#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Duygu Agagündüz, Gazi University, Türkiye

REVIEWED BY Fitriyono Ayustaningwarno, Diponegoro University, Indonesia Begüm Kalyoncu Atasoy, Istanbul Aydın University, Türkiye

\*CORRESPONDENCE Shaoshun Shi ⊠ 18940039908@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 19 August 2024 ACCEPTED 26 November 2024 PUBLISHED 11 December 2024

#### CITATION

Yue X, Liu H, Guo W, Gao Y and Shi S (2024) Yogurt consumption and risk of accelerated aging: A population-based study from the NHANES 2003–2006. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1482980. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1482980

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Yue, Liu, Guo, Gao and Shi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Yogurt consumption and risk of accelerated aging: A population-based study from the NHANES 2003–2006

Xinghai Yue<sup>1†</sup>, Hongfei Liu<sup>1†</sup>, Wenzhao Guo<sup>1</sup>, Yuhang Gao<sup>1</sup> and Shaoshun Shi<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>The Second Clinical College, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shenyang, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Intensive Care Unit, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shenyang, China

**Introduction:** Yogurt consumption is beneficial to health, but its association with aging remains unclear. This study aims to explore the relationship between yogurt consumption and aging using data from the 2003–2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).

**Methods:** We used data from 4,056 participants to examine the relationship between yogurt consumption and aging. Yogurt consumers were defined as individuals who consumed yogurt at least once per year. Phenotypic age acceleration was used as a surrogate marker for aging. Nearest-neighbor propensity score matching (PSM) was applied to reduce bias, followed by weighted multivariate logistic regression analysis, subgroup analysis, and restricted cubic spline (RCS) to investigate the relationship between yogurt consumption and aging.

**Results:** Yogurt consumption was associated with a lower risk of accelerated aging compared to non-consumers (OR = 0.544, 95% CI: 0.354-0.836, p = 0.020). A U-shaped relationship was observed between the frequency of yogurt intake and the risk of accelerated aging. Additionally, yogurt consumption was related to a lower risk of overweight status.

**Discussion:** These findings suggest that yogurt consumption may reduce the risk of accelerated aging and may also be linked to a lower risk of overweight status. This could provide a promising avenue for exploring the beneficial effects of dietary factors on lifespan extension.

KEYWORDS

yogurt, aging, phenotypic age, body mass index, overweight status

### **1** Introduction

Aging is one of the risk factors for diabetes, coronary heart disease, cancer, and various neurological diseases. With the global progression of aging, the associated disease burden has become increasingly significant, making aging an important health issue that the medical community cannot ignore (1, 2). Therefore, finding ways to delay aging and reduce the resulting disease burden, thereby improving the quality of life and lifespan of the elderly, is a crucial challenge that the healthcare field must address.

Dietary therapy is a popular research topic in the field of aging (3, 4). This approach is more convenient, safer, and low-cost (3, 5). Researchers have long been committed to achieving the goal of preventing or treating diseases and delaying aging through dietary interventions. Fermented dairy products play an important role in this regard (6), and many studies have demonstrated the beneficial health effects of fermented dairy consumption. In a large-scale cohort study conducted in the

Netherlands in 2011 (n = 120,825), a negative correlation between fermented dairy product intake and all-cause mortality was demonstrated among participants (7). Similarly, a 12-year cohort study by Sonestedt et al. (8) found a significant inverse association between fermented dairy intake and the incidence of cardiovascular disease. The group with the highest intake of fermented dairy had a 15% lower risk of cardiovascular disease compared to the group with the lowest intake (95% CI: 5–24%; ptrend = 0.003).

As a type of fermented dairy product, yogurt is rich in nutrients and probiotics. Compared to milk, it contains higher levels of protein, vitamin B2, vitamin B12, calcium, magnesium, potassium, and zinc (9). It is also a component of the Mediterranean diet (10). Moreover, the probiotics in yogurt can promote lactose absorption and alleviate the symptoms of lactose intolerance (11), indicating that yogurt is more suitable for a wider population than milk. Yogurt has been shown in numerous studies to have various positive effects on human health (12). For example, studies have shown a negative correlation between yogurt consumption and the prevalence of hypertension (13) and diabetes (14). Two studies indicated that, among the elderly, yogurt consumers had better cognitive function compared to non-consumers, including better memory, executive function, and verbal fluency (15, 16). And a 2017 study from Ireland (n = 4,310) supported the association between yogurt consumption and bone health (17). This study demonstrated that higher yogurt intake was associated with a lower risk of osteoporosis and fractures, as well as better Timed Up and Go (TUG) performance. Subsequently, a 2021 study from Canada (n = 7,945) further confirmed these findings (18).

Phenotypic age acceleration was first proposed by Buendia et al. (13), and this metric is derived from nine commonly used clinical biomarkers along with chronological age. Phenotypic age is a measure that reflects an individual's estimated mortality risk, corresponding to their expected age within the population. This metric is widely used in the literature as an indicator for identifying morbidity and mortality risk factors, evaluating intervention outcomes, and elucidating mechanisms of aging. Therefore, in this study, we used phenotypic age acceleration as a surrogate marker for aging in our analysis.

