Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Nattakorn Kuncharoen, Kasetsart University, Thailand

REVIEWED BY Tippawan Siritientong, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand Engkarat Kingkaew, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Thailand

*CORRESPONDENCE Jian Liu ⊠ elitelj@126.com

RECEIVED 04 April 2025 ACCEPTED 02 May 2025 PUBLISHED 21 May 2025

CITATION

Liu M, Xiang J, Zhang M, Zhang Y and Liu J (2025) Non-linear association between serum vitamin D and bacterial vaginosis in U.S. women: analysis from NHANES 2001–2004. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1606246. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1606246

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Liu, Xiang, Zhang, Zhang and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Non-linear association between serum vitamin D and bacterial vaginosis in U.S. women: analysis from NHANES 2001–2004

Ming Liu, Jingyu Xiang, Miao Zhang, Yifang Zhang and Jian Liu*

Department of Gynecologic Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical University, Bengbu, China

Background: Previous studies have reported inconsistent associations between serum vitamin D levels and bacterial vaginosis (BV). Therefore, this study aimed to comprehensively investigate the relationship between serum vitamin D concentrations and BV risk among adult women in the United States.

Methods: Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2001–2004 were analyzed. Multivariate logistic regression was used to assess the association between serum vitamin D levels and BV. Additionally, smoothing curve fitting and subgroup analyses were performed to explore potential non-linear relationships and effect modifications.

Results: A total of 1,397 adult women were included. After adjusting for multiple covariates, serum vitamin D levels showed a significant non-linear negative association with BV risk. Subgroup analyses revealed that this association was not statistically significant among certain ethnic groups and women with lower body mass index (BMI).

Conclusion: Serum vitamin D levels were non-linearly and negatively associated with the risk of bacterial vaginosis in adult women in the United States. Notably, the association was no longer present at serum vitamin D concentrations \geq 63.1 nmol/L, which may inform future research.

KEYWORDS

serum vitamin D, bacterial vaginosis, nutrients, NHANES, cross-sectional study

1 Introduction

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is a common vaginal infection caused by an imbalance in the vaginal microbiota and is characterized by a decrease in the number of lactobacilli together with an overproliferation of anaerobic bacteria (e.g., *Gardnerella vaginalis*, Prevotella, and *Atopobium vaginae*) (1). This microecological imbalance causes the vaginal pH to rise, disrupting the normal acidic environment and leading to a range of symptoms (2). Typical symptoms in about 50% of patients are a thin, grayish-white, or yellowish discharge with a fishy odor. This is especially common after sexual intercourse (3, 4). BV can cause many complications, including an increased risk of sexually transmitted infections (5–8), such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), herpes simplex virus (HSV), *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, and *Chlamydia trachomatis*, and cause genitourinary infections in women and adverse pregnancy outcomes (9–12). In addition, BV can have an impact on the mental health and social quality of women's lives (13, 14).

The etiology of BV is not fully understood, and the recurrence rate is high. One study showed a recurrence rate of 58 percent within 12 months of standard treatment with metronidazole (15). This high recurrence rate may be due to a number of factors, including bacterial biofilm formation, antibiotic resistance, incomplete restoration of the vaginal micro-ecosystem, and unsafe sexual practices (16–19). Therefore, existing treatments have limited effectiveness in preventing recurrence of BV, and there is an urgent need to explore new treatment strategies.

