TYPE Review PUBLISHED 02 July 2025 DOI 10.3389/fnut.2025.1607110

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Eva Szabo, University of Pécs, Hungary

REVIEWED BY

Sylwia Dziegielewska-Gesiak, Medical University of Silesia, Poland Anung Ahadi Pradana, Mitra Keluarga Hospital, Indonesia

*CORRESPONDENCE Hong-fang Ye ⊠ 13915969627@163.com

RECEIVED 07 April 2025 ACCEPTED 12 June 2025 PUBLISHED 02 July 2025

CITATION

Zhu S-m, Chang P, Wang Z, Yang B and Ye H-f (2025) Association of the dietary inflammation index with frailty in middle-aged and older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1607110. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1607110

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhu, Chang, Wang, Yang and Ye. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association of the dietary inflammation index with frailty in middle-aged and older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Shu-min Zhu¹, Ping Chang¹, Zhen Wang², Bei Yang² and Hong-fang Ye^{1*}

¹Department of Nursing, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China, ²School of Nursing, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China

Background: Low-grade of chronic inflammation is a signature of the aging and physiologic frailty may be related to a dysfunctional homeostasis between pro- and anti-inflammatory systems mediated by diverse determinants, including dietary constituents that produce a wide range of biologically active substances, which are important modulators of inflammation in the organism. The Dietary Inflammation Index (DII), a quantitative measure of diet-associated inflammation, has been widely used in studies of a variety of chronic inflammation-related diseases, but the correlation between the DII and frailty has not been uniformly determined.

Method: We searched multiple databases, including CNKI, Wanfang, VIP, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, PubMed, Embase, Scopus, and Web of Science, to identify studies in English and Chinese examining the association between the dietary inflammatory index and frailty risk. Literature was searched from the time of database construction to January 2025. Two standardized scales were employed for quality assessment: NOS for longitudinal studies and AHRQ tools for cross-sectional research. Sensitivity analyses and publication bias tests were performed using Stata 15.0, and meta-analyses were performed using RevMan 5.3 to calculate the combined odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) to assess the DII in correlation with pre-frailty and frailty.

Results: The meta-analysis examined 15 studies involving a total of 42,130 study participants. The combined results showed that individuals were at increased risk of both frailty [OR = 1.47, 95%CI (1.28, 1.69), p < 0.001] and pre-frailty [OR = 1.54, 95%CI (1.34, 1.76), p < 0.001] in the highest DII category compared to the lowest DII category. Subgroup analyses revealed that DII was significantly and positively associated with the increased risk of frailty in all subgroups of different study geographic areas, types, sample sizes, and dietary assessment tools, whereas the difference between frailty occurrence assessed using the FI debilitation index and DII was not statistically significant in the subgroups of different debilitation assessment tools.

Conclusions: Available evidence suggests that high pro-inflammatory diets may be associated with an increased risk of frailty, and that dietary strategies that lower the DII could play a role in reducing frailty incidence among older and middle-aged groups.

KEYWORDS

01

dietary inflammation index, frailty, inflammations, diet, middle-aged and older adults, meta-analysis

1 Introduction

Frailty is a complex age-related clinical syndrome marked by diminished physiological reserves, reduced stress resistance, and heightened vulnerability in aging individuals (1). It is closely related to the occurrence of various serious diseases including but not limited to cardiovascular disorders, depressive symptoms, renal dysfunction, and malignancies, which may precipitate multiple negative health consequences including elevated fall susceptibility, diminished quality of life, higher hospitalization frequency, and increased mortality risk (2, 3). Although frailty has traditionally been thought to affect mainly the older adult population (\geq 65 years of age), there is growing evidence that the pathologic process may begin in midlife (40-65 years of age), with a pre-frailty state of decreased strength, increased fatigue, and metabolic abnormalities, and progress to the typical frailty of old age through the mechanism of "cumulative deficits" (4, 5). The study emphasizes that this situation is not static; it is actually a state that can change with intervention. By addressing modifiable risk factors, we have the potential to reverse its course (6, 7).

Elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-6, TNF- α , and CRP) are prevalent in patients with frailty, and this persistent low-grade inflammatory state accelerates muscle proteolysis and inhibits synthesis, leading to sarcopenia and metabolic dysfunction, which further induces oxidative stress and immune dysregulation and thus exacerbates the symptoms of frailty (8-11). Frailty is strongly associated with chronic low-grade inflammation, and diet is a key modifiable factor in the inflammatory response (12). Studies have shown that pro-inflammatory diets activate the NFκB pathway and NLRP3 inflammatory vesicles, increase the release of inflammatory factors such as TNF- α and IL-6, and increase the risk of frailty by accelerating muscle loss and metabolic disorders through mechanisms such as chronic low-grade inflammation, oxidative stress, and insulin resistance (13). On the contrary, antiinflammatory diets rich in polyphenols, omega-3 fatty acids, and vitamin D directly scavenge free radicals and inhibit the NFκB and MAPK signaling pathways while regulating the intestinal flora, reducing the entry of endotoxin (LPS) into the bloodstream, decreasing the level of systemic inflammation, and delaying the progression of frailty (14). From a multidimensional perspective, frailty involves not only physical functioning, but may also affect a number of psychological, cognitive, and social domains. The present study focuses on physical frailty because it has the clearest assessment criteria and is more well-studied in terms of the mechanisms associated with dietary inflammation.

