Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Owen Kelly, Sam Houston State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Antoneta Granic, Newcastle University, United Kingdom Gloria Olaso-Gonzalez, University of Valencia, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Wenwen Chen Image: Chenwenwen@wmu.edu.cn Chan Chen Image: Chenchan99@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 19 December 2023 ACCEPTED 25 March 2024 PUBLISHED 05 April 2024

CITATION

Chen K, Yin Q, Guan J, Yang J, Ma Y, Hu Y, Chen C and Chen W (2024) Association between the oxidative balance score and low muscle mass in middle-aged US adults. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1358231. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1358231

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chen, Yin, Guan, Yang, Ma, Hu, Chen and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between the oxidative balance score and low muscle mass in middle-aged US adults

Kun Chen¹, Qiang Yin², Jiangan Guan², Jingwen Yang², Yuan Ma², Yu Hu², Chan Chen^{2*†} and Wenwen Chen^{2*†}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China, ²Department of Geriatric Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

Background: Oxidative Balance Score (OBS) is a tool for assessing the oxidative stress-related exposures of diet and lifestyle. The study aimed to investigate the association between OBS and low muscle mass.

Methods: Overall, 6,307 individuals over the age of 18 were assessed using data from the 2011 to 2018 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Weighted logistic regression and models were used, together with adjusted models.

Results: There was a negative relationship between OBS and low muscle mass [odds ratio (OR): 0.96, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.94-0.97, p < 0.0001] using the first OBS level as reference. The values (all 95% CI) were 0.745 (0.527-1.054) for the second level, 0.650 (0.456-0.927) for the third level, and 0.326 (0.206-0.514) for the fourth level (P for trend <0.0001). Independent links with low muscle mass were found for diet and lifestyle factors. A restricted cubic spline model indicated a non-linear association between OBS and low muscle mass risk (P for non-linearity<0.05). In addition, the inflection points of the nonlinear curves for the relationship between OBS and risk of low muscle mass were 20.

Conclusion: OBS and low muscle mass were found to be significantly negatively correlated. By modulating oxidative balance, a healthy lifestyle and antioxidant rich diet could be a preventive strategy for low muscle mass.

KEYWORDS

oxidative balance score, oxidative stress, low muscle mass, sarcopenia, diet

1 Introduction

Sarcopenia is a common muscle disease associated with aging and is defined as low levels of both muscle mass and strength (1). Sarcopenia is linked with various adverse outcomes including increased risks of falls and fractures (2, 3), resulting in reduced mobility and quality of life. Associations between sarcopenia and various diseases and disorders have been reported, including cardiovascular and respiratory disease, liver cirrhosis, and cognitive impairment (4–8). Additionally, sarcopenia patients have higher risks of hospitalization and higher costs of hospital care, which impose a heavy economic burden on individuals, healthcare systems, and society. Low muscle mass is a major feature of sarcopenia and is also associated with

age-related disorders (9). The muscular system is important in maintaining human function. Therefore the early prevention or even reversal of low muscle mass is essential to prevent the progression of sarcopenia and its negative consequences.

Oxidative stress occurs when the balance between oxidants and antioxidants is disrupted, which results from the excessive accumulation of free radicals such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) (10). Oxygen derived free radicals and their derivatives are collectively referred to as ROS, which have oxidative properties. Although the mechanism of skeletal muscle damage has not yet been elucidated, the recent research have explained that the increased production of reactive oxygen species causes a decrease in myoblasts and trigger muscle atrophy apoptosis of muscle cells and may also induce a decrease in muscle strength (11).

Evidence indicates that consumption of nutrients such as vitamin C (12) and vitamin E (13), can prevent oxidative stress, while prooxidants such as smoking (14) and higher iron (15) intake can increase ROS levels and induce oxidative stress-related cell damage. As factors influencing the oxidative balance in individuals may be small but significant, possibly involving multiple interactions between prooxidant and antioxidants (16), a comprehensive assessment of exposure to these factors may more accurately indicate the overall oxidative stress burden in individuals.

The Oxidative Balance Score (OBS) is a composite measure of oxidative stress-related exposures that assesses the oxidative balance of an individual (17). OBS reflects the overall oxidative stress burden by determining both pro- and antioxidant factors in the lifestyle and diet. Lower OBS values reflect higher exposure to oxidants (18). Previous studies have reported associations between OBS and diseases linked to inflammation, such as cardiovascular and chronic kidney disease, type 2 diabetes, and cancer (19–23). However, there has been no previous research on the relationship between OBS and low muscle mass. Therefore, based on the National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHANES) from 2011 to 2018, we performed a cross-sectional analysis to assess the relationship between diet- and lifestyle-associated factors in OBS and low muscle mass.

2 Methods

2.1 Data and participants

This research used data from the NHANES conducted between 2011 and 2018. NHANES is a survey program by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) aimed at assessing the health and nutritional statuses of individuals in the United States. These were assessed in NHANES using stratification, multiple stages, and probability clusters. The protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics, with participants providing written informed consent.

Individuals <18 years were not included, nor were those with missing data. Data on age, sex, race, education information, marital status, income, dual-energy x-ray (DXA) absorptiometry, diet, body mass index (BMI), blood biochemistry, blood cell counts, physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, and cotinine were included. Finally, 6,307 eligible samples were included in the analysis (Figure 1).

2.2 Assessment of body compositions, DXA, and low muscle mass

The measurements included height in cm, weight in kg, and waist circumference in cm. Pregnant women and those with positive urine test for pregnancy were not included in the study. Additionally, individuals weighing over 136 kg or standing taller than 195.6 cm were not eligible for DXA scanning.

BMI was determined as weight/height². DXA scans were conducted on participants up to the age of 59 using a Hologic Discovery model A densitometer (Hologic, Bedford, MA, United States). Skeletal muscle was defined as mass that was neither fat nor bone, and appendicular skeletal muscle mass (ASM) was determined as the total mass of the lean soft tissue of the extremities. Muscle mass was assessed by the ASM index (ASMI) which was determined as the total ASM in kg divided by the BMI in kg/m² (24). Low muscle mass was assessed using the ASMI with the cut-off values of ASMI <0.512 for women and <0.789 for men (5).

2.3 Exposure definitions

The OBS was classified into dietary and lifestyle OBS. OBS was determined as described (25) by combining 5 prooxidant and 15 antioxidant exposure factors.

