

Roberto E. Gómez¹* and Maria L. Ardigo²

¹ Medical Affairs, Laboratorio ELEA SACIFyA, Buenos Aires, Argentina

² Clinical Research, Laboratorio ELEA SACIFyA, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Edited by:

Daniel Gomez, Universidad Nacional de Quilmes, Argentina

Reviewed by:

Viktor Umansky, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Germany Hua-Chen Chang, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, USA

*Correspondence:

Roberto E. Gómez, Medical Affairs, Laboratorio ELEA SACIFyA, Buenos Aires, Argentina. e-mail: gomezr@elea.com Active immunotherapy is an interesting field from the industry's perspective and in the last years, regulatory agencies and the medical community have showed renewed expectations and interest in cancer vaccines. The development of new immune therapies offers many challenges, and this is reflected in the small number of phase III trials showing clear benefits. Traditional concepts applied in clinical trials for the development of chemotherapeutic agents may be inadequate for immunotherapies and a new paradigm is emerging. It is possible that organized efforts and funding will accelerate the development of therapeutically effective cancer vaccines. This article reviews the attributes of cancer vaccines which make them attractive from the industry's perspective, and focuses especially in the characteristics of Racotumomab, an anti-idiotype antibody vaccine.

Keywords: lung cancer, Racotumomab, cancer vaccines, immunotherapy, pediatric tumor

There are different immunotherapeutic approaches in cancer, including passive and active immunotherapy, adoptive T cell transfer, and non-specific immunotherapy, amongst others.

Active immunotherapy is an interesting field because vaccines usually have a favorable side effect profile and are well-tolerated and can be used in combination with other therapies.

However, the development of these new immune therapies offers many challenges, and this is reflected in the small number of phase III trials showing clear benefits. Immune response may not always translate into clinical benefit, and for solid tumors, traditional criteria for evaluation of tumor response may not be appropriate or relevant (Tuma, 2006; Hoos et al., 2007; Schlom et al., 2007).

In the last years, the regulatory agencies and the medical community have increased their expectations regarding these therapeutic strategies. The FDA released in October 2011a guidance document for the industry addressing the challenges and particular issues with the development of cancer vaccines such as monitoring for immune response, disease progression/recurrence immediately or shortly after the start of the vaccine, delayed effects of the vaccines when evaluating time to event endpoints, etc (Guidance for Industry, 2011). This shows that in the development phases of vaccines and immunotherapies, some of the traditional concepts applied in oncology clinical trials for chemotherapeutic agents are at least controversial or inappropriate and a new paradigm is emerging for immunotherapies.

The NCI recently recognized the untapped potential of therapeutic cancer vaccines and set a pilot project for identification and prioritization of cancer antigens (Cheever et al., 2009). There is increasing interest in the cancer vaccine field, and it is possible that organized efforts and funding will accelerate the development of therapeutically effective cancer vaccines.

The successful development of a vaccine for cancer treatment is influenced by several factors. Some of them are related to the product, type of tumor, expression of the target, and also to the patient characteristics, such as performance status or stage of the disease, play an important role.

An anti-idiotype monoclonal antibody (mAb) is the mirror image of the original antibody formed against specific surface antigens. Thus, anti-idiotype antibodies can act as antigens, inducing a response against the original antigen.

Racotumomab is an anti-idiotype antibody used as a therapeutic vaccine. Although it is as mAb, it is administered in small amounts, intradermally, and acts as an active specific immunotherapeutic agent.

