#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Zhiping Niu, Fudan University, China

REVIEWED BY Danrong Zhong, University Medical Center Groningen, Netherlands Zhe Yang, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Weiwei Sun ⊠ sunweitcm@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 01 February 2025 ACCEPTED 07 April 2025 PUBLISHED 22 April 2025

#### CITATION

Zhao C, Zhao L, Liu Y, Sun L-q, Li X-r, Wang Y and Sun W (2025) The impact of serum uric acid on biological aging and mortality risk: insights from the NHANES and CHARLS cohorts. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1569798. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1569798

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhao, Zhao, Liu, Sun, Li, Wang and Sun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The impact of serum uric acid on biological aging and mortality risk: insights from the NHANES and CHARLS cohorts

Cong Zhao<sup>1†</sup>, Leying Zhao<sup>1†</sup>, Yang Liu<sup>2</sup>, Li-qiao Sun<sup>2</sup>, Xin-rong Li<sup>2</sup>, Yaoxian Wang<sup>3</sup> and Weiwei Sun<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Chinese Medicine, Cangzhou Central Hospital, Cangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Henan University of Chinese Medicine, Zhengzhou, China

**Background:** Serum uric acid (SUA), a byproduct of purine metabolism, exerts both antioxidant and pro-inflammatory effects, making its role in aging and chronic diseases a subject of ongoing debate. Despite this, the mechanisms by which SUA influences the aging process remain poorly understood.

**Methods:** We analyzed data from the NHANES (1999–2010) and CHARLS (2011–2015) cohorts to investigate SUA's impact on biological aging. Generalized linear regression models assessed SUA's effect on biological aging markers [ $\Delta$ KDM-BA,  $\Delta$ PhenoAge, and allostatic load (AL)], while Cox regression models estimated its association with all-cause and premature mortality. Dose–response relationships between SUA levels and aging markers ( $\Delta$ KDM-BA,  $\Delta$ PhenoAge, and AL), as well as all-cause and premature mortality, were evaluated using restricted cubic splines (RCS).

**Results:** In both cohorts, elevated SUA levels were significantly associated with accelerated aging. In the NHANES cohort, for each 1 mg/dL increase in SUA,  $\Delta$ KDM-BA increased by 0.52 years (95% CI: 0.43–0.61, p < 0.0001), and AL increased by 0.38 (95% CI: 0.29–0.47, p < 0.0001). In the CHARLS cohort, SUA was similarly linked to an increase in  $\Delta$ KDM-BA by 0.65 years (95% CI: 0.57–0.74, p < 0.0001) and AL by 0.15 (95% CI: 0.12–0.18, p < 0.0001). RCS analysis revealed a nonlinear association between SUA and  $\Delta$ KDM-BA in NHANES, with a more pronounced acceleration of aging when SUA levels exceeded 4.16 mg/dL (nonlinear p < 0.0001). In CHARLS, SUA showed a nonlinear relationship with  $\Delta$ KDM-BA (nonlinear p = 0.01). Additionally, in NHANES, SUA levels were associated with increased all-cause (HR: 1.04, 95% CI: 1.01–1.07, p = 0.01) and premature mortality (HR: 1.06, 95% CI: 1.00–1.13, p = 0.046). RCS analysis further demonstrated a U-shaped nonlinear relationship between SUA levels and both all-cause and premature mortality. In contrast, SUA did not show a significant association with mortality outcomes in the CHARLS cohort.

**Conclusion:** Elevated SUA is associated with accelerated biological aging in both U.S. and Chinese populations, but its link to mortality was evident only in the NHANES cohort. These findings highlight SUA as a potential aging marker and call for further population-specific investigation.

#### KEYWORDS

serum uric acid, aging, mortality risk, CHARLS, NHANES

## Introduction

Aging represents a progressive decline in physiological integrity (1), increasing susceptibility to chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease (CVD) (2), metabolic disorders (3), and neurodegenerative diseases (4). Although chronological age (CA) remains a strong predictor of health risk, it inadequately reflects inter-individual variability in the aging process. In contrast, biological age (BA)— derived from multi-system physiological biomarkers—offers a more dynamic and individualized assessment of aging (5). However, the metabolic determinants underlying biological aging are not yet fully understood.

Serum uric acid (SUA), the end product of purine metabolism, has emerged as a particularly contentious factor in aging research. On one hand, SUA functions as an evolutionarily conserved antioxidant capable of scavenging reactive oxygen species (ROS) (6). On the other, elevated SUA levels can activate the NLRP3 inflammasome (7), impair endothelial function, and are linked to hypertension (8), chronic kidney disease (CKD) (9), and cardiovascular events. This biological paradox has been reflected in epidemiologic studies, many of which describe a U-shaped association between SUA levels and mortality risk (10, 11). Nevertheless, the mechanisms driving this nonlinear relationship remain unclear. Additionally, prior research has predominantly focused on single aging biomarkers or ethnically homogeneous populations, limiting both mechanistic insight and generalizability.

To address these gaps, we conducted a comparative analysis leveraging data from two nationally representative cohorts: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES, 1999-2010) in the United States and the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS, 2011-2015). We applied three complementary biological aging measures-Klemera-Doubal Method Biological Age (KDM-BA), Phenotypic Age (PhenoAge), and Allostatic Load (AL)-to evaluate the associations between SUA, biological aging, and mortality outcomes. This study aims to answer three key questions: (1) Does elevated SUA accelerate biological aging independent of traditional cardiometabolic risk factors? (2) What is the association between SUA and all-cause as well as premature mortality? (3) Do specific subgroups-such as women or individuals with CKD-exhibit heightened susceptibility to SUA-associated aging effects? By integrating multidimensional aging metrics across diverse populations, this research seeks to provide robust, population-based evidence to inform more targeted strategies for SUA monitoring and intervention in agingrelated health.

## **Methods**

## Study population and data sources

This study is based on data from the NHANES and the CHARLS, two independent national cohorts. NHANES, organized by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) under the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), has been ongoing since the 1960s (12). It aims to collect data on health, nutrition, and lifestyle from a representative sample of the U.S. population. Detailed information on the survey and data collection methods is available on the official website.<sup>1</sup> For this study, we used data from six NHANES cycles conducted between 1999 and 2010, comprising 62,160 participants. We excluded individuals under 20 years of age (n = 29,696), pregnant women (n = 1,299), those missing key biomarkers for KDM-BA or PhenoAge or SUA (n = 4,943), and those with incomplete mortality data as of December 31, 2019. After exclusions, 26,192 participants were included to assess the relationship between baseline SUA levels, accelerated aging, and all-cause mortality (Figure 1). All participants provided written informed consent and received ethical approval.

CHARLS is a national longitudinal survey of Chinese adults aged 45 and older (13). This study used data from the 2011 baseline (Wave 1), which included 17,705 participants. Based on study requirements, we excluded individuals under 45 years old at baseline (n = 404), those with missing sex information (n = 12), participants missing key biomarkers for KDM-BA or AL or SUA (n = 10,935), and those lost to follow-up during the 2013 survey (n = 414). After exclusions, 5,940 participants were included to assess the relationship between baseline SUA levels, accelerated aging, and all-cause mortality (Figure 1). This project was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Peking University Medical School, and all participants provided written informed consent (IRB00001052-11014 and IRB00001052-11015).

### BA measurement

To quantify aging, we utilized several previously published BA algorithms in both cohorts, including the KDM-BA, PhenoAge, and AL.

In the NHANES cohort, KDM-BA was calculated using the Klemera & Doubal method (14), which integrates eight biomarkers: hemoglobin A1c, systolic blood pressure (SBP), C-reactive protein, serum albumin, total cholesterol, alkaline phosphatase, serum creatinine, and blood urea nitrogen. PhenoAge, based on a multivariable analysis of mortality risk proposed by Levine et al. (15), uses nine clinical biomarkers—glucose, alkaline phosphatase, albumin, creatinine, C-reactive protein, white blood cell count, lymphocyte percentage, mean corpuscular volume, and red cell distribution width—to estimate an individual's predicted age.

For the CHARLS cohort, KDM-BA was calculated using an adjusted algorithm validated for the Chinese population (16), which included total cholesterol, triglycerides, hemoglobin A1c, urea, creatinine, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, platelet count, and SBP. Additionally, AL was used to assess cumulative physiological stress across multiple systems (17). This involved 14 biomarkers from various physiological systems, including systolic and diastolic blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), total cholesterol, triglycerides, HbA1c, fasting glucose, hemoglobin, C-reactive protein, creatinine, cystatin C, and blood urea nitrogen. Values exceeding established high-risk thresholds were assigned a score of 1, while lower values received a score of 0. The total AL score ranged from 0 to 14. KDM-BA and PhenoAge were computed using the R package BioAge<sup>2</sup> (18). For each

<sup>1</sup> https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes

<sup>2</sup> https://github.com/dayoonkwon/BioAge



algorithm, aging acceleration was defined as  $\Delta Age = BA - CA$ , where positive values indicate faster biological aging relative to chronological age. Detailed formulas and biomarker compositions for KDM-BA, PhenoAge, and AL are described in the Supplementary materials.

### Mortality outcome determination

In NHANES, mortality data through December 31, 2019, were obtained from the National Death Index, matched with death certificate records. The primary outcomes of interest were all-cause mortality and premature mortality, defined as death before age 70, based on global life expectancy in 2010 (19). In CHARLS, all-cause mortality was confirmed during the 2013 follow-up, with exact death dates recorded to calculate the interval between baseline birth dates and death dates. Premature mortality was also defined as death before age 70.

