Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Dalia Z. Alomari, Hashemite University, Jordan

REVIEWED BY Seydi Yikmiş, Namik Kemal University, Türkiye Zhengwen Wang, Gansu Agricultural University, China Xueying Zhang, Lanzhou University, China Letian Kang, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, China Rongsong Luo, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

*CORRESPONDENCE Wenqiao Hui Image: huiwenqiao1986@sina.com Sheng Chen Image: heng2005@163.com

RECEIVED 29 April 2025 ACCEPTED 16 June 2025 PUBLISHED 16 July 2025

CITATION

Qiao Y, Yang D, Tang J, Zhang W, Chen S and Hui W (2025) A comparative analysis of carcass traits and meat quality between Tibetan sheep and their three-way crossbred sheep. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1620180.

doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1620180

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Qiao, Yang, Tang, Zhang, Chen and Hui. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A comparative analysis of carcass traits and meat quality between Tibetan sheep and their three-way crossbred sheep

Yu Qiao¹, Deming Yang², Jishun Tang¹, Wei Zhang¹, Sheng Chen^{1*} and Wengiao Hui^{1*}

¹Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Livestock and Poultry Product Safety Engineering, Institute of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Anhui Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hefei, China, ²Qinghai Yangsen Agricultural and Livestock Ecological Co., Ltd., Gonghe, China

Introduction: To improve meat yield and nutritional quality of Tibetan sheep of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, Australian White and Small-tailed Han rams were introduced to crossbred with Tibetan sheep (Oura-type, O) ewes which possessed genetic homogeneity. The aim of this study was to compare growth conformation, meat quality, and further identified related candidate genes between Tibetan sheep and their three-way crossbreds (25% Australian White × 25% Small-tailed Han × 50% Oura-type Tibetan, AHO).

Methods: Fifteen 5.5-month-old male lambs (8 O and 7 AHO crossbred) were raised for 5.5 months. We compared body conformation, carcass traits, meat quality (pH, color, cooking loss), nutrient composition (amino acids, fatty acids, ribonucleotides) in *longissimus thoracis et lumborum* (LTL) muscle, and analyzed differentially expressed genes through transcriptomics.

Results: Our findings indicated that 5.5-month-old AHO lambs exhibited significantly superior body conformation and carcass traits (p < 0.05), including live weight, cannon circumference, carcass weight, and loin eye area. Their LTL muscle contained higher levels of PUFA, n-6 PUFA, and a greater PUFA/SFA ratio along with the content of glutamic acid and methionine (p < 0.05), and an increased trend for inosine monophosphate (p = 0.072) in the AHO lambs than O groups. Transcriptomic analysis identified candidate genes including *CSRP3*, *ANKRD1*, *IFRD1*, *PPARGC1A*, and *AMPD3*, which are differentially expressed and associated with muscle development and meat flavor.

Conclusion: The study revealed that AHO lambs demonstrated better growth and meat quality, with identified candidate genes for these traits. These findings provide practical strategies for enhancing Tibetan lamb meat quality through crossbreeding optimization in high-altitude regions.

KEYWORDS

crossbred lamb, Tibetan sheep, muscle development, meat flavor, differentially expressed genes

1 Introduction

Tibetan sheep is a native sheep breed mainly produced in Qinghai-Tibet plateau. It is also one of the important livestock resources in China (1). Due to long-term natural selection, Tibetan sheep at high altitudes have attained a distinctive suite of physiological adaptations to high-altitude hypoxia. However, their growth performance is limited by the extreme conditions of the Tibetan plateau, resulting in small size, slow growth rate, and low slaughter rate, thereby limiting lamb production (2). As living standards continue to rise, consumer demand for premium-quality lamb in sufficient quantities has grown significantly (3). Therefore, it is crucial to breed Tibetan sheep that combine excellent growth performance with high meat quality in order to meet the needs of the local animal husbandry and meat product industries.

Crossbreeding enhances sheep growth performance and meat quality (4). However, there has been rare exploration of crossbreeding programs involving Tibetan sheep and exotic breeds until now. The Australian White sheep breed is known for its robust stress resistance, quick growth rate, and ease of management. Crossbreeding with local sheep breeds is deemed effective for enhancing meat quality and production efficiency (5). Small-tailed Han sheep is an excellent local breed in China, with good-flavored meat and high prolificacy (6). Based on this, a three-way cross (25% Australian White × 25% Smalltailed Han × 50% Oura-type Tibetan) program is performed to explore whether hybridization with the foreign commercial breeds can improve the growth conformation and meat quality of Tibetan sheep.

Meat quality encompasses appearance, physical attributes, and flavor. Meat flavor, determined by fatty acid profiles, amino acids and ribonucleotides, is a critical driver of consumer preference. Various non-volatile substances influence meat quality and flavor, with fatty acids, amino acids, and ribonucleotides in mutton specifically contributing to its distinct flavors (7). Mutton's unique flavor is primarily influenced by its lipid content, with the ratio of fatty acids, especially unsaturated ones, being crucial in defining its taste profile (8). Excessive saturated fatty acid consumption is associated with higher risks of coronary heart disease, diabetes, and obesity (4). Monounsaturated (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) can lower triglyceride and cholesterol levels, thereby reducing cardiovascular disease risk and enhancing immunity (9). Amino acids generally have complex taste profiles, encompassing sour, salty, umami, sweet, and bitter flavors, with natural amino acids predominantly exhibiting bitterness due to their structural characteristics. Flavor amino acids, including glutamic acid, glycine, alanine, and aspartic acid, are key determinants of food taste (10). Ribonucleotides like inosine-5'-monophosphate (IMP) and guanosine-5'-monophosphate (GMP) are key umami compounds in meat, contributing to its flavor enhancement. Hypoxanthine and inosine, which are degradation products of IMP during aging, may influence meat flavor due to their bitter taste (11).

Omics analysis techniques are increasingly being used in complex trait analysis to explore the potential molecular mechanisms underlying sheep hybridization (12). Transcriptomics can identify many DEGs, which are then screened and analyzed to identify key gene targets (13). Based on this, we performed transcriptomic analysis on the *longissimus thoracis et lumborum* (LTL) muscle of the F1 AHO and O lamb in order to reveal the differentially expressed genes in the muscle tissues between the AHO group and the O group.