The NHANES assessed nutritional intake using a 24-h dietary recall interview. In-person interviews of all participants were conducted by individuals trained in dietary assessment. In addition, the analysis of lifestyle OBS included factors such as BMI, smoking and drinking, and physical activity.

In previous literatures, most OBS used both the populationdependent and predefined components (26-30). The relationship between the outcome variable and OBS can be analyzed using various methods based on the study size and OBS distribution. For example, by dividing OBS into ordinal categories or by using OBS as a continuous variable (31). Regarding to the population-dependent components, they were mostly divided into quantiles or tertiles (26, 27, 32, 33). Consumption of alcohol (predefined components) was assessed by the number of alcoholic drinks taken each day over the previous year. The categories used were non-drinkers and light drinkers, defined as 0-5 g/d for females and 0-30 g/d for males and heavy drinkers (≥ 15 g/d for females and ≥ 30 g/d for males), which were allocated 2, 1, and 0 points, respectively (25). All continuous score variables were divided into tertiles on the basis of the distribution of these variables in the participants. Then, other components were divided into three groups by their sex-specific tertiles. For dietary antioxidants, the first to third tertile were assigned score of 0-2, while prooxidants were given scores of 0 for the top tertile and 2 for the bottom tertile, as summarized in Table 1. We chose plasma cotinine, the main metabolite of nicotine, for quantitative exposure assessment studies. This was used as cotinine not only has a longer half-life than nicotine in the blood but can also assess "passive smoking," i.e., exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS). Physical activity was assessed by the metabolic equivalent task (MET), using the formula: physical activity (met·min/week) = recommended MET × exercise time for corresponding activities (min/day)×the number of exercise days per week (day) (34).



In summary, the overall OBS was determined by summing the points for the individual components, with higher OBS values indicating higher antioxidant levels and low pro-oxidant levels.

The OBS had combined the contributions of both diet and lifestyle. To study whether diet or lifestyle factors would have a significant impact on the association between OBS and low muscle mass, respectively, we calculated a dietary OBS by excluding four lifestyle variables: BMI, cotinine, alcohol consumption, and physical activity from the OBS measures that have been described above and calculated a lifestyle OBS that only included these four variables OBS.

2.4 Covariates

The demographic variables assessed were age (years), race (Black/ White/other race), sex (female/male), marital status (widowed/ married/never married/divorced or separated), educational level (high school or above and below high school), and the family income to poverty ratio (PIR) $(0-1.0, \ge 1 \text{ to } 2.0, \ge 2.0 \text{ to } 4.0, \text{ and} \ge 4.0)$ (The higher the ratio, the more affluent). Hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM) and cerebrovascular disease (CVD), asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and chronic kidney disease (CKD) were self-reported after diagnosis by physicians. Hypertension was recorded if the participant was taking antihypertensives or had systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mmHg. Based on previous literatures, variables such as glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), lymphocytes, neutrophils, hemoglobin, and platelets could be confounding factors, so they were also included in the model (35-39).

2.5 Statistical analyses

All data were analyzed using the nhanesR package with the NHANES complex weighted sampling design. Treating OBS as continuous in logistic regression may lead to weak odds ratios (OR), we divided participants into four groups based on their total OBS scores and the OBS was converted to a categorical variable by quartile and computed p for trend (17, 40) (Q1 \leq 14; 14 < Q2 \leq 20; 20 < Q3 \leq 26; Q4>26). Weighted chi-squared tests and linear regression models were used for inter-group comparisons and analysis of variables, categorical and continuous, respectively. Associations between OBS and low muscle mass were assessed by multiple logistic regression models, using unadjusted (Model 1) and age- and sex-adjusted (Model 2) models, as well as Model 3 which was constructed using age, marital status, race, gender, DM, hypertension, CVD, CKD, COPD, asthma, educational status, creatinine, HbA1c, ALT, AST, lymphocytes, neutrophils, hemoglobin, platelets, and PIR. We investigated whether the shape of the relationship between OBS and low muscle mass was non-linear using the restricted cubic spline regression model, and OBS was included in the model as a continuous variable. Finally, stratified and sensitivity analyses were performed to test the consistency of the findings across subgroups and the stability of the results. p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Participant characteristics

The baseline features of the 6,307 participants are shown in Table 2. All participants were Americans aged 20–59 years (average,

TABLE 1 Components that make up the oxidative balance score.

| OBS components | Property Male | | Female | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------|---------------------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Dietary OBS components | | | | | | | |
| Dietary fiber (g/d) | А | <13.55 | 13.55-22 | ≥22 | <11.45 | 11.45-18 | ≥18 |
| Carotene (RE/d) | A | <50.708 | 50.708-171.91 | ≥171.91 | <55.84 | 55.84-196 | ≥196 |
| Riboflavin (mg/d) | A | <1.766 | 1.766-2.63 | ≥2.63 | <1.378 | 1.378-1.976 | ≥1.976 |
| Niacin (mg/d) | А | <24.874 | 24.874-34.812 | ≥34.812 | <17.095 | 17.095-24.147 | ≥24.147 |
| Vitamin B6 (mg/d) | А | <1.841 | 1.841-2.74 | ≥2.74 | <1.322 | 1.322-1.962 | ≥1.962 |
| Total folate (mcg/d) | А | <340.667 | 340.667- 517.833 | ≥517.833 | <260.5 | 260.5-392.5 | ≥392.5 |
| Vitamin B12 (mcg/d) | A | <3.61 | 3.61-6.288 | ≥6.288 | <2.485 | 2.485-4.335 | ≥4.335 |
| Vitamin C (mg/d) | A | <39.467 | 39.467-99.467 | ≥99.467 | <38.317 | 38.317-87.983 | ≥87.983 |
| Vitamin E (ATE) (mg/d) | А | <6.687 | 6.687-10.597 | ≥10.597 | <5.685 | 5.685-8.79 | ≥8.79 |
| Calcium (mg/d) | А | <799.167 | 799.167-1,215 | ≥11,215 | <634 | 634-956.667 | ≥956.667 |
| Magnesium (mg/d) | А | <270.5 | 270.5-384.833 | ≥384.833 | <216 | 216-297.5 | ≥297.5 |
| Zinc (mg/d) | А | <10.127 | 10.127-14.69 | ≥14.69 | <7.282 | 7.282-10.398 | ≥10.398 |
| Copper (mg/d) | А | <1.044 | 1.044-1.516 | ≥1.516 | <0.852 | 0.852-1.219 | ≥1.219 |
| Selenium (mcg/d) | А | <110.317 | 110.317- 154.817 | ≥154.817 | <79.017 | 79.017-112 | ≥112 |
| Total fat (g/d) | Р | ≥105.92 | 73.578-105.92 | <73.578 | ≥79.18 | 54.952-79.18 | <54.952 |
| Iron (mg/d) | Р | ≥18.407 | 12.758-18.407 | <12.758 | ≥13.855 | 9.608-13.855 | <9.608 |
| Lifestyle OBS components | | | | | | | |
| Physical activity (MET- minute/week) | А | <1800 | 1800-6,480 | ≥6,480 | <1106.667 | 1106.667-3,360 | ≥3,360 |
| Alcohol (g/d) | Р | ≥30 | 0-30 | None | ≥15 | 0-15 | None |
| Body mass index (kg/m2) | Р | ≥29.8 | 25.4-29.8 | <25.4 | ≥31.4 | 24.9-31.4 | <24.9 |
| Cotinine (ng/mL) | Р | ≥0.038 | 0.022-5.183 | <1.13 | ≥0.096 | 0.011-0.096 | < 0.011 |