## Covariates

In the NHANES cohort, covariates included sex, race, age, marital status, BMI (<25 or  $\geq$ 25) (20), education level (categorized as below high school or high school and above, based on whether participants had completed 12th grade or obtained a high school diploma), family income-to-poverty ratio (PIR: <1, 1–3, >3), smoking status (never or current smoker), and drinking habits (never, low-to-moderate, heavy), as well as disease information for hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), and CKD. In the CHARLS cohort, covariates included sex, age, residential area (urban or rural), geographical location (south or north), education level, marital status, drinking frequency (none, less than once a month, or once a month or more), BMI (<24 or  $\geq$ 24) (21), smoking status (never or current smoker), and diagnoses for diabetes,

hypertension, hyperlipidemia, CVD, and CKD. In both studies, hyperuricemia was defined as SUA levels  $\geq$  420 µmol/L (7 mg/dL) for male and  $\geq$  360 µmol/L (6 mg/dL) for female (10). Hypertension was defined as average SBP  $\geq$  140 mmHg, average diastolic blood pressure  $(DBP) \ge 90 \text{ mmHg}$ , or confirmation via physician diagnosis or recorded antihypertensive medication use. Diabetes was defined based on the following criteria: self-reported diagnosis, HbA1c > 6.5%, fasting glucose  $\geq$ 7.0 mmol/L, random glucose  $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L, or 2-h oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) glucose  $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L, or the use of diabetes medications or insulin (22). Hyperlipidemia was defined as triglycerides  $\geq 150 \text{ mg/dL}$ , total cholesterol  $\geq 200 \text{ mg/dL}$ , LDL-C  $\geq$  130 mg/dL, or HDL-C  $\leq$  40 mg/dL for men and  $\leq$ 50 mg/dL for women; participants reporting the use of cholesterol-lowering medications were also considered to have hyperlipidemia (23). ASCVD was diagnosed if participants reported being informed by a doctor or healthcare professional that they had coronary artery disease, angina, heart attack, or stroke. The diagnostic criteria for CVD in CHARLS are based on two survey questions: "Have you been told by a doctor that you have been diagnosed with heart disease (including angina, heart attack, heart failure, coronary heart disease, or other heart problems)?" and "Have you been told by a doctor that you have been diagnosed with a stroke?." CKD was defined by estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 59 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> or albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR) > 30 mg/g (24).

## Sensitivity analysis

For the NHANES cohort, we included participants from the 1999–2002 cycles (n = 2,525), which uniquely provide access to DNA methylation data (Figure 1). This enabled the calculation of epigenetic biological age using two established biomarkers: Levine's DNA methylation–based PhenoAge (DNAmPhenoAge) (15) and Horvath's DNA methylation–predicted mortality (GrimAge) (25). These

measures were derived from DNA methylation-based telomere length (DNAmTL) or quantitative polymerase chain reaction-based telomere length (qPCRTL) data and allowed for a sensitivity analysis incorporating epigenetic markers of aging. In the CHARLS cohort, we used data from the 2015 follow-up survey (n = 10,327) to replicate the primary analysis (Figure 1). This wave was chosen for its superior data completeness and a longer observational interval compared to the 2013 survey, thereby enhancing the robustness of aging-related inferences.

### Statistical analysis

In the NHANES cohort analysis, sampling weights, stratification, and clustering were incorporated into all analyses. Data are presented as weighted mean ± standard error (SE) for continuous variables and as unweighted frequencies with weighted percentages for categorical variables. In the CHARLS cohort, unweighted analyses were performed. Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables as frequencies with percentages. Generalized linear regression models were used to evaluate the relationship between baseline SUA and biological aging ( $\Delta$ KDM-BA,  $\Delta$ PhenoAge, AL). Cox regression models were employed to estimate the hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between baseline SUA and all-cause mortality and premature mortality, adjusting for multiple covariates in different models. To explore the dose-response relationship between SUA and outcomes and visualize it, restricted cubic splines (RCS) were used, with percentiles set at the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles (26). Kaplan-Meier survival curves were used to visualize survival rates, and log-rank tests were conducted to compare survival differences between groups. Stratified and interaction analyses were performed for subgroups such as age, sex, BMI, smoking, drinking, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and CKD to examine their modifying effects on the main outcomes. All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 4.4.2), with two-sided p < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

## Results

## **Baseline characteristics**

In the NHANES cohort (n = 26,192), the mean age was  $46.85 \pm 0.22$  years, with females comprising 50.72%. The mean SUA level was  $5.43 \pm 0.01$  mg/dL, with approximately 20.6% (n = 5,402) meeting the criteria for hyperuricemia. Individuals with hyperuricemia tended to be male, older, and former smokers, with higher rates of BMI, HbA1c, and various chronic diseases (hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, ASCVD, CKD) (Table 1). In the CHARLS cohort (n = 5,940), the mean age was  $60.72 \pm 9.84$  years, with females comprising 53.41%. The mean SUA level was  $4.44 \pm 1.25$  mg/dL, with approximately 5.4% (n = 323) meeting the criteria for hyperuricemia. In this cohort, individuals with hyperuricemia were also typically older, had a higher proportion of males, lived more often in urban areas, and frequently had comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, ASCVD, or CKD (Table 2).

# Association between SUA and accelerated aging

In both cohorts, baseline SUA levels were significantly positively correlated with multiple markers of accelerated aging. In the NHANES cohort, for each 1 mg/dL increase in SUA, the difference between  $\Delta$ KDM-BA increased by 1.22 years (95% CI: 1.14–1.29, p < 0.0001), and the difference between  $\Delta$ PhenoAge increased by 0.99 years (95% CI: 0.92–1.07, *p* < 0.0001). In the CHARLS cohort, for every 1 mg/dL increase in SUA, the difference between ΔKDM-BA increased by 1.25 years (95% CI: 1.17–1.33, *p* < 0.0001) in the crude model. Similarly, in the crude model, each 1 mg/dL increase in SUA was associated with an increase of 0.34 in AL (95% CI: 0.30–0.37, p < 0.0001). After comprehensive adjustment for confounding factors, this association weakened but remained statistically significant (Table 3). In multivariable regression, while the effect sizes for  $\Delta$ KDM-BA and AL decreased slightly after stepwise adjustments, they still indicated a stable positive association between elevated SUA levels, accelerated aging, and increased multisystem stress load.

# Nonlinear relationship between SUA and accelerated aging

Using RCS to assess the dose-response relationship between SUA and accelerated aging, we found a significant nonlinear association with  $\Delta$ PhenoAge in the NHANES cohort (nonlinear-*p* < 0.0001), with accelerated aging effects becoming more pronounced after SUA levels exceeded approximately 4.16 mg/dL. SUA was also nonlinearly associated with  $\Delta$ KDM-BA (nonlinear-*p* = 0.02) (Figures 2A,B). In the CHARLS cohort, SUA was nonlinearly associated with  $\Delta$ KDM-BA (nonlinear-p = 0.01) and linearly associated with AL (nonlinear-p = 0.31) (Figures 2C,D). The overall trend showed that higher SUA levels were associated with a shift toward accelerated aging markers.

## Subgroup analysis

After stratification by age, sex, BMI, smoking, drinking, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, CKD, and other factors in both NHANES and CHARLS, the impact of SUA on accelerated aging markers remained consistent across most subgroups (Figures 3A–D). Some subgroups exhibited statistical interactions, suggesting that SUA had a more significant effect on accelerated aging in older adults, females and CKD patients.

# SUA and risk of all-cause and premature mortality

During long-term follow-up in NHANES (mean duration: 12.9 years), a total of 5,907 deaths were recorded, including 1,313 premature deaths. Cox regression analysis showed a statistically significant positive association between elevated SUA and both all-cause mortality and premature mortality. In the fully adjusted model, each 1 mg/dL increase in SUA was associated with a HR for

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of NHANES participants.

NHANES	Total (n = 26,192)	Without hyperuricemia (n = 20,790)	With hyperuricemia (n = 5,402)	<i>p</i> value
Age, years, mean (SE)	$46.85 \pm 0.22$	$45.86\pm0.21$	$50.92 \pm 0.35$	< 0.0001
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.0001
Female	12,977 (50.72)	10,615 (52.88)	2,362 (41.87)	
Male	13,215 (49.28)	10,175 (47.12)	3,040 (58.13)	
Ethnicity, n (%)				< 0.0001
White	13,208 (72.04)	10,352 (71.75)	2,856 (73.24)	
Black	4,885 (10.14)	3,641 (9.79)	1,244 (11.59)	
Mexican	5,335 (7.70)	4,557 (8.25)	778 (5.44)	
Other	2,764 (10.12)	2,240 (10.21)	524 (9.74)	
Marital status, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.0001
Married/live with partner	15,776 (63.48)	12,681 (65.28)	3,095 (62.26)	
Never married	4,132 (16.31)	3,397 (16.86)	735 (15.61)	
Widowed/divorced/separated	5,874 (18.34)	4,387 (17.85)	1,487 (22.13)	
Education, <i>n</i> (%)				0.04
High school and above	18,156 (80.48)	14,430 (80.82)	3,726 (79.53)	
Below high school	7,997 (19.41)	6,328 (19.18)	1,669 (20.47)	
Alcohol user, <i>n</i> (%)				0.15
Heavy	4,932 (20.00)	3,918 (20.94)	1,014 (21.74)	
Low-to-moderate	16,339 (63.78)	12,943 (67.63)	3,396 (65.91)	
Never	3,434 (11.00)	2,712 (11.42)	722 (12.36)	
Smoke, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.0001
Former	6,854 (25.13)	5,076 (23.71)	1778 (31.01)	
Never	13,460 (51.27)	10,879 (51.89)	2,581 (48.88)	
Now	5,853 (23.54)	4,814 (24.39)	1,039 (20.11)	
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> , mean (SE)	$28.29\pm0.08$	$27.50\pm0.07$	$31.59\pm0.15$	< 0.0001
Uric acid, mg/dl, mean (SE)	$5.43 \pm 0.01$	$4.94\pm0.01$	$7.43\pm0.02$	< 0.0001
HbA1c, %, mean (SE)	$5.51\pm0.01$	$5.49\pm0.01$	$5.62\pm0.01$	< 0.0001
KDM-BA, years, mean (SE)	$46.32\pm0.23$	$44.72\pm0.22$	$52.85\pm0.36$	< 0.0001
$\Delta$ KDM-BA, years, mean (SE)	$-0.54\pm0.10$	$-1.14\pm0.10$	$1.93\pm0.16$	< 0.0001
PhenoAge, years, mean (SE)	$42.21 \pm 0.25$	$40.66\pm0.24$	$48.57\pm0.37$	< 0.0001
$\Delta$ PhenoAge, years, mean (SE)	$-4.64\pm0.08$	$-5.20 \pm 0.08$	$-2.35\pm0.12$	< 0.0001
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	11,071(36.00)	7,740(31.33)	3,331(55.16)	< 0.0001
Diabetes, <i>n</i> (%)	4,180 (11.18)	2,934 (9.63)	1,246 (17.56)	< 0.0001
Hyperlipidemia, <i>n</i> (%)	19,400 (72.93)	14,878 (70.21)	4,522 (84.08)	< 0.0001
ASCVD, <i>n</i> (%)	2,822 (8.03)	1872 (6.65)	950 (13.67)	< 0.0001
CKD, <i>n</i> (%)	4,911 (13.84)	3,105 (11.02)	1806 (25.96)	< 0.0001
Death during follow-up, <i>n</i> (%)	5,907 (16.25)	4,110 (14.13)	1797 (24.92)	< 0.0001
Premature death during follow-up, <i>n</i> (%)	1,313 (4.93)	947 (31.94)	366 (26.68)	0.002

