Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY George Grant, Independent Researcher, Aberdeen, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Yasser Fouad, Minia University, Egypt Xuancheng Xie, The First People's Hospital of Yunnan Province, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Changhao Gu ⊠ guchanghaobob@163.com

RECEIVED 07 May 2025 ACCEPTED 01 July 2025 PUBLISHED 15 July 2025

CITATION

Tang Y and Gu C (2025) Epidemiological transition of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease-related chronic liver disease and cirrhosis: a comparative study between China and the global population (1990–2021). *Front. Nutr.* 12:1624440. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1624440

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Tang and Gu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Epidemiological transition of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease-related chronic liver disease and cirrhosis: a comparative study between China and the global population (1990–2021)

Yi Tang^{1,2} and Changhao Gu^{1,2*}

¹Cangnan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Wenzhou, China, ²Cangnan Branch of Zhejiang Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, Wenzhou, China

Objectives: This study systematically evaluates the epidemiological transition of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD)-related chronic liver diseases (CLD) and cirrhosis burden in China versus global populations (1990–2021), with emphasis on age-sex stratified disparities in incidence, prevalence, mortality, and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs). We aim to identify critical intervention windows for precision public health strategies.

Methods: Using open data from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) database from 1990 to 2021, we conducted a comparative age-period-cohort analysis between Chinese and global populations. Joinpoint regression quantified temporal trends through average annual percentage change (AAPC) with 95% confidence intervals. Multidimensional stratification by age-sex cohorts elucidated differential burden patterns across disease progression stages.

Results: From 1990 to 2021, China's age-standardized incidence rate (ASIR) increased from 495.16 per 100,000 population in 1990 to 621.18 per 100,000 in 2021 (AAPC = 0.74%). The age-standardized prevalence rate (ASPR) rose from 12,787.39 per 100,000 to 15,606.31 per 100,000 (AAPC = 0.63%). Globally, the ASIR increased from 475.18 per 100,000 to 592.78 per 100,000 (AAPC = 0.71%), while the ASPR increased from 12,084.69 per 100,000 to 15,017.46 per 100,000 (AAPC = 0.71%). China's age-standardized mortality rate (ASMR) declined from 0.54 per 100,000 to 0.31 per 100,000 (a decrease of 42.59%, AAPC = -1.75%), and the age-standardized DALY rate (ASDR) dropped from 13.99 per 100,000 to 7.61 per 100,000 (AAPC = -1.98%). China achieved significant reductions in mortality and age-standardized DALYs, in contrast to relatively stagnant global trends. The effects of age and gender on the disease burden varied: incidence rates remained high among younger populations, while the elderly accounted for the majority of deaths. Overall disease burden was higher in males than females; however, among individuals over 65 years old, the burden was more pronounced in females compared to males.

Conclusion: From 1990 to 2021, China has made progress in controlling mortality. However, the continuously rising age-standardized incidence rate (ASIR) and age-standardized prevalence rate (ASPR) highlight an urgent need for targeted metabolic risk interventions among the youth. The observed epidemiological transition—characterized by a peak in incidence among younger populations and a concentration of deaths in older adults—calls for integrated strategies including early-life lifestyle interventions and comprehensive management frameworks for comorbidities in the elderly. Given China's large and aging population, addressing these shifting disease patterns remains a major public health challenge.

KEYWORDS

metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease, trend, incidence, mortality, prevalence, disability-adjusted life years

Introduction

Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), previously termed nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), encompasses a spectrum of hepatic disorders ranging from simple steatosis to progressive metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis (MASH), cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in individuals without significant alcohol consumption (1). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) was initially defined by the presence of hepatic steatosis affecting >5% of hepatocytes, in the absence of significant alcohol consumption (typically defined as ≤ 20 g/day for women and ≤ 30 g/day for men) or other known causes of liver disease (2).

A significant shift occurred in 2020 with the proposal of the term metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) and its diagnostic criteria. The diagnosis of MAFLD requires evidence of hepatic steatosis (via histology, imaging, or blood biomarkers) in conjunction with at least one of the following three criteria: overweight/obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), or evidence of metabolic dysregulation. Notably, the vast majority of patients meeting the NAFLD criteria also fulfill the MAFLD diagnostic criteria (3).

Building upon this framework, a further nomenclature revision in June 2023 introduced metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), reflecting evolving insights into the pathophysiology emphasizing metabolic dysfunction as the cardinal driver. The MASLD diagnostic criteria encompass a patient population substantially similar to that of MAFLD, and MASLD has officially superseded both MAFLD and NAFLD as the new, unified standard terminology (4). The updated definition stipulates that MASLD requires hepatic steatosis >5% plus \geq 1 metabolic risk factor (e.g., BMI \geq 23 kg/m², T2DM), excluding other liver diseases and significant alcohol use (\leq 20 g/day for women, \leq 30 g/day for men).