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble nutrient that is synthesized by the skin, mainly through exposure to sunlight, but also through diet and supplementation, and has the function of promoting calcium and phosphorus absorption, supporting cardiovascular health, regulating cell growth and differentiation, and immunity (20, 21). The role of vitamin D in female reproductive health has recently attracted increasing attention. Studies have shown that serum vitamin D may influence the development and progression of BV in several ways, such as exerting direct antibacterial effects, preventing pathogen biofilm formation, regulating immune and inflammatory responses, maintaining the acidic vaginal environment, and reprogramming epigenetics and metabolism (22-25). Although several studies have focused on the relationship between serum vitamin D and the risk of BV, the results in the existing literature are inconsistent. Turner et al. conducted a randomized controlled trial that showed that high-dose vitamin D supplementation in addition to standard metronidazole therapy did not reduce BV recurrence (26). Subsequently, in their study of Zimbabwean women of childbearing age, they showed that serum vitamin D insufficiency was not associated with increased prevalence or incidence of BV (27). However, some studies support the idea that people with vitamin D deficiency or insufficiency are more likely to develop BV (28, 29), and that vitamin D supplementation may be beneficial in treating BV (30). Therefore, further studies are needed to test the association between serum vitamin D and BV.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Survey description

All data for this study came from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The survey is highly credible and informative in academic research because it uses probability sampling to collect data. The data source for this analysis was the 2001–2002 and 2003–2004 cycles of NHANES. All participants provided written informed consent, which was approved by the Ethics Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). Comprehensive NHANES data and research methods are publicly available at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

2.2 Study population

There were 21,161 participants in NHANES 2001–2004. After excluding males (n = 10,301) and those with missing data for bacterial vaginosis (n = 8,816), serum vitamin D (n = 104), smoking (n = 324), drinking (n = 134), BMI (n = 15), and PIR (n = 70), 1,397 participants were included in the study (Supplementary Figure 1).

2.3 Study variables

2.3.1 Diagnostic for bacterial vaginosis

The vaginal swab specimens were processed, stored, and transported to the Magee-Women's Hospital in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. After Gram staining in the laboratory, slides were scanned with a low-power objective to locate clusters of epithelial cells, followed by quantification of the mean number of Lactobacillus forms, Gardnerella spp., anaerobic Gram-negative bacilli, and Mobiluncus forms. Using the Nugent method, we calculated the BV score and considered it BV positive when the total number of bacteria reached 7–10.

2.3.2 Serum vitamin D

Serum vitamin D levels were measured using the DiaSorin RIA kit (Stillwater, MN). According to the Endocrine Society's 2024 Clinical Practice Guidelines (31), the traditional thresholds used to define vitamin D deficiency, insufficiency, and sufficiency are no longer widely used due to the lack of sufficient evidence demonstrating a clear association between different serum 25(OH)D levels and clinical outcomes. Historically, these thresholds were primarily based on bone health and were defined as deficiency (<50.00 nmol/L), insufficiency (50.00–74.99 nmol/L), and sufficiency (\geq 75.00 nmol/L) (32). In this study, we retain this classification for consistency with previous literature but note that these thresholds may not fully reflect the role of vitamin D in mucosal immunity, which may require different serum concentration levels.

2.3.3 Covariates

Covariates included age, race, education, marital status, PIR, BMI, smoking (defined as having smoked at least 100 cigarettes in life), and alcohol consumption (defined as 12 or more drinks per year). Participants' age was categorized as <35 and \geq 35 years. Marital status was categorized as married/living with a partner, never married, divorced/separated/widowed. PIR was categorized into three groups: <1.3, 1.3–3.49, and \geq 3.5. BMI was categorized into three groups: <18.5 kg/m², 18.5–24.99 kg/m², 25–29.99 kg/m², and \geq 30 kg/m². All detailed data collection processes and measurement procedures for these covariates are available on the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHC) website.

2.4 Statistical analysis

In this study, the complex multi-stage sampling weighting guidelines provided by NHANES were followed. The baseline table describing the study population was divided according to BV positivity and negativity, with continuous variables expressed as weighted mean and standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables expressed as percentages. We used the t-test and chi-squared test to compare the distribution of baseline characteristics between groups. To further explore the relationship between serum vitamin D and BV, multivariate logistic regression models were constructed: the crude model was not adjusted for any covariates; model 1 was adjusted for age and race; and model 2 added education, marital status, PIR, BMI, smoking, and drinking to model 1. In addition, a smooth curve fit graph was plotted for model 2 to verify the non-linear relationship between serum vitamin D and BV, and a segmented linear regression model was used to further investigate potential threshold effects. Finally, we performed subgroup analyses and interaction tests stratified by covariates to control for confounding bias and enhance the reliability of the results. All data were processed and analyzed using R (version 4.4.2) and Empower Stats (version 2.0). p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics

Of the 1,397 female subjects aged 20 years and older with complete data included in the study, 590 were BV positive (42.23%) and 807 were BV negative (57.77%). Supplementary Table 1 summarizes the baseline demographic characteristics weighted by BV status. Significantly higher proportions of BV-positive individuals were Black, had a high school education or less, lived alone, and were smokers compared with BV-negative individuals. In addition, BV-positive women had a higher BMI, while their PIR and serum vitamin D levels were lower. No significant differences were found between the two groups with regard to age and alcohol consumption (p > 0.05). Supplementary Table 1 also shows the percentage of those with adequate, inadequate, and deficient serum vitamin D levels in the two groups, which showed that the percentage of those with adequate serum vitamin D level was significantly lower in the BV-positive group (p < 0.0001).

3.2 Associations between vitamin D and risk of bacterial vaginosis

The association between serum vitamin D levels and BV is shown in Supplementary Table 2. The results of multiple logistic regression analysis showed that the risk of BV decreased with increasing serum vitamin D levels. After adjustment for age and race, this association remained significant (OR = 0.99, 95% CI: 0.98–0.99, *p* < 0.0001), i.e., for every 1 nmol/L increase in serum vitamin D, there was a 1% reduction in the risk of developing BV. In model 2, although the association was not significant, there was still a trend towards a negative association between serum vitamin D levels and the risk of BV. In addition, participants were divided into deficiency (<50.00 nmol/L), insufficiency (50.00-74.99 nmol/L), and sufficiency (≥75.00 nmol/L) groups based on serum vitamin D levels, and the sufficiency group was used as the reference for analyses. The results showed that individuals with serum vitamin D insufficiency had a significantly increased risk of developing BV compared with the sufficiency group, whereas the insufficient individuals did not have a significantly increased risk.

Smoothed curve fitting based on model 2 showed a non-linear negative correlation and a possible saturation effect between serum vitamin D and BV (Supplementary Figure 2). Threshold effect analysis using a two-segment piecewise linear regression model indicated that the minimum threshold for a protective effect was 63.1 nmol/L (log-likelihood ratio < 0.001). On the left side of the inflection point, the prevalence of BV decreased progressively with increasing serum vitamin D. However, on the right side of the inflection point, the effect

of serum vitamin D on the risk of BV was attenuated and may not even be clinically significant (Supplementary Table 3).

To assess the robustness of the association between serum vitamin D and BV risk, we performed subgroup analyses and tested for interactions between different populations, the results of which are shown in Supplementary Figure 3. Subgroups were stratified by age, race, education level, marital status, PIR, BMI, smoking, and alcohol consumption. Analysis showed that in most subgroups, serum vitamin D levels were negatively associated with the risk of BV (HR < 1) and were statistically significant (e.g., age < 35: p < 0.001; White: p = 0.0024; full details in Supplementary Figure 3). It is worth noting that the association between serum vitamin D levels and the risk of BV was not statistically significant in the subgroups of "other races" and "BMI < 18.5". The results of the interaction test showed that there was no statistically significant difference in the interaction between subgroups (P-interaction > 0.05), suggesting that the negative association between serum vitamin D and the risk of BV is consistent in populations with different characteristics.

4 Discussion

Our study showed a non-linear negative association between serum vitamin D levels and the risk of BV, but this association was no longer present at serum vitamin D concentrations \geq 63.1 nmol/L. This study systematically analyzed the causes of conflicting conclusions in previous observational studies, laying an evidence-based medical foundation for precise vitamin D supplementation strategies for people at high risk of BV.