Data are presented as weighted mean ± standard error (SE) for continuous variables and as unweighted frequencies with weighted percentages for categorical variables. *p*-values were calculated using survey-weighted t-tests for continuous variables and Chi-square tests for categorical variables to compare characteristics between groups. BMI, body mass index; KDM-BA, Klemera–Doubal Method Biological Age; CKD, chronic kidney disease; ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

all-cause mortality of 1.04 (95% CI: 1.01–1.07, p = 0.01), and an HR for premature mortality of 1.06 (95% CI: 1.00–1.13, p = 0.046) (Table 4). The restricted cubic spline results indicated a U-shaped nonlinear relationship between SUA and mortality risk (Figures 4A,B), and Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed a higher cumulative

mortality rate in individuals with hyperuricemia (Figures 4C,D). Subgroup analysis trends were consistent with the main results, with SUA having a greater impact on mortality or premature mortality in individuals with chronic diseases, although no significant interaction was observed (Figures 4E,F).

#### TABLE 2 Baseline characteristics of CHARLS participants.

CHARLS	Total ( <i>n</i> = 5,940)	Without hyperuricemia (n = 5,617)	With hyperuricemia (n = 323)	<i>p</i> value
Age, years, mean (SD)	$60.72 \pm 9.84$	$60.56 \pm 9.80$	$63.34 \pm 10.09$	<0.0001
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)				<0.01
Female	3,148 (53.00)	3,000 (53.41)	148 (45.82)	
Male	2,792 (47.00)	2,617 (46.59)	175 (54.18)	
Marital status, <i>n</i> (%)				0.23
Married	4,919 (82.81)	4,654 (82.86)	265 (82.04)	
Never married	43 (0.72)	43(0.77)		
Widowed/divorced/ separated	978 (16.46)	920 (16.38)	58 (17.96)	
Education, <i>n</i> (%)				0.92
High school and above	452 (7.62)	429 (7.64)	23 (7.12)	
Below high school	5,486 (92.39)	5,186 (92.36)	300 (92.88)	
Residence place, <i>n</i> (%)				0.02
Rural	3,892 (65.52)	3,701 (65.89)	191 (59.13)	
Urban	2048 (34.48)	1916 (34.11)	132 (40.87)	
Drink frequency last year, <i>n</i> (%)				0.07
>1/month	1,484 (24.98)	1,389 (24.73)	95 (29.41)	
<1/month	446 (7.51)	429 (7.64)	17 (5.26)	
No	4,010 (67.51)	3,799 (67.63)	211 (65.33)	
Smoke status, n (%)				0.21
Never	3,591 (60.46)	3,407 (60.67)	184 (56.97)	
Smoke	2,348 (39.54)	2,209 (39.33)	139 (43.03)	
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> , mean (SD)	23.42 ± 3.92	$23.37\pm3.90$	$24.38 \pm 4.21$	<0.0001
Uric acid, mg/dl, mean (SD)	$4.44 \pm 1.25$	$4.27 \pm 1.05$	$7.36\pm0.96$	<0.0001
HbA1c, %, mean (SD)	$5.29\pm0.81$	$5.29\pm0.82$	$5.30\pm0.62$	0.73
KDM-BA, years, mean (SD)	$60.18 \pm 10.57$	$59.84 \pm 10.48$	$66.08 \pm 10.36$	<0.0001
$\Delta$ KDM-BA, years, mean (SD)	$-0.53 \pm 4.27$	$-0.72 \pm 4.16$	$2.73 \pm 4.68$	<0.0001
Allostatic load, score, mean (SD)	2.52 ± 1.75	2.44 ± 1.71	3.86 ± 1.91	<0.0001
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	2,553 (42.98)	2,358 (41.98)	195 (60.37)	<0.0001
Diabetes, n (%)	937 (15.77)	860 (15.31)	77 (23.84)	<0.0001
Hyperlipidemia, <i>n</i> (%)	1,405 (23.65)	1,263 (22.49)	142 (43.96)	<0.0001
CVD, n (%)	826 (13.94)	768 (13.71)	58 (18.01)	0.04
CKD, n (%)	153 (2.58)	96 (1.71)	57 (17.65)	<0.0001
Death during follow-up, $n$ (%)	97 (1.63)	92 (1.64)	5 (1.55)	1.00
Premature death during follow- up, <i>n</i> (%)	33 (0.56)	31 (0.55)	2 (0.62)	0.95

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and as frequencies with percentages for categorical variables. *p*-values were calculated using independentsamples t-tests for continuous variables and Chi-square tests for categorical variables to compare characteristics between groups. BMI, body mass index; KDM-BA, Klemera–Doubal Method Biological Age; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease.

In the short-term follow-up in CHARLS (mean duration: 2 years), 97 deaths and 33 premature deaths were observed. Cox regression analysis did not find a significant association between elevated SUA and all-cause or premature mortality (Table 4). In various adjusted models, the HR for all-cause mortality did not reach statistical significance. Specifically, in the crude model, each 1 mg/dL increase in SUA was associated with an HR for all-cause mortality of 1.08 (95% CI: 0.93–1.26, p = 0.30). After adjustment for demographic factors (age, sex, etc.), the HR decreased to 0.91 (95% CI: 0.77–1.08, p = 0.28). Further adjustment for lifestyle factors (BMI, smoking, drinking)

#### TABLE 3 Association between SUA and accelerated aging.

NHANES	∆KDM-BA	Р	$\Delta$ Phenotypic age	p
Crude model	1.22 (1.14,1.29)	<0.0001	0.99 (0.92,1.07)	<0.0001
Model 1	1.15 (1.05, 1.24)	<0.0001	0.85 (0.76, 0.94)	< 0.0001
Model 2	0.93 (0.82, 1.03)	<0.0001	0.63 (0.53, 0.72)	<0.0001
Model 3	0.52 (0.43, 0.61)	<0.0001	0.38 (0.29, 0.47)	<0.0001
CHARLS	∆KDM-BA	Р	Allostatic load	Р
Crude model	1.25 (1.17,1.33)	<0.0001	0.34 (0.30,0.37)	< 0.0001
Model 1	1.10 (1.01, 1.19)	<0.0001	0.42 (0.38, 0.46)	<0.0001
Model 2	1.00 (0.91, 1.09)	<0.0001	0.33 (0.30, 0.37)	<0.0001
Model 3	0.65 (0.57, 0.74)	<0.0001	0.15 (0.12,0.18)	<0.0001

For NHANES: model 1: age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty; model 2: age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty, BMI, alcohol user, smoke; model 3: age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty, BMI, alcohol user, smoke, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease. For CHARLS: model 1: sex, age, residence place, south/north, education, marital status, BMI; model 2: sex, age, residence place, south/north, education, marital status, BMI; model 3: sex, age, residence place, south/north, education, marital status, drink frequency, smoke status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease.



The RCS analysis between serum uric acid and accelerated aging. (A) NHANES -  $\Delta$ Klemera-Doubal Biological Age, (B) NHANES -  $\Delta$ phenotypic age, (C) CHARLS -  $\Delta$ Klemera-Doubal Biological Age, (C) CHARLS - allostatic load. For NHANES, the model was adjusted for age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty, BMI, alcohol user, smoke, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease. For CHARLS, the model was adjusted for sex, age, residence place, south/north, education, marital status, drink frequency, smoke status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease.

yielded an HR of 0.92 (95% CI: 0.77–1.10, p = 0.36). In the fully adjusted model (including diabetes, hypertension, CVD, etc.), the association between SUA levels and all-cause mortality was not significant (HR = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.75–1.08, p = 0.25). Similarly, no significant association between SUA levels and premature mortality was observed in all models.

(Figures 5A–F). A sensitivity analysis was conducted by including the 2015 follow-up population in CHARLS, and the results were consistent with the main analysis (Figures 6A–F). The association between SUA and aging processes remained consistent with the primary analysis, supporting the robustness of the findings.