Currently representing the predominant chronic liver condition globally, NAFLD's escalating prevalence (20–30% adult population affected) parallels the twin pandemics of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), positioning it as a critical but underprioritized global health challenge (5). The clinical trajectory of NAFLD reveals substantial heterogeneity: 20–30% of patients progress to MASH, with 2–5% developing cirrhosis and subsequent HCC (6). It is also closely associated with extrahepatic manifestations, such as chronic kidney disease, cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus (7, 8).

The incidence of NAFLD and NAFLD-related cirrhosis and MASHrelated liver cancer is expected to increase further globally in the next decade as obesity and T2DM become more prevalent worldwide (9–11).

Despite NAFLD's intricate associations with prevalent noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) and substantial overlap in public health management pathways, its exclusion from the World Health Organization's Global Action Plan for NCDs has perpetuated systemic neglect (12). This institutional oversight has exacerbated low disease awareness and fragmented care delivery models. Historically, NAFLD was conceptualized as a disorder of affluence. This classification arose from its pathophysiological ties to metabolic syndrome and obesity. However, NAFLD now presents a distinct epidemiological paradox: Developing nations report a 68% surge in prevalence over two decades. This increase is driven by urbanization-induced nutritional transitions and the adoption of sedentary lifestyles (13, 14). Such a shift challenges traditional disease paradigms and necessitates a recalibration of global health priorities.

The Global Burden of Disease Study (GBD), coordinated by the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation at the University of Washington, systematically documents global mortality, morbidity, and health risk factors. Through comprehensive analysis of disease and injury impacts, it identifies key drivers of mortality and disability, guiding evidence-based health policy development. The database provides essential metrics including mortality rates, disease incidence, and risk factor assessments, establishing itself as a critical tool for global public health prioritization.

Although several GBD-based studies have estimated the global prevalence of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), significant knowledge gaps remain regarding its demographic and geographic variations. The multifactorial nature and heterogeneous progression of MASLD necessitate population-specific burden assessments across regions, age groups, and genders to inform targeted prevention strategies. Existing research predominantly focuses on global aggregates, with limited investigation of national disparities. China's status as the most populous nation warrants urgent assessment of MASLD burden, yet comprehensive national-level analyses remain unavailable.

This study analyzes GBD 2021 data to compare MASLD burden between China and global averages from 1990 to 2021. Utilizing Joinpoint regression, we examine age- and sex-specific trends over this 30 years period. The findings aim to assist Chinese policymakers in evaluating MASLD burden, developing prevention strategies, and optimizing public health resource allocation.

Methods

Data source

This study was based on data from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021 (GBD 2021) coordinated by the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) (15). The GBD 2021 dataset contains information on 371 diseases/injuries and 88 risk factors across 204 countries and territories from 1990 to 2021 (16, 17), accessible through the Global Health Data Exchange.¹ Data collection and validation were conducted by IHME at the University of Washington.

Given that the nomenclature transition to Metabolic Dysfunction-Associated Steatotic Liver Disease (MASLD) postdated the finalization of the Global Burden of Disease Study (GBD) 2021, the analysis utilized GBD 2021 estimates pertaining to Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD).

Within the GBD 2021 methodology framework, the case definitions pertain to the patient population characterized by the progressive pathological spectrum spanning from uncomplicated hepatic steatosis to advanced cirrhosis induced by persistent steatosis and its associated inflammatory processes. This spectrum encompasses:

- NAFLD/NASH without cirrhosis: Defined by hepatic steatosis involving ≥5% of hepatocytes, verified through imaging (ultrasound, CT, or MRI) or histopathological assessment; diagnoses relying solely on biomarkers were excluded (18).
- 2 Compensated cirrhosis due to NASH.
- 3 Decompensated cirrhosis due to NASH.

Key diagnostic safeguards include:

Documented daily ethanol intake below 20 g. Comprehensive exclusion of alternative etiologies through appropriate differential diagnosis.

For most diseases and injuries, standardized analytical tools are employed to model processed data, enabling the estimation of disease burden across study populations stratified by age, sex, geographic location, and temporal trends. The data utilized in this study were generated using two principal tools: DisMod-MR, a Bayesian metaregression framework, and the Cause of Death Ensemble model (CODEm). DisMod-MR integrates heterogeneous epidemiological data—including incidence, prevalence, remission, and mortality rates—while ensuring internal consistency among these parameters (19). CODEm, a systematic modeling platform, employs an ensemble of predictive algorithms to analyze cause-specific mortality data, incorporating covariates that demonstrate optimal out-of-sample predictive validity (20, 21).