We found that serum vitamin D deficiency was associated with an increased risk of BV, which is consistent with previous hypotheses about the mechanism by which vitamin D regulates mucosal immunity and maintains vaginal microecological balance. Holick et al. (33) pointed out that vitamin D promotes the expression of antimicrobial peptides by activating the vitamin D receptor (VDR), improves epithelial barrier function, and inhibits pathogen colonization. In addition, vitamin D may protect the vagina from bacterial infection by increasing the stability, proliferation and differentiation of stratified squamous epithelial cells through activation of the VDR, p-RhoA and p-Ezrin pathways, as suggested in other systems (34). Vitamin D can also induce the synthesis and secretion of insulin and increase the phosphorylation and inactivation of glycogen synthase kinase (inhibitor of glycogen synthesis) in adipose tissue, indirectly promoting glycogen production. This may alter vaginal glucose homeostasis, increasing the relative abundance of lactobacilli and lowering local pH (35-37).

Smooth curve fitting revealed a non-linear negative association between serum vitamin D and the risk of BV and identified a threshold effect of 63.1 nmol/L (log likelihood ratio <0.001). The inflection point at 63.1 nmol/L may reflect a biological saturation effect, with the inverse association between vitamin D and BV risk plateauing beyond this level. Its clinical relevance requires validation in prospective interventional trials. This threshold is significantly lower than the 75 nmol/L recommended by the Endocrine Society for bone health, suggesting that vitamin D's role in mucosal immune protection may be saturated earlier than its effect on bone health. Specifically, when serum vitamin D levels are below 63.1 nmol/L, the risk of BV increases with decreasing vitamin D levels, while above this threshold the protective effect stabilizes. This difference may be due to the local metabolic characteristics of the vagina: 1α-hydroxylase expressed by vaginal epithelial cells can convert vitamin D in serum to the active form 1,25(OH)₂D, and it can effectively trigger the VDR signaling pathway at lower serum concentrations (32, 38, 39). However, the skeletal system has a higher requirement for vitamin D, and levels usually need to be maintained above 75 nmol/L to inhibit parathyroid hormone (PTH) secretion and maintain calcium and phosphorus homeostasis (32, 40). This finding provides an important basis for stratified intervention: for women at high risk for BV, the target for serum vitamin D optimization can be set at \geq 63.1 nmol/L rather than following the standard for bone health uniformly. Maintaining serum vitamin D levels \geq 63.1 nmol/L was associated with both a lower risk of bacterial vaginosis and a reduced likelihood of harm related to excessive supplementation. However, this threshold is an exploratory result that is not sufficient to establish clinical guidelines.

Subgroup analyses of this study showed that the negative association between serum vitamin D and the risk of BV remained robust (P-interaction > 0.05) in most stratifications, such as age, education level, and marital status, suggesting the broad biological effects. However, this link did not reach statistical significance in the subgroups certain ethnic groups and "BMI < 18.5." This could be because of a small sample size or factors that were unique to those groups. It is worth noting that, as a fat-soluble vitamin, vitamin D is closely associated with adipose tissue in terms of metabolism, storage, and distribution (41). It's harder to store vitamin D if there is a big loss of fat tissue, like when a person has a low BMI or cachexia (42). This could cause the results to be skewed. One possible explanation for the finding that the link was weaker in the "BMI < 18.5" subgroup is this mechanism.

The present study has the following limitations. First, while the biological plausibility of vitamin D's immunomodulatory effects on vaginal microbiota remains temporally stable, our reliance on 2001-2004 NHANES data introduces potential contextual biases. Temporal shifts in environmental determinants-particularly evolving dietary patterns, sunscreen utilization trends, and lifestyle modificationsmay alter population-level vitamin D status profiles. Furthermore, the cross-sectional design inherently precludes causal inference regarding vitamin D's protective mechanisms against bacterial vaginosis (BV). Subsequent research should prioritize contemporary cohorts with standardized BV phenotyping to validate these findings in modern populations. Second, although the NHANES database reduces seasonal bias in vitamin D measurements by using a seasonally adjusted sampling scheme (e.g., balanced inclusion of samples tested in different seasons) (43), individual-level variations in sun exposure and dietary intake may still introduce residual confounding in the results. Third, there may have been a higher risk of type II error because the sample sizes for subgroups like "other races" (n = 57) and "BMI < 18.5" (n = 34) were not big enough. Fourth, even after accounting for important demographic and lifestyle factors, some unmeasured influences (like how often people have sex, use contraception, maintain vaginal hygiene, and their hormonal levels) might still affect the results (44). Future studies need to include prospective cohorts or randomised controlled trials (RCTs) to verify causality, while more confounders and dynamic monitoring of vitamin D levels are recommended.