## Discussion

## Sensitivity analysis

In NHANES, a subset of participants from the 1999–2002 period was included, and DNA methylation-derived BA was recalculated

Uric acid, a product of purine metabolism, has long been a subject of debate regarding its role in aging and chronic disease. Initially recognized for its antioxidant properties (27), SUA was believed to

Subgroups	95% CI		р	P for interaction	Subgroups	95% CI		р	P for interaction
Age	1			< 0.0001	Age	1	1		< 0.0001
20-44	0.477( 0.336, 0.619)	$\vdash \bullet \dashv$	<0.0001		20-44	0.485( 0.358, 0.612)	⊢●─	<0.0001	
45-69	0.257( 0.138, 0.376)	⊢●⊣	<0.0001		45-69	0.318( 0.145, 0.491)	<b>⊢</b> ●−1	< 0.001	
>=70	0.714(0.525, 0.904)		< 0.0001		>=70	0.813( 0.629, 0.997)		< 0.0001	
Sex				< 0.0001	Sex				0.041
Male	0.332(0.211.0.453)	H.	<0.0001		Male	0 31( 0 195 0 424)	1- <b>0</b> -1	<0.0001	
Fomalo	0.502(0.405,0.655)		<0.0001		Fomalo	0.612(0.462.0.762)		<0.0001	
Aleehel	0.00( 0.400, 0.000) 1		<0.0001	0.104	Alashal	0.010( 0.402, 0.700)		<0.0001	0.000
AICONOI				0.164	Alcohol				0.036
never	0.508( 0.265, 0.751)		<0.0001		never	0.428( 0.073, 0.783)		0.019	
low-to-moderate	0.524(0.421, 0.627)	- <b>•</b> -	<0.0001		low-to-moderate	0.391( 0.275, 0.508)	<b>⊢</b> ●-	<0.0001	
heavy	0.411( 0.229, 0.593)	<b>⊢</b> ●−1	<0.0001		heavy	0.355( 0.177, 0.532)	$\mapsto$	<0.001	
Smoke	1			0.004	Smoke				0.556
never	0.513( 0.387, 0.639)	H <b>-</b> H	< 0.0001		never	0.4( 0.254, 0.546)		< 0.0001	
former	0.522( 0.357, 0.687)	⊢●	< 0.0001		former	0.448( 0.269, 0.626)	⊢•	< 0.0001	
now	0.543(0.383, 0.702)	<b>——</b> –	<0.0001		DOW	0 338( 0 103 0 573)		0.005	
PMI	0.040( 0.000, 0.702)		<0.0001	< 0.0001	BMI	0.000( 0.100, 0.070)		0.000	0.001
Divil	0.545(0.004.0.707)		0.0001	< 0.0001	Bivil	0.005(0.400.0.574)		0.001	0.001
<20	0.345( 0.384, 0.707)		<0.0001		<20	0.385( 0.198, 0.571)		<0.001	
>=25	0.524(0.417, 0.631)	H	<0.0001		>=25	0.374( 0.259, 0.490)	H	<0.0001	
DM	i			0.004	DM				< 0.001
no	0.594( 0.515, 0.672)	<b>I•</b> I	<0.0001		no	0.539( 0.457, 0.622)	I++-1	<0.0001	
yes	0.147(-0.162, 0.456)	€●───	0.347		yes	-0.226(-0.555, 0.104)	H	0.176	
Hypertension	1			< 0.0001	Hypertension				0.004
no	0.55( 0.436. 0.665)	-●-	< 0.0001		no	0.379( 0.258. 0.500)	<b>⊢●</b> -	< 0.0001	
Ves	0.399(0.262, 0.536)	- <b></b>	<0.0001		Ves	0 424( 0 244, 0 603)		<0.0001	
Hyperlinidemia	0.000( 0.202, 0.000)		40.0001	< 0.001	Hyperlipidemia	0.121(0.211,0.000)		40.0001	0.015
Noo	0 511( 0 400 0 600)		-0.0001	< 0.001	nypemplaelina	0.226( 0.218, 0.424)		-0.0001	0.010
yes	0.511(0.420, 0.602)	1-0-1	<0.0001		yes	0.326( 0.218, 0.434)	H	<0.0001	
no	0.561(0.416, 0.706)	H•-1	<0.0001		no	0.604( 0.444, 0.764)		<0.0001	
ASCVD	i			0.191	ASCVD				< 0.001
no	0.456( 0.366, 0.546)	<b>•</b> •	<0.0001		no	0.341( 0.251, 0.431)	H <b>e</b> -1	<0.0001	
yes	0.834( 0.559, 1.109)		< 0.0001		yes	0.702( 0.335, 1.069)		< 0.001	
СКD				< 0.0001	CKD				< 0.0001
no	0.343( 0.256, 0.429)	<b>•</b> •	< 0.0001		no	0.353( 0.270, 0.437)	i•i	< 0.0001	
ves	0.982(0.758, 1.207)	<b>⊢</b> ●––1	< 0.0001		ves	0.539( 0.261, 0.818)	<b>⊢</b> ● →	< 0.001	
,	ſ	) 0.5 1	1.5		D	(	0.5 1	1.5	
ubgroups	95% CI		Р	P for interaction	n Subgroups	95% CI		Р	P for interact
Age				0.000	Age		1		0.344
-		1		0.320	0		1		
45-69	0.667(0.575,0.760)	H•-1	< 0.0001	0.328	45-69	0 138( 0 106 0 170)		<0.0001	
45-69 ≥=70	0.667( 0.575, 0.760)		<0.0001	0.326	45-69	0.138(0.106, 0.170)		<0.0001	
45-69 >=70	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781)		<0.0001 <0.0001	0.003	45-69 70-100	0.138( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184( 0.121, 0.247)		<0.0001 <0.0001	0 114
45-69 >=70 Sex	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781)	. +•+ •1	<0.0001 <0.0001	0.003	45-69 70-100 Sex	0.138( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184( 0.121, 0.247)		<0.0001 <0.0001	0.114
45-69 >=70 Sex male famale	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.939)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.003	45-69 70-100 <b>Sex</b> male	0.138( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134( 0.095, 0.172) 0.132( 0.131, 0.216)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114
45-69 >=70 Sex male female	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.939)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.003	45–69 70–100 Sex male female	0.138( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173( 0.131,0.216)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114
45–69 >=70 Sex male female Drink fregency	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.939)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.003	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink tregency	0.138( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173( 0.131, 0.216)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114
45–69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.399) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.003	45–69 70–100 Sex male female Drink freqency no	0.138( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173( 0.131,0.216) 0.166( 0.131,0.202)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.939) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182,0.793)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.002	0.003	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month	0.138( 0.106, 0.170) 0.134( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168( 0.057, 0.280)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.003	0.114
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.839) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182,0.793) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.002 <0.0001	0.003	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no >1/month	0.138 (0.106, 0.170) 0.184 (0.121, 0.247) 0.134 (0.095, 0.172) 0.173 (0.131, 0.216) 0.166 (0.131, 0.202) 0.168 (0.057, 0.280) 0.116 (0.064, 0.169)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.003 <0.0001	0.114
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.939) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182, 0.793) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.002 <0.0001	0.003	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke	0.138(0.106,0.170) 0.184(0.121,0.247) 0.134(0.095,0.172) 0.173(0.131,0.216) 0.166(0.131,0.202) 0.168(0.057,0.280) 0.116(0.064,0.169)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.003 <0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.939) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182, 0.793) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.002 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.003	45-69 70-100 Sex male female <b>Drink freqency</b> no <1/month >1/month <b>Smoke</b> smoke	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.003 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.839) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182,0.739) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.003	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never	0.138( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168( 0.129, 0.206)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke never BMI	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.939) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182,0.793) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.328	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never BMI	0.138(0.106,0.170) 0.184(0.121,0.247) 0.134(0.095,0.172) 0.173(0.131,0.216) 0.166(0.131,0.202) 0.168(0.057,0.280) 0.116(0.064,0.169) 0.123(0.080,0.165) 0.168(0.129,0.206)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.003 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never BMI bmi<24	0.667(0.575,0.760) 0.605(0.428,0.781) 0.519(0.409,0.629) 0.817(0.695,0.939) 0.704(0.602,0.807) 0.487(0.182,0.793) 0.597(0.445,0.750) 0.536(0.415,0.657) 0.738(0.627,0.848) 0.647(0.543,0.751)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month Smoke smoke never BMI bml<24	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.166 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.093, 0.162)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month Simoke smoke smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.839) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182,0.739) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848) 0.647( 0.543, 0.751) 0.674( 0.542, 0.806)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361	45-69 70-100 Sex male female formate structure	0.138(0.106,0.170) 0.184(0.121,0.247) 0.134(0.095,0.172) 0.173(0.131,0.216) 0.166(0.131,0.202) 0.168(0.057,0.280) 0.116(0.064,0.169) 0.123(0.080,0.165) 0.168(0.129,0.206) 0.128(0.093,0.162) 0.188(0.139,0.237)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no orink freqency no Smoke smoke smoke smoke never BMI bmi>=24 DM	0.667(0.575,0.760) 0.605(0.428,0.781) 0.519(0.409,0.629) 0.817(0.695,0.939) 0.704(0.602,0.807) 0.487(0.182,0.793) 0.597(0.445,0.750) 0.536(0.415,0.657) 0.738(0.627,0.848) 0.647(0.543,0.751) 0.674(0.542,0.806)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.328	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi<24 DM	0.138(0.106,0.170) 0.184(0.121,0.247) 0.134(0.095,0.172) 0.173(0.131,0.216) 0.166(0.131,0.202) 0.168(0.057,0.280) 0.116(0.064,0.169) 0.123(0.080,0.165) 0.168(0.129,0.206) 0.128(0.093,0.162) 0.188(0.139,0.237)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24 DM mo	0.667(0.575, 0.760) 0.605(0.428, 0.781) 0.519(0.409, 0.629) 0.817(0.695, 0.939) 0.704(0.602, 0.807) 0.487(0.182,0.793) 0.597(0.445, 0.750) 0.536(0.415, 0.657) 0.738(0.627, 0.848) 0.647(0.543, 0.751) 0.674(0.542, 0.806) 0.718(0.629, 0.806)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001	45-69 70-100 Sex male female <b>Drink freqency</b> no <1/month Smoke never never BMI bmi<24 bmi>24 bmi>24 DM	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.186 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.093, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191)		<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never BMI bmi>=24 bmi>=24 DM no yes	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.839) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182,0.739) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848) 0.647( 0.543, 0.751) 0.674( 0.543, 0.806) 0.387( 0.180, 0.893)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24 DM no yes	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.093, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke smoke BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24 DM DM no yes	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.399) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182,0.793) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848) 0.647( 0.543, 0.751) 0.674( 0.542, 0.806) 0.718( 0.629, 0.806) 0.387( 0.180, 0.593)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.328	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month Smoke smoke smoke smoke BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24 bmi>=24 DM M I M	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.033, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176)		-0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1//month >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24 DM no yes Hypertension no	0.667(0.575, 0.760) 0.605(0.428, 0.781) 0.519(0.409, 0.629) 0.817(0.695, 0.339) 0.704(0.602, 0.807) 0.487(0.182,0.793) 0.597(0.445, 0.750) 0.536(0.415, 0.657) 0.738(0.627, 0.848) 0.647(0.543, 0.751) 0.674(0.542, 0.806) 0.718(0.629, 0.806) 0.387(0.180, 0.593) 0.709(0.606, 0.813)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.328 0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001 0.491	45-69 70-100 Sex male female <b>Drink freqency</b> no <1/month >1/month \$moke smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi>24 bmi>24 DM no yes yes	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.188 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.093, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176) 0.134 ( 0.099, 0.169)		-0.0001 -0.	