Leveraging the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) database, we extracted age-standardized metrics—incidence, prevalence, mortality, and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs)—for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)-related cirrhosis and chronic liver diseases in China and globally (1990–2021). These indicators served as the foundation for assessing the disease burden attributable to MASLD. Given the use of publicly available GBD 2021 data, this study was exempt from institutional ethics review. All analyses adhered to the Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting (GATHER) to ensure methodological rigor and reproducibility.

Statistical analysis

From the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) database, we extracted data on incidence, prevalence, mortality, and

disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), along with their corresponding age-standardized rates (ASRs): Age-standardized incidence rate (ASIR); Age-standardized prevalence rate (ASPR); Age-standardized mortality rate (ASMR); Age-standardized DALYs rate (ASDR).

Additionally, we obtained crude rates (CIR, CPR, CMR, CDR) stratified by age group for both China and global populations (1990–2021).

To assess temporal trends, we computed the average annual percentage change (AAPC) and its 95% confidence interval (95% CI) using Joinpoint Regression Software (Version 5.1.0.0; National Cancer Institute, USA) (22, 23). The regression model was structured as follows: $\ln(y) = \alpha + \beta x + \varepsilon$: y = age-standardized metric (e.g., ASIR, ASMR); x = calendar year; β = slope coefficient.

The AAPC was derived as: AAPC = $100 \times (\exp(\beta) - 1)$ Trend interpretations were based on the 95% CI of AAPC: Increasing trend: 95% CI > 0; Decreasing trend: 95% CI < 0; Stable trend: 95% CI includes 0.

We specified models accommodating between 0 and 6 joinpoints to balance flexibility and parsimony. Model selection was performed using a permutation test with a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$. The analysis yields Annual Percent Change (APC) estimates, each accompanied by 95% confidence intervals (CI), for every identified trend segment. The Average Annual Percent Change (AAPC), representing the overall trend summary, was calculated as the weighted mean of these segment-specific APCs over the entire study period.

All statistical analyses and visualizations were conducted using R (v4.4.1) and Joinpoint (v5.1.0.0). A two-sided *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (Table 1).

Results

Global burden of chronic liver diseases and cirrhosis attributable to MASLD

According to the 2021 Global Burden of Disease (GBD) data, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) imposes a substantial disease burden globally. China recorded the world's highest incidence of MASLD-related chronic liver diseases and cirrhosis in 2021, while ranking fourth globally for related disabilityadjusted life years (DALYs) (Figure 1).

China vs. global disease burden trends (1990–2021)

Incidence

Cases increased 54.72% in China [6,179,750 (5,558,026–6,815,755) to 9,561,143 (8,776,597–10,366,756)] and 94.47% globally [24,841,745 (22,565,086–27,319,937) to 48,310,981 (44,191,374–52,313,165)]. Age-standardized rates rose in China [495.16 (448.67–540.70) to 621.18 (565.75–677.23) per 100,000] and globally [475.18 (432.27–517.76) to 592.78 (542.23–643.24) per 100,000]. AAPC was comparable: China 0.74 (0.69–0.78); Global 0.71 (0.69–0.73) (Table 1).

¹ https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-results

Location	Measure	1990		2021		AAPC
		All-ages cases	Age-standardized rates per 100,000 people	All-ages cases	Age-standardized rates per 100,000 people	
China	Incidence	6,179,750 (5,558,026– 6,815,755)	495.16 (448.67–540.7)	9,561,143 (8,776,597– 10,366,756)	621.18 (565.75-677.23)	0.74 (0.69–0.78)
	Prevalence	137,113,465 (125,346,813– 151,339,953)	12,787.39 (11,678.39– 14,025.33)	291,247,168 (265,154,838– 317,831,032)	15,606.31 (14,271.71– 17,011.02)	0.63 (0.57–0.70)
	Deaths	4,223 (2,786-5,981)	0.54 (0.35-0.76)	6,339 (4,018–9,241)	0.31 (0.2–0.45)	-1.75 (-2.03 to 1.46)
	DALYs	128,243 (83,671–181,154)	13.99 (9.07–19.73)	158,263 (102,325–231,673)	7.61 (5.01–10.94)	-1.98 (-2.27 to 1.71)
Global	Incidence	24,841,745 (22,565,086– 27,319,937)	475.18 (432.27-517.76)	48,310,981 (44,191,374– 52,313,165)	592.78 (542.23-643.24)	0.71 (0.69–0.73)
	Prevalence	564,415,674 (516,508,606– 618,084,433)	12,084.69 (11,058.04– 13,183.89)	1,267,815,566 (1,157,880,130– 1,380,382,443)	15,017.46 (13,755.84– 16,360.8)	0.71 (0.68-0.74)
	Deaths	44,861 (31,680-61,014)	1.16 (0.81–1.57)	97,403 (69,530–130,168)	1.14 (0.82–1.52)	-0.03 (-0.21 to 0.14)
	DALYs	1,285,242 (895,297– 1,775,500)	30.57 (21.2-42.04)	2,671,793 (1,895,293– 3,602,044)	30.9 (22.17-41.5)	0.04 (-0.11 to 0.19)

