Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jasmina D. Debeljak Martacic, University of Belgrade, Serbia

REVIEWED BY Aleš Kvasnička, Oslo University Hospital, Norway Guiling Li, Jimei University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Shilin Li ⊠ lslqz@fjmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 05 October 2024 ACCEPTED 18 December 2024 PUBLISHED 07 January 2025

CITATION

Li J, Chen Y, Li S, Lyu G, Yan F, Guo J, Cheng J, Chen Y, Lin J and Zeng Y (2025) NAFPD exacerbation by hyperlipidemia combined with hyperuricemia: a pilot rat experiment in lipidomics. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1437373. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1437373

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Chen, Li, Lyu, Yan, Guo, Cheng, Chen, Lin and Zeng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

NAFPD exacerbation by hyperlipidemia combined with hyperuricemia: a pilot rat experiment in lipidomics

Jingyun Li^{1,2}, Yongjian Chen¹, Shilin Li¹*, Guorong Lyu^{1,2}, Furong Yan³, Jiajing Guo⁴, Jing Cheng⁵, Yun Chen⁶, Jiaojiao Lin¹ and Yating Zeng¹

¹Department of Ultrasound, Second Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Quanzhou, Fujian, China, ²Department of Medical Imaging, Quanzhou Medical College, Quanzhou, Fujian, China, ³Department of Molecular Diagnostics Research Center, Second Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Quanzhou, Fujian, China, ⁴Department of Pathology, The 910th Hospital of the People's Liberation Army, Quanzhou, Fujian, China, ⁵Department of Animal Experimental Center, Quanzhou Medical College, Quanzhou, Fujian, China, ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Quanzhou Medical College, Quanzhou, Fujian, China

Background: Hyperuricemia and non-alcoholic fatty pancreas disease (NAFPD) are prevalent metabolic diseases, but the relationship between them remains underexplored.

Methods: Eighteen Sprague–Dawley rats were randomly assigned to three groups: normal (CON), high-fat (PO), and high-fat high-uric acid (PH). After 12 weeks, serum uric acid (SUA) and triacylglycerol levels were measured. Pathological changes in the pancreas were assessed using hematoxylin–eosin (HE) staining. Serum samples were analyzed using lipidomics technology, and multivariate statistical analysis was employed to identify differences in lipid metabolism.

Results: SUA levels in the PO group were not significantly different from those in the CON group (p > 0.05). However, from the 4th week onward, SUA levels in the PH group were significantly higher than those in both the PO and CON groups (p < 0.05). HE staining revealed that most rats in the CON group exhibited normal pancreatic islet and acinar cell morphology. The pathological NAFPD score in the PH group was higher than that in the PO group. Lipidomics analysis identified 34 potential serum biomarkers in the CON and PO groups, 38 in the CON and PH groups, and 32 in the PH and PO groups. These metabolites primarily included sphingolipids, cholesterol esters, fatty acids, triacylglycerols, phosphatidylcholines, lysophosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine, and lysophosphatidylethanolamine.

Conclusion: Hyperlipidemia combined with hyperuricemia might exacerbates NAFPD.Glycerophospholipids may serve as key biomarkers in this process, potentially linked to a chronic inflammatory response mediated by glycerophospholipids.

KEYWORDS

hyperuricemia, lipidomics, non-alcoholic fatty pancreas disease, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, glycerophospholipids

1 Introduction

The concept of "excessive pancreatic fat" was first introduced by Ogilvie in 1933. Non-alcoholic fatty pancreas disease (NAFPD) is primarily characterized by pancreatic fat infiltration, also known as pancreatic steatosis. Despite its recognition, the pathophysiology of NAFPD remains incompletely understood, and no unified standard diagnostic criteria or consensus has been established. The prevalence of NAFPD varies significantly, ranging from 12.9 to 16% in China (1), 33% in New Zealand (2), and up to 61.4% in Korea (3). NAFPD is strongly associated with diabetes, atherosclerosis, acute and chronic pancreatitis, and pancreatic cancer (4). Given its high morbidity and link to various diseases, understanding the underlying mechanisms of NAFPD is crucial.

Hyperuricemia (HUA), a metabolic disorder defined by elevated serum uric acid (SUA) levels, has become increasingly prevalent due to changes in dietary habits. HUA is the primary cause of gout but may also act as an independent risk factor for metabolic diseases such as hypertension, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), and diabetes (5, 6). NAFPD and NAFLD often occur together, suggesting shared pathophysiological mechanisms (7, 8). Moreover, HUA is common in patients with NAFLD (9), and uric acid (UA) levels correlate with the progression and severity of NAFLD (10–12). For instance, Choi et al. (13) demonstrated that UA stimulation promotes lipid accumulation in HepG2 cells and mouse liver cells, while studies on animal models have shown that UA-lowering treatments such as allopurinol and benzbromarone improve hepatic lipid deposition (14). However, research on the direct effect of HUA on NAFPD remains limited.

Since the mid-1990s, metabolomics has emerged as a pivotal field in biomedical research, complementing genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics in elucidating biological processes (15). Metabolomics enables the extraction, separation, analysis, and identification of small-molecule endogenous metabolites-such as amino acids, lipids, and sugars-in biological samples such as blood, urine, cells, and tissues. These metabolites, often bioactive at low concentrations, provide critical insights into the body's metabolic characteristics under various physiological and pathological conditions. They are also valuable as potential biomarkers for disease diagnosis and progression. Lipidomics, a specialized branch of metabolomics, focuses on the dynamic metabolic profiles of endogenous lipids in response to external stimuli (16, 17). Recent advances in lipidomics have deepened our understanding of diseases such as cardiovascular conditions, cancer, diabetes, neurodegenerative disorders, and liver diseases, while facilitating the discovery of novel biomarkers (18, 19). Lipid metabolites are increasingly recognized for their clinical potential, especially in the context of personalized healthcare and precision medicine (20). Loomba et al. demonstrated that polyunsaturated fatty acids could serve as non-invasive biomarkers for diagnosing non-alcoholic steatohepatitis using the liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS) platform (21). In a rat model, Zeng et al. (22) identified the creatine-to-betaine ratio as a potential diagnostic marker for early liver cancer. Additionally, Meikle et al. highlighted the strong association between plasma phospholipids, sphingolipids, and other lipid metabolites with cardiovascular event risk, suggesting lipid biomarkers for monitoring interventions such as statin therapy to assess cardiovascular disease risk (23). However, no definitive serum markers for NAFPD have been established. Although pathological diagnosis remains the gold standard for NAFPD, pancreatic biopsy is challenging due to the pancreas's deep location and the procedure's high risk. Consequently, non-invasive serum markers with simple testing procedures have significant practical value.

This study aimed to preliminarily explore the relationship between HUA and NAFPD through animal experiments. A classic NAFPD model was established using a high-fat diet and a high-fat, high-uric acid model with a high-fat, high-yeast diet combined with potassium oxonate. Using these models, SUA and triacylglycerol (TAG) levels were measured, pancreatic changes were evaluated using light microscopy after HE staining, and serum lipid metabolites were analyzed using lipidomics technology based on high-performance liquid chromatography-triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (HPLC-QqQ-MS). This study intends to identify potential biomarkers and provide a theoretical foundation for further NAFPD research.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

All solvents used were of LC–MS grade. Acetonitrile, isopropanol, methanol, and water for mass spectrometry were purchased from Merck (United States), and methyl tert-butyl ether was obtained from Sigma (Germany). The internal standard mix was subscribed from the Internal Standards Kit for Lipidyzer[™] Platform (SCIEX, MA, United States).

2.2 Establishment of hyperuricemic rat model

Male Sprague–Dawley rats (250–300 g) were sourced from Shanghai Slake Experimental Animal Co. Ltd. and housed at the Animal Experimental Center of Quanzhou Medical College (China). The experiment was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University (No. 2019–125).