Racotumomab was formerly known as 1E10 anti-idiotype vaccine and is a good example of a candidate for development because it holds many positive characteristics:

- It has a well-defined antigen, expressed only in tumor cells: N-glycolil-GM3 is the target of this vaccine. It is a ganglioside which normally does not express on the surface of human cells, but appears on the surface of tumor cells (Irie et al., 1998; Muchmore et al., 1998). The differential expression of the target makes immune cross reactions unlikely, hence preserving normal cells and reducing the risks of toxicity and side effects.
- The target is expressed in several tumor types: it has been shown that several tumors express N-glycolil-GM3, such as non-small cell lung cancer (van Cruijsen et al., 2009), breast cancer (Vázquez et al., 1995; Moreno et al., 1998), melanoma (Alfonso et al., 2002), and several pediatric tumors of neuroectodermal origin (Scursoni et al., 2011, 2012). From the industry's perspective this is interesting because it allows a broad range of potential indications. Particularly in the case of non-small cell lung cancer, the expression of the target is greater than 70% (van Cruijsen et al., 2009). This provides two additional advantages: (1) the potential for combination of Racotumomab with other therapies used in more selected





patient populations (patient with specific mutations or histological types) without the need of prior evaluation of the presence of the target in the tumor and (2) even if the detection of the target ganglioside (N-glycolil-GM3) were needed, the fact that it is an immunohystochemical evaluation, makes it technically easy to perform, of low cost and widely accessible.

- It has an innovative mechanism of action (**Figure 1**): antiidiotype antibodies are a useful strategy to elicit an immune response toward a ganglioside, which is a scarcely immunogenic molecule in itself.
- It is highly immunogenic (**Figure 2**) and shows clinical benefit: Racotumomab is a monoclonal antibody, but is used as a vaccine. Only a small quantity of Racotumomab (1 mg) is needed per dose. It has been shown that Racotumomab is able to elicit a strong humoral and cellular immune response (Alfonso et al., 2002; Díaz et al., 2003; Guthmann et al., 2004, 2006; Hernández et al., 2008, 2011) and that this response has a positive impact in patient survival (Guthmann et al., 2004; Alfonso et al., 2007; Neninger et al., 2007). In a proof of concept trial, patients with NSCLC treated with Racotumomab had a longer overall survival in comparison to a placebo group (final results submitted for publication).
- It can be used in a broad target population: despite the fact that more than 65% of all malignancies and more than 70% of the deaths associated to cancer occur in patients beyond 65 years old (Lynn et al., 2003), elderly patients continue to be underrepresented in clinical trials. The incidence of cancer is 10 times larger and death rate is 16 times larger in this group than patients below 65 years of age (Lynn et al., 2003). When the treatments evaluated in younger patient populations are approved and then used in the clinical setting and in elderly patients frequently the results obtained are not the same. Due to comorbidities and polimedication the risk of side effects, toxicity and complications is increased, and the tolerance to onco-specific treatments is reduced. Several studies have shown that patients more than 65 years old tend to have a greater risk of bone marrow depletion, neutropenia, infections, and neurotoxicity with the use of chemotherapy (Balducci, 2001, 2003; Balducci and Repetto, 2004; Belani, 2005; Gridelli, 2008). Cancer treatment in pediatric population presents a similar challenge. In addition, traditional cancer agents have impact in developing organs and systems and are likely to produce irreversible, negative changes.

- Well-tolerated and safe products such as Racotumomab continue to be very much needed in these specific patient populations. This is also very important for diseases with late diagnosis and no chance of cure, because in this context patients and their families tend to recur to alternative therapies which may be costly, ineffective and unsafe.
- The schedule of vaccination is comfortable and simple: it consists of five intradermal doses (1 every 2 weeks) followed by subsequent monthly intradermal doses.
- The administration of the vaccine is quick and easy: the route of administration of Racotumomab and the fact that this product does not require the safety precautions that are needed when manipulating chemotherapeutic agents, nor costly processing or time consuming infusions makes this treatment interesting, since it can be delivered by a nurse at home, at the pharmacy or at the clinic. Although in the clinical trials it is recommended that the patients remain 1 h in observation after the first 2 doses, no threatening immediate reactions have been observed so far in more than 700 treated patients and no further surveillance is required if the vaccine was well-tolerated. All these factors favor patient compliance to therapy and have an impact on quality of life.
- · Good tolerance and feasibility of combination with standard therapies: preservation of the patient's quality of living is extremely important, especially when there are no chances of cure and palliative therapies are the only option. Racotumomab is mostly associated with mild to moderate injection-site reactions (local erithema, induration, and pain), which disappear within 24-48 h. Systemic reactions, such as flu like symptoms and chills are less frequent, reversible, and self-limited. A favorable safety profile allows administration of monthly booster doses during a long period of time to maintain the immune response beyond disease progression, possibilities of treatment combinations with a broad range of standard therapies (radiotherapy, chemotherapies, etc.,) and use in special populations such as elderly and pediatric patients. Especially in NSCLC Racotumomab could play a role in maintenance therapy, with an acceptable and easy administration schedule and a favorable safety profile, alone or in combination with other agents.