TABLE 1 Temporal trends in MASLD-related cirrhosis burden: all-age cases and age-standardized rates of incidence, prevalence, mortality, and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) with corresponding average annual percentage change (AAPC) in China and globally, 1990–2021.

Prevalence

Cases increased 112.41% in China [137,113,465 (125,346,813– 151,339,953) to 291,247,168 (265,154,838–317,831,032)] and 124.62% globally [564,415,674 (516,508,606–618,084,433) to 1,267,815,566 (1,157,880,130–1,380,382,443)]. Age-standardized rates rose in China [12,787.39 (11,678.39–14,025.33) to 15,606.31 (14,271.71–17,011.02) per 100,000] and globally [12,084.69 (11,058.04–13,183.89) to 15,017.46 (13,755.84–16,360.80) per 100,000]. Global AAPC was higher [0.71 (0.68–0.74)] than China's [0.63 (0.57–0.70)] (Table 1).

Mortality

Global deaths increased 117.12% [44,861 (31,680–61,014) to 97,403 (69,530–130,168)] versus 50.10% in China [4,223 (2,786–5,981) to 6,339 (4,018–9,241)]. Age-standardized rates decreased globally [1.16 (0.81–1.57) to 1.14 (0.82–1.52) per 100,000] but significantly in China [0.54 (0.35–0.76) to 0.31 (0.20–0.45) per 100,000]. AAPC: Global –0.03 (–0.21–0.14); China –1.75 (–2.03 to –1.46) (Table 1).

DALYs

Global DALYs increased 107.88% [1,285,242 (895,297–1,775,500) to 2,671,793 (1,895,293–3,602,044)] versus 23.41% in China [128,243 (83,671–181,154) to 158,263 (102,325–231,673)]. Age-standardized rates were stable globally [30.57 (21.20–42.04) to 30.90 (22.17–41.50) per 100,000] but decreased significantly in China [13.99 (9.07–19.73) to 7.61 (5.01–10.94) per 100,000]. AAPC: Global 0.04 (–0.11 to 0.19); China –1.98 (–2.27 to –1.71) (Table 1).

Joinpoint regression analysis (China vs. global)

China: ASIR and ASPR showed phased growth: gradual increase (1990–2000; ASIR APC1990–1995 = 0.56, APC1995–2000 = 0.19;

ASPR APC = 0.16; p < 0.05), transient decline (2000–2005), then accelerated growth post-2005. ASMR declined significantly throughout (p < 0.05). Global: ASIR and ASPR increased significantly post-1990 (p < 0.05). ASMR fluctuated with minimal net change. Complete joinpoint regression analyses are presented in Figures 2, 3.

Findings on disease burden trends

In China, ASPR exhibited the same phased trend identified through Joinpoint regression analysis. Globally, ASPR remained stable during 2000–2005 but increased consistently in other periods. Both China and the global population demonstrated modest yet sustained ASIR increases throughout the 1990–2021 observation period. Figure 4 compares ASIR, ASPR, ASMR, and ASDR trends between China and worldwide.

Age-specific disease burden in China (1990 vs. 2021)

Figure 5 presents comparative analyses of incidence, prevalence, mortality, and DALY rates across age groups in China. Key findings reveal distinct epidemiological patterns:

Incidence and prevalence

Highest incidence burden under 60 years, peak in 20–24 age group (Figure 5A). Prevalence peaked in 75–79 age group, showing an aging pattern (Figure 5B).

Mortality and DALYs

Mortality escalated with age, highest in 95+ group (Figure 5C). DALYs mirrored mortality, peaking in 95+ group (Figure 5D).



Gender disparities in disease burden across age groups in China (1990–2021)

Incidence patterns: Peak shifted from 20 to 24 (1990) to 30–34 (2021) for both sexes. Females maintained higher incidence rates across most age groups except 15–24 years in 1990, whereas by 2021 males exhibited greater incidence below age 29 with female predominance persisting in older cohorts (Figures 6A, 7A).

