Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mariacristina Siotto, IRCCS Don Carlo Gnocchi Firenze, Italy

REVIEWED BY Azam Doustmohammadian, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran Harlan Sayles, University of Nebraska Medical Center, United States Minghao Liang, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China Pengfei Wang, Fujian Provincial Hospital, China Qishu Li, Twelfth Guangzhou City People's Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Huijie Wang ⊠ wang_huijie@outlook.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 24 August 2024 ACCEPTED 11 November 2024 PUBLISHED 21 November 2024

CITATION

Cao X, Feng H and Wang H (2024) Magnesium depletion score and gout: insights from NHANES data. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1485578. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1485578

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Cao, Feng and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Magnesium depletion score and gout: insights from NHANES data

Xu Cao^{1†}, Haixia Feng^{2†} and Huijie Wang^{1*}

¹Department of Endoscopy, Shijiazhuang Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Shijiazhuang, China, ²Department of Tuberculosis, Shandong Public Health Clinical Center, Jinan, Shandong, China

Objectives: Gout is associated with hyperuricemia, and serum magnesium levels are negatively correlated with uric acid levels. Magnesium intake is also associated with a reduced risk of hyperuricemia. However, the relationship between the magnesium depletion score (MDS), which represents the systemic magnesium status, and gout is unclear. This study was conducted to investigate the association between MDS and gout as well as explore the impact of dietary magnesium intake on this relationship.

Methods: We analyzed 18,039 adults with gout data who participated in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey between 2007 and 2016. Magnesium deficiency status was assessed using the MDS, a comprehensive scoring tool. Considering the possible effects of dietary magnesium intake, weighted multivariable logistic regression and subgroup analyses were used to assess the correlation between MDS and gout.

Results: The overall prevalence of gout among adults in the United States between 2007 and 2016 was 4.7%. After adjusting for confounders, MDS and gout risk showed a significant positive correlation. Individuals with an MDS of 2 and \geq 3 had higher odds of gout than those with an MDS of 0 (MDS = 2, odds ratio: 1.86 [1.18–2.93], p = 0.008; MDS = 3, odds ratio: 2.17 [1.37–3.43], p = 0.001; p for trend <0.001). Dietary magnesium intake did not moderate the correlation between MDS and gout risk.

Conclusion: A positive correlation exists between magnesium deficiency, as quantified using the MDS, and gout risk among adults in the United States. Additionally, dietary magnesium intake did not alter this association.

KEYWORDS

gout, magnesium depletion score, cross-sectional study, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, association

1 Introduction

Gout is caused by the deposition of monosodium urate crystals in non-joint and joint structures (1). This disease is characterized by severe joint pain, swelling, warmth, and functional impairment, particularly of the metatarsophalangeal joint of the big toe (2). Depending on the population studied and methodologies employed, reports on the global prevalence and incidence of gout vary widely. The prevalence of gout ranges from <1 to 6.8%, and its incidence ranges from 0.58 to 2.89 cases per 1,000 person-year (3). The prevalence and incidence of gout have increased in recent years (4–6), making it an important public health issue.

Magnesium is an essential element in the human body with a crucial role in supporting and maintaining health and life, and should be continuously replenished through dietary intake and water consumption (7). Magnesium is vital for stabilizing the tertiary structure of DNA and RNA; participating in various enzymatic reactions including energy metabolism and protein synthesis; regulating cellular Mg^{2+} handling; and influencing cell signaling and proliferation (8–13). However, previous research primarily focused on the effects of diet and serum magnesium on gout. Serum magnesium levels are negatively correlated with uric acid levels (14), and increased magnesium intake is associated with a reduced risk of hyperuricemia (15). Notably, serum Mg^{2+} levels reflect only approximately 1% of total magnesium content in the body, as most magnesium is stored in the bones, muscles, and soft tissues (7). Moreover, the magnesium content in the body is subject to dynamic fluctuations, which are primarily absorbed through the gastrointestinal tract and excreted via the kidneys (16). Therefore, serum magnesium levels may not accurately represent the overall magnesium status of the body.

The magnesium depletion score (MDS) is a comprehensive scoring tool designed to assess overall magnesium deficiency by aggregating four established risk factors and considering the pathophysiological factors that affect the renal reabsorption capacity, including proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use, diuretic use, alcohol consumption, and renal disease (17). Compared with serum magnesium, the MDS is a more sensitive and reliable measure of an individual's magnesium deficiency or depletion status (17). Current studies indicate that MDS is significantly associated with various conditions, including systemic inflammation, cardiovascular diseases, renal diseases, metabolic syndrome, hypertension, and diabetes (17–22).