A stood in for the antioxidant, P for the pro-oxidant. RE for the retinal equivalent, ATE for the alpha-tocopherol equivalent, and MET for the metabolic equivalent.

 39.33 ± 0.35) and included 2,434 (38.59%) White and 3,197 (50.69%) male participants.

Table 2 provides the weighted characteristics of the participants according to the OBS quartiles (Q1 \leq 14; 14 < Q2 \leq 20; 20 < Q3 \leq 26; Q4 > 26). Significant differences in confounders were observed between the different quartiles with participants in the top quartile more likely to be married and to have high PIR. The frequencies of low muscle mass, hypertension, diabetes, CKD, and COPD tended to increase with reduced OBS. Furthermore, in terms of OBS, individuals in the top quartile (Q4 > 26) tended to have higher levels of education.

3.2 Relationship between OBS and low muscle mass

As seen in Table 3, in adjusted model 3, higher OBS values were linked with a lower risk of lower muscle mass, with an OR of 0.96 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.94–0.97, p < 0.0001).

In this model, using the first OBS level as the reference, it was found that the OR of the second OBS level was 0.745 (95%CI, 0.527–1.054), while that of the third OBS level was 0.650 (95%CI, 0.456–0.927), and that of the fourth OBS level was 0.326 (95%CI,

0.206–0.514) with P for trend<0.0001. Thus at an OBS value >26, the likelihood of low muscle mass was reduced by 67%.

The non-linear relationship between the OBS and risk of low muscle mass was assessed using a restricted cubic spline model (P for non-linearity <0.05; Figure 2). In addition, the inflection points of the nonlinear curves for the relationship between OBS and risk of low muscle mass were 20. After the inflection point, OBS was more significantly associated with a reduced odd of low muscle mass, suggesting that OBS were associated with a more significant reduction in odd of low muscle mass after exceeding 20 points.

3.3 Relationship between the dietary/ lifestyle OBS and low muscle mass

The OBS was classified into dietary- and lifestyle-associated OBS for further evaluation of the associations between these and low muscle mass (Table 4).

Adjusted Model 3 indicated that both dietary- and lifestyleassociated OBS were negatively linked with low muscle mass (OR: 0.967; 95% CI: 0.949–0.986; p = 0.001, OR: 0.746; 95% CI: 0.676–0.823; p < 0.0001, respectively). TABLE 2 Characteristics of the study population based on oxidative balance score quartiles.