Prevalence trends: The 35–39 age group represented the prevalence peak for both sexes in 1990. Male predominance <55 years (1990) shifted to female predominance >50 years (2021) (Figures 6B, 7B).

Mortality and DALY burden: Female predominance in older ages (≥ 60 in 1990; ≥ 65 in 2021), peak at 70–74 years. Male peak earlier. DALY patterns mirrored mortality: female peak shifted from 55 to 59 (1990) to 65–69 (2021); male peak at 50–54 (Figures 6C,D, 7C,D).

Age-standardized rates: Female ASIR dominated except 2016–2020 (peak female ASIR in 2021). ASPR fluctuated intersectingly. Post-1996, females had significantly lower ASMR and ASDR than males (Figures 8A–D).

Discussion

This study systematically analyzed the burden of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD)-related

chronic liver diseases (CLD) and cirrhosis in China and globally from 1990 to 2021 using Global Burden of Disease (GBD 2021) data, focusing on incidence, prevalence, mortality, and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs). The results revealed distinct temporal trends and demographic patterns.

In China, age-standardized incidence (ASIR) and prevalence rates (ASPR) showed a temporary decline during 2000–2005 (p < 0.05), followed by a rapid increase after 2005. In contrast, global rates exhibited continuous growth throughout the study period. While China achieved significant reductions in MASLDrelated mortality and disease burden, global progress remained limited.

Age-specific analysis demonstrated persistently high incidence among young adults and elevated disease burden among elderly populations, who accounted for most deaths and DALYs. Sex disparities were evident, with women facing higher risks after age 50 and young men showing rapidly increasing incidence rates.

These findings highlight China's "dual burden" of MASLD: rising incidence in youth suggests early-onset metabolic risks, while high mortality in the elderly underscores the need for better complication management. Although China outperformed global trends in reducing mortality and DALYs, persistently increasing incidence and prevalence rates indicate ongoing challenges.

The sharply rising incidence/prevalence rates observed in China, particularly the accelerating disease occurrence among



younger demographics, provide robust evidence for rapidly advancing urbanization and lifestyle shifts acting as principal drivers, with this impact potentially amplified within the Chinese context (24, 25).

Notably, China has achieved significant reductions in MASLDrelated mortality and disease burden, contrasting with limited global progress. This improvement likely reflects substantial public health advancements over the past three decades. While global data provide valuable insights into overall disease burden, they may not adequately represent specific national or regional contexts.

Comparative analyses reveal lower MASLD prevalence in highincome regions including Asia-Pacific, North America, Western Europe, and Australasia (26).

Our findings reveal significant sex-based differences in disabilityadjusted life years (DALYs) attributable to MASLD. Overall, men exhibit higher DALYs than women, likely due to the absence of estrogen's protective effects and a higher prevalence of metabolic risk factors, including increased visceral fat accumulation, insulin resistance, poorer dietary habits, and suboptimal health management. However, in our study, women aged >65 years carried a more substantial disease burden than their male counterparts. This aligns with prior research suggesting that hormonal transitions, particularly during menopause, may accelerate MASLD progression in older women (27). However, this biological mechanism likely interacts with additional systemic barriers that disproportionately affect this population, particularly within rural healthcare settings such as Western China. Critically, geographic disparities across China's regions substantially modulate disease burden, compounded by gender-specific healthcare disparities. Women in underserved areas confront compounded vulnerabilities: (1) Cultural and Socioeconomic Barriers: Traditional prioritization of male healthcare needs, coupled with financial constraints, frequently delays female presentation for symptomatic liver disease and restricts adherence to regular monitoring and pharmacotherapy; (2) Healthcare Engagement Barriers: Limited health literacy and reduced screening uptake contribute to diagnostic delays; (3) Comorbidity Management Deficits: Concomitant conditions often remain under-recognized and undertreated. Infrastructure Inequities further exacerbate these disparities: Hepatology services and tertiary care centers remain predominantly concentrated in eastern metropolises (e.g., Beijing, Shanghai). Consequently, elderly women in rural and western regions experience profound deficits in accessing guideline-concordant MASLD management and specialized cirrhosis care throughout the disease continuum.



The APC of ASIR, ASPR, ASMR, and ASDR in Global from 1990 to 2021 (* means *p*-values < 0.05 and significant results). (A) ASIR; (B) ASPR; (C) ASMR; (D) ASDR.





These findings underscore the critical role of sex in MASLD epidemiology and highlight the need for sex-specific health management and prevention strategies to mitigate the global burden of MASLD and its complications.

