Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Amir-Hossein Mousavian, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

REVIEWED BY Lishi Zhang, Sichuan University, China Claudio Singh Solorzano, University of Milan, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Minhua Lu ⊠ minhualll@outlook.com

RECEIVED 25 June 2024 ACCEPTED 24 March 2025 PUBLISHED 07 April 2025

CITATION

Li T, Tang Z, Li S, Jiang W and Lu M (2025) Association of unsaturated fatty acid intake with risk of all-cause death in patients with osteoarthritis. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1454431. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1454431

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Tang, Li, Jiang and Lu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association of unsaturated fatty acid intake with risk of all-cause death in patients with osteoarthritis

Tianyang Li, Zhenzhou Tang, Sucheng Li, Weigang Jiang and Minhua Lu*

Department of Orthopedics, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital to Soochow University (Suzhou Dushu Lake Hospital), Suzhou, China

Aim: To investigate the relationship between unsaturated fatty acids (UFAs) intake and the risk of all-cause mortality in osteoarthritis (OA) patients.

Methods: This cohort study included the data of 3,271 participants with OA using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Univariate and multivariable weighted Cox regression models were applied to analyze the relationship between UFAs intake and the risk of mortality in patients with OA. Subgroup analysis was used in age, gender, cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, and diabetes. Hazard ratio (HR), and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated.

Results: The median follow-up time was 38.00 (69.00, 104.00) months, with 2,670 participants survived and 601 died. Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) \geq 31.30 was associated with reduced risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients (HR = 0.48, 95% CI: 0.32-0.73). Lowered risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients was observed in patients with octadecenoic acid \geq 29.14 (HR = 0.50, 95% CI: 0.34-0.72). Eicosenoic acid of 0.15-0.30 (HR = 0.70, 95% CI: 0.55-0.90) or eicosenoic acid \geq 0.30 (HR = 0.62, 95% CI: 0.46-0.84) was related to decreased risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients. Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) ≥20.33 was associated with reduced risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients (HR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.54-0.96). Omega-3 fatty acid ≥1.98 was correlated with decreased risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients (HR = 0.60, 95% CI: 0.45-0.81). Decreased risk of all-cause mortality was found in people with alpha-linolenic acid (ALA) of 1.00-1.83 (HR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.59-0.96) or ALA ≥1.83 (HR = 0.65, 95% CI: 0.46-0.92) in OA patients. Omega-6 fatty acid \geq 18.04 (HR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.51–0.92) or linoleic acid \geq 17.89 (HR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.50–0.90) were related to decreased risk of all-cause mortality in people with OA.

Conclusion: Total MUFAs and PUFAs, octadecenoic acid, eicosenoic acid, omega-3 fatty acid, ALA, omega-6 fatty acid and linoleic acid were correlated with decreased risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients, which might suggest the importance of specific UFAs supplement in OA patients.

KEYWORDS

unsaturated fatty acid, death, osteoarthritis, NHANES, omega-6

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the most important chronic diseases that leads to the degeneration and loss of cartilage within joints, resulting in a huge burden to patients and society (1). A study based on Global Burden of Disease (GBD) data revealed that OA had an annual global increase of 0.32% in age standardized incidence rate or approximately 9% increase over the 28-year period from 1990 to 2017 (2). Compared with the general population, patients with OA have a significantly increased risk of all-cause mortality (3). A number of observational studies have reported that people with OA are at increased risk of premature mortality compared to the general population (4, 5). The primary management of OA may necessitate sequential treatment (6), thus, to identify more reliable biomarkers associated with the prognosis of OA patients is of great value.

Previous studies highlighted the significance of joint-specific inflammation and oxidative stress in the progression and prognosis of OA (7-9), indicating that incorporating nutrients with anti-inflammatory properties into nutritional interventions may confer benefits. Unsaturated fatty acids (UFAs) are essential fatty acids for human body (10). Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), especially omega-3 fatty acids, have been found to help improve pain, cartilage loss and joint function in OA patients due to their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties (11). Omega-3 fatty acids have been proposed as potential therapeutic agents for individuals with OA owing to their capacity to attenuate the systemic inflammatory response and foster an environment that inhibits cartilage degradation (11). In addition, studies have found that monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) and PUFA might alleviate the progression of knee OA (12). However, whether UFAs played roles on the prognosis of patients with OA was still unclear.

This study aimed to investigate the relationship between UFAs intake and the risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients based on the data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Subgroup analysis was performed in terms of age, gender, complicated with cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes, or hypertension.

Methods

Study design and population

In this cohort study, the records of 3,642 participants with OA were extracted from NHANES between 2007–2018. NHANES is an ongoing survey of non-institutionalized civilians residing in the United States, utilizing a complex sampling design that oversamples specific minority groups, income brackets, and age ranges (13). Data from NHANES are publicly available from https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/Default.aspx. Participants included should meet the following criteria: (1) \geq 18 years old; (2) OA based on self-reported personal interview data. Participants were asked if they had ever been told by their doctor or another health professional that they had OA. The exclusion criteria of participants were: (1) missing survival data; (2) information of unsaturated fatty acid intake. Finally, 3,271 participants were analyzed.

Main variables and outcome

Main variables were MUFAs including total MUFAs, hexadecenoic acid, octadecenoic acid (g), eicosenoic acid (g), and docosenoic acid (g), and PUFAs including total PUFAs, total omega-3 [eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) + docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) + docosapentaenoic acid (DPA) + alpha-linolenic acid (ALA) + stearidonic acid (SDA)], EPA + DHA, DPA, ALA, SDA, total omega-6 (linoleic acid +arachidonic acid), linoleic acid, and arachidonic acid.

The food and nutrient intake of each participant in the NHANES database was recorded through a 24-h dietary recall interview. The 24-h dietary recall interview is comprised of two parts, the 24-h recall and a short set of post-dietary recall questions. Information collected from the 24-h recall interview will be coded and linked to a database of nutrient composition of foods. Calculations of total daily intakes of energy and 51 dietary components, including 19 individual fatty acids will be derived from these data. Following the dietary recall, the second part of the dietary interview will consist of a short questionnaire. The Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies provided by the United States Department of Agriculture was utilized to calculate the consumption of UFAs (14). The intake of dietary supplements during the previous month, including dosage, frequency, and duration of consumption, was determined based on the questionnaire interview (15). The daily total intake of UFAs was determined by calculating the average of the two 24-h dietary intake records and dietary supplements intake.

All-cause mortality was the outcome in our study. The median follow-up time was 38.00 (69.00, 104.00) months.

Potential covariates and definitions

Age (years), gender (males or females), race (White, Black or other races), education status (high school and below, or above high school), poverty to income ratio (PIR) (\leq 1.3, 1.3–1.85, or >1.85) (16, 17), marital status (married, widowed, divorced, separated, never married, or living with partner), smoking status (yes or no), drinking status (yes or no), physical activity [<450 metabolic equivalent (MET) × min/week or \geq 450 MET × min/week], duration of arthritis, osteoporosis (yes or no), fracture (yes or no), hypertension (yes or no), diabetes (yes or no), chronic kidney disease (CKD) (yes or no), cancer (yes or no), BMI (<25 kg/m², 25–30 kg/m², or \geq 30 kg/m²), energy, white blood cell count, uric acid, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents (yes or no) were variables analyzed.

