Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Akio Shimizu, Mie University, Japan

REVIEWED BY Sylwia Dziegielewska-Gesiak, Medical University of Silesia, Poland Godfrey Katamba, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Uganda

*CORRESPONDENCE Jiang Chuan Dong ⊠ dongjiangchuan2023@163.com

[†]These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 19 March 2025 ACCEPTED 30 April 2025 PUBLISHED 21 May 2025 CORRECTED 23 July 2025

CITATION

Pan Y, Yuan Y, Yang J, Feng ZQ, Tang XY, Jiang Y, Hu GM and Dong JC (2025) U-shaped relationship between frailty and non-HDL-cholesterol in the elderly: a cross-sectional study. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1596432. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1596432

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Pan, Yuan, Yang, Feng, Tang, Jiang, Hu and Dong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

U-shaped relationship between frailty and non-HDL-cholesterol in the elderly: a cross-sectional study

Yu Pan^{1†}, Yan Yuan^{1†}, Juan Yang^{2†}, Zhu Qing Feng¹, Xue Yin Tang¹, Yi Jiang¹, Gui Ming Hu¹ and Jiang Chuan Dong³*

¹Department of Geriatrics, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, ²Department of Integrated of Chinese and Western Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, ³Oncology Treatment Center of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Chongqing University, Chongqing, China

Background: The modulation of lipid metabolism has been explored as a potential treatment for frailty, yet the association between non-high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (non-HDL-C) and frailty remains unclear.

Methods: This study utilized data from five cycles of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) and two cycles of the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) to investigate this relationship. A 40-item frailty index scale, encompassing various dimensions of somatic functioning, psychological evaluation, and illness, was developed and individually evaluated for each participant. The variables underwent screening through Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) regression, univariate logistic regression, and Light Gradient Boosting Machine (LightGBM), with models developed through multivariate logistic regression and the LightGBM algorithm. Subsequently, subgroup analyses and interaction tests were conducted to substantiate correlations.

Results: The U-shaped nonlinear association between non-HDL-C and frailty in older adults was validated using the LightGBM algorithm. Non-HDL cholesterol levels in the range of 117.54–194.64 mg/dL were less likely to be frailty, while the likelihood of developing frailty was higher at 47.99–63.87 or 274.01–259.65 mg/dL. Subgroup analyses and interaction tests confirm these results.

Conclusion: It is plausible that an intricate nonlinear association between non-HDL-C and frailty in the elderly exists, though further rigorously designed studies are imperative to validate this relationship.

KEYWORDS

frailty, non-HDL-cholesterol, cross-sectional study, CHARLS, NHANES

1 Introduction

Frailty is a multifaceted age-related clinical condition marked by diminished physiological reserves and heightened susceptibility to internal and external stressors, involving dysfunction in the neuromuscular, metabolic, and immune systems (1–3). Various assessment instruments are utilized in the identification of frailty, with the Frailty Phenotype and Deficiency Accumulation Approach being acknowledged as two credible methodologies. The concept of "Frailty Phenotype" was introduced by Fried and his colleagues, encompassing five physical

criteria: unintentional weight loss, self-reported fatigue, weakness, slow gait speed, and low physical activity, with the presence of three or more indicating frailty (4). The cumulative deficits method is utilized to calculate a frailty index (FI), which is a continuous score representing the number of health deficits present in an individual relative to the total number of items evaluated, with values ranging from 0 (indicating no deficits) to 1 (indicating the presence of all deficits), thus reflecting the individual's level of frailty (5, 6). Interestingly, despite variations in the specific deficits included and the number of deficits considered in studies proposing a FI, the results obtained from the index consistently demonstrate statistical consistency (7, 8).

Accumulating research has increasingly identified a range of reliable biomarkers associated with frailty, including oxidative stress imbalance and inflammatory markers (9). Teixeira-Gomes et al. demonstrated that levels of inflammatory mediators (CRP and IL-6) and oxidized DNA were significantly elevated in adults classified as frail according to the Fried criteria, compared to their non-frail counterparts (10). Additionally, another research reported that in patients with cerebrovascular disease and cognitive frailty, lipid peroxidation, indicated by increased MDA levels, was elevated, while superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was reduced, alongside heightened levels of inflammatory markers such as CRP, IL-6, and TNF- α (11). Moreover, Hammami et al. identified a positive correlation between the frailty index and inflammatory markers CRP, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α (12). Notably, after adjusting for age, the association between CRP and frailty remained robust and significant. Furthermore, a meta-analysis conducted by Mailliez et al. revealed that, in addition to CRP, four other biomarkers-vitamin D, albumin, hemoglobin, and free testosterone-were also significantly associated with frailty (13).

Additionally, research has indicated a correlation between frailty and long-term dietary habits, indicating a potential connection between frailty and metabolomics (14). The study of lipid metabolism in older frail or pre-frail individuals has been a significant focus of research (15-17). Lipids, particularly low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), have been shown to contribute to atherosclerosis, oxidative stress, and accelerated senescence of endothelial progenitor cells through various modified forms such as oxidized LDL, acetylated LDL, ethylated LDL, methylated LDL, and glycosylated LDL (18). HDL, on the other hand, is considered the 'body protector' of lipids because of its antioxidant, antithrombotic, anti-inflammatory and anti-apoptotic properties (19). Interestingly, previous studies have yielded conflicting findings regarding the role of lipid compounds as biomarkers in frailty (20-23). For instance, a study conducted by Tavares and his colleagues found no correlation between high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels and frailty in the elderly (24), while Lina Ma and her team determined that frail older adults had lower levels of HDL and hemoglobin compared to their non-frail counterparts (21). Such inconsistent results emphasize the necessity for additional research. A study evaluating the oxidantantioxidant balance in elderly individuals by measuring varying concentrations of HDL-C revealed that participants in the high HDL-C group exhibited lower triglyceride concentrations, whereas those in the low HDL-C group demonstrated elevated levels of oxidative stress (22). It is posited that an imbalance in oxidative stress may constitute a critical mechanism through which lipid metabolism affects the frailty status of individuals.

Recently, the concept of non-HDL-C has been introduced by researchers as a comprehensive measure of cholesterol across various lipoproteins excluding HDL. Non-HDL-C is calculated based on lipid distribution, with its specific value derived by subtracting the HDL-C level from the total cholesterol level measured in the body. A growing body of evidence corroborates the association of non-HDL-C with atherosclerotic dyslipidemia linked to metabolic disorders, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and obesity, further establishing it as a more robust predictor of risk for lipid-related diseases (25–27). The atherogenic properties of non-HDL-C indicate its potential utility as a biomarker for predicting adverse cardiovascular events that could potentially exacerbate the onset of frailty in the elderly through its impact on cardiovascular health and other physiological pathways (28). Nevertheless, there is currently a lack of research investigating the association between non-HDL-C levels and frailty.