To date, there has been limited research comparing the growth performance and meat quality characteristics of AHO crossbred sheep with those of Tibetan sheep. This study compared the differences in body conformation, carcass traits, meat quality traits, fatty acid and amino acid composition, and ribonucleotide content between two groups of sheep. Furthermore, transcriptomic analysis was performed to identify candidate genes associated with muscle development and meat quality. The results of this study may promote an understanding of the differences between crossbred sheep and Tibetan sheep and offer a theoretical foundation for improving meat quality in sheep.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Animals and experimental design

The animal study protocol was approved by the Animal Welfare Committee of the Anhui Academy of Agricultural Sciences (AAAS2023-01). Eight male newborn Oura-type Tibetan F1 lambs (O) and seven male newborn three-way crossbreed AHO F1 lambs (25% Australian White × 25% Small-tail Han × 50% Oura-type Tibetan) were selected under the same natural grassland (Gonghe County, Qinghai Province, China) grazing conditions. The initial average body weight of O and AHO lambs was 3.85 ± 0.42 kg and 4.32 ± 0.11 kg, respectively, with no significant difference between groups (p > 0.05). All animals were clinically healthy, having received routine vaccinations and antiparasitic treatments prior to the trial. After a 2-week adjustment period (lambs completely transitioned from breast milk to pasture), the lambs were grazed on natural pasture from 07:00 to 18:00 daily, with supplemental concentrate feeding (300 g/lamb/day, concentrate feeding nutritional composition, moisture $\leq 14\%$, crude protein \geq 15%, crude fiber \leq 25%, crude ash \leq 12%, calcium 0.5–1.5%, phosphorus $\geq 0.3\%$, salt 0.3–2.0%, lysine $\geq 0.4\%$), and the fattening phase lasted 5.5 months. The body weight of lambs in both the O and AHO groups was recorded at 5.5 months old.

2.2 Body conformation and carcass traits

Upon completion of the 5.5 months fattening period, lambs were slaughtered. Body conformation traits such as body height, body length, chest circumference, and cannon circumference were measured for the O and AHO lambs, as outlined in Yang et al. (14). The traits were determined and recorded by the same person to minimize random errors in this experiment. After an overnight fast with unrestricted water access, the live weight (fasted) of all lambs were measured. All lambs were euthanized by electric shock prior to exsanguination and slaughter. After evisceration, the weight of the carcass and tare were measured, respectively. The dressing percentage was determined by dividing the carcass weight by the fasted live weight. Following the full dissection of the carcass, the organ indices (organ weight relative to fasted live weight) of the heart, liver, spleen, lungs, kidneys, pancreas, and thymus were documented. The loin eye contour between the 12th and 13th ribs on the left side of each carcass was traced onto paper, and the area was measured using a scaled grid. After slaughter, muscle samples are collected and subsequently stored at -80°C until required for analyses. Before determining composition of fatty acids and amino acids of LTL muscle, the samples were removed from the -80° C refrigerator, placed in sealed bags, and slowly thawed at 4°C for 24 h to ensure that the final stable content of metabolites in the samples is detected.

2.3 Meat quality determination

After slaughter, carcasses were immediately transferred to a controlled aging chamber (0-2°C, 85% relative humidity, 0.5 m/s air velocity) for 24 h. During this period, the pH of the LTL muscle was measured at 45 min and 24 h postmortem using a portable pH meter (Testo 205, Testo Instrument Co. Ltd., Germany) supplied with electrodes with internal temperature sensor, with pH resolution of 0.01, and automatic calibration with two-point buffer set standard for pH 4.01 and 7.01. The color of the fresh LTL muscle was measured following a 45-min rest at 4°C. A color difference reader (CR-10, Minolta, Japan) was used to measure three positions on the cut surface of each sample. The illuminant, standard observer and aperture used were: light source (illuminant) - D65 (daylight at noon); degree of observer - 2° and size of measuring port - 8 mm. The average of the three measurements was recorded as a pH (or color) coordinate value for each sample. The percentage cooking loss of the meat pieces, which were after aging, was determined by measuring the weight difference before and after cooking, as described by Jiao et al. (15). The protein and fat content in the samples was determined using AOAC12 methods (2005) (16). The protein content (%) in the LTL muscle was measured using a carbon/nitrogen analyzer, while total lipid content (%) was determined via the ether extraction method (AOAC 920.39).

2.4 Amino acids composition

Amino acids were analyzed using a SYKAM S-433D amino acid analyzer (Germany). A 0.15 g sample of LTL muscle was placed in a hydrolysis tube with 15 mL of 6 M hydrochloric acid and 4 drops of phenol solution, then subjected to a 5-min freeze and vacuum treatment. Nitrogen was introduced, and the mixture underwent hydrolysis for 22 h before cooling to room temperature. The hydrolysate was diluted with 1 mL of ammonium citrate buffer (pH 2.2), collected as filtrate, vacuumdried, and filtered through a 0.22-µm membrane. The hydrolysate was filtered to maintain a consistent volume in a 50 mL container. Amino acids were eluted with a sodium buffer using an ion-exchange chromatographic column (650–0042, Bodenheim, Germany). The compounds formed upon reaction with ninhydrin were concurrently measured at 570 nm and 440 nm wavelengths. The detailed procedure was conducted according to the Chinese standards for the measurement of amino acid composition within foods (GB 5009.168–2016).

2.5 Fatty acids composition

A 3 g meat sample was powdered, homogenized with a chloroformmethanol mixture (2:1, v/v), and the solvent was evaporated. The internal standard, methyl non-adecanoate was added during extraction process. A reflux condenser was attached to the fat extract after adding 8 mL of 2% sodium hydroxide methanol solution for saponification and methyl esterification of fatty acids. N-heptane (3 mL) was added and shaken for 2 min. Subsequently, 2 mL of saturated sodium chloride solution was added, followed by the absorption of the upper layer. Anhydrous sodium sulfate was then introduced and thoroughly mixed. The upper solution was extracted into an injection vial for gas chromatographic analysis using an Agilent 7890A system (California, CA, USA). The experimental setup included a chromatographic column measuring $100 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm} \times 0.2 \mu \text{m}$. The inlet and detector temperatures were set at 250°C and 280°C, respectively. High-purity helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The injection volume was 1.0 µL with a split ratio of 20:1. The heating program was following the previous study (17). Fatty acids were identified by comparing retention times and quantified based on peak areas. The absolute quantity of each fatty acid was represented as a percentage of the total fatty acid methyl esters. MUFA represent the total of all monounsaturated fatty acids, while PUFA denote the total of all polyunsaturated fatty acids. n-3 PUFA consists of C18 3n-3, C20 3n-3, C20 5n-3, and C22 6n-3, while n-6 PUFA includes C18 2n-6c, C18 3n-6, and C20 3n-6. Nutritional indices, including the index of atherogenicity (IA), index of thrombogenicity (IT), and hypocholesterolemic/ hypercholesterolemic ratio (H/H), were used to assess the nutritional quality of fatty acids (18, 19). The indices were computed as follows:

$$IA = \frac{C12:0 + (4 \times C14:0) + C16:0}{PUFA + MUFA}$$
$$IT = \frac{C14:0 + C16:0 + C18:0}{(0.5 \times MUFA) + (0.5 \times n - 6) + (3 \times n - 3) + (\frac{n - 3}{n - 6})}$$
$$H / H = \frac{C18:1 + \Sigma PUFA}{C12:0 + C14:0 + C16:0}$$