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24 DM no yes Hypertension no ves	0.667(0.575, 0.760) 0.605(0.428, 0.781) 0.519(0.409, 0.629) 0.817(0.695, 0.839) 0.704(0.602, 0.807) 0.487(0.182,0.739) 0.597(0.445, 0.750) 0.536(0.415, 0.657) 0.738(0.627, 0.848) 0.647(0.543, 0.751) 0.674(0.542, 0.806) 0.387(0.180, 0.593) 0.709(0.606, 0.813) 0.617(0.488, 0.748)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001 0.491	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month 51/month Smoke never BMI bmi>24 bmi>24 DM no yes Hypertension no ves	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.134 ( 0.025, 0.172) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.093, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176) 0.134 ( 0.099, 0.162) 0.167 ( 0.120, 0.214)		-0.0001 <0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 0.011 -0.0001 -0.00	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke smoke smoke Mi Bmi 24 bmi=24 bmi=24 bmi=24 bmi Pby Ptypertension no yes Hypertension	0.667(0.575, 0.760) 0.605(0.428, 0.781) 0.519(0.409, 0.629) 0.817(0.695, 0.939) 0.704(0.602, 0.807) 0.487(0.182,0.793) 0.597(0.445, 0.750) 0.536(0.415, 0.657) 0.738(0.627, 0.848) 0.647(0.543, 0.751) 0.674(0.542, 0.806) 0.718(0.629, 0.806) 0.387(0.180, 0.593) 0.709(0.606, 0.813) 0.617(0.488, 0.748)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.328	45-69 70-100 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month Smoke Smoke smoke Smoke BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24 bmi>=24 DM M N PM N N yes Hypertension no yes	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.134 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.033, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176) 0.134 ( 0.099, 0.169) 0.167 ( 0.120, 0.214)		-0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1//month >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke smoke smoke bmi<24 bmi>=24 DM no yes Hypertension no yes	0.667(0.575, 0.760) 0.605(0.428, 0.781) 0.519(0.409, 0.629) 0.817(0.695, 0.339) 0.704(0.602, 0.807) 0.487(0.182, 0.793) 0.597(0.445, 0.750) 0.536(0.415, 0.657) 0.738(0.627, 0.848) 0.647(0.543, 0.751) 0.674(0.542, 0.806) 0.718(0.629, 0.806) 0.387(0.180, 0.593) 0.709(0.606, 0.813) 0.617(0.486, 0.748)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.328 0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001 0.491 0.23	45-69 70-100 Sex male female <b>Drink freqency</b> no <1/month >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24 DM bmi>=24 DM ques Pyes Hypertension no yes	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.184 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.188 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.093, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176) 0.134 ( 0.099, 0.169) 0.167 ( 0.120, 0.214) 0.182 ( 0.131, 0.242)		-0.0001 -0.	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138 0.246
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no romover >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke mever BMI bmi>=24 DM no yes Hypertension no yes Hypertension no	0.667(0.575, 0.760) 0.605(0.428, 0.781) 0.519(0.409, 0.629) 0.817(0.695, 0.839) 0.704(0.602, 0.807) 0.487(0.182,0.739) 0.597(0.445, 0.750) 0.536(0.415, 0.657) 0.738(0.627, 0.848) 0.647(0.543, 0.751) 0.674(0.542, 0.806) 0.387(0.180, 0.593) 0.709(0.606, 0.813) 0.617(0.486, 0.748) 0.545(0.386, 0.705)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> <li>&lt;0.0001</li></ul>	0.328 0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001 0.491 0.23	45-69 70-100 Sex male female formate ron <1/month Smoke smoke smoke smoke BMI bmi<24 bmi>24 b	0.138(0.106,0.170) 0.184(0.121,0.247) 0.134(0.095,0.172) 0.173(0.131,0.216) 0.166(0.131,0.202) 0.168(0.057,0.280) 0.116(0.064,0.169) 0.123(0.080,0.165) 0.123(0.080,0.165) 0.128(0.093,0.162) 0.188(0.139,0.237) 0.161(0.130,0.191) 0.099(0.022,0.176) 0.134(0.099,0.169) 0.167(0.120,0.214) 0.182(0.121,0.243)		-0.0001 <0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138 0.246
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke smoke smoke bmi<24 bmi<24 bmi>	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.939) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182,0.793) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848) 0.647( 0.543, 0.751) 0.674( 0.542, 0.806) 0.718( 0.629, 0.806) 0.387( 0.180, 0.593) 0.709( 0.606, 0.813) 0.617( 0.486, 0.748) 0.545( 0.386, 0.705) 0.696( 0.600, 0.791)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001 0.491 0.23 0.075	45-69 70-100 Sex male female 0 7/month 3/month 5/month 5/moke 8 8 8 1 8 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 1 1	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.134 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.033, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176) 0.134 ( 0.099, 0.169) 0.167 ( 0.120, 0.214) 0.182 ( 0.121, 0.243) 0.136 ( 0.104, 0.168)		-0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138 0.246
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1//month >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi>=24 bmi>=24 DM mo yes Hypertension no yes Hypertension no ves po	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.339) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182, 0.793) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848) 0.647( 0.543, 0.751) 0.674( 0.542, 0.806) 0.387( 0.180, 0.593) 0.709( 0.606, 0.813) 0.617( 0.486, 0.748) 0.545( 0.386, 0.705) 0.696( 0.600, 0.791)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> <li>&lt;0.001</li> <li>&lt;0.001</li></ul>	0.328 0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001 0.491 0.23 0.875	45-69 70-100 Sex male female forink freqency //month >1/month >1/month smoke smoke never BMI bmi<24 bmi>24	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.138 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.033, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176) 0.134 ( 0.099, 0.169) 0.167 ( 0.120, 0.214) 0.182 ( 0.121, 0.243) 0.136 ( 0.104, 0.168) 0.405 ( 0.104, 0.168)		-0.0001 -0.	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138 0.246 0.026
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no romover smoke smoke smoke smoke smoke smoke bmi>=24 DM bmi>=24 DM bmi>=24 DM bmi>=24 Pkpertension no yes Hypertension no yes CVD no	0.667(0.575, 0.760) 0.605(0.428, 0.781) 0.519(0.409, 0.629) 0.817(0.695, 0.839) 0.704(0.602, 0.807) 0.487(0.182,0.739) 0.597(0.445, 0.750) 0.536(0.415, 0.657) 0.738(0.627, 0.848) 0.647(0.543, 0.751) 0.674(0.542, 0.806) 0.387(0.180, 0.593) 0.709(0.606, 0.813) 0.617(0.486, 0.748) 0.545(0.386, 0.705) 0.696(0.600, 0.791) 0.653(0.566, 0.741)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.328 0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001 0.491 0.23 0.23	45-69 70-100 70-100 8x male female 70 rink freqency 1/month 51/month 51/month 53 moke 53 moke 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74	0.138(0.106,0.170) 0.134(0.025,0.172) 0.134(0.095,0.172) 0.173(0.131,0.216) 0.166(0.131,0.202) 0.168(0.057,0.280) 0.116(0.064,0.169) 0.123(0.080,0.165) 0.128(0.093,0.162) 0.188(0.139,0.237) 0.161(0.130,0.191) 0.099(0.022,0.176) 0.134(0.099,0.169) 0.167(0.120,0.214) 0.182(0.121,0.243) 0.163(0.104,0.168) 0.136(0.104,0.165)		-0.0001 -0.	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138 0.246 0.026
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke smoke smoke smoke bmi<24 bmi>24	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.939) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182,0.793) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848) 0.647( 0.542, 0.806) 0.718( 0.629, 0.806) 0.387( 0.180, 0.593) 0.709( 0.606, 0.813) 0.617( 0.486, 0.748) 0.545( 0.386, 0.705) 0.696( 0.600, 0.791) 0.653( 0.566, 0.741) 0.654( 0.434, 0.875)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.323	45-69 70-100 70-100 Sex male female female 7000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.134 ( 0.121, 0.247) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.168 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.033, 0.162) 0.188 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176) 0.134 ( 0.099, 0.169) 0.167 ( 0.120, 0.214) 0.182 ( 0.121, 0.243) 0.136 ( 0.104, 0.168) 0.227 ( 0.148, 0.306)		-0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001 -0.0001	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138 0.246 0.026
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1//month >1/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke smoke smoke bmi<24 bmi>=24	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.339) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182, 0.793) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848) 0.647( 0.543, 0.751) 0.674( 0.542, 0.806) 0.387( 0.180, 0.593) 0.709( 0.606, 0.813) 0.617( 0.486, 0.748) 0.545( 0.386, 0.705) 0.696( 0.600, 0.791) 0.653( 0.566, 0.741) 0.654( 0.434, 0.875)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> <li>&lt;0.001</li>     &lt;</ul>	0.328 0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001 0.491 0.23 0.875 0.417	45-69 70-100 Sex male female 1 female 2 interest 2 interest 3 interest interest interest 3 interest 3 interest	0.138(0.106,0.170) 0.184(0.121,0.247) 0.134(0.095,0.172) 0.173(0.131,0.216) 0.166(0.131,0.202) 0.168(0.057,0.280) 0.116(0.064,0.169) 0.128(0.093,0.162) 0.128(0.093,0.162) 0.128(0.129,0.206) 0.128(0.139,0.237) 0.161(0.130,0.191) 0.099(0.022,0.176) 0.134(0.099,0.169) 0.167(0.120,0.214) 0.136(0.104,0.168) 0.135(0.104,0.165) 0.227(0.148,0.306)		-0.0001 -0.	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138 0.246 0.026 0.693
45-69 >=70 Sex male female Drink freqency no <1/month >1/month Smoke smoke smoke never BMI bmi>=24 DM no yes Hypertension no yes Hypertension no yes CVD no yes CVD no SKD	0.667( 0.575, 0.760) 0.605( 0.428, 0.781) 0.519( 0.409, 0.629) 0.817( 0.695, 0.839) 0.704( 0.602, 0.807) 0.487( 0.182, 0.739) 0.597( 0.445, 0.750) 0.536( 0.415, 0.657) 0.738( 0.627, 0.848) 0.647( 0.543, 0.751) 0.674( 0.543, 0.751) 0.674( 0.542, 0.806) 0.718( 0.629, 0.806) 0.387( 0.180, 0.593) 0.709( 0.606, 0.813) 0.617( 0.486, 0.748) 0.545( 0.386, 0.705) 0.696( 0.600, 0.791) 0.653( 0.566, 0.741) 0.654( 0.434, 0.875) 0.661( 0.578, 0.744)		<ul> <li>&lt;0.0001</li> </ul>	0.328 0.003 0.16 0.017 0.361 0.001 0.491 0.23 0.875 0.417	45-69 70-100 70-100 8x male female 70 rink freqency 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	0.138 ( 0.106, 0.170) 0.134 ( 0.025, 0.172) 0.134 ( 0.095, 0.172) 0.173 ( 0.131, 0.216) 0.166 ( 0.131, 0.202) 0.168 ( 0.057, 0.280) 0.116 ( 0.064, 0.169) 0.123 ( 0.080, 0.165) 0.128 ( 0.129, 0.206) 0.128 ( 0.139, 0.237) 0.161 ( 0.130, 0.191) 0.099 ( 0.022, 0.176) 0.134 ( 0.099, 0.162) 0.167 ( 0.120, 0.214) 0.162 ( 0.121, 0.243) 0.165 ( 0.104, 0.165) 0.227 ( 0.148 ( 0.119, 0.177)		-0.0001 -0.	0.114 0.028 0.056 0.066 0.425 0.138 0.246 0.026

