked through the Shapiro–Wilk and Levene tests, respectively. Outcomes such as VO_{2MAX} , PPO, time-to-exhaustion, and RPE_{SLOPE} were compared using a paired Student's t-test (CHO vs. PLA). Absolute and relative values of PPO and VO_2 (% PPO or % VO_{2MAX}) and RPE at VT_1 and VT_2 were compared through a 2 × 2 mixed model having

	Carbohydrate	Placebo
VO_{2MAX} (ml•kg ⁻¹ •min ⁻¹)	51.9±7.0	51.2±5.3
$VO_2 (ml \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1})$ at VT_1	33.5±3.1	33.4±3.6
$VO_2 (ml \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1})$ at VT_2	48.2±7.0	47.6 ± 4.8
VO ₂ (%VO _{2MAX}) at VT ₁	60.4±8.0	60.4 ± 5.0
VO_2 (% VO_{2MAX}) at VT_2	85.8±3.8	85.9±3.1
PPO (W)	328.8±42.0	332.3±42.2
PO (W) at VT ₁	170.8±21.6	183.3±30.0
PO (W) at VT ₂	283.3±35.9	289.0±36.1
PO (% PPO) at VT ₁	52.2±5.5	55.2±6.8
PO (PPO) at VT ₂	86.3±6.0	87.2±6.4
Time to exhaustion (s)	610.4±99.1	622.4±94.8

Results were expressed as mean \pm SD.

time (VT₁ vs. VT₂) and treatment (CHO vs. PLA) as fixed factor, while subjects were the random factor. Accordingly, VA and Tw values obtained pre- and post-MIT were compared through a 2 × 2 mixed model having time (pre- vs. post-MIT) and treatment (CHO vs. PLA) as fixed factors, while the subjects were the random factor. Multiple comparisons were corrected through Bonferroni's test when *F* values were significant. Effect size (ES) was calculated according to the family test used, expressed as Cohen's *d*, to make possible comparisons with previous studies. Moreover, ES values were interpreted as proposed by Hopkins et al. (28) as Cohen's $d \le 0.1$ is considered small, 0.1> and <0.3 moderate, 0.3> and <0.5 large, 0.5> and <0.9 very large, and >0.9 extremely large. Statistical significance was set at 5% (p < 0.05).

3 Results

3.1 MIT performance and outcomes

There was no significant difference in VO_{2MAX} (t=0.552, p=0.60, d=0.10, ES small), PPO (t=-0.785, p=0.45, d=0.08, ES small), and time-to-exhaustion (t=-1.259, p=0.24, d=0.12, ES small) between CHO and PLA mouth rinse. RPE increased throughout the MIT similarly in both CHO and PLA mouth rinse conditions, given the comparable RPE_{SLOPE} between conditions (t=-0.610, p=0.56, d=0.19, ES=moderate).

As expected, responses at VT₁ were different from VT₂; thus, we omitted time main effects from the manuscript to make the reading easier. There was no treatment main effect, so absolute VO₂ (*F*=0.08, p=0.78, d=0.15, ES small) and power output values (*F*=1.33, p=0.28, d=0.58, ES large), as well as % VO_{2MAX} (*F*=0.003, p=0.96, d=0.03, ES small) and % PPO (*F*=0.64, p=0.45, d=0.40, ES large), were comparable between CHO and PLA conditions. Additionally, no treatment-by-time interaction effect was found either in absolute VO₂ (*F*=0.10, p=0.76, d=0.17, ES small) and power output values (*F*=0.26, p=0.62, d=0.26, ES moderate) or in % VO_{2MAX} (F=0.003, p=0.96, d=0.03, ES small) and % PPO values (*F*=0.31, p=0.58, d=0.28, ES moderate). Accordingly, neither treatment main effect (*F*=1.13, p=0.31, d=0.53, ES=large) nor time-by-treatment



interaction effect (F=0.79, p=0.40, d=0.44, ES=large) was observed in RPE. All these MIT outcomes were reported in Table 1.

3.2 Voluntary activation and twitch interpolation responses

There was neither the time-by-treatment interaction effect (F=0.87, p=0.37, d=0.54, ES large) nor the treatment main effect (F=0.22, p=0.65, d=0.27, ES moderate); however, a time main effect (F=7.89, p=0.01, d=0.62, ES large) was detected on torque responses, indicating an exercise-induced reduction (Figure 1).