2.6 Ribonucleotide analysis

The LC-MS/MS method was employed to quantify IMP, GMP, 6-hypoxanthine, and inosine in muscle samples. Approximately 200 mg of fully ground sample powder was placed in a 2 mL centrifuge tube. An extraction solution (1.5 mL) composed of methanol and water in a 10:90 volume ratio with 0.1% formic acid was subsequently added. The mixture was rotated and mixed, and the sample was ultrasonically extracted for 30 min. Then, 400 µL of n-hexane was added. Centrifuge the tube at 12,000 rpm for 5 min, dilute the middle liquid phase 100-fold, filter through a 0.22 µm organic membrane, and transfer to a sample vial for LC-MS analysis. The liquid phase parameters are as follows: a Thermo HYPERSIL GOLD C18 chromatographic column (2.1 \times 100 mm, 3 μ m) is used with a column temperature of 35°C. The injection volume is 5 µL and the flow rate is set at 0.3 mL/min. The mobile phase consists of 0.1% formic acid in water (phase A) and acetonitrile (phase B). The elution gradient is as follows: from 0 to 2 min, phase A is 90%; from 2 to 6 min, phase A decreases to 10%; from 6 to 8 min, phase A remains at 10%; from 8 to 8.1 min, phase A increases back to 90%; and from 8.1 to 10 min, phase A is maintained at 90%. The mass spectrometry parameters include an ESI (Turbo Spray) ion source with negative polarity, a spray voltage of -4,500 V, a curtain gas pressure of 30 psi, a collision gas pressure of 9 psi, and a nebulization temperature of 550°C. The Multiple Reaction Monitoring method was used for quantitative acquisition of data from mass spectrometry.

2.7 RNA-seq data analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the LTL muscle tissues using the RNA iso Plus according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The RNA samples were assessed for integrity, concentration, and purity. Following the RNA-Seq sample preparation kit instructions (Illumina, San Diego, USA), the purified mRNA was fragmented and reverse transcribed to generate the final cDNA library. The libraries were sequenced using the Illumina NovaseqTM 6,000 platform by LC Bio Technology Co., Ltd. in Hangzhou, China. Bioinformatic analysis utilized data produced by the Illumina platform. Gene differential expression analysis was completed by DESeq2 software and edgeR. The genes with the parameter of false discovery rate (FDR) below 0.05 and absolute fold change (FC) \geq 2 were considered DEGs. Then, all DEGs were mapped to the Gene Ontology (GO) database¹ and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes database (KEGG)² for recognizing the main biological functions.

2.8 Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR

RNA-reverse transcription and quantitative PCR were performed as described in our previous publications (13). The primer sequences for the genes analyzed in this study are provided in Supplementary Table S1. The Ct values of target DEGs were normalized based on the Ct values of GAPDH, which were found to be stably expressed in different conditions. Subsequently, the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to determine the relative mRNA expression of the target DEGs. All trial samples had three biological replicates.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed using SPSS software (Version 23.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), with results expressed as means \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). A Student's t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare the two groups. A *p*-value below 0.05 signifies a statistically significant difference. All figures were made using the OmicStudio tools,³ GraphPad Prism (Version 9.0, Graph Pad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Photoshop CC 2018, Inc., San Jose, California, USA).

3 Results

3.1 The body conformation traits and carcass traits

First, we evaluated and compared the body conformation traits of lambs within two groups at 5.5 months of age, as shown in Table 1. The AHO group exhibited significantly greater live weight, body length, and cannon circumference compared to the O group (p < 0.05). However, the body height and chest circumference were not significantly different

across the AHO and O groups (p > 0.05). Carcass traits were assessed post-sacrifice, as detailed in Table 2. The AHO group exhibited a significantly higher carcass weight compare to the O group (p < 0.05), with a tendency for increased tare weight (p = 0.091). There was no significant difference in dressing percentage between the O and AHO groups (p > 0.05). The AHO lamb exhibited a significantly larger LTL muscle loin eye area compared to the O lamb (p < 0.05). For organ weight and indices of lamb, the weight and index of spleen, and the lung weight were higher in the AHO group than those in the O group (p < 0.05). There were no significant differences in the weights and indices of the heart, liver, kidney, pancreas, and thymus between the two groups (p > 0.05). These results showed that AHO lamb showed better body conformation and carcass traits than that of O lamb.

3.2 Meat quality characteristics of the LTL muscle

Given that the crossbred AHO show better growth performance than O lamb, we were then headed to see whether the crossbreeding influences the meat quality including meat appearance and physical properties. The characteristics of pH, objective color (a^* , b^* , and L^* values), crude

TABLE 1 Body conformation traits of 5.5-month-old O and AHO lambs.

Indexes	0	АНО	<i>p</i> -value
Live weight (kg)	$34.53\pm0.18^{\rm b}$	$38.29 \pm 1.15^{\text{a}}$	0.030
Body height (cm)	67.80 ± 0.98	68.08 ± 0.56	0.801
Body length (cm)	66.57 ± 0.82	70.98 ± 1.94	0.099
Chest circumference (cm)	82.30 ± 0.66	86.74 ± 2.36	0.149
Cannon circumference (cm)	$7.43\pm0.19^{\rm b}$	$8.00\pm0.11^{\rm a}$	0.029

AHO: Australian White × Small-tailed Han × Oura-type Tibetan three-way crossbred sheep; O: Oura-type Tibetan sheep; ^{a,b} different letters indicate significant differences between two groups (p < 0.05). Data were represented as means ± SEM.

TABLE 2 The carcass traits of 5.5-month-old O and AHO lambs.

Item	0	АНО	<i>p</i> -value
Carcass weight (kg)	$17.87\pm0.26^{\rm b}$	$19.65\pm0.67^{\rm a}$	0.047
Dressing percentage (%)	50.02 ± 0.50	50.46 ± 0.16	0.436
Tare weight (kg)	2.50 ± 0.07	2.81 ± 0.13	0.091
Loin eye area (cm ²)	$19.11\pm0.74^{\rm b}$	25.80 ± 1.25^{a}	0.004
Heart index (%)	0.44 ± 0.02	0.45 ± 0.03	0.874
Liver index (%)	1.55 ± 0.12	1.60 ± 0.10	0.732
Spleen index (%)	$0.11\pm0.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$0.13\pm0.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.001
Lung index (%)	0.96 ± 0.02	1.06 ± 0.07	0.257
Kidney index (%)	0.27 ± 0.03	0.25 ± 0.01	0.572
Pancreas index (%)	0.07 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.01	0.372
Thymus index (%)	0.16 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.01	0.128

AHO: Australian White × Small-tailed Han × Oura-type Tibetan three-way crossbred sheep; O: Oura-type Tibetan sheep; ^{ab} different letters indicate significant differences between two groups (P < 0.05). Data were represented as means ± SEM.