FIGURE 3

Subgroup analysis of the association between serum uric acid and accelerated aging. (**A**) NHANES - ΔKlemera-Doubal Biological Age, (**B**) NHANES - Δphenotypic age, (**C**) CHARLS - ΔKlemera-Doubal Biological Age, (**D**) CHARLS - allostatic load. For NHANES, the model was adjusted for age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty, BMI, alcohol user, smoke, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease. For CHARLS, the model was adjusted for sex, age, residence place, south/north, education, marital status, drink frequency, smoke status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease.

counteract oxidative stress and free radical damage, thus potentially protecting against aging and cancer (28). However, increasing evidence now suggests that elevated SUA levels may contribute to oxidative stress (29, 30), leading to cellular damage and accelerating aging. Hyperuricemia has also been strongly associated with chronic

low-grade inflammation, with studies indicating that SUA can induce an inflammatory state by promoting the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  (31). Additionally, hyperuricemia contributes to endothelial dysfunction (32), increases arterial stiffness (33), and heightens the risk of hypertension and CVD. Furthermore, TABLE 4 Association between SUA and risk of all-cause and premature mortality.

	Death	p	Premature death	p			
NHANES							
Crude model	1.23 (1.20,1.26)	<0.0001	1.202 (1.150,1.256)	<0.0001			
Model 1	1.10 (1.07, 1.13)	<0.0001	1.074 (1.002,1.151)	0.044			
Model 2	1.12 (1.09, 1.16)	<0.0001	1.090 (1.016,1.170)	0.016			
Model 3	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	0.01	1.064 (1.001,1.132)	0.046			
CHARLS							
Crude model	1.08 (0.93,1.26)	0.30	1.07 (0.82,1.39)	0.62			
Model 1	0.91 (0.77, 1.08)	0.28	0.94 (0.69, 1.28)	0.70			
Model 2	0.92 (0.77,1.10)	0.36	0.93 (0.68, 1.27)	0.64			
Model 3	0.90 (0.75,1.08)	0.25	0.93 (0.68, 1.27)	0.64			

For NHANES: model 1: age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty; model 2: age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty, BMI, alcohol user, smoke; model 3: age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty, BMI, alcohol user, smoke, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease. For CHARLS: model 1: sex, age, residence place, south/north, education, marital status, BMI; model 2: sex, age, residence place, south/north, education, marital status, BMI; model 3: sex, age, residence place, south/north, education, marital status, drink frequency, smoke status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease.

elevated SUA levels are thought to impact telomere length and mitochondrial function, thus accelerating the aging process at the molecular level (34, 35).

Epidemiological studies have demonstrated that SUA levels are significantly correlated with several aging-related diseases, including CVD (36–38), CKD (39, 40), diabetes (41, 42), and cognitive decline (43). This study extends these findings by systematically evaluating the impact of SUA on various aging markers and mortality outcomes in two large cohorts. Consistent with prior research, we found a strong association between elevated SUA levels and accelerated biological aging (35, 43, 44). Notably, our study employed three complementary aging assessment models—KDM-BA, PhenoAge, and AL—which further confirmed that this association remained stable after adjusting for a variety of covariates. Moreover, the RCS analysis revealed a nonlinear relationship between SUA and biological aging, indicating that there is no clear "safe" threshold for SUA levels with respect to biological aging. This result was validated in two distinct national cohorts, providing robust evidence for SUA's role in aging.

Subgroup analyses revealed that the impact of SUA on accelerated biological aging was more pronounced in women and patients with CKD. This suggests that SUA may influence the aging process through different biological mechanisms across various populations. Estrogen has been shown to lower SUA levels by inhibiting the URAT1 transporter (45), but this protective effect is lost in postmenopausal women, who are at a higher risk for gout compared to premenopausal women (46). Previous studies have indicated that hyperuricemia accelerates CKD progression through activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), inhibition of nitric oxide (NO) synthesis, and induction of chronic inflammation (47). Our findings align with these studies, as we observed the most significant effect of SUA on biological aging in CKD patients, with a clear interaction with all-cause mortality. This may be due to urate-induced vascular damage, oxidative stress, and immune activation. While uratelowering therapy may help slow CKD progression, direct evidence supporting its clinical benefits for aging and mortality is lacking. Future studies should explore the underlying mechanisms and potential interventions.

The relationship between hyperuricemia, urate-lowering therapy, and mortality risk remains a topic of contention. Many observational studies have suggested a U-shaped curve between SUA levels and mortality risk (48-50), and our findings in the NHANES cohort are consistent with these studies, showing a U-shaped relationship between SUA levels and both all-cause and premature mortality. However, this relationship was not observed in the CHARLS cohort, likely due to its shorter follow-up duration (mean: 2.0 years) compared to NHANES (mean: 12.9 years), which may have reduced the statistical power to detect significant mortality associations. Notably, the average SUA in the CHARLS cohort (4.44 mg/dL) was significantly lower than in the NHANES cohort (5.43 mg/dL), and the prevalence of hyperuricemia was also much lower (5.4% vs. 20.6%). This discrepancy may be attributed to racial differences or dietary patterns, such as low-purine diets (51), though the lack of dietary data in CHARLS prevents further investigation. Previous studies have shown that gout can lead to premature death (52), but the specific impact remains unclear. This study is the first to demonstrate a significant association between hyperuricemia and premature mortality. After adjusting for confounders, the association between SUA levels and premature mortality showed a U-shaped nonlinear relationship. Janis Timsans and colleagues suggested that renal dysfunction hyperuricemia without (metabolic hyperuricemia) may increase the risk of premature death through the induction of CVD (53), a finding that is consistent with our subgroup analysis. We observed that SUA had a more pronounced effect on premature death in individuals with hypertension, hyperlipidemia, ASCVD, and CKD, emphasizing the importance of managing SUA levels in cardiovascular, renal, and metabolic diseases.