Accordingly, neither the time-by-treatment interaction effect (F=0.01, p=0.94, d=0.04, ES small) nor the treatment main effect (F=0.06, p=0.82, d=0.14, ES moderate) was observed in VA, despite the borderline value of p and extremely large ES (F=3.79, p=0.07, d=1.12, ES extremely large) suggesting an exercise-reduced VA (i.e., time main effect). Similarly, neither the time-by-treatment interaction effect (F=1.50, p=0.25, d=0.71, ES very large) nor the treatment main effect (F=0.62, p=0.45, d=0.45, ES large) were observed in Δ Tw; however, the time main effect in Δ Tw (F=96.20, p<0.01, d=5.66, ES extremely large) evidenced exercise-increased peripheral fatigue. Figures 2A,B show central and peripheral fatigue responses.



4 Discussion

We investigated if MIT outcomes may be improved with CHO mouth rinse due to reduced central fatigue and RPE. In agreement with previous results in short-cycling exercises (8, 29), we found that CHO mouth rinse did not improve MIT outcomes such as VT_1 , VT_2 , PPO, and VO_{2MAX} , although moderate-to-large effect sizes may suggest some beneficial effects in VT_1 and VT_2 outcomes. Despite the moderate-to-large effect size, we also found null effects of CHO mouth rinse in the central fatigue index, as reported elsewhere (8, 15). These results provide support to suggest that CHO mouth rinse has neglectable beneficial effects to reduce central fatigue and improve performance outcomes in MIT (8).

Several studies have suggested that CHO mouth rinse may improve different performance outcomes (3, 30), despite some null effects reported elsewhere (8, 14, 31). An earlier study showed improvements in ~1 h cycling time trial performance with CHO mouth rinse when participants were evaluated in fasted († 3.4%) as well as fed state († 1.8%), although the lower effect was in the fasted state (32). Thus, we used a logic-driven hypothesis to expect some improvement in MIT performance even though participants were in a fed state. In brief, we evaluated participants in a fed state to mimic practical situations from sports scenarios, as individuals are usually recommended to consume a balanced diet ~2h before an MIT. Our results showed that CHO mouth rinse was ineffective at improving MIT performance outcomes such as time-to-exhaustion, PPO, and power output at VT₁ and VT₂. Consequently, no changes were observed in VO₂ responses such as VO_{2MAX} and VO_2 at VT_1 and VT_2 . Furthermore, PLA and CHO also showed comparable RPE responses throughout the MIT. These null results relate to the mechanisms suggested for the CHO mouth rinse ergogenic aid and to the exercise mode used in the present study.

Earlier studies by Chambers et al. (3) and Turner et al. (4) suggested that the presence of CHO in the oral cavity may increase the activation in motor planning cerebral areas associated with the reward circuit system and exercise regulation (33). Chambers et al. (3) showed that those cyclists who improved their time-trial performance at the same RPE in study 1 (greater average power output and reduced elapsed time in the last 75% of the cycling trial) elicited a further enhanced cerebral activation in frontal and premotor areas in study 2. Thus, they proposed that the enhanced activity in areas related to reward and exercise regulation in study 2 explained the improved performance and reduced RPE during exercise in study 1 (3, 4, 33). This mechanism may be potentiated in the participants in a fasted rather than fed state. Studies have observed that variations in the food-derived metabolic signals such as plasma ghrelin and leptin may play a role in the CHO mouth rinse-induced modulation in cerebral activity, as this signalization may induce greater cerebral responses mainly in periods of food abstinence longer than 4h (10). Consequently, CHO mouth rinse-increased cerebral activation may not have been optimal in the present study, as participants were assessed in a fed state. Furthermore, the fact that the participants were allowed to have CHO meals while avoiding intense exercises during the 24h before the experimental sessions likely preserved their muscle glycogen stores upon their arrival at the laboratory. This fact may have minimized the impact of an eventual improved cerebral activation due to the CHO mouth rinse, thus contributing to the null effects observed in MIT performance.

The exercise mode used in the present study may also have minimized the ergogenic aid effect of the CHO mouth rinse. We found no CHO mouth rinse effect on exercise-induced central fatigue, as reductions in VA after MIT were comparable between CHO (\$\$\propto -3.01%\$) and PLA (1 ~4.14%) mouth rinses. Similarly, RPE responses were comparable between both mouth rinses. CHO mouth rinse may reduce RPE and potentiate performance in long rather than short-term exercises, as the former is known to induce considerable levels of central fatigue (7). Thomas et al. (7) observed that short-lasting time trials such as 4km induced greater peripheral fatigue as measured by potentiated quadriceps twitch force (i.e., ΔTw) while longer-lasting ones (20 and 40 km) induced greater central fatigue. Hence, considering the central action of CHO mouth rinse, this strategy may be effective in attenuating central fatigue (34) and potentiating performance in cycling exercises longer than MIT exercises. Interestingly, we found that CHO mouth rinse failed to potentiate a 4-km cycling time trial despite the reduced central fatigue (8). Considering these exercise modes induce comparable physiological responses mainly from VT₂ intensities (9), the ineffectiveness of the CHO mouth rinse to improve MIT outcomes may be possibly related to the fact that peripheral rather than central mechanisms limit performance in this exercise mode.