¹ http://www.geneontology.org/

² http://www.genome.jp/kegg/

³ https://www.omicstudio.cn/tool

protein, total lipid content, and cooking loss of the LTL muscle were evaluated after the lambs were sacrificed. The meat pH (45 min and 24 h) and the instrumental color values of a^* , b^* , and L^* were not significantly different across the AHO and O groups (p > 0.05). There were no significant differences in cooking loss, crude protein, and total lipid content between the AHO and O meat groups (p > 0.05) (Table 3).

3.3 Fatty acid, amino acid composition and ribonucleotides content in the LTL muscle

Recognizing meat flavor as a crucial aspect of meat quality and a significant factor in consumer purchasing decisions, we analyzed and compared the fatty acids, amino acids, and ribonucleotides composition and content in lambs from the two groups. First, we detected the LTL muscle fatty acid composition of lamb from the two groups. Table 4 indicates a significant increase in capric acid (C10:0), dihomo-y-linolenic acid (C20:3n-6), and nervonic acid (C24:1 n-9) levels in the AHO group compared to the O group (p < 0.05). The AHO group exhibited a tendency for reduced oleic acid (C18:1n-9c) levels compared to the O group (p = 0.065). In the AHO group, levels of linoleic acid (C18:2n-6c), α -linolenic acid (C18:3n-3), γ-linolenic acid (C18:3n-6), and eicosadienoic acid (C20:2) tended to be higher compared to the O group, with *p*-values of 0.089, 0.086, and 0.069, respectively. No significant differences were observed in the levels of other fatty acids between the O and AHO groups (p > 0.05). The AHO group exhibited a tendency for reduced MUFA content compared to the O group (p = 0.056). PUFA and n-6 PUFA levels tended to increase compared to the O group (p = 0.082 and 0.086, respectively). There was no significant difference in n-3 PUFA content between the O and AHO groups (p > 0.05). The PUFA/SFA ratio tended to be higher in the AHO group than in the O group (p = 0.098). The IT value tended to decrease in the AHO group compared to the O group (p = 0.074). The O and AHO groups showed no significant differences in the n-6/n-3 ratio, IA value, and H/H rate (p > 0.05).

Subsequently, we analyzed the amino acid composition of the lambs' LTL muscle. Results were represented in Table 5. The AHO group exhibited a significant increase in glutamic (Glu) and

TABLE 3 The meat quality characteristics in LTL muscle of O and AHO lambs.

ltem	0	АНО	<i>p</i> -value
pH (45 min)	6.25 ± 0.10	6.38 ± 0.13	0.457
pH (24 h)	5.88 ± 0.16	5.87 ± 0.19	0.970
Meat color <i>a</i> *	8.91 ± 1.18	8.97 ± 0.75	0.962
Meat color <i>b</i> *	6.59 ± 0.86	7.48 ± 0.61	0.413
Meat color L*	28.23 ± 1.76	30.19 ± 1.33	0.394
Cooking loss (%)	43.85 ± 1.73	44.62 ± 0.71	0.666
Crude protein (%)	19.43 ± 0.62	19.36 ± 0.43	0.932
Total lipid content (%)	5.53 ± 0.07	3.98 ± 0.68	0.104

AHO: Australian White × Small-tailed Han × Oura-type Tibetan three-way crossbred sheep; O: Oura-type Tibetan sheep; ^{ab} different letters indicate significant differences between two groups (p < 0.05). Data were represented as means ± SEM.

TABLE 4 The fatty acid profile in the LTL muscle of O and AHO lambs.

TABLE 4 The fatty acid profile in the LTL muscle of O and AHO lambs.				
Fatty acid content (mg/100 g)	0	АНО	p-value	
C4:0	0.07 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.02	0.168	
C8:0	0.20 ± 0.06	0.22 ± 0.05	0.852	
C10:0	$1.20\pm0.09^{\mathrm{b}}$	1.51 ± 0.08^{a}	0.034	
C12:0	1.29 ± 0.27	1.96 ± 0.46	0.280	
C13:0	0.10 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.03	0.904	
C14:0	28.05 ± 2.50	29.46 ± 3.95	0.786	
C14:1n-5	0.85 ± 0.09	0.92 ± 0.14	0.726	
C15:0	2.86 ± 0.35	2.83 ± 0.31	0.948	
C16:0	286.00 ± 6.18	291.20 ± 4.83	0.522	
C16:1n-7	16.4 ± 0.78	14.36 ± 0.95	0.153	
C17:0	9.57 ± 1.72	9.51 ± 0.78	0.973	
C18:0	163.25 ± 10.05	167.00 ± 7.16	0.764	
C18:1n-9c	439.50 ± 3.80	416.80 ± 8.69	0.065	
C18:2n-6c	35.30 ± 3.06	45.24 ± 3.75	0.089	
C18:3n-3	1.03 ± 0.13	1.38 ± 0.21	0.055	
C18:3n-6	0.42 ± 0.06	0.54 ± 0.03	0.088	
C20:0	0.64 ± 0.07	0.70 ± 0.06	0.542	
C20:1	0.59 ± 0.03	0.71 ± 0.10	0.313	
C20:2	0.23 ± 0.03	0.34 ± 0.04	0.068	
C20:3n-3	0.19 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.451	
C20:3n-6	0.65 ± 0.05	0.88 ± 0.08	0.048	
C20:5n-3	2.22 ± 0.03	2.29 ± 0.05	0.329	
C22:0	0.11 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	0.058	
C22:1n-9	0.22 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.02	0.387	
C22:6n-3	0.20 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.05	0.485	
C23:0	8.64 ± 0.86	10.87 ± 0.98	0.142	
C24:0	0.14 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.01	0.242	
C24:1n-9	$0.14\pm0.03^{\rm b}$	$0.26\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	0.033	
SFA	502.12 ± 3.02	515.75 ± 5.14	0.071	
MUFA	457.71 ± 3.24	433.24 ± 9.11	0.056	
PUFA	40.23 ± 3.29	51.12 ± 3.98	0.082	
n-6	36.37 ± 3.15	46.66 ± 3.83	0.086	
n-3	3.63 ± 0.21	4.11 ± 0.31	0.261	
n-6/n-3	10.03 ± 0.76	11.47 ± 0.94	0.290	
PUFA/SFA	0.72 ± 0.06	0.90 ± 0.06	0.098	
AI	8.02 ± 0.27	8.49 ± 0.41	0.394	
TI	92.85 ± 4.26	79.63 ± 4.47	0.074	
H/H	28.52 ± 0.93	26.42 ± 0.95	0.163	

AHO: Australian White × Small-tailed Han × Oura-type Tibetan three-way crossbred sheep; O: Oura-type Tibetan sheep. SFA (saturated fatty acid), MUFA (monounsaturated fatty acid), PUFA (polyunsaturated fatty acid). The n-3 PUFA includes C18:3n-3, C20:3n-3, C20:5n-3, and C22:6n-3, while n-6 PUFA comprises C18:2n-6c, C18:3n-6, and C20:3n-6. The IA is calculated as [C12:0 + (4 × C14:0) + C16:0]/(PUFA + MUFA). The IT is determined by (C14:0 + C16:0 + C18:0)/[(0.5 × MUFA) + (0.5 × n-6) + (3 × n-3) + (n-3/n-6)]. The H/H is given by (C18:1 + Σ PUFA)/(C12:0 + C14:0) + C16:0). ^{ab} different letters indicate significant differences between two groups (*p* < 0.05). Data were presented as means ± SEM.