To address this research gap, we developed a 40-item frailty index (FI) scale following the established development steps (29). Subsequently, we utilized datasets from various public databases and applied machine learning algorithms to investigate the following specific research inquiries (30). Initially, traditional statistical methods were utilized to investigate the impact of the FI in conjunction with non-HDL-C. Subsequently, an analysis was conducted utilizing the sample data to examine the correlation between frailty and non-HDL-C. The variables were then prioritized based on their significance using the Light Gradient Boosting Machine (LightGBM) algorithm, a variant of the GB algorithm created by Microsoft, renowned for its exceptional efficacy in handling extensive structured datasets and rapid training capabilities (31, 32). Moreover, polynomial modeling was conducted with LightGBM to further elucidate the intricate association between non-HDL-C and frailty in the elderly. The overarching objective is to offer personalized intervention strategies based on the levels of non-HDL-C in older frail patients.

2 Methods

2.1 Study design

A research program called the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) is structured to evaluate the health and nutritional well-being of both adults and children residing in the United States. This program employs a combination of questionnaires and physical examinations to target specific demographic groups or health-related issues. Another study, the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), serves as a longitudinal survey representative of individuals aged 45 years and older in mainland China (33). The objective is to construct a high-quality public microdatabase encompassing multidimensional data on socioeconomic and health status, to fulfill the requirements of scientific research on aging.

This study initially enrolled 88,365 participants from multiple survey cycles, including the NHANES surveys from 2009 to 2010, 2011 to 2012, 2013 to 2014, 2015 to 2016, 2017 to 2018, and the CHARLS 2011 and 2015 surveys. According to the standards of the World Health Organization and the United Nations, people over 65 years old are defined as the elderly. Participants with missing or potentially anomalous relevant data or below the age of 65 were excluded. Additionally, it has been shown in prior research that despite potential missing data among participants, frailty can still be identified through a minimum of 75% FI assessments, even if the specific correlates of frailty may vary. As a result, individuals with a significant amount of missing data and who did not complete at least 75% FI assessments were excluded from the study (7, 8). Ultimately, a total of 9,147 participants were included in the study (Figure 1).

The variables considered for each case encompassed patient demographics such as gender, age, race, and education, indicators from pertinent laboratory tests including total cholesterol, HDL data, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), serum glucose, participants' body mass index (BMI) calculated as weight divided by the square of height (kg/m²) (34), and a total of 20 variables were ultimately included.

2.2 Frailty assessment

Based on the foundational criteria and procedures for constructing the FI, as well as incorporating data from the NHANES and CHARLS, a 40-item assessment scale was devised for evaluating the frailty condition of individuals in this research (22). This scale aims to comprehensively evaluate multiple dimensions of health, illness, physical functioning, and mental well-being to assess the extent of frailty in each participant. Utilizing the FI scale and drawing upon existing literature, we further categorized three levels of frailty: absence of frailty (FI \leq 0.12), pre-frailty (0.12 < FI < 0.25), and frailty (FI \geq 0.25) (29, 35, 36). A comprehensive account of the item composition and scoring criteria for the FI scale is available in Supplementary Table 1.

2.3 Non-HDL-C

Non-HDL-C comprising all plasma lipoproteins exclusive of HDL-C, is determined by subtracting HDL-C from total cholesterol.

Blood test data from the CHARLS database were centrally examined by the Youanmen Clinical Laboratory Center of Capital Medical University using the enzymatic colormetric test. Two staff members from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention were responsible for the storage of blood samples on a full-time basis. Quality control samples were used daily in the laboratory during the testing process. The coefficient of variation was not more than 1.0% for within-assay and 1.7% for between-assay. Serum samples from the NHANES database are frozen and stored after collection and then sent to CDC/NCEH/DLS for examination. As part of the routine serum biochemical analyses, various lipid levels were measured using the Beckman Coulter UniCel® DxC800.The coefficients of variation for both the within- and between-assay were controlled as well.

Non-HDL-C comprising all plasma lipoproteins exclusive of HDL-C, is determined by subtracting HDL-C from total cholesterol. Because of the many factors influencing non-HDL-C, in this study we excluded data such as smoking, dietary patterns, alcohol consumption, and self-reported hyperlipidemia, which could have a significant effect on non-HDL-C and lead to errors. However, data such as gender and age, which may also generate errors, were included, and we subsequently performed additional sensitivity analyses by adjusting the model for confounders and for patients with hypertension, heart disease, diabetes mellitus, and other diseases that are closely related to blood lipids.

The European and U.S. Dialysis Patient Guidelines Committee recommends maintaining non-HDL-C levels below 130 mg/dL (3.4 mmol/L), particularly among individuals with elevated fasting triglyceride concentrations. Previous research also suggests that keeping adult non-HDL cholesterol levels below 130 mg/dL (3.4 mmol/L) is best for lowering the risk of cardiovascular death (37–39). Specifically, for every 0.8 mmol/L increase in non-HDL-C, there is a 19% higher risk of cardiovascular death in men and an 11%



higher risk in women (40). Additionally, individuals with normal levels of LDL-C face a 32% increased risk of cardiovascular events when their non-HDL-C levels exceed 130 mg/dL (41).

2.4 Statistical analysis

This study conducted an initial analysis of non-HDL-C through descriptive statistics, including the calculation of its mean and variance, and examined variations between groups of differing debilitation grades using the univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) test. Continuous variables were analyzed using ANOVA followed by Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) post hoc test. Categorical variables were analyzed using the chi-square test with standardized residuals, with a threshold of p < 0.05 to establish statistical significance. Subsequently, the impact of non-HDL-C on frailty (dichotomous, utilized for all subsequent analyses) was evaluated through univariate logistic regression. To address potential confounding factors, we employed a mixed-factor adjustment approach that integrated variable inflation factor (VIF), Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) regression, and LightGBM techniques to identify essential relative variables that exhibit both statistical significance and mutual independence within the model. During the modeling process, 70% of the dataset was allocated for model training with 5-fold cross-validation, while the remaining 30% was reserved for final model testing to evaluate the robustness and consistency of the results (24, 25, 35). Furthermore, in order to investigate the nonlinear association between non-HDL-C levels and severity of frailty, we utilized partial dependency plots (PDP) and polynomial regression methodologies within the framework of machine learning. Subsequent subgroup analyses were conducted to assess variations in the impact of non-HDL-C across different subgroups through the creation of interaction terms. To evaluate the heterogeneity between different databases and mitigate the influence of cardiac and metabolic conditions, we employed mixed linear modeling (MixedLM) in conjunction with the FI index to identify the independent relationship between non-HDL-C levels and frailty severity, elucidating a significant role in regulating this biomarker in individuals with frailty. All analyses were performed using Python 3.8.