Considering that the association between MDS and gout is unclear, we performed a cross-sectional study using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Our aim was to analyze the association between MDS and gout, thereby establishing a stronger link between magnesium status and gout risk, and to explore whether dietary magnesium moderates this relationship.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study population and design

The research data were sourced from the NHANES, conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The NHANES employs a robust, multistage, and stratified sampling method gathering detailed information through interviews and assessments as well as provide valuable insights into demographic and health-related factors of the United States population.

Based on the availability of MDS and gout information, 29,169 participants were initially included from five cycles of NHANES data (2007–2016). Participants who could not have their MDS determined (n=8,776) or had missing covariates data (n=2,354) were excluded. Ultimately, 18,039 participants were included (Figure 1).

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Review Committee of the National Center for Health Statistics. Each participant provided written informed consent. The NHANES dataset, along with accompanying documentation and agreements, was obtained from the website.

2.2 MDS assessment

Magnesium depletion score was calculated by summing the scores of the following entries: one point each for current use of diuretics and PPIs, one point for an estimated glomerular filtration rate of



60–90 mL/(min·1.73 m²), two points for an estimated glomerular filtration rate < 60 mL/(min·1.73 m²), and one point for heavy drinking (>2 drinks/day for men, >1 drink/day for women) (17). Using the Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) Epidemiology Collaboration equation, serum creatinine was used to determine the estimated glomerular filtration rate (23). MDS was classified into four groups: MDS=0, 1, 2, and \geq 3.

2.3 Definition of gout

The presence of gout was determined through self-reported response in a medical condition questionnaire (24).

2.4 Covariate assessment

Baseline data included age, sex, race, body mass index (BMI), marital status, smoking status, educational attainment, poverty-toincome ratio, physical activity, dietary magnesium intake, and chronic conditions such as coronary heart disease, diabetes, stroke, and hypertension. Smoking status was categorized into never, former, and current smokers based on whether the participants had smoked <100 cigarettes in their lifetime and their current smoking status. Adequate physical activity was defined as: 75 min per week of vigorous-intensity aerobic activity or at least 150 min per week of moderate-intensity (25). Otherwise, physical activity was inadequate. Magnesium intake was collected from the total nutrient intake provided by the first 24-h dietary recall interview obtained from the Mobile Examination Center. Diabetes was determined by: (1) self-report or medication use; (2) HbA1c level \geq 6.5%; (3) fasting blood sugar level \geq 7.0 mmol/L; or (4) 2-h plasma glucose $\geq 200 \text{ mg/dL}$ (26). Hypertension was determined by: (1) self-report or medication use and (2) the average of three systolic blood pressure readings ≥140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure readings \geq 90 mmHg (27). Coronary heart disease and stroke were determined based on self-reported information.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were presented as weighted medians and interquartile ranges or weighted means and standard deviations, whereas categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and weight percentages. To detect differences in baseline characteristics between the gout and control groups, we compared continuous variables using Student's t-test and categorical variables using Chi-square test. Multivariable adjusted logistic regression and subgroup analyses were used to assess the relationship between MDS and gout. Confounders were selected based on previous research or a change-in-effect estimate of >10%. Three models were assessed: Model 1 was unadjusted; Model 2 included sex and age; and Model 3 was additionally adjusted for race, smoking status, educational attainment, physical activity, BMI, poverty income ratio, dietary magnesium intake, coronary heart disease, diabetes, stroke, and hypertension. All analyses took into account the complex survey design and incorporated sample weights. Sensitivity analysis was performed using complete case analysis, supplemented by multiple imputations (five iterations) to address any missing data. The results were presented as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A p value <0.05 was considered to indicate statistically significant differences. All data computations were performed using R 4.2.2 and Free Statistics software version 2.0 (28).

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics

In total, 18,039 participants were included in this study, with 851 and 17,188 participants in the gout and control groups, respectively. The two groups exhibited significant differences in baseline characteristics including age, sex, race, BMI, marital status, physical activity, smoking status, MDS, magnesium intake, and comorbidities (Table 1). Participants with gout were older, more likely to be male, married or living with a partner, have ever smoked, be physically active, and have a higher MDS compared with participants without gout. In addition, participants with gout had a higher risk of diabetes, stroke, hypertension, and coronary heart disease than those without gout did.