Туре	Amino acid (%)/Taste description	Ο	AHO	<i>p-</i> Value
	Threonine (Thr)/ sweet	0.88 ± 0.03	0.87 ± 0.01	0.803
	Valine (Val)/bitter	0.99 ± 0.06	0.92 ± 0.05	0.415
	Isoleucine (Iie)/ bitter	0.90 ± 0.03	0.91 ± 0.01	0.729
EEA	Leucine (Leu)/ bitter	1.57 ± 0.03	1.58 ± 0.02	0.834
	Phenylalanine (Phe)/bitter	0.73 ± 0.03	0.72 ± 0.02	0.843
-	Lysine (Lys)/bitter (fresh)	1.84 ± 0.06	1.83 ± 0.02	0.960
	Aspartic acid (Asp)/fresh	1.74 ± 0.06	1.72 ± 0.03	0.811
	Serine (Ser)/sweet	0.76 ± 0.02	0.75 ± 0.01	0.833
	Glutamic acid (Glu)/fresh	$3.04\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	$3.18\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	0.036
	Proline (Pro)/sweet	0.52 ± 0.02	0.54 ± 0.01	0.439
	Glycine (Gly)/ sweet	0.82 ± 0.01	0.80 ± 0.02	0.555
NEAA s Nb T D b F b A	Alanine (Ala)/ sweet	1.11 ± 0.04	1.09 ± 0.02	0.739
	Methionine (Met)/ bitter	$0.35\pm0.01^{\rm b}$	$0.45\pm0.02^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.003
	Tyrosine (Tyr)/ bitter	0.66 ± 0.03	0.65 ± 0.03	0.693
	Histidine (His)/ bitter (sweet)	0.85 ± 0.06	0.81 ± 0.03	0.511
	Arginine (Arg)/ bitter	1.23 ± 0.03	1.22 ± 0.02	0.882

TABLE 5 Amino acid composition in the LTL muscle of O and AHO lambs.

AHO: Australian White × Small-tailed Han × Oura-type Tibetan three-way crossbred sheep; O: Oura-type Tibetan sheep. EEA: essential amino acids, NEAA: nonessential amino acids. ^{ab} different letters indicate significant differences between two groups (p < 0.05). Data were presented as means ± SEM.

methionine (Met) levels compared to the O group (p < 0.05). There was no significant difference in the levels of other amino acids between the O and AHO groups (p > 0.05).

We also analyzed the nucleotide content responsible for taste in the LTL muscle of lamb (Table 6). The LTL muscles in the AHO group exhibited a trend towards higher inosine monophosphate (IMP) levels compared to the O group (p = 0.072). There were no significant differences (p > 0.05) in the levels of guanosine monophosphate (GMP), 6-hypoxanthine, and inosine between the O and AHO groups.

3.4 Transcriptomic analysis of DEGs in LTL muscle and RT-qPCR validation

In depth, we were intrigued about investigating the underlying molecular process that accounts for the differences in performance TABLE 6 Nucleotide content related to taste in the LTL muscle of O and AHO lambs.

Ribonucleotide content (mg/100 g)	0	АНО	<i>p-</i> value
IMP	94.75 ± 1.93	138.79 ± 18.06	0.072
GMP	7.69 ± 0.05	7.47 ± 0.50	0.754
6-Hypoxanthine	36.93 ± 6.18	28.26 ± 4.48	0.271
Inosine	171.42 ± 16.67	161.80 ± 6.69	0.582

AHO: Australian White × Small-tailed Han × Oura-type Tibetan three-way crossbred sheep; O: Oura-type Tibetan sheep. IMP: inosine monophosphate; GMP: guanosine monophosphate. ^{ab} different letters indicate significant differences between two groups

(p < 0.05). Data were presented as means ± SEM.

and meat quality between the two groups. The transcriptomic analysis showed that a total of 664 DEGs were identified (q < 0.05 and fold change ≥ 2), of which 385 were upregulated and 279 were downregulated (Figure 1A). Figure 1B highlighted the top 50 DEGs with significant upregulation and downregulation between the two groups of lambs, including *PPARGC1A*, *CSRP3*, *LMCD1*, and *UCP3*.

GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses were conducted to clarify the functions of the DEGs. Figure 1C highlighted the top 20 significantly enriched GO terms. The top 5 enriched GO terms were "DNA-binding transcription factor activity," "negative regulation of apoptotic process," "protein binding," "RNA polymerase II cis-regulatory region sequence-specific DNA binding," and "positive regulation of cell migration." Terms of "positive regulation of cell migration," "skeletal muscle cell differentiation," and "negative regulation of myoblast differentiation," which associated with muscle development were also involved. Figure 1D showed the top 20 significantly enriched KEGG pathway terms. The top 5 enriched terms were "bile secretion," and "ovarian steroidogenesis," and "steroid hormone biosynthesis." The "purine metabolism" pathway were also included.

We further summarized all differential GO terms related to skeletal muscle development and their related DEGs, as shown in Figure 2A. A cluster of 20 hub DEGs was identified based on 10 related GO terms. These DEGs include ANKRD1, ANKRD2, ATF3, CSPR3, CXCL9, CXCL10, PPARGC1A, FER1L5, FOS, GJA1, IFRD1, METRNL, MYF5, MYL3, MYMK, NMRK2, PITX2, TNFSF14, LOC121817478, and LOC101116972. These genes were further analyzed using gene-action network analysis using Cytoscape software. After analysis with the network analyzer plugin, 20 DEGs were ranked according to their importance in the DEGs cluster, with genes having a higher degree of correlation among the candidate DEGs represented by a darker hue (Figure 2B). To illustrate the connection between DEGs and pathways, we also annotated the changes in DEGs in the KEGG pathway diagram. Figure 2C summarized the purine metabolism pathway, the hub of 3.5.4.6 (adenosine monophosphate deaminase, AMPD), which is upstream of IMP, showed significantly increased.

To confirm the reliability of transcriptomic results, five hub DEGs were selected for RT-qPCR validation, including *CSPR3*, *ANKRD1*, *IFRD1*, *PPARGC1A*, and *AMPD3*. Notably, transcriptome profiling demonstrated that these DEGs were significantly upregulated in the AHO group relative to the O groups. Consistently, the RT-qPCR results also showed that the expression levels of these genes were all



significantly increased in the AHO group compared to the O group, confirming the accuracy of the transcriptome results (Figure 3).