While the current study demonstrated similar significance in exploring the relationship between dietary scores and frailty (15), the pathophysiological associations with frailty were different: the Geriatric Nutrition Risk Index (GNRI) focused on nutritional adequacy (16); the Healthy Eating Index (HEI) emphasized a different balance of food groups (17); the Mediterranean diet (MDS) was associated with sarcopenia and the prevention of chronic disease (18); and the DASH score focused on improving blood pressure, Lipid levels (19).The specificity of the Dietary Inflammatory Index (DII) is reflected in its unique dimension of inflammation regulation, a tool developed based on global surveillance data to quantitatively assess the potential impact

of individual diets on the overall inflammatory response and to reflect the correlation between diet and inflammation (20, 21). DII classifies diets along a spectrum ranging from highly pro-inflammatory to strongly anti-inflammatory, assessing the cumulative impact of various food components on systemic inflammation (20, 22).

Studies have been conducted to assess the correlation between DII and the risk of developing frailty, and while some studies have reported a positive correlation between DII and the risk of frailty (23, 24), others have shown that the two are not significantly correlated (25). Therefore, this study used meta-analysis to synthesize the results of existing studies, and meta-regression and subgroup analyses based on study design, geographic location, and so on, to address the differences in effect sizes due to methodological heterogeneity. The present study aimed to comprehensively characterize the complex relationship between DII and the occurrence of frailty in middle-aged and older adults, thereby laying the foundation for future intervention strategies.

2 Method

The present systematic review and meta-analysis followed the PRISMA guidelines (26) and is registered on PROSPERO (CRD42024623860).

2.1 Retrieval strategy

A combination of subject terms and free terms was used to fully search PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Scopus, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wanfang Database, VIP Database, and China Biomedical Literature Database for dietary inflammation index and frailty correlation literature, with a timeframe from the construction of the database to January 2025. Use the following search terms to search: ("frailty" OR "frailty syndrome" OR "frail*" OR "frailty" OR "debilit*" OR "weakness*") AND ("dietary inflammatory index" OR "inflammatory potential of diet" OR "anti inflammatory diet" OR "pro inflammatory diet").

2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria covered: (i) research assessing the relationship of Dietary Inflammatory Index scores with frailty susceptibility; (ii)middle-aged and older adults aged \geq 45 years; and (iii) data on the correlation between DII and risk of frailty were reported in the literature and effect estimates were provided in the form of OR or HRs and their 95% CI; (iv) study design was either observational (case-control studies, cohort studies, and cross-sectional studies) or randomized controlled studies.

Exclusion criteria included: (i) literature for which full text was not available or duplicate publications; (ii) literature for which relevant outcome indicators were not reported or relevant data (OR or HR) could not be extracted; (iii)original studies that were not published in English or Chinese; (iv) reviews, dissertations,



systematic reviews, conference abstracts, book chapters, and patents; (v)Lower quality literature.

2.3 Literature screening and data extraction

Two authors independently screened studies based on inclusion/exclusion criteria, consulting a third researcher when necessary to resolve disagreements. Data collection covered three domains: study identification details (author, year, country), methodological elements (study design, population, follow-up, assessment tools, covariates), and analytical outcomes (adjusted risk estimates with confidence intervals)

2.4 Literature quality assessment

Methodological quality was systematically evaluated using discipline-specific tools: cohort and case-control studies were appraised with the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS), where studies achieving scores above 5 out of 9 were deemed methodologically sound (27). Cross-sectional studies were evaluated according to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) criteria, employing an 11-item checklist with binary scoring (1 = present/0 = absent or unclear). Based on cumulative scores, cross-sectional studies were categorized into three quality tiers: low: 0–3, moderate: 4–7 and high: 8–11 quality evidence (28).

2.5 Statistical analytics

RevMan 5.3 and Stata 15.0 software were used for statistical processing. Adjusted dominance ratios (ORs) were combined using an inverse variance weighting approach (treating risk ratios (HRs) as OR equivalents), and effect sizes were combined using the model with the highest number of covariates provided by the authors. Study heterogeneity was examined using the χ^2 statistic, and when there was no significant heterogeneity ($I^2 < 50\%$, P > 0.10), a fixedeffects model was used; otherwise ($I^2 \ge 50\%$ or $P \le 0.10$), randomeffects models were used to combine effect sizes, and subgroup analyses and meta-regressions were performed to explore sources of heterogeneity based on study geographic region, type of study, sample size, and DII assessment tool and frailty assessment tool. Sensitivity analyses were conducted through sequential exclusion of individual studies to evaluate result stability. Publication bias was assessed visually via funnel plots and quantitatively through Egger's and Begg's tests, with trim-and-fill adjustment implemented when required.

3 Results

3.1 Search results and study characteristics

The detailed process of literature search and study selection is shown in the flow chart (Figure 1). A total of 352 papers were obtained through database search, and 229 papers were obtained after removing duplicates. After preliminary screening of the papers by checking and reading the titles and abstracts, excluding 157 studies with irrelevant topics, 13 reviews and 3 conference abstracts, and eliminating 26 papers with different endpoints or exposures, 8 papers with incompatible study subjects and 6 papers with non-DII records, as well as 1 low-quality paper through full-text reading, 15 papers (23–25, 29–40) were finally included, of which 12 were in English (23–25, 32–40), 3 were in Chinese (29–31).

3.2 Research characteristics

Fifteen (23–25, 29–40) studies were published from 2017 to 2025 involving 42,130 subjects, including nine (25, 29, 30, 32–34, 36, 37, 40) cross-sectional studies, five (24, 25, 35, 38, 39) cohort studies, and one (31)case-control study. DII calculations were all performed using Shivappa's updated scoring system (20), with fourteen (23–25, 29–31, 33–40) reporting outcome indicators in the form of categorical DII, and 6 (23, 25, 31, 36, 37, 40) reporting indicators in continuous DII. Three (25, 29, 36) studies in the literature reported on the risk of developing a pre- frailty stage. Fifteen studies all controlled for the effect of confounding factors on the outcome by means of multifactorial analyses. Quality assessment revealed scores from 6 to 9 across the studies, reflecting a consistently high standard of methodological rigor. Detailed information is shown in the Table 1.