| Variable | Total | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | <i>p</i> value |
|----------------------------|----------------|---|----------------|----------------|---|----------------|
| Age (years) | 39.325(0.352) | 38.588(0.423) | 39.564(0.441) | 39.703(0.536) | 39.398(0.494) | 0.243 |
| Race/ethnicity, n (%) | | | | | | < 0.0001 |
| Black | 1,287(20.406) | 494(16.098) | 343(11.092) | 251(7.238) | 199(6.798) | |
| Other | 2,586(41.002) | 575(22.181) | 638(24.420) | 740(25.075) | 633(25.857) | |
| White | 2,434(38.592) | 636(61.721) | 587(64.488) | 674(67.686) | 537(67.345) | |
| Education, n (%) | | | | | | < 0.0001 |
| Less than high school | 903(14.317) | 308(14.086) | 240(11.245) | 192(7.307) | 163(7.484) | |
| High school | 1,316(20.866) | 460(28.773) | 313(21.033) | 331(19.445) | 212(12.855) | |
| More than high school | 4,088(64.817) | 937(57.141) | 1,015(67.723) | 1,142(73.248) | 994(79.661) | |
| Sex, n (%) | 1,000(011017) | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 1,010(071720) | 1,112(701210) | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 0.747 |
| Female | 3,110(49.31) | 847(48.769) | 750(47.233) | 824(48.742) | 689(49.956) | 0.7 17 |
| Male | 3,197(50.69) | 858(51.231) | 818(52.767) | 841(51.258) | 680(50.044) | |
| PIR, n (%) | 5,197(50.09) | 030(31.231) | 010(32.707) | 041(31.238) | 080(30.044) | < 0.0001 |
| 0–1.0 | 1 284(20 258) | 420(10.020) | 307(13.444) | 305(11.951) | 222(11.159) | < 0.0001 |
| | 1,284(20.358) | 439(19.989) | | | 233(11.158) | |
| $1.0 \le PIR < 2.0$ | 1,528(24.227) | 492(24.742) | 372(17.969) | 372(17.347) | 292(15.778) | |
| $2.0 \le \text{PIR} < 4.0$ | 1717(27.224) | 436(26.973) | 444(31.549) | 463(30.865) | 374(26.437) | |
| ≥4.0 | 1778(28.191) | 338(28.296) | 445(37.038) | 525(39.837) | 470(46.627) | 0.000 |
| Marital status, n (%) | | | | | | < 0.0001 |
| Divorced | 571(9.053) | 193(11.210) | 124(8.210) | 148(9.460) | 106(7.767) | |
| Living with partner | 678(10.75) | 191(10.743) | 177(11.353) | 182(10.647) | 128(7.555) | |
| Married | 3,107(49.263) | 722(45.142) | 802(55.532) | 830(52.773) | 753(60.062) | |
| Never married | 1,667(26.431) | 497(27.101) | 404(21.613) | 429(23.893) | 337(22.579) | |
| Separated | 203(3.219) | 72(4.122) | 45(2.424) | 53(2.243) | 33(1.366) | |
| Widowed | 81(1.284) | 30(1.681) | 16(0.868) | 23(0.984) | 12(0.672) | |
| Low muscle mass, n (%) | | | | | | < 0.0001 |
| No | 5,850(92.754) | 1,531(91.176) | 1,453(93.986) | 1,555(94.691) | 1,311(97.358) | |
| Yes | 457(7.246) | 174(8.824) | 115(6.014) | 110(5.309) | 58(2.642) | |
| HbA1c (mmol/L) | 5.479(0.015) | 5.542(0.028) | 5.485(0.029) | 5.489(0.024) | 5.392(0.024) | < 0.001 |
| Alt (IU/L) | 25.775(0.292) | 25.255(0.622) | 27.176(0.763) | 25.607(0.546) | 25.034(0.545) | 0.156 |
| Ast (IU/L) | 25.223(0.264) | 24.707(0.664) | 26.124(0.678) | 24.753(0.398) | 25.382(0.505) | 0.28 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.862(0.004) | 0.876(0.009) | 0.874(0.009) | 0.847(0.007) | 0.851(0.006) | 0.026 |
| Lymphocytes (k/µL) | 2.198(0.017) | 2.271(0.026) | 2.238(0.030) | 2.148(0.024) | 2.137(0.027) | < 0.001 |
| Neutrophils (k/µL) | 4.268(0.038) | 4.535(0.067) | 4.257(0.056) | 4.179(0.047) | 4.104(0.057) | < 0.0001 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl) | 14.321(0.033) | 14.339(0.061) | 14.427(0.051) | 14.248(0.044) | 14.279(0.043) | 0.032 |
| Platelets (k/µL) | 240.589(1.250) | 245.586(2.184) | 238.290(1.816) | 242.183(1.993) | 235.757(1.866) | 0.003 |
| CKD, n (%) | | | | | | 0.001 |
| No | 5,786(91.739) | 1,533(90.328) | 1,435(93.113) | 1,548(94.494) | 1,270(93.501) | |
| Yes | 521(8.261) | 172(9.672) | 133(6.887) | 117(5.506) | 99(6.499) | |
| COPD, n (%) | | | | | | 0.005 |
| No | 6,193(98.192) | 1,652(96.612) | 1,551(99.096) | 1,638(97.989) | 1,352(98.348) | |
| Yes | 114(1.808) | 53(3.388) | 17(0.904) | 27(2.011) | 17(1.652) | |
| Hypertension, n (%) | (, | | | | | 0.006 |
| No | 4,595(72.856) | 1,162(70.702) | 1,140(73.962) | 1,241(75.759) | 1,052(77.463) | |
| Yes | 1712(27.144) | 543(29.298) | 428(26.038) | 424(24.241) | 317(22.537) | |
| Asthma, n (%) | | | | | | 0.209 |
| No | 5,337(84.62) | 1,397(83.172) | 1,341(85.980) | 1,435(85.923) | 1,164(83.840) | 0.202 |
| Yes | 970(15.38) | 308(16.828) | 227(14.020) | 230(14.077) | 205(16.160) | |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 270(13.38) | 500(10.028) | 227(14.020) | 230(14.077) | 203(10.100) | < 0.001 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 646(10.242) | 210/0.092) | 176(0 705) | 151(7 001) | 100(4.660) | < 0.001 |
| | 646(10.243) | 219(9.983) | 176(8.705) | 151(7.881) | | |
| IFG | 260(4.122) | 76(4.052) | 70(4.868) | 73(4.105) | 41(2.481) | |
| IGT | 159(2.521) | 39(1.807) | 48(3.137) | 39(1.979) | 33(1.680) | |
| No | 5,242(83.114) | 1,371(84.158) | 1,274(83.290) | 1,402(86.035) | 1,195(91.179) | |
| Cardiovascular disease, n | | | | | | 0.055 |
| (%) | | | | | | |
| No | 6,108(96.845) | 1,628(96.438) | 1,518(97.133) | 1,621(97.710) | 1,341(98.348) | |
| Yes | 199(3.155) | 77(3.562) | 50(2.867) | 44(2.290) | 28(1.652) | |

PIR, the ratio of family income to poverty; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase. Q1:OBS ≤ 14; Q2:14 < OBS ≤ 20; Q3:20 < OBS ≤ 26; Q4: OBS > 26.

TABLE 3 Association of oxidative balance score (OBS) with low muscle mass.

| OBS | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | P for trend |
|-------------|-----|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| OR (95% CI) | | | | | |
| Model I | Ref | 0.661(0.486,0.899) | 0.579(0.434,0.773) | 0.280(0.184,0.426) | <0.0001 |
| Model II | Ref | 0.638(0.464,0.877) | 0.559(0.419,0.745) | 0.273(0.177,0.419) | <0.0001 |
| Model III | Ref | 0.745(0.527,1.054) | 0.650(0.456,0.927) | 0.326(0.206,0.514) | <0.0001 |

PIR, the ratio of family income to poverty; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase. Model 1: unadjusted. Model 2: adjusted for age and gender. Model 3: Adjusted for age, gender, race, marital status, hypertension, DM, CVD, CKD, COPD, asthma, education status, HbA1c, creatinine, ALT, AST, lymphocytes, neutrophils, hemoglobin, platelets, and PIR. Q1:OBS \leq 14; Q2:14 < OBS \leq 20; Q3:20 < OBS \geq 26; Q4: OBS > 26.



TABLE 4 Association of dietary/lifestyle oxidative balance score (OBS) with low muscle mass.

| Dietary OBS | OR (95% CI) | <i>P</i> -value | Lifestyle OBS, OR (95% CI) | <i>P</i> -value |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Model I | 0.960(0.944,0.976) | < 0.0001 | 0.695(0.645,0.749) | <0.0001 |
| Model II | 0.957(0.942,0.973) | <0.0001 | 0.698(0.648,0.752) | <0.0001 |
| Model III | 0.967(0.949,0.986) | 0.001 | 0.746(0.676,0.823) | <0.0001 |

PIR, the ratio of family income to poverty; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase. Model 1: unadjusted. Model 2: adjusted for age and gender. Model 3: Adjusted for age, gender, race, marital status, hypertension, DM, CVD, CKD, COPD, asthma, education status, HbA1c, creatinine, ALT, AST, lymphocytes, neutrophils, hemoglobin, platelets, and PIR.