Animal models were established using a modified version of the Mazzali method (24, 25). Eighteen Sprague–Dawley rats were randomly assigned to three groups: a control group (CON), a high-fat group (PO), and a high-fat, high-uric acid group (PH). The groups were fed diets tailored to each model: the CON group received a standard diet, the PO group was given a high-fat diet (45% fat by calories), and the PH group was provided with a high-fat, high-uric acid diet containing 3% potassium oxonate and 20% yeast powder (45% fat by calories). All rats were housed in a controlled environment (temperature: 18–20°C, humidity: 50–60%) for 12 weeks. Blood samples (approximately 1 mL) were collected from the tail at weeks 4 and 8 for analysis of serum uric acid and triglyceride levels. At week

Abbreviations: NAFPD, non-alcoholic fatty pancreas disease; HUA, Hyperuricemia; SUA, serum uric acid; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; HPLC-QqQ-MS, high performance liquid chromatography-triple quadrupole mass spectrometry; PCA, principal component analysis; OPLS-DA, orthogonal partial least squares discrimination analysis; TAG, triacylglycerol; CE, cholesterol esters; SM, sphingolipids; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PE, phosphatidylgthanolamine; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PS, phosphatidylserine; PG, phosphatidylglycerol; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; LPE, lysophosphatidylethanolamine; FFA, free fatty acid.

12, the rats were anesthetized with 2% sodium pentobarbital (40 mg/ kg) through intraperitoneal injection. The amount of sodium pentobarbital could be increased appropriately, making sure that the rats did not respond to pain. Once unresponsive to pain, the rats underwent laparotomy, and approximately 3 mL of blood was drawn from the heart. The pancreas was then quickly excised. Rats did not survive after cardiac blood sampling.

2.3 Measuring of serum biochemical indicators

After standing at room temperature for 30 min, whole-blood samples were centrifuged twice at 4,000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant was collected. Serum uric acid and triglyceride levels were measured using commercial assay kits from Nanjing Jiancheng Biological Engineering Co. Ltd.

2.4 Pathological manifestations in the pancreas

Pancreatic tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and pathological changes were evaluated under a light microscope following hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining. The study extended the work of van Geenen et al. (26), assessing four indicators: pancreatic islet cell vacuoles, pancreatic acinar vacuoles, interstitial fat infiltration, and interstitial inflammatory cell infiltration. Each index was rated as normal (1 point), mild (2 points), or moderate (3 points) based on the extent of fat infiltration. Scores for each indicator were summed to yield a final pathological score.

2.5 Serum lipidomics analysis

2.5.1 Lipid extraction

For lipidomics analysis, serum samples were prepared using the isopropanol method as described by Sarafian et al. (27). The process involved the following steps: (i) precooling isopropyl alcohol and other organic reagents at -20° C; (ii) thawing 20 µL of serum at 80°C and transferring it to an EP tube; (iii) adding 400 µL of isopropyl alcohol and thoroughly mixing the samples for 3–5 min with a vortex mixer; (iv) allowing the mixture to stand at room temperature for 10 min, then overnight at -20° C; (v) centrifuging at 4°C, 14,000 rpm for 20 min the following day; (vi) carefully transferring 150 µL of the supernatant into a bottle for mass spectrometry analysis; and (vii) preparing quality control (QC) samples by mixing 10 µL from each sample for instrument stability assessment. Samples were stored at -20° C before analysis.

2.5.2 Identification process of lipidomics

Before lipid extraction, a Lipidyzer[™] Internal Standard Mix containing 10 major lipid classes and 13 lipid subclasses was added to each sample (AB SCIEX, 5040156). Lipid samples were transferred to the AB SCIEX QTRAP 4500 LC–MS/MS system for analysis. Samples were analyzed on the SCIEX Lipidyzer[™] platform, and the multiple response monitoring (MRM) technique was used to target and quantitatively measure 1,100 lipids in 13 subclasses (28, 29). MS data

was collected by Analyst (version 1.7, AB SCIEX, MA, United States), and pre-processed by SCIEX OS (version 1.4, AB SCIEX, CA, United States), including peak query, standard curve viewing and so on. The content of lipid metabolites was quantified by the internal standard using the following formula: IS concentration* (sample area/ IS area).

2.5.3 Chromatography and mass spectrometry

HPLC-QqQ-MS analytical chromatography was performed under the following conditions: Waters Acquity UPLC BEH HILIC column (100 mm \times 2.1 mm; 1.7 µm; Waters, Milford, MA, United States) was used as the stationary phase at 35°C. The mobile phase A contained 10 mmol/L ammonium acetate in 95% acetonitrile solution, and the mobile phase B contained 10 mmol/L ammonium acetate in 50% acetonitrile. The mobile phase gradient consisted of an increase in the concentration of mobile phase B from 0.1 to 20% within 10 min, followed by an increase in mobile phase B to 98% between 10 min and 11 min, which was maintained at 98% for 2 min, and then decreased to 0.1% at 13.1 min. The analysis was terminated at 16 min, and the flow rate was 0.5 mL/min.

Mass spectrometry was conducted with a positive ion mode injection volume of 1 μ L and a negative ion mode injection volume of 10 μ L. The air curtain gas pressure was set to 35 psi, the atomizer pressure was set to 50 psi, the auxiliary gas pressure was set to 60 psi, and the heating temperature was 500°C. The positive and negative ion mode ion spray voltages were -5,500 V and 5,500 V, the positive and negative ion mode optimized declustering voltages were 80 V and -80 V, the injection voltages were 10 V and -10 V, and the collision chamber injection voltages were 15 V and -15 V.

2.5.4 Methodological verification

Based on the chemical properties and ionization efficiency of lipids, the positive ion mode is more appropriate for the detection of non-polar or moderately polar lipids, such as triacylglycerols (TAGs). In contrast, the negative ion mode is better suited for the detection of polar lipids, such as free fatty acids (FFAs) and glycerophospholipids (30, 31). Therefore, in this study, the samples were scanned twice in positive and negative ions to improve the sensitivity and accuracy of the detection. Instrument reproducibility was assessed by evaluating retention time, peak count, and preliminary detection of major lipid classes, based on the total ion current (TIC) chromatogram of the QC sample. System stability was confirmed with a QC sample relative standard deviation (RSD) of <30% and a feature yield of >80%.

2.5.5 Multivariate data analysis

Pre-processed data were exported, and variables with excessive missing values (based on the 80% rule) were excluded. Multivariate statistical analysis was performed using SIMCA-P 14.1 (Umetrics AB, Umea, Sweden). Firstly, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed. Secondly, orthogonal partial least square discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was used to establish the model separately (CON vs. PO, CON vs. PH, PO vs. PH). Then, permutation testing (n = 200) was used to determine whether the OPLS-DA model was overfitted. After the OPLS-DA model is successfully verified, an S-plot plot is constructed on the basis of the OPLS-DA model. The lipid molecules were arranged according to the Variable Importance

in Projection (VIP) value, and the metabolites with VIP > 1.5 were selected as significant differences. Subsequently, Student's *t*-test was performed on the selected variables, that is, metabolites having VIP > 1.5 and p < 0.05 were considered as potential biomarkers. Additionally, the fold change (FC) and p[1] score (derived from the s-plot graph) were utilized to evaluate the variations in compound levels.

2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 19.0; SPSS). Data are presented as mean \pm SD. One-way ANOVA was used for multiple-group comparisons, and LSD *t*-tests were used for pairwise comparisons. *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Changes in the biochemical indexes of rats

The SUA levels in the PO group were not significantly different from those in the CON group (p > 0.05). However, from the 4th week onward, SUA levels in the PH group were significantly higher than those in both the PO and CON groups (p < 0.05). The TAG levels in the PO group gradually increased, becoming significantly higher than those in both the PO and CON groups starting from the 4th week (p < 0.05). In the PH group, TAG levels showed no significant difference early on, but were significantly higher than in the CON group from the 8th week onwards (p < 0.05) (Table 1).