3.3 Associations of DII with frailty

Six included studies (23, 25, 32, 36, 37, 40) examined the correlation between continuously measured DII scores and frailty incidence. Low heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.86), supporting the use of a fixed-effects model. Pooled estimates showed a statistically significant positive association between higher levels of DII and increased risk of frailty, with a 7% increase in risk of frailty for each point increase in DII [OR = 1.07, 95% CI (1.05, 1.10), P < 0.001, see Figure 2].

Three (25, 29, 36) studies reported the relationship between the categorical variable form DII and the occurrence of pre-frailty conditions, with low heterogeneity across studies ($I^2 = 9\%$, P = 0.33), so fixed-effects meta-analysis revealed a 54% higher risk of pre-frailty conditions in the highest category of DII individuals compared to the lowest category of DII individuals [OR = 1.54, 95%CI (1.34, 1.76), P < 0.001, see Figure 3].

Fourteen (23–25, 29–31, 33–40) papers reported on the association between categorical variable form DII and the occurrence of frailty, with a high degree of heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 67\%$, P < 0.001), meta-analysis with a random-effects model revealed a 47% higher frailty risk in the highest category of DII compared to the lowest category of DII individuals [OR = 1.47, 95%CI (1.28, 1.69), P < 0.001, see Figure 4].

3.3.1 Subgroup analysis

Further subgroup analyses of geography, type, sample size, dietary assessment tool, and frailty assessment tool were conducted

across studies based on study characteristics to investigate sources of potential heterogeneity, and the influence of different study characteristics on the total effect size (Supplementary Figures 1–4). The results showed that the difference between the occurrence of frailty assessed using the FI Frailty Index and the DII [OR = 1.13, 95%CI (0.79, 1.60)] was not statistically significant (P > 0.05); after subgroup analyses based on sample sizes of <200, 200 to 1,000 ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.80), and >1,000 ($I^2 = 37\%$, P = 0.12), interstudy heterogeneity was substantially lowered, and these reductions reached statistical significance (P < 0.05), suggesting that sample size may be an important source of heterogeneity, and Table 2 presents the detailed findings from our subgroup analyses.

3.3.2 Meta-regression

Meta-regression analysis was used to further explore potential sources of heterogeneity across subgroup factors, incorporating the results of subgroup analyses and the geographic location, type, sample size, dietary assessment tool and frailty assessment tool of each study into the regression equation. The results showed that sample size may be the main source of heterogeneity among the subgroups, as shown in Table 3.

3.4 Publication bias

The funnel plot analysis evaluating the association between categorical DII and frailty incidence demonstrated visually detectable asymmetry upon systematic examination, continuing to show statistical significance using the Begg test for publication bias (P = 0.016), and Egger's test for publication bias (P = 0.007), which suggests the presence of publication bias. Therefore, correction was performed using the trim-and-fill method, and four similar studies were added after three iterations, and the effect sizes were not reversed after the merger [OR = 1.33, 95% CI (1.13, 1.58), P = 0.001, see Figure 5].

3.5 Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses using the drop-by-drop method as shown in the Figure 6 showed no significant changes in the combined effect sizes, which demonstrates the robustness and reliability of the study outcomes.

4 Discussion

Current evidence regarding the correlation between Dietary Inflammatory Index and frailty remains limited and inconsistent. Our study represents, to our knowledge, the most up-to-date systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating the influence of high DII on frailty risk. A meta-analysis of 15 papers on the correlation between DII and frailty with combined effect size ORs found that DII was positively correlated with both frailty and pre-frailty. Sensitivity analyses validated the robustness of our research findings, as no individual study exerted disproportionate influence on the overall results. Results remained consistent in

TABLE 1 Basic characteristics and quality evaluation of the retained literature.

Author, year	Country	Study design	Population	Duration (year)	Sample size (% female)	Age	Assessment of DII	Assessment of frailty	Adjusted confounders	Quality score
ZhaoHui (2023)	China	Cross- sectional	Rural residents	—	1,682 (51.66)	66 ± 4	FFQ	FI	Sex, ethnicity, marital status, physical activity	8
Liyi (2023)	China	Cross- sectional	Hospitalized patients	_	162 (26.54)	78.01	FFQ	Fried	BMI, literacy nutritional risk assessment, energy intake, protein intake, carbohydrates, polyunsaturated fatty acids	8
Liu Lin (2025)	China	Case-control	Hospitalized patients	_	T:118 (43.22) C:120 (51.67)	≥60	FFQ	Fried	Age, comorbidities, lower red blood cell levels, hemoglobin level, total protein level, albumin level	8
Çalapkoru (2024)	Turkish	Cross- sectional	Outpatient visits	_	187 (64.00)	70.83 ± 4.98	24-h dietary records	FRAIL	Sex, BMI, nutritional risk assessment, instrumental activity, waist circumference, hip circumference, calf circumference	8
Jung (2024)	Korea	Cross- sectional	Community residents	_	950(51.68)	70-84	24-h dietary records	Fried	Sex, age, household Income, literacy, family Structure, smoking status, alcohol consumption status, physical activity, energy intake, number of prescription medications, chewing status, number of chronic conditions	9
Kim (2018)	Korea	Cross- sectional	Community residents	—	321 (67.91)	70-85	24-h dietary records	Fried	Sex, energy intake, chewing status	8
Laclaustra (2020)	Spanish	Cohort	Community residents	3	1,915 (51.50)	68.4 ± 6.2	24-h dietary records	Fried	Sex, age, BMI, literacy, smoking status, physical activity, energy intake, disease status	6
LiShuyi (2024)	China	Cohort	Community residents	4	3,035 (50.10)	71.8 ± 4.8	FFQ	Fried	Sex, age, BMI, household Income, living alone, smoking status, physical activity, energy intake, protein intake, subjective social status, cognitive impairment, cancer, diabetes disease, cardiovascular disease	7
LiXiaoxia (2024)	China	Cross- sectional	Community residents	_	6,414 (35.74)	≥60	FFQ	FI	Sex, age, household Income, smoking status, alcohol consumption status, energy intake, dietary supplement intake	8
Resciniti (2019)	USA	Cross- sectional	NHANES	_	7,182 (52.58)	≥60	24-h dietary records	Fried	Sex, age, race, Literacy, smoking status, comorbidities	7
Shi (2023)	USA	Cross- sectional	NHANES	_	1,586 (46.85)	69.42	FFQ	Searle index	Sex, age, race, BMI, household Income, physical activity, alanine aminotransferase, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein, diabetes disease, hypertension	7
Shivappa (2018)	USA	Cohort	OAI	8	4,421 (58.00)	61.30 ± 9.30	FFQ	SOF index	Sex, age, race, BMI, household Income, literacy, depression, smoking status, physical activity, comorbidities	7
Son (2024)	Japan	Cohort	Community residents	7	811 (47.30)	73.7 ± 4.80	FFQ	Fried	Sex, age, literacy, depression, physical activity, comorbidities, oral health assessment	7
Zaslavsky (2017)	USA	Cohort	Outpatient visits	12	1,0431(100)	50-79	FFQ	Fried	Sex, race, household Income, literacy, smoking status, comorbidities	7
Lin (2024)	USA	Cross- sectional	NHANES	_	2,795 (45.18)	69.76 ± 6.70	24-h dietary records	Searle index	Sex, ethnicity, race, literacy, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption status, energy intake, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, duration of the disease, glycosylated hemoglobin	7

