Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sepiso Kenias Masenga, Mulungushi University, Zambia

REVIEWED BY Katongo Hope Mutengo, University of Zambia, Zambia Naufal Zagidullin, Bashkir State Medical University, Russia

*CORRESPONDENCE Reza Tabrizi ⊠ kmsrc89@gmail.com

RECEIVED 05 August 2024 ACCEPTED 25 November 2024 PUBLISHED 06 December 2024

CITATION

Firooznia M, Rahimlou M, Sekhavati E, Motazedian M and Tabrizi R (2024) Dietary antioxidant index and hypertension in the Iranian population: a nested case–control study within the Fasa adults cohort study. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1476122. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1476122

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Firooznia, Rahimlou, Sekhavati, Motazedian and Tabrizi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Dietary antioxidant index and hypertension in the Iranian population: a nested case-control study within the Fasa adults cohort study

Mohammad amin Firooznia¹, Mehran Rahimlou², Eghbal Sekhavati³, Mohammadreza Motazedian⁴ and Reza Tabrizi^{65,6}*

¹Student research committee, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran, ²Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran, ³Department of Occupational Health, Larestan University of Medical Sciences, Larestan, Iran, ⁴Clinical Research Development Unit, Valiasr Hospital, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran, ⁵Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran, ⁶USERN Office, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran

Introduction: Hypertension (HTN) is a prevalent condition associated with numerous cardiovascular and non-cardiac complications. Lifestyle interventions, including dietary adjustments, offer promising avenues for hypertension management. However, the precise relationship between dietary antioxidants and hypertension risk necessitates further investigation. This study aimed to elucidate the association between the Dietary Antioxidant Index (DAI) and hypertension risk using a nested case–control design.

Method: A matched nested case–control study was conducted within the Fasa Adult Cohort Study (FACS), comprising 975 participants aged 35–70 years. Cases (n = 325) were hypertensive patients, while controls (n = 650) were individuals without hypertension, matched for sex and age. Dietary intake was assessed using a validated food frequency questionnaire, and DAI was computed based on standardized intake of antioxidants. Conditional logistic regression models were employed to evaluate the association between DAI and hypertension risk, adjusting for confounding variables.

Results: A significant inverse correlation was observed between DAI and hypertension risk across all models (OR = 0.89; 95% CI = 0.86–0.93, p < 0.001). This association remained robust after adjusting for potential confounders, including BMI, smoking, lipid profile, blood glucose levels, and educational status. In conclusion, higher DAI values were associated with a reduced risk of hypertension, highlighting the potential benefits of antioxidant-rich diets in hypertension prevention.

Conclusion: These findings underscore the importance of dietary interventions as a complementary approach to hypertension management.

KEYWORDS

dietary antioxidant index, hypertension, oxidative stress, cardiovascular, diet

Introduction

Hypertension (HTN), a condition that affects over 1 billion adults globally, is both a disease in itself and a significant contributing factor to other disorders (1, 2). The occurrence of hypertension increases dramatically around the world (3). HTN causes a substantial risk for a range of cardiovascular and several non-cardiac diseases (4, 5).

Treatment with antihypertensive medicines that lower blood pressure (BP) and related target organ damage can significantly minimize the heightened risk associated with BP elevation. However, despite the wide range of available treatment choices, nonadherence, intolerance, and resistant hypertension remain significant problems in pharmacological therapy (6). Antihypertensive medications are related to many side effects such as cough, edema, flushing, headache, increased urine output, rapid heart rate, wheezing, shortness of breath, and dizziness (7).

Conversely, there is increasing evidence that endorses the utilization of lifestyle strategies for both the prevention and complementary treatment of hypertension (8). Experimental evidence has shown that reactive oxygen species play a crucial role in the occurrence of hypertension (9). Therefore, adopting antioxidant-rich diets into lifestyle adjustments can be considered as a potential treatment option for high blood pressure (10). This process is explained by the imbalance between the production of reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species, and the antioxidant defense systems, leading to oxidative and nitrosative stress in the cell. These mechanisms play a role in the arterial damage seen in chronic conditions like hypertension (11).

A previous study found that increasing the intake of fruits and vegetables in persons with hypertension for 6 months improved blood antioxidant capacity and lowered both systolic and diastolic blood pressure (12). Another study conducted by Waśkiewicz found a correlation between the total antioxidant capacity of the diet and polyphenol intake with a reduced risk of developing arterial hypertension in the Polish population (13).

However, there is a lack of comprehensive evaluations that thoroughly examine the complex connection between dietary antioxidant consumption and the risk of hypertension. This study aimed to clarify the association between the dietary antioxidant index (DAI) and hypertension through a nested case–control approach. The findings of this study could be offering valuable information for developing preventative measures and dietary guidelines for managing hypertension.