In China, elderly individuals (\geq 70 years) constitute the primary demographic group bearing the highest burden of MASLD-related mortality and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), underscoring the critical imperative for effectively managing MASLD complications, such as cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), to interrupt this well-established disease trajectory. Given the rapidly aging population structure, these findings necessitate urgent action: (1) optimizing metabolic risk management within this group; (2) enhancing the screening and management of cirrhosis and its complications (including variceal hemorrhage and hepatic encephalopathy); and (3) developing integrated liver disease management models incorporating cardiovascular disease (CVD) prevention and control strategies, as CVD represents the leading cause of death among MASLD patients (28).

Notably, we observed a shift in the peak age of MASLD incidence from 20 to 24 years in 1990 to 30–34 years in 2021. This temporal transition of the age peak may reflect: (1) the delayed manifestation of cumulative childhood obesity effects; (2) prolonged exposure to adverse lifestyle factors during early adulthood; or (3) improvements in diagnostic awareness or evolving diagnostic methodologies—a possibility warranting further investigation. Nevertheless, the established recognition of childhood obesity as a key risk factor (29), underscores the critical necessity of obesity interventions beginning in early life to mitigate the rising prevalence of MASLD among younger age cohorts.

Policy and clinical implications

From 1990 to 2021, NAFLD prevalence has surged dramatically, yet it remains underprioritized in global and national public health strategies (30). This lack of focus has led to low disease awareness, even among high-risk populations and healthcare providers. Given MASLD's strong links to metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and liver complications, integrating MASLD screening into routine metabolic health assessments could improve early detection and intervention.

Current management relies heavily on lifestyle interventions, given NAFLD's bidirectional relationship with metabolic syndrome. Key findings include: Weight loss of 5–7% significantly reduces liver fat. Weight loss exceeding 10% may even reverse fibrosis (31).

While no FDA/EMA-approved MASLD -specific therapies exist, several repurposed drugs show promise: Pioglitazone (improves insulin sensitivity); Vitamin E (antioxidant effects in non-diabetic MASH); GLP-1 agonists (weight loss and metabolic benefits); SGLT-2 inhibitors (cardio-metabolic and potential hepatic benefits) (32, 33). These agents, currently used for diabetes and obesity, may soon play a role in personalized MASLD treatment regimens. However, further large-scale randomized trials are needed to confirm their efficacy in halting disease progression.

Implementing targeted interventions for youth is crucial for primary prevention. Specific policy actions should include: (1) integrating metabolic risk factor assessment (e.g., BMI, waist circumference, blood pressure) into routine school health screenings to identify high-risk adolescents early; (2) developing and promoting accessible digital health tools (apps/platforms) tailored for adolescents to encourage healthy eating and physical activity; (3) incorporating comprehensive nutrition education and healthy lifestyle modules into



school curricula; (4) enacting regulations to restrict marketing of unhealthy foods and beverages targeted at children and adolescents; and (5) improving community infrastructure to increase access to safe spaces for physical activity.

For managing the complex comorbidities prevalent in the elderly MASLD population, establishing Integrated Cardiometabolic-Liver Clinics represents a promising framework. These specialized clinics would bring together hepatologists, endocrinologists/diabetologists, cardiologists, nutritionists, and other relevant specialists within a single, coordinated service. The model would facilitate comprehensive assessment of cardiovascular, metabolic, and liver health simultaneously, enabling truly individualized management plans that address all facets of the patient's condition. This integrated approach aims to reduce fragmented care, improve patient adherence, optimize resource utilization, and ultimately lead to better health outcomes for this vulnerable group.

Given the growing arsenal of lifestyle interventions and pharmacotherapies, high-burden countries must adopt evidencebased approaches to curb MASLD's escalating impact. A comprehensive management strategy—combining prevention, early detection, and accessible treatment—could be pivotal in reducing both MASLD prevalence and its complications.

Limitations of the study

This study presents the first systematic comparison of MASLD disease burden between China and the global population from 1990 to 2021, providing valuable evidence for health policy-making worldwide. However, several important limitations should be noted. First, the accuracy of GBD estimates is inherently constrained by the quality and completeness of underlying data sources, particularly in resource-limited settings and economically disadvantaged populations where underdiagnosis is prevalent due to inadequate screening systems.

This limitation is particularly acute in rural China, where fundamental barriers to MASLD diagnosis compound underdetection: (1) Limited Specialist Access: Scarce availability of specialized healthcare facilities and gastroenterologists with expertise in identifying and confirming steatotic liver disease



diagnoses; (2) Economic Constraints: Financial burdens disincentivize patients from pursuing evaluation for frequently asymptomatic liver conditions; and (3) Infrastructural Deficits: Underutilization of essential imaging modalities (e.g., ultrasound) for systematic screening within primary care settings. Collectively, these factors drive significant underdiagnosis, which systemically compromises epidemiological accuracy by artificially suppressing reported incidence rates.