In summary, Racotumomab is a well-tolerated, immunogenic cancer vaccine which has shown to prolong survival in NSCLC and is currently being evaluated in a multinational, phase III trial.

REFERENCES

- Alfonso, M., Díaz, A., Hernández, A. M., Pérez, A., Rodríguez, E., and Bitton, R. (2002). An anti-idiotype vaccine elicits a specific response to N-glycolyl sialic acid residues of glycoconjugates in melanoma patients. *J. Immunol.* 168, 2523–2529.
- Alfonso, S., Díaz, R. M., de la Torre, A., Santiesteban, E., Aguirre, F., and Pérez, K. (2007). 1E10 antiidiotype vaccine in non-small cell lung cancer. Experience in stage

IIIb/IV patients. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 6, 1847–1852.

- Balducci, L. (2001). Hematopoietic growth factors in the older cancer patient. *Curr. Opin. Hematol.* 8, 170–187.
- Balducci, L. (2003). Geriatric oncology. Clin. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 46, 211–220.
- Balducci, L., and Repetto, L. (2004). Increased risk of myelotoxicity in elderly patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Cancer* 100, 6–11.
- Belani, C. P. (2005). Elderly subgroup analysis of a randomized phase III study of docetaxel plus platinum combinations versus vinorelbine plus cisplatin for first-line treatment of advanced nonsmall cell lung carcinoma (TAX 326). *Cancer* 104, 2766–2774.
- Cheever, A. M., Allison, J. P., Ferris, A. S., Finn, O. J., Hastings, B. M., and Hecht, T. T. (2009). The prioritization of cancer antigens: a national cancer institute pilot

project for the acceleration of translational research. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 15, 5323–37.

- Díaz, A., Alfonso, M., Alonso, R., Saurez, G., Troche, M., and Catalá, M. (2003). Immune responses in breast cancer patients immunized with an anti-idiotype antibody mimicking NeuGc-containing gangliosides. *Clin. Immunol.* 107, 80–89.
- Gridelli, C. (2008). Treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung

cancer in the elderly: from best supportive care to the combination of platin-based chemotherapy and targeted therapies; editorial. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 26, 13–15.

- Guidance for Industry. (2011). Clinical considerations for therapeutic cancer vaccines. Available online at: http:// www.fda.gov/downloads / biologics bloodvaccines/guidancecompliance regulatoryinformation / guidances / vaccines/ucm278673.pdf
- Guthmann, M. D., Bitton, R. J., Carnero, A. J., Gabri, M. R., Cinat, G., and Koliren, L. (2004). Active specific immunotherapy of melanoma with a GM3 gangliosidebased vaccine: a report on safety and immunogenicity. *J. Immunother.* 27, 442–451.
- Guthmann, M. D., Castro, M. A., Cinat, G., Venier, C., Koliren, L., and Bitton, R. J. (2006). Cellular and humoral immune response to N-Glycolyl-GM3 elicited by prolonged immunotherapy with an anti-idiotypic vaccine in highrisk and metastatic breast cancer patients. J. Immunother. 29, 215–223.
- Hernández, A. M., Rodríguez, N., González, J. E., Reyes, E., Rondón, T., and Griñán, T. (2011). Anti-NeuGcGM3 antibodies, actively elicited by idiotypic vaccination in nonsmall cell lung cancer patients, induce tumor cell death by an oncosis-like mechanism. *J. Immunol.* 186, 3735–3744.

