Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ennio Polilli, Azienda USL di Pescara, Italy

REVIEWED BY Akash Batta, Dayanand Medical College and Hospital, India Haoxuan Zou, Chengdu Third People's Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Hanxu Zhuang ⊠ tangdd110@163.com

RECEIVED 28 November 2024 ACCEPTED 14 April 2025 PUBLISHED 09 May 2025

CITATION

Wang Z, Chen Z and Zhuang H (2025) Association between urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio and all-cause and cardiovascular-cause mortality among MASLD: NHANES 2001–2018. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1528732. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1528732

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Chen and Zhuang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio and all-cause and cardiovascular-cause mortality among MASLD: NHANES 2001–2018

Zhengjin Wang¹, Zhangxin Chen^{1,2} and Hanxu Zhuang^{3*}

¹Zhangzhou Health Vocational College, Zhangzhou, Fujian Province, China, ²Department of Spine Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, ³Department of Gastroenterology, Zhangzhou Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Zhangzhou, Fujian Province, China

Background: Urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) is an established biomarker for assessing kidney damage, but recent studies suggest it may also reflect broader health risks. This study aimed to investigate the association between UACR and all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD)-cause mortality in patients with metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD).

Methods: In this prospective cohort study, we included sample of 3,412 MASLD enrolled in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001–2018. The study population was divided into three different risk categories based on urinary UACR: low level (<4.67 mg/g), intermediate level (4.67–7.67 mg/g), and high level (7.68–30 mg/g). Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate the hazard ratios (HR) for the association between UACR level and both all-cause and CVD-cause mortality. Restricted cubic spline (RCS) curve analysis was employed to assess the non-linear association between UACR and mortality. Kaplan-Meier (KM) survival curves were used to evaluate survival rates across UACR groups.

Results: The study found that higher UACR levels, even within the normal range, were independently associated with increased risks of both all-cause and CVD-cause mortality. Each 1 mg/g increase in UACR was associated with a 4% higher risk of all-cause mortality (HR 1.04, 95% CI 1.03–1.05) and a 5% higher risk of cardiovascular mortality (HR 1.05, 95% CI 1.02–1.08). Compared with the low UACR group, high UACR both showed an increased all-cause mortality risk [HR, 2.69 (95% CI, 2.07–3.50)] and CVD-cause mortality risk [HR, 2.97 (95% CI, 1.76–4.99)]. RCS curve analysis revealed a non-linear positive correlation between UACR and both all-cause and CVD-cause mortality, identifying UACR thresholds of 7.467 mg/g for all-cause mortality and 7.195 mg/g for CVD-cause mortality. The KM survival curves confirmed that participants with lower UACR levels had higher survival rates.

Conclusion: Elevated UACR levels within the normal range, are associated with increased all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with MASLD. UACR may serve as a useful early biomarker for identifying individuals at higher

risk of mortality, supporting more proactive clinical interventions to manage MASLD-related risks.

KEYWORDS

UACR, MASLD, all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, NHANES

1 Introduction

Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) is a chronic liver disease characterized by hepatic fat accumulation and has now become a leading cause of chronic liver disease worldwide (1–3). The development of MASLD is closely associated with various metabolic disorders, including obesity, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia (1). Although classified as a hepatic disorder, MASLD is now recognized as a multisystem disorder with significant implications for cardiovascular, renal, and endocrine systems. Multiple studies have demonstrated that MASLD is a significant risk factor for chronic kidney disease (CKD) and is closely associated with elevated urinary albumin levels, suggesting a potential link between hepatic and renal metabolic dysfunction (4–8).

The urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) is a sensitive biomarker for assessing renal filtration function and quantifying albuminuria, demonstrating particular value in the early detection of microalbuminuria (9). In clinical practice, a UACR >30 mg/g is a key threshold, often indicating the presence of kidney damage, particularly in patients with diabetes. Numerous researches have demonstrated that elevated UACR levels are associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and serve as important predictors of cardiovascular mortality in individuals with hypertension and diabetes (10, 11). Interestingly, even high-normal UACR levels within the reference range have been linked to a significantly higher risk of all-cause mortality (12). Given that both metabolic MASLD and CKD are established risk factors for CVD and cardiovascular death (13, 14), and considering that MASLD itself may contribute to renal impairment. Therefore, monitoring UACR may offer important clinical value for health assessment in patients with MASLD. However, the role of UACR in assessing mortality risk among MASLD patients remains poorly understood, particularly whether elevated levels of UACR in the normal range also increase the risk of death.

This study analyzed data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) and the National Death Index (NDI) to investigate whether UACR could serve as a biomarker for assessing cardiovascular disease risk and all-cause mortality in patients with MASLD.