Methods

Study design and population

Information for this matched nested case–control study within the Fasa Adult Cohort Study (FACS) was collected from November 2014 to June 2019. The FACS is a comprehensive, population-based study conducted over an extended period. FACS included 10,035 individuals between the ages of 35 and 70 who did not have any physical or mental disabilities. These individuals were chosen randomly from the Sheshdeh rural area, with a total population of 41,000 people, and had been residents for a minimum of 9 months. This cohort study aimed to identify the risk variables linked to noncommunicable diseases in this particular population (14). This study was a matched nested case–control study. Cases were 325 patients that diagnosed with hypertension. Controls were 650 participants without hypertension. Controls were frequency-matched to cases by sex and age (±5 years), with a control: case ratio of 2:1. In the

hypertensive group, hypertension stages were classified according to the standard guidelines from the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and American Heart Association (AHA), which define hypertension as follows: Stage 1 (systolic BP of 130–139 mmHg or diastolic BP of 80–89 mmHg) and Stage 2 (systolic BP \geq 140 mmHg or diastolic BP \geq 90 mmHg). Additionally, the hypertension group was assessed for common hypertension-related complications. Data on left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and kidney function status were recorded, and chronic kidney disease (CKD) was identified based on estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 mL/min/1.73 m², where available. This additional information provides a more detailed clinical profile of the hypertensive participants and supports understanding of the overall cardiovascular risk in this group.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The criteria for inclusion were as follows: a permanent residency in the area for a minimum of 2 years and willingness to participate in the study by providing informed consent through a signed agreement and to be in the age range of 20–80 years. Participants were not included in the analysis if they: suffering from autoimmune diseases, types of cancers, consumption of nutritional supplements with antioxidant properties such as vitamin E, selenium, vitamin A, zinc, vitamin C, omega 3, pregnancy and lactation, strict diet and gastrointestinal disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and celiac. Also, people whose nutritional intake questionnaires were incompletely filled or whose caloric intake was abnormal (more than 4,500 kcal or less than 700 kcal) were excluded from the study.

Assessment of dietary intake

The evaluation of patients' dietary intake was conducted using a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) known for its validity and reliability, the questionnaire consisted of 168 popular food items consumed by Iranians, with standard serving sizes. The participants were asked about the frequency of consuming each food item, with responses categorized as daily, weekly, or monthly, and then converted to daily intakes. The gathered data underwent analysis through Nutritionist IV (First Databank, Hearst Corp., San Bruno, CA, USA). Participants who had not completed more than 10% of the dietary questionnaire items were eliminated from the study.

Assessment of other variables

Enzymatic colorimetric method (Pars Azmoon, Tehran, Iran) was used to assess serum glucose, total cholesterol, triglyceride, and HDL cholesterol concentrations. Additionally, LDL cholesterol levels were determined using the Friedewald algorithm. Body weight was measured using a digital body mass scale and bioelectrical impedance with a precision of 0.01 kg using the Omron Karada Scan HBF-375 from Osaka, Japan. The BMI of each person was determined using the following formula: BMI = weight (in kg)/height (in m2).

Blood pressure was measured using the Omron HEM 7120 Automatic Blood Pressure (Omron, Japan). The measurement occurred after a resting interval of at least 10 min following recent activity. Participants were directed to sit comfortably with their left arm fully

10.3389/fnut.2024.1476122

exposed and resting on a supported surface at heart level. Blood pressure measurements were taken on the left arm using the appropriate cuff size. Hypertension was described as having a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of 140 mmHg or higher and/or a diastolic blood pressure of 90 mmHg or higher, or taking blood pressure drugs regularly.

Assessment of DAI

DAI for all study participants was determined using the data obtained from the FFQ. We employed databases that were published earlier and included the most frequently consumed foods to compute the DAI (15). For DAI computation, we standardized the intake of vitamin A, C, E, and selenium, manganese, and zinc by subtracting the global mean and dividing by the global standard deviation. The DAI was then determined by summing the standardized intakes, following the description provided below (16):

$$DAI = \sum_{i=1}^{N=6} \frac{\text{Individual intake} - \text{Mean}}{SD}$$

Finally, to eliminate the effect of energy, the DAI score was adjusted to energy.

Statistical analysis

We utilized SPSS software version 16 for the data analysis. The continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation, whereas categorical data were presented as frequency (percentage). To examine whether the variables are normally distributed, we used from the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. In order to analyze the demographic variables, we used independent t test. Participants were categorized into quartiles according to their DAI score. Differences in general characteristics among dietary DAI quartiles and between cases and controls were evaluated using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for continuous variables and the Chi-square test for categorical variables. Lineaar regression was used to adjusted DAI score in term of total energy intake. Conditional logistic regression was employed in various models to explore the link between DAC and the risk of hypertension, with adjustments made for the most significant clinical variables. Initially, following control for BMI and smoking, the second model incorporated adjustments for educational levels and serum levels of TG, LDL, HDL and total cholestrol. In another model, supplementary adjustments involved FBS, SFA and PUFA. Confounding variables were chosen based on established relationships with both dietary antioxidant intake and hypertension risk, including BMI, smoking status, lipid profile, blood glucose levels, and educational status. These adjustments were made to control for potential confounding effects, although we acknowledge that certain variables, such as BMI and lipid profile, may act as mediators in the relationship between dietary antioxidants and hypertension.

Statistically significant values were defined as p-values less than 0.05.

Results

In this matched case-control study, 975 participants (325 patients in case group and 650 people in control group) were included. The