4.1 Methodological aspects and practical implications

Some methodological aspects should be highlighted. First, we are aware that neuromuscular fatigue recovers as soon as the exercise has been terminated so the time taken to obtain neuromuscular measures after the MIT may be a concern. However, we rehearsed the transition from the bike to the isokinetic dynamometer several times, which greatly decreased the transition time (~40 s). Although we may have missed some of the immediate changes post-MIT, in our experience, this time window is sufficient to detect neuromuscular changes as those reported in the literature (7, 8).

Most CHO mouth rinse studies used rinses at regular intervals, frequently at every 12.5% of the test duration, when investigating its effects on exercise performance (3, 11, 35). In contrast, in the present study, the participants rinsed their mouths once during the last 1 min of the cycling warm-up. This strategy was used for different reasons. First, the use of mouth rinse during an MIT, mainly from VT₂ intensity, could preclude participants from the maximal effort, as they would have to rinse their mouth and spit the solution out while hyperventilating. Furthermore, as the gaseous exchange was assessed throughout the MIT, the mouth rinse would require removing the gas analyzer mask during exercise, thus increasing the likelihood of error on the gaseous exchange measure. Hence, considering the fact that CHO mouth rinse effects seem to be timely (6), the use of a single 10-s mouth rinse before the MIT commencement is apparently ineffective in improving MIT outcomes.

Moreover, despite controversial results indicating positive (32, 36) and null effects of CHO mouth rinse in both fed and fasted states (29, 37), Lane et al. (32) suggested that the magnitude of the CHO mouth rinse effect may be reduced when the subjects are fed. This suggestion challenges the utility of this strategy to potentiate exercise performance in clinical and sports sciences scenarios, as athletes and exercise practitioners are frequently recommended to avoid a fasted state when exercising (38). A systematic review found that the post-prandial state did not moderate the CHO mouth rinse effects on exercise performance (2), thus indicating that muscle glycogen availability may be the primary factor influencing the ergogenic benefit of CHO mouth rinse. Therefore, future studies are necessary to reveal if rinsing the mouth with CHO solution effectively reverses a depleted muscle glycogen-reduced MIT performance.

Anecdotal data suggest a growing use of this strategy to improve performance in different endurance sports, such as cycling (8). The fact that CHO mouth rinse neither induces gastric discomfort during exercise (as no ingestion is necessary) nor belongs to the banned substances list, according to the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA), may put this strategy as a promising ergogenic aid in endurance sports scenarios. Consequently, trainers, coaches, and cyclists may be interested in knowing if CHO mouth rinse really influences MIT outcomes used to prescribe and monitor endurance cycling training sessions such as power output and RPE at VT1 and VT2 intensities. The results of the present study challenged the use of MIT with this purpose, although some clarification should be addressed at this moment. In brief, we did not calculate the sample size required to detect likely CHO mouth rinse effects according to the most probable effect size. In an earlier study (2), we calculated that CHO mouth rinses had only a small, despite significant effect size on cycling performance when expressed as Hedge's coefficient (g=0.25; p=0.02), so that a very large and unrealistic sample size of 128 participants would be necessary to detect an eventual beneficial effect on cycling exercise performance in a paired T-test design as used in the present study. The post-hoc calculations revealed only a small CHO mouth rinse effect size on maximal parameters such as PPO and time-to-exhaustion. However, the moderate-to-large effect sizes found in power output values at VT₁ and VT₂ suggest that a sample size with nine participants was probably underpowered to detect beneficial CHO mouth rinse effects in these submaximal performance parameters. Therefore, despite the methodologically sound challenge of the use of CHO mouth rinse in MIT outcomes such as PPO and timeto-exhaustion, future studies with greater sample sizes are required to

confirm the effectiveness of this nutritional strategy in VT_1 and VT_2 in participants in a fed state.

5 Conclusion

Our results showed that rinsing the mouth with CHO before commencing an MIT was ineffective in reducing central fatigue, lowering the RPE, and improving MIT performance expressed as PPO and time-to-exhaustion. However, moderate-to-large effect sizes in power output values at VT_1 and VT_2 may suggest some beneficial CHO mouth rinse effects on these MIT outcomes.