NHANES is a cross-sectional database conducted by U.S. agencies, collecting comprehensive health, nutrition, and lifestyle data. The study has been ongoing since 1999, and it is widely used for research in fields like public health and epidemiology due to its rich, representative dataset (19).

In summary, numerous studies have demonstrated a close association between yogurt and various diseases, as well as physical functions in the elderly, but whether yogurt itself is linked to aging remains unclear. Therefore, this study aims to explore the specific association between yogurt consumption and aging using data from the 2003–2006 NHANES, with the goal of providing clinical insights.

### 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Study population

The individual data used in this study were sourced from the 2003–2006 NHANES database, including individuals who had complete dietary frequency questionnaire results, body mass index (BMI), and the biomarkers required for calculating phenotypic age (20). All participants in this study provided informed consent, and the study was approved by the Ethics Review Board of the National Center

for Health Statistics.<sup>1</sup> The specific NHANES codes for the data used in this study can be found in the Supplementary Table S1.

#### 2.2 Definition of yogurt consumer

Data on yogurt consumption were obtained from the dietary frequency questionnaire, with the variable identifier FFQ0108: "How often did you eat yogurt (NOT including frozen yogurt)?" This variable contains 11 valid values, each representing a different frequency, where 1 corresponds to "never" and 11 corresponds to "two or more times per day." We defined yogurt consumers as individuals with values ranging from 2 to 11 (i.e., those who consumed yogurt at least once per year).

[Yogurt consumption frequency meanings: (1) never, (2) 1–6 times per year, (3) 7–11 times per year, (4) 1 time per month, (5) 2–3 times per month, (6) 1 time per week, (7) 2 times per week, (8) 3–4 times per week, (9) 5–6 times per week, (10) 1 time per day, (11) 2 or more times per day].

#### 2.3 Phenotypic accelerated aging

The nine biomarkers required to calculate phenotypic age acceleration include albumin, creatinine, glucose, C-reactive protein, lymphocyte percent, mean cell volume, red blood cell distribution width, alkaline phosphatase, and white blood cell count. The calculation of phenotypic age acceleration is based on the residuals of phenotypic age after adjusting for chronological age through linear regression. Participants with phenotypic age accelerated aging, while those with phenotypic age acceleration less than 0 were defined as experiencing decelerated aging. The detailed calculation method can be found in the correction associated with the paper (21).

#### 2.4 Covariates

In this study, we also selected the following covariates based on references to other literature related to aging. These include sex, race, education level, marital status, poverty income ratio (PIR), BMI, serum cotinine levels, alcohol consumption status, self-reported hypertension, self-reported coronary heart disease, self-reported diabetes, self-reported cancer, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and total cholesterol (TCHOL) levels. The PIR was used as a proxy for economic status, and serum cotinine levels were used as a proxy for smoking status (22, 23). For marital status, we categorized "Married" and "Living with partner" as "Non-single," and "Widowed," "Divorced," "Separated," and "Never married" as "Single."

#### 2.5 Statistical analysis

The weighted analysis in this study was conducted using the special weights derived from the dietary frequency questionnaire— WTS\_FFQ—and these weights were appropriately calculated based

<sup>1</sup> https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/about\_nhanes.htm

on the survey cycles. To minimize errors arising from uneven group distribution in cross-sectional data, all participants were divided into two groups based on yogurt consumption—consumers and non-consumers. After propensity score matching, analyses were conducted, including multivariable logistic regression for the association between yogurt consumption and phenotypic age acceleration, subgroup analysis, RCS analysis, and analysis of the association between yogurt consumption and overweight status. All analyses in this study were performed under weighted conditions.

The R packages used in this paper include survey (4.4.2), gtsummary (2.0.2), and MatchIt (4.4.5). After completing the statistical analysis, forestploter (1.1.2) was used to create forest plots. The statistical analyses in this study were conducted using R version 4.4.1.

## **3** Results

#### 3.1 The characteristics of participants before and after PSM

The characteristics before and after matching are shown in Table 1. A total of 4,056 participants were included in this study, with 2,635 yogurt consumers (65%) and 1,421 non-consumers (35%). Before matching, the average age of the yogurt consumption group was  $42.00 \pm 18.62$  years, with a higher proportion of females (60%) and 40% males. The average BMI was  $27.64 \pm 6.76$ , and 21% of the group exhibited phenotypic age acceleration. In contrast, the non-yogurt consumption group had an average age of  $46.29 \pm 20.09$  years, with a higher proportion of males (61%) and 39% females. The average BMI was  $28.38 \pm 7.61$ , and 30% of the group exhibited phenotypic age acceleration. The results regarding gender and socioeconomic status (PIR index) were consistent with previous studies, showing that yogurt consumers tend to have a higher proportion of females and individuals with higher socioeconomic status (24, 25).