T, case group; C, control group; OAI, Osteoarthritis Initiative database; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; FFQ, Food Frequency Questionnaire; FI, Frailty Index; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic Fracture.

				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE \	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Çalapkorur (2024)	0.0325 0.0	0503	7.2%	1.03 [0.94, 1.14]	
Resciniti (2019)	0.0583 0.0	0349	15.0%	1.06 [0.99, 1.14]	
LiXiaoxia (2024)	0.0677 0.0	0294	21.1%	1.07 [1.01, 1.13]	
Shi (2023)	0.077 0.0	0242	31.1%	1.08 [1.03, 1.13]	
Lin (2024)	0.077 0.0	0292	21.4%	1.08 [1.02, 1.14]	
LiShuyi (2024)	0.1398 0.0	0662	4.2%	1.15 [1.01, 1.31]	
Total (95% Cl)			100.0%	1.07 [1.05, 1.10]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	.91, df = 5 (P = 0.86);				
Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 5.30 (P < 0.00001)	Anti-inflammatory Pro-inflammatory			
FIGURE 2					

Forest plot of the relationship between the continuous variable DII and frailty risk.



FIGURE 3

Forest plot of the relationship between the categorical variable DII and the risk of pre-frailty.

				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subaroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV. Random. 95% CI	IV. Random. 95% CI		
Juna (2024)	0.8154 0	.3815	2.8%	2.26 [1.07, 4.77]			
Kim (2018)	0.4947 0	.1385	9.2%	1.64 [1.25, 2.15]			
Laclaustra (2020)	0.9083 0	.2845	4.4%	2.48 [1.42, 4.33]			
LI Yi (2023)	4.148 1	.0286	0.5%	63.31 [8.43, 475.33]	\rightarrow		
Lin (2024)	0.2852 0	.1255	9.9%	1.33 [1.04, 1.70]			
LiShuyi (2024)	0.5988 0	.2254	5.9%	1.82 [1.17, 2.83]			
Liu Lin (2025)	0.5698 0	.2111	6.3%	1.77 [1.17, 2.67]			
LiXiaoxia (2024)	-0.0834 0	.1852	7.2%	0.92 [0.64, 1.32]			
Resciniti (2019)	0.5306 0	.2606	4.9%	1.70 [1.02, 2.83]			
Shivappa (2018)	0.3148 0	.1555	8.5%	1.37 [1.01, 1.86]			
Shi (2023)	0.174 0	.0639	12.8%	1.19 [1.05, 1.35]	-		
Son (2024)	0.708 0	.2598	4.9%	2.03 [1.22, 3.38]	· · · ·		
Zaslavsky (2017)	0.2151 0	.0519	13.2%	1.24 [1.12, 1.37]	-		
Zhao Hui (2023)	0.2776 0	.1315	9.6%	1.32 [1.02, 1.71]			
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.47 [1.28, 1.69]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.04: Chi ² = 39.03. df						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.41 (P < 0.00001	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Anti-inflammatory Pro-inflammatory					
					·		
FIGURE 4							
prest plot of the relation	ship between categoric	.ai variai	Jie Dii ahd	Iranuy FISK.			

both magnitude and statistical significance after implementing trim-and-fill correction for publication bias. Subgroup analyses demonstrated consistent positive associations between DII and frailty across multiple subgroups, including geographical locations (Asia, America, Europe), study designs (cross-sectional, cohort, case-control), sample sizes (<200, 200–1,000, >1,000 participants), dietary assessment methods (FFQ, dietary recalls), and frailty measurement instruments (Fried criteria, Searle scale, SOF index). However, studies utilizing the frailty index (FI) showed no significant correlation.