3 Results

3.1 Characteristics of study population

Significant variations in age, gender, education, marital status, and race were observed among individuals categorized into non-frail, pre-frail, and frail groups (Table 1). The average age of patients in the frail group was notably higher compared to those in the pre-frail group and the non-frail group (p < 0.001). The weakening of physiological reserves brought about by the natural aging process (due to the increased risk of adverse outcomes as a result of aging) impinges on the individual's state of frailty and seems to explain this phenomenon. In addition, the sex ratio was appropriate in this study to avoid the errors that could be generated. Racial disparities among the three groups were also statistically significant based on chi-square analysis, indicating a need for further investigation (Table 1). Meanwhile, the variables including non-HDL-C, BUN, and serum glucose were found to have statistically significant associations with frailty. Furthermore, the mean BMI of frail participants was notably higher compared to the other two participant groups (p < 0.001). Additionally, levels of blood glucose, waist circumference, serum creatinine, and uric acid were significantly elevated in the frail group in comparison to both the pre-frail and non-frail groups (p < 0.001). Furthermore, leukocyte counts indicative of inflammatory conditions exhibited notable disparities among the three cohorts, with significantly elevated levels observed in the frail group compared to both the pre-frail and non-frail group (Table 1).

3.2 Univariate logistic regression analysis

To investigate the association between non-HDL-C levels and frailty, the pre-frail group was amalgamated with the non-frail group, thereby transforming the frail classification into a dichotomous variable. Univariate logistic regression was employed to examine the relationship between non-HDL-C levels, additional laboratory parameters, gender, age, race, education, BMI, and the onset of frailty. The findings indicated that marital status and level of education up to secondary school were not significant factors in the onset of frailty. Conversely, non-HDL-C levels, mean red blood cell volume, erythrocyte pressure volume, hemoglobin levels, total cholesterol levels, and attainment of higher education were inversely related to the development of frailty. On the other hand, advancing age, waist circumference, white blood cell levels, platelets, urea nitrogen, blood glucose levels, creatinine levels, glycated hemoglobin, marital status as widowed or divorced, race, and BMI levels were positively correlated with the onset of frailty (Figure 2).

3.3 Multivariate analysis

As demonstrated in Supplementary Table 1, an analysis of the covariance in the regression model led to the exclusion of two variables with VIF greater than 10, namely HDL-C and total cholesterol. LightGBM exhibited superior capability in capturing intricate relationships between categorical and continuous variables while adjusting for additional confounding factors when compared to logistic regression (31, 42). Consequently, LightGBM was employed to model the relationship between frailty and non-HDL-C, determining the significance of each variable and establishing their order of importance. Subsequently, categorical variables with low significance were excluded, and the coefficients of each characteristic were obtained through binary logistic regression with LASSO regularization. Variables with coefficients of 0 were then removed, resulting in a final set of significant variables including BMI, non-HDL-C, waist circumference, blood glucose, blood creatinine, white blood cells, glycosylated hemoglobin, and hemoglobin for further multivariate analysis (Figure 3).

Following the selection of significant variables, a multinomial logistic regression model was constructed, and Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves were produced for validation (Figure 4). The result revealed an area under the curve (AUC) value of 0.710 for the ROC curve of the multinomial logistic regression model. This information holds importance in guiding clinical decision-making and improving individualized treatment strategies.

TABLE 1 Variables and baseline characteristics of the participants.

Variables	Categories	Total	Non-frail	Pre-frail	Frail	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value*	<i>p</i> -value**	<i>p</i> -value***
Age		72.413 ± 5.378	71.834 ± 5.319	72.237 ± 5.337	72.910 ± 5.424	< 0.001	0.015	< 0.001	< 0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)		188.588 ± 38.115	195.586 ± 36.567	189.448 ± 37.577	184.429 ± 39.017	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001
HDL-C (mg/dL)		53.696 ± 14.993	57.731 ± 16.534	53.943 ± 14.615	51.661 ± 14.495	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001
Non-HDL-C (mg/dL)		134.892 ± 36.726	137.855 ± 35.307	135.506 ± 36.277	132.768 ± 37.832	< 0.001	0.034	< 0.001	0.001
Waist circumference (cm)		94.596 ± 15.637	86.939 ± 11.587	93.348 ± 14.936	99.561 ± 16.470	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)		26.178 ± 5.757	23.364 ± 3.838	25.691 ± 5.228	28.047 ± 6.516	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)		6.636 ± 1.886	6.339 ± 1.738	6.527 ± 1.828	6.919 ± 1.989	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Hemoglobin (g/dL)		13.771 ± 1.580	13.977 ± 1.508	13.853 ± 1.578	13.567 ± 1.592	< 0.001	0.010	< 0.001	<0.001
MCV (fL)		91.419 ± 6.373	91.733 ± 6.235	91.583 ± 6.322	91.049 ± 6.487	< 0.001	0.441	0.001	< 0.001
НСТ (%)		40.885 ± 4.568	41.344 ± 4.405	41.055 ± 4.510	40.447 ± 4.685	< 0.001	0.037	<0.001	< 0.001
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)		211.841 ± 61.601	211.354 ± 58.610	211.191 ± 61.044	212.991 ± 63.592	0.426	0.394	< 0.001	0.029
BUN (mg/dL)		17.131 ± 5.938	16.314 ± 4.841	16.814 ± 5.571	17.935 ± 6.728	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Glucose, serum (mg/dL)		109.416 ± 32.973	102.879 ± 24.306	107.468 ± 29.843	114.969 ± 39.069	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001
Creatinine, serum (mg/dL)		0.937 ± 0.310	0.894 ± 0.244	0.926 ± 0.284	0.971 ± 0.364	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Glycosylated hemoglobin (%)		5.876 ± 0.912	5.616 ± 0.624	5.829 ± 0.860	6.053 ± 1.043	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Uric acid (mg/dL)		5.377 ± 1.448	5.159 ± 1.305	5.351 ± 1.413	5.508 ± 1.539	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
							SR*	SR**	SR***
Gender	Male	4,589 (50.169%)	743 (56.245%)	2,401 (51.712%)	1,445 (45.397%)	< 0.001	3.118	1.484	-3.801
	Female	4,558 (49.830%)	578 (43.755%)	2,242 (48.288%)	1738 (54.603%)		-3.128	-1.489	3.814
Race	Asian	4,175 (45.643%)	632 (47.843%)	2,211 (47.620%)	1,332 (41.847%)	< 0.001	1.183	1.994	-3.170
	African-American	921 (10.069%)	120 (9.084%)	461 (9.929%)	340 (10.682%)		-1.128	-0.301	1.090
	White people	2,871 (31.387%)	433 (32.778%)	1,424 (30.670%)	1,014 (31.857%)		0.902	-0.873	0.473
	Latino/Hispanic	1,033 (11.293%)	116 (8.781%)	483 (10.403%)	434 (13.635%)		-2.717	-1.806	3.931
	Other race	147 (1.607%)	20 (1.514%)	64 (1.378%)	63 (1.979%)		-0.267	-1.229	1.656
Education	Primary education and below	4,753 (51.962%)	585 (44.285%)	2,356 (50.743%)	1812 (56.927%)	< 0.001	-3.871	-1.153	3.886
	Secondary education	1786 (28.512%)	247 (18.698%)	916 (19.729%)	623 (19.573%)		-0.681	0.313	0.060
	Higher education	2,608 (19.526%)	489 (37.017%)	1,371 (29.528%)	748 (23.500%)		5.789	1.297	-5.296