3.2 Relationship between MDS and gout

Table 2 shows the association between MDS and gout risk using weighted multivariable logistic regression. After adjusting for confounders, individuals with MDS 1, 2, and \geq 3 had a 1.45- (95% CI, 0.93–2.26), 1.86- (95% CI, 1.18–2.93), and 2.17-fold (95% CI, 1.37–3.43) increased risk of gout, respectively, compared with participants with an MDS of 0 (*p* for trend <0.001). Thus, adults with a higher MDS were more likely to develop gout.

3.3 Sensitivity analysis

To evaluate the stability of the association between MDS and gout within various subgroups, we conducted a subgroup analysis. Interaction tests indicated no statistically significant differences in the association between MDS and gout among different subgroups (Figure 2, p for interaction >0.05), suggesting that these factors did not significantly influence this positive association. Sensitivity analysis revealed that the relationship between MDS and gout was not significantly affected by multiple imputations of missing data (Supplementary Table S1).

4 Discussion

We utilized NHANES data from five cycles (2007–2016) to investigate the association between MDS and gout risk in adults in the United States. The estimated overall prevalence of gout among adults in the United States during this period was 4.7%. After adjusting for confounders, we observed a significant positive correlation between MDS and gout risk. Subgroup analysis confirmed the stability of this association. Dietary magnesium intake

TABLE 1 Participants characteristics, weighted.

Variables	Total	With gout	Without gout	<i>p</i> value	
	n = 18,039	n = 851	n = 17,188		
Age, year	46.96 (16.48)	60.05 (13.08)	46.41 (16.38)	<0.001	
Sex, male	9,541 (51.18)	646 (73.53) 8,895 (50.25)		< 0.001	
BMI, kg/m ²	29.0 (6.77)	32.0 (6.88) 28.9 (6.74)		< 0.001	
Race				< 0.001	
Mexican American	2,600 (7.66)	52 (2.73)	2,548 (7.86)		
Other Hispanic	1,783 (4.96)	49 (2.14)	1,734 (5.08)		
Non-Hispanic White	8,552 (71.76)	468 (78.04)	8,084 (71.50)		
Non-Hispanic Black	3,538 (9.62)	214 (11.23)	3,324 (9.55)		
Other races	1,566 (6.00)	68 (5.86)	1,489 (6.00)		
Education level, year				0.246	
<9	3,989 (14.47)	198 (15.13)	3,791 (14.44)		
9–12	4,097 (21.62)	227 (24.25)	3,870 (21.51)		
>12	9,953 (63.92)	426 (60.62)	9,527 (64.06)		
Marital status				< 0.001	
Married or living with a partner	10,842 (64.42)	572 (73.65)	10,270 (64.04)		
Widowed/ divorced/ separated	3,896 (17.85)	218 (19.34)	3,678 (17.79)		
Never married	3,301 (17.73)	61 (7.00)	3,240 (18.17)		
Poverty income ratio	3.17 (1.57, 5.00)	3.1 (1.57, 5.00)	3.1 (1.57, 5.00)	0.852	
Physical activity				< 0.001	
Inadequate	10,265 (61.71)	397 (52.54)	9,868 (62.09)		
Adequate	7,774 (38.29)	454 (47.46)	7,320 (37.91)		
Smoking status				< 0.001	
Never	8,942 (51.18)	324 (40.43)	8,618 (51.63)		
Former	4,919 (27.25)	375 (43.17) 4,544 (26.59)			
Current	4,178 (21.57)	152 (16.40)	4,026 (21.78)		
MDS				< 0.001	
0	4,871 (26.26)	88 (12.28)	4,783 (26.88)		
1	8,606 (49.64)	293 (40.00)	8,313 (50.04)		
2	3,299 (18.21)	281 (30.42)	3,018 (17.70)		
≥3	1,263 (5.86)	189 (17.30)	1,074 (5.38)		
Dietary magnesium intake, mg	273.0 (198.0, 371.0)	265.0 (186.0, 354.5)	273.0 (198.0, 372.0)	0.003	
Hypertension	7,472 (36.87)	681 (76.27)	6,791 (35.23)	< 0.001	
Diabetes	3,183 (13.21)	334 (33.43)	2,849 (12.37)	< 0.001	
Coronary heart disease	741 (3.37)	122 (11.58)	619 (3.03)	< 0.001	
Stroke	633 (2.59)	85 (7.35)	548 (2.39)	< 0.001	

BMI, Body mass index; MDS, Magnesium depletion score. Data are presented as unweighted number (weight percentage) for categorical variables, weighted mean (standard deviation), or weighted median (interquartile range) for continuous variables.

did not moderate the positive correlation between MDS and gout risk.