4 Discussion

In this study, we found the Tibetan three-way crossbred lambs (AHO) showed better growth performance than the Tibetan lambs 5.5 months. It indicated that AHO lambs might benefit from hybrid vigor, thus enhancing their growth potential. This is consistent with previous studies showing that crossbred sheep generally demonstrated higher growth rates and weight gain compared to purebred sheep (20). The weights of the lambs at 0 months showed no significant difference, which might be related to the fact that the genetic advantages of hybrid sheep are not fully demonstrated in the early breeding stage. The enhanced live weight corresponds to improved overall body development, as seen in parameters such as body length, cannon circumference in 5.5 months. On the other hand, in terms of carcass traits, crossbreeding also yielded notable benefits. The AHO lambs exhibited a significantly higher carcass weight compared to Tibetan sheep, indicating a greater overall yield. The loin eye area, an important

indicator of muscle development, was markedly larger in the crossbred sheep, suggesting that crossbred lambs are more favorable for meat production (4).

Then, we observed that evaluations of the LTL muscle meat quality showed no appreciable variations in pH, color, or cooking loss between the two groups, indicating that even though the AHO lambs are superior to growth rate than Tibetan lambs, these meat quality characteristics remained relatively stable, which is consistent with previous research indicating that crossbreeding does not always improve these meat quality traits (21).

Fatty acids in muscle are crucial for both enhancing meat flavor and serving as essential nutrients with significant physiological roles in the human body (22). This study identified 28 fatty acids, including 8 PUFAs, in the LTL muscle. As beneficial fatty acids for human, PUFAs play a variety of functions within biological systems, including resisting cardiovascular diseases and promoting growth and development (23). Higher PUFA contents also help improve meat taste (24). The study observed an increasing trend in total PUFA and n-6 PUFA levels within the AHO group. Linoleic acid (LA, C18:2n-6), and α -linolenic acid (ALA, C18:3n-3) are essential PUFAs that humans cannot synthesize (25). Reviews of existing evidence indicate



FIGURE 2

Hub DEGs associated with skeletal muscle development and meat flavor. (A) Identity and GO analysis of the candidate DEGs related to skeletal muscle development. (B) The gene-action network of the candidate DEGs. The network was constructed using STRING database and Cytoscape software, and the node with the greater degree of correlation among the candidate DEGs had a darker hue. (C) Overview of purine metabolism pathway (40). The color green indicates downregulated genes, and the color red indicates upregulated genes.



that higher dietary intake or tissue levels of LA or ALA are linked to a lower incidence of metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases (26, 27). The increasing trend in LA and ALA in the LTL muscle of crossbred lambs suggests an enhancement in meat nutritional quality. The PUFA/SFA ratio, atherogenic index (IA), thrombogenic index (IT), and H/H are commonly utilized to evaluate the impact of fatty acids on cardiovascular health, thromboprophylaxis, and atherosclerosis (28). Foods with a higher PUFA/SFA ratio are considered more beneficial for health (9). IT characterizes the thrombogenic potential of fatty acids, indicating their tendency to form blood clots in blood vessels, and foods in low IT is beneficial for avoiding cardiovascular diseases (29). The observed increase tendency in PUFA/SFA ratio and decrease in IT in the LTL muscle of crossbred lambs suggests an improvement in nutritional quality. However, although PUFA increased trend in the AHO group, the value of H/H ratio was slightly lower than that in the O group (p > 0.05), which may be due to the increase trend of SFA.

We further determined the contents and types of amino acids in the lamb of the two groups. It has been demonstrated that the nutritional value of meat increases with its essential amino acid content (25). In this study, we observed that the content of the two essential amino acids, Met and Glu in the muscles of the AHO group increased significantly than that of the O group.

In addition to the nutritional quality, we further investigated whether the crossbreeding has an impact on the umami taste of sheep. The amino acid composition of muscle significantly influences the nutritional quality of meat and affects its flavor (30). Diverse amino acids possess different tastes during cooking. Umami taste, characterized as meaty, savory, brothy, or delicious, is activated by flavor-enhancing non-volatile compounds (31). Glu, Arg, Asp., and Gly amino acids provide umami taste, Gly, Ser, Thr, Lys, Pro, and Ala amino acids provide a sweet taste, and Leu, Ala, and Arg amino acids provide aromatic flavor to the meat (32). In this study, we found the content of Glu in the muscles of the AHO group increased significantly.

On the other hand, we focused on IMP, another major umami substance, which is widely regarded as an important indicator for evaluating the umami taste of meat (33). In addition, meat that contains too much inosine and hypoxanthine, which are IMP metabolites, will taste bitter (34). Our findings indicated a tendency for higher IMP content in the LTL muscle of AHO lambs compared to O lambs. However, IMP content in the LTL muscle is not statistically significant, which may be related to the limited sample size in this study. Meanwhile, the contents of inosine and 6-hypoxanthine in LTL muscle were consistent between the two groups. Combining with the findings in amino acids and nucleotides of LTL muscle, the result indicated that the meat of crossbred lambs may have better consumer satisfaction on meat, which is consistent with a previous study that also compared the taste of crossbred lamb meat with purebred lamb (20).

More deeply, we were curious to investigate the underlying molecular mechanism that account for the variations, in terms of body conformation, carcass traits and meat quality in the two groups. Transcriptomic analysis identified DEGs in the LTL muscle of two lamb groups. Our study concentrated on DEGs in the LTL muscle associated with muscle development and flavor. Among the 20 candidate DEGs related to muscle development, *CSRP3*, *ANKRD1*, *IFRD1*, and *PPARGC1A* were genes with high expression levels and high fold change in LTL muscle. These genes are crucial for muscle development and the structural integrity of muscle cells.

Previous research demonstrated that reduced CSRP3 expression inhibits chicken satellite cell differentiation (35). ANKRD1 was

identified as a potential regulator of muscle cell development. Its knockdown decreased the proliferation but increased the differentiation of C2C12 myoblasts (36). IFRD2 overexpression promoted bovine muscle-derived satellite cell differentiation (37). Ma et al. reported that PPARGC1A could activate slow-twitch muscle phenotype and induce muscle hypertrophy (38). For meat flavor DEGs, AMPD catalyzes the hydrolytic deamination of adenosine monophosphate to IMP in skeletal muscle. The AMPD3 gene encodes a member of the AMP deaminase gene family, affects the production of an AMPD holoenzyme of varying subunit composition in myocytes (39). The study found a notable increase in AMPD3 gene expression in the LTL muscle of AHO group lambs. The variations in AMPD3 between the two groups may be linked to differing IMP levels in AHO and O lambs, with a trend of increased IMP observed in the LTL muscle of crossbred lambs. However, further in-depth study is needed to verify this phenomenon. Based on these results, we are now planning large-scale F2 generation crossbred experiments on the Qinghai-Tibet plateau, where this crossbred approach could significantly improve production efficiency under local conditions.