5 Conclusion

In summary, this study supports an association between vitamin D insufficiency and an increased risk of BV, and this association is consistent in most subgroups of the population. The fact that this association is no longer significant when serum vitamin D concentrations reach or exceed 63.1 nmol/L provides an important basis for the development of precise intervention strategies.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by all data for this study comes from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) conducted by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

ML: Writing – original draft, Data curation, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Investigation, Software, Methodology. JX: Methodology, Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Software. MZ: Software, Writing – original draft. YZ: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. JL: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the participants and investigators of the NHANES study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Abou Chacra L, Fenollar F, Diop K. Bacterial vaginosis: what do we currently know? Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2022) 11:672429. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.672429

2. Paavonen J, Brunham RC. Bacterial vaginosis and desquamative inflammatory vaginitis. N Engl J Med. (2018) 379:2246–54. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1808418

3. Amsel R, Totten PA, Spiegel CA, Chen KC, Eschenbach D, Holmes KK. Nonspecific vaginitis: diagnostic criteria and microbial and epidemiologic associations. *Am J Med.* (1983) 74:14–22. doi: 10.1016/0002-9343(83)91112-9

4. Easmon C, Hay P, Ison C. Bacterial vaginosis: a diagnostic approach. *Genitourin Med.* (1992) 68:134–8. doi: 10.1136/sti.68.2.134

5. Taha TE, Hoover DR, Dallabetta GA, Kumwenda NI, Mtimavalye LA, Yang L-P, et al. Bacterial vaginosis and disturbances of vaginal flora: association with increased acquisition of Hiv. *AIDS*. (1998) 12:1699–706. doi: 10.1097/00002030-199813000-00019

 Martin HL Jr, Richardson BA, Nyange PM, Lavreys L, Hillier SL, Chohan B, et al. Vaginal lactobacilli, microbial Flora, and risk of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 and sexually transmitted disease acquisition. J Infect Dis. (1999) 180:1863–8. doi: 10.1086/315127

7. Cherpes TL, Meyn LA, Krohn MA, Lurie JG, Hillier SL. Association between Acquisition of Herpes Simplex Virus Type 2 in women and bacterial vaginosis. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2003) 37:319–25. doi: 10.1086/375819

 Brotman RM, Klebanoff MA, Nansel TR, Yu KF, Andrews WW, Zhang J, et al. Bacterial vaginosis assessed by gram stain and diminished colonization resistance to incident gonococcal, chlamydial, and Trichomonal genital infection. *J Infect Dis.* (2010) 202:1907–15. doi: 10.1086/657320

9. Georgijević A, Cjukić-Ivancević S, Bujko M. Bacterial vaginosis. Epidemiology and risk factors. Srp Arh Celok Lek. (2000) 128:29–33.