3.2 Pathological changes

The morphology and structure of the pancreatic islets and acinar cells in most rats in the CON group were normal, with a pathological score of 4.33 ± 0.16 . In the PO group, the pancreatic islet and acinar cells of most rats exhibited minor to moderate fatty changes, with a small amount of adipocyte infiltration in the interstitium and a pathological score of 8.00 ± 0.91 . In the PH group, the pancreatic islet and acinar cells of most rats exhibited moderate steatosis in a medium volume, with some fusion generating more marked fatty steatosis, some pancreatic islet cells atrophy, and a small amount of adipocyte

TABLE 1 The changes of biochemical indexes.

	Group	Week 4	Week 8	Week 12
SUA	CON	113.17 ± 8.18	97.83 ± 13.11	101.00 ± 8.27
	PO	105.00 ± 9.94	103.33 ± 10.75	111.17 ± 9.37
	PH	320.67 ± 32.62^{ab}	276.00 ± 33.83^{ab}	263.33 ± 24.94^{ab}
TAG	CON	0.49 ± 0.13	0.57 ± 0.09	0.66 ± 0.19
	РО	$0.95\pm0.23^{\circ}$	$1.36\pm0.14^{\circ}$	1.77 ± 0.2^{a}
	PH	0.72 ± 0.26^{b}	1.06 ± 0.16^{ab}	0.77 ± 0.12^{b}

^aCompared with CON group, P < 0.05; ^bcompared with PO group, p < 0.05.

In addition, the pathological scores of the three groups were compared in pairs, and the differences were statistically significant (CON vs. PO, CON vs. PO, PO vs. PH, p < 0.05).

3.3 Mass spectrometry results

3.3.1 Methodological verification

Samples were analyzed by high-resolution mass spectrometry in both positive and negative ion modes. The RSD of QC samples was <30%, ensuring data quality control, and feature yield was >80%. The original total ion flow (TIC) indicates a high concentration in the TIC diagram of the QC samples. The system stability and reliability of the data were confirmed (Figure 2).

3.3.2 Identification of lipid molecules

A total of 884 distinct lipid molecules were identified in both ionization modes. In the positive ion mode, a total of 430 lipids were identified, including 392 TAGs, 12 sphingolipids (SMs), 16 cholesterol esters (CEs), and 10 ceramides (CERs). In the negative ion mode, a total of 454 lipids were identified, including 69 phosphatidylcholines (PCs), 16 lysophosphatidylcholines (LPCs), 105 phosphatidylethanolamines (PEs), 14 lysophosphatidylethanolamines (LPEs), 69 phosphatidylinositol (PIs), 13 lysophosphatidylenositol (LPIs), 66 phosphatidylserines (PS), 16 lysophosphatidylserine (LPSs), 59 phosphatidylglycerols (PGs), 10 lysophosphatidylglycerols (LPGs), and 17 FFAs.

3.3.3 PCA score plots in positive and negative ion mode

Data were initially analyzed using unsupervised PCA, which can detect the natural grouping of samples without adding any grouping information. PCA analysis showed that QC samples were clustered, indicating that the instrument ran stably during the whole testing process. At the same time, in the positive and negative ion mode, the metabolic profiles of the three groups of samples were significantly different, with $R^2X = 0.704$ in the positive ion mode and $R^2X = 0.614$ in the negative ion mode, suggesting that the PCA model could better explain the metabolic differences among the samples (Figure 3).

3.3.4 Pair-wise comparison of OPLS-DA score plots, permutation testing plots and S-plots

Next, orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was employed to construct the model based on group comparisons. The R^2Y value was used to evaluate the model's fit, and Q^2 assessed its predictive ability. A model was considered well-constructed when R^2Y was close to 1 and $Q^2 > 0.5$. To ensure the model was not overfitted, a permutation test (n = 200) was performed. When all blue Q^2 values on the left were lower than the initial point on the right, and the Q^2 regression line intersected the vertical axis at or below zero ($Q^2 < 0$), it confirmed that the model was well-fitted. An S-plot was generated based on the OPLS-DA model (CON vs. PO, CON vs. PH, PO vs. PH), and metabolites with VIP > 1.5 and Student's *t*-test p < 0.05 were considered potential biomarkers (Table 2 and Figures 4, 5).





Pathology result plot. (A) CON group normal pancreatic pathology (x100). (B) PO group pancreatic acinar cells showed diffuse and moderate alveolar vacuole-like changes (x200). (C) PH group: some of the fusion into larger vacuoles Kind of change (Shown by the red arrow) (x200).





Group		ESI+		ESI-			
	R²X	R²Y	Q²	R²X	R²Y	Q ²	
CON vs. PO	0.98	1.0	0.813	0.933	0.998	0.903	
CON vs. PH	0.937	0.999	0.871	0.988	1.0	0.935	
PH vs. PO	0.994	1.0	0.819	0.971	1.0	0.938	

TABLE 2 Evaluation parameters of OPLS-DA models.

ESI+: positive ion mode; ESI-: negative ion mode.

3.3.5 Identification of differential metabolites

In the CON and PO groups, 27 potential biomarkers were detected in the positive ion mode, consisting of 26 TAGs and 1 CE, and 7 in the negative ion mode, including 2 PCs, 1 LPC, 1 PE, 2 LPEs, and 1 FFA (Table 3). In the CON and PH groups, 22 biomarkers were identified in the positive ion mode, comprising 17 TAGs, 1 SM, and 4 CEs, and 16 in the negative ion mode, including 8 PCs, 1 PE, 1 LPE, and 6 FFAs (Table 4). In the PH and PO groups, 18 biomarkers were observed in the positive ion mode, consisting of 12 TAGs, 3 SMs, and 3 CEs, and 14 in the negative ion mode, including 8 PCs and 6 FFAs (Table 5). Meanwhile, the conditions for metabolites were as follows: FC > 1, p[1] > 0, with an upward trend; conversely, FC < 1, p[1] < 0, with a downward trend.

4 Discussion

In this study, high-fat and high-fat and high-uric acid animal models of NAFPD were successfully established, and optimal serum biomarkers were identified using HPLC-QqQ-MS lipidomics. HUA modeling approaches include increasing uric acid production by injecting uric acid or hypoxanthine injections, adenine or yeast feeding, inhibiting uric acid excretion with adenine and ethambutol, blocking uric acid metabolism with potassium oxonate injections (32, 33). Potassium oxonate, a urease inhibitor, is a classic HUA modeling agent, although its stability is limited when used alone, often requiring a combined approach. The yeast-induced HUA model mimics human purine metabolism disorder (34, 35). This study employed a combined yeast and potassium oxonate method. Yeast degradation produces large amounts of purine and pyrimidine, elevating uric acid levels, while potassium oxonate inhibits uric acid breakdown (24). In Sprague-Dawley rats, SUA levels increased and remained stable throughout the experiment. HE staining of pancreatic tissue revealed fatty changes in most islets and acinar cells in both the PO and PH groups, with the PH group showing more severe pathological NAFPD. These results confirm that both high-fat and high-fat-highuric acid models of NAFPD were successfully established.

Lipidomics is an interdisciplinary field that integrates lipid biology, technology, and medicine (16, 17). Compared with traditional LC–MS,



Comparison of OPLS-DA score plots, permutation testing plots and S-plots in positive ion mode. OPLS-DA score plots (A1,B1,C1); S-plots (A2,B2,C2); permutation test plots (A3,B3,C3). As shown in the figure, the models in each group are well fitted, and no overfitting occurs. The lipid molecules labeled with red triangles in the S-plot were selected as potential biomarkers.