5 Conclusion

In summary, our study revealed that Tibetan three-way crossbred lambs (AHO) exhibited superior growth performance and carcass traits and higher meat quality. The genes of *CSRP3*, *ANKRD1*, *IFRD1*, *PPARGC1A*, and *AMPD3* had close correlations with muscle development and muscle flavor, and they could be considered as potential candidates for promoting muscle development or improving the IMP content in AHO lamb. The crossbreeding of Tibetan sheep potentially offers an efficient way to produce high-quality lamb products, and may serve as a promising genetic resource for sustainable lamb production systems in high-altitude environments of Qinghai-Tibetan plateau. In view of the limitations of this study, we will conduct longer-term feeding experiments with larger animal groups in subsequent research to better and more scientifically explore the specific growth patterns and meat quality of AHO sheep.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, PRJNA1187451.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by the Animal Welfare Committee of the Anhui Academy of Agricultural Sciences. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

YQ: Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Project administration, Writing – review & editing, Methodology,

Data curation, Investigation. DY: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Resources, Methodology. JT: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. WZ: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. SC: Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing, Project administration. WH: Resources, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Project administration, Methodology, Supervision.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by Anhui Province Joint Key Project for Sheep and Goat Breeding (340000211260001000431), the Doctoral Research Initiation Fund of the Anhui Academy of Agricultural Sciences (XJBS-202428), Youth Elite Project of Anhui Academy of Agricultural Sciences (QNYC-202511), Anhui Province Key Research and Development Project (202104e11020003), Anhui Province Natural Science Foundation Project (2208085MC74).

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Dejian Zhu, from Anhui Academy of Agricultural Sciences Institute of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, for the crossbreeding technical support.

Conflict of interest

DY was employed by the Qinghai Yangsen Agricultural and Livestock Ecological Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2025.1620180/ full#supplementary-material

10.3389/fnut.2025.1620180

References

1. Han B, Tian D, Li X, Liu S, Tian F, Liu D, et al. Multiomics Analyses Provide New Insight into Genetic Variation of Reproductive Adaptability in Tibetan Sheep. *Mol Biol Evol.* (2024) 41:msae058. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msae058

2. Zhao K, Li X, Liu D, Wang L, Pei Q, Han B, et al. Genetic Variations of MSTN and Callipyge in Tibetan Sheep: Implications for Early Growth Traits. *Genes (Basel)*. (2024) 15:921. doi: 10.3390/genes15070921

3. Zhang X, Han L, Hou S, Raza SHA, Wang Z, Yang B, et al. Effects of different feeding regimes on muscle metabolism and its association with meat quality of Tibetan sheep. *Food Chem.* (2022) 374:131611. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.131611

4. Xiang J, Zhong L, Luo H, Meng L, Dong Y, Qi Z, et al. A comparative analysis of carcass and meat traits, and rumen bacteria between Chinese Mongolian sheep and Dorper x Chinese Mongolian crossbred sheep. *Animal.* (2022) 16:100503. doi: 10.1016/j.animal.2022.100503

5. Su P, Wu H, Huang Y, Lu X, Yin J, Zhang Q, et al. The hoof color of Australian White sheep is associated with genetic variation of the MITF Gene. *Animals*. (2023) 13:3218. doi: 10.3390/ani13203218

 Miao X, Luo Q, Qin X, Guo Y, Zhao H. Genome-wide mRNA-seq profiling reveals predominant down-regulation of lipid metabolic processes in adipose tissues of Small Tail Han than Dorset sheep. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2015) 467:413–20. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.09.129

7. Watkins PJ, Frank D, Singh TK, Young OA, Warner RD. Sheepmeat flavor and the effect of different feeding systems: a review. *J Agric Food Chem*. (2013) 61:3561–79. doi: 10.1021/jf303768e

8. Zhao B, Sun B, Wang S, Zhang Y, Zang M, Le W, et al. Effect of different cooking water on flavor characteristics of mutton soup. *Food Sci Nutr*. (2021) 9:6047–59. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.2546

9. Chen J, Liu H. Nutritional indices for assessing fatty acids: a mini-review. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:5695. doi: 10.3390/ijms21165695

10. Li-Chan EC, Cheung IW. Flavor-active properties of amino acids, peptides, and proteins In: Bioactive proteins and peptides as functional foods and nutraceuticals (2010). 341–58.

11. Li-Chan EC, Cheung IW. "Flavor-active properties of amino acids, peptides, and proteins". in *Bioactive proteins and peptides as functional foods and nutraceuticals*. eds. Mine Y, Li-Chan EC, Jiang B. (Ames, IA: Blackwell Publishing Ltd) (2010) 341–58.

12. Chen B, Yue Y, Li J, Liu J, Yuan C, Guo T, et al. Transcriptome-metabolome analysis reveals how sires affect meat quality in hybrid sheep populations. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:967985. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.967985

13. Qiao Y, Ji X, Guo H, Zheng W, Yao W. Complementary transcriptomic and proteomic analyses elucidate the toxicological molecular mechanisms of deoxynivalenolinduced contractile dysfunction in enteric smooth muscle cells. *Food Chem Toxicol*. (2024) 186:114545. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2024.114545

14. Yang R, Zhou D, Tan X, Zhao Z, Lv Y, Tian X, et al. Genome-Wide Association Study of Body Conformation Traits in Tashi Goats (*Capra hircus*). *Animals*. (2024) 14:1145. doi: 10.3390/ani14081145

15. Jiao J, Wang T, Zhou J, Degen AA, Gou N, Li S, et al. Carcass parameters and meat quality of Tibetan sheep and Small-tailed Han sheep consuming diets of low-protein content and different energy yields. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.* (2020) 104:1010–23. doi: 10.1111/jpn.13298

16. AOAC. Official methods of analysis (18th edition) association of official analytical chemists. Washington DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists. (2010).