Individuals with normal serum magnesium levels may experience magnesium deficiencies and respond to supplementation (18). The magnesium tolerance test is more accurate but impractical because of the need for 24-h urine collection and intravenous infusion (29). Fan et al. developed an MDS by combining four factors (alcohol consumption, PPI use, diuretic use, and chronic kidney disease) and validated its accuracy compared with that of the magnesium tolerance test (17). The evaluated factors reduce magnesium reabsorption. Alcohol consumption leads to rapid magnesium excretion in the urine (30). Loop-blocking diuretics cause magnesium loss by altering the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system as well as calcium and parathyroid hormone concentrations (31). PPIs reduce renal magnesium reabsorption by downregulating the

I (veterence) I (veterence) I (veterence) $1.43 (0.93 \sim 2.20)$ 0.105 $1.48 (0.96 \sim 2.30)$ 0.077 $1.45 (0.93 \sim 2.26)$ $2.14 (1.38 \sim 3.33)$ <0.001 $2.09 (1.34 \sim 3.26)$ 0.002 $1.86 (1.18 \sim 2.93)$ $3.07 (1.97 \sim 4.80)$ <0.001 $2.73 (1.73 \sim 4.30)$ <0.001 $2.73 (1.73 \sim 4.30)$
<0.001 <0.001 <0.001

TABLE 2 Multivariable logistics regression analysis of the association between magnesium depletion score and gout, weighted

MDS, Magnesium depletion score; BMI, Body mass index. Unadjusted model: no other covariates are adjusted.

Adjusted by sex and age.

Adjusted for Model 1+ race, marital status, smoking status, education level, physical activity, BMI, and poverty income ratio.

Adjusted for Model 2 + dietary magnesium intake, coronary heart disease, diabetes, stroke, and hypertension

10 3389/fnut 2024 1485578

activity of the epithelial magnesium channel transient receptor potential melastatin 6 (32, 33). Under certain pathological conditions such as chronic kidney disease, large changes in renal magnesium reabsorption can occur. Chronic kidney disease is associated with increased urinary magnesium excretion (16).

Magnesium has unique antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. Research suggests that increased dietary magnesium intake is associated with a reduced risk of hyperuricemia (34), prompting the exploration of its interaction with MDS in gout risk. Our results confirm that dietary magnesium intake does not moderate the correlation between MDS and gout incidence. This finding aligns with those of previous studies, indicating a minimal impact of dietary magnesium on the association between MDS and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (35), congestive heart failure (36), and cardiovascular diseases (18). Our results underscore the importance of considering the systemic magnesium status in gout risk reduction. When the magnesium status is suboptimal, relying solely on dietary intake may not effectively mitigate the risk of gout, similar to in other related diseases.

Given that gout patients often present with hyperuricemia and both acute or chronic inflammation (1), we hypothesize that magnesium deficiency, as indicated by MDS, may contribute to gout development through these pathways. Firstly, magnesium is involved in a wide range of biochemical reactions, particularly intracellular phosphorylation, which is crucial for initiating DNA synthesis and cell proliferation (37, 38). Additionally, low magnesium levels can affect oxidative stress, leading to oxidative DNA modifications and impaired DNA repair (39). This may result in significant DNA damage and the release of purine nucleotides, whose catabolism ultimately produces uric acid (40). Secondly, experimental studies have shown that magnesium deficiency is associated with acute inflammatory responses mediated by calcium, N-methyl-Daspartate, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (41), along with increases in C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, and fibrinogen (42-44), all of which are sensitive biomarkers of inflammation. Although further mechanistic studies are needed, the available evidence partially supports the possibility of the proposed mechanism.

Our research has found that high MDS significantly increases the risk of gout among American adults. Therefore, addressing the four key factors involved in MDS-alcohol consumption, PPI use, diuretic use, and chronic kidney disease-by adopting strategies to reduce magnesium depletion could help lower the risk of gout. Specific measures include reducing alcohol intake, appropriately using PPIs and diuretics, and managing chronic kidney disease. Reducing alcohol consumption can lower urinary magnesium excretion (45); PPIs are commonly prescribed for gastrointestinal issues, but their overuse has exceeded the actual number of necessary cases (46-48); diuretics are used to manage conditions such as hypertension and heart failure, but they can also lead to magnesium loss in urine, highlighting the risk of overuse (49). Monitoring and regulating the use of PPIs and diuretics is crucial, and healthcare providers should balance the benefits of these treatments against the potential risk of magnesium depletion. Lastly, CKD is a progressive, incurable condition related to magnesium metabolism abnormalities (50). Patients with CKD need to be particularly cautious about alcohol and medication usage to avoid exacerbating magnesium depletion, which could increase the risk of gout.

Subgroup	OR (95%CI)	P for trend	Subgroup	OR (95%CI)	P for trend	P for interactio
Sex						0.911
Male		<0.001	Female		0.004	
MDS = 0	1(Ref)	I	MDS = 0	1(Ref)		
MDS = 1	1.59(1.06, 2.39)	—	MDS = 1	1.22(0.52, 2.86)	•	-
MDS = 2	2.34(1.47, 3.71)		- MDS = 2	1.54(0.69, 3.44)	•	-
MDS >= 3	3.08(1.88, 5.04)		MDS >= 3	2.03(1.08, 3.82)	-	_
Age, yr						0.208
< 65		0.003	>= 65		<0.001	
MDS = 0	1(Ref)		MDS = 0	1(Ref)		
MDS = 1	1.56(1.01, 2.41)	—	MDS = 1	1.40(0.39, 4.95)	•	
MDS = 2	2.14(1.38, 3.32)		- MDS = 2	2.18(0.63, 7.51)		
MDS >= 3	1.67(0.75, 3.72)			3.84(1.23, 11.99))	-
BMI, kg/m²						0.147
< 25		<0.001	>= 25		<0.001	
MDS = 0	1(Ref)		MDS = 0	1(Ref)		
MDS = 1	1.08(0.44, 2.63)		MDS = 1	1.58(0.98, 2.55)		
MDS = 2	2.04(0.84, 4.97)	+	MDS = 2	2.10(1.30, 3.40)		_
MDS >= 3	4.28(1.85, 9.91)		→ MDS >= 3	2.69(1.65, 4.38)		►
Dietary magnesiu	um, mg					0.936
< 273		<0.001	>= 273		<0.001	
MDS = 0	1(Ref)		MDS = 0	1(Ref)		
MDS = 1	1.36(0.77, 2.42)		MDS = 1	1.61(0.90, 2.88)		-
MDS = 2	1.86(1.00, 3.46)	•	- MDS = 2	2.32(1.24, 4.34)	•	
MDS >= 3	2.63(1.58, 4.38)		MDS >= 3	2.74(1.39, 5.42)		▶
Hypertension						0.230
No		0.920	Yes		<0.001	
MDS = 0	1(Ref)		MDS = 0	1(Ref)		
MDS = 1	1.26(0.68, 2.33)	_	MDS = 1	1.60(0.98, 2.61)	•	,
MDS = 2	0.99(0.48, 2.06)	_	MDS = 2	2.41(1.47, 3.97)		<u> </u>
MDS >= 3	1.17(0.51, 2.70)		MDS >= 3	2.97(1.85, 4.79)		◆
Diabetes						0.252
No		<0.001	Yes		0.004	
MDS = 0	1(Ref)		MDS = 0	1(Ref)		
MDS = 1	1.69(1.09, 2.63)	 −•−	MDS = 1	1.02(0.47, 2.21)		
MDS = 2	1.89(1.15, 3.13)	│◆	MDS = 2	1.89(0.87, 4.11)		
MDS >= 3	2.58(1.53, 4.34)		MDS >= 3	2.01(0.96, 4.20)	├ ◆	
Coronary heart d	lisease					0.527
No		<0.001	Yes		0.010	
MDS = 0	1(Ref)		MDS = 0	1(Ref)		
MDS = 1	1.52(0.94, 2.45)		MDS = 1	0.94(0.29, 3.07)		-
MDS = 2	1.84(1.13, 2.98)	│◆	MDS = 2	1.92(0.54, 6.80)		
MDS >= 3	2.26(1.38, 3.71)			2.40(0.76, 7.55)		,
Stroke						0.390
No		<0.001	Yes		0.600	
MDS = 0	1(Ref)		MDS = 0	1(Ref)		
MDS = 1	1.44(0.91, 2.27)		MDS = 1	1.70(0.43, 6.66)		
MDS = 2	1.91(1.19, 3.06)	│ 	MDS = 2	1.40(0.36, 5.34)		
MDS >= 3	2.36(1.45, 3.82)			1.76(0.43, 7.20)		

FIGURE 2 Subgroup analyses of MDS and gout. Adjustment by sex, age, BMI, race, smoking status, education level, physical activity, poverty income ratio, dietary magnesium intake, coronary heart disease, diabetes, stroke, and hypertension.