HPLC-QqQ-MS offers superior separation, rapid analysis, and high sensitivity, making it ideal for the rapid identification of lipid compounds. The LipidyzerTM platform incorporates MRM technology, which enables direct quantitative analysis of lipids by calculating their relative and absolute abundance from mass spectrometry data (28, 29). Lipid compounds are typically classified into eight categories (36): fatty acids, glycerides, glycerophospholipids, sphingolipids (SM), sterol lipids, pregnenolone lipids, glycolipids and polyethylenes. Glycerophospholipids are classified into distinct groups based on their substitution patterns: PC, PE, PI, PS, and PG. This study identified potential serum biomarkers across various groups, as detailed in Tables 3-5. Specifically, 34 potential biomarkers were identified in both the CON and PO groups, 38 in the CON and PH groups, and 32 in the PH and PO groups. These biomarkers primarily consisted of SM, CE, TAG, PC, LPC, PE, LPE and FFA. These findings demonstrate distinct lipid composition differences among the three animal models, with metabolic disorders evident in the PO and PH groups compared with the CON group. These differences may reflect the pathophysiological changes in these rat models.

Triacylglycerol, the most prevalent glycerolipid, showed an upward trend in both the PO and PH groups compared with that in the CON group. Specifically, TAG levels increased by 26% in the PO group and by 17% in the PH group. The PH group showed a 12% decrease relative to the PO group. These results suggest TAG metabolic disturbances in both the PH and PO groups, particularly in the PO group. These findings align with serum analysis, which showed a time-dependent increase in TAG levels, particularly in the PO group. Notably, TAG levels in the PH group were significantly higher than in the CON group after week 4 (p < 0.05). Although no significant differences in TAG levels were observed between the PH and CON groups early on, levels in the PH group increased significantly after week 8 (p < 0.05). The substantial accumulation of TAG in the pancreas is associated with ectopic lipid deposition, which may contribute to the development of NAFPD in the PO and PH groups (37, 38). HUA can promote lipid deposition (13). TAG may play a crucial role in the pathological progression of NAFPD. Excessive TAG accumulation induces lipid toxicity in pancreatic cells, placing them under continuous stress and causing structural and functional damage of cells through mechanisms such as endoplasmic reticulum stress and oxidative stress. This stress can lead to serious complications such as pancreatitis and pancreatic fibrosis (39, 40). Furthermore, TAG accumulation activates pancreatic inflammation, promoting macrophage infiltration and the release of inflammatory cytokines. This chronic inflammation not only damages pancreatic tissue but may also contribute to pancreatic fibrosis and cancer development (39, 41).

Additionally, studies have reported altered lipase and TAG synthetase activities in the pancreas of patients with NAFPD, resulting in lipid metabolism imbalances. Reduced lipase activity promotes

TABLE 3 The list of differential metabolites in CON group and PO group.

NO.	lon mode	m/z	RT	Compound	<i>p</i> -value	p[1] score	FC	Trend
1	ESI+	668.6	0.55	CE(18:1)	0.0123	0.3481	5.17	1
2	ESI+	874.8	0.60	TAG(52:3/FA16:0)	0.0001	0.2761	2.66	1
3	ESI+	874.8	0.60	TAG(52:3/FA18:2)	0.0001	0.2686	2.91	1
4	ESI+	876.8	0.60	TAG(52:2/FA16:0)	0.0000	0.2726	5.46	1
5	ESI+	876.8	0.60	TAG(52:2/FA18:1)	0.0000	0.2333	5.71	1
6	ESI+	874.8	0.60	TAG(52:3/FA18:1)	0.0001	0.2014	3.14	1
7	ESI+	900.8	0.60	TAG(54:4/FA18:2)	0.0006	0.1910	3.98	1
8	ESI+	898.8	0.60	TAG(54:5/FA18:2)	0.0031	0.1708	2.59	1
9	ESI+	872.8	0.60	TAG(52:4/FA18:2)	0.0333	0.1512	1.56	1
10	ESI+	900.8	0.60	TAG(54:4/FA18:1)	0.0001	0.1796	4.67	1
11	ESI+	902.8	0.60	TAG(54:3/FA18:1)	0.0001	0.1770	7.57	1
12	ESI+	898.8	0.60	TAG(54:5/FA18:1)	0.0038	0.1055	2.59	↑
13	ESI+	876.8	0.60	TAG(52:2/FA18:2)	0.0000	0.1104	3.46	1
14	ESI+	850.8	0.60	TAG(50:1/FA16:0)	0.0001	0.1061	3.50	1
15	ESI+	902.8	0.60	TAG(54:3/FA18:2)	0.0002	0.1025	3.99	1
16	ESI+	898.8	0.60	TAG(54:5/FA20:4)	0.0020	0.0975	2.22	1
17	ESI+	878.8	0.60	TAG(52:1/FA16:0)	0.0000	0.1042	5.43	1
18	ESI+	902.8	0.60	TAG(54:3/FA18:0)	0.0003	0.0958	3.80	1
19	ESI+	848.8	0.60	TAG(50:2/FA16:0)	0.0077	0.0864	2.02	1
20	ESI+	904.8	0.60	TAG(54:2/FA18:1)	0.0001	0.0870	8.06	1
21	ESI+	904.8	0.60	TAG(54:2/FA18:0)	0.0000	0.0881	6.01	1
22	ESI+	924.8	0.60	TAG(56:6/FA20:4)	0.0019	0.0798	2.65	1
23	ESI+	878.8	0.60	TAG(52:1/FA18:0)	0.0001	0.0839	5.72	1
24	ESI+	878.8	0.60	TAG(52:1/FA18:1)	0.0000	0.0867	6.65	1
25	ESI+	898.8	0.60	TAG(54:5/FA16:0)	0.0035	0.0764	2.23	1
26	ESI+	876.8	0.60	TAG(52:2/FA18:0)	0.0002	0.0808	3.27	1
27	ESI+	922.8	0.60	TAG(56:7/FA22:6)	0.0016	0.0763	1.82	1
1	ESI-	480.3	8.40	LPE(18:0)	0.0002	0.1880	1.88	1
2	ESI-	766.5	6.00	PE(18:0/20:4)	0.0012	0.1308	2.55	1
3	ESI-	818.6	8.00	PC(16:0/18:1)	0.0093	0.1248	1.57	1
4	ESI-	452.3	8.50	LPE(16:0)	0.0024	0.1179	1.52	1
5	ESI-	225.1	0.60	FFA(14:1)	0.0056	-0.3829	0.69	Ļ
6	ESI-	608.4	10.4	LPC(20:1)	0.0013	-0.1100	0.53	Ļ
7	ESI-	866.6	7.80	PC(18:1/20:4)	0.0010	-0.1057	0.61	Ļ

ESI+: positive ion mode; ESI-: negative ion mode. The parameter represents the comparison between the two groups (PO vs. CON).

FC: fold change. *p*[1] score: derived from the s-plot graph. *p*-value: derived from the Student's *t*-test. (†): represents rising; (↓): represents falling. TAG, triacylglycerol; SM, sphingolipids; CE, cholesterol esters; PC, phosphatidylcholine; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; FFA, free fatty acid.

TAG accumulation, while excessive TAG synthetase activation exacerbates this process, creating a vicious cycle that accelerates NAFPD progression (42). Abnormal TAG metabolism is also closely linked to insulin resistance in pancreatic cells. Insulin resistance, characterized by diminished insulin responsiveness and elevated blood glucose, exacerbates NAFPD pathology, creating a feedback loop (40).

Phospholipids are key components of biological cell membranes, with PC, PE, and PI being the most prominent types (43). These lipids can be hydrolyzed by phospholipase A or lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase to yield LPC, LPE, and fatty acids (44). Additionally, phospholipids, lysophospholipids, and fatty acids can interconvert through the Lands cycle, maintaining lipid metabolism homeostasis. Phospholipase also hydrolyzes arachidonic acid to produce PG, PS, PI, and PE, essential for membrane reconstruction (45). In this study, compared to the CON group, the PO and PH groups showed an overall increase in PC, PE, LPC, and LPE levels. These results suggest that both PO and PH diets induce glycerophospholipid metabolism

TABLE 4 The list of differential metabolites in CON group and PH group.