- Hernández, A. M., Toledo, D., Martínez, D., Griñán, T., Brito, V., and Macías, A. (2008). Characterization of the antibody response against NeuGcGM3 ganglioside elicited in non-small cell lung cancer patients immunized with an anti-idiotype antibody. J. Immunol. 181, 6625–6634.
- Hoos, A., Parmiani, G., Hege, K., Sznol, M., Loibner, H., and Eggermont, A. (2007). A clinical development paradigm for cancer vaccines and related biologics. *J. Immunother.* 30, 1–15.
- Irie, A., Koyama, S., Kozutsumi, Y., Kawasaki, T., and Suzuki, A. (1998). The molecular basis for the absence of N-glycolylneuraminic acid in humans. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 15866–15871.
- Lynn, A., Ries, G., Reichman, M. E., Riedel Lewis, D., Hankey, B. F., and Edwards, B. K. (2003). Cancer survival and incidence from the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results (SEER) program. *Oncologist* 8, 541–552.
- Moreno, E., Lanne, B., Vázquez, A. M., Kawashima, I., Tai, T., and Fernández, L. E. (1998). Delineation of the epitope recognized by an specific antibody for *N*glycolylneuraminic acid-containing gangliosides. *Glycobiology* 8, 695.
- Muchmore, E. A., Diaz, S., and Varki, A. (1998). A structural difference between the cell surfaces of humans and the great apes. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 107, 187–198.

- Neninger, E., Díaz, R. M., de la Torre, A., Rives, R., Díaz, A., and Saurez, G. (2007). Active immunotherapy with 1E10 anti-idiotype vaccine in patients with small cell lung cancer: report of a phase I trial. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 6, 145–150.
- Schlom, J., Arlen, P., and Gulley, J. (2007). Cancer vaccines: moving beyond current paradigms. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 13, 3776–3782.
- Scursoni, A. M., Galluzzo, L., Camarero, S., Lopez, J., Lubieniecki, F., and Sampor, C. (2011). Detection of N-Glycolyl GM3 ganglioside in neuroectodermal tumors by immunohistochemistry: an attractive vaccine target for aggressive pediatric cancer. Clin. Dev. Immunol. 2011, 245181.
- Scursoni, A. M., Galluzzo, L., Camarero, S., Pozzo, N., Gabri, M. R., and Mateo de Acosta, C. (2012). Detection and characterization of N-glycolyated gangliosides in Wilms tumor by immunohistochemistry. *Pediatr. Dev. Pathol.* 13, 18–23.
- Tuma, R. S. (2006). Sometimes size doesn't matter: reevaluating RECIST and tumor response rate endpoints. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 98, 1272–1274.
- van Cruijsen, H., Ruiz, M. G., van der Valk, P., de Gruijl, T. D., and Giaccone, G. (2009). Tissue micro array analysis of ganglioside Nglycolyl GM3 expression and signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)-3 activation

in relation to dendritic cell infiltration and microvessel density in non-small cell lung cancer. *BMC Cancer* 9:180. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-9-180

Vázquez, A. M., Alfonso, M., Lanne, B., Karlsson, K. A., Carr, A., and Barroso, O. (1995). Generation of a murine monoclonal antibody specific for N-glycolylneuraminic acid-containing gangliosides that also recognizes sulfated glycolipids. *Hybridoma* 14, 551–556.

Conflict of Interest Statement: Full time employee at Laboratorio ELEA SACIFyA.

Received: 03 August 2012; accepted: 01 October 2012; published online: 29 October 2012.

Citation: Gómez RE and Ardigo ML (2012) Anti-idiotype antibodies in cancer treatment: the pharmaceutical industry perspective. Front. Oncol. 2:147. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2012.00147

This article was submitted to Frontiers in Tumor Immunity, a specialty of Frontiers in Oncology.

Copyright © 2012 Gómez and Ardigo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.