Data availability statement

All relevant data are within the paper. The raw data of this article may be made available by request.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethical Committee of the University of São Paulo (#0023.0.342.000-10). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

FOP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FAP: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. CB: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft. PF-A: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft. KV: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft. EM: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AR: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. CU: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The CNPq-Brazil sponsored FOP (#307212/2022-0) and CU (#307072/2016-9 and # 303085/2015-0, respectively) and CAPES-Brazil sponsored EM. This study was supported by FAPESP-Brazil (# 2010/01317-0, # 2016/164963, and 2020/14730-4), CAPES Brazil (PRODOC 29/2010), and CNPq-Brazil (#407307/2021-4).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Rollo IAN, Williams C, Nevill M. Influence of ingesting versus mouth rinsing a carbohydrate solution during a 1-h run. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. (2011) 43:468–75. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181f1cda3

2. Brietzke C, Franco-Alvarenga PE, Coelho-Júnior HJ, Silveira R, Asano RY, Pires FO. Effects of carbohydrate mouth rinse on cycling time trial performance: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* (2019) 49:57–66. doi: 10.1007/s40279-018-1029-7

3. Chambers ES, Bridge MW, Jones DA. Carbohydrate sensing in the human mouth: effects on exercise performance and brain activity. *J Physiol.* (2009) 587:1779–94. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2008.164285

4. Turner CE, Byblow WD, Stinear CM, Gant N. Carbohydrate in the mouth enhances activation of brain circuitry involved in motor performance and sensory perception. *Appetite.* (2014) 80:212–9. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2014.05.020

5. de Araujo IE, Simon SA, De AIE, Simon SA. The gustatory cortex and multisensory integration. *Int J Obes.* (2009) 33:S34–43. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2009.70

 Gant N, Stinear CM, Byblow WD. Carbohydrate in the mouth immediately facilitates motor output. *Brain Res.* (2010) 1350:151–8. doi: 10.1016/j. brainres.2010.04.004

7. Thomas K, Goodall S, Stone M, Howatson G, St Clair Gibson A, Ansley L. Central and peripheral fatigue in male cyclists after 4-, 20-, and 40-km time trials. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2015) 47:537–46. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000000448

8. Pires FO, Brietzke C, Pinheiro FA, Veras K, De Mattos ECT, Rodacki ALF, et al. Carbohydrate mouth rinse fails to improve four-kilometer cycling time trial performance. *Nutrients.* (2018) 10:342. doi: 10.3390/nu10030342

9. Pires FO, Dos Anjos CA, Covolan RJM, Pinheiro FA, St Clair Gibson A, Noakes TD, et al. Cerebral regulation in different maximal aerobic exercise modes. *Front Physiol.* (2016) 7:253. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00253

10. Painelli VS, Brietzke C, Franco-Alvarenga PE, Canestri R, Vinícius Í, Pires FO. A narrative review of current concerns and future perspectives of the carbohydrate mouth rinse effects on exercise performance. *SAGE Open Med.* (2022) 10:205031212210981. doi: 10.1177/20503121221098120

11. Fraga C, Velasques B, Koch AJ, Machado M, Paulucio D, Ribeiro P, et al. Carbohydrate mouth rinse enhances time to exhaustion during treadmill exercise. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*. (2015) 37:17–22. doi: 10.1111/cpf.12261

12. Bentley DJ, Newell J, Bishop D. Incremental exercise test design and analysis: implications for performance diagnostics in endurance athletes. *Sports Med.* (2007) 37:575–86. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200737070-00002

13. Shephard RJ. Tests of maximum oxygen intake. A critical review. *Sports Med.* (1984) 1:99–124. doi: 10.2165/00007256-198401020-00002

14. Kulaksız TN, Koşar ŞN, Bulut S, Güzel Y, Willems MET, Hazir T, et al. Mouth rinsing with maltodextrin solutions fails to improve time trial endurance cycling rerformance in recreational athletes. *Nutrients*. (2016) 8:2–15. doi: 10.3390/nu8050269

15. Jeffers R, Shave R, Ross E, Stevenson EJ, Goodall S. The effect of a carbohydrate mouth-rinse on neuromuscular fatigue following cycling exercise. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* (2015) 40:557–64. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2014-0393

16. Carter JM, Jeukendrup AE, Jones DA. The effect of carbohydrate mouth rinse on 1-h cycle time trial performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2004) 36:2107–11. doi: 10.1249/01.MSS.0000147585.65709.6F

17. Rollo I, Cole M, Miller R, Williams C. Influence of mouth rinsing a carbohydrate solution on 1-h running performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2010) 42:798–804. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181bac6e4

18. De Pauw K, Roelands B, Cheung SS, de Geus B, Rietjens G, Meeusen R. Guidelines to classify subject groups in sport-science research. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. (2013) 8:111–22. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.8.2.111

