Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Ferenc Budan, University of Pécs, Hungary

*CORRESPONDENCE Ping Han Manping@scu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 17 May 2025 ACCEPTED 20 May 2025 PUBLISHED 12 June 2025

CITATION

Ye J, Xie Y, Ran B and Han P (2025) Corrigendum: Prognostic impact of nutritional indicators based on Lasso-Cox regression for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1630197. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1630197

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Ye, Xie, Ran and Han. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Prognostic impact of nutritional indicators based on Lasso-Cox regression for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer

Junjiang Ye, Yandong Xie, Biao Ran and Ping Han*

Department of Urology, Institute of Urology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

KEYWORDS

non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer, Lasso-Cox regression, geriatric nutritional risk index, prognostic nutritional index, Naples prognostic score

A Corrigendum on

Prognostic impact of nutritional indicators based on Lasso-Cox regression for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer

by Ye, J., Xie, Y., Ran, B., and Han, P. (2025). *Front. Nutr.* 12:1560655. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1560655

In the published article, there was an error in the Supplementary Materials, Supplementary Figure 2. When generating the ten-fold cross-validation plot for the Lasso regression, we employed the incorrect methodology, resulting in an error in the final visualization. The correct Supplementary Figure 2 caption and figure has been published in the original article.

In the published article, there was an error in the Supplementary Materials. The headings for the Supplementary Figures were incorrectly written as "1.1 Supplementary Figures 1", "1.2 Supplementary Figures 2", "1.3 Supplementary Figures 3", "1.4 Supplementary Figures 4" and "1.5 Supplementary Figures 5". The correct headings should have been written as "1.1 Supplementary Figure 1", "1.2 Supplementary Figure 2", "1.3 Supplementary Figure 5". The correct headings should have been written as "1.1 Supplementary Figure 1", "1.2 Supplementary Figure 5".

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.