Statistical analysis

All the data were analyzed in a weighted manner. The masked variance unit pseudo-stratum was sdmvstra, and the masked variance unit pseudo-primary sampling units was sdmvpsu. The confidence interval (CI) was applied for evaluating the reliability of an estimate. WTDRD1 was used as the dietary data weight. Continuous data were described as mean and standard error (S.E.), and the weighted *t*-test was used for comparison between groups. Enumeration data were described as the number and percentages of cases [n (%)], and χ^2 test was used for comparison between groups. Univariate weighted Cox

regression model was established to identify potential covariates associated the mortality of OA patients. Univariate and multivariable weighted Cox regression models were applied to analyze the relationship between UFAs intake and the risk of mortality in patients with OA. Missing values were manipulated via multiple imputation (Supplementary Table 1), and the results were compared between data with and without missing values imputation (Supplementary Table 2). Subgroup analysis was used in age, gender, CVD, hypertension, and diabetes. Hazard ratio (HR), and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. Alpha was set as 0.05. Data analysis was generated using SAS 9.4.

Results

Comparisons of characteristics of participants survived or died

In total, the data of 3,642 participants were extracted from NHANES. Among them, participants without survival information were excluded (n = 9). Also, those without data on UFAs were not analyzed (n = 362). Finally, 3,271 subjects were involved in our study. There were 2,670 participants survived and 601 died. The screen process of the participants was depicted in Figure 1.

The mean MUFAs levels (27.88 g vs. 23.64 g, p < 0.001), hexadecenoic acid level (1.04 g vs. 0.91 g, p < 0.001), eicosenoic acid level (0.30 g vs. 0.22 g, p < 0.001), docosenoic acid level (0.04 g vs. 0.02 g, p = 0.005) in the survival group were higher than the death group, the mean omega-3 fatty acid level in the survival group was higher than the death group (1.88 g vs. 1.53 g, p < 0.001). Higher omega-6 fatty acid levels were observed in the survival group compared to the death group (16.29 g vs. 13.35 g, p < 0.001) (Table 1).

Associations between UFAs with all-cause mortality in OA patients

As exhibited in Table 2, age, gender, education, PIR, marital status, smoking status, physical activity, duration of arthritis, osteoporosis, hypertension, diabetes, CVD, CKD, cancer, energy, WBC, uric acid, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents were covariates associated with all-cause mortality in OA patients.

The results delineated that total MUFAs \geq 31.30 (HR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.41–0.76), hexadecenoic acid \geq 1.14 (HR = 0.69, 95% CI: 0.52–0.93) might be associated with decreased risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients. Octadecenoic acid \geq 29.14, eicosenoic acid of 0.15–0.30 (HR = 0.70, 95% CI: 0.55–0.89) or eicosenoic acid \geq 0.30 might be correlated with reduced risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients. Docosenoic acid \geq 0.013 (HR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.58–0.99), PUFAs \geq 20.33 (HR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.53–0.84), omega-3 fatty acid \geq 1.98 (HR = 0.61, 95% CI: 0.47–0.79), ALA \geq 1.83 (HR = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.51–0.86), omega-6 fatty acid \geq 18.04 (HR = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.50–0.81), or linoleic acid \geq 17.89 (HR = 0.63, 95% CI: 0.49–0.80) might be related to lowered risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients (Table 3).

After adjusting for confounding factors, MUFAs \geq 31.30 was associated with reduced risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients (HR = 0.48, 95% CI: 0.32–0.73). Lowered risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients was observed in patients with octadecenoic acid \geq 29.14 (HR = 0.50, 95% CI: 0.34–0.72). Eicosenoic acid of 0.15–0.30 (HR = 0.70, 95% CI: 0.55–0.90) or eicosenoic acid \geq 0.30 (HR = 0.62, 95% CI: 0.46–0.84) was related to decreased risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients. PUFAs \geq 20.33 was associated with reduced risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients (HR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.54–0.96). Omega-3 fatty acid \geq 1.98 was correlated with decreased risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients (HR = 0.60, 95% CI: 0.45–0.81). Decreased risk of all-cause mortality was found in people with ALA of 1.00–1.83 (HR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.59–0.96) or ALA \geq 1.83 (HR = 0.65, 95% CI: 0.46–0.92) in OA patients. Omega-6 fatty acid \geq 18.04 (HR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.51–0.92) or linoleic acid \geq 17.89 (HR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.50–0.90) were related to decreased risk of all-cause mortality in people with OA (Table 3).

Subgroup analysis of associations between UFAs with all-cause mortality in OA patients

In people aged \geq 65 years, MUFAs \geq 31.30 (HR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.33–0.86), hexadecenoic acid \geq 1.14 (HR = 0.65, 95% CI: 0.47–0.91), octadecenoic acid \geq 29.14 (HR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.36 = 0.87), eicosenoic acid of 0.15–0.30 (HR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.59–0.96) or eicosenoic acid \geq 0.30 (HR = 0.58, 95% CI: 0.41–0.82) were associated with decreased risk of all-cause mortality in people with OA (Figures 2, 3).

In females, MUFAs \geq 31.30 (HR = 0.38, 95% CI: 0.23–0.64), octadecenoic acid \geq 29.14 (HR = 0.39, 95% CI: 0.24–0.63), eicosenoic acid \geq 0.30 (HR = 0.58, 95% CI: 0.40–0.84), docosenoic acid \geq 0.013 (HR = 0.74, 95% CI: 0.56–0.99). PUFAs \geq 20.33 (HR = 0.59, 95% CI: 0.39–0.90), omega-3 fatty acid \geq 1.98 (HR = 0.50, 95% CI: 0.33–0.76), omega-6 fatty acid \geq 18.04 (HR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.37–0.87), or linoleic acid \geq 17.89 (HR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.36–0.87) (Figures 4, 5).

Discussion

The present study investigated the relationship between the levels of MUFAs and PUFAs intake and the risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients. The results depicted that total MUFAs \geq 31.30, octadecenoic acid \geq 29.14, and eicosenoic acid \geq 0.15 as well as PUFAs \geq 20.33, omega-3 fatty acid \geq 1.98, ALA \geq 1, omega-6 fatty acid \geq 18.04 and linoleic acid \geq 17.89 were related to decreased risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients. The finding showed directions for further studies to analyze the biologic and prognostic properties of MUFAs and PUFAs in OA patients.