2 Methods

2.1 Data source and study population

The NHANES is a nationally representative, cross-sectional study designed to evaluate the health and nutritional status of the US population. It employs a standardized protocol integrating detailed household interviews (capturing demographic, dietary, and health-related data), comprehensive physical examinations in mobile examination centers (MECs), and advanced laboratory testing of biological specimens. The analysis utilized data collected across nine survey cycles between 2001 and 2018. We excluded specific groups from our analysis to ensure a focused study population: (1) Age <40 years; (2) individuals with liver cancer; (3) individuals with high alcohol intake, defined as more than three alcoholic beverages per day for men and more than two per day for women; (4) individuals diagnosed with hepatitis B or C, identified by positive hepatitis B surface antigen or hepatitis C antibody/HCV RNA tests; and (5) individuals with ferritin saturation levels exceeding 50%. (6) Lack of information to evaluate MASLD; (7) individuals with UACR >30. Figure 1 provides a visual representation of the patient selection process.

2.2 Definition of MASLD

The diagnosis of MASLD requires both evidence of hepatic steatosis and at least one metabolic risk factor (e.g., obesity, diabetes, or metabolic syndrome components), while excluding other liver diseases and excessive alcohol consumption. Liver biopsy is the gold standard for diagnosing hepatic steatosis, but due to its limitations such as invasiveness, non-invasive methods are preferred in clinical practice (15, 16). Currently, Controlled attenuation parameter techniques based on vibration controlled transient elastography have become an important alternative diagnostic tool due to their high accuracy and ability to simultaneously assess fibrosis. However, this study was limited by the availability of liver ultrasound data in most survey cycles of NHANES, so the US Fatty Liver Index (USFLI) was used to define MASLD. This methodological choice was based on previous validation studies confirming that a USFLI score \geq 30 as a cutoff has reasonable diagnostic efficacy (AUROC of 0.80-0.85 compared to MRI-confirmed steatosis) (17, 18).

2.3 Definition of UACR

Urinary albumin and creatinine levels were used to calculate the UACR. To further investigate potential differences within the normal range of UACR levels, we categorized participants with UACR <30 mg/g into three subgroups based on previous studies (12): low (<4.67 mg/g), intermediate (4.67–7.67 mg/g), and high (7.68–30 mg/g) level groups.



2.4 Ascertainment of mortality

The primary endpoints of this study were all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD)-specific mortality, as determined by records from the National Death Index (NDI) up to December 31, 2019. All-cause mortality includes deaths from heart disease, malignant neoplasms, nephritis, nephrotic syndrome and nephrosis, Alzheimer's disease, diabetes mellitus, chronic lower respiratory diseases, influenza and pneumonia, cerebrovascular diseases, accidents (unintentional injuries), and other causes. CVD-cause mortality as deaths due to cardiovascular disease. Further details on these classifications are provided in a previous study (19).

2.5 Assessment of covariates

In this study, we adjusted for potential confounders that could influence the outcomes. Demographic characteristics were collected through standardized questionnaires during household interviews, including age, gender, race (Mexican American, White, Black, and other races), poverty status, education level (below high school, high school, and above high school), smoking status and alcohol assumption. Relevant laboratory measures, including liver function tests (ALT, AST) blood albumin, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), were obtained through mobile testing centers. Additionally, we collected information on the presence of hypertension (yes/no), diabetes (yes/no), and chronic kidney disease (yes/no), and CVD (yes/no; The above detailed definitions are attached in the Supplementary file).

2.6 Statistical analysis

This study included 3,412 participants, who were categorized into three groups based on UACR. For variables with missing values, we conducted multiple imputations based on "mice" package. Descriptive statistics were presented for both categorical and continuous variables. For continuous variables, the one-way analysis of variance was used to compare multiple samples. Categorical data were expressed as frequencies (*n*, %) and analyzed using the Chi-square test, with P < 0.05 considered statistically significant. Multiple Cox proportional hazards regression models

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the participants.

