Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mohamed Lounis, Ziane Achour University of Djelfa, Algeria

REVIEWED BY Ivan Šoša, University of Rijeka, Croatia Dimitrios Kehagias, University of Patras, Greece Andreas Antzoulas, General University Hospital of Patras, Greece

*CORRESPONDENCE Yaojian Shao ⊠ syj_1107@163.com

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{These}$ authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 08 March 2025 ACCEPTED 02 June 2025 PUBLISHED 23 June 2025

CITATION

Jiang C, Zhu L, Teng X, Wen H, Yu Z, Yang W and Shao Y (2025) The mediating role of body mass index in the association between unprocessed or minimally processed foods and gallstones. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1589805. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1589805

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Jiang, Zhu, Teng, Wen, Yu, Yang and Shao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The mediating role of body mass index in the association between unprocessed or minimally processed foods and gallstones

Chenyu Jiang^{1†}, Luqi Zhu^{2†}, Xiaosheng Teng³, Hongxun Wen³, Zhenjun Yu³, Weiwei Yang³ and Yaojian Shao^{3*}

¹Department of Geriatric, Taizhou Central Hospital (Taizhou University Hospital), Taizhou, Zhejiang, China, ²Department of Critical Care Medicine, Taizhou Hospital of Zhejiang Province Affiliated to Wenzhou Medical University, Taizhou, Zhejiang, China, ³Department of Gastroenterology, Taizhou Central Hospital (Taizhou University Hospital), Taizhou, Zhejiang, China

Background: The extent of food processing significantly impacts human health, with ultra-processed foods (UPFs) linked to numerous adverse health outcomes. In contrast, research on unprocessed or minimally processed foods (MPFs) and their association with gallstones remains scarce. This study aimed to investigate the relationship between MPF intake and gallstones in U.S. adults.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional analysis using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES, 2017–2023). MPF intake was assessed according to the NOVA classification system. Survey-weighted logistic regression, restricted cubic spline models, and mediation analyses were employed to evaluate the association between MPF consumption and gallstones disease.

Results: Among 11,779 U.S. adults, 1,303 cases of gallstones disease were identified (weighted prevalence: 9.8%). Elevated percentage contribution of MPF was significantly associated with a reduced likelihood of gallstones [model 1, odds ratio (OR): 0.40, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.21–0.78], and this inverse relationship persisted after full adjustment (model 3; OR: 0.28, 95% CI: 0.09–0.84). Compared to the lowest quartile (Q1), the highest quartile (Q4) of MPF consumption showed significantly lower odds of gallstones (OR: 0.72, 95% CI: 0.53–0.98). A non-linear, inverted U-shaped relationship was observed between MPF intake and gallstones (overall p < 0.001; non-linear p = 0.031). Mediation analysis indicated that the body mass index (BMI) partially mediated this association. No significant associations were found between other NOVA food groups, including UPF, and gallstones disease.

Conclusion: Higher MPF consumption is associated with a lower risk of gallstones disease, with BMI partially mediating this relationship.

KEYWORDS

unprocessed or minimally processed foods, ultra-processed foods, NOVA, gallstones, body mass index, mediation, NHANES

1 Introduction

Gallstones disease, a prevalent hepatobiliary disorder, represents a significant global health burden with substantial economic implications. The prevalence of gallstones varies across region, with estimates ranging from 10% to 20% in America, Europe, and other developed countries, and 5% reported in certain parts of Asia (1, 2). While most adult patients are asymptomatic, about 25% experience symptoms and complications, including cholecystitis, pancreatitis, and cholangitis, which often necessitate surgical treatment for effective management (3-6). The high prevalence of gallstones disease and its associated complications, along with the necessary treatments and surgical interventions, impose a substantial medical burden on patients and significantly elevate healthcare costs at the societal level (7). This trend is anticipated to intensify, as the prevalence of gallstones disease has risen significantly and has doubled over the past few decades (8). Therefore, investigating primary prevention strategies for gallstones disease, such as dietary modifications and lifestyle changes, may offer significant benefits in reducing its prevalence and alleviating associated healthcare costs.

Gallstones formation is a multifactorial process influenced by various factors, including age, sex, obesity, sedentary lifestyle, dietary factors, and inflammatory response (9). Diet represents a practical and accessible approach to disease prevention (10) and has been demonstrated to modulate the progression of gallstones disease (11, 12). The high consumption of carbohydrates, caloric diet, and glycemic load were associated with higher risk, while high levels of fiber, vegetable, and fruit consumption were protective factors (13-15). Over the past two decades, there has been a global shift toward increased consumption of ultra-processed foods (UPF) and a corresponding decline in the intake of unprocessed or minimally processed foods (MPFs) (16). These foods are classified under the NOVA system, which categorizes food products based on their level of industrial processing (17). Excessive consumption of UPF has been shown to be associated with an increased risk of gallstones disease (18). MPF, which occupy the opposite end of the food processing spectrum, defined as foods are consumed in their natural state or altered by methods designed to preserve their nutritional content. The high dietary fiber composition of MPFs may reduce gallstone formation propensity by promoting bile acid enterohepatic circulation to mitigate biliary cholesterol supersaturation (19). While numerous studies have focused on the association between UPF and chronic diseases, research exploring the relationship between MPF and disease remains scarce in comparison. In particular, the association between MPF and gallstones disease is still unclear.

In this study, we performed a cross-sectional analysis utilizing data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) to investigate the association between MPF consumption and gallstones disease among US adults. Additionally, we explored the potential mediating effects of body mass index (BMI) on this association.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study population

This cross-sectional study utilized data from the NHANES, a nationally representative survey employing a complex, stratified, multistage probability sampling design. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their guardians prior to data collection. Data from three consecutive NHANES cycles (2017–2023) were analyzed. The initial dataset included 27,493 participants. Exclusion criteria were as follows: individuals aged < 20 years (n = 10,451), those with incomplete dietary recall or gallstones questionnaire data (n = 5,253), and participants lacking demographic information (n = 9). After exclusions, the final analytical sample consisted of 11,779 participants, as illustrated in Figure 1.

2.2 Assessment of food consumption

Dietary intake data were collected through 24-h dietary recalls conducted during the NHANES cycles (2017–2023). Based on the NOVA classification system, food items were categorized into four mutually exclusive groups according to the extent and purpose of food processing: UPFs, processed foods (PF), processed culinary ingredients (PCI), and unprocessed or MPF. The primary exposure variables in this study were the percentage contribution of MPF to total daily energy intake and the quartiles of MPF consumption (kcal/d).

2.3 Definition of gallstones

Gallstones presence was identified through participants' responses to the question, "Has a doctor ever told you that you have gallstones?" Participants who answered "Yes" were categorized as having gallstones, while those who answered "No" were categorized as not having gallstones.

2.4 Study covariates

Potential confounding factors influencing gallstones were carefully considered based on the reference. Additional participant data extracted from the NHANES database for this study included ethnicity (Mexican American, non-Hispanic White, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic Black, and other), age (years), sex (male or female), family poverty-to-income ratio (PIR), education (high school, and below and above high school), intake of energy (kcal), BMI (kg/m²), smoker/non-smoker [non-smoker (<100 lifetime cigarettes or more than this threshold but not a current smoker), smoker (>100 lifetime cigarettes and current smoker)], drinker/non-drinker [non-drinker (<12 drinks over lifetime or 12+ per year but none in the past year), drinker (within previous 12 months)], as well as presence of hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), and hyperlipidemia. DM was defined based on self-reported diagnosis, use of insulin or antidiabetic medication,



FBG ≥ 126 mg/dl, HbA1c ≥ 6.5%, or serum glucose ≥ 200 mg/dl 2 h after loading with 75 g oral glucose. The determination of hypertensive status was based on a systolic blood pressure level of 140 mmHg or higher and/or a diastolic blood pressure level of 90 mmHg or higher, a history of antihypertensive treatment, or a diagnosis of hypertension that was self-reported. Hyperlipidemia was defined as triglyceride (TG) levels ≥ 150 mg/dl (1.7 mmol/L), total cholesterol (TC) ≥ 200 mg/dl (5.18 mmol/L), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) ≥ 130 mg/dl (3.37 mmol/L), or high-density lipoprotein (HDL) < 40 mg/dl (1.04 mmol/L) in men and < 50 mg/dl (1.30 mmol/L) in women. Individuals using cholesterol-lowering medications were also classified as hyperlipidemic (20).

2.5 Statistical analyses

To account for the multistage sampling design of NHANES, sample weighting was leveraged for all analyses. Categorical variables are expressed as counts (weighted percentages) and assessed using Chi-square tests, while continuous variables are shown as means \pm SE and analyzed using Student's *t* tests. Weighted univariate and multivariate logistic regression were utilized to assess links between MPF intake (kcal/d) and gallstones, generating odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Model 1 received no adjustment, model 2 had adjustments for age, sex, education, ethnicity, and PIR, and model 3 included further adjustments for BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, DM, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia. Restricted cubic spline (RCS) models were utilized for assessing non-linear associations. Subgroup analyses were conducted in terms of age (<60, \geq 60), sex, ethnicity, education, BMI (<25, 25–30, and >30 kg/m²), DM, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoking, and drinking. Mediation analysis was performed using 'mediation" in R with 1,000 bootstrap iterations to examine how BMI mediates the relationship between the daily percentage intakes of MPF and gallstone disease. Analyses were conducted in R (v 4.2.2), with *p* < 0.05 indicating significance.

3 Results

3.1 Participants

Overall, 11,779 participants were enrolled in this study and categorized into quartiles based on MPF intake (kcal/d). The weighted prevalence of gallstones disease in this population was approximately 9.8%. In comparison with those in the lowest quartile (Q1), fewer participants in the highest quartile (Q4) were identified as gallstones. Additionally, higher MPF intake were related to greater proportions of males, individuals of Mexican American, other Hispanic, and other race, higher education status, and greater PIR. Participants in Q4 also consumed more energy, had lower BMI, a lower prevalence of DM and hypertension, fewer smokers and alcohol consumers compared to those in Q1. A summary of baseline characteristics is provided in Table 1.

3.2 Association of the MPF intake and gallstones

Weighted logistic regression analyses were used when assessing relationships between MPF intake and gallstones (Table 2). In the unadjusted model 1, higher percentage contribution of MPF were linked with reduced likelihood of gallstones (OR: 0.40, 95% CI: 0.21-0.78). Compared to Q1, participants in Q4 (OR: 0.66, 95% CI: 0.52-0.84) exhibited markedly lower odds of gallstones. After adjustment for age, sex, education, ethnicity, and PIR in model 2, the inverse relationship between percentage contribution of MPF and gallstones was still significant (OR: 0.21, 95% CI: 0.10-0.46). Compared with Q1, the odds of gallstones in Q3 (OR: 0.76, 95% CI: 0.60-0.95), Q4 (OR: 0.60, 95% CI: 0.47-0.78) was significantly reduced by 24%, and 40%, respectively. We further adjusted for BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, DM, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia in model 3 and found that the negative association was still observed (OR: 0.28, 95% CI: 0.09-0.84). Compared to Q1, significantly reduced odds of gallstones were observed only in Q4 (OR: 0.72, 95% CI: 0.53-0.98). The overall trend analysis yielded a p-value of 0.01. Higher percentage contribution of PF was associated with increased likelihood of gallstones in model 1 (OR: 1.76, 95% CI: 1.18-2.64; Supplementary Table 1). However, no significant association was observed between the intake of other food components, including UPF, PF, and PCI, and gallstones in model 2 and model 3 (Supplementary Table 1). To investigate potential non-linearity, RCS models were employed. As illustrated in Figure 2, MPF intake showed a statistically significant nonlinear association with gallstones (overall *p*-value < 0.001, non-line p-value = 0.031), displaying an inverted U-shaped pattern. At MPF intake exceeded 62.22 kcal/d, the rate of gallstones trended downward with increases in MPF intake. When these levels below 62.22 kcal/d, the rate of gallstones gradually trended upward.

3.3 Subgroup analysis

Stratified analyses were performed across various subgroups. Table 3 illustrates that the inverse relationship between MPF intake and gallstones was apparent in the age (<60), sex (female), educational level (<high school and >high school) subgroups. This relationship was also significant among participants with non-DM, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia, as well as among non-smokers and alcohol consumers. Subgroup analyses did not detect any significant interactions between MPF intake and gallstones incidence among the analyzed subgroups (p for interaction > 0.05).

3.4 Mediation analyses

To further elucidate the mechanisms underlying the link between MPF intake and gallstones, we performed mediation analysis using non-parametric bootstrapping (1,000 simulations), with BMI as the hypothesized mediating variable. Our results demonstrate that BMI partially mediates the association between MPF intake and gallstones (Figure 3). The significant total negative association between higher MPF intake and gallstones (total effect = -0.0718, p = 0.002) comprised both a significant direct effect (average direct effect = -0.0594, p = 0.024) and a significant indirect effect mediated by BMI (average causal mediation effect = -0.0124, p < 0.001). The proportion of the total effect mediated by BMI was 17.27% (p = 0.002), indicating partial mediation.

4 Discussion

In this nationally representative cohort of the U.S. adult population, higher consumption of MPF was associated with reduced odds of gallstones. On the other hand, no statistically significant associations were observed between consumption of other NOVA food group, including UPF, and gallstones after controlling for potential confounding factors. Further analysis using RCS suggested a potential non-linear relationship between MPF consumption and gallstones. Additionally, mediation analysis revealed that BMI significantly mediated the association between MPF consumption and gallstones.

Minimally processed foods refer to natural foods that undergo limited processing without added ingredients. These foods may be subjected to basic techniques like grinding, heating (e.g., boiling or pasteurization), cooling (e.g., refrigeration or freezing), or non-alcoholic fermentation. Common examples include whole grains, legumes, fresh produce, animal products (meat, fish, eggs, and milk), and pure fruit juices, which serve as vital sources of endogenous antioxidant compounds (21, 22). Moreover, numerous processing techniques can lower the mean total antioxidant content for a food item. Processed fruits are associated with a lower antioxidant content (23). Moist-heat treatment (steaming) was found to markedly reduce the contents of lutein and β-carotene, well-characterized antioxidant micronutrients (24). Dry-heat application (roasting) resulted in significant decomposition of endogenous antioxidant compounds (25). Cooking, baking, and boiling vegetables decrease levels of vitamin C, phenolic compounds, and lycopene (26). While some cooking methods mentioned above are considered minimally processed, these findings also indicate that food processing may disrupt the intrinsic food matrix and diminish antioxidant levels. Emerging evidence indicated that total antioxidant content would be highest in MPF and lowest in UPF, according to the NOVA classification (21). Oxidative stress is firmly established as a regulator of gallstones development (12, 27, 28). The depletion of endogenous antioxidants through dietary processing methods may potentially exacerbate gallstones formation risk. Insufficient vitamin C intake may elevate gallstones risk by impairing free radical regulation, subsequently altering biliary protein-lipid composition and promoting stone formation (29-31). Evidence suggests that antioxidant-rich diets can help abrogate gallstones risk (32, 33). Therefore, the findings related to MPF consumption and gallstones may be partially explained by the high total antioxidant content of MPF.

TABLE 1 Basic participant characteristics classified according to quartiles of MPF consumption (kcal/d).

		MPF				
Variable	Total	Q1 (0–8 kcal)	Q2 (9–122 kcal)	Q3 (123– 274 kcal)	Q4 (275– 4,037 kcal)	<i>p</i> -Value
Age (years)	48.84 (0.41)	45.63 (0.69)	50.05 (0.60)	49.98 (0.54)	49.80 (0.64)	< 0.0001
Sex (%)						< 0.0001
Female	6,316 (52.07)	1,542 (48.37)	1,837 (57.88)	1,559 (53.89)	1,378 (47.33)	
Male	5,463 (47.93)	1,415 (51.63)	1,252 (42.12)	1,245 (46.11)	1,551 (52.67)	
Ethnicity (%)						< 0.0001
Mexican American	1,146 (7.80)	169 (5.13)	245 (5.34)	312 (10.63)	420 (10.90)	
Non-Hispanic Black	2,425 (11.27)	840 (14.90)	600 (9.69)	526 (11.29)	459 (9.13)	
Non-Hispanic White	5,361 (61.66)	1,373 (63.50)	1,577 (67.70)	1,258 (58.39)	1,153 (55.59)	
Other Hispanic	1,211 (8.87)	237 (7.36)	303 (9.01)	298 (8.59)	373 (10.65)	
Other race	1,636 (10.40)	338 (9.11)	364 (8.26)	410 (11.10)	524 (13.72)	
Education (%)						< 0.0001
Less than high school	673 (3.09)	99 (1.83)	135 (2.23)	197 (3.99)	242 (4.61)	
High school	3,651 (31.31)	1,134 (37.18)	901 (30.21)	792 (28.93)	824 (28.53)	
More than high school	7,455 (65.60)	1,724 (60.99)	2,053 (67.55)	1,815 (67.08)	1,863 (66.86)	
PIR	3.15 (0.06)	2.97 (0.07)	3.24 (0.08)	3.17 (0.08)	3.22 (0.08)	< 0.001
Energy intake (kcal)	2,090.97 (12.58)	1,987.36 (24.34)	1,991.01 (28.15)	2,031.93 (17.19)	2,385.64 (35.96)	<0.0001
Body mass index (BMI, kg/m ²)	29.78 (0.17)	31.05 (0.17)	29.85 (0.21)	29.61 (0.25)	28.46 (0.30)	<0.0001
<25	2,921 (25.83)	620 (20.80)	735 (24.56)	707 (27.40)	859 (32.17)	
25-30	3,820 (33.07)	837 (31.09)	971 (34.25)	943 (32.58)	1,069 (35.37)	
> 30	4,906 (40.36)	1,463 (48.11)	1,343 (41.19)	1,121 (40.02)	979 (32.45)	
DM (%)						0.14
No	9,378 (84.13)	2,360 (84.61)	2,449 (84.85)	2,195 (83.74)	2,374 (87.01)	
Yes	2,291 (14.81)	575 (15.39)	615 (15.15)	578 (16.26)	523 (12.99)	
Hypertension (%)						0.05
No	6,402 (61.86)	1,590 (59.98)	1,642 (61.50)	1,491 (61.35)	1,679 (64.92)	
Yes	5,376 (38.13)	1,367 (40.02)	1,447 (38.50)	1,312 (38.65)	1,250 (35.08)	
Hyperlipidemia (%)						< 0.001
No	4,317 (38.44)	1,121 (40.09)	1,077 (35.88)	1,027 (41.80)	1,092 (43.32)	
Yes	7,084 (57.55)	1,722 (59.91)	1,923 (64.12)	1,670 (58.20)	1,769 (56.68)	
Smoke (%)						< 0.0001
No	9,969 (85.55)	2,313 (80.11)	2,647 (87.37)	2,451 (87.37)	2,558 (87.94)	
Yes	1,799 (14.34)	640 (19.89)	441 (12.63)	350 (12.63)	368 (12.06)	
Alcohol user (%)						0.12
No	995 (7.23)	186 (7.22)	233 (8.80)	272 (9.87)	304 (10.14)	
Yes	8,120 (73.61)	2,137 (92.78)	2,138 (91.20)	1,863 (90.13)	1,982 (89.86)	
Gallstone (%)						0.004
No	10,476 (90.20)	2,609 (89.41)	2,711 (88.82)	2,477 (90.19)	2,679 (92.75)	
Yes	1,303 (9.80)	348 (10.59)	378 (11.18)	327 (9.81)	250 (7.25)	

MPF, unprocessed or minimally processed foods; BMI, body mass index; PIR, poverty income ratio; DM, diabetes mellitus.

Moreover, the observed inverse correlation between MPF consumption and gallstones formation may also be partially attributed to the anti-inflammatory properties of MPF. MPF

serve as the foundation of several traditional healthy diets, such as the Mediterranean and Nordic diets, both of which are recognized for their anti-inflammatory properties (34, 35). Multiple

TABLE 2	TABLE 2 Association of the MPF consumption and gallstones by logistic regression.					
		Model 1	Model 2			

	Мо	del 1	Model 2		Model 3	
Variable	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value
MPF (% of total energy intake)	0.40 (0.21-0.78)	0.01	0.21 (0.10-0.46)	< 0.001	0.28 (0.09-0.84)	0.02
Quartile of MPF intake (kcal)						
Q1	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Q2	1.06 (0.84–1.35)	0.61	0.87 (0.68–1.12)	0.26	0.99 (0.72-1.35)	0.95
Q3	0.92 (0.72–1.17)	0.47	0.76 (0.60-0.95)	0.02	0.84 (0.63-1.13)	0.25
Q4	0.66 (0.52-0.84)	0.001	0.60 (0.47-0.78)	< 0.001	0.72 (0.53-0.98)	0.04
<i>p</i> for trend		< 0.001		< 0.001		0.02

MPF, unprocessed or minimally processed foods; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. Model 1 did not adjust for covariates. Model 2 adjusted for age, sex, education, ethnicity, and PIR. Model 3 adjusted for age, sex, education, ethnicity, PIR, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, DM, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia.



meta-analyses evaluating dietary patterns on inflammatory indicated that higher adherence to the Mediterranean diet was correlated with reduced levels of inflammatory biomarkers, including C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) (36). The Nordic diet has also demonstrated similar benefits, with evidence from intervention and observational studies highlighting its role in mitigating low-grade inflammation (37). The anti-inflammatory effects of these healthy diets may stem from their ability to enhance intestinal barrier integrity and modulate gut microbiota, thereby attenuating systemic inflammatory responses (38). These effects may be attributed to the high dietary fiber content in MPF, which promotes the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (39). SCFAs are known to regulate neuroimmuno-endocrine functions and are associated with reduced levels of CRP and plasma lipopolysaccharide, a marker of intestinal permeability linked to low-grade inflammation (40, 41). In contrast to MPF, existing studies suggest that UPF, the counterpart in the NOVA classification, were often associated with elevated levels of systemic inflammation (42, 43). An analysis of three

Variable	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	<i>p</i> for interaction
Age			0.08
<60	0.13 (0.04-0.41)	< 0.001	
≥ 60	0.52 (0.20-1.33)	0.17	
Sex			0.38
Female	0.26 (0.12-0.55)	< 0.001	
Male	0.57 (0.12-2.73)	0.47	
Race			0.84
Mexican American	0.24 (0.02–2.24)	0.20	
Non-Hispanic Black	0.25 (0.03–1.84)	0.17	
Non-Hispanic White	0.54 (0.18–1.56)	0.25	
Other Hispanic	0.22 (0.03-1.59)	0.13	
Other race	0.48 (0.11-2.03)	0.31	
Educational status			0.07
Less than high school	0.04 (0.00-0.51)	0.01	
High school	0.83 (0.32-2.11)	0.68	
More than high school	0.34 (0.13–0.87)	0.02	
BMI			0.99
<25	0.55 (0.06-4.89)	0.58	
25-30	0.51 (0.10-2.65)	0.41	
>30	0.59 (0.22-1.56)	0.28	
DM			0.38
No	0.37 (0.16-0.85)	0.02	
Yes	0.67 (0.23–1.99)	0.46	
Hypertension			0.87
No	0.45 (0.15-1.32)	0.14	
Yes	0.40 (0.18-0.90)	0.03	
Hyperlipidemia			0.11
No	0.81 (0.25-2.64)	0.72	
Yes	0.27 (0.13-0.57)	<0.001	
Smoke			0.14
No	0.31 (0.15-0.65)	0.003	
Yes	1.79 (0.22-14.59)	0.58	
Drinking			0.52
No	0.66 (0.07-6.09)	0.71	
Yes	0.30 (0.12-0.71)	0.01	

TABLE 3 Subgroup analyses corresponding to the MPF consumption and gallstones.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus.

prospective cohort studies indicates that higher intake of UPF, particularly sugar-sweetened and artificially sweetened beverages, is linked to an increased risk of gallstones disease

(18). However, no significant association between other NOVA food group consumption, including UPF and gallstones was found in this study. These contrasting findings highlight the complexity of the relationship between food processing and gallstones disease. Therefore, longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes and more detailed dietary assessments are warranted to better understand the interplay between food processing, inflammation, and gallstones disease. The mechanisms by which bioactive dietary constituents influence biliary metabolic processes through orchestrated modulation of bile acid synthesis, cholesterol solubilization dynamics, and enterohepatic signaling networks merit further exploration.

Given the role of obesity as a risk factor for gallstone, we further explored the mediating effect of BMI on the association between MPF intake and gallstones (1). A greater proportion of energy intake from MPF showed an inverse relationship with adiposity measures, including BMI, waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), and sagittal abdominal diameter-to-height ratio (SADHtR) (44). In contrast, epidemiological evidence indicated that higher consumption of UPF is associated with increased BMI in the population (45-47). The inverse relationship between MPF and obesity may be attributed to their high fiber and nutrient content, which enhances satiety and reduces total energy intake, thereby decreasing UPF consumption (44). In contrast, UPF are energy-dense, high in added sugars and fats, and low in essential nutrients, potentially promoting adiposity (48). Moreover, emerging evidence indicated that obesity is associated with changes in gastrointestinal hormones, which may contribute to gallstone development (49). Consequently, MPFs may counteract the adverse effects of UPFs on fat accumulation, contributing to a lower risk of obesity. The mediation analysis of our study confirmed BMI as a mediating variable in the relationship between MPF intake and gallstones. We observed a significant average causal mediation effect (p < 0.001), indicating that a portion of the protective effect of MPF intake against gallstones operates through reducing BMI. This mediated pathway accounted for 17.27% (p = 0.002) of the total effect. Importantly, a significant average direct effect (p = 0.024) was also observed, indicating that a substantial portion of the protective association operates through pathways independent of BMI.

The major strength of the present study lies in its use of a nationally representative, multiethnic cohort of U.S. adults, revealing a significant inverse association between MPF consumption and gallstones. These findings provide novel insights into the relationship between food processing and gallstones risk. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report an association between MPF consumption and gallstones in adults. Nonetheless, our study has several limitations. First, dietary intake was assessed using 24-h recalls, which are prone to recall bias and do not reflect long-term dietary patterns. Second, although food products were classified into the most likely NOVA group, individual-level misclassification cannot be entirely ruled out due to variations in processing methods across brands. Third, the cross-sectional design limits our ability to establish causal relationships. Fourth, the diagnosis of gallstones relied on participant self-reports, which may have led to recall bias and potential outcome misclassification. Fifth, while we adjusted for many potential confounders, residual confounding from factors such as hematological diseases, genetic predisposition to gallstone



formation, prior bypass surgery, and other unmeasured variables not included in the NHANES database cannot be ruled out. Finally, as with any observational study, reverse causation remain potential concerns, as dietary changes following gallstones diagnosis may attenuate the observed associations.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, these findings indicate that higher MPF intake is associated with a lower risk of gallstones disease, with BMI partially mediating this relationship (mediation proportion: 17.27%). However, no significant links were found between other NOVA food groups, including UPF, and gallstones. These results highlight the critical role of food processing in modulating gallstones formation, though further studies are warranted for confirmation. Our findings align with existing public health guidelines advocating for reduced UPF consumption and increased intake of MPF to promote overall health.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

CJ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. XT: Writing – review & editing. HW: Writing – review & editing. ZY: Writing – review & editing. WY: Writing – review & editing. YS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) team for providing the data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the

References

1. Lammert F, Gurusamy K, Ko C, Miquel J, Méndez-Sánchez N, Portincasa P, et al. Gallstones. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2016) 2:16024. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.24

2. Wang X, Yu W, Jiang G, Li H, Li S, Xie L, et al. Global epidemiology of gallstones in the 21st century: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2024) 22:1586–95. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2024.01.051

3. Everhart J, Ruhl C. Burden of digestive diseases in the United States Part III: Liver, biliary tract, and pancreas. *Gastroenterology*. (2009) 136:1134–44. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro. 2009.02.038

4. Innes K, Hudson J, Banister K, Croal B, Ramsay C, Ahmed I, et al. Core outcome set for symptomatic uncomplicated gallstone disease. *Br J Surg.* (2022) 109:539–44. doi: 10.1093/bjs/znac095

5. Shabanzadeh D, Sørensen L, Jørgensen T. A prediction rule for risk stratification of incidentally discovered gallstones: Results from a large cohort study. *Gastroenterology*. (2016) 150:156–67.e1. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.09.002.

6. Scherber P, Lammert F, Glanemann M. Gallstone disease: Optimal timing of treatment. J Hepatol. (2017) 67:645–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.04.003

7. Peery A, Crockett S, Murphy C, Jensen E, Kim H, Egberg M, et al. Burden and cost of gastrointestinal, liver, and pancreatic diseases in the United States: Update 2021. *Gastroenterology.* (2022) 162:621–44. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.10.017

8. Unalp-Arida A, Ruhl C. Increasing gallstone disease prevalence and associations with gallbladder and biliary tract mortality in the US. *Hepatology*. (2023) 77:1882–95. doi: 10.1097/HEP.00000000000264

9. Fujita N, Yasuda I, Endo I, Isayama H, Iwashita T, Ueki T, et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for cholelithiasis 2021. *J Gastroenterol.* (2023) 58:801–33. doi: 10.1007/s00535-023-02014-6

10. Wu Q, Gao Z, Yu X, Wang P. Dietary regulation in health and disease. Signal Transduct Target Ther. (2022) 7:252. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-01104-w

11. European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL). Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu. EASL clinical practice guidelines on the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of gallstones. *J Hepatol.* (2016) 65:146–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016. 03.005

12. Jiang C, Shao Y. Characterizing the relationships between dietary indices, gallstone prevalence and the need for gallbladder surgery in the general US population. *Front Nutr.* (2024) 11:1392960. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1392960

13. Gutiérrez-Díaz I, Molinero N, Cabrera A, Rodríguez J, Margolles A, Delgado S, et al. Diet: Cause or consequence of the microbial profile of cholelithiasis disease? *Nutrients.* (2018) 10:1307. doi: 10.3390/nu10091307

14. Tsai C, Leitzmann M, Willett W, Giovannucci E. Fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of cholecystectomy in women. *Am J Med.* (2006) 119:760–7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2006.02.040

15. Di Ciaula A, Garruti G, Frühbeck G, De Angelis M, de Bari O, Wang D, et al. The role of diet in the pathogenesis of cholesterol gallstones. *Curr Med Chem.* (2019) 26:3620–38. doi: 10.2174/0929867324666170530080636

16. Wang L, Martínez Steele E, Du M, Pomeranz J, O'Connor L, Herrick K, et al. Trends in consumption of ultraprocessed foods among US youths aged 2-19 years, 1999-2018. *JAMA*. (2021) 326:519–30. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.10238

17. Moubarac J, Parra D, Cannon G, Monteiro C. Food classification systems based on food processing: Significance and implications for policies and actions: A systematic literature review and assessment. *Curr Obes Rep.* (2014) 3:256–72. doi: 10.1007/s13679-014-0092-0

18. Uche-Anya E, Ha J, Khandpur N, Rossato S, Wang Y, Nguyen L, et al. Ultraprocessed food consumption and risk of gallstone disease: Analysis of 3

reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2025. 1589805/full#supplementary-material

prospective cohorts. Am J Clin Nutr. (2024) 120:499–506. doi: 10.1016/j.ajcnut.2024. 07.002

19. Marcus S, Heaton K. Intestinal transit, deoxycholic acid and the cholesterol saturation of bile--three inter-related factors. Gut. (1986) 27:550–8. doi: 10.1136/gut. 27.5.550

20. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation*. (2002) 106:3143–421.

21. Basile A, Ruiz-Tejada A, Mohr A, Stanley S, Hjelm E, Sweazea K. Minimally processed foods have a higher total antioxidant content compared to processed and ultra-processed foods: Results from an analysis of 1946 food items. *Br J Nutr.* (2024) 132:1555–61. doi: 10.1017/S0007114524002800

22. Carlsen M, Halvorsen B, Holte K, Bøhn S, Dragland S, Sampson L, et al. The total antioxidant content of more than 3100 foods, beverages, spices, herbs and supplements used worldwide. *Nutr J.* (2010) 9:3. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-9-3

23. Fardet A, Richonnet C. Nutrient density and bioaccessibility, and the antioxidant, satiety, glycemic, and alkalinizing potentials of fruit-based foods according to the degree of processing: A narrative review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* (2020) 60:3233–58. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2019.1682512

24. Fratianni A, D'Agostino A, Niro S, Bufano A, Paura B, Panfili G. Loss or gain of lipophilic bioactive compounds in vegetables after domestic cooking? Effect of steaming and boiling. *Foods.* (2021) 10:960. doi: 10.3390/foods10050960

25. Zielinski H, Michalska A, Amigo-Benavent M, del Castillo M, Piskula M. Changes in protein quality and antioxidant properties of buckwheat seeds and groats induced by roasting. *J Agric Food Chem*. (2009) 57:4771–6. doi: 10.1021/jf900313e

26. Al-Juhaimi F, Ghafoor K, Özcan M, Jahurul M, Babiker E, Jinap S, et al. Effect of various food processing and handling methods on preservation of natural antioxidants in fruits and vegetables. *J Food Sci Technol.* (2018) 55:3872–80. doi: 10.1007/s13197-018-3370-0

27. Waniek S, di Giuseppe R, Esatbeyoglu T, Ratjen I, Enderle J, Jacobs G, et al. Association of circulating vitamin E (α - and γ -Tocopherol) Levels with gallstone disease. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:133. doi: 10.3390/nu10020133

28. Zhu H, Jin L, Zhang Z, Lu C, Jiang Q, Mou Y, et al. Oxidative balance scores and gallstone disease: Mediating effects of oxidative stress. *Nutr J.* (2025) 24:4. doi: 10.1186/s12937-025-01073-0

29. Walcher T, Haenle M, Kron M, Hay B, Mason R, Walcher D, et al. Vitamin C supplement use may protect against gallstones: An observational study on a randomly selected population. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2009) 9:74. doi: 10.1186/1471-230X-9-74

30. Eder M, Miquel J, Jongst D, Paumgartner G, von Ritter C. Reactive oxygen metabolites promote cholesterol crystal formation in model bile: Role of lipid peroxidation. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (1996) 20:743–9. doi: 10.1016/0891-5849(95) 02154-x

31. Masri O, Chalhoub J, Sharara A. Role of vitamins in gastrointestinal diseases. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2015) 21:5191–209. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i17.5191

32. Makiuchi T, Sobue T, Kitamura T, Ishihara J, Sawada N, Iwasaki M, et al. The relationship between vegetable/fruit consumption and gallbladder/bile duct cancer: A population-based cohort study in Japan. *Int J Cancer.* (2017) 140:1009–19. doi: 10.1002/ijc.30492

33. Jebur M, Ismaeel G, Salim H, Ahmed A, Naser I. Dietary phytochemical index and the risk of gallstone disease: A case-control study. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2025) 25:52. doi: 10.1186/s12876-025-03622-7

34. Di Giosia P, Stamerra C, Giorgini P, Jamialahamdi T, Butler A, Sahebkar A. The role of nutrition in inflammaging. *Ageing Res Rev.* (2022) 77:101596. doi: 10.1016/j.arr. 2022.101596

35. Bonaccio M, Costanzo S, Di Castelnuovo A, Gialluisi A, Ruggiero E, De Curtis A, et al. Increased adherence to a mediterranean diet is associated with reduced low-grade inflammation after a 12.7-year period: Results from the moli-sani study. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* (2023) 123:783–95.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2022.12.005.

36. Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G. Mediterranean dietary pattern, inflammation and endothelial function: A systematic review and meta-analysis of intervention trials. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2014) 24:929–39. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2014.03.003

37. Lankinen M, Uusitupa M, Schwab U. Nordic diet and inflammation-A review of observational and intervention studies. *Nutrients.* (2019) 11:1369. doi: 10.3390/nu11061369

38. Moreno-Altamirano L, Robles-Rivera K, Castelán-Sánchez HG, Vaca-Paniagua F, Pérez María Del Carmen I, Hernández-Valencia Sandra E, et al. Gut microbiota: Association with Fiber Intake, ultra-processed food consumption, sex, body mass index, and socioeconomic status in medical students. *Nutrients.* (2024) 16:4241. doi: 10.3390/nu16234241

39. Koh A, De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Bäckhed F. From dietary fiber to host physiology: Short-chain fatty acids as key bacterial metabolites. *Cell.* (2016) 165:1332–45. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.041

40. Dalile B, Van Oudenhove L, Vervliet B, Verbeke K. The role of short-chain fatty acids in microbiota-gut-brain communication. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 16:461–78. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0157-3

41. Sivaprakasam S, Prasad P, Singh N. Benefits of short-chain fatty acids and their receptors in inflammation and carcinogenesis. *Pharmacol Ther.* (2016) 164:144–51. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2016.04.007

42. Xia L, Girerd N, Lamiral Z, Duarte K, Merckle L, Leroy C, et al. Association between ultra-processed food consumption and inflammation: Insights from the STANISLAS cohort. *Eur J Nutr.* (2025) 64:94. doi: 10.1007/s00394-025-03607-y

43. Tristan Asensi M, Napoletano A, Sofi F, Dinu M. Low-Grade inflammation and ultra-processed foods consumption: A review. *Nutrients.* (2023) 15:1546. doi: 10.3390/ nu15061546

44. Zhang Z, Kahn H, Jackson S, Steele E, Gillespie C, Yang Q. Associations between ultra- or minimally processed food intake and three adiposity indicators among US adults: nhanes 2011 to 2016. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* (2022) 30:1887–97. doi: 10.1002/ oby.23507

45. Valicente V, Peng C, Pacheco K, Lin L, Kielb E, Dawoodani E, et al. Ultraprocessed foods and obesity risk: A critical review of reported mechanisms. Adv Nutr. (2023) 14:718–38. doi: 10.1016/j.advnut.2023.04.006

46. Astrup A, Monteiro C. Does the concept of;ultra-processed foods; help inform dietary guidelines, beyond conventional classification systems? NO. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2022) 116:1482–8. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqac123

47. Monteiro C, Astrup A. Does the concept of;ultra-processed foods; help inform dietary guidelines, beyond conventional classification systems? *YES. Am J Clin Nutr.* (2022) 116:1476–81. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqac122

48. Dicken S, Batterham R. Ultra-processed food and obesity: What is the evidence? *Curr Nutr Rep.* (2024) 13:23–38. doi: 10.1007/s13668-024-00517-z

49. Lampropoulos C, Mulita F, Alexandrides T, Kehagias D, Kalavrizioti D, Albanopoulos K, et al. Ghrelin, glucagon-like peptide-1, and peptide YY secretion in patients with and without weight regain during long-term follow-up after bariatric surgery: A cross-sectional study. *Prz Menopauzalny*. (2022) 21:97–105. doi: 10.5114/pm.2022.116492