No.	lon mode	m/z	RT	Compound	<i>p</i> -value	p[1] score	FC	Trend
1	ESI+	690.6	0.55	CE(20:4)	0.0470	0.4406	1.51	1
2	ESI+	668.6	0.55	CE(18:1)	0.0007	0.3474	3.09	1
3	ESI+	666.6	0.55	CE(18:2)	0.0261	0.3084	1.63	1
4	ESI+	876.8	0.60	TAG(52:2/FA16:0)	0.0011	0.2433	3.52	1
5	ESI+	876.8	0.60	TAG(52:2/FA18:1)	0.0023	0.2170	3.96	1
6	ESI+	922.8	0.60	TAG(56:7/FA22:6)	0.0049	0.1484	3.01	1
7	ESI+	898.8	0.60	TAG(54:5/FA20:4)	0.0012	0.1300	2.29	1
8	ESI+	874.8	0.60	TAG(52:3/FA18:1)	0.0450	0.1290	1.80	1
9	ESI+	878.8	0.60	TAG(52:1/FA16:0)	0.0000	0.1247	4.94	1
10	ESI+	878.8	0.60	TAG(52:1/FA18:0)	0.0000	0.1180	6.33	1
11	ESI+	850.8	0.60	TAG(50:1/FA16:0)	0.0046	0.1169	3.18	1
12	ESI+	902.8	0.60	TAG(54:3/FA18:1)	0.0079	0.1160	3.11	1
13	ESI+	703.6	9.85	SM(16:0)	0.0343	0.1066	1.51	1
14	ESI+	924.8	0.60	TAG(56:6/FA22:5)	0.0010	0.1002	4.54	1
15	ESI+	714.6	0.65	CE(22:6)	0.0151	0.0999	1.73	1
16	ESI+	876.8	0.60	TAG(52:2/FA18:2)	0.0003	0.0998	2.32	1
17	ESI+	878.8	0.60	TAG(52:1/FA18:1)	0.0002	0.0982	5.78	1
18	ESI+	876.8	0.60	TAG(52:2/FA18:0)	0.0004	0.0962	3.02	1
19	ESI+	904.8	0.60	TAG(54:2/FA18:0)	0.0001	0.0955	4.81	1
20	ESI+	904.8	0.60	TAG(54:2/FA18:1)	0.0004	0.0846	5.28	1
21	ESI+	898.8	0.60	TAG(54:5/FA16:0)	0.0108	0.0776	1.84	1
22	ESI+	902.8	0.60	TAG(54:3/FA18:0)	0.0223	0.0770	2.46	1
1	ESI-	868.6	7.80	PC(18:0/20:4)	0.0008	0.2599	1.90	1
2	ESI-	840.6	7.91	PC(16:0/20:4)	0.0050	0.2142	1.76	1
3	ESI-	844.6	8.02	PC(18:0/18:2)	0.0002	0.2119	1.82	1
4	ESI-	818.6	8.00	PC(16:0/18:1)	0.0003	0.1507	3.28	1
5	ESI-	846.6	8.02	PC(18:0/18:1)	0.0000	0.1431	3.22	1
6	ESI-	307.2	0.55	FFA(20:2)	0.0196	0.1397	6.73	1
7	ESI-	816.6	8.00	PC(16:0/18:2)	0.0004	0.1364	1.62	1
8	ESI-	766.5	6.00	PE(18:0/20:4)	0.0000	0.1193	4.75	1
9	ESI-	480.3	8.40	LPE(18:0)	0.0006	0.1175	2.18	1
10	ESI-	892.6	7.75	PC(18:0/22:6)	0.0020	0.1173	2.18	1
11	ESI-	277.2	1.25	FFA(18:3)	0.0090	0.1043	2.58	1
12	ESI-	864.6	7.80	PC(16:0/22:6)	0.0028	0.0996	1.80	1
13	ESI-	279.2	1.10	FFA(18:2)	0.0000	-0.5544	0.47	Ļ
14	ESI-	281.2	1.10	FFA(18:1)	0.0311	-0.2799	0.69	Ļ
15	ESI-	303.2	1.00	FFA(20:4)	0.0048	-0.2398	0.53	Ļ
16	ESI-	327.2	1.00	FFA(22:6)	0.0304	-0.1837	0.59	\downarrow

ESI+: positive ion mode; ESI-: negative ion mode. The parameter represents the comparison between the two groups (PH vs. CON).

FC, fold change. *p*[1] score: derived from the s-plot graph. *p*-value: derived from the Student's *t*-test. (†): represents rising; (↓): represents falling. TAG, triacylglycerol; SM, sphingolipids; CE, cholesterol esters; PC, phosphatidylcholine; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; FFA, free fatty acid.

disorders. Specifically, the PH group exhibited an eightfold increase compared with the PO group, implying that hyperlipidemia and HUA exacerbate glycerophospholipid metabolism dysregulation. This phenomenon may relate to cell membrane damage and reconstruction. The PC serves as a crucial component of cell membranes. In the context of HUA, PC can be transformed into LPC, LPE, arachidonic acid, and other metabolites related to inflammation through the activity of phospholipase A (46). LPC and LPE are capable of

TABLE 5 The list of differential metabolites in PH group and PO group.

No.	lon mode	m/z	RT	Compound	<i>p</i> -value	p[1] score	FC	Trend
1	ESI+	690.6	0.55	CE(20:4)	0.0069	0.4906	2.06	1
2	ESI+	666.6	0.55	CE(18:2)	0.0012	0.3191	1.98	1
3	ESI+	703.6	9.85	SM(16:0)	0.0005	0.1242	2.08	1
4	ESI+	813.7	9.45	SM(24:1)	0.0012	0.1116	2.09	1
5	ESI+	815.7	9.45	SM(24:0)	0.0013	0.1106	2.20	1
6	ESI+	714.6	0.65	CE(22:6)	0.0006	0.1092	2.61	1
7	ESI+	874.8	0.60	TAG(52:3/FA16:0)	0.0034	-0.2216	0.56	Ļ
8	ESI+	872.8	0.60	TAG(52:4/FA18:2)	0.0016	-0.2186	0.46	Ļ
9	ESI+	874.8	0.60	TAG(52:3/FA18:2)	0.0023	-0.2173	0.54	Ļ
10	ESI+	898.8	0.60	TAG(54:5/FA18:2)	0.0005	-0.1996	0.28	Ļ
11	ESI+	872.8	0.60	TAG(52:4/FA16:0)	0.0005	-0.1891	0.47	Ļ
12	ESI+	900.8	0.60	TAG(54:4/FA18:2)	0.0011	-0.1835	0.33	Ļ
13	ESI+	900.8	0.60	TAG(54:4/FA18:1)	0.0011	-0.1613	0.36	Ļ
14	ESI+	876.8	0.60	TAG(52:2/FA16:0)	0.0128	-0.1572	0.64	Ļ
15	ESI+	874.8	0.60	TAG(52:3/FA18:1)	0.0089	-0.1466	0.57	Ļ
16	ESI+	902.8	0.60	TAG(54:3/FA18:1)	0.0027	-0.1404	0.41	Ļ
17	ESI+	896.8	0.60	TAG(54:6/FA18:2)	0.0032	-0.1258	0.39	Ļ
18	ESI+	898.8	0.60	TAG(54:5/FA18:1)	0.0020	-0.1098	0.40	\downarrow
1	ESI-	868.6	7.80	PC(18:0/20:4)	0.0006	0.2596	1.91	1
2	ESI-	840.6	7.91	PC(16:0/20:4)	0.0006	0.2475	2.13	1
3	ESI-	225.1	0.60	FFA(14:1)	0.0116	0.2258	1.58	1
4	ESI-	844.6	8.02	PC(18:0/18:2)	0.0001	0.2164	1.88	1
5	ESI-	816.6	8.00	PC(16:0/18:2)	0.0000	0.1553	1.82	1
6	ESI-	307.2	0.55	FFA(20:2)	0.0171	0.1410	7.90	1
7	ESI-	846.6	8.02	PC(18:0/18:1)	0.0000	0.1308	2.49	1
8	ESI-	892.6	7.75	PC(18:0/22:6)	0.0009	0.1256	2.54	1
9	ESI-	818.6	8.00	PC(16:0/18:1)	0.0014	0.1245	2.09	1
10	ESI-	864.6	7.80	PC(16:0/22:6)	0.0010	0.1089	2.04	1
11	ESI-	279.2	1.10	FFA(18:2)	0.0004	-0.4509	0.55	Ļ
12	ESI-	281.2	1.10	FFA(18:1)	0.0033	-0.3985	0.57	Ļ
13	ESI-	283.2	1.10	FFA(18:0)	0.0006	-0.2808	0.80	Ļ
14	ESI-	303.2	1.00	FFA(20:4)	0.0038	-0.1913	0.63	Ļ