17. Lu Z, Yue Y, Shi H, Zhang J, Liu T, Liu J, et al. Effects of sheep sires on muscle fiber characteristics, fatty acid composition and volatile flavor compounds in F1 crossbred lambs. *Food Secur.* (2022) 11:4076. doi: 10.3390/foods11244076

18. Kang L, Wang W, Yang L, Liu T, Zhang T, Xie J, et al. Effects of feeding patterns on production performance, lipo-nutritional quality and gut microbiota of Sunit sheep. *Meat Sci.* (2024) 218:109642. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2024.109642

19. Xiao C, Liu Y, Zhao W, Liang Y, Cui C, Yang S, et al. The comparison of meat yield, quality, and flavor between small-tailed Han sheep and two crossbred sheep and the verification of related candidate genes. *Front Nutr.* (2024) 11:1399390. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1399390

20. Kao MA, Van Wyk JB, Scholtz AJ, Cloete JJE, Matebesi PA, Cloete SWP. Breed and crossbreeding effects on growth, fitness and reproduction of commercial sheep in South Africa. *Small Rumin Res.* (2022) 212:106705. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres. 2022.106705

21. Yao M, Chang L, Xu S, Zhang J, Li P, Tian B, et al. Comparison of production performance and meat quality characteristics between Guizhou Black goats and F4

generation hybrids of South African Kalahari goats. Sci Rep. (2024) 14:18608. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-69174-0

22. Zheng Z, Lyu W, Ren Y, Li X, Zhao S, Yang H, et al. Allobaculum involves in the modulation of intestinal ANGPTLT4 expression in mice treated by high-fat diet. *Front Nutr.* (2021) 8:690138. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.690138

23. Bao G, Liu X, Wang J, Hu J, Shi B, Li S, et al. Effects of slaughter age on myosin heavy chain isoforms, muscle fibers, fatty acids, and meat quality in longissimus thoracis muscle of Tibetan sheep. *Front Vet Sci.* (2021) 8:689589. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.689589

24. Ponnampalam EN, Butler KL, McDonagh MB, Jacobs JL, Hopkins DL. Relationship between muscle antioxidant status, forms of iron, polyunsaturated fatty acids and functionality (retail colour) of meat in lambs. *Meat Sci.* (2012) 90:297–303. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.07.014

25. Su Y, Sun X, Zhao S, Hu M, Li D, Qi S, et al. Dietary alfalfa powder supplementation improves growth and development, body health, and meat quality of Tibetan sheep. *Food Chem.* (2022) 396:133709. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.133709

26. Marangoni F, Agostoni C, Borghi C, Catapano AL, Cena H, Ghiselli A, et al. Dietary linoleic acid and human health: Focus on cardiovascular and cardiometabolic effects. *Atherosclerosis.* (2020) 292:90–8. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2019. 11.018

27. Abdelhamid AS, Brown TJ, Brainard JS, Biswas P, Thorpe GC, Moore HJ, et al. Omega-3 fatty acids for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2018) 7:CD003177. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD003177.pub3

28. Murariu OC, Murariu F, Frunza G, Ciobanu MM, Boisteanu PC. Fatty acid indices and the nutritional properties of karakul sheep meat. *Nutrients*. (2023) 15:1061. doi: 10.3390/nu15041061

29. Dal Bosco A, Cavallo M, Menchetti L, Angelucci E, Cartoni Mancinelli A, Vaudo G, et al. The healthy fatty index allows for deeper insights into the lipid composition of foods of animal origin when compared with the atherogenic and thrombogenicity indexes. *Food Secur*. (2024) 13:1568. doi: 10.3390/foods13101568

30. Ma X, Yu M, Liu Z, Deng D, Cui Y, Tian Z, et al. Effect of amino acids and their derivatives on meat quality of finishing pigs. *J Food Sci Technol.* (2020) 57:404–12. doi: 10.1007/s13197-019-04077-x

31. Hartley IE, Liem DG, Keast R. Umami as an 'alimentary' taste. a new perspective on taste classification. *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:182. doi: 10.3390/nu11010182

32. Li X, Amadou I, Zhou GY, Qian LY, Zhang JL, Wang DL, et al. Flavor components comparison between the neck meat of donkey, swine, bovine, and sheep. *Food Sci Anim Resour*. (2020) 40:527–40. doi: 10.5851/kosfa.2020.e30

33. Zhao W, Cai Z, Wei C, Ma X, Yu B, Fu X, et al. Functional identification of PGM1 in the regulating development and depositing of inosine monophosphate specific for myoblasts. *Front Vet Sci.* (2023) 10:1276582. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023. 1276582

34. Tu T, Wu W, Tang X, Ge Q, Zhan J. Screening out important substances for distinguishing Chinese indigenous pork and hybrid pork and identifying different pork muscles by analyzing the fatty acid and nucleotide contents. *Food Chem.* (2021) 350:129219. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129219

35. Han S, Cui C, Wang Y, He H, Liu Z, Shen X, et al. Knockdown of CSRP3 inhibits differentiation of chicken satellite cells by promoting TGF-beta/Smad3 signaling. *Gene.* (2019) 707:36–43. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2019.03.064

36. Huang C, Zhong Q, Lian W, Kang T, Hu J, Lei M. Ankrd1 inhibits the FAK/Rho-GTPase/F-actin pathway by downregulating ITGA6 transcriptional to regulate myoblast functions. *J Cell Physiol.* (2024) 239:e31359. doi: 10.1002/jcp.31359

37. Gong Z, Zhang X, Cui J, Chen W, Huang X, Yang Q, et al. IFRD2, a target of miR-2400, regulates myogenic differentiation of bovine skeletal muscle satellite cells via decreased phosphorylation of ERK1/2 proteins. *J Muscle Res Cell Motil.* (2024) 45:253–62. doi: 10.1007/s10974-024-09677-5

38. Ma L, Tao S, Song T, Lyu W, Li Y, Wang W, et al. Clostridium butyricum and carbohydrate active enzymes contribute to the reduced fat deposition in pigs. *iMeta*. (2024) 3:e160. doi: 10.1002/imt2.160

39. Fortuin FD, Morisaki T, Holmes EW. Subunit composition of AMPD varies in response to changes in AMPD1 and AMPD3 gene expression in skeletal muscle. *Proc Assoc Am Physicians*. (1996) 108:329–33.

40. Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Sato Y, Matsuura Y, Ishiguro-Watanabe M. KEGG: biological systems database as a model of the real world. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2025) 53:D672–D677. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkae909

Glossary

ALA - α -linolenic acid	LA - linoleic acid
AMPD3 - adenosine monophosphate deaminase 3	LMCD1 - LIM and cysteine-rich domains 1
ANKRD1 - ankyrin repeat domain-containing protein 1	Met - methionine
ATF3 - activating transcription factor 3	METRNL - meteorin like
CSRP3 - cysteine and glycine-rich protein 3	MUFA - monounsaturated fatty acid
CXCL9 - C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 9	MYF5 - myogenic factor 5
DEGs - differentially expressed genes	MYL3 - myosin light chain 3
FER1L5 - fer-1 like family member 5	MYMK - myomaker
FOS - fos proto-oncogene	NMRK2 - nicotinamide riboside kinase 2
GJA1 - gap junction protein alpha 1	PITX2 - paired like homeodomain 2
Glu - glutamic acid	PPARGC1A - peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma
GMP - guanosine-5'-monophosphate	coactivator 1 alpha
H/H - hypocholesterolemic/hypercholesterolemic ratio	PUFA - polyunsaturated fatty acid
IA - index of atherogenicity	SFA - saturated fatty acid
IFRD1 - interferon-related developmental regulator 1	TNFSF14 - tumor necrosis factor superfamily member 1
IMP - inosine-5'-monophosphate	UCP3 - uncoupling protein 3

IT - index of thrombogenicity