SUA levels also reflect nutritional status (54), and some studies have found that sarcopenia and weight loss are more common in patients with low SUA levels (55). Differences in body composition may help explain the association between low SUA levels and higher mortality (56). Studies conducted on hemodialysis populations found that patients with lower SUA levels, along with a low lean tissue index and high geriatric nutrition risk index, exhibited greater mortality risk. This suggests that



#### FIGURE 4

Association between serum uric acid and the risk of all-cause and premature mortality. Panel (A,B) show the results of RCS analysis depicting the relationship between serum uric acid levels and all-cause mortality and premature mortality, respectively. Kaplan–Meier survival curves are presented for all-cause mortality (C) and premature mortality (D). Panel (E,F) display subgroup analyses evaluating the association between SUA levels and all-cause mortality and premature mortality, respectively. Kaplan–Meier survival curves are presented for all-cause mortality and premature mortality (D). Panel (E,F) display subgroup analyses evaluating the association between SUA levels and all-cause mortality and premature mortality, respectively. The model was adjusted for age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty, BMI, alcohol user, smoke, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease.



Sensitivity analysis results for the NHANES cohort. Panels (A,B) present the association between serum uric acid levels and  $\Delta$ DNA methylation-based PhenoAge and  $\Delta$ GrimAge. Panels (C,D) display the results of RCS analysis examining the relationship between serum uric acid and  $\Delta$ DNA methylation-based PhenoAge and  $\Delta$ GrimAge, respectively. Subgroup analyses of the association between serum uric acid levels and  $\Delta$ DNA methylation-based PhenoAge and  $\Delta$ GrimAge are shown in panel (E,F). Model 1: age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty; Model 2: age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty, BMI, alcohol user, smoke; Model 3: age, ethnicity, sex, education, marital status, poverty, BMI, alcohol user, smoke, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease.

better nutritional status, rather than elevated SUA levels, may help reduce mortality risk (57). Furthermore, the effect of ULT on mortality risk remains debated. The CARES trial showed that febuxostat treatment in gout patients with CVD resulted in significantly higher all-cause (HR = 1.22) and cardiovascular (HR = 1.34) mortality rates compared to allopurinol, with the difference being more pronounced in patients with lower baseline SUA levels (58). This suggests that excessively lowering SUA may increase mortality risk, potentially due to the loss of SUA's antioxidant effects, acute inflammation from urate crystal dissolution, and vascular dysfunction (59). Further research is needed to clarify the causal relationship between uric acid-lowering therapy and mortality.

This study advances our understanding of SUA's role in biological aging through several methodological improvements. By using data from two nationally representative cohorts (NHANES



#### FIGURE 6

Sensitivity analysis results for the CHARLS cohort. Panels (A,B) present the association between serum uric acid levels and Klemera-Doubal Biological Age and allostatic load, respectively, in the CHARLS cohort. Panels (C,D) display the results of RCS analysis examining the relationship between serum uric acid and Klemera-Doubal Biological Age and allostatic load, respectively. Subgroup analyses of the association between serum uric acid levels and (E) Klemera-Doubal Biological Age and allostatic load, respectively. Subgroup analyses of the association between serum uric acid levels and (E) Klemera-Doubal Biological Age and (F) allostatic load are shown. Model 1: sex, age, place of residence, south/north, education, marital status; Model 2: sex, age, place of residence, south/north, education, marital status, drink frequency, smoking status, BMI; Model 3: sex, age, place of residence, south/north, education, marital status, drink frequency, smoking status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease.

and CHARLS), we provide the first multi-country evidence that elevated SUA levels are consistently associated with accelerated aging across various biomarkers, including Klemera-Doubal BA, PhenoAge, and AL. These findings were robust even after adjusting for various demographic, behavioral, and confounding factors. Furthermore, restricted cubic spline analysis further described the nonlinear relationship between SUA and mortality risk in the NHANES cohort. However, this study has several limitations. First,

10.3389/fnut.2025.1569798

as an observational study, it cannot establish causality, and residual confounding from unmeasured factors (e.g., dietary patterns, genetic polymorphisms in urate transporters) may still be present despite comprehensive adjustments. Second, the statistical power of the CHARLS cohort is limited due to fewer death events (n = 97) and a shorter follow-up period, which may have weakened our ability to detect significant mortality associations. Third, reliance on a single SUA measurement may obscure the cumulative effects of chronic hyperuricemia, as longitudinal SUA trajectories are more strongly associated with clinical outcomes than static assessments. Fourth, while the use of standardized aging biomarkers enhances comparability, differences in biomarker measurement protocols across cohorts (e.g., CRP measurement methods) may introduce heterogeneity in BA measurements. Finally, although we applied a unified definition of premature mortality across cohorts to facilitate comparison, differences in life expectancy between the U.S. and China may affect its clinical relevance (19, 60, 61). Future studies should consider cohortspecific thresholds to better reflect population-level aging and mortality risk.

## Conclusion

Based on data from the NHANES and CHARLS cohorts, we found that elevated SUA levels were significantly associated with accelerated biological aging in both populations. In the NHANES cohort, higher SUA levels were also linked to an increased risk of all-cause and premature mortality, with a U-shaped nonlinear relationship. However, this association was not observed in the CHARLS cohort, suggesting potential populationspecific differences. These findings underscore the role of SUA as a potential contributor to aging and mortality risk, highlighting the need for further research to clarify the causal relationship and evaluate the long-term benefits and risks of uric acidlowering strategies.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## **Ethics statement**

This project was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Peking University Medical School, and all participants provided written informed consent (IRB00001052-11014 and IRB00001052-11015).

## Author contributions

CZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LZ: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YL: Data curation, Validation, Writing – original draft. L-qS: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. X-rL: Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft. YW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. WS: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by Beijing University of Chinese Medicine Young Teachers Program (Grant No. Y2023B08). Weiwei Sun obtained the fundings.

## Acknowledgments

We extend our appreciation to all the researchers who generously shared the data utilized in this study.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Author disclaimer

The views expressed in the paper are those of the authors and not necessarily of the listed funders.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2025.1569798/ full#supplementary-material

## References

1. Li Y, Tian X, Luo J, Bao T, Wang S, Wu X. Molecular mechanisms of aging and anti-aging strategies. *Cell Commun Signal.* (2024) 22:285. doi: 10.1186/s12964-024-01663-1

2. Triposkiadis F, Xanthopoulos A, Butler J. Cardiovascular aging and heart failure: JACC review topic of the week. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2019) 74:804–13. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.06.053

3. Spinelli R, Parrillo L, Longo M, Florese P, Desiderio A, Zatterale F, et al. Molecular basis of ageing in chronic metabolic diseases. *J Endocrinol Investig.* (2020) 43:1373–89. doi: 10.1007/s40618-020-01255-z

Kritsilis MV, Rizou S, Koutsoudaki PN, Evangelou K, Gorgoulis VG, Papadopoulos D. Ageing, cellular senescence and neurodegenerative disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2018) 19:2937. doi: 10.3390/ijms19102937

5. Zhang B, Trapp A, Kerepesi C, Gladyshev VN. Emerging rejuvenation strategiesreducing the biological age. *Aging Cell*. (2022) 21:e13538. doi: 10.1111/acel.13538

6. Tan PK, Farrar JE, Gaucher EA, Miner JN. Coevolution of URAT1 and Uricase during primate evolution: implications for serum urate homeostasis and gout. *Mol Biol Evol.* (2016) 33:2193–200. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw116

7. Braga TT, Forni MF, Correa-Costa M, Ramos RN, Barbuto JA, Branco P, et al. Soluble uric acid activates the NLRP3 Inflammasome. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:39884. doi: 10.1038/srep39884

8. Socha MW, Malinowski B, Puk O, Dubiel M, Wiciński M. The NLRP3 Inflammasome role in the pathogenesis of pregnancy induced hypertension and preeclampsia. *Cells.* (2020) 9:1642. doi: 10.3390/cells9071642

9. Wu M, Ma Y, Chen X, Liang N, Qu S, Chen H. Hyperuricemia causes kidney damage by promoting autophagy and NLRP3-mediated inflammation in rats with urate oxidase deficiency. *Dis Model Mech.* (2021) 14:dmm048041. doi: 10.1242/dmm.048041

10. Cho SK, Chang Y, Kim I, Ryu S. U-shaped association between serum uric acid level and risk of mortality: a cohort study. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2018) 70:1122–32. doi: 10.1002/art.40472

11. Huang Y, Li J, Sun F, Zhou H, Jiang H, Chen L. U-shaped relationship between uric acid levels and all-cause mortality in patients with hypertension. *Sci Rep.* (2025) 15:97. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-83831-4

12. Johnson CL, Paulose-Ram R, Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kruszon-Moran D, Dohrmann SM, et al. National health and nutrition examination survey: analytic guidelines, 1999-2010. *Vital Health Stat.* (2013) 2:1–24.

13. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol.* (2014) 43:61–8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dys203

14. Klemera P, Doubal S. A new approach to the concept and computation of biological age. *Mech Ageing Dev.* (2006) 127:240–8. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2005.10.004

15. Levine ME, Lu AT, Quach A, Chen BH, Assimes TL, Bandinelli S, et al. An epigenetic biomarker of aging for lifespan and healthspan. *Aging (Albany NY)*. (2018) 10:573–91. doi: 10.18632/aging.101414

16. Liu Z. Development and validation of 2 composite aging measures using routine clinical biomarkers in the Chinese population: analyses from 2 prospective cohort studies. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. (2021) 76:1627–32. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glaa238

17. Ye X, Zhu D, Ding R, He P. Association of life-course socioeconomic status with allostatic load in Chinese middle-aged and older adults. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* (2022) 22:425–32. doi: 10.1111/ggi.14373

18. Kwon D, Belsky DW. A toolkit for quantification of biological age from blood chemistry and organ function test data: BioAge. *Geroscience*. (2021) 43:2795–808. doi: 10.1007/s11357-021-00480-5

19. Norheim OFJha P, Admasu K, Godal T, Hum RJ, Kruk ME, et al. Avoiding 40% of the premature deaths in each country, 2010-30: review of national mortality trends to help quantify the UN sustainable development goal for health. *Lancet.* (2015) 385:239–52. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61591-9

20. WHO consultation. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser*. (2000) 894:1–253.

21. Zhou B-FCooperative Meta-analysis Group of the Working Group on obesity in China. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference for risk factors of certain related diseases in Chinese adults--study on optimal cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults. *Biomed Environ Sci.* (2002) 15:83–96.

22. American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. 2. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes: standards of Care in Diabetes-2024. *Diabetes Care*. (2024) 47:S20–42. doi: 10.2337/dc24-S002

23. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III) final report. *Circulation*. (2002) 106:3143–421.

24. Rovin BH, Adler SG, Barratt J, Bridoux F, Burdge KA, Chan TM, et al. KDIGO 2021 clinical practice guideline for the Management of Glomerular Diseases. *Kidney Int.* (2021) 100:S1–S276. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2021.05.021

25. Lu AT, Quach A, Wilson JG, Reiner AP, Aviv A, Raj K, et al. DNA methylation GrimAge strongly predicts lifespan and healthspan. *Aging (Albany NY).* (2019) 11:303–27. doi: 10.18632/aging.101684

26. Desquilbet L, Mariotti F. Dose-response analyses using restricted cubic spline functions in public health research. *Stat Med.* (2010) 29:1037–57. doi: 10.1002/sim.3841

27. Nieto FJ, Iribarren C, Gross MD, Comstock GW, Cutler RG. Uric acid and serum antioxidant capacity: a reaction to atherosclerosis? *Atherosclerosis*. (2000) 148:131–9. doi: 10.1016/s0021-9150(99)00214-2

28. Ames BN, Cathcart R, Schwiers E, Hochstein P. Uric acid provides an antioxidant defense in humans against oxidant- and radical-caused aging and cancer: a hypothesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1981) 78:6858–62. doi: 10.1073/pnas.78.11.6858

29. Zamudio-Cuevas Y, Martínez-Flores K, Fernández-Torres J, Loissell-Baltazar YA, Medina-Luna D, López-Macay A, et al. Monosodium urate crystals induce oxidative stress in human synoviocytes. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2016) 18:117. doi: 10.1186/s13075-016-1012-3

30. Zhang M, Cui R, Zhou Y, Ma Y, Jin Y, Gou X, et al. Uric acid accumulation in the kidney triggers mast cell degranulation and aggravates renal oxidative stress. *Toxicology*. (2023) 483:153387. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2022.153387

31. Luis-Rodríguez D, Donate-Correa J, Martín-Núñez E, Ferri C, Tagua VG, Pérez Castro A, et al. Serum urate is related to subclinical inflammation in asymptomatic hyperuricaemia. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* (2021) 60:371–9. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keaa425

32. Otani N, Toyoda S, Sakuma M, Hayashi K, Ouchi M, Fujita T, et al. Effects of uric acid on vascular endothelial function from bedside to bench. *Hypertens Res.* (2018) 41:923–31. doi: 10.1038/s41440-018-0095-4

33. Canepa M, Viazzi F, Strait JB, Ameri P, Pontremoli R, Brunelli C, et al. Longitudinal association between serum uric acid and arterial stiffness. *Hypertension*. (2017) 69:228–35. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.08114

34. Yu J, Liu H, He S, Li P, Ma C, Ping F, et al. Negative Association of Serum URIC acid with peripheral blood cellular aging markers. *J Nutr Health Aging*. (2019) 23:547–51. doi: 10.1007/s12603-019-1200-3

35. Lv Z, Cui J, Zhang J. Associations between serum urate and telomere length and inflammation markers: Evidence from UK biobank cohort. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1065739. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1065739

36. Perticone M, Maio R, Shehaj E, Gigliotti S, Caroleo B, Suraci E, et al. Sex-related differences for uric acid in the prediction of cardiovascular events in essential hypertension. A population prospective study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:298. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-02006-z

37. Cui K, Song Y, Yin D, Song W, Wang H, Zhu C, et al. Uric acid levels, number of standard modifiable cardiovascular risk factors, and prognosis in patients with coronary artery disease: a large cohort study in Asia. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2023) 12:e030625. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.123.030625

38. Kuwabara M, Hisatome I, Niwa K, Hara S, Roncal-Jimenez CA, Bjornstad P, et al. Uric acid is a strong risk marker for developing hypertension from prehypertension: a 5-year Japanese cohort study. *Hypertension*. (2018) 71:78–86. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10370

39. Silva NR, Gonçalves CET, Gonçalves DLN, Cotta RMM, da Silva LS. Association of uric acid and uric acid to creatinine ratio with chronic kidney disease in hypertensive patients. *BMC Nephrol.* (2021) 22:311. doi: 10.1186/s12882-021-02521-9

40. Gonçalves DLN, Moreira TR, da Silva LS. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between uric acid levels and chronic kidney disease. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:6251. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-10118-x

41. van der Schaft N, Brahimaj A, Wen K-X, Franco OH, Dehghan A. The association between serum uric acid and the incidence of prediabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Rotterdam study. *PLoS One.* (2017) 12:e0179482. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0179482

42. Tian X, Wang A, Zuo Y, Chen S, Zhang L, Zhao Y, et al. Time course of serum uric acid accumulation and the risk of diabetes mellitus. *Nutr Diabetes*. (2022) 12:1. doi: 10.1038/s41387-021-00179-8

43. Kueider AM, An Y, Tanaka T, Kitner-Triolo MH, Studenski S, Ferrucci L, et al. Sex-dependent associations of serum uric acid with brain function during aging. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2017) 60:699–706. doi: 10.3233/JAD-170287

44. Bulut O, Temba GS, Koeken VACM, Moorlag SJCFM, de Bree LCJ, Mourits VP, et al. Common and distinct metabolomic markers related to immune aging in Western European and east African populations. *Mech Ageing Dev.* (2024) 218:111916. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2024.111916

45. Liu L, Zhao T, Shan L, Cao L, Zhu X, Xue Y. Estradiol regulates intestinal ABCG2 to promote urate excretion via the PI3K/Akt pathway. *Nutr Metab (Lond)*. (2021) 18:63. doi: 10.1186/s12986-021-00583-y

46. Hak AE, Curhan GC, Grodstein F, Choi HK. Menopause, postmenopausal hormone use and risk of incident gout. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2010) 69:1305–9. doi: 10.1136/ard.2009.109884

47. Ponticelli C, Podestà MA, Moroni G. Hyperuricemia as a trigger of immune response in hypertension and chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* (2020) 98:1149–59. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2020.05.056

48. Zheng Y, Ou J, Huang D, Zhou Z, Dong X, Chen J, et al. The U-shaped relationship between serum uric acid and Long-term all-cause mortality in coronary artery disease patients: a cohort study of 33,034 patients. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:858889. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.858889

49. Tseng W-C, Chen Y-T, Ou S-M, Shih C-J, Tarng D-C. Taiwan geriatric kidney disease (TGKD) research group. U-shaped association between serum uric acid levels with cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in the elderly: the role of malnourishment. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2018) 7:e007523. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.117. 007523

50. Odden MC, Amadu A-R, Smit E, Lo L, Peralta CA. Uric acid levels, kidney function, and cardiovascular mortality in US adults: National Health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES) 1988-1994 and 1999-2002. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2014) 64:550–7. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.04.024

51. Beydoun MA, Fanelli-Kuczmarski MT, Canas J-A, Beydoun HA, Evans MK, Zonderman AB. Dietary factors are associated with serum uric acid trajectory differentially by race among urban adults. *Br J Nutr.* (2018) 120:935–45. doi: 10.1017/S0007114518002118

52. Fisher MC, Rai SK, Lu N, Zhang Y, Choi HK. The unclosing premature mortality gap in gout: a general population-based study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2017) 76:1289–94. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210588

53. Timsans J, Kerola AM, Rantalaiho VM, Hakkarainen KN, Kautiainen HJ, Kauppi MJ. "Metabolic" type of hyperuricemia increases mortality mainly by leading to premature death from cardiovascular disease. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2024) 99:1835–7. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2024.07.011

54. Park C, Obi Y, Streja E, Rhee CM, Catabay CJ, Vaziri ND, et al. Serum uric acid, protein intake and mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. (2017) 32:gfw419–gfw1757. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfw419

55. Molino-Lova R, Sofi F, Pasquini G, Vannetti F, Del Ry S, Vassalle C, et al. Higher uric acid serum levels are associated with better muscle function in the oldest old: results from the Mugello study. *Eur J Intern Med.* (2017) 41:39–43. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2017.03.014

56. Baker JF, Weber DR, Neogi T, George MD, Long J, Helget LN, et al. Associations between low serum urate, body composition, and mortality. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2023) 75:133–40. doi: 10.1002/art.42301

57. Gan W, Zhu F, Fang X, Wang W, Shao D, Mao H, et al. Association between serum uric acid and all-cause and cardiovascular-related mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Front Nutr.* (2024) 11:1499438. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1499438

58. White WB, Saag KG, Becker MA, Borer JS, Gorelick PB, Whelton A, et al. Cardiovascular safety of Febuxostat or allopurinol in patients with gout. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:1200–10. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1710895

59. Perez-Gomez MV, Bartsch L-A, Castillo-Rodriguez E, Fernandez-Prado R, Kanbay M, Ortiz A. Potential dangers of serum urate-lowering therapy. *Am J Med.* (2019) 132:457–67. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2018.12.010

60. Chen Y, Freedman ND, Rodriquez EJ, Shiels MS, Napoles AM, Withrow DR, et al. Trends in premature deaths among adults in the United States and Latin America. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2020) 3:e1921085. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.21085

61. Christopoulos K, Eleftheriou K. Premature mortality in the US: a convergence study. *Soc Sci Med.* (2020) 258:113141. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113141