ESI+: positive ion mode; ESI-: negative ion mode. The parameter represents the comparison between the two groups (PH vs. PO).

FC, fold change. *p*[1] score: derived from the s-plot graph. *p*-value: derived from the Student's *t*-test. (†): represents rising; (↓): represents falling. TAG, triacylglycerol; SM, sphingolipids; CE, cholesterol esters; PC, phosphatidylcholine; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholines; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; LPE, lysophosphatidylethanolamine; FFA, free fatty acid.

activating the Nuclear Factor-Kappa B (NF- κ B) pathway, elevating the levels of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) and phosphorylated Nuclear Factor-Kappa B (p-NF- κ B), and resulting in an increased production of inflammatory factors such as Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF- α) and Interleukin-6 (IL-6), thereby intensifying inflammation and oxidative stress damage (47, 48). Moreover, LPC stimulate mitogenactivated protein kinases (MAPKs), enhance the expression of inflammatory factors, and facilitate the migration and activation of inflammatory cells (49). Macrophages and other immune cells can induce the production of inflammatory cytokines under the influence of LPC, further amplifying the overall inflammatory response (50). Consequently, we hypothesize that the coexistence of hyperlipidemia and hyperuricemia exacerbates NAFPD via enhanced cellular membrane damage and remodeling, potentially mediated by the lipidinduced inflammatory response and increased tissue injury.

The SM plays a crucial role in maintaining biofilm structure and is involved in key signaling processes, including cell growth, differentiation, senescence, and apoptosis (51). In this study, the PH group exhibited a onefold increase in SM compared with the CON group, and a threefold increase compared with the PO group, with no significant differences between the CON and PO groups. These findings suggest that hyperlipidemia-induced lipid metabolism disorders are specifically linked to dysregulated SM metabolism. Previous research has highlighted a close relationship between abnormal sphingomyelin metabolism and fat deposition, as well as inflammation in NAFLD (52). SM are closely associated with inflammatory mediators, and SM metabolites, such as CEs, possess the capacity to induce inflammatory responses while inhibiting superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity. In conditions like neurological diseases and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, disturbances in sphingosine metabolism may lead to heightened inflammation and oxidative stress, thereby contributing to the progression of these diseases (53, 54).

The HUA is associated with several metabolic disorders, including inflammation, gout, and diabetes (5, 6). Elevated uric acid levels can alter serum lipid composition. Liu et al. (55) first demonstrated that a combination of TAG 18:1–20:0–22:1 and TAG14:0–16:0–16:1 could distinguish asymptomatic patients with HUA from those with gout. Kang et al. (56) found that metabolic disorders of glycerolipids (GLs) and glycerophospholipids were linked to HUA risk. LPC and PC are considered to be important biomarkers for the treatment and prognosis of gouty arthritis (57). Clinical studies have shown that TAGs, SM, and glycerophospholipids (PC, LPC, PG, and LPE) were significantly elevated in plasma lipid profiles in individuals with HUA and gout, particularly among younger patients (58).

High uric acid levels may contribute to lipid metabolism disorders through various mechanisms, including inhibition of Adenosine 5'-monophosphate (AMP)-activated protein kinase (AMPK) signaling and activation of Sterol Regulatory Element Binding Protein 1c (SREBP-1c), which promotes fat synthesis (59, 60). HUA could also induce an inflammatory state by activating the Transforming Growth Factor- β (TGF- β) signaling pathway and suppressing the Mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway, resulting in increased levels of inflammatory factors such as oxidative stress and IL-6 (61). These inflammatory effects can impact lipid metabolism and lead to fat accumulation (62). Additionally, inflammation may disrupt glycerophospholipid metabolism, further exacerbating the inflammatory response and tissue damage. Therefore, it is hypothesized that chronic inflammation, mediated by HUA and lipid metabolites, plays a significant role in the pathophysiology of NAFPD exacerbated by hyperlipidemia combined with HUA.

In summary, NAFPD and HUA are closely linked to lipid metabolism. A 2017 meta-analysis suggested that TAGs could serve as a key serological marker for NAFPD (2). In the present study, the pathological NAFPD score in the PH group was significantly higher than in the PO group. Additionally, our findings implicate glycerophospholipids-PC, LPC, PE, and LPE-as potential serum biomarkers for HUA, which exacerbates NAFPD. These results suggest that glycerophospholipid metabolism plays a crucial role in NAFPD pathophysiology. Furthermore, SM may serve as specific markers indicating the effect of hyperuric acid on NAFPD. The preliminary conclusion of the study suggests that the combination of hyperlipidemia and HUA exacerbates NAFPD, potentially due to disturbances in glycerophospholipid metabolism. This may be attributed to an intensified lipid-mediated inflammatory response, leading to increased tissue injury and further deterioration of cell membrane damage and remodeling. This study offers a novel perspective and theoretical foundation for future extensive clinical and animal experimental investigations. Moreover, it implies the need for heightened focus on lipid metabolism in patients with metabolic disorders like NAFLD, NAFPD and HUA during future clinical practice.

However, there are several limitations to this study. The sample size of animals was relatively small, which limits the ability to fully capture the changes in lipid metabolism profiles. Previous research has indicated that alterations in lipid metabolism during various stages of severe acute pancreatitis may be linked to inflammation and reparative processes (63), while changes in lipid composition as individuals age have been associated with the onset of Alzheimer's disease (64). Moreover, only serum samples from the final experimental animal were analyzed, failing to account for the dynamic changes in lipid profiles throughout the study. To further validate the relationship between lipid biomarkers and NAFPD, larger sample sizes and large-scale clinical prospective studies are needed.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, hyperlipidemia combined with HUA significantly exacerbates NAFPD. Glycerophospholipids may serve as key biomarkers in this process, potentially linked to a chronic inflammatory response mediated by glycerophospholipids. However, this study has notable limitations. The small sample size restricts the ability to fully capture variations in lipid metabolism profiles. Additionally, the analysis was limited to serum samples from the final experimental animal, preventing the assessment of dynamic lipid profile changes throughout the study. Future research should include larger sample sizes and longitudinal clinical studies to validate the relationship between potential lipid biomarkers and NAFPD.

Data availability statement

Requests to access the datasets should be directed to $\ensuremath{ \mbox{lslqz} \ensuremath{\mbox{e}}}$ fjmu.edu.cn.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

JLi: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YoC: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft. SL: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GL: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FY: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. JG: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. JC: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. YuC: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. JLin: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This project was financially supported by Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2018J01283), Joint funds for the innovation of science and technology, Fujian province (2023Y9231) and Science and Technology Project of Quanzhou Medical College (XJK2113B).