(Continued)

Marital status Unmarried 5.4'		Non-frail	Pre-frail	Frail	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value*	p -value **	<i>p</i> -value***
	5,454 (63.737%)	903 (68.357%)	2,991 (64.419%)	1843 (57.901%)	<0.001	2.587	1.462	-3.433
Married 2,15	2,133 (24.927%)	45 (3.407%)	117 (2.520%)	96 (3.016%)		1.268	-1.220	0.656
Widowed 73	739 (8.636%)	109 (8.251%)	390 (8.400%)	314 (9.965%)		-0.776	-1.116	1.848
Divorced 22	228 (2.664%)	264 (19.985%)	1,145 (24.661%)	930 (29.218%)		-4.015	-1.227	4.068

p-value***: p-value for Pre-frail and Frail based on Fisher's LSD post-hoc test. BMI, body mass index; WBC, white blood cell count; MCV, mean erythrocyte volume; HCT, erythrocyte pressure volume; PLT, platelet count; BUN, urea nitrogen. SR*standardized residual of category vs. non-frail, SN**standardized residual of category vs. frailty, with an absolute value of the standardized residual greater than 2.5 indicating that there is a significant deviation between the observed and MCV (fL), HCT (%), PLT (10%L), BUN (mg/dL), Glucose, serum (mg/dL), Creatinine, serum (mg/dL), Glycosylated hemoglobin (%), and Uric acid (mg/dL). Continuous variable *p*-values were calculated using ANOVA. Categorical variables were tested using thiper tests and post hoc tests using standardized residuals. *p*-value*: *p*-value*: *p*-values for Non-frail and Frail. expected frequencies in the combination

3.4 Nonlinear

Given the intricate nature of frailty's etiology and pathophysiological mechanisms, we also investigated the presence of a nonlinear relationship between non-HDL-C levels and frailty. After adjusting for confounding factors, the PDP generated by the LightGBM algorithm was utilized to examine the role of non-HDL-C in predicting frailty. Findings indicated a nonlinear association between non-HDL-C levels and frailty, which remained unaffected by other variables (Figure 5A). The fluctuating curves observed suggest that the predictive value of the model varies with changes in non-HDL-C levels. We further analyzed this nonlinear relationship using a polynomial fitting method and clarified that a second-order polynomial was the most appropriate choice by the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). Examination of second-order polynomial fit plots suggested a potential U-shaped correlation between non-HDL-C and frailty. The risk of frailty decreased notably until the non-HDL-C levels reached the nadir (156.09 mg/dL), after which a positive correlation was observed (Figure 5B). This U-shaped non-linear relationship highlights the intricate interaction between the variables, underscoring the non-linear nature of the impact of non-HDL-C levels on the frail state. Understanding this complexity is crucial for informing the development of effective clinical prevention and treatment strategies. In addition, we developed reference-worthy ranges of non-HDL-C for controlling the risk of frailty based on quartiles. The risk of frailty was low at 117.54-194.64 mg/dL and high at 47.99-63.87 and 274.01-259.65 mg/dL. Individuals with non-HDL-C levels in the latter range should be promptly assessed for frailty in order to facilitate reversal of the frailty trajectory.

3.5 Subgroup, heterogeneity and sensitivity

To better interpret the results, subgroup analyses and interaction tests were performed (43). This information is valuable for informing clinical decision-making and enhancing personalized treatment approaches. The study employed stratification by gender, race, education, and age to assess the generalizability of the association between non-HDL-C levels and frailty across various subgroups within the population. The findings indicated a lack of interaction among subgroups, except non-HDL-C levels interacting with the risk of frailty for race (Figure 6). This result supports the generalizability of population-based conclusions across various subgroups, underscoring their consistency and dependability.

In this study, the MixedLM was employed for the analysis of heterogeneity, a statistical method that incorporates random effects to account for variability in the data. The analysis of the model revealed a Group Variance value of 0.000161 with a standard error of 0.001. This finding suggests that despite originating from disparate international databases, namely those of China and the United States, the data pertaining to debilitation levels analyzed display a reduced level of heterogeneity. This observation indicates a potential high level of uniformity in the processing and measurement of debilitation ratings across different countries, or a similarity in the underlying factors influencing these ratings within these populations.

Moreover, we employed a cross-validation method to ensure consistent sensitivity analysis throughout the modeling process. Specifically, the dataset was partitioned into five subsets, with one serving as the test set and the remaining subsets as the training set.