Subgroup	No. of studies	OR (95%CI)	P-values	Heterogeneity						
				P-values for within groups	I ² (%)					
Region										
Asia	9	1.56 (1.23, 1.97)	0.001	< 0.001	75					
America	4	1.27 (1.17, 1.39)	< 0.001	0.61	0					
Europe	1	2.48 (1.42, 4.33) 0.001		—	—					
Study design										
Cross-sectional	8	1.41 (1.14, 1.76)	0.002	< 0.001	73					
Cohort	5	1.59 (1.24, 2.04)	< 0.001	0.02	64					
Case-control	1	1.77 (1.17, 2.67)	0.007	_	—					
Sample size										
<200	1	63.31 (8.43, 475.33)	< 0.001	_	_					
200-1,000	4	1.76 (1.45, 2.15)	< 0.001	0.80	0					
>1,000	9	1.25 (1.17, 1.34) <0.001		0.12	37					
Methods for dietary assessment										
FFQ	9	1.38 (1.17, 1.63)	< 0.001	< 0.001	71					
Dietary records	5	1.64 (1.33, 2.01)	< 0.001	0.25	26					
Methods for frailty assessment										
Fried	9	1.78 (1.37, 2.31)	< 0.001	< 0.001	74					
FI	2	1.13 (0.79, 1.60)	0.5	0.11	60					
Searle	2	1.22 (1.09, 1.36)	0.005	0.43	0					
SOF	1		0.04	—	_					

TABLE 2 Subgroup meta-analysis of the association between DII and frailty risk.

TABLE 3 Meta-regression analysis of DII and risk of occurrence of frailty.

Variable	β	SE	Z	P 值	95%CI					
Region (reference group: Europe)										
America	-0.198	0.468	-0.420	0.700	[-1.67, 0.69]					
Asia	0.175	0.646	0.270	0.804	[-1.88, 2.23]					
Sample size (reference group: <200)										
200-1,000	-4.065	1.106	-3.680	0.035	[-7.58, -0.55]					
>1,000	-4.114	1.083	-3.800	0.032	[-7.56, -0.67]					
Study design (reference group: Case-control)										
Cohort	0.078	0.319	0.250	0.822	[-0.94, 1.09]					
Cross-sectional	-0.486	0.458	-1.060	0.367	[-1.95, 0.97]					
Methods for dietary assessment (reference group: dietary records)										
FFQ	-0.484	0.368	-1.310	0.280	[-1.66, 0.69]					
Methods for frailty assessment (reference group: SOF)										
Fried	-0.089	0.224	-0.400	0.718	[-0.80, 0.62]					
FI	0.012	0.402	0.030	0.977	[-1.27, 1.29]					
Seale	0.051	0.332	0.150	0.888	[-1.01, 1.11]					





4.1 Relationship between DII and risk of pre-frailty in middle-aged and older adults

Laclaustra (2020) LiShuyi (2024)

High-level DII increases the risk of pre-frailty in middleaged and older adults, which is consistent with the previous meta-analysis results of Donya et al. on the correlation between adherence to the Mediterranean diet and pre-frailty (41). However, in a European community of residents over 70 years old adhering to the Mediterranean diet, no beneficial results of the dietary pattern were found, and the levels of inflammatory markers in the body did not change after a 3-year follow-up (42). The differences in the results of the above studies may be related to differences in the geographic and cultural backgrounds, dietary habits and study designs of the included populations, in addition to differences in the studies' adjustments for confounders that may have contributed to the differences in results. Given the relative paucity of studies exploring the effects of DII on preexisting frailty, more extensive future investigations in diverse populations are needed to fully compare the correlation between DII and the risk of developing preexisting frailty.

4.2 Relationship between DII and risk of frailty in middle-aged and older adults

Dietary patterns promoting inflammation (elevated DII) may predispose older individuals to frailty development, in harmony with the results of previous studies (15, 43, 44). American scholars Jayanama et al. (15) explored the correlation between diet and frailty using several different dietary quality scores, such as the Nutritional Index, Healthy Eating Index, and Mediterranean Diet Score, and found that higher scores across all dietary evaluation tools consistently correlated with greater frailty likelihood; in addition, Italian scholars Dominguez et al. (43) included 11 studies and Guan Junyi et al. (44) included 9 studies in their metaanalysis and found that adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern (anti-inflammatory diet) was correlated with a decreased risk of frailty.

The underlying etiology of frailty is the chronic inflammatory response that occurs in the body, and food intake provides the body with the energy and substrates needed to cope with this response, so dietary modification based on dietary inflammation to intervene in the inflammatory response has become an important breakthrough point in the effective prevention and treatment of frailty (45). Diets with high DII levels, i.e., pro-inflammatory diets, include red meat, refined grains, fried and barbecued foods, and foods rich in unsaturated fatty acid (46), which contain certain components that can stimulate the body to cause elevated levels of inflammatory factors affecting protein synthesis and metabolism, resulting in a decrease in muscle mass and quality and thus leading to the development of frailty (14, 47). A low DII level diet, or anti-inflammatory diet, is centered on increasing the omega-3 fatty acid (deep-sea fish, flaxseed), dietary fiber (whole grains, vegetables), polyphenol (berries, green tea), and monounsaturated fatty acid (olive oil, nuts) components, while limiting processed meats, refined sugars, trans fats (e.g., fried foods), and high GI carbohydrate intake (48), to increase the body's antioxidant property components, and maintain the stabilization of the intestinal flora, synergistically reversing or delaying the severity of the weakness and its progression (45, 49, 50). Therefore, in the future, anti-inflammatory diets can be promoted for middle-aged and older community members, emphasizing the "diet-inflammation-frailty" chain, popularizing knowledge of dietary inflammation, and conducting regular dietary inflammation screenings to identify people at high risk of frailty for older intervention.