Particularly concerning is that underdiagnosis, especially in resource-constrained settings, constitutes a source of systematic bias. This may lead to a systematic underestimation of the burden of MASLD. Such bias thereby risks undermining the reliability of international comparisons of disease burden. Consequently, future research should prioritize the development of standardized screening tools, with the aim of mitigating the impact of underdiagnosis. Second, while the global-level aggregation offers a comprehensive overview, it may mask significant regional variations in disease burden attributable to differences in socioeconomic development, genetic predisposition, healthcare infrastructure, and environmental exposures. Third, despite employing active case-finding methodologies, the true burden of MASLD is likely underestimated given the typically asymptomatic nature of early-stage disease and the lack of routine screening protocols in most healthcare systems. Fourth, the analysis did not account for competing risks, such as deaths from cardiovascular disease (CVD). This omission is particularly relevant because CVD mortality can reduce the observed occurrence of MASLDrelated deaths-e.g., patients may die from CVD before developing fatal MASLD complications-potentially leading to an underestimation of MASLD-specific mortality risk. Future research should incorporate competing risks models to provide more accurate burden estimates. These limitations suggest that while our findings provide important global benchmarks, they should be interpreted with caution and supplemented with local epidemiological data for precise policy formulation. Future research should prioritize strengthening surveillance systems in understudied regions and developing standardized screening approaches to improve case detection (17, 19).



Conclusion

This study demonstrates a progressive increase in the prevalence of MASLD over the past three decades. Chinese national surveillance data confirm a critical imperative for precision metabolic risk mitigation targeting adolescents and young adults. The observed epidemiological transition—characterized by a peak in incidence among younger populations and a concentration of deaths in older adults—calls for integrated strategies including early-life lifestyle interventions and comprehensive management frameworks for comorbidities in the elderly.

These findings provide critical evidence to guide the establishment of disease-specific targets, assess epidemiological trends, and allocate resources for the prevention, diagnosis, and management of MASLD in China and worldwide. Effective public health strategies—including lifestyle interventions and improved healthcare accessibility—are essential to mitigate the future burden of this disease.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-results/.

Author contributions

YT: Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Visualization. CG: Project administration, Writing – review & editing, Supervision.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Powell EE, Wong VW-S, Rinella M. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Lancet*. (2021) 397:2212–24. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32511-3

2. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, Rinella M, et al. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology*. (2018) 67:328–57. doi: 10.1002/hep.29367

3. Eslam M, Newsome PN, Sarin SK, Anstee QM, Targher G, Romero-Gomez M, et al. A new definition for metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: an international expert consensus statement. *J Hepatol.* (2020) 73:202–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.03.039

4. Rinella ME, Lazarus JV, Ratziu V, Francque SM, Sanyal AJ, Kanwal F, et al. A multisociety Delphi consensus statement on new fatty liver disease nomenclature. *Hepatology*. (2023) 78:1966–86. doi: 10.1097/HEP.00000000000520

5. Riazi K, Azhari H, Charette JH, Underwood FE, King JA, Afshar EE, et al. The prevalence and incidence of NAFLD worldwide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2022) 7:851–61. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(22)00165-0

6. Huang DQ, El-Serag HB, Loomba R. Global epidemiology of NAFLD-related HCC: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2021) 18:223–38. doi: 10.1038/s41575-020-00381-6

7. Mantovani A, Csermely A, Petracca G, Beatrice G, Corey KE, Simon TG, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2021) 6:903–13. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(21)00308-3

8. Stefan N, Cusi K. A global view of the interplay between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and diabetes. *Lancet Diab Endocrinol.* (2022) 10:284–96. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(22)00003-1

9. Younossi Z, Tacke F, Arrese M, Chander Sharma B, Mostafa I, Bugianesi E, et al. Global perspectives on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology*. (2019) 69:2672–82. doi: 10.1002/hep.30251

10. Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease—meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology*. (2016) 64:73–84. doi: 10.1002/hep.28431

11. Shah PA, Patil R, Harrison SA. NAFLD-related hepatocellular carcinoma: the growing challenge. *Hepatology*. (2023) 77:323–38. doi: 10.1002/hep.32542

12. Lazarus JV, Mark HE, Anstee QM, Arab JP, Batterham RL, Castera L, et al. Advancing the global public health agenda for NAFLD: a consensus statement. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2022) 19:60–78. doi: 10.1038/s41575-021-00523-4

13. Li J, Zou B, Yeo YH, Feng Y, Xie X, Lee DH, et al. Prevalence, incidence, and outcome of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Asia, 1999–2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 4:389–98. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30039-1