Variables	Coefficient		OR (95% CI)	P value
Age	0.026	•	1.027 (1.018 , 1.035)	< 0.001
Non-HDL-C	-0.002	÷	0.998 (1.000 , 1.000)	<0.001
HDL	-0.014	•	0.986 (0.983, 0.989)	< 0.001
Total cholesterol	-0.004	•	0.996 (0.994 , 0.997)	< 0.001
WBC	0.120	*	1.128 (1.103 , 1.154)	< 0.001
MCV	-0.014		0.986 (0.980 , 0.993)	< 0.001
PLT	< 0.001	\	1.000 (1.000 , 1.001)	0.192
BUN	0.034	•	1.035 (1.027, 1.042)	< 0.001
Glucose, serum	0.008	•	1.008 (1.006 , 1.009)	< 0.001
Creatinine, serum	0.534	_	1.706 (1.486 , 1.958)	< 0.001
Glycosylated hemoglobin	0.319	-	1.375 (1.312 , 1.443)	< 0.001
Uric acid	0.095	+	1.100 (1.068 , 1.133)	<0.001
НСТ	-0.032	•	0.968 (0.959, 0.977)	< 0.001
Hemoglobin	-0.128	+	0.880 (0.856 , 0.905)	< 0.001
Gender				
Male		•	Reference	
Female	0.293	_ _	1.341 (1.230, 1.462)	< 0.001
Education				
Primary education and below		•	Reference	
Secondary education	-0.140	_ _	0.869 (0.776, 0.974)	0.016
Higher education	-0.427 -	→	0.653 (0.589, 0.724)	< 0.001
Marital status				
Married		•	Reference	
Unmarried	0.225	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1.252 (0.967, 1.621)	0.088
Divorced	0.285		1.330 (1.142 , 1.547)	< 0.001
Widowed	0.333		1.395 (1.262, 1.541)	< 0.001
Race				
Asian		•	Reference	
African-American	0.222	·	1.249 (1.076 , 1.449)	0.003
the White race	0.153		1.165 (1.054 , 1.289)	0.003
Latino/Hispanic	0.436	_	1.546 (1.345 , 1.778)	< 0.001
Other race	0.470		- 1.601 (1.147 , 2.234)	0.006
	-		Τ	
		0.7 0.8 1 1.2 1.5 1.8 2	2.2	

The univariate logistic regression results. BMI, body mass index; WBC, white blood cell count; MCV, mean erythrocyte volume; HCT, erythrocyte pressure volume; PLT, platelet count; BUN, urea nitrogen. 1*, this group was used as a reference for intergroup control.

This iterative process of training and testing models was repeated to evaluate the reliability and generalizability of the findings.

Additionally, a further sensitivity analysis was conducted to control for potential confounding factors such as heart disease and metabolic disorders, to assess the independent relationship between non-HDL-C levels and frailty. The findings were in line with previous research, demonstrating a consistent nonlinear association between non-HDL-C levels and frailty.

4 Discussion

The significant adverse consequences of frailty pose a substantial medical burden on both patients and their families (2, 44). Various therapeutic strategies have been suggested for addressing frailty. Nevertheless, due to incomplete comprehension of its pathophysiological

mechanisms, only modifications in nutritional interventions and exercise rehabilitation have demonstrated some efficacy (2, 45). Despite some focus and investigation on the modulation of lipid metabolism in the management of frailty, the significance of non-HDL-C and its association with frailty has not been adequately acknowledged.

It is widely accepted that elevated non-HDL-C levels may contribute to the onset and progression of frailty. Several potential mechanisms could account for this theory. One such mechanism is that non-HDL-C may impact normal physiological processes through various regulatory pathways that influence cardiovascular well-being (27, 46). Furthermore, an increase in non-HDL-C levels is likely to result in stroke, which can impair cognitive function, reduce self-care abilities, and ultimately diminish quality of life while also increasing economic burden and disease risk (47, 48). In addition, non-HDL-C, encompassing LDL, lipoprotein (a), triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRLs), and TRL residues, can infiltrate the arterial wall through the



cytosol, leading to damage to the vascular endothelium. This process stimulates the release of proinflammatory factors by nearby cells and interacts with extracellular matrix components, such as proteoglycans, within the endothelium, initiating metabolic and immunoinflammatory responses. This cascade of events attracts monocytes to the site of lesion initiation, promoting their differentiation into macrophages and ultimately resulting in the formation of foam cells. These events contribute to the development of a localized, chronic inflammatory infiltrate over the long term (28, 49, 50), which will disrupt normal bodily functions, potentially leading to decreased muscle mass and function, ultimately resulting in sarcopenia and frailty. Moreover, the association between oxidative stress and increased non-HDL-C is particularly significant. Research indicates that under conditions of heightened oxidative stress, such as those observed in metabolic syndrome, HDL can undergo oxidative modification (22, 51). This modification results in elevated non-HDL levels, which subsequently contribute to the destabilization of vascular endothelial cells. Additionally, oxidative stress impairs the antioxidant function of HDL, primarily mediated by the presence of SOD-1 (22). A clinical study involving 66 elderly individuals without acute or severe chronic illnesses demonstrated a notable reduction in HDL-C levels among participants with frailty and metabolic syndrome (51). Furthermore, within the frailty cohort, SOD-1 exhibited a negative correlation with both total cholesterol and HDL levels. In addition, it is important to

consider the potential indirect impact of non-HDL-C on frailty development through its influence on patients' circadian rhythms as well. A metabolomics investigation revealed that the plasma metabolites exhibiting circadian oscillations were 80% lipid-based (52). Subsequent research delved deeper into lipid metabolites within the human bloodstream, indicating that heightened levels of total cholesterol and total lipids could potentially disrupt circadian rhythms, resulting in increased glucose, insulin, and triglyceride concentrations, hastened lipid storage, and ultimately contributing to the onset of obesity, muscle atrophy, reduced physical activity levels, and heightened susceptibility to frailty (53). Hence, it is imperative to underscore the predictive significance of non-HDL cholesterol and the necessity of controlling its concentrations in the assessment and treatment of frailty to facilitate timely identification and mitigation of frailty.

Nevertheless, our study identified a non-linear U-shaped association between non-HDL-C levels and the risk of frailty. Before reaching the nadir (156.09 mg/dL), higher non-HDL-C levels were inversely related to frailty risk. Within this range, elevated non-HDL-C levels were found to be beneficial for older adults. However, beyond this point, a positive correlation was observed between non-HDL-C levels and frailty, with non-HDL-C becoming a detrimental factor in the progression of frailty in patients. Indeed, previous research on the correlation between cholesterol levels and frailty has also found that dyslipidemia may have the potential to mitigate or even reverse the





decline associated with aging. Abnormal lipid levels in middle-aged individuals may transition from being a risk factor to a protective factor in later life (54). Moreover, further studies have indicated that total cholesterol and LDL levels, as markers of metabolic changes and reversal of metabolism, are inversely related to mortality (55, 56). The underlying mechanisms responsible for the paradoxical relationships in older adults have not been fully elucidated to date. Some theories propose that traditional lipid risk factors may exhibit a protective influence, potentially stemming from the inherent physiology of the aging process and the occurrence of metabolic changes or transitions during specific stages of advanced age (55). This concept of reverse metabolism offers insight into the etiology of malnutrition and inflammation in elderly individuals and guides for exploring the underlying biological mechanisms contributing to the onset of frailty.