10.3389/fnut.2024.1485578

This study had some limitations. First, as a cross-sectional study, it inherently cannot establish causality. Although the association between MDS and gout may be explained by hyperuricemia and inflammatory mechanisms, further mechanistic studies are required to confirm this hypothesis. Second, cross-sectional studies inherently face issues with missing data. We addressed this by performing multiple imputations for missing covariate data, and the results remained stable. Third, gout data from self-reports may have been affected by recall bias. Fourth, dietary magnesium intake was assessed through a single 24-h recall, which may not accurately reflect long-term dietary habits or magnesium status over time. Fifth, while our results are based on NHANES, which uses a complex multistage probability sampling design to obtain a nationally representative sample of non-institutionalized United States adults, the generalizability of these findings to other geographic regions or racial/ethnic groups requires further validation. Finally, although we adjusted for some confounders, other factors such as genetics, lifestyle, and environment may have influenced the results.

5 Conclusion

According to the MDS, a positive correlation exists between magnesium deficiency and gout risk among adults in the United States. Additionally, dietary magnesium intake did not alter this association.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: NHANES is a public dataset that can be freely accessed at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Research Ethics Review Committee of the National Center. The studies were conducted

References

1. Dalbeth N, Gosling AL, Gaffo A, Abhishek A. Gout Lancet. (2021) 397:1843–55. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(21)00569-9

2. Cohen-Rosenblum AR, Somogyi JR, Hynes KK, Guevara ME. Orthopaedic management of gout. J Am Acad Orthop Surg Glob Res Rev. (2022) 6:e22.00216. doi: 10.5435/JAAOSGlobal-D-22-00216

3. Dehlin M, Jacobsson L, Roddy E. Global epidemiology of gout: prevalence, incidence, treatment patterns and risk factors. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2020) 16:380–90. doi: 10.1038/s41584-020-0441-1

4. Roddy E, Doherty M. Epidemiology of gout. Arthritis Res Ther. (2010) 12:223. doi: 10.1186/ar3199

5. Kuo CF, Grainge MJ, Zhang W, Doherty M. Global epidemiology of gout: prevalence, incidence and risk factors. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2015) 11:649–62. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2015.91

6. Rai SK, Aviña-Zubieta JA, McCormick N, De Vera MA, Shojania K, Sayre EC, et al. The rising prevalence and incidence of gout in British columbia, Canada: populationbased trends from 2000 to 2012. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. (2017) 46:451–6. doi: 10.1016/j. semarthrit.2016.08.006 in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

XC: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HF: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft. HW: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1485578/ full#supplementary-material

7. Fiorentini D, Cappadone C, Farruggia G, Prata C. Magnesium: biochemistry, nutrition, detection, and social impact of diseases linked to its deficiency. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13:1136. doi: 10.3390/nu13041136

8. Chiu TK, Dickerson RE. 1 a crystal structures of b-DNA reveal sequence-specific binding and groove-specific bending of DNA by magnesium and calcium. *J Mol Biol.* (2000) 301:915–45. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2000.4012

9. Green L, Kim CH, Bustamante C, Tinoco I Jr. Characterization of the mechanical unfolding of rna pseudoknots. *J Mol Biol.* (2008) 375:511–28. doi: 10.1016/j. jmb.2007.05.058

10. Caspi R, Altman T, Dreher K, Fulcher CA, Subhraveti P, Keseler IM, et al. The metacyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the biocyc collection of pathway/genome databases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2012) 40:D742–53. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr1014

11. Chaigne-Delalande B, Li FY, O'Connor GM, Lukacs MJ, Jiang P, Zheng L, et al. Mg2+ regulates cytotoxic functions of nk and cd8 t cells in chronic ebv infection through nkg2d. *Science (New York, NY).* (2013) 341:186–91. doi: 10.1126/science.1240094