In a previous study, a significant positive correlation of the consumption of saturated fatty acids, MUFAs, and PUFAs with bone mineral density was confirmed (18). MUFAs and PUFAs were reported to reduce radiographic progression of knee OA (12). Matsumoto et al. (19) revealed that MUFA, as a component of the Mediterranean diet score, was significantly lower in the rheumatoid arthritis, than in the control group and the ratio of consumed MUFA/SFA significantly differed within the rheumatoid arthritis group, which might suppress the disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Paunescu et al. (20) identified that MUFAs levels were positively associated with the stiffness index, and the status of MUFAs was associated with the strength of bone. Another cohort study identified that the HRs for total mortality when comparing the extreme quintiles of specific dietary fats were 0.81 (95% CI, 0.78–0.84) for PUFAs and



0.89 (95% CI, 0.84–0.94) for MUFAs. Replacing 5% of energy from saturated fats with an equivalent amount of energy from PUFAs and MUFAs was associated with estimated reductions in total mortality by 27% (HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.70–0.77) and 13% (HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.82–0.93), respectively (21). These findings might provide some support to the results in the current study. We found that total MUFAs \geq 31.30,

octadecenoic acid \geq 29.14 and eicosenoic acid \geq 0.15 were correlated with decreased risk of all-cause mortality of patients with OA, which suggested that that high MUFAs, octadecenoic acid, and and eicosenoic acid might help lower the mortality risk of OA patients.

Also, the relationship of PUFAs and bone health was illustrated in several studies. The potential therapeutic use of

TABLE 1 Comparisons of characteristics of participants survived or died.

Variables	Total (<i>n</i> = 3,271)	All-cause mortality		Statistics	p
		Survival group (n = 2,670)	Death group (<i>n</i> = 601)		
Age (years), mean (S.E.)	61.76 (0.33)	60.33 (0.36)	70.59 (0.59)	t = -14.91	<0.001
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 3.280$	0.070
Male	1,185 (36.02)	927 (35.18)	258 (41.23)		
Female	2,086 (63.98)	1,743 (64.82)	343 (58.77)		
Race, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 10.500$	0.005
White	2,008 (81.72)	1,581 (81.07)	427 (85.80)		
Black	523 (6.80)	441 (6.92)	82 (6.08)		
Other	740 (11.48)	648 (12.02)	92 (8.13)		
Education status, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 12.309$	< 0.001
High school and below	1,401 (36.10)	1,106 (34.83)	295 (43.99)		
Above high school	1,870 (63.90)	1,564 (65.17)	306 (56.01)		
PIR, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 26.005$	< 0.001
≤1.3	876 (18.26)	713 (17.52)	163 (22.84)		
1.3–1.85	470 (11.83)	354 (10.94)	116 (17.37)		
1.85	1,925 (69.92)	1,603 (71.55)	322 (59.79)		
Marital status, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 69.753$	< 0.001
Married	1,788 (60.55)	1,510 (62.61)	278 (47.79)		
Widowed	558 (13.81)	372 (11.33)	186 (29.23)		
Divorced	477 (13.08)	398 (13.01)	79 (13.51)		
Separated	87 (1.67)	72 (1.69)	15 (1.52)		
Never married	246 (7.46)	214 (7.77)	32 (5.55)		
Living with partner	115 (3.43)	104 (3.59)	11 (2.40)		
Smoking status, n (%)				$\chi^2 = 6.211$	0.013
No	1,565 (47.90)	1,310 (49.00)	255 (41.08)		
Yes	1,706 (52.10)	1,360 (51.00)	346 (58.92)		
Drinking status, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 0.166$	0.684
No	1,169 (30.26)	952 (30.12)	217 (31.17)		
Yes	2,102 (69.74)	1,718 (69.88)	384 (68.83)		
Physical activity (MET min/week), <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 79.230$	< 0.001
<450	1,563 (41.57)	1,178 (38.40)	385 (61.27)		
≥450	1,708 (58.43)	1,492 (61.60)	216 (38.73)		
Duration of arthritis, mean (S.E.)	12.59 (0.29)	12.06 (0.32)	15.84 (0.71)	t = -4.70	< 0.001
Osteoporosis, n (%)				$\chi^2 = 3.106$	0.212
No	1,665 (50.17)	1,350 (50.31)	315 (49.34)		
Yes	10 (0.35)	4 (0.25)	6 (0.95)		
Unknown	1,596 (49.48)	1,316 (49.45)	280 (49.71)		
Fracture, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 12.496$	0.002
No	1,671 (47.85)	1,327 (47.19)	344 (51.89)		
Yes	406 (12.95)	313 (12.34)	93 (16.74)		
Unknown	1,194 (39.20)	1,030 (40.46)	164 (31.38)		
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 44.892$	< 0.001
No	629 (23.13)	572 (25.18)	57 (10.38)		
Yes	2,642 (76.87)	2,098 (74.82)	544 (89.62)		
Diabetes, n (%)				$\chi^2 = 22.270$	< 0.001
No	2,362 (77.85)	1,969 (79.36)	393 (68.43)		
Yes	909 (22.15)	701 (20.64)	208 (31.57)		
Dyslipidemia, n (%)				$\chi^2 = 0.365$	0.546
No	648 (19.36)	516 (19.19)	132 (20.42)		
Yes	2,623 (80.64)	2,154 (80.81)	469 (79.58)		
CVD, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 33.514$	< 0.001
No	2,554 (81.67)	2,163 (83.85)	391 (68.13)		