References

1. Wu WC, Wang CY. Association between non-alcoholic fatty pancreatic disease (NAFPD) and the metabolic syndrome: case-control retrospective study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2013) 12:77. doi: 10.1186/1475-2840-12-77

2. Singh RG, Yoon HD, Wu LM, Lu J, Plank LD, Petrov MS. Ectopic fat accumulation in the pancreas and its clinical relevance: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. *Metabolism.* (2017) 69:1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2016.12.012

3. Lee JS, Kim SH, Jun DW, Han JH, Jang EC, Park JY, et al. Clinical implications of fatty pancreas: correlations between fatty pancreas and metabolic syndrome. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2009) 15:1869–75. doi: 10.3748/wjg.15.1869

4. Kadayifci A, Demirci H, Saglam M, Sakin YS, Ozturk K, Polat Z, et al. The effect of fatty pancreas on serum glucose parameters in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Eur J Intern Med.* (2015) 26:37–41. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2014.11.007

5. Chalès G. How should we manage asymptomatic hyperuricemia? *Joint Bone Spine*. (2019) 86:437–43. doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2018.10.004

6. Johnson RJ. Why focus on uric acid? Curr Med Res Opin. (2015) 31:3-7. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2015.1087979

7. Li S, Su L, Lv G, Zhao W, Chen J. Transabdominal ultrasonography of the pancreas is superior to that of the liver for detection of ectopic fat deposits resulting from metabolic syndrome. *Medicine*. (2017) 96:e8060. doi: 10.1097/md.00000000008060

8. Della Corte C, Mosca A, Majo F, Lucidi V, Panera N, Giglioni E, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty pancreas disease and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: more than ectopic fat. *Clin Endocrinol.* (2015) 83:656–62. doi: 10.1111/cen.12862

9. Zhou Y, Wei F, Fan Y. High serum uric acid and risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Biochem*. (2016) 49:636–42. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2015.12.010

10. Wang M, Chen WY, Zhang J, Gobejishvili L, Barve SS, McClain CJ, et al. Elevated fructose and uric acid via aldose reductase contribute to experimental and human alcoholic liver disease. *Hepatology*. (2020) 72:1617–37. doi: 10.1002/hep.31197

11. Chen S, Chen D, Yang H, Wang X, Wang J, Xu C. Uric acid induced hepatocytes lipid accumulation through regulation of miR-149-5p/FGF21 axis. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2020) 20:39. doi: 10.1186/s12876-020-01189-z

12. Lombardi R, Pisano G, Fargion S. Role of serum uric acid and ferritin in the development and progression of NAFLD. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2016) 17:548. doi: 10.3390/ ijms17040548

13. Choi Y, Shin H, Choi H, Park J, Jo I, Oh E, et al. Uric acid induces fat accumulation via generation of endoplasmic reticulum stress and SREBP-1c activation in hepatocytes. *Lab Investig.* (2014) 94:1114–25. doi: 10.1038/labinvest.2014.98

14. Xu CF, Yu CH, Xu L, Sa XY, Li YM. Hypouricemic therapy: a novel potential therapeutic option for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology*. (2010) 52:1865–6. doi: 10.1002/hep.23798

15. Nielsen J. Systems biology of metabolism. Annu Rev Biochem. (2017) 86:245–75. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-044757

16. Lam SM, Shui G. Lipidomics as a principal tool for advancing biomedical research. J Genet Genomics. (2013) 40:375–90. doi: 10.1016/j.jgg.2013.06.007

17. Wenk MR. The emerging field of lipidomics. Nat Rev Drug Discov. (2005) 4:594-610. doi: 10.1038/nrd1776

18. Han XL, Gross RW. Global analyses of cellular lipidomes directly from crude extracts of biological samples by ESI mass spectrometry: a bridge to lipidomics. *J Lipid Res.* (2003) 44:1071–9. doi: 10.1194/jlr.R300004-JLR200

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

19. Kvasnička A, Najdekr L, Dobešová D, Piskláková B, Ivanovová E, Friedecký D. Clinical lipidomics in the era of the big data. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* (2023) 61:587–98. doi: 10.1515/cclm-2022-1105

20. Vvedenskaya O, Holčapek M, Vogeser M, Ekroos K, Meikle PJ, Bendt AK. Clinical lipidomics – a community-driven roadmap to translate research into clinical applications. J Mass Spectrom Adv Clin Lab. (2022) 24:1–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jmsacl.2022.02.002

21. Loomba R, Quehenberger O, Armando A, Dennis EA. Polyunsaturated fatty acid metabolites as novel lipidomic biomarkers for noninvasive diagnosis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *J Lipid Res.* (2015) 56:185–92. doi: 10.1194/jlr.P055640

22. Zeng J, Huang X, Zhou LN, Tan YX, Hu CX, Wang XM, et al. Metabolomics identifies biomarker pattern for early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: from diethylnitrosamine treated rats to patients. *Sci Rep.* (2015) 5:16101. doi: 10.1038/srep16101

23. Meikle TG, Huynh K, Giles C, Meikle PJ. Clinical lipidomics: realizing the potential of lipid profiling. J Lipid Res. (2021) 62:100127. doi: 10.1016/j.jlr.2021.100127

24. Eräranta A, Kurra V, Tahvanainen A, Vehmas T, Kööbi P, Lakkisto P, et al. Oxonic acid-induced hyperuricemia elevates plasma aldosterone in experimental renal insufficiency. J Hypertens. (2008) 26:1661–8. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e328303205d

25. Mazzali M, Hughes J, Kim Y, Jefferson J, Kang D, Gordon K, et al. Elevated uric acid increases blood pressure in the rat by a novel crystal-independent mechanism. *Hypertension*. (2001) 38:1101–6. doi: 10.1161/hy1101.092839

26. van Geenen E, Smits M, Schreuder T, van der Peet D, Bloemena E, Mulder C. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is related to nonalcoholic fatty pancreas disease. *Pancreas*. (2010) 39:1185–90. doi: 10.1097/MPA.0b013e3181f6fce2

27. Sarafian MH, Gaudin M, Lewis MR, Martin FP, Holmes E, Nicholson JK, et al. Objective set of criteria for optimization of sample preparation procedures for ultra-high throughput untargeted blood plasma lipid profiling by ultra performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem.* (2014) 86:5766–74. doi: 10.1021/ac500317c

28. Contrepois K, Mahmoudi S, Ubhi BK, Papsdorf K, Hornburg D, Brunet A, et al. Cross-platform comparison of untargeted and targeted lipidomics approaches on aging mouse plasma. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:17747. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-35807-4

29. Shi L, Dai X, Yan F, Lin Y, Lin L, Zhang Y, et al. Novel lipidomes profile and clinical phenotype identified in pneumoconiosis patients. *J Health Popul Nutr*. (2023) 42:55. doi: 10.1186/s41043-023-00400-7

30. Jarmusch AK, Alfaro CM, Pirro V, Hattab EM, Cohen-Gadol AA, Cooks RG. Differential lipid profiles of Normal human brain matter and gliomas by positive and negative mode desorption electrospray ionization – mass spectrometry imaging. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0163180. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163180

31. Ellis SR, Brown SH, In Het Panhuis M, Blanksby SJ, Mitchell TW. Surface analysis of lipids by mass spectrometry: more than just imaging. *Prog Lipid Res.* (2013) 52:329–53. doi: 10.1016/j.plipres.2013.04.005

32. Stavric B, Nera EA. Use of the uricase-inhibited rat as an animal model in toxicology. *Clin Toxicol.* (1978) 13:47–74. doi: 10.3109/15563657808988228

33. Nakagawa T, Mazzali M, Kang DH, Sánchez-Lozada LG, Herrera-Acosta J, Johnson RJ. Uric acid--a uremic toxin? *Blood Purif.* (2006) 24:67–70. doi: 10.1159/000089440