participants had a mean age of 51.24 \pm 8.78 years Table 1 summarizes the demographic details of the study participants. The mean weight of the participants in the case group was 69.52 ± 13.95 kg and in the control group was 63.09 ± 12.68 , and A statistically significant difference was found between the two groups (p < 0.001). Additionally, the mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) in the case group was 123.7 \pm 18.52 mmHg, whereas it was 106.58 \pm 15.24 mmHg in the control group, showing a significant disparity (p < 0.001). Furthermore, the diastolic blood pressure (DBP) level in the case group was 80.98 ± 12.43 mmHg, compared to 71.60 ± 10.97 mmHg in the control group, indicating a significant difference (p < 0.001). Regarding dietary intake, participants in the case group had a mean calorie consumption of 2737.52 ± 804.84 kcal/day, while the control group had a mean calorie intake of 2743.83 ± 860.78 kcal/day, with no significant differences (p = 0.91). Additionally, significant differences were observed between the case and control groups in terms of dietary vitamin E and zinc intake (p < 0.05). DAI value in hypertensive patients was -0.73 ± 4.18 , whereas in the control group, it was 0.98 ± 4.48 , indicating a diet with higher antioxidant content among controls (p-value <0.001). Table 2 showed differences in biochemical and nutritional variables among DAI quartiles. We found a significant differenes between DAI quartiles in term of age. Also, participants in the higher quartile of DAI compared to the subjects in the lower quartile consumed significantly higher amounts of enery, fat, carbohydrate, protein, sodium, satuarated fatty acid (SFA), mono and poly unsaturated fatty acid, dietary cholestrol, potassium, vitamin A, vitamin C,vitamin E, zinc, selenium and manganese (p < 0.001). However, we could not find any significant differences between DAI quartiles in term of lipid profile, blood sugar, SBP, DBP, weight and BMI (p > 0.05).

ORs and 95% CIs for the odds of hypertension according to the DAI value are shown in Table 3. It has been reported that there was a significant inverse correlation between DAI and odds of hypertension in the crude model (OR = 0.91;95%CI = 0.88-0.94, p < 0.001). Also, in all of the adjusted models and after adjustment for confounding factors including BMI, smoking, LDL, FBS, HDL, TG, total cholestrol, educational degree, SFA and PUFA, a reverse and significant association were seen (OR = 0.89;95%CI = 0.86-0.93, p < 0.001).

Discussion

This study aimed to investigate the potential relationship among DAI and hypertension. The importance of investigating this connection resides in the wider framework of public health, due to the increasing incidence of hypertension and the potential influence of dietary determinants on its onset. The study's findings revealed fascinating patterns that warrant additional discussion, elucidating the complex interaction between dietary habits and hypertension incidence.

The observed odds ratio (OR = 0.89) indicates that each unit increase in DAI is associated with an 11% reduction in hypertension risk. In our study, DAI range was wide. This suggests that individuals moving from a lower to a higher DAI category could potentially reduce their hypertension risk by 11%. Translating these findings into practical dietary changes, an increase in DAI can be achieved through a higher intake of antioxidant-rich foods, including fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and legumes, which are known to TABLE 1 General characteristics of the study population^a.

Variables	Total (<i>n</i> = 975)	Case (n = 325)	Control (<i>n</i> = 650)	<i>P</i> _value ^b
DAI	0.41 ± 4.46	-0.73 ± 4.18	0.98 ± 4.48	< 0.001
Age (y)	51.24 ± 8.78	51.24 ± 8.79	51.24 ± 8.78	1.00
Male (%)	288(29.5%)	96(29.5%)	192(29.5%)	1.00
Weight (kg)	65.23 ± 13.46	69.52 ± 13.95	63.09 ± 12.68	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.77 ± 4.92	27.28 ± 4.98	25.02 ± 4.71	< 0.001
SBP (mmHg)	112.29 ± 18.28	123.7 ± 18.52	106.58 ± 15.24	< 0.001
DBP (mmHg)	74.73 ± 12.29	80.98 ± 12.43	71.60 ± 10.97	< 0.001
TG (mg/dl)	127.07 ± 70.39	144.94 ± 84.33	118.11 ± 60.34	< 0.001
LDL (mg/dl)	112.37 ± 34.07	112.96 ± 34.64	112.07 ± 33.81	0.71
HDL (mg/dl)	50.37 ± 13.30	52.38 ± 15.90	49.36 ± 11.66	0.003
TC (mg/dl)	188.31 ± 40.32	194.82 ± 39.25	185.05 ± 40.49	< 0.001
FBS (mg/dl)	94.08 ± 30.87	99.37 ± 39.28	91.36 ± 25.16	0.001
Smoking, no. (%)	234(25%)	85(26.15%)	149(23%)	0.38
Urban residence, <i>n</i> (%)	263 (27%)	80 (24.6%)	183 (28.2%)	0.23
Self-report diabetes, no, (%) (%)	146(14.97%)	71 (21.8%)	75 (11.5%)	< 0.001
Education, no. (%)				0.38
High school or less	683(70%)	220(67.70%)	463(71.23%)	
College education (%)	292(30%)	105 (32.30%)	187 (28.77%)	
Dietary intake				
Energy, Kcal/day	2741.74 ± 842.14	2737.52 ± 804.84	2743.83 ± 860.78	0.91
Fat, g/day	68.24 ± 25.66	68.64 ± 26.05	68.03 ± 25.47	0.73
Carbohydrate, g/day	389.68 ± 93.37	390.96 ± 91.66	395.04 ± 94.28	0.76
Protein, g/day	86.25 ± 28.83	85.02 ± 26.44	86.86 ± 29.94	0.33
Cholesterol intake, mg/day	258.94 ± 146.97	252.12 ± 148.95	262.35 ± 145.97	0.31
Na (mg/day)	4389.44 ± 1428.41	4451.44 ± 1398.22	4358.48 ± 1443.32	0.34
SFA (g/day)	23.91 ± 10.33	85.57 ± 8.17	85.01 ± 8.00	0.55
MUFA (g/day)	18.29 ± 8.30	18.29 ± 8.35	18.30 ± 8.29	0.99
PUFA(g/day)	10.06 ± 67.37	9.86 ± 4.62	10.15 ± 5.36	0.663
Potassium (mg/day)	3811.88 ± 1569.89	3874.67 ± 1545.49	3780.54 ± 1582.19	0.38
Vitamin A (mcg/day)	744.11 ± 351.32	740.45 ± 364.46	745.01 ± 344.83	0.81
Vitamin C (mg/day)	141.38 ± 87.70	139.94 ± 83.54	142.11 ± 89.76	0.71
Vitamin E(mg/day)	7.84 ± 3.46	7.45 ± 3.38	8.04 ± 3.48	0.01
Zinc(mg/day)	10.76 ± 4.02	10.08 ± 3.97	11.11 ± 4.01	<0.001
Manganese(mg/day)	4.51 ± 2.53	4.21 ± 2.66	4.66 ± 2.44	0.41
Selenium(mcg/day)	132.14 ± 59.57	120.93 ± 49.21	137.75 ± 63.42	0.001