4.3 Subgroup discussions

Subgroup analyses were conducted to investigate potential sources of heterogeneity in the DII-frailty association. Subgroup analyses based on sample size showed that heterogeneity among subgroups was within acceptable limits, suggesting that differences in sample size may be the main source of heterogeneity. In addition, other subgroup analyses based on different study geographies, study types, and dietary assessment tools, each with varying degrees of reduced between-study heterogeneity, revealed similar results regarding the relationship between pro-inflammatory diets and the occurrence of frailty, with high levels of DII increasing the risk of frailty in middle-aged and older adults.

Notably the results of subgroup analyses by geography suggested a stronger correlation between DII and risk of frailty in Asian populations compared to the Americas, analyzing the reasons for this may be that the Americas population is chronically adapted to a high glycemic, highly processed diet, which is partially tolerated through intestinal flora adjustments or downregulation of inflammatory pathways, and that Asian populations are more sensitive to added inflammatory stimuli, while the Asian populations have a relatively low muscle mass, resulting in a unit of The damage to muscle metabolism from inflammatory load may be more pronounced in Asian populations.

When stratified by assessment methodology, studies utilizing the Fried frailty criteria demonstrated stronger, statistically significant correlations with DII scores compared to those employing the Frailty Index (FI), where associations were attenuated and non-significant. The reason for this analysis may be the inconsistency in the criteria for judging frailty, with the Fried frailty phenotype focusing on physical performance such as body weight, muscle strength, and gait speed (51), whereas the FI rating of frailty emphasizes the number of cumulative physical deficits (52), leading to differences in the prevalence and classification of frailty causing differences in the results of the study. Future studies should work on the standardization of frailty assessment tools, including the clear definition of core indicators, the unification of thresholds and the optimization of operational procedures, in order to improve the accuracy and comparability of screening tools, while reducing selection bias caused by different measurement methods.

4.4 Strengths

This investigation possesses several notable strengths. Primarily, it represents the most current systematic evaluation and quantitative synthesis examining DII-frailty associations in aging populations, thereby extending previous meta-analytic findings. Our results substantiate emerging evidence that anti-inflammatory dietary patterns may mitigate frailty risk among middle-aged and older adults. Notably, we included studies with inconsistent views for statistical analysis, including more frailty cases and participants than in previous meta-analyses (53), while the inclusion of prospective cohort studies vs. the type of case-control studies increased the reliability of the findings. Secondly we conducted subgroup analyses based on various confounders to elucidate the sources of differences between the included studies. In addition, publication bias was corrected for the presence of studies using the cut-and-patch method, and the results further confirmed the robustness and reliability of the findings.

4.5 Limitations

Several limitations should be acknowledged in this investigation. Primarily, the retained research articles were

observational, and inferences about causality between the two were not as strong as in interventional studies; second, the calculation of DII relied on self-administered FFQs and dietary recalls, and thus the results may be subject to recall bias; and, although the included studies were all corrected for confounders, they varied with respect to ethnicity, BMI, physical activity, energy intake, and other factors were not identical, and thus bias may have arisen.

5 Conclusion

Pooled effect estimates indicate that individuals with proinflammatory diets (high DII) exhibit higher odds of developing frailty relative to those consuming anti-inflammatory diets (low DII). The results of this study offer potential improvements in dietary choices for middle-aged and older adults, and at the same time, it provides more research ideas for the prevention of frailty, the existing dietary interventions for frailty are more integrated with other measures, and there are fewer interventional studies on the application of DII in clinical practice. More largesample, multi-center randomized controlled trials should be carried out to clarify the causal relationship between the two and their intensity. DII helps to carry out scientific research from the source of the disease and provides an innovative strategy for addressing frailty through early detection and intervention. In the future, clinics may consider using the DII for frailty risk assessment and individualized interventions in conjunction with anti-inflammatory dietary recommendations; policymakers may promote the use of the DII in public health guidelines, community nutrition programs, and food policies, and support further research to optimize intervention protocols and assess the potential of DIIbased dietary interventions to reduce healthcare expenditures.

Author contributions

S-mZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. PC: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. ZW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. BY: Formal analysis, Resources, Writing – original draft. H-fY: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by the Jiangsu Provincial Postgraduate Research and Practice Innovation Program Project (Grant No. SJCX23_0726), and Nursing Research Project, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Nanjing University (Grant No. 2023-A476).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2025. 1607110/full#supplementary-material

FIGURE S1 Forest plot analyzed by regional subgroups. FIGURE S2 Forest maps analyzed by subgroups of study types. FIGURE S3 Forest plots analyzed by sample size subgroups. FIGURE S4 Forest plot analyzed by dietary assessment tool subgroups.

Forest plot analyzed by subgroup of the frailty assessment tool.

References

1. Sandrucci S. Frailty: how to prognostic role. assess, Eur Surg Oncol. (2024) 50:106862. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2023. J 03.002

2. Ma L, Tang Z, Zhang L, Sun F, Li Y, Chan P. Prevalence of frailty and associated factors in the community-dwelling population of China. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2018) 66:559–64. doi: 10.1111/jgs. 15214

3. Kojima G, Iliffe S, Jivraj S, Walters K. Association between frailty and quality of life among community-dwelling older people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* (2016) 70:716–21. doi: 10.1136/jech-2015-206717

4. Hanlon P, Nicholl BI, Jani BD, Lee D, McQueenie R, Mair FS. Frailty and pre-frailty in middle-aged and older adults and its association with multimorbidity and mortality: a prospective analysis of 493 737 UK biobank participants. *Lancet Public Health*. (2018) 3:e323–32. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(18)30091-4

5. Gordon S, Grimmer K, Baker N. Do two measures of frailty identify the same people? An age-gender comparison. *J Eval Clin Pract.* (2020) 26:879–88. doi: 10.1111/jep.13265