34. Cui L, Liu J, Yan X, Hu S. Identification of metabolite biomarkers for gout using capillary ion chromatography with mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem*. (2017) 89:11737–43. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.7b03232

35. Chen J, Zhou J, Wei S, Xie Z, Wen C, Xu G. Effect of a traditional Chinese medicine prescription Quzhuotongbi decoction on hyperuricemia model rats studied by using serum metabolomics based on gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. (2016) 1026:272-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2015.10.031

36. Brügger B. Lipidomics: analysis of the lipid composition of cells and subcellular organelles by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Annu Rev Biochem*. (2014) 83:79–98. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-060713-035324

37. Pettinelli P, Obregón AM, Videla LA. Molecular mechanisms of steatosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nutr Hosp.* (2011) 26:441–50. doi: 10.1590/s0212-16112011000300003

38. Fraulob JC, Ogg-Diamantino R, Fernandes-Santos C, Aguila MB, Mandarim-de-Lacerda CA. A mouse model of metabolic syndrome: insulin resistance, fatty liver and non-alcoholic fatty pancreas disease (NAFPD) in C57BL/6 mice fed a high fat diet. *J Clin Biochem Nutr.* (2010) 46:212–23. doi: 10.3164/jcbn.09-83

39. Filippatos TD, Alexakis K, Mavrikaki V, Mikhailidis DP. Nonalcoholic fatty pancreas disease: role in metabolic syndrome, "prediabetes," diabetes and atherosclerosis. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2022) 67:26–41. doi: 10.1007/s10620-021-06824-7

40. Kyhl LK, Nordestgaard BG, Tybjærg-Hansen A, Nielsen SF. High fat in blood and body and increased risk of clinically diagnosed non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in 105,981 individuals. *Atherosclerosis*. (2023) 376:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2023.05.015

41. Pagkali A, Makris A, Brofidi K, Agouridis AP, Filippatos TD. Pathophysiological mechanisms and clinical associations of non-alcoholic fatty pancreas disease. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. (2024) 17:283–94. doi: 10.2147/dmso.s397643

42. Ware TB, Shin M, Hsu KL. Metabolomics analysis of lipid metabolizing enzyme activity. *Methods Enzymol.* (2019) 626:407–28. doi: 10.1016/bs.mie.2019.06.027

43. Bochkov VN, Oskolkova OV, Birukov KG, Levonen AL, Binder CJ, Stöckl J. Generation and biological activities of oxidized phospholipids. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* (2010) 12:1009–59. doi: 10.1089/ars.2009.2597

44. Wymann MP, Schneiter R. Lipid signalling in disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2008) 9:162–76. doi: 10.1038/nrm2335

45. Wu H, Bogdanov M, Zhang Y, Sun K, Zhao S, Song A, et al. Hypoxia-mediated impaired erythrocyte Lands' cycle is pathogenic for sickle cell disease. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:29637. doi: 10.1038/srep29637

46. Zhang SL, Zhuang JC, Yue GJ, Wang YP, Liu MY, Zhang B, et al. Lipidomics to investigate the pharmacologic mechanisms of ginkgo folium in the hyperuricemic rat model. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci.* (2017) 1060:407–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2017.06.037

47. Chen J, Cao X, Cui Y, Zeng G, Chen J, Zhang G. Resveratrol alleviates lysophosphatidylcholine-induced damage and inflammation in vascular endothelial cells. *Mol Med Rep.* (2018) 17:4011–8. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2017.8300

48. Zhao H, He Y. Lysophosphatidylcholine offsets the protective effects of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells on inflammatory response and oxidative stress injury of retinal endothelial cells via TLR4/NF-κB signaling. *J Immunol Res.* (2021) 2021:238029–10. doi: 10.1155/2021/2389029

49. Carneiro AB, Iaciura BM, Nohara LL, Lopes CD, Veas EM, Mariano VS, et al. Lysophosphatidylcholine triggers TLR2- and TLR4-mediated signaling pathways but counteracts LPS-induced NO synthesis in peritoneal macrophages by inhibiting NF- κ B translocation and MAPK/ERK phosphorylation. *PLoS One*. (2013) 8:e76233. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076233

50. Liu P, Zhu W, Chen C, Yan B, Zhu L, Chen X, et al. The mechanisms of lysophosphatidylcholine in the development of diseases. *Life Sci.* (2020) 247:117443. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117443

51. Hannun YA, Obeid LM. Principles of bioactive lipid signalling: lessons from sphingolipids. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. (2008) 9:139–50. doi: 10.1038/nrm2329

52. Jiang M, Li C, Liu Q, Wang A, Lei M. Inhibiting ceramide synthesis attenuates hepatic steatosis and fibrosis in rats with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Front Endocrinol.* (2019) 10:665. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00665

53. Chakinala RC, Khatri A, Gupta K, Koike K, Epelbaum O. Sphingolipids in COPD. *Eur Respir Rev.* (2019) 28:190047. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0047-2019

54. Arsenault EJ, McGill CM, Barth BM. Sphingolipids as regulators of neuroinflammation and NADPH oxidase 2. *NeuroMolecular Med.* (2021) 23:25-46. doi: 10.1007/s12017-021-08646-2

55. Liu S, Wang Y, Liu H, Xu T, Wang MJ, Lu J, et al. Serum lipidomics reveals distinct metabolic profiles for asymptomatic hyperuricemic and gout patients. *Rheumatology*. (2022) 61:2644–51. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keab743

56. Kang WH, Xu XM, Yang XW, Wu QQ, Li SN, Gao KR, et al. Associations of plasma lipidomic profles with uric acid and hyperuricemia risk in middle-aged and elderly Chinese. *Phenomics*. (2022) 4:352–64. doi: 10.1007/s43657-024-00157-x

57. Lyu S, Ding RW, Liu P, OuYang H, Feng YL, Rao Y, et al. LC-MS analysis of serum for the metabolomic investigation of the effects of pulchinenoside b4 Administration in Monosodium Urate Crystal-Induced Gouty Arthritis rat Model. *Molecules*. (2019) 24:3161. doi: 10.3390/molecules24173161

58. Kvasnička A, Friedecký D, Brumarová R, Pavlíková M, Pavelcová K, Mašínová J, et al. Alterations in lipidome profiles distinguish early-onset hyperuricemia, gout, and the effect of urate-lowering treatment. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2023) 25:234. doi: 10.1186/s13075-023-03204-6

59. Liu N, Sun Q, Xu H, Yu X, Chen W, Wei H, et al. Hyperuricemia induces lipid disturbances mediated by LPCAT3 upregulation in the liver. *FASEB J.* (2020) 34:13474–93. doi: 10.1096/fj.202000950R

60. Xie D, Zhao H, Lu J, He F, Liu W, Yu W, et al. High uric acid induces liver fat accumulation via ROS/JNK/AP-1 signaling. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* (2021) 320:E1032–43. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00518.2020

61. Ma L, Wang J, Ma L, Ge Y, Wang XM. The effect of lipid metabolism disorder on patients with hyperuricemia using multi-omics analysis. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:18211. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-45564-8

62. Huang W, Zhang M, Qiu Q, Zhang J, Hua C, Chen G, et al. Metabolomics of human umbilical vein endothelial cell-based analysis of the relationship between hyperuricemia and dyslipidemia. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2024) 34:1528–37. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2024.02.001

63. Yang J, Wang M, Qiu Q, Huang Y, Wang Y, Pu Q, et al. Time-course Lipidomics of ornithine-induced severe acute pancreatitis model reveals the free fatty acids centered lipids dysregulation characteristics. *Meta.* (2023) 13:13. doi: 10.3390/metabo13090993

64. Kao YC, Ho PC, Tu YK, Jou IM, Tsai KJ. Lipids and Alzheimer's disease. Int J Mol Sci. (2020) 21:21. doi: 10.3390/ijms21041505