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Variables	Total (<i>n</i> = 3,271)	L) All-cause mortality		Statistics	p
		Survival group (n = 2,670)	Death group (<i>n</i> = 601)		
Yes	717 (18.33)	507 (16.15)	210 (31.87)		
CKD, n (%)				$\chi^2 = 116.423$	< 0.001
No	2,685 (85.83)	2,296 (88.59)	389 (68.69)		
Yes	375 (9.00)	213 (6.47)	162 (24.74)		
Unknown	211 (5.17)	161 (4.95)	50 (6.57)		
Cancer, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 9.909$	0.002
No	2,597 (78.01)	2,170 (79.15)	427 (70.88)		
Yes	674 (21.99)	500 (20.85)	174 (29.12)		
BMI, <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 7.445$	0.024
<25	668 (20.78)	515 (20.00)	153 (25.62)		
25-30	1,001 (31.52)	795 (31.54)	206 (31.38)		
≥30	1,602 (47.70)	1,360 (48.46)	242 (42.99)		
Energy (kcal), mean (S.E.)	1947.05 (20.14)	1966.48 (21.98)	1826.38 (42.25)	<i>t</i> = 3.00	0.003
White blood cell count (1,000 cells/µL),	7.32 (0.06)	7.29 (0.06)	7.51 (0.14)	t = -1.52	0.133
mean (S.E.)					
Uric acid (µmol/L), mean (S.E.)	325.52 (1.82)	322.77 (1.96)	342.62 (5.05)	t = -3.69	< 0.001
Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, <i>n</i>				$\chi^2 = 8.617$	0.003
(%)					
No	2,847 (86.78)	2,292 (85.90)	555 (92.21)		
Yes	424 (13.22)	378 (14.10)	46 (7.79)		
MUFAs (g), mean (S.E.)	27.30 (0.41)	27.88 (0.46)	23.64 (0.65)	t = 5.29	< 0.001
MUFAs (g), <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 23.263$	< 0.001
<19.09	1,155 (32.97)	909 (31.91)	246 (39.56)		
19.09–31.30	1,119 (33.96)	896 (33.15)	223 (39.01)		
≥31.30	997 (33.06)	865 (34.94)	132 (21.42)		
Hexadecenoic acid (g), mean (S.E.)	1.03 (0.02)	1.04 (0.02)	0.91 (0.03)	t = 3.44	< 0.001
Hexadecenoic acid (g), <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 6.090$	0.048
<0.63	1,115 (32.98)	887 (32.24)	228 (37.61)		
0.63-1.14	1,073 (33.96)	860 (33.73)	213 (35.37)		
≥1.14	1,083 (33.06)	923 (34.03)	160 (27.02)		
Octadecenoic acid (g), mean (S.E.)	25.37 (0.39)	25.91 (0.44)	22.03 (0.60)	<i>t</i> = 5.12	< 0.001
Octadecenoic acid (g), <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 18.835$	< 0.001
<17.73	1,142 (32.96)	897 (31.87)	245 (39.74)		
17.73–29.14	1,125 (34.01)	909 (33.39)	216 (37.84)		
≥29.14	1,004 (33.03)	864 (34.73)	140 (22.43)		
Eicosenoic acid (g), mean (S.E.)	0.29 (0.01)	0.30 (0.01)	0.22 (0.01)	<i>t</i> = 5.92	< 0.001
Eicosenoic acid (g), <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 31.011$	< 0.001
<0.15	1,136 (32.94)	863 (30.87)	273 (45.75)		
0.15-0.30	1,096 (34.05)	912 (34.59)	184 (30.68)		
≥0.30	1,039 (33.01)	895 (34.53)	144 (23.58)		
Docosenoic acid (g), mean (S.E.)	0.04 (0.00)	0.04 (0.00)	0.02 (0.00)	<i>t</i> = 2.89	0.005
Docosenoic acid (g), <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 20.429$	<0.001
0	661 (16.99)	491 (15.70)	170 (25.01)		
0-0.013	1,339 (41.49)	1,105 (41.48)	234 (41.58)		
≥0.013	1,271 (41.51)	1,074 (42.82)	197 (33.41)		
PUFAs (g), mean (S.E.)	17.97 (0.28)	18.41 (0.32)	15.23 (0.46)	<i>t</i> = 5.76	<0.001
PUFAs (g), n (%)				$\chi^2 = 23.919$	< 0.001
<11.64	1,154 (32.98)	889 (31.49)	265 (42.21)		
11.64–20.33	1,088 (33.99)	896 (34.06)	192 (33.59)		
≥20.33	1,029 (33.02)	885 (34.45)	144 (24.20)		
Omega-3 fatty acid (g), mean (S.E.)	1.83 (0.04)	1.88 (0.04)	1.53 (0.07)	<i>t</i> = 4.48	< 0.001

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Variables	Total (<i>n</i> = 3,271)	All-cause	mortality	Statistics	p
		Survival group (n = 2,670)	Death group (<i>n</i> = 601)		
Omega-3 fatty acid (g), <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 25.647$	<0.001
<1.07	1,133 (33.00)	871 (31.51)	262 (42.22)		
1.07-1.98	1,106 (34.03)	897 (33.72)	209 (35.94)		
≥1.98	1,032 (32.97)	902 (34.77)	130 (21.84)		
EPA (g), mean (S.E.)	0.03 (0.00)	0.03 (0.00)	0.02 (0.00)	<i>t</i> = 2.66	0.009
EPA (g), n (%)				$\chi^2 = 12.053$	0.002
0	457 (13.35)	349 (13.15)	108 (14.58)		
0-0.007	1,417 (43.29)	1,153 (42.35)	264 (49.09)		
≥0.007	1,397 (43.36)	1,168 (44.50)	229 (36.33)		
DHA (g), mean (S.E.)	0.06 (0.00)	0.06 (0.00)	0.05 (0.01)	t = 2.35	0.021
DHA (g), <i>n</i> (%)				$\chi^2 = 0.159$	0.924
0	482 (14.20)	386 (14.15)	96 (14.55)		
0-0.018	1,306 (42.61)	1,084 (42.78)	222 (41.59)		
≥0.018	1,483 (43.18)	1,200 (43.07)	283 (43.86)		
EPA + DHA (g), mean (S.E.)	0.09 (0.01)	0.10 (0.01)	0.07 (0.01)	t = 2.55	0.012
EPA + DHA (g), n (%)		. ,		$\chi^2 = 0.829$	0.661
<0.01	1,039 (32.82)	831 (32,59)	208 (34.19)		
0.01-0.04	1,091 (34.02)	906 (33.87)	185 (34.93)		
>0.04	1,141 (33.17)	933 (33,54)	208 (30.87)		
DPA (g), mean (S.E.)	0.02 (0.00)	0.02 (0.00)	0.02 (0.00)	t = 3.85	< 0.001
$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}$		()		$v^2 = 14.359$	< 0.001
<0.01	1.006 (29.54)	761 (28.05)	245 (38.80)	λ Πισον	
0.01-0.02	1,191 (36.86)	1.003 (37.66)	188 (31 83)		
>0.02	1,074 (33.61)	906 (34 29)	168 (29 37)		
AIA(g) mean (SF)	1 71 (0.04)	1 75 (0.04)	1 44 (0 07)	t = 4.11	<0.001
ALA (g) , $n(\%)$	1.71 (0.01)	1.75 (0.01)	1.11(0.07)	$v^2 = 18.311$	<0.001
<1.00	1 147 (33 00)	877 (31.48)	270 (42 40)	λ = 10.511	(0.001
1 00-1 83	1,090 (33.88)	895 (33.86)	195 (33 97)		
>1.83	1,034 (33,13)	898 (34.65)	136 (23.63)		
SDA(g) mean (SE)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	t = 1.82	0.072
SDA(g), mean (0,1.)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	$v^2 = 6.204$	0.045
0	1 723 (50 95)	1 361 (49 86)	362 (57 70)	χ = 0.204	0.045
0_0.003	636 (21.17)	542 (21.66)	94 (18 10)		
>0.003	012 (27.88)	767 (28.48)	145 (24 20)		
$\sum 0.005$	15 89 (0.25)	16 29 (0.28)	13 35 (0.40)	t = 6.10	<0.001
Omega-6 fatty acid (g), incar (5.2.)	15.09 (0.25)	10.29 (0.20)	15.55 (0.40)	r = 0.10	<0.001
<10.12	1 154 (32 86)	887 (31 34)	267 (42.29)	χ = 24.014	<0.001
10.12	1,134 (32.80)	894 (34.05)	105 (34 58)		
10.12-10.04	1,039 (34.12)	899 (34.61)	139 (34.38)		
\geq 10.04	1,028 (33.02)	16 16 (0.29)	12 22 (0 40)	4 (12	<0.001
Linoleic acid (g), mean (S.E.)	15.75 (0.25)	10.10 (0.28)	13.22 (0.40)	l = 0.12	<0.001
	1 155 (22.00)	007 (21 22)	2(0 (42 (5)	$\chi = 25.510$	<0.001
<10.04 10.04 17.80	1,155 (32.90)	087 (31.33)	208 (42.05)		
> 17.00	1,007 (34.08)	073 (34.00)	194 (34.23)		
$\geq 1/.89$	1,029 (33.02)	0.14 (0.00)	139 (23.12)	4 104	0.070
Arachidonic acid (g), mean (S.E.)	0.14 (0.00)	0.14 (0.00)	0.12 (0.01)	t = 1.84	0.059
Arachidonic acid (g), n (%)	1.004 (22.22)		202 (27 71)	$\chi^2 = 5.113$	0.078
<0.0/	1,094 (32.93)	8/2 (32.16)	222 (37.71)		
0.0/-0.15	1,080 (33.96)	881 (33.96)	199 (33.96)		
<u>≥0.15</u>	1,097 (33.11)	917 (33.88)	180 (28.32)		0.577
Follow-up duration (month), mean (S.E.)	75.90 (1.83)	77.38 (1.98)	66.67 (3.30)	t = 3.08	0.003