14. Mahady SE, George J. Predicting the future burden of NAFLD and NASH. J Hepatol. (2018) 69:774–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.06.025

15. Schumacher AE, Kyu HH, Aali A, Abbafati C, Abbas J, Abbasgholizadeh R, et al. Global age-sex-specific mortality, life expectancy, and population estimates in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1950–2021, and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic: a comprehensive demographic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2021. *Lancet.* (2024) 403:1989–2056. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00476-8

16. Naghavi M, Ong KL, Aali A, Ababneh HS, Abate YH, Abbafati C, et al. Global burden of 288 causes of death and life expectancy decomposition in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990–2021: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2021. *Lancet.* (2024) 403:2100–32. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00367-2

17. Ferrari AJ, Santomauro DF, Aali A, Abate YH, Abbafati C, Abbastabar H, et al. Global incidence, prevalence, years lived with disability (YLDs), disability-adjusted life-

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

years (DALYs), and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 371 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990–2021: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2021. *Lancet*. (2024) 403:2133–61. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00757-8

18. Paik JM, Kabbara K, Eberly KE, Younossi Y, Henry L, Younossi ZM. Global burden of NAFLD and chronic liver disease among adolescents and young adults. *Hepatology*. (2022) 75:1204–17. doi: 10.1002/hep.32228

19. Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abbasi M, Abbasifard M, et al. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *Lancet.* (2020) 396:1204–22. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9

20. Alvarez EM, Force LM, Xu R, Compton K, Lu D, Henrikson HJ, et al. The global burden of adolescent and young adult cancer in 2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *Lancet Oncol.* (2022) 23:27–52. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00581-7

21. Foreman KJ, Lozano R, Lopez AD, Murray CJ. Modeling causes of death: an integrated approach using CODEm. *Popul Health Metrics.* (2012) 10:1–23. doi: 10.1186/1478-7954-10-1

22. Kim HJ, Fay MP, Feuer EJ, Midthune DN. Permutation tests for joinpoint regression with applications to cancer rates. *Stat Med.* (2000) 19:335–51. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(20000215)19:3<335::AID-SIM336>3.0.CO;2-Z

23. Qiu H, Cao S, Xu R. Cancer incidence, mortality, and burden in China: a timetrend analysis and comparison with the United States and United Kingdom based on the global epidemiological data released in 2020. *Cancer Commun.* (2021) 41:1037–48. doi: 10.1002/cac2.12197

24. Alluhidan M, Alsukait RF, Alghaith T, Shekar M, Alazemi N, Herbst CH. Overweight and obesity in Saudi Arabia: consequences and solutions. Washington, DC: World Bank Publications (2022).

25. Devarbhavi H, Asrani SK, Arab JP, Nartey YA, Pose E, Kamath PS. Global burden of liver disease: 2023 update. J Hepatol. (2023) 79:516–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2023.03.017

26. Lazarus JV, Han H, Mark HE, Alqahtani SA, Schattenberg JM, Soriano JB, et al. The global fatty liver disease sustainable development goal country score for 195 countries and territories. *Hepatology*. (2023) 78:911–28. doi: 10.1097/HEP.000000000000361

27. Lonardo A, Nascimbeni F, Ballestri S, Fairweather DL, Win S, Than TA, et al. Sex differences in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: state of the art and identification of research gaps. *Hepatology*. (2019) 70:1457–69. doi: 10.1002/hep.30626

28. Zhou M, Wang H, Zeng X, Yin P, Zhu J, Chen W, et al. Mortality, morbidity, and risk factors in China and its provinces, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet.* (2019) 394:1145–58. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30427-1

29. Shaunak M, Byrne CD, Davis N, Afolabi P, Faust SN, Davies JH. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and childhood obesity. *Arch Dis Child.* (2021) 106:3-8. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2019-318063

30. Sanyal AJ. Putting non-alcoholic fatty liver disease on the radar for primary care physicians: how well are we doing? *BMC Med.* (2018) 16:1–3. doi: 10.1186/s12916-018-1149-9

31. Vilar-Gomez E, Martinez-Perez Y, Calzadilla-Bertot L, Torres-Gonzalez A, Gra-Oramas B, Gonzalez-Fabian L, et al. Weight loss through lifestyle modification significantly reduces features of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterology*. (2015) 149:e365:367–378.e5. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.04.005

32. Armstrong MJ, Gaunt P, Aithal GP, Barton D, Hull D, Parker R, et al. Liraglutide safety and efficacy in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (LEAN): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2 study. *Lancet.* (2016) 387:679–90. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00803-X

33. Hsiang JC, Wong VW-S. SGLT2 inhibitors in liver patients. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2020) 18:2168-2172. e2162. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2020.05.021