After matching, the average age of the yogurt consumption group was  $44.60 \pm 18.77$  years, with 57% males and 43% females. The average BMI was  $27.94 \pm 6.60$ , and 23% of the group exhibited phenotypic age acceleration. In the matched non-yogurt consumption group, the average age was  $45.56 \pm 20.31$  years, with 57% males and 43% females. The average BMI was  $28.36 \pm 7.68$ , and 29% of the group exhibited phenotypic age acceleration.

Before matching, there were significant differences between the two groups in terms of age, PIR, BMI, serum cotinine levels, sex, race, education, alcohol consumption, coronary heart disease, and hypertension. After PSM, the two groups only differed in PIR, education, and diabetes.

It is important to note that we used PSM to eliminate potential bias due to groupings in the cross-sectional data. However, one limitation of this study is that, even after PSM, significant differences remained between the two groups in terms of PIR index and education level. Given that individuals with better economic conditions and higher education levels may have healthier dietary conditions and habits, caution is needed when interpreting our study's conclusions.

# 3.2 Analysis of risk factors for accelerated aging

According to the results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis shown in Figure 1, yogurt consumers had a lower risk of accelerated aging compared to non-consumers [OR (95%) = 0.544 (0.354–0.836), p = 0.020]. This result is consistent with the conclusions mentioned in the introduction, indicating that yogurt consumption may have a protective effect on human health.

PIR, BMI, serum cotinine levels, alcohol consumption, and diabetes were all significantly associated with accelerated aging. PIR is used as a proxy for socioeconomic status, serum cotinine levels as a proxy for smoking, and alcohol consumption—these three variables have been confirmed in multiple studies to be associated with aging. Additionally, the close relationship between BMI and accelerated aging has also been validated by numerous studies (26, 27). Furthermore, since one of the nine biomarkers required for calculating phenotypic age acceleration is blood glucose, and the diabetes data in this study are based on self-reported information, there may be bias in the results regarding the association between diabetes and accelerated aging.

#### 3.3 Subgroup analysis

Subsequently, we investigated the association between yogurt consumption and accelerated aging across different subgroups. We categorized age into three groups: "<30," "30–59," and "≥60," and divided PIR into two groups: "<1" and "≥1." BMI was categorized into "normal or underweight (<25)," "overweight (25-30)," and "obese  $(\geq 30)$ ." Log2 cotinine was divided into three groups: "<0.05," "0.05–3," and " $\geq$ 3" (15). And the previous groupings, including sex, race/ ethnicity (Mexican American, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Other Hispanic, Other race), education level (less than high school, high school or equivalent, more than high school), marital status (non-single, single), alcohol consumption (yes, no), diabetes (yes, no), high blood pressure (yes, no), coronary heart disease (yes, no), and cancer (yes, no). We also explored the interaction between these subgroups and yogurt consumption. All p-values were adjusted using false discovery rate (FDR) correction to ensure reliability, and the results are shown in Figure 2.

The results of the subgroup analysis indicate that the association between yogurt consumption frequency and accelerated aging varies significantly across different groups. A significant association was observed only in individuals aged 30–59, males, Non-Hispanic Whites, those with a PIR index  $\geq$ 1, those who are underweight or of normal weight, individuals with log2 cotinine levels  $\geq$ 3 ng/mL, those with a high school or equivalent education, single individuals, alcohol drinkers, those with hypertension, non-cardiovascular disease patients, non-diabetic individuals, and non-cancer individuals. We also found a significant interaction between PIR index and yogurt consumption. We speculate that this association may be due to the relatively better access to healthcare and nutritional resources in the group with PIR  $\geq$ 1.

#### 3.4 Nonlinear association

We used RCS to explore the potential nonlinear association between yogurt consumption frequency and accelerated aging and conducted analyses both before and after PSM. The results from both analyses were consistent (Figure 3), indicating a U-shaped relationship between yogurt consumption frequency and the risk of accelerated

#### TABLE 1 Characteristics of full and propensity score-matched cohorts by yogurt consumer.

	Before matching			After m		
Variables	Yogurt consumer	Non-yogurt consumer	p	Yogurt consumer	Non-yogurt consumer	р
n	2,635	1,421		1,287	1,287	
Age [mean (SD)]	42.00 (18.62)	46.29 (20.09)	<0.001	44.60 (18.77)	45.56 (20.31)	0.347
LDL [mean (SD)]	112.62 (34.90)	111.52 (35.66)	0.581	112.72 (34.49)	111.20 (35.33)	0.456
TCHOL [mean (SD)]	194.38 (41.67)	193.68 (41.54)	0.757	194.28 (41.46)	193.63 (41.66)	0.780
PIR [mean (SD)]	3.13 (1.59)	2.74 (1.55)	0.046	3.06 (1.58)	2.76 (1.55)	0.006
BMI [mean (SD)]	27.64 (6.76)	28.38 (7.61)	0.018	27.94 (6.60)	28.36 (7.68)	0.236
Log2 cotinine [mean (SD)]	-1.86 (5.11)	0.44 (5.85)	<0.001	-0.14 (5.71)	-0.08 (5.72)	0.858
Phenotypic accelerated aging (%)		1	<0.001			0.001
Yes	556 (21)	433 (30)		301 (23)	370 (29)	
No	2,079 (79)	988 (70)		986 (77)	917 (71)	
Sex (%)			< 0.001			0.243
Female	1,578 (60)	560 (39)		588 (43)	548 (43)	
Male	1,057 (40)	861 (61)		699 (57)	739 (57)	
Race (%)			< 0.001			0.976
Mexican American	652 (25)	246 (17)		254 (20)	239 (19)	
Non-Hispanic Black	532 (20)	450 (32)		377 (29)	363 (28)	
Non-Hispanic White	1,251 (47)	644 (45)		580 (45)	604 (47)	
Other Hispanic	75 (3)	29 (2)		26 (2)	29 (2)	
Other Race	125 (5)	52 (4)		50 (4)	52 (4)	
Education (%)			< 0.001			< 0.00
Above high school	1,026 (39)	366 (26)		503 (53)	335 (38)	
High school or equivalent	394 (15)	312 (22)		233 (25)	265 (30)	
Under high school	361 (14)	335 (24)		207 (22)	287 (32)	
Marital status (%)			0.649			0.406
Non-single	1,210 (51)	649 (49)		622 (53)	569 (47)	
Single	1,180 (49)	689 (51)		562 (47)	635 (53)	
Alcohol drinker (%)			0.002			0.094
Yes	1,118 (76)	558 (67)		593 (74)	477 (67)	
No	354 (24)	275 (33)		210 (26)	239 (33)	
Coronary heart disease (%)			0.048			0.331
Yes	70 (4)	62 (6)		48 (5)	54 (6)	
No	1,703 (96)	949 (94)		889 (95)	831 (94)	
Cancer (%)			0.792			0.524
Yes	169 (9)	115 (11)		99 (11)	101 (11)	
No	1,610 (91)	900 (89)		843 (89)	788 (89)	
Hypertension (%)		I	< 0.001			0.120
Yes	596 (27)	438 (36)		362 (33)	374 (34)	
No	1,587 (73)	785 (64)		744 (67)	716 (66)	
Diabetes (%)			0.769			0.043
Yes	183 (7)	123 (9)		115 (9)	103 (8)	
No	2,450 (93)	1,296 (91)		1,172 (91)	1,182 (92)	

SD, standard deviation; PIR, poverty impact ratio; BMI, body mass index; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TCHOL, total cholesterol.

Variables	OR(95%CI)			P.value
Age	1.009(0.997 - 1.021)		•	0.159
LDL (mg/dL)	0.991(0.981 - 1.001)		•	0.098
TCHOL (mg/dL)	1.003(0.993 - 1.013)		•	0.612
PIR	0.864(0.764 - 0.977)	<b>⊢</b> .		0.043
BMI	1.078(1.055 - 1.102)		<b>4</b>	< 0.001
Log2 cotinine (ng/mL)	1.086(1.056 - 1.117)			< 0.001
Yogurt consume				
Non-consumer	Ref			
Yogurt consumer	0.544(0.354 - 0.836)	<b>⊢</b>		0.020
Sex				
Female	Ref			
Male	1.037(0.692 - 1.552)	,	•	0.865
Race	(1.002)			0.000
Mexican American	Ref			
Non-Hispanic Black	1.534(0.716 - 3.289)		•	→ <u>0.298</u>
Non-Hispanic White	1.147(0.575 - 2.286)	1	•	→0.706
Other Hispanic	0.797(0.179 - 3.555)	<b>⊢</b>		→0.773
Other Race	0.709(0.260 - 1.934)	<b>⊢</b>		0.518
Education	0.100(0.200 1.001)			0.010
Under high school	Ref			
	1.268(0.752 - 2.137)	<b></b>	•	→0.394
Above high school	1.100(0.632 - 1.915)	F	•	0.744
Marital status	1.100(0.002 1.010)			0.111
Non-single				
Single	1.295(0.830 - 2.020)		•	→ <u>0.281</u>
Alcohol drinker	1.200(0.000 2.020)			0.201
Yes	Ref			
No	0.604(0.398 - 0.916)	<b>⊢</b>		0.039
Coronary heart disease				
Yes	Ref			
No	1.146(0.642 - 2.046)		•	→0.656
Hypertension				
Yes	Ref			
No	0.812(0.513 - 1.284)	<b>⊢</b>	1	0.394
Diabetes				
Yes	Ref			
No	0.282(0.164 - 0.484)			0.001
Cancer				
Yes	Ref			
No	0.679(0.430 - 1.072)	<b>⊢</b>		0.128
OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidenc	r Internet	0.5	1 45	
service and the service of the servi		0.5	1 1.5	2
		Delayed aging	Accelerated agi	ng

aging. This suggests that an appropriate frequency of yogurt consumption may help delay aging.

However, due to the lack of specific yogurt intake data in our study, the relevance of consumption frequency alone, without

considering the amount consumed, is limited and requires further verification. A recent study (24) indicated a similar J-shaped association between yogurt consumption and hepatic steatosis (HS) and provided a recommended intake of 0.4 cups per day, which is

/ariables	OR(95%CI)			P.value	P.for.interaction
Age			i		0.62
<30	0.67(0.26 - 1.76)	+		0.538	
30-59	0.45(0.27 - 0.76)	<b>⊢</b>		0.009	
≥60	0.66(0.39 - 1.10)	<b>⊢</b>		0.234	
PIR					0.043
<1	2.10(0.89 - 4.92)	F	1	→0!234	
≥1	0.45(0.31 - 0.66)	<b>⊢♦</b> −−− <b>1</b>		0.002	
BMI					0.337
Normal or under weight	0.33(0.16 - 0.67)	<b>⊢</b>		0.009	
Over weight	0.57(0.30 - 1.06)	<b>⊢</b>	+	0.233	
Obesity	0.74(0.44 - 1.24)	<b>⊢</b>		0.363	
Log2 cotinine (ng/mL)					0.265
<0.05	0.70(0.46 - 1.08)	<b>⊢</b>	+	0.234	
≥3	0.38(0.21 - 0.68)	<b></b>	1	0.006	
0.05-3	1.57(0.44 - 5.58)	H	•	→0.573	
Sex					0.603
Female	0.64(0.35 - 1.16)	<b>⊢</b>		0.265	
Male	0.49(0.31 - 0.75)	<b>⊢</b>		0.006	
Race					0.285
Mexican American	1.39(0.43 - 4.42)	H	+	→0.636	
Non-Hispanic Black	1.43(0.72 - 2.81)	L	•	→0.412	
Non-Hispanic White	0.42(0.27 - 0.64)	<b>⊢</b>		0.002	
Other Hispanic	1.32(0.14 - 12.07)	H	•	→0.842	
Other Race	0.27(0.05 - 1.49)	•		0.265	
Education					0.371
Under high school	0.70(0.34 - 1.43)			0.423	
Above high school	0.68(0.41 - 1.13)	H		0.265	
High school or equivalent	0.35(0.18 - 0.65)	<b>⊢ ♦</b> − − 1		0.006	
Marital status					0.545
Non-single	0.48(0.31 - 0.74)	<b></b>		0.006	
Single	0.66(0.36 - 1.21)	<b>⊢</b>		0.295	
Alcohol drinker	,				0.337
Yes	0.49(0.32 - 0.74)	<b>⊢</b>		0.006	
No	0.80(0.43 - 1.49)	<b>⊢</b>		0.573	
Coronary heart disease					0.746
Yes	0.41(0.11 - 1.50)	<b>⊢</b>		0.295	
No	0.56(0.38 - 0.81)	<b>⊢</b>		0.009	
Hypertension					0.295
Yes	0.41(0.25 - 0.69)	<b></b>		0.006	
No	0.66(0.40 - 1.08)	<b>⊢</b>		0.234	
Diabetes					0.894
Yes	0.50(0.22 - 1.14)	<b>⊢</b>	1 1	0.234	
No	0.55(0.38 - 0.81)			0.009	
Cancer	0.01/				0.234
Yes	1.00(0.44 - 2.28)	H	•	→0.999	
No	0.50(0.34 - 0.74)	<b>⊢</b>		0.006	
R = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidenc	Г	0.5	1 1.5	2	

#### FIGURE 2

Forest plot of subgroup analysis of the association between yogurt consumption and phenotypic accelerated aging. PIR, poverty impact ratio; BMI, body mass index. All models were adjusted for the following covariates: sex, age ( $<30, 30-59, \ge60$ ), log2 cotinine ( $<0.05, 0.05-3, \ge3$ ), LDL, TCHOL, PIR ( $<1, \ge1$ ), BMI (normal or underweight, overweight, obesity), race/ethnicity (Mexican American, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Other Hispanic, Other race), education level (less than high school, high school or equivalent, more than high school), marital status (non-single, single), alcohol consumption (yes, no), diabetes (yes, no), high blood pressure (yes, no), coronary heart disease (yes, no), and cancer (yes, no).



Hispanic Black, Other Hispanic, Other race), education level (less than high school, high school or equivalent, more than high school), marital status (non-single, single), alcohol consumption (yes, no), diabetes (yes, no), high blood pressure (yes, no), coronary heart disease (yes, no), and cancer (yes, no).

approximately 95 mL/day (1 cup = 237 mL). But this study was also based on cross-sectional NHANES data, so the results should be interpreted with caution.

# 3.5 Yogurt consumption with overweight status

Previous studies have shown a close association between yogurt consumption and BMI (or overweight status) (26–29), and there is

also a strong link between BMI (or overweight status) and accelerated aging (30–33). In other words, yogurt consumption is associated with lower BMI, while higher BMI is associated with accelerated aging. Considering our findings, we have reason to infer that yogurt consumption may help delay aging, with BMI potentially playing an important mediating role in this process. Therefore, we conducted an association analysis between yogurt consumption and overweight status (defined as BMI >25). The results indicate that there is no significant association between yogurt consumption (yogurt consumer or non-consumer) and overweight status. However, there is a negative correlation between the frequency of yogurt consumption and overweight status. We also performed a nonlinear analysis of yogurt consumption frequency and overweight status. Since the PSM grouping in this study was based on whether individuals were yogurt consumers, to reduce potential bias from this grouping, we analyzed the results both before and after PSM matching, and the findings remained consistent. As shown in Table 2 and Figure 4.

The results of the RCS analysis indicate that there is primarily a negative linear association between yogurt consumption frequency and the risk of being overweight. However, since the PSM grouping in this study was based on "yogurt consumers or non-consumers" rather than the specific frequency of yogurt consumption related to overweight status, we did not further explore the potential mediating role of overweight status in the relationship between yogurt consumption and accelerated aging. This aspect requires further research for validation.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the relationship between yogurt consumption and accelerated aging. Compared to previous studies that examined yogurt consumption and its association with other diseases (24, 25), we selected a new variable—yogurt consumption frequency as the independent variable, rather than yogurt intake from the 24-h dietary recall questionnaire. This allowed us to explore the potential benefits of yogurt consumption from a new perspective. Our findings suggest that yogurt consumers have a lower risk of accelerated aging compared to non-consumers. Moreover, we observed a U-shaped curve in the association between yogurt intake frequency and the risk of accelerated aging, indicating that an appropriate frequency of yogurt intake may help delay aging.

BMI (or overweight) is one of the key risk factors for accelerated aging (30–33). Previous studies have found a close association between yogurt consumption and lower BMI, and our findings also indicate a negative correlation between yogurt consumption frequency and overweight status. Therefore, we believe that BMI may play an important mediating role in the relationship between yogurt consumption and accelerated aging.

As for the specific mechanisms underlying the association between yogurt and accelerated aging, probiotics are likely a key factor. Yogurt is rich in probiotics, which can enhance gut barrier function by increasing the number of beneficial bacteria in the gut. Additionally, probiotics can regulate immune responses and have therapeutic effects on systemic conditions such as metabolic and neurological diseases (34-36). These effects align with the health benefits of yogurt observed in other studies, suggesting that probiotics may be one of the reasons yogurt offers protective effects against various diseases. A meta-analysis on probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics (37) (n = 1,309) indicated that such microbial therapies can significantly reduce BMI in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease ( $-0.37 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ; 95% CI: -0.46 to -0.28; p < 0.001). Another prospective study on probiotics and overweight individuals also demonstrated that long-term (90 days) probiotic supplementation effectively reduces body weight. Thus, one possible link is that probiotics influence BMI by regulating the gut microbiota, and BMI, in turn, affects accelerated aging. This is the mechanism we currently consider plausible.

Given the close relationships between aging, BMI, and various diseases, we believe that yogurt intake may also have potential benefits for these diseases, presenting another promising research direction. For example, yogurt intake has been associated with kidney disease (38), sleep disturbances (25), and depression (39).

Lastly, the limitations of this study include the time constraints of the data source and the relatively small sample size. Since the NHANES database includes yogurt-related data mainly from 24-h dietary recalls and the 2003–2006 annual dietary consumption frequency, we were unable to analyze both yogurt consumption frequency and intake amount simultaneously. Further research is needed to determine the optimal yogurt consumption frequency and quantity. Additionally, because yogurt consumption frequency in this study did not specify the type of yogurt, the results may be biased due to differences in the nutritional content (e.g., sugar levels) of different types of yogurt. It is also worth noting that some studies have shown that yogurt consumers tend to eat healthier foods and have better overall lifestyle habits and dietary quality (9), which may significantly influence aging. Therefore, this study

TABLE 2	Association	between y	ogurt	consumption	and	overweight status.
---------	-------------	-----------	-------	-------------	-----	--------------------

Variables	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value				
Overweight status by yogurt consumption status (before PSM)						
Non-yogurt consumer	Ref					
Yogurt consumer	0.732 (0.518 to 1.036)	0.106				
Overweight status by yogurt consumption status (after PSM)						
Non-yogurt consumer	Ref					
Yogurt consumer	0.824 (0.629 to 1.078)	0.184				
Yogurt intake frequency and overweight status (before PSM)						
Yogurt intake frequency	0.941 (0.897 to 0.988)	0.032				
Yogurt intake frequency and overweight status (after PSM)						
Yogurt intake frequency	0.927 (0.871 to 0.987)	0.037				

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; PSM, propensity score matching. All models were adjusted for the following covariates: sex, age, log2 cotinine, LDL, TCHOL, PIR, race/ethnicity (Mexican American, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Other Hispanic, Other race), education level (less than high school, high school or equivalent, more than high school), marital status (non-single, single), alcohol consumption (yes, no), high blood pressure (yes, no), coronary heart disease (yes, no), and cancer (yes, no).



no), and cancer (yes, no).

requires further validation with longer study durations and larger sample sizes.

# 4 Conclusion

Our study indicates that yogurt consumers have a lower risk of accelerated aging compared to non-consumers, with BMI likely playing a key mediating role. Therefore, an appropriate frequency of yogurt consumption may contribute to delaying the aging process. This information is valuable for the design and execution of future prospective studies aimed at delaying aging and preventing diseases through dietary interventions.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), United States. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin.

#### Author contributions

XY: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HL: Writing – original draft. WG: Software, Validation, Writing – original draft. YG: Software, Validation, Writing – original draft. SS: Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### References

1. Niccoli T, Partridge L. Ageing as a risk factor for disease. *Curr Biol.* (2012) 22:R741–52. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.024

2. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet.* (2021) 396:2006–17. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32340-0

3. Mohajeri MH. Nutrition and aging. Int J Mol Sci. (2023) 24:9265. doi: 10.3390/ ijms24119265

4. Longo VD, Anderson RM. Nutrition, longevity and disease: from molecular mechanisms to interventions. *Cell*. (2022) 185:1455–70. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.04.002

5. Duan H, Pan J, Guo M, Li J, Yu L, Fan L. Dietary strategies with anti-aging potential: dietary patterns and supplements. *Food Res Int.* (2022) 158:111501. doi: 10.1016/j. foodres.2022.111501

6. Tillisch K, Labus J, Kilpatrick L, Jiang Z, Stains J, Ebrat B, et al. Consumption of fermented milk product with probiotic modulates brain activity. *Gastroenterology*. (2013) 144:1394–1401.e4. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2013.02.043

7. Goldbohm RA, Chorus AMJ, Galindo Garre F, Schouten LJ, van den Brandt PA. Dairy consumption and 10-y total and cardiovascular mortality: a prospective cohort study in the Netherlands. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2011) 93:615–27. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.000430

 Sonestedt E, Wirfält E, Wallström P, Gullberg B, Orho-Melander M, Hedblad B. Dairy products and its association with incidence of cardiovascular disease: the Malmö diet and cancer cohort. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2011) 26:609–18. doi: 10.1007/s10654-011-9589-y

9. Cifelli CJ, Agarwal S, Fulgoni VR. Association of yogurt consumption with nutrient intakes, nutrient adequacy, and diet quality in American children and adults. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:3435. doi: 10.3390/nu12113435

10. Rizzoli R, Biver E. Role of fermented dairy products in the health benefits of a Mediterranean diet. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2024) 36:75. doi: 10.1007/s40520-024-02721-x

11. Kok CR, Hutkins R. Yogurt and other fermented foods as sources of healthpromoting bacteria. *Nutr Rev.* (2018) 76:4–15. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nuy056

12. El-Abbadi NH, Dao MC, Meydani SN. Yogurt: role in healthy and active aging. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2014) 99:1263S–70S. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.073957

13. Buendia JR, Li Y, Hu FB, Cabral HJ, Bradlee ML, Quatromoni PA, et al. Long-term yogurt consumption and risk of incident hypertension in adults. *J Hypertens*. (2018) 36:1671–9. doi: 10.1097/HJH.00000000001737

14. Margolis KL, Wei F, de Boer IH, Howard BV, Liu S, Manson JE, et al. A diet high in low-fat dairy products lowers diabetes risk in postmenopausal women. *J Nutr.* (2011) 141:1969–74. doi: 10.3945/jn.111.143339

15. Tessier A, Presse N, Rahme E, Ferland G, Bherer L, Chevalier S. Milk, yogurt, and cheese intake is positively associated with cognitive executive functions in older adults of the Canadian Longitudinal Study on Aging. *J Gerontol A*. (2021) 76:2223–31. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glab165

16. Han Y, Yang M, Tian M, Yang Y, Liu W, Liu Y. The relationship between fermented dairy consumption with cognitive function among older US adults: data from the NHANES 2011–2014. J Alzheimers Dis. (2024) 97:1877–87. doi: 10.3233/JAD-230865

17. Laird E, Molloy AM, McNulty H, Ward M, McCarroll K, Hoey L, et al. Greater yogurt consumption is associated with increased bone mineral density and physical function in older adults. *Osteoporos Int.* (2017) 28:2409–19. doi: 10.1007/s00198-017-4049-5

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

18. Sahni S, Tucker KL, Kiel DP, Quach L, Casey VA, Hannan MT. Milk and yogurt consumption are linked with higher bone mineral density but not with hip fracture: the Framingham Offspring Study. *Arch Osteoporos.* (2013) 8:119. doi: 10.1007/s11657-013-0119-2

19. Liu Z, Kuo P, Horvath S, Crimmins E, Ferrucci L, Levine M. A new aging measure captures morbidity and mortality risk across diverse subpopulations from NHANES IV: a cohort study. *PLoS Med.* (2018) 15:e1002718. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002718

20. NHANES (2024). NCHS Ethics Review Board (ERB) Approval. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/irba98.htm. (Accessed August 06, 2024)