PIR, poverty to income ratio; CVD, cardiovascular disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; ALA, alpha-linolenic acid; SDA, stearidonic acid; S.E., standard error.

TABLE 2	Potential	variables	associated	with t	the risk	of all-c	ause mo	rtality
in OA pat	tients.							

Variables HR (95% CI) р 1.08 (1.07-1.10) < 0.001 Age Gender Male Ref Female 0.78 (0.61-0.99) 0.048 Race White Ref Black 0.90 (0.71-1.14) 0.375 Other 0.77 (0.58-1.02) 0.073 Education status High school and below Ref Above high school 0.73 (0.60-0.90) 0.003 PIR ≤1.3 Ref 1.3-1.85 1.10 (0.80-1.52) 0.536 >1.85 0.65 (0.52-0.82) < 0.001 Marital status Married Ref 3.23 (2.47-4.24) Widowed < 0.001 Divorced 1.34 (0.94-1.91) 0.100 Separated 1.23 (0.63-2.38) 0.539 Never married 0.97 (0.55-1.71) 0.923 Living with partner 0.93 (0.41-2.08) 0.851 Smoking status No Ref Yes 1.31 (1.05-1.63) 0.016 Drinking status No Ref 0.83 (0.68-1.02) Yes 0.072 Physical activity (MET min/week) <450 Ref >450 0.42 (0.34-0.51) < 0.001 Duration of arthritis 1.02 (1.01-1.03) < 0.001 Osteoporosis Ref No 8.39 (3.12-22.57) < 0.001 Yes Unknown 1.50 (1.19-1.89) < 0.001 Fracture Ref No 1.31 (0.99-1.73) 0.055 Yes 1.07 (0.80-1.43) 0.626 Unknown Hypertension No Ref 2.88 (2.13-3.88) < 0.001 Yes Diabetes No Ref 1.94 (1.56-2.42) Yes < 0.001

(Continued)

*	~	n	

Dyslipidemia		
No	Ref	
Yes	0.99 (0.79–1.23)	0.908
CVD		
No	Ref	
Yes	2.52 (1.92-3.32)	<0.001
CKD		
No	Ref	
Yes	4.30 (3.32-5.58)	<0.001
Unknown	1.64 (1.09–2.47)	0.017
Cancer		
No	Ref	
Yes	1.67 (1.29–2.15)	<0.001
BMI		
<25	Ref	
25-30	0.82 (0.65-1.05)	0.120
≥30	0.85 (0.65-1.11)	0.233
Energy	0.84 (0.74-0.95)	0.005
White blood cell count	1.03 (1.01–1.06)	0.007
Uric acid	1.24 (1.10–1.40)	<0.001
Non-steroidal anti- inflammatory agents		
No	Ref	
Yes	0.58 (0.38–0.89)	0.013

HR (95% CI)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Variables

OA, osteoarthritis; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; PIR, poverty to income ratio; CVD, cardiovascular disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index.

omega-3 fatty acids was postulated for individuals with OA, owing to their recognized anti-inflammatory properties, which could be beneficial in the context of OA by effectively moderating pro-inflammatory markers and mitigating cartilage loss (11). There was evidence indicated that the resolvin D1 (RvD1), a derivatives of omega-3 fatty acids, might participate in the pathogenesis of OA (22). The anti-inflammatory properties of omega-3 fatty acids are well recognized, which could be advantageous in the context of OA for modulating pro-inflammatory markers and mitigating cartilage loss (23, 24). A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials revealed that the supplementation of omega-3 fatty acids has been proven effective in alleviating pain and enhancing joint function among patients with OA (25). The omega-3 fatty acids were reported to participate in certain mechanistic pathways involved in inflammation (26). The potential mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of omega-3 fatty acids on OA are multifactorial. As previously reported, joint-specific inflammation plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of OA (7), indicating that nutritional interventions incorporating anti-inflammatory nutrients may be beneficial. Omega-3 fatty acids are well-established for their potent antiinflammatory properties (27). The alteration of cell membrane fatty acid composition, induced by the consumption of foods or

TABLE 3 Association between UFAs with all-cause mortality in OA patients.

Variables	Mod	lel 1	Мос	lel 2
	HR (95% CI)	p	HR (95% CI)	p
MUFAs				
<19.09	Ref		Ref	
19.09-31.30	0.98 (0.77-1.25)	0.883	0.88 (0.68–1.14)	0.332
≥31.30	0.56 (0.41-0.76)	<0.001	0.48 (0.32–0.73)	<0.001
Hexadecenoic acid				
<0.63	Ref		Ref	
0.63-1.14	0.90 (0.70-1.15)	0.400	0.99 (0.76-1.29)	0.935
≥1.14	0.69 (0.52–0.93)	0.017	0.75 (0.53-1.07)	0.116
Octadecenoic acid				
<17.73	Ref		Ref	
17.73–29.14	0.96 (0.74–1.23)	0.735	0.87 (0.67–1.12)	0.271
≥29.14	0.57 (0.42-0.77)	<0.001	0.50 (0.34-0.72)	<0.001
Eicosenoic acid				
<0.15	Ref		Ref	
0.15-0.30	0.70 (0.55-0.89)	0.005	0.70 (0.55–0.90)	0.005
≥0.30	0.64 (0.49–0.83)	0.001	0.62 (0.46-0.84)	0.003
Docosenoic acid				
0	Ref		Ref	
0-0.013	0.93 (0.71-1.22)	0.585	0.89 (0.68–1.17)	0.407
≥0.013	0.76 (0.58–0.99)	0.048	0.77 (0.58–1.02)	0.072
PUFAs				
<11.64	Ref		Ref	
11.64–20.33	0.80 (0.63–1.01)	0.061	0.82 (0.63–1.07)	0.137
≥20.33	0.67 (0.53–0.84)	<0.001	0.72 (0.54–0.96)	0.025
Omega-3 fatty acid				
<1.07	Ref		Ref	
1.07-1.98	0.90 (0.69–1.17)	0.409	0.83 (0.65–1.07)	0.147
≥1.98	0.61 (0.47-0.79)	<0.001	0.60 (0.45-0.81)	<0.001
EPA + DHA				
<0.01	Ref		Ref	
0.01-0.04	1.07 (0.81–1.41)	0.638	1.20 (0.92–1.58)	0.180
≥0.04	0.91 (0.70–1.19)	0.489	0.99 (0.77–1.28)	0.973
DPA				
<0.01	Ref		Ref	
0.01-0.02	0.89 (0.67–1.20)	0.450	1.05 (0.80–1.39)	0.710
≥0.02	0.91 (0.70–1.18)	0.470	1.10 (0.87–1.40)	0.402
ALA				
<1.00	Ref		Ref	
1.00-1.83	0.84 (0.64–1.10)	0.205	0.75 (0.59–0.96)	0.024
≥1.83	0.66 (0.51–0.86)	0.002	0.65 (0.46-0.92)	0.017
SDA				
0	Ref		Ref	
0-0.003	0.89 (0.65–1.20)	0.435	1.05 (0.77–1.43)	0.735