10. Jacobsson B, Pernevi P, Chidekel L, JörgenPlatz-Christensen J. Bacterial vaginosis in early pregnancy may predispose for preterm birth and postpartum endometritis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* (2002) 81:1006–10. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0412.2002.811103.x

11. Guerra B, Ghi T, Quarta S, Morselli-Labate AM, Lazzarotto T, Pilu G, et al. Pregnancy outcome after early detection of bacterial vaginosis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* (2006) 128:40–5. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2005.12.024

12. Sharma H, Tal R, Clark NA, Segars JH. Microbiota and pelvic inflammatory disease In: Seminars in reproductive medicine. New York, USA: Thieme Medical Publishers (2014)

13. Bilardi JE, Walker S, Temple-Smith M, McNair R, Mooney-Somers J, Bellhouse C, et al. The burden of bacterial vaginosis: Women's experience of the physical, emotional, sexual and social impact of living with recurrent bacterial vaginosis. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e74378. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074378

14. Brusselmans J, De Sutter A, Devleesschauwer B, Verstraelen H, Cools P. Scoping review of the association between bacterial vaginosis and emotional, sexual and social health. *BMC Womens Health*. (2023) 23:168. doi: 10.1186/s12905-023-02260-z

15. Peebles K, Velloza J, Balkus JE, McClelland RS, Barnabas RV. High global burden and costs of bacterial vaginosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sex Transm Dis.* (2019) 46:304–11. doi: 10.1097/OLQ.000000000000972

16. Bradshaw CS, Vodstrcil LA, Hocking JS, Law M, Pirotta M, Garland SM, et al. Recurrence of bacterial vaginosis is significantly associated with posttreatment sexual activities and hormonal contraceptive use. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2013) 56:777–86. doi: 10.1093/cid/cis1030

17. Wu S, Hugerth LW, Schuppe-Koistinen I, Du J. The right bug in the right place: opportunities for bacterial vaginosis treatment. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes*. (2022) 8:34. doi: 10.1038/s41522-022-00295-y

18. Muzny CA, Sobel JD. Understanding and preventing recurring bacterial vaginosis: important considerations for clinicians. *Int J Women's Health*. (2023) 15:1317–25. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S383333

19. Lachyan A, Khunger N, Panda PS. Bacterial vaginosis and biofilms: therapeutic challenges and innovations–a narrative review. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* (2024) 90:750–4. doi: 10.25259/IJDVL_1322_2023

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2025.1606246/ full#supplementary-material

20. Holick MF, Chen TC, Lu Z, Sauter E. Vitamin D and skin physiology: ad-lightful story. J Bone Miner Res. (2007) 22:V28–33. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.07s211

21. Ghaseminejad-Raeini A, Ghaderi A, Sharafi A, Nematollahi-Sani B, Moossavi M, Derakhshani A, et al. Immunomodulatory actions of vitamin D in various immunerelated disorders: a comprehensive review. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:950465. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.950465

22. Aggeletopoulou I, Marangos M, Assimakopoulos SF, Mouzaki A, Thomopoulos K, Triantos C. Vitamin D and microbiome: molecular interaction in inflammatory bowel disease pathogenesis. *Am J Pathol.* (2023) 193:656–68. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2023.02.004

23. Tomusiak-Plebanek A, Więcek G, Golińska E, Strus M. In vitro evaluation of the immunomodulatory and antibacterial activities of calcitriol (1, 25-Dihydroxy-vitamin D3) as a potential application for aerobic vaginitis treatment-preliminary study results. *BMC Microbiol.* (2025) 25:29. doi: 10.1186/s12866-024-03729-z

24. Mazur A, Frączek P, Tabarkiewicz J. Vitamin D as a Nutri-epigenetic factor in autoimmunity—a review of current research and reports on vitamin D deficiency in autoimmune diseases. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:4286. doi: 10.3390/nu14204286

25. Guan J, Dong Y, Zhang W, Gui Q, Luo D, Wen H, et al. Effect of vitamin D level on female vaginitis in Xi'an, China. *Int J Women's Health.* (2024) 16:2103–12. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S481539

26. Turner AN, Reese PC, Fields KS, Anderson J, Ervin M, Davis JA, et al. A blinded, randomized controlled trial of high-dose vitamin D supplementation to reduce recurrence of bacterial vaginosis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2014) 211:479e1–479e13. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2014.06.023