Subgroup and interaction analyses confirmed of the robustness and reliability of our findings. Specifically, the subgroup analyses revealed that individuals of African-American, White, and Latino/ Hispanic descent exhibited lower likelihoods of frailty compared to individuals of Asian descent. This disparity may be attributed to potential biases stemming from the relatively low personal income

Group	Non-frail and pre-frail	Frail		OR (95% CI)	P interaction
Gender					0.350
Male	3144	1445		1.044 (0.954 , 1.141)	0.350
Female	2820	1738		0.958 (0.876 , 1.048)	0.350
Age					0.790
65-74	3982	1921	•	Reference	
75-84	1937	1243	_ _	0.993 (0.906 , 1.089)	0.885
≥85	45	19	+	- 1.212 (0.686 , 2.142)	0.508
Education					0.032
Primary education and below	2941	1812	•	Reference	
Secondary education	1163	623		0.933 (0.832 , 1.045)	0.232
Higher education	1860	748		0.869 (0.782, 0.966)	0.009
Marital status					0.047
Married	3894	1843	•	Reference	
Unmarried	162	96 -		0.715 (0.551, 0.927)	0.011
Divorced	499	314		0.897 (0.775 , 1.037)	0.143
Widowed	1409	930		0.973 (0.879 , 1.077)	0.596
Race					< 0.001
Asian	2843	1332	÷	Reference	
African-American	581	340		0.822 (0.705, 0.959)	0.012
the White race	1857	1014		0.800 (0.721, 0.888)	< 0.001
Latino/Hispanic	599	434		0.789 (0.687, 0.906)	0.001
Other race	84	63	0.7 0.8 1 1.2 1.5 1.8	0.800 (0.574 , 1.115)	0.188

levels among Chinese individuals in 2011 and 2015, as well as the predominantly rural composition of the data within the CHARLS database. Consequently, further in-depth clinical investigations are warranted to elucidate these findings.

In contrast to prior research, the current study demonstrates unique strengths and innovations. Firstly, the study utilized real population data from the United States and China, encompassing a substantial sample size of 8,554 individuals aged 65 years or older, thus constituting a largescale cross-sectional study. Secondly, the study employed the LightGBM algorithm and LASSO regression, recognized as highly effective statistical techniques for variable screening and validation. Furthermore, in order to more accurately represent the intricate association between non-HDL-C and frailty, a second-order polynomial was employed for curve fitting, revealing a U-shaped non-linear relationship between attenuation and non-HDL-C levels. When non-HDL-C levels are below 156.09 mg/dL, there is a protective association between non-HDL-C and frailty, transitioning to a detrimental relationship above this level. Notably, our findings also suggest that the risk of frailty is low at 117.54-194.64 mg/dL and high at 47.99-63.87 as well as 274.01-259.65 mg/dL, and that such populations should be assessed for frailty in a timely manner to facilitate the development of individualized intervention programs to ultimately reverse the trajectory of frailty. Subsequently, subgroup analyses and interaction tests were conducted to confirm the validity of the findings and broaden their generalizability.

5 Limitations

Despite the promising and dependable results, our study still has several limitations. Firstly, the cross-sectional design of this study made it difficult to determine a possible causal relationship between non-HDL-C and frailty. We suggest that more adequate prospective cohort studies should be conducted in the future to improve the reliability of our conclusions. Furthermore, it is recommended that future research investigate longitudinal relationships between fluctuations in non-HDL-C levels and individual frailty status to enhance the development of personalized intervention approaches. Secondly, the samples used in this study were drawn from population survey data in the United States and China, raising questions about the generalizability of our findings to other countries and regions. In addition, the reliance on self-reported data in this study may introduce subjective bias and recall bias. Lastly, it is worth noting that older adults frequently experience chronic diseases such as chronic liver disease and chronic kidney disease. Our study incorporated hypertension, diabetes, stroke, and heart disease in the evaluation of frailty. However, there remain certain disease biomarkers that have not been accounted for and adjusted. In addition, it is important to acknowledge that the frailty assessment in this study relies on a proprietary frailty scale, potentially introducing bias.

6 Conclusion

Overall, the findings of this cross-sectional study utilizing data from the NHANES and CHARLS databases indicate a nuanced, nonlinear association between non-HDL-C and frailty. Elevated non-HDL cholesterol levels, which are considered harmful in middleaged adults, may serve as protective factors against frailty in older adults when the levels are in the range of 117.54–194.64 mg/ dL. Whereas, when non-HDL-C levels are in the range of 47.99–63.87 as well as 274.01–259.65 mg/dL, it is timely to carry out a frailty assessment in order to enable individualized clinical interventions to ameliorate or reverse the state of frailty. The elucidation of this intricate nonlinear association holds significant implications for clinical practice and the care of frail patients.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: the datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available in the NHANES (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ nhanes/index.htm) and CHARLS (https://charls.pku.edu.cn/). All analyzed data during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics statement

The NHANES protocol was approved by the National Health Statistics Research Ethics Committee [NHANES 2009-2010: Continuation of Protocol #2005-06; NHANES 2011-2017: Protocol #2011-17; NHANES 2017-2018: Continuation of Protocol #2011-17 (Effective through October 26, 2017); Protocol #2018-01 (Effective beginning October 26, 2017)] and signed consent forms were obtained. All CHARLS rounds were approved by the Biomedical Ethics Committee of Peking University (The IRB approval numbers: IRB00001052-11015 and IRB00001052-11014) and consent forms were signed with all participants during the surveys. All participant information involved in this study was anonymized, and all participants were aware of and consented to the data collection activities. We adhered to the guidelines for the use of research data to ensure that data were used only for statistical analysis and that all experiments complied with current standards and regulations. No further ethical approval or informed consent was required for this study. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

YP: Visualization, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology, Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Formal analysis, Software. YY: Resources, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Validation, Visualization. JY: Visualization, Formal analysis, Software, Validation, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. ZF: Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Visualization, Data curation. XT: Software, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Supervision, Visualization, Investigation. YJ: Resources, Validation, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Investigation. GH: Software, Visualization, Resources, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. JD: Validation, Conceptualization, Supervision, Resources,

References

1. Xue QL. The frailty syndrome: definition and natural history. *Clin Geriatr Med.* (2011) 27:1–15. doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2010.08.009

2. Dent E, Martin FC, Bergman H, Woo J, Romero-Ortuno R, Walston JD. Management of frailty: opportunities, challenges, and future directions. *Lancet (London, England)*. (2019) 394:1376–86. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31785-4

3. Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. Lancet (London, England). (2013) 381:752-62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62167-9

Funding	acquisition,	Writing	-	review	&	editing,
Project ad	ministration.					