3.4 Sensitivity analysis

Adjustment of the full model indicated relationships between OBS and low muscle mass in terms of PIR (p = 0.022), with higher OBS predicted to reduce the risk of low muscle mass in people with PIR of 0–1, 1–2, and greater than 4 (OR: 0.954, 95% CI:

0.925–0.984; OR: 0.965, 95% CI: 0.941–0.991; OR: 0.908, 95% CI: 0.866–0.953, respectively), while OBS was less likely to influence the risk of low muscle mass in individuals with PIR of 2.0–4.0 (OR: 0.969, 95% CI: 0.937–1.001) (Table 5). OBS and low muscle mass were observed to be significantly associated in people with educational levels of high school and above (P for interaction was

TABLE 5 Association between oxidative balance score and low muscle mass in subgroups.

| Variable | Adjust OR (95% CI) | Р | P for interaction |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Age (years) | | | 0.394 |
| <40 | 0.964(0.935, 0.993) | 0.016 | |
| ≥40 | 0.951(0.927, 0.976) | <0.001 | |
| Race/ethnicity | | | 0.072 |
| Black | 0.903(0.846, 0.964) | 0.004 | |
| Other | 0.961(0.936, 0.986) | 0.003 | |
| White | 0.949(0.925,0.975) | <0.001 | |
| Education | | | 0.018 |
| Less than high school | 0.968(0.930, 1.008) | 0.110 | |
| High school | 0.970(0.941, 0.999) | 0.044 | |
| More than high school | 0.939(0.913, 0.967) | <0.0001 | |
| Sex | | | 0.893 |
| Female | 0.953(0.924,0.983) | 0.003 | |
| Male | 0.960(0.940, 0.980) | <0.001 | |
| PIR | | | 0.022 |
| 0-1.0 | 0.954(0.925, 0.984) | 0.004 | |
| 1.0≤PIR <2.0 | 0.965(0.941,0.991) | 0.009 | |
| 2.0 ≤ PIR <4.0 | 0.969(0.937, 1.001) | 0.060 | |
| ≥4.0 | 0.908(0.866, 0.953) | <0.001 | |
| Marital status, n (%) | | | 0.303 |
| Divorced | 0.986(0.940, 1.033) | 0.532 | |
| Living with partner | 0.963(0.920, 1.009) | 0.111 | |
| Married | 0.950(0.926, 0.976) | <0.001 | |
| Never married | 0.927(0.890, 0.965) | <0.001 | |
| Separated | 0.941(0.877, 1.009) | 0.084 | |
| Widowed | 0.998(0.834,1.194) | 0.983 | |
| CKD | 0.000 (0.00 (,110 1) | 0.505 | 0.843 |
| No | 0.955(0.937,0.972) | <0.0001 | 0.045 |
| Yes | 0.959(0.910, 1.010) | 0.109 | |
| COPD | 0.939(0.910, 1.010) | 0.109 | 0.119 |
| No | 0.959(0.942,0.976) | <0.0001 | 0.119 |
| Yes | 0.754 (0.601,0.946) | 0.018 | |
| Hypertension | 0.754 (0.001,0.540) | 0.018 | 0.105 |
| No | 0.967(0.946, 0.987) | 0.002 | 0.105 |
| | | | |
| Yes | 0.939(0.912, 0.966) | <0.0001 | 0.192 |
| Asthma | 0.020/0.042_0.077) | <0.0001 | 0.186 |
| No | 0.960(0.943, 0.977) | <0.0001 | |
| Yes | 0.938(0.888, 0.990) | 0.021 | 0.720 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 0.052/0.024.0.052 | -0.0001 | 0.639 |
| No | 0.952(0.934,0.972) | <0.0001 | |
| IGT | 0.856 (0.672,1.090) | 0.176 | |
| DM | 0.966(0.916, 1.018) | 0.188 | |
| IFG | 0.974(0.902, 1.052) | 0.484 | 0.122 |
| Cardiovascular disease | | | 0.123 |
| No | 0.960(0.942,0.979) | <0.001 | |
| Yes | 0.840(0.754, 0.935) | 0.004 | |

PIR, the ratio of family income to poverty; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase. Adjusted for age, gender, race, marital status, hypertension, DM, CVD, CKD, COPD, asthma, education status, HbA1c, creatinine, ALT, AST, lymphocytes, neutrophils, hemoglobin, platelets, and PIR.

0.018) (OR: 0.970, 95% CI: 0.941–0.999; OR: 0.939, 95% CI: 0.913–0.967, respectively), but less associated in those with educational levels below high school. The remaining subgroups showed no significant associations (p > 0.05).

4 Discussion

This cross-sectional study used NHANES data from 2011 to 2018, resulting in the enrollment of 6,307 individuals meeting the inclusion criteria. OBS was used to represent the degree of oxidative stress and investigated the link between OBS and low muscle mass. The univariate logistic regression model indicated a negative association between the two. Following adjustment of confounders, it was still found that OBS was independently linked with the increased odds of low muscle mass. The maximum level of OBS was found to be 36 and did not increase indefinitely. Over the range of 0–36, higher OBS values reflected lower odds of low muscle mass. In addition, dietary and lifestyle components were associated with low muscle mass independently. Our results demonstrate the value of antioxidant-rich diets and lifestyles in improving muscle mass.

The findings on OBS and low muscle mass are reliable and similar to earlier investigations. The presence of oxidative stress is a possible reason for the link between OBS and low muscle mass, leading to disrupted oxidative homeostasis in the body with the production of excess ROS and RNS. ROS accumulation may induce atrophy of skeletal muscles possibly exacerbated by oxidative stress associated with chronic diseases. As shown by Zhang et al., conditions such as aging, obesity, and cancer can increase ROS generation in muscles (41), potentially inducing oxidative damage and the disruption of mitochondrial functioning. This can lead to reduced ATP generation and protein synthesis, as well as protein degradation, contributing to reduced muscle mass and dysfunction (42, 43). In the dietary OBS section, higher OBS scores often mean more intake of antioxidant components. Many dietary components of OBS have been believed to inhibit oxidative stress and contribute to the management of sarcopenia (44). Both vitamin C and vitamin E can function as ROS scavengers and can thus mitigate oxidative stress. Vitamin E is known to promote the repair of membrane injury in skeletal muscle cells (45). A study showed that increased vitamin E intake enhanced muscle mass (46) while other studies indicated that vitamin C supplementation reduced oxidative stress, and that increased dietary intake of the vitamin C alleviated muscle loss in younger women (47, 48). Besides, in skeletal muscle physiology, vitamin C helps with carnitine and collagen production and has a positive association with muscle (49). It is reported that sarcopenia patients tend to have reduced intake of minerals such as calcium, selenium, and magnesium relative to healthy individuals (50). However, some research also suggested that certain antioxidant supplements like vitamin E and C might impair adaptations to resistance training (51, 52). Therefore, special caution should be taken with these supplements during endurance training. Nonetheless, the effects of antioxidants on muscle mass/strength might also depend on the individual's oxidative stress/antioxidant balance (53).