6. Zhao W, Hu P, Sun W, Wu W, Zhang J, Deng H, et al. Effect of physical activity on the risk of frailty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*. (2022) 17:e0278226. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0278226

7. Ng TP, Feng L, Nyunt MSZ, Feng L, Niti M, Tan BY, et al. Nutritional, physical, cognitive, and combination interventions and frailty reversal among older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Med.* (2015) 128:1225–36.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2015.06.017

8. Zeng M, Li Y, Zhu Y, Sun Y. Inflammatory markers and clinical factors as key independent risk factors for frailty: a retrospective study. *BMC Geriatr.* (2025) 25:404. doi: 10.1186/s12877-025-06033-1

9. Jinzhu Y, Lingxiao H, Ya F. A review on progress in research on biomarkers of frailty in elderly. *Chin J Public Health*. (2023) 39:1073-7. doi: 10.11847/zgggws1141132

10. Tingting W, Huizhen M, Xiaolan D, Huanhuan Z. Relationships of inflammatory indexes with frailty and early stage of frailty. *J Clin Med Pract.* (2022) 26:87–91. doi: 10.7619/jcmp.20221443

11. Libby P. Inflammatory mechanisms: the molecular basis of inflammation and disease. *Nutr Rev.* (2007) 65:S140–146. doi: 10.1301/nr.2007.dec.S140-S146

12. Hariharan R, Odjidja EN, Scott D, Shivappa N, Hébert JR, Hodge A, et al. The dietary inflammatory index, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular risk factors and diseases. *Obes Rev.* (2022) 23:e13349. doi: 10.1111/obr.13349

13. Li Z, Liu H. Microbe-dependent and independent effects of diet on metabolic inflammation in glucose metabolism regulation. *Food Chem.* (2025) 488:144852. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2025.144852

14. Yi F. Anti-inflammatory diet and geriatric syndrome. Geriatr Health Care. (2024) 30:575-8. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-8296.2024.03.003

15. Jayanama K, Theou O, Godin J, Cahill L, Shivappa N, Hébert JR, et al. Relationship between diet quality scores and the risk of frailty and mortality in adults across a wide age spectrum. *BMC Med.* (2021) 19:64. doi: 10.1186/s12916-021-01918-5

16. Qin Q, Li S, Yao J. Association between the geriatric nutritional risk index with the risk of frailty and mortality in the elderly population. *Sci Rep.* (2025) 15:12493. doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-97769-8

17. He T, Yan Y, Wang D, Peng T, Jin L. Association of dietary health indices with frailty. *BMC Public Health.* (2025) 25:1068. doi: 10.1186/s12889-025-22245-x

18. Arroyo-Huidobro M, Amat M, Capdevila-Reniu A, Chavez A, Pellicé M, Ladino A, et al. The role of the mediterranean diet in the prevention of sarcopenia and frailty in older adults: a narrative review. *Nutrients.* (2025) 17:1743. doi: 10.3390/nu17101743

19. Lai JS, Chua KY, Li H, Koh W-P. Diet quality from mid to late life and its association with physical frailty in late life in a cohort of Chinese adults. *Nutr J.* (2024) 23:57. doi: 10.1186/s12937-024-00964-y

20. Shivappa N, Steck SE, Hurley TG, Hussey JR, Hébert JR. Designing and developing a literature-derived, population-based dietary inflammatory index. *Public Health Nutr.* (2014) 17:1689–96. doi: 10.1017/S1368980013002115

21. Yaoqing F, Dandan G, Enhui S, Shifan H, Ruifang Z. Study on relationship between dietary pattern, inflammatory index and metabolic index of chronic diseases. *Chin Nurs Res.* (2024) 38:1927–33. doi: 10.12102/j.issn.1009-6493.2024.11.008

22. Shivappa N, Hébert JR, Rietzschel ER, De Buyzere ML, Langlois M, Debruyne E, et al. Associations between dietary inflammatory index and inflammatory markers in the asklepios study. *Br J Nutr*. (2015) 113:665–71. doi: 10.1017/S000711451400395X

23. Li S-Y, Lu Z-H, Su Y, Leung JCS, Kwok TCY. Dietary inflammatory index, mediating biomarkers and incident frailty in Chinese community-dwelling older adults. J Nutr Health Aging. (2024) 28:100304. doi: 10.1016/j.jnha.2024.100304

24. Son B-K, Lyu W, Tanaka T, Yoshizawa Y, Akishita M, Iijima K. Impact of the antiinflammatory diet on serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and new-onset frailty in community-dwelling older adults: a 7-year follow-up of the kashiwa cohort study. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* (2024) 24:189–95. doi: 10.1111/ggi.14781

25. Li X, Wang Q, Ma T, Chang X, Xue Y, Zhang Y, et al. Dietary inflammatory index, dietary total antioxidant capacity, and frailty among older Chinese adults. *J Nutr Health Aging.* (2024) 28:100168. doi: 10.1016/j.jnha.2024.100168

26. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. (2021) 372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

27. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the newcastle-ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2010) 25:603–5. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z

28. Guise J-M, Butler M, Chang C, Viswanathan M, Pigott T, Tugwell P, et al. AHRQ series on complex intervention systematic reviews-paper 7: PRISMA-CI elaboration and explanation. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2017) 90:51–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.06.017

29. Zhao H, Fu W, Aili D, Luo T, Qiao, T, Zhang G, et al. Relationship between dietary inflammatory and frailty among rural residents over the age of 60 in the Yili region of Xinjiang. *Chin J Dis Control Prev.* (2023) 27:722–5. doi: 10.16462/j.cnki.zhjbkz.2023.06.018

30. Li Y, Hou W, Wang L. Study on the relationship between dietary inflammation index and frailty in hospitalized elderly patients. *Parent Enteral Nutr.* (2023) 30:8–13+19. doi: 10.16151/j.1007-810x.2023.01.005