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the 2021 Future Medical Innovation Team Support Program of Chongqing Medical University (Project no. W0070) and Chongqing Natural Science Foundation (Project no. CSTB2022NSCQ-MSX0125).

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate all the researchers, staff, and involved patients of CHARLS and NHANES databases.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Correction note

A correction has been made to this article. Details can be found at: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1650863.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2025.1596432/ full#supplementary-material

4. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. (2001) 56:M146–57. doi: 10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146

5. Rockwood K, Mitnitski A. Frailty in relation to the accumulation of deficits. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. (2007) 62:722–7. doi: 10.1093/gerona/62.7.722

6. Mitnitski AB, Mogilner AJ, Rockwood K. Accumulation of deficits as a proxy measure of aging. *Scientific World J.* (2001) 1:323–36. doi: 10.1100/tsw.2001.58

7. Rockwood K, Andrew M, Mitnitski A. A comparison of two approaches to measuring frailty in elderly people. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2007) 62:738–43. doi: 10.1093/gerona/62.7.738

8. Kulminski A, Yashin A, Arbeev K, Akushevich I, Ukraintseva S, Land K, et al. Cumulative index of health disorders as an indicator of aging-associated processes in the elderly: results from analyses of the National Long Term Care Survey. *Mech Ageing Dev.* (2007) 128:250–8. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2006.12.004

9. Dzięgielewska-Gęsiak S, Muc-Wierzgoń M. Inflammation and oxidative stress in frailty and metabolic syndromes-two sides of the same coin. *Meta*. (2023) 13:475–13. doi: 10.3390/metabo13040475

 Teixeira-Gomes A, Laffon B, Valdiglesias V, Gostner JM, Felder T, Costa C, et al. Exploring early detection of frailty syndrome in older adults: evaluation of Oxi-immune markers, clinical parameters and modifiable risk factors. *Antioxidants (Basel, Switzerland)*. (2021) 10:1975–21. doi: 10.3390/antiox10121975

11. Mu L, Jiang L, Chen J, Xiao M, Wang W, Liu P, et al. Serum inflammatory factors and oxidative stress factors are associated with increased risk of frailty and cognitive frailty in patients with cerebral small vessel disease. *Front Neurol.* (2021) 12:786277. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.786277

12. Hammami S, Ghzaiel I, Hammouda S, Sakly N, Hammami M, Zarrouk A. Evaluation of pro-inflammatory cytokines in frail Tunisian older adults. *Plo S one.* (2020) 15:e0242152. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0242152

13. Mailliez A, Guilbaud A, Puisieux F, Dauchet L, Boulanger É. Circulating biomarkers characterizing physical frailty: CRP, hemoglobin, albumin, 25OHD and free testosterone as best biomarkers. *Results of a meta-analysis Experimental gerontology*. (2020) 139:111014. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2020.111014

14. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Woo J. Nutritional interventions to prevent and treat frailty. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* (2019) 22:191–5. doi: 10.1097/MCO.00000000000556

15. Tomata Y, Wang Y, Hägg S, Jylhävä J. Fatty acids and frailty: a Mendelian randomization study. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:3539–9. doi: 10.3390/nu13103539

16. Mak JKL, Kananen L, Qin C, Kuja-Halkola R, Tang B, Lin J, et al. Unraveling the metabolic underpinnings of frailty using multicohort observational and Mendelian randomization analyses. *Aging Cell.* (2023) 22:e13868. doi: 10.1111/acel.13868

17. Zhang N, Jia Z, Gu T, Zheng Y, Zhang Y, Song W, et al. Associations between modifiable risk factors and frailty: a Mendelian randomisation study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. (2023) 77:782–90. doi: 10.1136/jech-2023-220882

18. Alique M, Luna C, Carracedo J, Ramírez R. LDL biochemical modifications: a link between atherosclerosis and aging. *Food Nutr Res.* (2015) 59:29240. doi: 10.3402/fnr.v59.29240

19. Meurs I, Van Eck M, Van Berkel TJ. High-density lipoprotein: key molecule in cholesterol efflux and the prevention of atherosclerosis. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2010) 16:1445–67. doi: 10.2174/138161210791051022

20. Bourdel-Marchasson I, Laksir H, Puget E. Interpreting routine biochemistry in those aged over 65 years: a time for change. *Maturitas.* (2010) 66:39–45. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2010.02.004

21. Ma L, Sha G, Zhang Y, Li Y. Elevated serum IL-6 and adiponectin levels are associated with frailty and physical function in Chinese older adults. *Clin Interv Aging*. (2018) 13:2013–20. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S180934

22. Dzięgielewska-Gęsiak S, Bielawska L, Zowczak-Drabarczyk M, Hoffmann K, Cymerys M, Muc-Wierzgoń M, et al. The impact of high-density lipoprotein on oxidantantioxidant balance in healthy elderly people. *Pol Arch Med Wewn*. (2016) 126:731–8. doi: 10.20452/panw.3559

23. Tavares DM, Colamego CG, Pegorari MS, Ferreira PC, Dias FA, Bolina AF. Cardiovascular risk factors associated with frailty syndrome among hospitalized elderly people: a cross-sectional study. *Sao Paulo Med J* = *Rev Paul Med*. (2016) 134:393–9. doi: 10.1590/1516-3180.2016.0028010616

24. Chen XX, Chen ZX, Zhou WJ, Wang Y, Su J, Zhou Q. Blood urea nitrogen to creatinine ratio is associated with physical frailty in older-aged Chinese: a cross-sectional study. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2023) 35:581–9. doi: 10.1007/s40520-022-02332-4

25. Stürzebecher PE, Katzmann JL, Laufs U. What is 'remnant cholesterol'? Eur Heart J. (2023) 44:1446-8. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac783

26. Brunner FJ, Waldeyer C, Ojeda F, Salomaa V, Kee F, Sans S, et al. Application of non-HDL cholesterol for population-based cardiovascular risk stratification: results from the multinational cardiovascular risk consortium. *Lancet (London, England)*. (2019) 394:2173–83. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32519-X

27. Verbeek R, Hovingh GK, Boekholdt SM. Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: current status as cardiovascular marker. *Curr Opin Lipidol.* (2015) 26:502–10. doi: 10.1097/MOL.00000000000237

28. Raja V, Aguiar C, Alsayed N, Chibber YS, ElBadawi H, Ezhov M, et al. Non-HDLcholesterol in dyslipidemia: review of the state-of-the-art literature and outlook. *Atherosclerosis*. (2023) 383:117312. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2023.117312

29. Searle SD, Mitnitski A, Gahbauer EA, Gill TM, Rockwood K. A standard procedure for creating a frailty index. *BMC Geriatr.* (2008) 8:24. doi: 10.1186/1471-2318-8-24

30. Deo RC. Machine learning in medicine. *Circulation*. (2015) 132:1920–30. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.001593

31. Ke GLMQ, Finley T, Wang TF, Chen W, Ma WD, Ye QW, et al. Light GBM: a highly efficient gradient boosting decision tree. *Adv Neural Inf Proces Syst.* (2017) 30:30.