^a All data are means ± standard deviations unless indicated. ^b Calculated by independent *t* test. BMI, Body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DAI, Dietary Antioxidant Inde; mg/dl, milligram/deciliter; FBS, Fasting blood sugar; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; Na, Sodium; SFA, saturated fatty acid; MUFA, mono unsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, poly unsaturated fatty acid; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol.

contribute to oxidative stress reduction and blood pressure management. For instance, increasing daily servings of vegetables and fruits by five servings could significantly increase DAI, aligning with observed reductions in hypertension risk. Such dietary adjustments not only promote general cardiovascular health but may also serve as accessible strategies for hypertension prevention, with particular relevance for individuals at higher risk of hypertension. The results indicated that in all models of multiple adjusted ORs, there was a significant and negative correlation between hypertension and the DAI. This finding aligns with the outcomes of prior research. In a cross-sectional investigation, Fateh et al. (17) examined the correlation between greater total antioxidant capacity in the diet and a lower risk of hypertension in pre/ perimenopausal women. Their modeling using logistic regression analysis revealed a decreased hypertension risk in women with

TABLE 2	Distribution of	characteristics an	d dietary	intakes across	tertiles of	the DAI (<i>n</i> = 975) ^a .
---------	-----------------	--------------------	-----------	----------------	-------------	--

Variables	Q 1 (<i>n</i> = 243)	Q 2 (<i>n</i> = 244)	Q3 (<i>n</i> = 244)	Q 4 (n = 244)	<i>P</i> -value ^b
Age (y)	51.62 ± 8.72	52.24 ± 8.89	50.22 ± 8.70	50.90 ± 8.73	0.06
Weight (kg)	66.15 ± 14.37	65.53 ± 13.91	64.50 ± 12.67	64.75 ± 12.82	0.51
BMI (kg/m²)	26.23 ± 5.18	25.81 ± 4.86	25.53 ± 4.69	25.77 ± 4.92	0.35
SBP (mmHg)	112.87 ± 18.52	113.44 ± 19.10	111.68 ± 18.09	111.17 ± 17.37	0.22
DBP (mmHg)	74.70 ± 12.66	74.62 ± 12.54	74.93 ± 12.47	74.67 ± 11.55	0.32
TG (mg/dl)	133.27 ± 73.39	128.91 ± 75.30	124.07 ± 67.89	122.00 ± 64.30	0.29
LDL (mg/dl)	112.47 ± 37.46	117.11 ± 35.10	108.50 ± 29.64	111.36 ± 33.23	0.04
HDL (mg/dl)	49.70 ± 14.55	50.31 ± 12.81	50.63 ± 12.38	50.80 ± 13.48	0.59
TC (mg/dl)	189.06 ± 41.92	192.61 ± 40.79	184.20 ± 38.96	187.40 ± 39.35	0.12
FBS (mg/dl)	93.83 ± 33.36	92.08 ± 24.08	93.91 ± 29.93	96.29 ± 34.80	0.68
Energy, Kcal/day	2974.70 ± 873.12	2499.53 ± 739.22	2593.23 ± 831.05	2900.47 ± 828.07	< 0.001
Fat, g/day	71.59 ± 24.29	60.60 ± 21.84	61.77 ± 22.47	79.24 ± 29.07	<0.001
Carbohydrate, g/day	400.12 ± 85.05	385.30 ± 97.45	366.07 ± 96.18	407.18 ± 89.51	<0.001
Protein, g/day	87.45 ± 29.29	78.92 ± 25.92	83.40 ± 28.18	95.32 ± 29.42	< 0.001
Cholesterol intake, mg/day	218.90 ± 114.51	230.83 ± 124.38	250.64 ± 134.97	337.00 ± 177.79	<0.001
Na (mg/day)	4699.03 ± 1519.81	4047.25 ± 1280.16	4212.08 ± 1370.89	4601.21 ± 1438.33	<0.001
SFA (g/day)	25.24 ± 10.56	21.71 ± 9.22	21.77 ± 9.35	26.91 ± 11.16	<0.001
MUFA (g/day)	17.55 ± 7.77	16.24 ± 7.17	17.01 ± 6.84	22.45 ± 9.76	<0.001
PUFA (g/day)	8.29 ± 3.59	8.47 ± 3.50	9.73 ± 3.94	13.82 ± 6.74	<0.001
Potassium (mg/day)	3142.99 ± 1052.87	3207.59 ± 1020.54	3692.77 ± 1198.85	5222.62 ± 1871.33	< 0.001
Vitamin A (mcg/day)	555.06 ± 259.59	615.52 ± 264.74	771 ± 316.85	1034.06 ± 345.90	<0.001
Vitamin C (mg/day)	86.41 ± 49.10	107.38 ± 53.50	138.78 ± 57.17	232.75 ± 98.63	< 0.001
Vitamin E (mg/day)	5.90 ± 2.28	6.38 ± 2.22	7.88 ± 2.61	11.21 ± 3.70	< 0.001
Zinc (mg/day)	10.13 ± 3.62	9.39 ± 3.31	10.56 ± 3.78	12.98 ± 4.39	< 0.001
Manganese (mg/day)	4.09 ± 2.21	3.95 ± 1.93	4.32 ± 2.30	5.67 ± 3.13	< 0.001
Selenium (mcg/day)	134.80 ± 51.16	118.98 ± 49.90	127.95 ± 57.89	146.85 ± 73.25	< 0.001