Variable	Total, n = 3,412	Low, n = 726	Intermediate, n = 1,096	High, n = 1,590	P-value
Age (year)	59.58 (0.29)	56.03 (0.48)	58.89 (0.45)	62.48 (0.47)	<0.0001
BMI (kg/m²)	33.61 (0.17)	33.44 (0.32)	33.70 (0.27)	33.70 (0.27) 33.65 (0.27)	
eGFR (mL/min/1.73)	82.78 (0.43)	83.38 (0.76)	84.03 (0.70)	81.36 (0.78)	0.031
Alt (IU/L)	28.73 (0.36)	30.29 (0.69)	28.96 (0.62)	27.51 (0.55)	0.009
Ast (IU/L)	25.94 (0.24)	26.00 (0.51)	26.01 (0.41)	25.84 (0.37)	0.943
PIR	3.12 (0.05)	3.47 (0.09)	3.15 (0.07)	2.87 (0.06)	< 0.0001
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)	15.45 (0.14)	15.27 (0.24)	15.01 (0.24)	15.93 (0.28)	0.051
Blood Albumin (g/dL)	4.16 (0.01)	4.19 (0.02)	4.14 (0.01)	4.17 (0.01)	0.125
SII	566.64 (6.89)	533.30 (12.93)	560.40 (9.86)	593.63 (11.48)	0.002
Sex (%)					< 0.0001
Female	1,552 (42.56)	208 (24.53)	520 (44.25)	824 (53.05)	
Male	1,860 (57.44)	518 (75.47)	576 (55.75)	766 (46.95)	
Race (%)					0.074
White	1,681 (76.08)	380 (79.39)	537 (75.74)	764 (74.20)	
Black	400 (5.62)	103 (5.80)	121 (5.01)	176 (6.01)	
Mexican	739 (7.93)	138 (6.28)	236 (8.24)	365 (8.76)	
Other	592 (10.36)	105 (8.53)	202 (11.01)	285 (11.04)	
Education (%)					< 0.0001
Less than high school	620 (8.64)	98 (6.16)	184 (7.90)	338 (10.87)	
High school	1,277 (36.52)	257 (31.34)	408 (36.44)	612 (40.00)	
Some college or AA degree	1,515 (54.84)	371 (62.50)	504 (55.66)	640 (49.13)	
Smoking (%)					0.866
Former	1,194 (36.07)	248 (35.48)	363 (35.65)	583 (36.80)	
Never	1,792 (51.62)	379 (53.12)	593 (51.79)	820 (50.49)	
Now	426 (12.31)	99 (11.39)	140 (12.56)	187 (12.71)	
Hypertension (%)					< 0.0001
No	1,151 (35.71)	312 (44.00)	390 (35.48)	449 (30.44)	
Yes	2,261 (64.29)	414 (56.00)	706 (64.52)	1,141 (69.56)	
Diabetes (%)					< 0.0001
No	1,889 (62.31)	495 (73.55)	642 (64.47)	752 (53.18)	
Yes	1,523 (37.69)	231 (26.45)	454 (35.53)	838 (46.82)	
CVD (%)					< 0.001
No	2,696 (80.93)	606 (86.47)	880 (81.61)	1,210 (76.74)	
Yes	716 (19.07)	120 (13.53)	216 (18.39)	380 (23.26)	
Survival status (%)					< 0.0001
Alive	2,692 (84.07)	643 (92.57)	915 (86.02)	1,134 (76.89)	
Death	720 (15.93)	83 (7.43)	181 (13.98)	456 (23.11)	

BMI, Body Mass Index; PIR, poverty income ratio; SII, systemic immune inflammatory index; CVD, cardiovascular disease.

were used to examine the association between UACR and the risk of all-cause mortality and CVD-cause mortality across three analytical models. Crude Model was adjusted for age,

gender, ethnicity, poverty, Model 1 adjusted for, blood urea nitrogen, albumin eGFR, SII Alt, and Ast on the basis of Crude Model., and Model 2 included additional variables, including

TABLE 2 Association of UACR with the risk of death in MASLD.

	Crude mo	del	Model 1		Model 2					
	95%CI	Р	HR (95%CI)	Р	HR (95%CI)	Р				
All cause death										
UACR	1.05 (1.03, 1.06)	< 0.0001	1.05 (1.03, 1.06)	< 0.0001	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)	< 0.0001				
Low	ref		ref		ref					
Intermediate	1.77 (1.28, 2.43)	< 0.001	1.81 (1.32, 2.47)	< 0.001	1.81 (1.32, 2.48)	< 0.001				
High	2.66 (2.01, 3.51)	< 0.0001	2.80 (2.13, 3.68)	< 0.0001	2.69 (2.07, 3.50)	< 0.0001				
<i>p</i> for trend		< 0.01		< 0.01		< 0.01				
CVD-cause death										
UACR	1.05 (1.03, 1.08)	< 0.0001	1.06 (1.03, 1.08)	< 0.0001	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	< 0.001				
Low	ref		ref		ref					
Intermediate	2.12 (1.20, 3.75)	0.01	2.13 (1.23, 3.67)	0.01	2.02 (1.14, 3.57)	0.02				
High	2.89 (1.68, 4.96)	< 0.001	3.17 (1.87, 5.36)	< 0.0001	2.97 (1.76, 4.99)	< 0.0001				
<i>p</i> for trend		< 0.05		< 0.05		< 0.05				

Crude Model: adjusted for age, gender, ethnicity, poverty.

