

### **OPEN ACCESS**

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE
Frontiers Production Office

☑ production.office@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 02 September 2025 ACCEPTED 02 September 2025 PUBLISHED 05 September 2025

#### CITATION

Frontiers Production Office (2025) Correction: Simultaneous extraction and preliminary purification of polyphenols from grape pomace using an aqueous two-phase system exposed to ultrasound irradiation: process characterization and simulation. *Front. Nutr.* 12:1697706. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1697706

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Frontiers Production Office. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these

Correction: Simultaneous extraction and preliminary purification of polyphenols from grape pomace using an aqueous two-phase system exposed to ultrasound irradiation: process characterization and simulation

## Frontiers Production Office\*

Frontiers Media SA, Lausanne, Switzerland

### KEYWORDS

ultrasound, aqueous two-phase, grape pomace, phenolic compounds, artificial neural network, diffusion model, purification

### A Correction on

Simultaneous extraction and preliminary purification of polyphenols from grape pomace using an aqueous two-phase system exposed to ultrasound irradiation: process characterization and simulation

by Xie, G., Shen, J., Luo, J., Li, D., Tao, Y., Song, C., and Han, Y. (2022). Front. Nutr. 9:993475. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.993475

In the published article, the conflict of interest lacked necessary details regarding a previous collaboration between authors Dandan Li, Yang Tao and Yongbin Han & reviewer Pau Loke Show. An investigation by the Research Integrity auditing team found that this conflict of interest did not unduly impact the peer review process or scientific validity of the article.

The correct conflict of interest statement appears below

# Conflict of interest

The authors DL, YT, and YH had previously collaborated with the reviewer PS.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The original version of this article has been updated.