(Continued)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Variables	Model 1		Model 2		
	HR (95% CI)	p	HR (95% CI)	p	
≥0.003	0.78 (0.59–1.02)	0.071	0.90 (0.69–1.19)	0.466	
Omega-6 fatty acid					
<10.12	Ref		Ref		
10.12-18.04	0.82 (0.64–1.06)	0.130	0.83 (0.63–1.10)	0.198	
≥18.04	0.64 (0.50-0.81)	<0.001	0.68 (0.51-0.92)	0.012	
Linoleic acid					
<10.04	Ref		Ref		
10.04–17.89	0.81 (0.63–1.04)	0.092	0.80 (0.61-1.06)	0.117	
≥17.89	0.63 (0.49-0.80)	<0.001	0.67 (0.50-0.90)	0.008	
Arachidonic acid					
<0.07	Ref		Ref		
0.07-0.15	0.94 (0.71-1.25)	0.686	1.01 (0.75–1.36)	0.949	
≥0.15	0.91 (0.71–1.17)	0.447	1.02 (0.78–1.33)	0.884	

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; ALA, alpha-linolenic acid; SDA, stearidonic acid. Model 1: crude model. Model 2 adjusting for age, gender, education, PIR, marital status, smoking status, physical activity, duration of arthritis, osteoporosis, hypertension, diabetes, CVD, CKD, cancer, energy, WBC uric acid, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents (6, 16, 17).

	ċ	1 2	3 0	1	2	
≥0.013	0.71(0.36-1.37)		0.59(0.27-1.28)			
0-0.013	0.96(0.46-2.04)	·	0.90(0.66-1.23)			
0	Ref	•	Ref	•		
Docosenoic acid						
≥0.30	0.84(0.45-1.58)	— • — •	0.58(0.41-0.82)	⊨⊶		
0.15-0.30	0.75(0.36-1.57)		0.75(0.59-0.96)	⊷		
<0.15	Ref	•	Ref	•		
Eicosenoic acid						
≥29.14	0.59(0.27-1.28)	—	0.56(0.36-0.87)	⊷		
17.73-29.14	1.10(0.60-2.05)	·	0.95(0.73-1.25)			
<17.73	Ref	4	Ref	+		
Octadecenoic acid						
≥1.14	1.05(0.45-2.42)	·	0.65(0.47-0.91)	••••		
0.63-1.14	1.25(0.62-2.52)	→	0.96(0.73-1.28)	—		
<0.63	Ref	+	Ref	+		
Hexadecenoic acid			(
≥31.30	0.56(0.26-1.21)		0.53(0.33-0.86)			
19.09-31.30	1.25(0.68-2.27)		0.93(0.70-1.24)	—		
<19.09	Ref	÷	Ref	\$		

supplements rich in omega-3 fatty acids, appears to play a crucial role in the anti-inflammatory effects attributed to omega-3 fatty acids (26). The omega-3 fatty acids were reported to participate in certain mechanistic pathways involved in inflammation (25). The alteration of cell membrane fatty acid composition, induced by the consumption of foods or supplements rich in omega-3 fatty acids, appears to play a crucial role in the anti-inflammatory effects attributed to omega-3 fatty acids (26). Omega-3 fatty acids exert anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting inflammatory markers such as interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β) and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS). Additionally, they suppress the expression of metalloproteinase 13, inhibit chondrocyte apoptosis, and restrain

/ariable	HR (95% CI)	Age<65	HR (95% CI)	Age≥65
Polyunsaturated fatty a	cid	1		
<11.64	Ref	+	Ref	•
11.64-20.33	1.13(0.55-2.31)		0.83(0.64-1.07) 🛏 1
≥20.33	0.92(0.47-1.78)	⊢	0.81(0.58-1.15) +=1
omega-3 fatty acid				
<1.07	Ref	÷	Ref	+
1.07-1.98	1.02(0.52-2.02)	H	0.82(0.64-1.05) 🛏
≥1.98	0.54(0.28-1.06)	⊢−−−− 1	0.73(0.52-1.03) +
EPA+DHA				
<0.01	Ref		Ref	•
0.01-0.04	1.59(0.89-2.83)		1.14(0.85-1.54) 📭
≥0.04	1.21(0.63-2.33)		0.97(0.71-1.31) 🛏
OPA				
<0.01	Ref		Ref	•
0.01-0.02	1.51(0.74-3.08)		→ 0.95(0.72-1.27) 🖂
≥0.02	1.60(0.93-2.75)		→ 0.99(0.77-1.3)	, here a
ALA				
<1.00	Ref		Ref	
1.00-1.83	0.87(0.44-1.73)		0.79(0.62-1.01) •
≥1 83	0.71(0.34 - 1.49)		0 77(0 54-1 12	
SDA	,			,
0	Ref		Ref	
0-0.003	0.76(0.37 - 1.59)		1.20(0.85-1.7)	
≥0.003	0.69(0.36-1.32)		0.98(0.74-1.29	
omega-6 fatty acid	0.00(0.00 1.02)		,	,
<10.12	Ref		Ref	
10 12-18 04	0.98(0.46-2.08)		0 89(0 68-1 16	
≥18.04	0.77(0.38 - 1.56)		0.80(0.56-1.15	
inoleic acid	0.17(0.00 1.00)		() () () () () () () () () () () () () (,
<10.04	Ref		Ref	4
10.04-17.89	0.92(0.45-1.88)		0.86(0.66-1.12)
>17 89	0.73(0.36-1.45)		0 80(0 56-1 14)
Arachidonic acid	0.70(0.00 1.10)		0.00(0.00 1.11	,
<0.07	Ref		Ref	
0 07-0 15	1 15(0 64-2 06)		1 01(0 73-1 38	
>0.15	1 19(0 58-2 47)		0.95(0.68-1.34	
-0.10	1.10(0.00 2.47)		0.00(0.00 1.04	
	() 1 2	3	0 1 2

bone remodeling and angiogenesis within the osteochondral unit (28). The supplementation form of ALA significantly increased the content of bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, which was associated with bone health (29). A Mendelian randomization study indicated that there was causal relationship between PUFAs and OA susceptibility, and offered a novel insight that high omega-6 fatty acids might reduce the risk of knee OA and hip OA (30). These results were allied with the findings in our study, which delineated that PUFAs \geq 20.33, omega-3 fatty acid \geq 1.98, ALA \geq 1, omega-6 fatty acid \geq 18.04 and inoleic acid \geq 17.89 were correlated with decreased risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients. High PUFAs including omega-3 fatty acid ALA omega-6 fatty acid and inoleic acid were potentially associated with the prognosis of OA patients. The causal associations still require validation in future studies.

Our study has a few notable advantages. This is the first study to examine the association between the intake of UFAs and the risk of all-cause mortality in patients with OA. The samples of this study was obtained from the NHANES database through multistage complex sampling, which represented the local population. The sample size was large and the follow-up time was long to ensure a sufficient number of outcome events. These results underscore the importance of maintaining the levels of UFAs in OA patients. Dietary recommendations advocating the role of UFAs and dietary guidelines should carefully consider the health effects of recommendations for UFAs in OA patients. The first limitation of this study was that this was a retrospective cohort study, and recall bias might exist. Second, due to the limitation of the NHANES database, to distinguish patients with OA at different sites was not possible. The association between UFAs intake and

Variable	HR (95% CI)	Gender:Male	HR (95% CI)	Gender:Female
Monounsaturated fatty	acid			
<19.09	Ref	•	Ref	•
19.09-31.30	1.01 (0.63-1.60)		0.85 (0.59-1.24)	
≥31.30	0.63 (0.36-1.11)	H	0.38 (0.23-0.64)	⊷
Hexadecenoic acid				
<0.63	Ref	•	Ref	÷
0.63-1.14	1.16 (0.66-2.05)	⊢	0.95 (0.66-1.36)	⊢ ⊸•
≥1.14	0.84 (0.45-1.55)		0.68 (0.45-1.04)	 i
Octadecenoic acid				
<17.73	Ref	•	Ref	•
17.73-29.14	1.01 (0.62-1.62)		0.82 (0.56-1.21)	
≥29.14	0.68 (0.39-1.16)		0.39 (0.24-0.63)	HHH
Eicosenoic acid				
<0.15	Ref	•	Ref	•
0.15-0.30	0.72 (0.43-1.21)	—	0.72 (0.50-1.03)	
≥0.30	0.62 (0.37-1.04)		0.58 (0.40-0.84)	→ →
Docosenoic acid				
0	Ref	+	Ref	÷
0-0.013	1.06 (0.63-1.76)		0.86 (0.62-1.19)	
≥0.013	0.89 (0.54-1.46)		0.74 (0.56-0.99)	H
		1		
	0	1 2	3 () 1 2

Forest plot showing the associations between MUFAs with all-cause mortality in OA patients in different gender groups.

Variable	HR (95% CI)	Gender:Male	HR (95% CI)	Gender:Female
Polyunsaturated fatt	y acid			1
<11.64	Ref	•	Ref	• •
11.64-20.33	0.82 (0.51-1.31)		0.81 (0.56-1.17)	
≥20.33	0.86 (0.50-1.47)		0.59 (0.39-0.90)	H+++1
omega-3 fatty acid				
<1.07	Ref	•	Ref	÷
1.07-1.98	0.78 (0.49-1.22)		0.90 (0.65-1.24)	
≥1.98	0.71 (0.45-1.13)	H	0.50 (0.33-0.76)	H=== 1
EPA+DHA				
<0.01	Ref		Ref	
0.01-0.04	1.31 (0.79-2.18)	·	1.08 (0.74-1.57)	
≥0.04	1.26 (0.83-1.90)		0.76 (0.54-1.08)	
DPA				
<0.01	Ref		Ref	
0.01-0.02	1 30 (0 81-2 07)		0.96 (0.73=1.26)	
>0.02	1 22 (0 86-1 72)		0.99 (0.65-1.52)	
AL A	1.22 (0.00-1.72)		0.33 (0.03-1.32)	
<1.00	Dof	-	Dof	
1.00-1.92	0.61 (0.28-0.06)		0.97 (0.62-1.02)	
1.00-1.05	0.01 (0.30-0.96)		0.07 (0.03-1.22)	
21.83	0.68 (0.44-1.05)		0.62 (0.36-1.08)	
SDA	D .(
0	Rei		Ref	
0-0.003	0.71 (0.40-1.27)		1.30 (0.89-1.90)	h
≥0.003	0.92 (0.59-1.44)		0.78 (0.54-1.11)	H
omega-6 fatty acid				
<10.12	Ref		Ref	1
10.12-18.04	0.82 (0.51-1.33)		0.82 (0.56-1.21)	
≥18.04	0.81 (0.47-1.42)		0.57 (0.37-0.87)	H-1
Linoleic acid				
<10.04	Ref	•	Ref	•
10.04-17.89	0.75 (0.46-1.23)	H	0.82 (0.56-1.20)	H
≥17.89	0.78 (0.45-1.36)		0.55 (0.36-0.84)	H
Arachidonic acid				
<0.07	Ref	•	Ref	•
0.07-0.15	0.96 (0.62-1.51)	H	1.06 (0.72-1.56)	H
≥0.15	1.02 (0.64-1.60)		0.97 (0.66-1.42)	
				1 2
	v	1 4	· ·	1 2

FIGURE 5

Forest plot showing the associations between PUFAs with all-cause mortality in OA patients in different gender groups.

the risk of death in patients with OA at different sites needs to be further studied.

Conclusion

The associations of MUFAs and PUFAs intake with the risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients were investigated in the present study. The results depicted that total MUFAs and PUFAs, octadecenoic acid, eicosenoic acid, omega-3 fatty acid, ALA, omega-6 fatty acid and linoleic acid were correlated with decreased risk of all-cause mortality in OA patients. The finding might suggest the importance of specific UFAs supplement in OA patients.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: NHANES database, https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/ nhanes/.