32. LeCun Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G. Deep learning. Nature. (2015) 521:436-44. doi: 10.1038/nature14539

33. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol.* (2014) 43:61–8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dys203

34. Li W, Shi D, Song W, Xu L, Zhang L, Feng X, et al. A novel U-shaped relationship between BMI and risk of generalized aggressive periodontitis in Chinese: a cross-sectional study. *J Periodontol.* (2019) 90:82–9. doi: 10.1002/JPER.18-0064

35. Shi GP, Ma T, Zhu YS, Wang ZD, Chu XF, Wang Y, et al. Frailty phenotype, frailty index and risk of mortality in Chinese elderly population- Rugao longevity and ageing study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* (2019) 80:115–9. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2018.11.001

36. Fan J, Yu C, Guo Y, Bian Z, Sun Z, Yang L, et al. Frailty index and all-cause and cause-specific mortality in Chinese adults: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Public Health.* (2020) 5:e650–60. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30113-4

37. Zhu JR, Gao RL, Zhao SP, Lu GP, Zhao D, Li JJ. Chinese guidelines for prevention and control of dyslipidemia in adults (2016 revision). *Chinese Circulation J.* (2016) 31:937–53. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1000-3614.2016.10.001

38. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation.* (2019) 139:e1082–143. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000625

39. Chinese Society of Cardiology of Chinese Medical Association; Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Rehabilitation Committee of Chinese Chinese Society of Cardiology of Chinese Medical Association; Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Rehabilitation Committee of Chinese Association of Rehabilitation Medicine; Cardiovascular Disease Committee of Chinese Association of Gerontology and Geriatrics; Thrombosis Prevention and Treatment Committee of Chinese Medical Doctor Association. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi. (2020) 48:1000–38. doi: 10.3760/cma.jcn112148-20201009-00796

40. Cui Y, Blumenthal RS, Flaws JA, Whiteman MK, Langenberg P, Bachorik PS, et al. Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level as a predictor of cardiovascular disease mortality. *Arch Intern Med.* (2001) 161:1413–9. doi: 10.1001/archinte.161.11.1413

41. (CSIM) CSoIM. Chinese expert consensus on non-traditional lipid markers and risk management of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Chinese J Preventive Med.* (2022) 56:17. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112150-20211130-01106

42. Lecun Y, Bottou L, Bengio Y, Haffner P. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proc IEEE*. (1998) 86:2278–324.

43. Brookes ST, Whitely E, Fau-Egger M, Egger M. Subgroup analyses in randomized trials: Risks of subgroup-specific analyses; power and sample size for the interaction test. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2004) 57:229–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2003.08.009

44. Hoogendijk EO, Afilalo J, Ensrud KE, Kowal P, Onder G, Fried LP. Frailty: implications for clinical practice and public health. *Lancet (London, England)*. (2019) 394:1365–75. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31786-6

45. Roberts S, Collins P, Rattray M. Identifying and managing malnutrition, frailty and sarcopenia in the community: a narrative review. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:2316–26. doi: 10.3390/nu13072316

46. Carmena R, Duriez P, Fruchart JC. Atherogenic lipoprotein particles in atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. (2004) 109:Iii 2–7. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000131511. 50734.44

47. Johannesen CDL, Mortensen MB, Langsted A, Nordestgaard BG. Apo B and non-HDL cholesterol versus LDL cholesterol for ischemic stroke risk. *Ann Neurol.* (2022) 92:379–89. doi: 10.1002/ana.26425

48. Linton MF, Yancey PG, Davies SS, Jerome WG, Linton EF, Song WL, et al. The role of lipids and lipoproteins in atherosclerosis In: KR Feingold, B Anawalt, MR Blackman, A Boyce, G Chrousos and E Corpaset al, editors. Endotext. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc (2000)

49. Borén J, Chapman MJ, Krauss RM, Packard CJ, Bentzon JF, Binder CJ, et al. Lowdensity lipoproteins cause atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: pathophysiological, genetic, and therapeutic insights: a consensus statement from the European atherosclerosis society consensus panel. *Eur Heart J*. (2020) 41:2313–30. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ ehz962

50. Jebari-Benslaiman S, Galicia-García U, Larrea-Sebal A, Olaetxea JR, Alloza I, Vandenbroeck K, et al. Pathophysiology of atherosclerosis. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:3346–38. doi: 10.3390/ijms23063346

51. Dzięgielewska-Gęsiak S, Wysocka E, Fatyga E, Muc-Wierzgoń M. Relationship of SOD-1 activity in metabolic syndrome and/or frailty in elderly individuals. *Meta.* (2024) 14:514–13. doi: 10.3390/metabo14090514

52. Dallmann R, Viola AU, Tarokh L, Cajochen C, Brown SA. The human circadian metabolome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2012) 109:2625–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.11144 10109

53. Singh R, Sharma S, Singh RK, Cornelissen G. Circadian time structure of circulating plasma lipid components in healthy Indians of different age groups. *Indian J Clin Biochem: IJCB*. (2016) 31:215–23. doi: 10.1007/s12291-015-0519-8

54. Yin M, Zhang X, Zheng X, Chen C, Tang H, Yu Z, et al. Cholesterol alone or in combination is associated with frailty among community-dwelling older adults: A cross-sectional study. *Exp Gerontol.* (2023)180:112254. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2023.112254

55. Abdelhafiz AH, Loo Be Fau-Hensey N, Sinclair A. The U-shaped relationship of traditional cardiovascular risk factors and adverse outcomes in later life. *Aging Dis* (2012). 3:454–64).

56. Vischer UM, Safar ME, Safar H, Iaria P, Le Dudal K, Henry O, et al. Cardiometabolic determinants of mortality in a geriatric population: is there a "reverse metabolic syndrome"? *Diabetes Metab.* (2009) 35:108–14. doi: 10.1016/j.diabet.2008.08.006