19. Borg GA. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (1982) 14:377–81. doi: 10.1249/00005768-198205000-00012

20. Sinclair J, Bottoms L, Flynn C, Bradley E, Alexander G, McCullagh S, et al. The effect of different durations of carbohydrate mouth rinse on cycling performance. *Eur J Sport Sci.* (2014) 14:259–64. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2013.785599

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

21. James RM, Ritchie S, Rollo I, James LJ. No dose response effect of carbohydrate mouth rinse on cycling time trial performance. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* (2016) 27:25–31. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2016-0111

22. Devenney S, Collins K, Shortall M. Effects of various concentrations of carbohydrate mouth rinse on cycling performance in a fed state. *Eur J Sport Sci.* (2016) 16:1073–8. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2016.1196735

23. Place N, Casartelli N, Glatthorn JF, Maffiuletti NA. Comparison of quadriceps inactivation between nerve and muscle stimulation. *Muscle Nerve*. (2010) 42:894–900. doi: 10.1002/mus.21776

24. Kent-Braun JA. Central and peripheral contributions to muscle fatigue in humans during sustained maximal effort. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.* (1999) 80:57–63. doi: 10.1007/s004210050558

25. Shield A, Zhou S. Assessing voluntary muscle activation with the twitch interpolation technique. *Sports Med.* (2004) 34:253-67. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200434040-00005

26. Pageaux B, Marcora SM, Rozand V, Lepers R. Mental fatigue induced by prolonged self-regulation does not exacerbate central fatigue during subsequent whole-body endurance exercise. *Front Hum Neurosci.* (2015) 9:67. doi: 10.3389/ fnhum.2015.00067

27. Weston SB, Gray AB, Schneider DA, Gass GC. Effect of ramp slope on ventilation thresholds and VO₂peak in male cyclists. *Int J Sports Med.* (2002) 23:22–7. doi: 10.1055/s-2002-19267

28. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J. Progressive statistics for studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2009) 41:3–12. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818cb278

29. Beelen M, Berghuis J, Bonaparte B, Ballak SB, Jeukendrup AE, van Loon LJC. Carbohydrate mouth rinsing in the fed state: lack of enhancement of time-trial performance. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* (2009) 19:400–9. doi: 10.1123/ ijsnem.19.4.400

30. Murray KO, Paris HL, Fly AD, Chapman RF, Mickleborough TD. Carbohydrate mouth rinse improves cycling time-trial performance without altering plasma insulin concentration. *J Sports Sci Med.* (2018) 17:145–52.

31. Ali A, Yoo MJY, Moss C, Breier BH. Carbohydrate mouth rinsing has no effect on power output during cycling in a glycogen-reduced state. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr.* (2016) 13:19. doi: 10.1186/s12970-016-0131-1

32. Lane SC, Bird SR, Burke LM, Hawley JA. Effect of a carbohydrate mouth rinse on simulated cycling time-trial performance commenced in a fed or fasted state. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* (2013) 38:134–9. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2012-0300

33. Meeusen R, Pires FO, Lutz K, Cheung SS, Perrey S, Rauch HGL, et al. A role for the prefrontal cortex in exercise tolerance and termination. *J Appl Physiol.* (2016) 120:464–6. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00363.2015

34. Ridderinkhof KR, van den Wildenberg WPM, Segalowitz SJ, Carter CS. Neurocognitive mechanisms of cognitive control: the role of prefrontal cortex in action selection, response inhibition, performance monitoring, and reward-based learning. *Brain Cogn.* (2004) 56:129–40. doi: 10.1016/J.BANDC.2004.09.016

35. Carter JM, Jeukendrup AE, Mann CH, Jones DA. The effect of glucose infusion on glucose kinetics during a 1-h time trial. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. (2004) 36:1543–50. doi: 10.1249/01.MSS.0000139892.69410.D8

36. Fares E-JJM, Kayser B. Carbohydrate mouth rinse effects on exercise capacity in pre-and postprandial states. *J Nutr Metab.* (2011) 2011:385962. doi: 10.1155/2011/385962

37. Trommelen J, Beelen M, Mullers M, Gibala MJ, van Loon LJC, Cermak NM. A sucrose mouth rinse does not improve 1-hr cycle time trial performance when performed in the fasted or fed state. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* (2015) 25:576–83. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2015-0094

38. Thomas DT, Erdman KA, Burke LM. Position of the academy of nutrition and dietetics, dietitians of Canada, and the American College of Sports Medicine: nutrition and athletic performance. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. (2016) 116:501–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2015.12.006