The link between lifestyle and low muscle mass has been demonstrated. Multiple studies have shown that smoking is a risk factor for skeletal muscle dysfunction (54, 55). Smoking causes skeletal muscle dysfunction by reducing oxygen delivery to the mitochondria, which can lead to chronic muscle atrophy and sarcopenia (56). In addition, alcohol can also increase the incidence of low hand grip strength (57). Alcohol consumption may disrupt protein metabolism in skeletal muscles and response to anabolic stimuli by reducing overall protein synthesis (58). Additionally, exercise can help reduce mitochondrial damage caused by aging by inhibiting oxidative stress, DNA damage, and apoptosis in mitochondria (59).

Consideration of a single factor only may be insufficient to explain its antioxidant effect. As a comprehensive indicator of oxidative/ antioxidant balance, the OBS may provide a better reflection of the overall state of oxidative stress than any individual factors considered alone.

We then further investigated the nonlinear relationship between OBS and odd of low muscle mass and found that there was the J-shaped relationship between OBS and odd of low muscle mass. The inflection points for OBS were 20 points. Before the inflection point, increasing OBS hardly affected the odd of low muscle mass, but after the inflection point, increasing OBS would have a greater impact on the odd of low muscle mass. This may be due to: After reaching this balance point, the antioxidant capacity exceeded its oxidation capacity in the individual oxidation balance system (30, 60). Earlier investigations have reported significant links between socioeconomic status (including educational levels and income) and sarcopenia (61). The current research findings are consistent with this. It was found that the participants with higher OBS tended to have higher PIR and education. Usually, a higher level of education often leads to more employment opportunities and higher income and contributes to healthier lifestyles and behaviors. Educational level was found to be a factor in translating nutritional knowledge into improved dietary habits, as indicated by a study on diet quality in the United States (62). Participants with higher levels of education tended to consume more fruit, vegetables, and whole grains, while controlling their intake of solid fats, alcohol, and added sugar (63).

The study had several limitations. First, due to its cross-sectional design, it is possible that there may have been additional confounders; furthermore, causal associations could not be determined. Second, the use of self-reported recall in the NHANES data may have introduced both recall and reporting bias. Lastly, while the correlation found between muscle mass and OBS is significant, it is not very substantial. This is likely due to the population being used. DXA scans were only used to assess participants aged between 8 and 59 years and thus the study did not include older participants. Because loss of muscle mass usually starts in 4th decade of life and the average age of participants was 39 years old in our research (64), the low muscle mass in elderly people is more than in young people. This affects the generalization of the results and introduces selection bias. Prospective cohort studies and studies involving older adults are thus needed in the future.

5 Conclusion

It was found that OBS and low muscle mass were significantly negatively correlated. Nevertheless, further randomized controlled trials are needed to assess the causal connection. These findings may be useful for the stratification of low muscle mass risk in the general population, allowing timely interventions.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

KC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. QY: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JG: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JY: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Software, Writing

References

1. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyère O, Cederholm T, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing*. (2019) 48:16–31. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afy169

 Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Orav JE, Kanis JA, Rizzoli R, Schlögl M, Staehelin HB, et al. Comparative performance of current definitions of sarcopenia against the prospective incidence of falls among community-dwelling seniors age 65 and older. Osteoporos Int. (2015) 26:2793–802. doi: 10.1007/s00198-015-3194-y

3. Schaap LA, van Schoor NM, Lips P, Visser M. Associations of sarcopenia definitions, and their components, with the incidence of recurrent falling and fractures: the longitudinal aging study Amsterdam. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2018) 73:1199–204. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glx245

4. Wathanavasin W, Banjongjit A, Avihingsanon Y, Praditpornsilpa K, Tungsanga K, Eiam-Ong S, et al. Prevalence of sarcopenia and its impact on cardiovascular events and mortality among Dialysis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:4077. doi: 10.3390/nu14194077

5. Chen W, Shi S, Jiang Y, Chen K, Liao Y, Huang R, et al. Association of sarcopenia with ideal cardiovascular health metrics among US adults: a cross-sectional study of NHANES data from 2011 to 2018. *BMJ Open*. (2022) 12:e061789. doi: 10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-061789

6. Tuttle CSL, Thang LAN, Maier AB. Markers of inflammation and their association with muscle strength and mass: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ageing Res Rev.* (2020) 64:101185. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2020.101185

7. Kim SE, Kim DJ. Sarcopenia as a prognostic indicator of liver cirrhosis. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. (2022) 13:8–10. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12869

8. Shi L, Zhang D, Zhang J. Albumin-bilirubin score is associated with in-hospital mortality in critically ill patients with acute pancreatitis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2020) 32:963–70. doi: 10.1097/MEG.00000000001753

9. Chen Z, Chen J, Song C, Sun J, Liu W. Association between serum Iron status and muscle mass in adults: results from NHANES 2015-2018. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:941093. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.941093

10. Xu H, Ranjit R, Richardson A, van Remmen H. Muscle mitochondrial catalase expression prevents neuromuscular junction disruption, atrophy, and weakness in a mouse model of accelerated sarcopenia. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle.* (2021) 12:1582–96. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12768

11. Derbré F, Gratas-Delamarche A, Gómez-Cabrera M, Viña J. Inactivity-induced oxidative stress: a central role in age-related sarcopenia? *Eur J Sport Sci.* (2014) 14:S98–S108. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2011.654268

 – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

12. Arrigoni O, De Tullio MC. Ascorbic acid: much more than just an antioxidant. Biochim Biophys Acta. (2002) 1569:1–9. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4165(01)00235-5

