



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Bradley George Ridoutt,  
Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial  
Research Organisation (CSIRO), Australia

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Zach Conrad  
✉ zsconrad@wm.edu

RECEIVED 05 May 2025  
ACCEPTED 12 May 2025  
PUBLISHED 28 May 2025

CITATION  
Conrad Z, Repoulis V and Zavela C (2025)  
Corrigendum: Modeled sustainability impacts  
of increasing pork consumption among adults  
in the United States. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1623296.  
doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1623296

COPYRIGHT  
© 2025 Conrad, Repoulis and Zavela. This is  
an open-access article distributed under the  
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution  
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is permitted,  
provided the original author(s) and the  
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic practice.  
No use, distribution or reproduction is  
permitted which does not comply with these  
terms.

# Corrigendum: Modeled sustainability impacts of increasing pork consumption among adults in the United States

Zach Conrad<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Vincent Repoulis<sup>2</sup> and Catherine Zavela<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Kinesiology, William & Mary, Williamsburg, VA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Global Research Institute, William & Mary, Williamsburg, VA, United States

## KEYWORDS

pork, protein food, sustainability, food system, greenhouse gas

## A Corrigendum on

### Modeled sustainability impacts of increasing pork consumption among adults in the United States

by Conrad, Z., Repoulis, V., and Zavela, C. (2025). *Front. Nutr.* 11:1508601. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1508601

In the published article, there was an error in **Methods, Statistical analyses**, paragraph 1. The incorrect sentence read as “Differences in mean impacts between baseline and modeled substitutions were tested using paired Wald tests at  $P < 0.025$  (Bonferroni correction:  $0.05 \div 2$  pairwise tests of same comparator): baseline vs. 1-serving substitution, 1-serving substitution vs. 2-serving substitution, and 2-serving substitution vs. 3-serving substitution.” This should have been written as “Differences in mean impacts between baseline and modeled substitutions were tested using paired Wald tests at  $P < 0.0125$  (Bonferroni correction:  $0.05 \div 3$  pairwise tests of same comparator): baseline vs. 1-serving substitution, 1-serving substitution vs. 2-serving substitution, and 2-serving substitution vs. 3-serving substitution.”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.