12. Li FY, Chaigne-Delalande B, Kanellopoulou C, Davis JC, Matthews HF, Douek DC, et al. Second messenger role for mg2+ revealed by human t-cell immunodeficiency. *Nature*. (2011) 475:471–6. doi: 10.1038/nature10246

13. Weber JD, Gutmann DH. Deconvoluting mtor biology. Cell Cycle. (2012) 11:236–48. doi: 10.4161/cc.11.2.19022

14. Krishna SP, Akhter S, Nessa A, Hoque MR, Saha BK, Faysal MR, et al. Status of serum magnesium and uric acid in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Mymensingh Med J.* (2023) 32:927–32.

15. Ray EC. Evolving understanding of cardiovascular protection by sglt2 inhibitors: focus on renal protection, myocardial effects, uric acid, and magnesium balance. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* (2020) 54:11–7. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2020.06.001

16. de Baaij JH, Hoenderop JG, Bindels RJ. Magnesium in man: implications for health and disease. *Physiol Rev.* (2015) 95:1–46. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00012.2014

17. Fan L, Zhu X, Rosanoff A, Costello RB, Yu C, Ness R, et al. Magnesium depletion score (mds) predicts risk of systemic inflammation and cardiovascular mortality among us adults. *J Nutr.* (2021) 151:2226–35. doi: 10.1093/jn/nxab138

18. Ye L, Zhang C, Duan Q, Shao Y, Zhou J. Association of magnesium depletion score with cardiovascular disease and its association with longitudinal mortality in patients with cardiovascular disease. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2023) 12:e030077. doi: 10.1161/jaha.123.030077

19. Yin S, Zhou Z, Lin T, Wang X. Magnesium depletion score is associated with longterm mortality in chronic kidney diseases: a prospective population-based cohort study. *J Nephrol.* (2023) 36:755–65. doi: 10.1007/s40620-022-01489-5

20. Wang X, Zeng Z, Wang X, Zhao P, Xiong L, Liao T, et al. Magnesium depletion score and metabolic syndrome in us adults: analysis of nhanes 2003-2018. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2024). doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgae075

21. Tan MY, Mo CY, Zhao Q. The association between magnesium depletion score and hypertension in us adults: evidence from the national health and nutrition examination survey (2007-2018). *Biol Trace Elem Res.* (2023) 202:4418–30. doi: 10.1007/s12011-023-04034-y

22. Tian Z, Qu S, Chen Y, Fang J, Song X, He K, et al. Associations of the magnesium depletion score and magnesium intake with diabetes among us adults: an analysis of the national health and nutrition examination survey 2011-2018. *Epidemiol Health*. (2024) 46:e2024020. doi: 10.4178/epih.e2024020

23. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med.* (2009) 150:604–12. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-150-9-200905050-00006

24. McCormick N, Lu N, Yokose C, Joshi AD, Sheehy S, Rosenberg L, et al. Racial and sex disparities in gout prevalence among us adults. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2022) 5:e2226804. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.26804

25. Razouki ZA, Zhang X, Hwang JP, Heredia NI. Clinical factors associated with non-obese nonalcoholic fatty liver disease detected among us adults in the nhanes 2017-2018. J Clin Med. (2022) 11:4260. doi: 10.3390/jcm11154260

26. Association AD. 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2020. *Diabetes Care*. (2020) 43:S14–s31. doi: 10.2337/dc20-S002

27. Zheng Y, Wang J, Wang Y, Xu K, Chen X. The hidden dangers of plant-based diets affecting bone health: a cross-sectional study with u.S. national health and nutrition examination survey (nhanes) data from 2005–2018. *Nutrients*. (2023) 15:1794. doi: 10.3390/nu15071794

28. Ruan Z, Lu T, Chen Y, Yuan M, Yu H, Liu R, et al. Association between psoriasis and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among outpatient us adults. *JAMA Dermatol.* (2022) 158:745–53. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2022.1609

29. Arnaud MJ. Update on the assessment of magnesium status. Br
 JNutr.(2008) 99:S24–36. doi: 10.1017/S000711450800682X

30. Rylander R, Mégevand Y, Lasserre B, Amstutz W, Granbom S. Moderate alcohol consumption and urinary excretion of magnesium and calcium. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest.* (2001) 61:401–5. doi: 10.1080/003655101316911459