13. Burton GW, Traber MG. Vitamin E: antioxidant activity, biokinetics, and bioavailability. *Annu Rev Nutr.* (1990) 10:357–82. doi: 10.1146/annurev. nu.10.070190.002041

14. Barreiro E, Peinado VI, Galdiz JB, Ferrer E, Marin-Corral J, Sánchez F, et al. Cigarette smoke-induced oxidative stress: a role in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease skeletal muscle dysfunction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2010) 182:477–88. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200908-1220OC

15. Puntarulo S. Iron, oxidative stress and human health. *Mol Asp Med.* (2005) 26:299–312. doi: 10.1016/j.mam.2005.07.001

16. Wright ME, Mayne ST, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Li Z, Pietinen P, Taylor PR, et al. Development of a comprehensive dietary antioxidant index and application to lung cancer risk in a cohort of male smokers. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2004) 160:68–76. doi: 10.1093/ aje/kwh173

17. Lei X, Xu Z, Chen W. Association of oxidative balance score with sleep quality: NHANES 2007-2014. J Affect Disord. (2023) 339:435–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2023.07.040

18. Lakkur S, Bostick RM, Roblin D, Ndirangu M, Okosun I, Annor F, et al. Oxidative balance score and oxidative stress biomarkers in a study of whites, African Americans, and African immigrants. *Biomarkers.* (2014) 19:471–80. doi: 10.3109/1354750X.2014.937361

19. Lee JH, Son DH, Kwon YJ. Association between oxidative balance score and newonset hypertension in adults: a community-based prospective cohort study. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:1066159. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1066159

20. Demirer B, Yardımcı H, Erem Basmaz S. Inflammation level in type 2 diabetes is associated with dietary advanced glycation end products, Mediterranean diet adherence and oxidative balance score: a pathway analysis. *J Diabetes Complicat*. (2023) 37:108354. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2022.108354

21. Kong SY, Goodman M, Judd S, Bostick RM, Flanders WD, McClellan W. Oxidative balance score as predictor of all-cause, cancer, and noncancer mortality in a biracial US cohort. *Ann Epidemiol.* (2015) 25:256–262.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2015.01.004

22. Slattery ML, Lundgreen A, Welbourn B, Wolff RK, Corcoran C. Oxidative balance and colon and rectal cancer: interaction of lifestyle factors and genes. *Mutat Res.* (2012) 734:30–40. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2012.04.002

23. Lakkur S, Goodman M, Bostick RM, Citronberg J, McClellan W, Flanders WD, et al. Oxidative balance score and risk for incident prostate cancer in a prospective U.S.

cohort study. Ann Epidemiol. (2014) 24:475–478.e4. doi: 10.1016/j. annepidem.2014.02.015

24. Cheng LL, Wang SY. Correlation between bone mineral density and sarcopenia in US adults: a population-based study. *J Orthop Surg Res.* (2023) 18:588. doi: 10.1186/s13018-023-04034-7

25. Zhang W, Peng S, Chen L, Chen HM, Cheng XE, Tang YH. Association between the oxidative balance score and telomere length from the National Health and nutrition examination survey 1999-2002. *Oxidative Med Cell Longev*. (2022) 2022:1–11. doi: 10.1155/2022/1345071

26. Hernández-Ruiz Á, García-Villanova B, Guerra-Hernández E, Amiano P, Ruiz-Canela M, Molina-Montes E. A review of a priori defined oxidative balance scores relative to their components and impact on health outcomes. *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:774. doi: 10.3390/nu11040774

27. Van Hoydonck P, Temme E, Schouten E. A dietary oxidative balance score of vitamin C, beta-carotene and iron intakes and mortality risk in male smoking Belgians. *J Nutr.* (2002) 132:756–61. doi: 10.1093/jn/132.4.756

28. Agalliu I, Kirsh V, Kreiger N, Soskolne CL, Rohan TE. Oxidative balance score and risk of prostate cancer: results from a case-cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiol.* (2011) 35:353–61. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2010.11.002

29. Slattery M, John E, Torres-Mejia G, Lundgreen A, Lewinger JP, Stern MC, et al. Angiogenesis genes, dietary oxidative balance and breast cancer risk and progression: the breast cancer health disparities study. *Int J Cancer*. (2014) 134:629–44. doi: 10.1002/ ijc.28377

30. Hernández-Ruiz Á, García-Villanova B, Guerra-Hernández EJ, Carrión-García CJ, Amiano P, Sánchez MJ, et al. Oxidative balance scores (OBSs) integrating nutrient, food and lifestyle dimensions: development of the NutrientL-OBS and FoodL-OBS. *Antioxidants*. (2022) 11:300. doi: 10.3390/antiox11020300

31. Goodman M, Bostick RM, Dash C, Flanders WD, Mandel JS. Hypothesis: oxidative stress score as a combined measure of pro-oxidant and antioxidant exposures. *Ann Epidemiol.* (2007) 17:394–9. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2007.01.034

32. Kong S, Bostick R, Flanders W, McClellan WM, Thyagarajan B, Gross MD, et al. Oxidative balance score, colorectal adenoma, and markers of oxidative stress and inflammation. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prevent.* (2014) 23:545–54. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0619

33. Lee H, Park T. Pathway-driven approaches of interaction between oxidative balance and genetic polymorphism on metabolic syndrome. *Oxidative Med Cell Longev*. (2017) 2017:1–9. doi: 10.1155/2017/6873197

34. Mendes MA, da Silva I, Ramires V, Reichert F, Martins R, Ferreira R, et al. Metabolic equivalent of task (METs) thresholds as an indicator of physical activity intensity. *PLoS One.* (2018) 13:e0200701. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0200701

35. Yoshimura Y, Nagano F, Matsumoto A, Shimazu S, Shiraishi A, Kido Y, et al. Low hemoglobin levels are associated with compromised muscle health: insights from a poststroke rehabilitation cohort. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* (2024) 24:305–11. doi: 10.1111/ ggi.14834

36. Hu Q, Mao W, Wu T, Xu Z, Yu J, Wang C, et al. High neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio are associated with sarcopenia risk in hospitalized renal cell carcinoma patients. *Front Oncol.* (2021) 11:736640. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.736640

37. Hong H, Jiang K, Ye H, Xue H, Chen M. The aspartate aminotransferase to alanine aminotransferase ratio: a novel indicator for skeletal muscle mass in Chinese community adults. *Nutrition*. (2024) 117:112214. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2023.112214

38. Laufer M, Perelman M, Segal G, Sarfaty M, Itelman E. Low alanine aminotransferase as a marker for sarcopenia and frailty, is associated with decreased survival of bladder cancer patients and survivors-a retrospective data analysis of 3075 patients. *Cancers*. (2023) 16:174. doi: 10.3390/cancers16010174

39. Park J, Lee M, Shin H, Yoon KJ, Lee JY, Park JH. Lower skeletal muscle mass is associated with diabetes and insulin resistance: a cross-sectional study. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* (2023) 39:e3681. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.3681

40. Liu Y, Chen M. Dietary and lifestyle oxidative balance scores are independently and jointly associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a 20 years nationally representative cross-sectional study. *Front Nutr.* (2023) 10:1276940. doi: 10.3389/ fnut.2023.1276940

41. Zhang H, Qi G, Wang K, Yang J, Shen Y, Yang X, et al. Oxidative stress: roles in skeletal muscle atrophy. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2023) 214:115664. doi: 10.1016/j. bcp.2023.115664

42. Cannataro R, Carbone L, Petro JL, Cione E, Vargas S, Angulo H, et al. Sarcopenia: etiology, nutritional approaches, and miRNAs. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:9724. doi: 10.3390/ijms22189724

43. Marzetti E, Calvani R, Cesari M, Buford TW, Lorenzi M, Behnke BJ, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction and sarcopenia of aging: from signaling pathways to clinical trials. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* (2013) 45:2288–301. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2013.06.024

44. Liu S, Zhang L, Li S. Advances in nutritional supplementation for sarcopenia management. *Front Nutr.* (2023) 10:1189522. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1189522

45. Howard AC, McNeil AK, McNeil PL. Promotion of plasma membrane repair by vitamin E. *Nat. Commun.* (2011) 2:597. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1594

46. Shahinfar H, Djafari F, Shahavandi M, Jalilpiran Y, Davarzani S, Clark CCT, et al. The lack of association between dietary antioxidant quality score with handgrip strength and handgrip endurance amongst Tehranian adults: a cross-sectional study from a Middle East country. *Int J Clin Pract.* (2021) 75:e13876. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.13876

47. Evans LW, Zhang F, Omaye ST. Vitamin C supplementation reduces exerciseinduced oxidative stress and increases peak muscular force. *Food Nutr Sci.* (2017) 8:812–22. doi: 10.4236/fns.2017.88058

48. Welch AA, Jennings A, Kelaiditi E, Skinner J, Steves CJ. Cross-sectional associations between dietary antioxidant vitamins C, E and carotenoid intakes and Sarcopenic indices in women aged 18–79 years. *Calcif Tissue Int.* (2019) 106:331–42. doi: 10.1007/s00223-019-00641-x

49. Rebouche CJ. Ascorbic acid and carnitine biosynthesis. Am J Clin Nutr. (1991) 54:1147s–52s. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/54.6.1147s

50. Santiago ECS, Roriz AKC, Ramos LB, Ferreira AJF, Oliveira CC, Gomes-Neto M. Comparison of calorie and nutrient intake among elderly with and without sarcopenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Rev.* (2021) 79:1338–52. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/ nuaa145

51. Morrison D, Hughes J, Della Gatta P, Mason S, Lamon S, Russell AP, et al. Vitamin C and E supplementation prevents some of the cellular adaptations to endurance-training in humans. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2015) 89:852–62. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2015.10.412

52. Paulsen G, Cumming K, Holden G, Hallén J, Rønnestad BR, Sveen O, et al. Vitamin C and E supplementation hampers cellular adaptation to endurance training in humans: a double-blind, randomised, controlled trial. *J Physiol*. (2014) 592:1887–901. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2013.267419

53. Reid M. Free radicals and muscle fatigue: of ROS, canaries, and the IOC. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2008) 44:169–79. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2007.03.002

54. Chan S, Cerni C, Passey S, Seow HJ, Bernardo I, van der Poel C, et al. Cigarette smoking exacerbates skeletal muscle injury without compromising its regenerative capacity. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2020) 62:217–30. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2019-0106OC

55. Locquet M, Bruyère O, Lengelé L, Reginster JY, Beaudart C. Relationship between smoking and the incidence of sarcopenia: the SarcoPhAge cohort. *Public Health.* (2021) 193:101–8. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2021.01.017

56. Park S, Kim SG, Lee S, Kim Y, Cho S, Kim K, et al. Causal linkage of tobacco smoking with ageing: Mendelian randomization analysis towards telomere attrition and sarcopenia. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. (2023) 14:955–63. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.13174

57. Xia X, Xiang S, Hua L, Sun Q, Wang R. The relationship between lifestyles and sarcopenia-related traits: a two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* (2024) 116:105169. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2023.105169

58. Lang C, Pruznak A, Nystrom G, Vary TC. Alcohol-induced decrease in muscle protein synthesis associated with increased binding of mTOR and raptor: comparable effects in young and mature rats. *Nutr. Metab.* (2009) 6:4. doi: 10.1186/1743-7075-6-4

59. Zhu Y, Zhou X, Zhu A, Xiong S, Xie J, Bai Z. Advances in exercise to alleviate sarcopenia in older adults by improving mitochondrial dysfunction. *Front Physiol.* (2023) 14:1196426. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1196426

60. Peng C, Wang X, Chen J, Jiao R, Wang L, Li YM, et al. Biology of ageing and role of dietary antioxidants. *Biomed Res Int.* (2014) 2014:1–13. doi: 10.1155/2014/831841

61. Lee H, Kim MJ, Lee J, Kim M, Suh YS, Kim HO, et al. Muscle exercise mitigates the negative influence of low socioeconomic status on the lack of muscle strength: a cross-sectional study. *Healthcare* (*Basel*). (2021) 9:1244. doi: 10.3390/healthcare9101244

62. Pampel F, Denney J, Krueger P. Obesity, SES, and economic development: a test of the reversal hypothesis. *Soc Sci Med.* (2012) 74:1073–81. doi: 10.1016/j. socscimed.2011.12.028

63. Hiza HA, Casavale KO, Guenther PM, Davis CA. Diet quality of Americans differs by age, sex, race/ethnicity, income, and education level. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. (2013) 113:297–306. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2012.08.011

64. Dodds R, Syddall H, Cooper R, Benzeval M, Deary IJ, Dennison EM, et al. Grip strength across the life course: normative data from twelve British studies. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e113637. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0113637