31. Liu L, Fan Q, Liang W, Li Y. Correlation analysis between dietary inflammation index, nutritional parameters and frailty occurrence in elderly inpatients. *Food Nutr China*. (2024) 30:79–83. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1006-9577.2024.12.014

32. Çalapkorur S, Bakir B, Toklu H, Akin S. The effect of the nutritional status and dietary inflammatory index on frailty and activities of daily living in geriatric outpatients. *Ir J Med Sci.* (2024) 193:1671–80. doi: 10.1007/s11845-023-03595-0

33. Jung S, Lee Y, Kim K, Park S. Association of the dietary inflammatory index with sarcopenic obesity and frailty in older adults. *BMC Geriatr.* (2024) 24:654. doi: 10.1186/s12877-024-05239-z

34. Kim D, Park Y. Association between the dietary inflammatory index and risk of frailty in older individuals with poor nutritional status. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:1363. doi: 10.3390/nu10101363

35. Laclaustra M, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Guallar-Castillon P, Banegas JR, Graciani A, Garcia-Esquinas E, et al. The inflammatory potential of diet is related to incident frailty and slow walking in older adults. *Clinical Nutrition.* (2020) 39:185–91. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2019.01.013

36. Resciniti NV, Lohman MC, Wirth MD, Shivappa N, Hebert JR. Dietary inflammatory index, pre-frailty and frailty among older US adults: evidence from the national health and nutrition examination survey, 2007-2014. *J Nutr Health Aging.* (2019) 23:323–9. doi: 10.1007/s12603-019-1164-3

37. Shi L. Association of energy-adjusted dietary inflammatory index and frailty in older adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Exp Gerontol.* (2023) 182:112296. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2023.112296

38. Shivappa N, Stubbs B, Hébert JR, Cesari M, Schofield P, Soysal P, et al. The relationship between the dietary inflammatory index and incident frailty: a longitudinal cohort study. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* (2018) 19:77–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2017.08.006

39. Zaslavsky O, Zelber-Sagi S, Hebert JR, Steck SE, Shivappa N, Tabung FK, et al. Biomarker-calibrated nutrient intake and healthy diet index associations with mortality risks among older and frail women from the women's health initiative. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2017) 105:1399–407. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.116.151530

40. Lin Y, Cao X, Zhu H, Chen X. Association of dietary inflammatory index, composite dietary antioxidant index, and frailty in elderly adults with diabetes. *Eur J Med Res.* (2024) 29:480. doi: 10.1186/s40001-024-02083-0

41. Poursalehi D, Lotfi K, Saneei P. Adherence to the mediterranean diet and risk of frailty and pre-frailty in elderly adults: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis with GRADE assessment. *Ageing Res Rev.* (2023) 87:101903. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2023.101903

42. Gängler S, Steiner H, Gagesch M, Guyonnet S, Orav EJ, von Eckardstein A, et al. Adherence to the mediterranean diet and incidence of pre-frailty and frailty in community-dwelling adults 70+: The 3-year DO-HEALTH study. *Nutrients.* (2022) 14:4145. doi: 10.3390/nu14194145

43. Dominguez LJ, Donat-Vargas C, Sayon-Orea C, Barberia-Latasa M, Veronese N, Rey-Garcia J, et al. Rationale of the association between mediterranean diet and the risk of frailty in older adults and systematic review and meta-analysis. *Exp Gerontol.* (2023) 177:112180. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2023.112180

44. Junyi G, Mei Z, Yuan F. Association between mediterranean diet and frailty syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Pract Geriatr.* (2020) 34:1127-31. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1003-9198.2020.11.007

45. Yaru L, Ruifang Z, Jun Z, Qian W, Ruolan L, Shifan H. Research progress on antiinflammatory diet in the prevention and treatment of chronic diseases. *Chin Nurs Res.* (2024) 38:2531–7. doi: 10.12102/j.issn.1009-6493.2024.14.014

46. Huihui H, Yang L, Deliang W. Research progress on proinflammatory diet and related multisystem diseases. *Chin J Food Hyg.* (2023) 35:1671–7. doi: 10.13590/j.cjfh.2023.11.019

47. Schaap LA, Pluijm SMF, Deeg DJH, Harris TB, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, et al. Higher inflammatory marker levels in older persons: associations with 5-year change in muscle mass and muscle strength. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2009) 64:1183–9. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glp097

48. Hart MJ, Torres SJ, McNaughton SA, Milte CM. Dietary patterns and associations with biomarkers of inflammation in adults: a systematic review of observational studies. *Nutr J.* (2021) 20:24. doi: 10.1186/s12937-021-00674-9

49. Mukherjee MS, Han CY, Sukumaran S, Delaney CL, Miller MD. Effect of anti-inflammatory diets on inflammation markers in adult human populations: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Nutr Rev.* (2022) 81:55–74. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuac045

50. Buffa JA, Romano KA, Copeland MF, Cody DB, Zhu W, Galvez R, et al. The microbial GBU gene cluster links cardiovascular disease risk associated with red meat consumption to microbiota l-carnitine catabolism. *Nat Microbiol.* (2022) 7:73–86. doi: 10.1038/s41564-021-01010-x

51. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2001) 56:M146–156. doi: 10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146 52. Mitnitski AB, Mogilner AJ, Rockwood K. Accumulation of deficits as a proxy measure of aging. *Sci World J.* (2001) 1:323-36. doi: 10.1100/tsw.2 001.58

53. Moradi S, Hadi A, Mohammadi H, Asbaghi O, Zobeiri M, Marx W, et al. Dietary inflammatory index and the risk of frailty among older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Res Aging*. (2021) 43:323–31. doi: 10.1177/0164027520948176