Model 1: adjusted for, blood urea nitrogen, albumin eGFR, SII Alt, and Ast on the basis of Crude Model.

Model 2: Additionally adjust for smoking status, hypertension, and diabetes, and CVD on the basis of Model 1.

UACR, Urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; CVD, cardiovascular disease; SII, systemic immune inflammatory index; MASLD, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease.



smoking status, history of hypertension, and diabetes, and CVD. Hazard ratios (HR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. Kaplan-Meier (KM) survival analysis was employed to compare all-cause and cardiovascular mortality risks across the three UACR. Additionally, a restricted cubic spline (RCS) regression model was employed to assess the linear relationship between continuous UACR levels and risk of death. Subgroup analyses were conducted, and interactions within subgroups were evaluated using the likelihood ratio test. Analyses were conducted using NHANES-recommended sampling weights to account for the complex survey design. Statistical analysis was performed using R Statistical Software (Version 4.3.3), with statistical significance defined as a P-value <0.05. The study was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Ethics Review Board, and all participants provided informed consent.

3 Result

3.1 Baseline characteristics of the participants

The baseline characteristics of the cohort, classified according to UACR levels, are shown in Table 1. The average age of the participants was 59.58 years, with 57.44% being male and 76.08% identifying as White. The prevalence of hypertension and diabetes was 64.29% and 37.69%, respectively. Compared to the low level of UACR, participants with the high level of UACR were older, had higher SII levels, and were more likely to have a history of hypertension, diabetes, and CVD. In contrast, the high level of UACR group had lower educational levels, higher poverty rates. There were no significant differences in BMI, Ast, blood urea nitrogen, blood albumin and smoking among the three groups. A



total of 720 (15.93%) deaths occurred during the follow-up period, 238 of which were attributed to CVD. Participants in the group with high UACR levels had a higher mortality rate than those in the group with low UACR levels.

3.2 Association of UACR level with the risk of all-cause death in patients with MASLD

Table 2 presents the relationship between UACR and Risk of death in patients with MASLD. In fully adjusted multivariable Cox models, each 1 mg/g increment in UACR was associated with a statistically significant 4% increase in all-cause mortality risk (HR 1.04, 95% CI 1.03-1.05). When categorized by UACR risk levels and in the fully adjusted model (Model 3), participants with intermediate level of UACR showed 81% higher mortality risk (HR 1.81, 95% CI 1.32,2.48; P < 0.001), and participants with high level of UACR showed 169% higher mortality risk (HR 2.69, 95% CI 2.07,3.50; P < 0.001), compared with the low level of UACR group. These findings were consistent across the three models (Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3). The KM curve further indicated that participants with low level of UACR had a survival advantage over those with higher UACR levels (Figure 2A). The RCS regression demonstrated a non-linear association between UACR and all-cause mortality, displaying a distinct inverted L-shaped pattern (Figure 3A). When the level of UACR >7.467, the risk of all-cause death was significantly increased.

3.3 Association of UACR with the risk of CVD-cause death in patients with MASLD

For CVD-cause mortality, a similar pattern was observed for relationship between UACR and CVD-cause death (Table 2). In

multivariable-adjusted models, each 1 mg/g increase in UACR was associated with a 5% higher risk of CVD-cause death (HR 1.05, 95% CI 1.02–1.08). When grouped by UACR risk levels in the fully adjusted model (Model 3), compared with the low level of UACR group, both the intermediate level of UACR group [HR, 2.02 (95% CI, 1.14, 3.57), P < 0.05] and high level of UACR group [HR, 2.97 (95% CI, 1.76, 4.99), P < 0.0001] had a significantly higher risk of CVD-cause death. The KM curve further indicated that participants with low level of UACR had a survival advantage over those with higher UACR levels (Figure 2B). The relationship between UACR and CVD-cause mortality was also non-linear and inverted L-shaped based on the RCS model (Figure 3B). When the level of UACR >7.195, the risk of CVD-cause death was significantly increased.

3.4 Subgroup analyses

Subgroup analyses assessing the stability of the association between UACR and mortality (including both all-cause and CVDcause deaths) are presented in Tables 3, 4. The trends in the effects of UACR on mortality were consistent with those observed in the overall cohort. No significant interactions were found between UACR and the risk of death across the stratified variables (all p-interactions > 0.05).

4 Discussion

In this prospective cohort study, we explored the association between UACR and both all-cause and CVD-cause mortality in patients with MASLD. The results indicated that higher UACR levels within the normal range, were independently associated with increased mortality in this population. The RCS curves revealed a non-linear, positive correlation between UACR and both all-cause and CVD-cause mortality in MASLD patients. These findings were

Character	Low	Intermediate	p	High	p	p for trend	<i>p</i> for interaction
Sex							
Female	ref	2.05 (1.37, 3.07)	< 0.001	4.46 (3.22, 6.16)	< 0.0001	< 0.001	
Male	ref	2.94 (1.61, 5.36)	< 0.001	5.02 (2.65, 9.52)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	
Race							0.115
White	ref	2.37 (1.62, 3.45)	< 0.0001	4.21 (3.04, 5.83)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	
Mexican	ref	1.02 (0.48, 2.15)	0.965	2.78 (1.42, 5.44)	0.003	0.002	
Black	ref	2.06 (0.97, 4.38)	0.061	3.60 (1.71, 7.60)	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Other	ref	0.59 (0.20, 1.68)	0.318	1.24 (0.47, 3.26)	0.660	0.238	
Education							0.143
High School	ref	1.76 (1.09, 2.84)	0.020	3.18 (2.18, 4.64)	< 0.0001	0.119	
Less than high school	ref	1.04 (0.57, 1.92)	0.892	2.11 (1.31, 3.40)	0.002	< 0.001	
Some college or AA degree	ref	2.82 (1.61, 4.93)	< 0.001	4.49 (2.57, 7.83)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	
Smoking							0.202
Former	ref	1.63 (1.02, 2.61)	0.041	4.13 (2.79, 6.11)	< 0.0001	0.276	
Now	ref	2.06 (0.97, 4.38)	0.060	3.08 (1.52, 6.21)	0.002	0.002	
Never	ref	2.77 (1.55, 4.93)	< 0.001	3.92 (2.32, 6.61)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	
Hypertension							0.724
No	ref	2.38 (1.27, 4.49)	0.007	3.83 (2.25, 6.51)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	
Yes	ref	1.88 (1.27, 2.79)	0.002	3.44 (2.45, 4.81)	< 0.0001	0.012	
Diabetes							
No	ref	2.01 (1.29, 3.14)	0.002	3.98 (2.72, 5.83)	< 0.0001	0.009	
Yes	ref	1.88 (1.24, 2.85)	0.003	2.73 (1.85, 4.05)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	
CVD							0.064
No	ref	2.58 (1.65, 4.03)	< 0.0001	3.97 (2.67, 5.93)	< 0.0001	< 0.001	
Yes	ref	1.10 (0.73, 1.67)	0.650	2.50 (1.63, 3.84)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	

TABLE 3 Subgroup analysis of the association between UACR level and all-cause mortality among patients with MASLD.

UACR, Urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; CVD, cardiovascular disease; MASLD, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease.

further confirmed by the KM curves. Our results suggest that UACR may serve as a valuable surrogate biomarker for clinical prognosis in the MASLD population.

Urinary protein excretion is a key biomarker for assessing kidney damage, with a UACR >30 mg/g typically indicating a significant risk of kidney injury. However, recent studies have shown that early signs of kidney and vascular damage may also be present in individuals with UACR levels within the normal range (20). Specifically, several studies in general populations have observed that individuals with higher UACR levels within the normal range exhibit significantly increased risks of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality (12, 21–23). This suggests that even if UACR does not exceed the normal threshold, higher levels may still be associated with adverse health outcomes. Our study supports this perspective by demonstrating that in patients with MASLD, those with higher UACR levels within the normal range have significantly increased risks of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. In addition, our findings suggest a non-linear relationship between UACR and both all-cause and CVD-cause mortality, with an inverted L-shaped pattern, and identified specific UACR thresholds associated with elevated mortality risks: a threshold of 7.467 mg/g for all-cause mortality and 7.195 mg/g for CVD-cause mortality. However, the exact mechanism by which UACR influences the association between MAFLD and CVD remains unclear. Firstly, elevated UACR reflects increased urinary albumin excretion, which not only serves as a biomarker for kidney disease but also indicates systemic endothelial dysfunction. This endothelial dysfunction is a critical early marker of microvascular damage, which is closely linked to the pathogenesis of CVD. It disrupts the balance of vasodilation and vasoconstriction, increases vascular permeability, and promotes inflammatory and thrombotic processes that contribute to atherosclerosis (24, 25). At the same time, it also means that the kidney's micro-vessels are damaged, leading to tubulointerstitial inflammation and fibrosis, which may further develop into CKD (26). The occurrence of CKD becoming

Character	Low	Intermediate	р	High	р	p for trend	<i>p</i> for interaction
Sex 0.971							
Female	ref	2.55 (1.26, 5.16)	0.009	4.92 (2.65, 9.13)	< 0.0001	0.008	
Male	ref	3.15 (1.03, 9.65)	0.045	5.77 (1.98, 16.78)	0.001	< 0.0001	
Race							0.606
White	ref	2.64 (1.42, 4.92)	0.002	4.20 (2.35, 7.51)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	
Mexican	ref	0.57 (0.15, 2.17)	0.410	2.50 (0.92, 6.75)	0.071	0.057	
Black	ref	2.46 (0.59, 10.36)	0.218	3.06 (0.79, 11.82)	0.105	0.097	
Other	ref	3.00 (0.72, 12.54)	0.132	7.06 (1.33, 37.55)	0.022	0.621	
Education							0.185
High school	ref	1.52 (0.66, 3.47)	0.324	2.42 (1.25, 4.71)	0.009	0.349	
Less than high school	ref	1.40 (0.46, 4.28)	0.558	3.11 (1.32, 7.30)	0.009	0.016	
Some college or AA degree	ref	5.47 (2.28, 13.12)	< 0.001	7.94 (2.95, 21.39)	< 0.0001	< 0.001	
Smoking							0.061
Former	ref	1.01 (0.51, 2.01)	0.977	2.94 (1.50, 5.75)	0.002	0.715	
Now	ref	3.54 (0.64, 19.67)	0.148	5.48 (1.01, 29.82)	0.049	0.037	
Never	ref	5.79 (2.16, 15.49)	< 0.001	6.90 (2.74, 17.41)	< 0.0001	0.469	
Hypertension							0.715
Yes	ref	2.59 (1.31, 5.10)	0.006	4.35 (2.33, 8.15)	< 0.0001	0.008	
No	ref	1.99 (0.70, 5.65)	0.195	2.42 (0.81, 7.23)	0.113	0.145	
Diabetes							
No	ref	2.11 (0.99, 4.48)	0.052	3.34 (1.76, 6.37)	< 0.001	0.074	
Yes	ref	2.88 (1.55, 5.38)	< 0.001	4.13 (2.22, 7.69)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	
CVD							0.864
No	ref	2.50 (1.08, 5.79)	0.032	3.82 (1.86, 7.85)	< 0.001	0.035	
Yes	ref	1.77 (0.93, 3.36)	0.082	3.08 (1.62, 5.85)	< 0.001	0.437	

TABLE 4 Subgroup analysis of the association between UACR level and CVD-cause mortality among patients with MASLD.

UACR, Urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; CVD, cardiovascular disease; MASLD, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease.

a key factor in the increased risk of CVD. Secondly, Zheng et al.'s research indicates that the SII is positively associated with urinary protein excretion in American adults, suggesting a significant association between UACR and systemic inflammatory response (27). This chronic inflammatory state may not only accelerate liver fibrosis and cirrhosis progression in MASLD but also increase the risk of CVD by exacerbating endothelial damage and atherosclerosis, thereby heightening overall mortality risk. Additionally, studies have shown that elevated UACR may serve as an early warning signal of vascular stiffness, which is significantly associated with an increased risk of thrombosis (28, 29). For MASLD patients, this heightened risk of thrombosis directly impacts the incidence of cardiovascular events and significantly increases the likelihood of cardiovascular diseaserelated mortality.

The subgroup analysis further strengthens the robustness of our results. However, some of the findings from the subgroup analysis are particularly interesting. The subgroup analysis revealed that male patients with MASLD face a higher death risk, which is consistent with recent evidence indicating that male patients with MASLD have high cardiovascular death risks (30, 31). This finding underscores the importance of considering gender differences in cardiovascular risk assessment, as males may be more susceptible to the negative cardiovascular outcomes associated with MASLD. In addition, our analysis showed that MASLD patients with preexisting hypertension, diabetes and CVD had a relatively low risk of death due to elevated UACR. This may be due to more aggressive management of these disorders in clinical practice. People with high blood pressure and diabetes are often closely monitored and treated with medications that reduce cardiovascular risk, which may mitigate adverse effects on cardiovascular health. Given its simplicity and ease of measurement in clinical settings, UACR can be incorporated into routine cardiovascular risk stratification frameworks as a criterion for identifying high-risk patients. For individuals with elevated UACR, more proactive interventions may be warranted, such as enhancing liver metabolic function and alleviating kidney burden, in order to reduce the incidence of cardiovascular diseases.

To our knowledge, this is the first analysis to evaluate the utility of UACR in assessing mortality risk among patients with MASLD. By focusing on this theme, our research provides valuable insights into the prognostic value of UACR as a biomarker for MASLD -related outcomes. However, the study also has several important limitations that warrant discussion. Due to the lack of standard imaging techniques, such as ultrasound or transient elastography, to diagnose hepatic steatosis and assess liver disease severity, we relied on the USFLI as a surrogate diagnostic tool. While USFLI is a validated marker, it has limitations in sensitivity and specificity compared to direct imaging methods, potentially introducing misclassification bias in the diagnosis of MASLD and its severity. Due to the stringent exclusion criteria applied in our study, a considerable number of participants were excluded. These criteria were necessary to ensure data quality and address confounding factors but may have introduced selection bias. Despite adjusting for multiple covariates, residual confounding by unmeasured factors (e.g., genetic predispositions, dietary habits, or physical activity) cannot be ruled out.

5 Conclusion

In summary, higher levels of UACR within the normal range are closely associated with poor prognosis in patients with MASLD. These findings underscore the importance of monitoring and managing UACR levels in MASLD patients, as well as the necessity of considering UACR in cardiovascular disease risk assessment.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by National Center for Health Statistics Ethics Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because The study was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Ethics Review Board, and all participants provided informed consent.

Author contributions

ZW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing -

original draft. ZC: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. HZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Resources, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Zhangzhou Natural Science Foundation projects in 2024 (ZZ2024J32), the role of which included design of the study and English editing. The role of which included design of the study and interpretation of data supported by Zhangzhou Health Vocational College scientific research project in 2024 (ZWYXJZ202403) and Fujian Province vocational college teachers teaching innovation cultivation and construction team Zhangzhou Health Vocational College Clinical medicine professional group.

Acknowledgments

We want to acknowledge the participants and investigators of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2025. 1528732/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Younossi ZM, Kalligeros M, Henry L. Epidemiology of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease. *Clin Mol Hepatol.* (2025) 31:S32–50. doi: 10.3350/cmh.2024.0431

2. Kalligeros M, Vassilopoulos A, Vassilopoulos S, Victor DW, Mylonakis E, Noureddin M. Prevalence of steatotic liver disease (MASLD, MetALD, and ALD) in the United States: NHANES 2017–2020. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2024) 22:1330–2.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2023.11.003

3. Miao L, Targher G, Byrne CD, Cao YY, Zheng MH. Current status and future trends of the global burden of MASLD. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* (2024) 35:697–707. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2024.02.007

4. Hashimoto Y, Hamaguchi M, Okamura T, Nakanishi N, Obora A, Kojima T, et al. Metabolic associated fatty liver disease is a risk factor for chronic kidney disease. J Diabetes Investig. (2022) 13:308–16. doi: 10.1111/jdi.13678

5. Jung CY, Koh HB, Park KH, Joo YS, Kim HW, Ahn SH, et al. Metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease and risk of incident chronic kidney disease: a nationwide cohort study. *Diabetes Metab.* (2022) 48:101344. doi: 10.1016/j.diabet.2022.101344

6. Liang Y, Chen H, Liu Y, Hou X, Wei L, Bao Y, et al. Association of MAFLD with diabetes, chronic kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease: a 46-year cohort study in China. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2022) 107:88–97. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgab641

7. Sun DQ, Jin Y, Wang TY, Zheng KI, Rios RS, Zhang HY, et al. MAFLD and risk of CKD. *Metabolism*. 115:154433. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154433

8. Gurun M, Brennan P, Handjiev S, Khatib A, Leith D, Dillon JF, et al. Increased risk of chronic kidney disease and mortality in a cohort of people diagnosed with metabolic dysfunction associated steatotic liver disease with hepatic fibrosis. *PLoS ONE*. (2024) 19:e0299507. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0299507

9. Erdmann E. Microalbuminuria as a marker of cardiovascular risk in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Int J Cardiol*. (2006) 107:147–53. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2005.03.053

10. Sung KC, Ryu S, Lee JY, Lee SH, Cheong E, Hyun YY, et al. Urine albumin/creatinine ratio below 30 mg/g is a predictor of incident hypertension and cardiovascular mortality. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2016) 5:e003245. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.116.003245

11. Cheng YC, Lu CL, Hsu CY, Sheu ML, Lee IT. Urinary non-albumin protein-creatinine ratio is an independent predictor of mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes: a retrospective cohort study. *Sci Rep.* (2024) 14:10526. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-61395-7

12. Mahemuti N, Zou J, Liu C, Xiao Z, Liang F, Yang X. Urinary albumin-tocreatinine ratio in normal range, cardiovascular health, and all-cause mortality. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2023) 6:e2348333. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.48333

13. Matsushita K, Ballew SH, Wang AY, Kalyesubula R, Schaeffner E, Agarwal R. Epidemiology and risk of cardiovascular disease in populations with chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2022) 18:696–707. doi: 10.1038/s41581-022-00616-6

14. Guo Y, Yang J, Ma R, Zhang X, Guo H, He J, et al. Metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease is associated with the risk of incident cardiovascular disease: a prospective cohort study in Xinjiang. *Nutrients.* (2022) 14:2361. doi: 10.3390/nu14122361

15. Qu B, Li Z. Exploring non-invasive diagnostics for metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2024) 30:3447–51. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i28.3447

16. Singh A, Sohal A, Batta A. Recent developments in non-invasive methods for assessing metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2024) 30:4324–8. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i39.4324

17. Tan Z, Wu Y, Meng Y, Liu C, Deng B, Zhen J, et al. Trends in oxidative balance score and prevalence of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease in the United States: national health and nutrition examination survey 2001 to 2018. *Nutrients.* (2023) 15:4931. doi: 10.3390/nu15234931

18. Ruhl CE, Everhart JE. Fatty liver indices in the multiethnic United States national health and nutrition examination survey. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2015) 41:65–76. doi: 10.1111/apt.13012

19. Duan M, Zhao X, Li S, Miao G, Bai L, Zhang Q, et al. Metabolic score for insulin resistance (METS-IR) predicts all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in the general population: evidence from NHANES 2001–2018. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:243. doi: 10.1186/s12933-024-02334-8

20. Santiago-Hernandez A, Martin-Lorenzo M, Martínez PJ, Gómez-Serrano M, Lopez JA, Cannata P, et al. Early renal and vascular damage within the normoalbuminuria condition. *J Hypertens.* (2021) 39:2220–31. doi: 10.1097/HJH.00000000002936

21. Zhang A, Li M, Qiu J, Sun J, Su Y, Cai S, et al. The relationship between urinary albumin to creatinine ratio and all-cause mortality in the elderly population in the Chinese community: a 10-year follow-up study. *BMC Nephrol.* (2022) 23:16. doi: 10.1186/s12882-021-02644-z

22. Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium, Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC, Woodward M, Levey AS, et al. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a collaborative meta-analysis. *Lancet.* (2010) 375:2073–81. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60674-5

23. Inoue K, Streja E, Tsujimoto T, Kobayashi H. Urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio within normal range and all-cause or cardiovascular mortality among U.S. adults enrolled in the NHANES during 1999–2015. *Ann Epidemiol.* (2021) 55:15–23. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2020.12.004

24. Salmon AH, Satchell SC. Endothelial glycocalyx dysfunction in disease: albuminuria and increased microvascular permeability. *J Pathol.* (2012) 226:562–74. doi: 10.1002/path.3964

25. Siddiqi FS, Advani A. Endothelial-podocyte crosstalk: the missing link between endothelial dysfunction and albuminuria in diabetes. *Diabetes.* (2013) 62:3647–55. doi: 10.2337/db13-0795

26. Prabhahar A, Batta A, Hatwal J, Kumar V, Ramachandran R, Batta A. Endothelial dysfunction in the kidney transplant population: current evidence and management strategies. *World J Transplant*. (2025) 15:97458. doi: 10.5500/wjt.v15.i1.97458

27. Qin Z, Li H, Wang L, Geng J, Yang Q, Su B, et al. Systemic immune-inflammation index is associated with increased urinary albumin excretion: a population-based study. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:863640. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.863640

28. Ye C, Gong J, Wang T, Luo L, Lian G, Wang H, et al. Relationship between high-normal albuminuria and arterial stiffness in Chinese population. *J Clin Hypertens*. (2020) 22:1674–81. doi: 10.1111/jch.13979

29. Dekkers IA, de Mutsert R, de Vries APJ, Rosendaal FR, Cannegieter SC, Jukema JW, et al. Determinants of impaired renal and vascular function are associated with elevated levels of procoagulant factors in the general population. *J Thromb Haemost.* (2018) 16:519–28. doi: 10.1111/jth.13935

30. Batta A, Hatwal J. Excess cardiovascular mortality in men with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A cause for concern! *World J Cardiol.* (2024) 16:380– 4. doi: 10.4330/wjc.v16.i7.380

31. Hao WR, Cheng CH, Cheng TH. Addressing the alarming link between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and cardiovascular mortality in men. *World J Cardiol.* (2024) 16:502–7. doi: 10.4330/wjc.v16.i9.502