31. Ryan MP. Magnesium and potassium-sparing diuretics. *Dent Mag.* (1986) 5:282-92.

32. Nijenhuis T, Vallon V, van der Kemp AW, Loffing J, Hoenderop JG, Bindels RJ. Enhanced passive ca2+ reabsorption and reduced mg2+ channel abundance explains thiazide-induced hypocalciuria and hypomagnesemia. *J Clin Invest.* (2005) 115:1651–8. doi: 10.1172/jci24134

33. William JH, Danziger J. Proton-pump inhibitor-induced hypomagnesemia: current research and proposed mechanisms. *World J Nephrol.* (2016) 5:152–7. doi: 10.5527/wjn.v5.i2.152

34. Zhang Y, Qiu H. Dietary magnesium intake and hyperuricemia among us adults. *Nutrients.* (2018) 10:296. doi: 10.3390/nu10030296

35. Wang KJ, Chen H, Wang J, Wang Y. Association between magnesium depletion score and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease risk: a secondary data analysis from nhanes. *BMJ Open*. (2024) 14:e083275. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083275

36. Zhao D, Chen P, Chen M, Chen L, Wang L. Association of magnesium depletion score with congestive heart failure: results from the nhanes 2007–2016. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* (2024) 202:454–65. doi: 10.1007/s12011-023-03697-x

37. Rubin H. Central role for magnesium in coordinate control of metabolism and growth in animal cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1975) 72:3551–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.72.9.3551

38. Rubin H. Central roles of mg2+ and mgatp2- in the regulation of protein synthesis and cell proliferation: significance for neoplastic transformation. *Adv Cancer Res.* (2005) 93:1–58. doi: 10.1016/s0065-230x(05)93001-7

39. Wolf FI, Maier JA, Nasulewicz A, Feillet-Coudray C, Simonacci M, Mazur A, et al. Magnesium and neoplasia: from carcinogenesis to tumor growth and progression or treatment. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* (2007) 458:24–32. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2006.02.016

40. Cao J, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Li H, Jiang C, Lin T, et al. Plasma magnesium and the risk of new-onset hyperuricaemia in hypertensive patients. *Br J Nutr*. (2020) 124:156–63. doi: 10.1017/S0007114520001099

41. Nielsen FH. Magnesium, inflammation, and obesity in chronic disease. *Nutr Rev.* (2010) 68:333–40. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2010.00293.x

42. Kim DJ, Xun P, Liu K, Loria C, Yokota K, Jacobs DR Jr, et al. Magnesium intake in relation to systemic inflammation, insulin resistance, and the incidence of diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* (2010) 33:2604–10. doi: 10.2337/dc10-0994

43. Dibaba DT, Xun P, He K. Dietary magnesium intake is inversely associated with serum c-reactive protein levels: Meta-analysis and systematic review. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2014) 68:971. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2014.111

44. Song Y, Li TY, van Dam RM, Manson JE, Hu FB. Magnesium intake and plasma concentrations of markers of systemic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in women. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2007) 85:1068–74. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/85.4.1068

45. Baj J, Flieger W, Teresiński G, Buszewicz G, Sitarz R, Forma A, et al. Magnesium, calcium, potassium, sodium, phosphorus, selenium, zinc, and chromium levels in alcohol use disorder: a review. *J Clin Med.* (2020) 9:1901. doi: 10.3390/jcm9061901

46. Friedenberg FK, Hanlon A, Vanar V, Nehemia D, Mekapati J, Nelson DB, et al. Trends in gastroesophageal reflux disease as measured by the national ambulatory medical care survey. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2010) 55:1911–7. doi: 10.1007/s10620-009-1004-0

47. Grigg E, Davis I, Williams D, Schade R. Can intervention reduce abuse of proton pump inhibitors?: 1114. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2010) 105:S404. doi: 10.14309/00000434-201010001-01114

48. Shalaby E. #4068 evaluation of chronic toxic effect of proton pump inhibitors (ppis) abuse on magnesium level in hemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* (2023) 38:gfad063c_4068. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfad063c_4068

49. Bartoli E, Rossi L, Sola D, Castello L, Sainaghi PP, Smirne C. Use, misuse and abuse of diuretics. *Eur J Intern Med.* (2017) 39:9–17. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2017.01.016

50. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Jafar TH, Nitsch D, Neuen BL, Perkovic V. Chronic kidney disease. Lancet. (2021) 398:786-802. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00519-5