Ethics statement

The requirement of ethical approval for the studies involving humans was waived by the Fourth Affiliated Hospital to Soochow University (Suzhou Dushu Lake Hospital). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

TL: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZT: Data

References

1. Salman LA, Ahmed G, Dakin SG, Kendrick B, Price A. Osteoarthritis: a narrative review of molecular approaches to disease management. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2023) 25:27. doi: 10.1186/s13075-023-03006-w

2. Jin Z, Wang D, Zhang H, Liang J, Feng X, Zhao J, et al. Incidence trend of five common musculoskeletal disorders from 1990 to 2017 at the global, regional and national level: results from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2020) 79:1014–22. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217050

3. Constantino de Campos G, Mundi R, Whittington C, Toutounji MJ, Ngai W, Sheehan B. Osteoarthritis, mobility-related comorbidities and mortality: an overview of meta-analyses. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis.* (2020) 12:1759720x20981219. doi: 10.1177/1759720x20981219

4. Nüesch E, Dieppe P, Reichenbach S, Williams S, Iff S, Jüni P. All cause and disease specific mortality in patients with knee or hip osteoarthritis: population based cohort study. *BMJ*. (2011) 342:d1165. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d1165

5. Liu R, Kwok WY, Vliet Vlieland TP, Kroon HM, Meulenbelt I, Houwing-Duistermaat JJ, et al. Mortality in osteoarthritis patients. *Scand J Rheumatol.* (2015) 44:70–3. doi: 10.3109/03009742.2014.922213

6. Healey EL, Afolabi EK, Lewis M, Edwards JJ, Jordan KP, Finney A, et al. Uptake of the NICE osteoarthritis guidelines in primary care: a survey of older adults with joint pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. (2018) 19:295. doi: 10.1186/s12891-018-2196-2

7. Stannus O, Jones G, Cicuttini F, Parameswaran V, Quinn S, Burgess J, et al. Circulating levels of IL-6 and $TNF-\alpha$ are associated with knee radiographic osteoarthritis

curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. SL: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. WJ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. ML: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2025.1454431/ full#supplementary-material

and knee cartilage loss in older adults. Osteoarthr Cartil. (2010) 18:1441-7. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2010.08.016

8. Marchev AS, Dimitrova PA, Burns AJ, Kostov RV, Dinkova-Kostova AT, Georgiev MI. Oxidative stress and chronic inflammation in osteoarthritis: can Nrf2 counteract these partners in crime? *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2017) 1401:114–35. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13407

9. Knights AJ, Redding SJ, Maerz T. Inflammation in osteoarthritis: the latest progress and ongoing challenges. *Curr Opin Rheumatol.* (2023) 35:128–34. doi: 10.1097/bor.000000 0000000923

10. Harwood JL. Polyunsaturated fatty acids: conversion to lipid mediators, roles in inflammatory diseases and dietary sources. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:8838. doi: 10.3390/ijms24108838

11. Cordingley DM, Cornish SM. Omega-3 fatty acids for the management of osteoarthritis: a narrative review. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:3362. doi: 10.3390/nu14163362

12. Lu B, Driban JB, Xu C, Lapane KL, McAlindon TE, Eaton CB. Dietary fat intake and radiographic progression of knee osteoarthritis: data from the osteoarthritis initiative. *Arthritis Care Res.* (2017) 69:368–75. doi: 10.1002/acr.22952

13. Linakis MW, Gustafson P, Allen BC, Bachand AM, van Landingham C, Keast DR, et al. Is the cholesterol-perfluoroalkyl substance association confounded by dietary fiber intake?: a Bayesian analysis of NHANES data with adjustment for measurement error in fiber intake. *Environ Health*. (2022) 21:114. doi: 10.1186/s12940-022-00923-2

14. Ahuja JK, Moshfegh AJ, Holden JM, Harris E. USDA food and nutrient databases provide the infrastructure for food and nutrition research, policy, and practice. *J Nutr.* (2013) 143:241S–9S. doi: 10.3945/jn.112.170043

15. Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Du M, White E, Giovannucci EL. Trends in dietary supplement use among us adults from 1999–2012. *JAMA*. (2016) 316:1464–74. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.14403

16. Liu T, Wang Y, Meng T, Ren Q, Shi H, Lin C. Association between cardiovascular health and all-cause mortality risk in patients with osteoarthritis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. (2024) 25:641. doi: 10.1186/s12891-024-07729-y

17. Lin Y, Zeng G, Sun Y. The joint effect of vitamin-D status and tobacco exposure on overweight and obesity in children. *Br J Nutr.* (2024) 132:1386–93. doi: 10.1017/S0007114524002071

18. Fang ZB, Wang GX, Cai GZ, Zhang PX, Liu DL, Chu SF, et al. Association between fatty acids intake and bone mineral density in adults aged 20–59: NHANES 2011–2018. *Front Nutr.* (2023) 10:1033195. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1033195

19. Matsumoto Y, Sugioka Y, Tada M, Okano T, Mamoto K, Inui K, et al. Monounsaturated fatty acids might be key factors in the Mediterranean diet that suppress rheumatoid arthritis disease activity: the tomorrow study. *Clin Nutr.* (2018) 37:675–80. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.02.011

20. Paunescu AC, Ayotte P, Dewailly E, Dodin S. Saturated and monounsaturated fatty acid status is associated with bone strength estimated by calcaneal ultrasonography in Inuit women from Nunavik (Canada): a cross-sectional study. *J Nutr Health Aging*. (2014) 18:663–71. doi: 10.1007/s12603-014-0498-0

21. Wang DD, Li Y, Chiuve SE, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Rimm EB, et al. Association of specific dietary fats with total and cause-specific mortality. *JAMA Intern Med.* (2016) 176:1134–45. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.2417

22. Benabdoune H, Rondon EP, Shi Q, Fernandes J, Ranger P, Fahmi H, et al. The role of resolvin D1 in the regulation of inflammatory and catabolic mediators in osteoarthritis. *Inflamm Res.* (2016) 65:635–45. doi: 10.1007/s00011-016-0946-x

23. Calder PC. Mechanisms of action of (n-3) fatty acids. J Nutr. (2012) 142:592s–9s. doi: 10.3945/jn.111.155259

24. Calder PC. Omega-3 fatty acids and inflammatory processes: from molecules to man. *Biochem Soc Trans.* (2017) 45:1105–15. doi: 10.1042/bst20160474

25. Deng W, Yi Z, Yin E, Lu R, You H, Yuan X. Effect of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids supplementation for patients with osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res.* (2023) 18:381. doi: 10.1186/s13018-023-03855-w

26. Surette ME. The science behind dietary omega-3 fatty acids. *CMAJ.* (2008) 178:177–80. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.071356

27. Richter CK, Bowen KJ, Mozaffarian D, Kris-Etherton PM, Skulas-Ray AC. Total longchain n-3 fatty acid intake and food sources in the United States compared to recommended intakes: NHANES 2003–2008. *Lipids*. (2017) 52:917–27. doi: 10.1007/s11745-017-4297-3

28. Phitak T, Boonmaleerat K, Pothacharoen P, Pruksakorn D, Kongtawelert P. Leptin alone and in combination with interleukin-1-beta induced cartilage degradation potentially inhibited by EPA and DHA. *Connect Tissue Res.* (2018) 59:316–31. doi: 10.1080/03008207.2017.1385605

29. Dou Y, Wang Y, Chen Z, Yu X, Ma D. Effect of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid on bone health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Food Sci Nutr.* (2022) 10:145–54. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.2655

30. Li X, Lu Z, Qi Y, Chen B, Li B. The role of polyunsaturated fatty acids in osteoarthritis: insights from a Mendelian randomization study. *Nutrients.* (2023) 15:4787. doi: 10.3390/nu15224787