^a All data are means ± standard deviations unless indicated. ^b One-way ANOVA was used for continuous variables. BMI, Body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DAI, Dietary Antioxidant Inde; mg/dl, milligram/deciliter; FBS, Fasting blood sugar; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; Na, Sodium; SFA, saturated fatty acid; MUFA, mono unsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, poly unsaturated fatty acid; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol.

TABLE 3 Multivariate-adjusted ORs and 95% CIs for hypertension in relation to dietary antioxidant index.

	OR (CI)	Р
Crude	0.91 (0.88, 0.94)	<0.001
Model 1	0.92(0.88,0.944)	<0.001
Model 2	0.9(0.87,0.93)	<0.001
Model 3	0.89(0.86,0.93)	<0.001

Model 1: Adjusted for BMI, smoking. Model 2: Further adjusted for educational degree, LDL, HDL, cholesterol. Model 3: Further adjusted for FBS, SFA and PUFA.

elevated dietary total antioxidant capacity (17). Moreover, the study conducted by Peng et al. (18) suggested a negative link between dietary antioxidants and hypertension risk among the Chinese middle-aged and older adults (18, 19). Another research has identified a potential link between diet and blood pressure in Iranian Kurdish women indicates that consuming a diet filled with

antioxidants may be linked to a reduced likelihood to develop hypertension. (20). Similar results were seen in French women (21). Moreover, data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) showed a potential link between higher intakes of dietary carotenoids, a type of antioxidant, and lower prevalence of hypertension in American adults (22).

The inverse association between DAI and hypertension risk observed in this study may be partly explained by several biological mechanisms through which antioxidants affect blood pressure regulation. Antioxidants play a crucial role in neutralizing reactive oxygen species (ROS), thereby reducing oxidative stress, which is known to contribute to vascular damage and hypertension (23). High levels of oxidative stress can impair endothelial function by decreasing the availability of nitric oxide (NO), a critical molecule that promotes vasodilation and regulates blood pressure. By reducing oxidative stress, dietary antioxidants may enhance endothelial function and improve NO bioavailability, thus aiding in blood pressure control. Additionally, dietary antioxidants have been associated with anti-inflammatory effects, which may also contribute to blood pressure regulation (24, 25). Chronic low-grade inflammation is increasingly recognized as a factor in hypertension pathogenesis. Antioxidant nutrients, such as vitamins C and E and polyphenols, have been shown to decrease inflammatory markers like C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6), which are elevated in hypertensive individuals. By mitigating inflammation, antioxidants may further reduce the risk of hypertension development (26, 27).

When free radicals accumulate in cells and tissues, exceeding the body's natural antioxidant defenses, an imbalance called "oxidative stress" occurs (28). This stress damages cells and contributes to the development of CVD (29). Fortunately, antioxidants can counteract this process by donating electrons to free radicals, neutralizing their harmful potential and shielding the organism from harmful consequences of oxidative stress (30). Some researchers have suggested that part of the beneficial effects of diets with a high DAI on blood pressure is due to the high consumption of vitamin E and manganese in these diets (31). Research suggests a complex relationship between specific nutrients and hypertension. While vitamins E and manganese showed promise in some studies, findings were mixed across populations. Vitamin E intake exhibited a reverse J-shaped association with hypertension in Chinese adults (cohort study) (32), but no link was found in a Spanish study (33). This highlights the potential influence of ethnicity on such interactions. Conversely, meta-analyses consistently support the benefit of magnesium supplementation in lowering blood pressure (34-36).

Extensive research suggests that consuming foods rich in antioxidants might lower the likelihood of developing hypertension. The DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) diet, which emphasizes fruits, vegetables, low-fat dairy, and whole grains, has consistently been proven to lower blood pressure in both healthy and hypertensive patients (37). This diet has also been linked to a decrease in cardiovascular complications like stroke, which are often associated with hypertension (38). While several studies suggest a link between antioxidant-rich diets and lower blood pressure risk, findings on supplementing with antioxidants are less conclusive, particularly when considering gender differences. In the Linxian trial, for example, men receiving antioxidant supplements had a decreased risk of developing hypertension after 6 years, while no such benefit was observed in women (39). While some studies suggest potential benefits of antioxidant supplements for blood pressure, others, like the SUVIMAX trial, have found no significant association with preventing hypertension (40). This raises the question of whether the naturally balanced mix of antioxidants found in whole foods might be more effective than isolated supplements, which could potentially disrupt the body's delicate antioxidant system by providing an excess of specific antioxidants.

Multiple studies across humans and animal models show clear differences between genders or sexes in both how often high blood pressure occurs and how the body regulates it (41). Existing evidence suggests a sex-based disparity in Male spontaneous hypertension rat (SHR), with males exhibiting higher oxidative stress levels contributing to their hypertensive state compared to females. Consequently, this implies a potential for greater blood pressure reduction in males upon antioxidant administration compared to females (42). Although prior research suggests a gender-dependent relationship between the variables, our findings revealed a consistently inverse correlation regardless of participant gender.

The observed phenomenon might share a close link with oxidative stress. Existing literature (43, 44) indicates elevated oxidative stress and inflammation in hypertensive individuals. Manganese and zinc are essential elements in antioxidant mitochondrial metalloenzymes (MnSOD, ZnSOD) (45), Selenium, present in selenoproteins, helps prevent lipid peroxidation and oxidative cellular damage. This indicates a possible function for these micronutrients in lessening the observed effects (46). The crucial role of non-enzymatic antioxidants like vitamins A, C, and E in counteracting stress-induced oxidant alterations is emphasized in previous studies. This underscores the potential application of dietary antioxidant sources for the prevention of hypertension triggered by oxidative stress (31, 47).

In this study, we observed notably lower blood pressure values in the control group, which could reflect specific characteristics of the Iranian rural population sampled in the Fasa Adult Cohort Study (FACS). Several factors may account for these findings. First, rural populations in Iran, including the FACS cohort, often engage in traditional lifestyles that include higher physical activity levels and diets rich in fruits, vegetables, and whole grains. These foods contribute to higher DAI values, which are known to mitigate oxidative stress, a factor closely linked to hypertension development (48). Additionally, dietary patterns in rural Iranian settings may provide a variety of antioxidants naturally present in local foods, potentially explaining the observed lower baseline blood pressure in controls. The relationship between antioxidants and blood pressure has been documented, and diets rich in these compounds may support vascular health and reduce hypertension risk (49). Furthermore, unique genetic and environmental interactions specific to this region may also play a role in maintaining lower blood pressure. Previous studies have suggested that Iranian populations may exhibit distinct genetic profiles that, when combined with rural environmental influences, contribute to lower baseline blood pressure values (50).

The present study employs a nested case–control design within a large cohort study, allowing for a robust evaluation of the association between DAI and hypertension. This design helps control for potential confounding factors and provides valuable insights into the relationship being studied.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, dietary intake was assessed using a validated FFQ, which is prone to recall bias and measurement error. Although the FFQ provides valuable insights into dietary patterns, its reliance on self-reported data may have affected the accuracy of the DAI calculation. Second, some variables included as confounders in the analysis, such as BMI and lipid profile, may act as mediators rather than confounders, potentially leading to overadjustment and attenuation of the observed association. Third, the absence of objective biomarkers of antioxidant intake limits the ability to validate self-reported dietary data, which future studies should address. Finally, the generalizability of our findings may be restricted by the specific rural population studied, as dietary habits and genetic factors may differ across populations. Despite these limitations, the study provides valuable evidence on the relationship between dietary antioxidants and hypertension risk.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study offers supportive evidence for a significant inverse association between the DAI and hypertension risk, highlighting the potential benefits of antioxidant-rich diets in managing hypertension. Future studies employing more precise dietary assessment methods and interventional designs are warranted to confirm these associations and strengthen the basis for dietary recommendations in hypertension prevention.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Fasa University of Medical Sciences. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

References

1. Carey RM, Moran AE, Whelton PK. Treatment of hypertension: a review. JAMA. (2022) 328:1849-61. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.19590

2. Gabb G. What is hypertension? Aust Prescr. (2020) 43:108–9. doi: 10.18773/ austprescr.2020.025

3. Mirzaei M, Moayedallaie S, Jabbari L, Mohammadi M. Prevalence of hypertension in Iran 1980–2012: a systematic review. J Tehran Univ Heart Center. (2016) 11:159–67.

 Kjeldsen SE. Hypertension and cardiovascular risk: general aspects. *Pharmacol Res.* (2018) 129:95–9. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2017.11.003

5. Parsi A, Torkashvand M, Hajiani E, Rahimlou M, Sadeghi N. The effects of crocus sativus extract on serum lipid profile and liver enzymes in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized placebo-controlled study. *Obesity Med.* (2020) 17:100165. doi: 10.1016/j.obmed.2019.100165

6. Oparil S, Schmieder RE. New approaches in the treatment of hypertension. Circ Res. (2015) 116:1074–95. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.303603

7. Gebreyohannes EA, Bhagavathula AS, Abebe TB, Tefera YG, Abegaz TM. Adverse effects and non-adherence to antihypertensive medications in University of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Hospital. *Clin Hypertension*. (2019) 25:1–9. doi: 10.1186/ s40885-018-0104-6

8. Valenzuela PL, Carrera-Bastos P, Gálvez BG, Ruiz-Hurtado G, Ordovas JM, Ruilope LM, et al. Lifestyle interventions for the prevention and treatment of hypertension. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2021) 18:251–75. doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-00437-9

9. Taay YM, Mohammed MT. Evaluation of serum reactive oxygen species and glutathione peroxidase in Iraqi obese/obesehypertension females. *Plant Archives*, (2020) 20:1165–8.

10. Sinha N, Kumar Dabla P. Oxidative stress and antioxidants in hypertension-a current review. *Curr Hypertens Rev.* (2015) 11:132–42. doi: 10.2174/1573402111666150529130922

11. Sorriento D, De Luca N, Trimarco B, Iaccarino G. The antioxidant therapy: new insights in the treatment of hypertension. *Front Physiol.* (2018) 9:258. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00258

12. Rajagopalan S, Meng XP, Ramasamy S, Harrison DG, Galis ZS. Reactive oxygen species produced by macrophage-derived foam cells regulate the activity of vascular

Author contributions

MF: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MR: Writing – review & editing. ES: Writing – review & editing. MM: Writing – review & editing. RT: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Deputy of Research and Technology of Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran (No. 401354).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

matrix metalloproteinases in vitro. Implications for atherosclerotic plaque stability. *J Clin Invest.* (1996) 98:2572–9. doi: 10.1172/JCI119076

13. Waśkiewicz A, Zujko ME, Szcześniewska D, Tykarski A, Kwaśniewska M, Drygas W, et al. Polyphenols and dietary antioxidant potential, and their relationship with arterial hypertension: a cross-sectional study of the adult population in Poland (WOBASZ II). Adv Clin Exp Med. (2019) 28:797–806. doi: 10.17219/acem/91487

14. Farjam M, Bahrami H, Bahramali E, Jamshidi J, Askari A, Zakeri H, et al. A cohort study protocol to analyze the predisposing factors to common chronic non-communicable diseases in rural areas: Fasa cohort study. *BMC Public Health*. (2016) 16:1090. doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-3760-z

15. Luu HN, Wen W, Li H, Dai Q, Yang G, Cai Q, et al. Are dietary antioxidant intake indices correlated to oxidative stress and inflammatory marker levels? *Antioxid Redox Signal.* (2015) 22:951–9. doi: 10.1089/ars.2014.6212

16. Wright ME, Mayne ST, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Li Z, Pietinen P, Taylor PR, et al. Development of a comprehensive dietary antioxidant index and application to lung cancer risk in a cohort of male smokers. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2004) 160:68–76. doi: 10.1093/ aje/kwh173

17. Fateh H.L., Mirzaei N., Gubari M.I.M., Darbandi M., Najafi F., Pasdar Y. (2021). Association between dietary Total antioxidant capacity and hypertension in pre-and postmenopausal women

18. Peng X, Gao Q, Zhou J, Ma J, Zhao D, Hao L. Association between dietary antioxidant vitamins intake and homocysteine levels in middle-aged and older adults with hypertension: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open.* (2021) 11:e045732. doi: 10.1136/ bmjopen-2020-045732

19. Rahimlou M, Yari Z, Rayyani E, Keshavarz SA, Hosseini S, Morshedzadeh N, et al. Effects of ginger supplementation on anthropometric, glycemic and metabolic parameters in subjects with metabolic syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Diabetes Metab Disord*. (2019) 18:119–25. doi: 10.1007/s40200-019-00397-z

20. Fateh HL, Mirzaei N, Gubari MIM, Darbandi M, Najafi F, Pasdar Y. Association between dietary total antioxidant capacity and hypertension in Iranian Kurdish women. *BMC Womens Health*. (2022) 22:255. doi: 10.1186/s12905-022-01837-4

21. Villaverde P, Lajous M, Macdonald C-J, Fagherazzi G, Bonnet F, Boutron-Ruault M-C. High dietary total antioxidant capacity is associated with a reduced risk of hypertension in French women. *Nutr J.* (2019) 18:1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12937-019-0456-0

22. Li Z, Chen J, Zhang D. Association between dietary carotenoid intakes and hypertension in adults: National Health and nutrition examination survey 2007–2014. *J Hypertens*. (2019) 37:2371–9. doi: 10.1097/HJH.00000000002200

23. Tain Y-L, Hsu C-N. Oxidative stress-induced hypertension of developmental origins: preventive aspects of antioxidant therapy. *Antioxidants*. (2022) 11:511. doi: 10.3390/antiox11030511

24. Młynarska E, Biskup L, Możdżan M, Grygorcewicz O, Możdżan Z, Semeradt J, et al. The role of oxidative stress in hypertension: the insight into antihypertensive properties of vitamins A, C, E. *Antioxidants*. (2024) 13:848. doi: 10.3390/antiox 13070848

25. Morshedzadeh N, Rahimlou M, Shahrokh S, Karimi S, Mirmiran P, Zali MR. The effects of flaxseed supplementation on metabolic syndrome parameters, insulin resistance and inflammation in ulcerative colitis patients: an open-labeled randomized controlled trial. *Phytother Res.* (2021) 35:3781–91. doi: 10.1002/ptr.7081

26. Bakhtiary M, Morvaridzadeh M, Agah S, Rahimlou M, Christopher E, Zadro JR, et al. Effect of probiotic, prebiotic, and Synbiotic supplementation on Cardiometabolic and oxidative stress parameters in patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Ther.* (2021) 43:e71–96. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.12.021

27. Hasanloei MAV, Rahimlou M, Eivazloo A, Sane S, Ayremlou P, Hashemi R. Effect of oral versus intramuscular vitamin D replacement on oxidative stress and outcomes in traumatic mechanical ventilated patients admitted to intensive care unit. *Nutr Clin Pract.* (2020) 35:548–58. doi: 10.1002/ncp.10404

28. Morvaridzadeh M, Nachvak SM, Agah S, Sepidarkish M, Dehghani F, Rahimlou M, et al. Effect of soy products and isoflavones on oxidative stress parameters: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Food Res Int.* (2020) 137:109578. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109578

29. Van Der Pol A, Van Gilst WH, Voors AA, Van Der Meer P. Treating oxidative stress in heart failure: past, present and future. *Eur J Heart Fail*. (2019) 21:425–35. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1320

30. Pizzino G, Irrera N, Cucinotta M, Pallio G, Mannino F, Arcoraci V, et al. Oxidative stress: harms and benefits for human health. *Oxidative Med Cell Longev*. (2017) 2017:312–329. doi: 10.1155/2017/8416763

31. Wu M, Si J, Liu Y, Kang L, Xu B. Association between composite dietary antioxidant index and hypertension: insights from NHANES. *Clin Exp Hypertens*. (2023) 45:2233712. doi: 10.1080/10641963.2023.2233712

32. Zhang Y, Yang S, Wu Q, Ye Z, Zhou C, Liu M, et al. Dietary vitamin E intake and new-onset hypertension. *Hypertens Res.* (2023) 46:1267–75. doi: 10.1038/ s41440-022-01163-0

33. Kostov K, Halacheva L. Role of magnesium deficiency in promoting atherosclerosis, endothelial dysfunction, and arterial stiffening as risk factors for hypertension. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2018) 19:1724. doi: 10.3390/ijms19061724

34. Asbaghi O, Hosseini R, Boozari B, Ghaedi E, Kashkooli S, Moradi S. The effects of magnesium supplementation on blood pressure and obesity measure among type 2 diabetes patient: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* (2021) 199:413–24. doi: 10.1007/s12011-020-02157-0

35. Cheung MM, Dall RD, Shewokis PA, Altasan A, Volpe SL, Amori R, et al. The effect of combined magnesium and vitamin D supplementation on vitamin D status, systemic inflammation, and blood pressure: a randomized double-blinded controlled trial. *Nutrition*. (2022) 99-100:111674. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2022.111674

36. Dominguez LJ, Veronese N, Barbagallo M. Magnesium and hypertension in old age. *Nutrients*. (2020) 13:139. doi: 10.3390/nu13010139

37. Hashemi R, Rahimlou M, Baghdadian S, Manafi M. Investigating the effect of DASH diet on blood pressure of patients with type 2 diabetes and prehypertension: randomized clinical trial. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev.* (2019) 13:1–4. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2018.06.014

38. Jones NR, Forouhi NG, Khaw K-T, Wareham NJ, Monsivais P. Accordance to the dietary approaches to stop hypertension diet pattern and cardiovascular disease in a British, population-based cohort. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2018) 33:235–44. doi: 10.1007/ s10654-017-0354-8

39. Mark SD, Wang W, Fraumeni JF Jr, Li J-Y, Taylor PR, Wang G-Q, et al. Lowered risks of hypertension and cerebrovascular disease after vitamin/mineral supplementation: the Linxian nutrition intervention trial. *Am J Epidemiol.* (1996) 143:658–64. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a008798

40. Czernichow S, Bertrais S, Blacher J, Galan P, Briançon S, Favier A, et al. Effect of supplementation with antioxidants upon long-term risk of hypertension in the SU. VI. MAX study: association with plasma antioxidant levels. *J Hypertens.* (2005) 23:2013–8. doi: 10.1097/01.hjh.0000187259.94448.8a

41. Ochoa-Jimenez R, Viquez-Beita K, Daluwatte C, Zusterzeel R. Sex differences of patients with systemic hypertension (from the analysis of the systolic blood pressure intervention trial [SPRINT]). *Am J Cardiol.* (2018) 122:985–93. doi: 10.1016/j. amjcard.2018.05.046

42. Reckelhoff JF, Romero DG, Yanes Cardozo LL. Sex, oxidative stress, and hypertension: insights from animal models. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. (2019) 34:178–88. doi: 10.1152/physiol.00035.2018

43. Baradaran A, Nasri H, Rafieian-Kopaei M. Oxidative stress and hypertension: possibility of hypertension therapy with antioxidants. *J Res Med Sci.* (2014) 19:358–67.

44. Griendling KK, Camargo LL, Rios FJ, Alves-Lopes R, Montezano AC, Touyz RM. Oxidative stress and hypertension. *Circ Res.* (2021) 128:993–1020. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318063

45. Nakamura M, Miura A, Nagahata T, Shibata Y, Okada E, Ojima T. Low zinc, copper, and manganese intake is associated with depression and anxiety symptoms in the Japanese working population: findings from the eating habit and well-being study. *Nutrients.* (2019) 11:847–862. doi: 10.3390/nu11040847

46. Ramakrishnan M, Arivalagan J, Satish L, Mohan M, Samuel Selvan Christyraj JR, Chandran SA, et al. Selenium: a potent regulator of ferroptosis and biomass production. *Chemosphere*. (2022) 306:135531. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.135531

47. Hajam YA, Rani R, Ganie SY, Sheikh TA, Javaid D, Qadri SS, et al. Oxidative stress in human pathology and aging: molecular mechanisms and perspectives. *Cells*. (2022) 11:552–569. doi: 10.3390/cells11030552

48. Salari-Moghaddam A, Nouri-Majd S, Keshteli AH, Emami F, Esmaillzadeh A, Adibi P. Association between dietary total antioxidant capacity and diet quality in adults. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:838752. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.838752

49. Bagheri M, Nouri M, Homayounfar R, Akhlaghi M. Association between adherence to the Mediterranean diet with cardiometabolic risk factors: a cross-sectional study on PERSIAN cohort study in Fasa. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:14870. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-41935-3

50. Davoudpour R, Ahmadi A, Homayounfar R, Zare M, Farjam M, Hejazi N. The Association of Diet Quality Indices with metabolic syndrome components: a PERSIAN cohort study in Fasa. *Iran Int J Nutr Sci.* (2023) 8:197–206.