21. Liu Z, Kuo P, Horvath S, Crimmins E, Ferrucci L, Levine M. Correction: A new aging measure captures morbidity and mortality risk across diverse subpopulations from NHANES IV: a cohort study. *PLoS Med.* (2019) 16:e1002760. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002760

22. Hou W, Chen S, Zhu C, Gu Y, Zhu L, Zhou Z. Associations between smoke exposure and osteoporosis or osteopenia in a US NHANES population of elderly individuals. *Front Endocrinol.* (2023) 14:1074574. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1074574

23. Lei T, Li M, Zhu Z, Yang J, Hu Y, Hua L. Comprehensive evaluation of serum cotinine on human health: novel evidence for the systemic toxicity of tobacco smoke in the US general population. *Sci Total Environ.* (2023) 892:164443. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164443

24. Song Y, Guo W, Wang J, Liu S, Li Z, Li Y. Probiotic consumption and hepatic steatosis: results from the NHANES 2011-2016 and Mendelian randomization study. *Front Nutr.* (2024) 11:1334935. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1334935

25. Yang RZ, Lin SZ, Xie XY, Tang YJ, Zheng JX, Yuan CM, et al. Association between yogurt and dietary supplements containing probiotic consumption with sleep disturbance in US adults: results from NHANES, 2009–2018. *Heliyon*. (2024) 10:e35609. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e35609

26. Keast DR, Hill GK, Albertson AM, Gugger CK, Holschuh NM. Associations between yogurt, dairy, calcium, and vitamin D intake and obesity among U.S. children aged 8–18 years: NHANES, 2005–2008. *Nutrients*. (2015) 7:1577–93. doi: 10.3390/nu7031577

27. Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Sayon-Orea C, Ruiz-Canela M, de la Fuente C, Gea A, Bes-Rastrollo M. Yogurt consumption, weight change and risk of overweight/obesity: the SUN cohort study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2014) 24:1189–96. doi: 10.1016/j. numecd.2014.05.015

28. Trichia E, Luben R, Khaw K, Wareham NJ, Imamura F, Forouhi NG. The associations of longitudinal changes in consumption of total and types of dairy products and markers of metabolic risk and adiposity: findings from the European Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Norfolk study, United Kingdom. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2020) 111:1018–26. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqz335

29. Lau E, Neves JS, Ferreira-Magalhães M, Carvalho D, Freitas P. Probiotic ingestion, obesity, and metabolic-related disorders: results from NHANES, 1999–2014. *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:1482. doi: 10.3390/nu11071482

30. Etzel L, Hastings WJ, Hall MA, Heim CM, Meaney MJ, Noll JG, et al. Obesity and accelerated epigenetic aging in a high-risk cohort of children. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:8328. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-11562-5

31. Foster CA, Barker-Kamps M, Goering M, Patki A, Tiwari HK, Mrug S. Epigenetic age acceleration correlates with BMI in young adults. *Aging*. (2023) 15:513–23. doi: 10.18632/aging.204492

32. Javed AA, Aljied R, Allison DJ, Anderson LN, Ma J, Raina P. Body mass index and all-cause mortality in older adults: a scoping review of observational studies. *Obes Rev.* (2020) 21:e13035. doi: 10.1111/obr.13035

33. Wang X, Wen J, Qu Q, Gu S, Zhang L, Li Y, et al. Association of weight range with telomere length: a retrospective cohort study. *Front Endocrinol.* (2023) 14:1106283. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1106283

34. Pyo Y, Kwon KH, Jung YJ. Probiotic functions in fermented foods: anti-viral, immunomodulatory, and anti-cancer benefits. *Foods*. (2024) 13:2386. doi: 10.3390/foods13152386

35. Mazziotta C, Tognon M, Martini F, Torreggiani E, Rotondo JC. Probiotics mechanism of action on immune cells and beneficial effects on human health. *Cells.* (2023) 12:184. doi: 10.3390/cells12010184

36.Zhang T, Zhang W, Feng C, Kwok L, He Q, Sun Z. Stronger gut microbiome modulatory effects by postbiotics than probiotics in

a mouse colitis model. npj Sci Food. (2022) 6:53. doi: 10.1038/s41538-022-00169-9

37. Loman BR, Hernández-Saavedra D, An R, Rector RS. Prebiotic and probiotic treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Rev.* (2018) 76:822–39. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nuy031

38. Yacoub R, Kaji D, Patel SN, Simoes PK, Busayavalasa D, Nadkarni GN, et al. Association between probiotic and yogurt consumption and kidney disease: insights from NHANES. *Nutr J.* (2016) 15:10. doi: 10.1186/s12937-016-0127-3

39. Ye Z, Yu S, Yang Y, Li S, Li S, Ma R, et al. Association of probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics or yogurt supplement with prevalence and all-cause mortality of depression: NHANES 2005–2016. *J Affect Disord*. (2024) 368:547–54. doi: 10.1016/j. jad.2024.09.034