27. Turner AN, Reese PC, Chen PL, Kwok C, Jackson RD, Klebanoff MA, et al. Serum vitamin D status and bacterial vaginosis prevalence and incidence in Zimbabwean women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2016) 215:332.e1–332.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2016.02.045

28. Ma L, Zhang Z, Li L, Zhang L, Lin Z, Qin H. Vitamin D deficiency increases the risk of bacterial vaginosis during pregnancy: evidence from a Meta-analysis based on observational studies. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:1016592. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1016592

29. Mojtahedi SF, Mohammadzadeh A, Mohammadzadeh F, Jalili Shahri J, Bahri N. Association between bacterial vaginosis and 25-Hydroxy vitamin D: a case-control study. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2023) 23:208. doi: 10.1186/s12879-023-08120-3

30. Taheri M, Baheiraei A, Foroushani AR, Nikmanesh B, Modarres M. Treatment of vitamin D deficiency is an effective method in the elimination of asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis: a placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial. *Indian J Med Res.* (2015) 141:799–806. doi: 10.4103/0971-5916.160707

31. Demay MB, Pittas AG, Bikle DD, Diab DL, Kiely ME, Lazaretti-Castro M, et al. Vitamin D for the prevention of disease: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* (2024) 109:1907–47. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgae290

32. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol*. (2011) 96:1911–30. doi: 10.1210/jc.2011-0385

33. Mf H. Vitamin D. Deficiency. N Engl J Med. (2007) 357:266–81. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra070553

34. Yildirim B, Abban G, Erdogan BS. Immunohistochemical detection of 1, 25-Dihydroxyvitamin D receptor in rat vaginal epithelium. *Fertil Steril.* (2004) 82:1602–8. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.07.949

35. Maestro B, Molero S, Bajo S, Dávila N, Calle C. Transcriptional activation of the human insulin receptor gene by 1, 25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3. *Cell Biochem Funct*. (2002) 20:227–32. doi: 10.1002/cbf.951

36. Ja F, Dale TC. Glycogen synthase kinase 3: a key regulator of cellular fate. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2007) 64:1930–44. doi: 10.1007/s00018-007-7045-7

37. Parker L, Levinger I, Mousa A, Howlett K, De Courten B. Plasma 25-Hydroxyvitamin D is related to protein signaling involved in glucose homeostasis in a tissue-specific manner. *Nutrients.* (2016) 8:631. doi: 10.3390/nu8100631

38. Kim T-H, Lee H-H, Park J. Immunohistochemical detection of the 1, 25-Dihydroxy vitamin D receptor in the human vagina. *Iran J Reprod Med.* (2014) 12:805–10.

39. Hassanein MM, Huri HZ, Abduelkarem AR, Baig K. Therapeutic effects of vitamin D on vaginal, sexual, and urological functions in postmenopausal women. *Nutrients*. (2023) 15:3804. doi: 10.3390/nu15173804

40. Bienaimé F, Prié D, Friedlander G, Souberbielle JC. Vitamin D metabolism and activity in the parathyroid gland. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* (2011) 347:30–41. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2011.05.031

41. Lu S, Cao Z-B. Interplay between vitamin D and adipose tissue: implications for adipogenesis and adipose tissue function. *Nutrients.* (2023) 15:4832. doi: 10.3390/nu15224832

42. Arunabh S, Pollack S, Yeh J, Aloia JF. Body fat content and 25-Hydroxyvitamin D levels in healthy women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* (2003) 88:157–61. doi: 10.1210/jc.2002-020978

43. Johnson CL, Paulose-Ram R, Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kruszan-Moran D, Dohrmann SM, et al. National Health and nutrition examination survey: analytic guidelines, 1999–2010. *Vital Health Stat 2*. (2013) 161:1–24. doi: 10.1308/00358841 3X13511609956976

44. Brotman RM, Klebanoff MA, Nansel TR, Andrews WW, Schwebke JR, Zhang J, et al. A longitudinal study of vaginal douching and bacterial vaginosis—a marginal structural modeling analysis. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2008) 168:188–96. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwn103