



Extracellular Vesicles As Modulators of Tumor Microenvironment and Disease Progression in Glioma

Abir Mondal, Divya Kumari Singh, Suchismita Panda and Anjali Shiras*

Lab-3, RNA Biology and Cancer Laboratory, National Centre for Cell Science, S.P. Pune University Campus, Pune, India

Diffuse gliomas are lethal tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) characterized by infiltrative growth, aggressive nature, and therapeutic resistance. The recent 2016 WHO classification for CNS tumors categorizes diffuse glioma into two major types that include IDH wild-type glioblastoma, which is the predominant type and IDH-mutant glioblastoma, which is less common and displays better prognosis. Recent studies suggest presence of a distinct cell population with stem cell features termed as glioma stem cells (GSCs) to be causal in driving tumor growth in glioblastoma. The presence of a stem and progenitor population possibly makes glioblastoma highly heterogeneous. Significantly, tumor growth is driven by interaction of cells residing within the tumor with the surrounding milieu termed as the tumor microenvironment. It comprises of various cell types such as endothelial cells, secreted factors, and the surrounding extracellular matrix, which altogether help perpetuate the proliferation of GSCs. One of the important mediators critical to the cross talk is extracellular vesicles (EVs). These nano-sized vesicles play important roles in intercellular communication by transporting bioactive molecules into the surrounding milieu, thereby altering cellular functions and/ or reprogramming recipient cells. With the growing information on the contribution of EVs in modulation of the tumor microenvironment, it is important to determine their role in both supporting as well as promoting tumor growth in glioma. In this review, we provide a comprehensive overview of the role of EVs in tumor progression and glioma pathogenesis.

Keywords: glioblastoma, microenvironment, angiogenesis, microRNAs, extracellular vesicles

INTRODUCTION

Adult diffuse gliomas are histopathologically categorized into grades II–IV as oligodendroglioma, oligo-astrocytoma, astrocytoma, and glioblastoma (1). Glioblastoma are highly aggressive and angiogenic tumors with median survival of 12–15 months. To ensure appropriate treatment, it is important to correctly grade glial tumors. However, due to the inter- and intraobserver variability encountered in histopathological analyses of gliomas, tumor grading based on gene expression and DNA methylation signatures is gathering importance. The recent glioma classification is based on the status of mutations of isocitrate dehydrogenase genes 1 and 2 (IDH1/2), 1p/19q co-deletion, ATRX alterations, and TERT promoter mutation status (2). Using TCGA data that consider genomic signatures, survival time, patient age, and treatment responses, glioblastoma is further subclassified into proneural (PN), neural (N), classical (C), and mesenchymal (MES) subtypes. Here, genomic

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Cornelis Johannes Forrendinis Van Noorden, Academic Medical Center, Netherlands

Reviewed by:

Janusz Rak, McGill University, Canada Sunit Das, University of Toronto, Canada

> *Correspondence: Anjali Shiras anjali@nccs.res.in

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neuro-Oncology and Neurosurgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 31 March 2017 Accepted: 21 June 2017 Published: 05 July 2017

Citation:

Mondal A, Kumari Singh D, Panda S and Shiras A (2017) Extracellular Vesicles As Modulators of Tumor Microenvironment and Disease Progression in Glioma. Front. Oncol. 7:144. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2017.00144

1

aberrations in expression of EGFR, NF1, and PDGFRA/IDH1 define the classical, MES, and PN subtypes, respectively (3). MES subtypes demonstrate poor survival as compared to PN, thereby necessitating determination of correct molecular signatures in glioblastoma (3).

A predominant feature of glioblastoma is the high level of inter- and intracellular heterogeneity, due to the presence of cell population within the tumor that shows various stages of differentiation. Glioblastoma is considered to be propagated by a specific subpopulation of glioma stem cells (GSCs) that are responsible for therapeutic resistance and recurrence (4-7). The GSCs maintain two mutually exclusive molecular identities, i.e., PN or MES. Following therapy, GSCs display phenotypic transition from Proneural to MES subtype leading to tumor progression and increased aggressiveness. Also, the tumor microenvironment contributes toward a MES signature in glioblastoma (8). Interestingly, the stroma in which the GSC pool resides is considered to be the GSC niche and is responsible for tumor aggressiveness. The niche can either be a perivascular niche in which GSCs reside in close proximity to the tumor vasculature or a niche invaded by GSCs where cancer cells co-opt normal blood vessels enabling their migration into brain parenchyma or a hypoxic tumor niche (9). Angiogenesis is induced by the production of high levels of proteins such as VEGF, FGF, and PDGF by glioma cells (10). These factors induce proliferation of endothelial cells that not only help to recruit bone marrow-derived endothelial cells and pericyte precursors but also cause cancer cells to transdifferentiate into endothelial cells or pericytes (11, 12). This may disrupt the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and lead to treatment failure. The GSCs support growth and the infiltrative character of other cancer cells in a paracrine and autocrine manner by secreting angiocrine factors, cytokines, and chemokines (13). In a hypoxic microenvironment, this creates a permissive atmosphere for malignant progression (14, 15). Several mechanisms exist that help mediate cross talk of GSCs and the surrounding tumor microenvironment. Prominent among them is communication of cancer cells with the outside environment (within its microenvironment and even at distant sites) through extracellular vesicle (EV)-mediated transport. In summary, tumor propagation is a cumulative effect of the GSC population and their communication with the microenvironment that includes the tumor vasculature, immune cells, and non-stem cells. This complex biological network arising from intracellular, intercellular, and distant cell interactions supports growth of aggressive and therapy-resistant glioblastoma tumors.

Molecules that are important in reprogramming, metabolism, and angiogenesis are packaged into EVs and transported to proximal or distant cells, affecting proliferation and angiogenesis (16). These EVs serve as carriers of various types of molecules such as lipids, proteins, mRNAs, miRNAs, long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), and DNA. EVs can also directly activate cell surface receptors *via* bioactive ligands and transfer these to neighboring cells, along with transcription factors, oncogenes or infectious particles (17), and modulate tumor microenvironment (**Table 1**). In this review, we elaborate on the role of EVs in glioblastoma pathogenesis.

EV STRUCTURE, BIOGENESIS, AND MOLECULAR CONTENTS

The EVs are phospholipid bilayer-enclosed vesicles secreted by various cell types displaying a size range between 30 and 1,000 nm. They are broadly categorized into microvesicles (MVs, up to 1,000 nm in diameter) and exosomes (30-100 nm) based on their size, intracellular origin, and biogenesis pathway (38, 39). Characteristically, the MVs are formed by outward budding and fission of the cell membrane, whereas exosomes are of endosomal origin (38). The multivesicular body (MVB) formation occurs either through the endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery or via an ESCRT-independent manner. The ESCRT machinery consists of four complexes of approximately 30 proteins that are responsible for sequestering ubiquitinated transmembrane proteins in the endosomal membrane followed by their excision in the form of sorted cargo by budding (40). The ESCRT-independent manner is mediated via tetraspanin CD63 and enzymes sphingomyelinase, and phospholipase D2 (41, 42). Baietti et al. showed that the heparin sulfate proteoglycan syndecan and its cytoplasmic adaptor syntenin have roles in exosome formation (43). Several posttranslational modifications are involved in the sorting of specific proteins into exosomes, like SUMOylation of heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins A2/ B1 that promotes the sorting of specific microRNAs into exosomes and also regulates sorting of α -synuclein into EVs (44, 45).

Interestingly, exosome secretion is mediated through SNARE and Rab proteins (RAB7, RAB11, RAB27, and RAB35) (46). The release of EVs followed by their uptake in recipient cells and delivery of cargo may occur in various ways. It occurs either by direct fusion of EVs with the plasma membrane of recipient cells or through fusion with the endosomal membrane following acidification (47). Hsu et al. demonstrated that Rab3 helps in exosome secretion by facilitating the docking and tethering of MVBs to the plasma membrane (48). Non-canonical Wnt5a-Ca++ signaling was shown to induce release of exosomes into the extracellular environment of melanoma cells (49). Interestingly, the release of exosomes by tumor suppressor activated pathway 6 (TSAP6) gene occurs in a p53-dependent manner (50). Another posttranslational modification, ISGylation was shown to be important in the control of exosome production ISGylation of MVB proteins such as TSG101 regulated exosome release by triggering MVB colocalization with lysosomes and promoted degradation of MVB proteins (51). Although the formation of MVs is controlled by ADP-ribosylation factor 6 and membrane lipid microdomains (52), mechanisms responsible for sorting of cargo into the lumen of MVBs that form exosomes are not fully understood (53).

ROLE OF EVs IN CELLULAR CROSS TALK AND GLIOBLASTOMA PROGRESSION

Tumor-derived EVs act as a multicomponent delivery vehicle to transfer genetic information as well as signaling proteins to cells in their vicinity as well as at distant sites (**Figure 1**). Numerous functions are attributed to EVs in cancer that range from their role in antitumor immunity, drug resistance, metastasis, angiogenesis, and intercellular

Physiological and pathophysiological functions	Biomolecules exported by extracellular vesicles		Reference
Tumor growth, metabolism, invasion, and metastasis	Proteins	EGFRvIII Trk β MMPs, PDGFs, caveolin 1, lysyl oxidase, IL-8 Annexin A2	(18) (19) (20) (21)
	mRNAs	CLIC1 Semaphorin 3A EGFR Podoplanin	(22) (23) (18) (24)
	ncRNAs	Mutant IDH1 miR-15b, 16, 19b, 21, 26a, 92 miR-1 miR-27b, 451, 222, 135b, 30e, 451	(25) (16) (21) (26)
Immune suppression	Proteins	TGF-β IL-6	(27) (16)
Angiogenesis	Proteins	Angiogenin, IL-VEGF, and tissue factor	(16, 28)
	ncRNAs	miR-19b Linc-POU3F3	(16) (29)
Therapy resistance	Proteins mRNAs	Trk β IL-6 MGMT, APNG, EGFR, CD63, ERCC1	(19) (16) (30)
	ncRNAs	TIMP1, TIMP2 miR-21 miR-100 miR-221	(31) (16, 32) (26) (33)
Biomolecules with unknown functions	ncRNAs	miR-27a, 92, 93, 320, 20 RNU6, miR-483-5p, 574-3p, 197, 484, 146a, 223	(16) (34)
		miR-451a, 4301, 5096, 3676-5p, 4454, 1303, 1273a, 619, 448, 1246, 4792, 5095, 1273g, 4256, 4255, 5100, 1285-1, 1269b, 4500, 1273d, 4443 let-7b, 9a, 30a, 30d, 30b, 22, 125a, 25, 29a, 4301, 27b, 23b, 5096, 3676, 374b, 339, 191, 4454	(26)
	DNA	miR-24, 103, 125 Mitochondrial DNA	(35) (36)
Diagnostic marker	gDNA	IDH1 ^{G395A} gDNA	(37)

mRNA, messenger RNA; ncRNA, non-coding RNA; gDNA, genomic DNA; miR, microRNA.

communication to reprogramming (54). Reprogramming is a process of conversion of differentiated cells into a dedifferentiated state and can be mediated by MVs in *in vivo* conditions (55).

Glioblastoma-derived MVs are likely to represent one of the mechanisms by which cancer cells change the tumor microenvironment and make it more permissive for growth and invasion (58). Therefore, it is worth investigating the molecular cargo present in EVs for early glioma detection. The four glioblastoma subtypes activate different pathways of vesicle formation, and each subtype shows significant differences in expression of the EV regulatory and biogenesis markers (59). The molecules present in EVs

of which expression was subtype- specific include CD63, CD81, RAB27A, RAB27B, FLOT1, FLOT2, TSG101, RAB 5A among others (53). Recently, Kowal et al. proposed subcategorization of EVs based on relative abundance of specific EV protein markers such as CD63, CD9, and CD81 (60). Godlewski et al. showed that different subtypes of GSCs show highly heterogenous profiles of miRNAs. Moreover, EV-mediated transfer and secretion of miRNAs may contribute to glioblastoma heterogeneity (61). Importantly, the effect of phenotypic transition of GSCs from PN to MES signature is reflected significantly in the release and content of EVs (62, 63).

The significant contribution of EVs in key cellular processes related to disease progression in glioma is outlined below.

METABOLIC REGULATION

Glial tumors show propensity for non-oxidative metabolism of glucose even in the presence of oxygen, a phenomenon known as the Warburg effect (61, 64). Glioblastoma cells were also found to be highly oxidative indicating that substrate oxidation also occurs along with aerobic glycolysis and lactate release (65). The GSCs have other metabolic strategies or substrates as compared to bulk tumor cells. The tumor microenvironment and genetic factors contribute immensely toward metabolic reprogramming in glioblastoma. The hypoxic microenvironment within the tumor results in a shift toward glycolysis and shows angiogenic phenotype whereas tumor cells at the edge are highly invasive and heavily dependent on mitochondrial respiration for energy production (66, 67). Kucharzewska et al. showed that hypoxiadependent intercellular signaling in glioblastoma is mediated through exosomes (20). They showed that hypoxia was associated with secretion of exosomes enriched in hypoxia-regulated mRNAs and proteins such as matrix metalloproteinases, IL-8, PDGFs, caveolin 1, and lysyl oxidases which performed pivotal roles in cellular metabolism and cell proliferation. In addition, mutations in metabolic genes such as IDH1/2 were important in gliomagenesis and had prognostic importance (68). Khurshed et al. showed that energy metabolism differed between IDH1 wild-type and mutant glioma (69). IDH1 mutant glioma cells used oxidative TCA cycle for metabolism whereas IDH wild-type glioma was more dependent on glycolytic and lactate metabolism. Recently, EVs isolated specifically from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) contained information regarding the mutational profile of IDH1 in brain tumors (70). Interestingly, several metabolic enzymes were overexpressed in brain tumors, suggesting that the cancer cells derived energy and nutrients needed for proliferation by transferring these enzymes to surrounding cells through EVs under hypoxic conditions. In addition, mitochondrial DNA was also detected in MVs of glioblastoma cells but its function is not yet understood (36).

IMMUNE MODULATION

Tumor-derived MVs were found to be enriched in CD39 and CD73 in various types of cancers such as pancreatic, bladder, and breast cancers. CD39 and CD73 were also highly expressed in gliomas causing adenosinergic immunosuppression (71) but its status in glioma EVs is not known. Glioma-derived MVs were shown to activate myeloid-derived suppressor cells



(MDSCs) (72). MDSCs modulate immune activity by inhibiting T-cell responses (73). Moreover, glioblastoma-derived MVs were shown to contain IL-6 that has a role in phosphorylation of STAT-3 on MDSCs, causing immunosuppression. In addition, TGF- β in MVs caused similar effect in gliomas (27). van der Vos et al. showed that glioma-derived EVs transferred miR-451 and miR-21 to microglia/macrophages leading to downregulation of their targets (74), whereas uptake of GSC exosomes by monocytes caused failure to mount an immune response against glioma cells (75, 76). Furthermore, glioma cell-derived exosomes suppressed T-cell immune responses by acting on monocyte maturation rather than affecting their direct interaction with T cells (77). Moreover, glioma-derived MVs were restricted in their capacity to directly prime peripheral immunosuppression (78). Hence, the role of MVs in immune suppression needs further investigation.

ANGIOGENESIS

Proteins that are expressed under hypoxic conditions, such as HIF are responsible for angiogenesis in glioblastoma (79).

Glioblastoma-derived MVs contain VEGF, angiogenin, IL-8, PDGF, and miRNA-19b, and it has been shown that VEGF and angiogenin bind to the cognate receptor on the surface of ECs and promote angiogenesis (16, 20). Instead, miR-19b-mediated angiogenesis by repressing anti-angiogenic proteins such as thrombospondin-1 and connective tissue growth factor within tumors (80). Recently, semaphorin3A was found in the exosomes derived from blood or CSF which acted as a pro-permeability factor but with anti-angiogenic function (23). Interestingly, angiogenesis was also induced in glioma by exosomes enriched in lncRNA, POU3F3 (29). Svensson and Belting demonstrated a significantly increased content of tissue factor (TF) in glioblastoma cell-derived EVs under hypoxic conditions (81). In addition, EVs were also shown to transfer the oncogenic form of EGFR, EGFRvIII, between glioblastoma cells as well as to ECs, causing phenotypic modulation of recipient cells (18). Moreover, EGFRvIII-transformed cells became hypersensitive to TF/protease activated receptor (TF/PAR-2) signaling. This kind of receptor transfer may cause angiogenic signaling in recipient cells due to regulation of VEGF gene expression by EGFRvIII. This suggests that under hypoxic conditions,

even in the absence of external stimuli, tumor angiogenesis is supported through PAR-2 in ECs.

EVs IN TUMOR GROWTH, INVASION, AND THERAPY RESISTANCE

There are several tumor cell resistance mechanisms that affect therapy response in glioblastoma such as

- The cross talk of GSCs with the tumor microenvironment.
- Decreased drug uptake, increased drug efflux and intracellular drug inactivation.
- Repair of drug-induced damage or defects in DNA damage response pathway.

Earlier studies showed that chemoresistance of the CD133+ GSC population was due to upregulation of miR-9-2 and MDR1. The protein target of miR-9-2 was patch homolog1. It is expressed at low levels in temozolomide (TMZ)-resistant CD133+ cells in which Gli1 expression was higher (82). Also, miR-9 was shown to be high in TMZ-resistant cells, and MVs were strongly involved in functional delivery of anti-miR-9 from mesenchymal stem cells to glioblastoma cells, imparting TMZ sensitivity (83). Most drugs such as TMZ and cisplatin are alkylating agents and cause DNA damage by exerting their cytotoxic or mutagenic effects on cells. Epigenetic silencing of the DNA repair gene MGMT by promoter methylation compromised DNA repair and was associated with longer survival in glioblastoma patients treated with alkylating agents (84). In addition, other DNA repair genes such as ERCC1, ERCC2, MUTYH, and PNKP reduced efficacy of alkylating agents, imparting chemoresistance in glioma (85). Shao et al. showed that ERCC1 mRNA levels were upregulated in MVs derived from glioblastoma cells. Also, the mRNA levels of microvesicular MGMT, APNG, or both were elevated in resistant glioblastoma cell lines as compared to sensitive cell lines (30). Hence, increased patient-derived microvesicular MGMT and APNG mRNA levels are indicative of drug resistance or they predict alkylating drug responses in glioblastoma patients. While MGMT promoter methylation is associated with a better prognosis, mutation/amplification of EGFR is associated with poor prognosis (84, 86). EGFRvIII protein is transferred in glioblastoma cell-derived MVs, signifying its role as a prognostic biomarker (18).

Recent studies also highlight the ability of EVs in promoting glioma growth and invasion. TrkB, a member of the neurotrophin tyrosine kinase receptor-1 family was shown to be highly expressed in exosomes of glioblastoma patients and its level correlated with tumor progression and aggressiveness (19). Also, it was shown that differential neurotrophin receptor expression levels displayed by exosomes depended on the differentiation status of tumors and YKL-40 expression, thereby making exosomal TrkB a novel biomarker for glioblastoma. In addition, Timp1 as one of the NF- κ B target genes with a role in tumor growth was significantly upregulated in exosomes (31). Recently, a circulating protein, CLIC1 with growth stimulatory properties both *in vitro* and *in vivo* was identified in EVs of GSCs (22). Apart from these molecules enclosed in MVs, a tumor suppressor protein such as PTEN is also exported through

exosomes to recipient cells where it suppresses cell proliferation by reducing the abundance of pAkt (87). Inhibition of pAkt levels diminished tumor growth and invasion.

EVs IN GLIOMA IMMUNE THERAPY

Exosomes also serve as an attractive candidate for immune therapy of brain tumors as they retain their stability during purification as well as under *in vivo* conditions. Vaccination with dendritic cell-derived exosomes showed good recovery against malignancy with little adverse effects in phase I and II clinical trials (88, 89). Muller et al. found a negative correlation between mRNA expression levels of TIMP1, TGF- β , and IL-8 in exosomes and patient's survival after a vaccination trial in glioblastoma patients. Instead, exosomal mRNA levels of cytokines IL-8 and TGF- β , important in glioma growth and metastasis, showed a clear decrease after vaccination (31).

miRNAs IN GLIOBLASTOMA EVs

A large number of microRNAs are found to be encapsulated in EVs in serum of glioma patients. While their functions in relation to microenvironment in glioma are still being explored, they certainly pose great hope as circulating biomarkers for early diagnosis, tumor staging and prognostication. miR-21 and miR-221 were shown to be highly enriched in CSF-derived EVs and serum-derived exosomes of glioblastoma patients, respectively, and hence possessed the potential to serve as a relevant biomarker (32, 33). More importantly, the level of miR-221 increased with glioma grades in exosomes. In addition, levels of other miRNAs like miR-24, miR-103, and miR-125 along with miR-21 were also high in exosomes derived from CSF of glioblastoma patients (35). Although several other miRNAs were detected in glioma MVs (Table 1), their mechanism of action in target cell is largely unknown which limits their use in glioblastoma therapy.

FUTURE PROSPECTS

Exosomes play a critical role in mediating intercellular communication. Being nano-sized and lipid bilayered, they can easily cross the BBB under both physiological as well as abnormal conditions. Moreover, the enclosed biomolecules are stably retained in an active state and are functional after uptake by recipient cells. These characteristics make MVs and/or exosomes candidates for therapeutic applications. Exosomes derived from different cell types can be used to selectively deliver therapeutic nucleic acid analogs (tumor suppressor miRNAs/ncRNA mimics or oncogenic miRNA inhibitors) or conventional drugs for applications in tumor therapy (90). Moreover, the study of molecular cargo of EVs is helpful for the identification of novel biomarkers in disease diagnosis and monitoring (91). The tumor-derived MVs of glioblastoma show upregulation of several signature molecules, which offer rapid discrimination between tumor-derived EVs and normal cell-derived EVs. This simplifies the diagnosis and circumvents the use of invasive methods such as biopsies. Interestingly, the EVs derived from CSFs contain RNA signatures reflective of the underlying molecular genetic status of glioblastoma in terms of wt EGFR expression and EGFRvIII status.

The EVs being more enriched in CSF than in serum are easier to detect using non-invasive tools such as PCR or droplet digital PCR (25). With advances in such technologies, it is possible to identify as well as sub-classify glioblastoma tumors from inaccessible locations. Moreover, we need to overcome safety issues when applying MVs and exosomes as modes for drug delivery in cancer. The use of EVs in medicine is still in its infancy, and there are many potholes to cover, but their utility as diagnostic tools or as delivery vehicles in glioblastoma is an unmet challenge.

REFERENCES

- Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Burger PC, Jouvet A, et al. The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. *Acta Neuropathol* (2007) 114(2):97–109. doi:10.1007/s00401-007-0278-6
- Leeper HE, Caron AA, Decker PA, Jenkins RB, Lachance DH, Giannini C. IDH mutation, 1p19q codeletion and ATRX loss in WHO grade II gliomas. *Oncotarget* (2015) 6(30):30295–305. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.4497
- Verhaak RG, Hoadley KA, Purdom E, Wang V, Qi Y, Wilkerson MD, et al. Integrated genomic analysis identifies clinically relevant subtypes of glioblastoma characterized by abnormalities in PDGFRA, IDH1, EGFR, and NF1. *Cancer Cell* (2010) 17(1):98–110. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2009.12.020
- Murat A, Migliavacca E, Gorlia T, Lambiv WL, Shay T, Hamou MF, et al. Stem cell-related "self-renewal" signature and high epidermal growth factor receptor expression associated with resistance to concomitant chemoradiotherapy in glioblastoma. *J Clin Oncol* (2008) 26(18):3015–24. doi:10.1200/ JCO.2007.15.7164
- Lathia JD, Mack SC, Mulkearns-Hubert EE, Valentim CL, Rich JN. Cancer stem cells in glioblastoma. *Genes Dev* (2015) 29(12):1203–17. doi:10.1101/ gad.261982.115
- Singh SK, Hawkins C, Clarke ID, Squire JA, Bayani J, Hide T, et al. Identification of human brain tumour initiating cells. *Nature* (2004) 432(7015):396–401. doi:10.1038/nature03128
- Shiras A, Chettiar ST, Shepal V, Rajendran G, Prasad GR, Shastry P. Spontaneous transformation of human adult nontumorigenic stem cells to cancer stem cells is driven by genomic instability in a human model of glioblastoma. *Stem Cells* (2007) 25(6):1478–89. doi:10.1634/stemcells.2006-0585
- Bhat KP, Balasubramaniyan V, Vaillant B, Ezhilarasan R, Hummelink K, Hollingsworth F, et al. Mesenchymal differentiation mediated by NF-kappaB promotes radiation resistance in glioblastoma. *Cancer Cell* (2013) 24(3): 331–46. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2013.08.001
- Hambardzumyan D, Bergers G. Glioblastoma: defining tumor niches. *Trends* Cancer (2015) 1(4):252–65. doi:10.1016/j.trecan.2015.10.009
- Dunn IF, Heese O, Black PM. Growth factors in glioma angiogenesis: FGFs, PDGF, EGF, and TGFs. J Neurooncol (2000) 50(1–2):121–37.
- Ricci-Vitiani L, Pallini R, Biffoni M, Todaro M, Invernici G, Cenci T, et al. Tumour vascularization via endothelial differentiation of glioblastoma stemlike cells. *Nature* (2010) 468(7325):824–8. doi:10.1038/nature09557
- Wang R, Chadalavada K, Wilshire J, Kowalik U, Hovinga KE, Geber A, et al. Glioblastoma stem-like cells give rise to tumour endothelium. *Nature* (2010) 468(7325):829–33. doi:10.1038/nature09624
- Liu Y, Ye F, Yamada K, Tso JL, Zhang Y, Nguyen DH, et al. Autocrine endothelin-3/endothelin receptor B signaling maintains cellular and molecular properties of glioblastoma stem cells. *Mol Cancer Res* (2011) 9(12):1668–85. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-10-0563
- Hoelzinger DB, Demuth T, Berens ME. Autocrine factors that sustain glioma invasion and paracrine biology in the brain microenvironment. J Natl Cancer Inst (2007) 99(21):1583–93. doi:10.1093/jnci/djm187
- Oka N, Kawasaki T, Mizutani K, Sugiyama H, Akiguchi I. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha may be a marker for vasculitic neuropathy. *Neuropathology* (2007) 27(6):509–15. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1789.2007.00817.x

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AS conceptualized the review and wrote it. AM and DK prepared the draft and figures; contributed equally to this review. SP helped in preparation of the draft.

FUNDING

This review was supported through funding support from Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology, Govt of India, New Delhi, India; Award number: BT/ PR 10852 and intra-mural support from National Centre for Cell Science(NCCS); Pune, India.

- Skog J, Wurdinger T, van Rijn S, Meijer DH, Gainche L, Sena-Esteves M, et al. Glioblastoma microvesicles transport RNA and proteins that promote tumour growth and provide diagnostic biomarkers. *Nat Cell Biol* (2008) 10(12):1470–6. doi:10.1038/ncb1800
- Camussi G, Deregibus MC, Bruno S, Grange C, Fonsato V, Tetta C. Exosome/ microvesicle-mediated epigenetic reprogramming of cells. *Am J Cancer Res* (2011) 1(1):98–110.
- Al-Nedawi K, Meehan B, Micallef J, Lhotak V, May L, Guha A, et al. Intercellular transfer of the oncogenic receptor EGFRvIII by microvesicles derived from tumour cells. *Nat Cell Biol* (2008) 10(5):619–24. doi:10.1038/ ncb1725
- Pinet S, Bessette B, Vedrenne N, Lacroix A, Richard L, Jauberteau MO, et al. TrkB-containing exosomes promote the transfer of glioblastoma aggressiveness to YKL-40-inactivated glioblastoma cells. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7:50349–64. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.10387
- Kucharzewska P, Christianson HC, Welch JE, Svensson KJ, Fredlund E, Ringner M, et al. Exosomes reflect the hypoxic status of glioma cells and mediate hypoxia-dependent activation of vascular cells during tumor development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2013) 110(18):7312–7. doi:10.1073/ pnas.1220998110
- Bronisz A, Wang Y, Nowicki MO, Peruzzi P, Ansari KI, Ogawa D, et al. Extracellular vesicles modulate the glioblastoma microenvironment via a tumor suppression signaling network directed by miR-1. *Cancer Res* (2014) 74(3):738–50. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-2650
- 22. Setti M, Osti D, Richichi C, Ortensi B, Del Bene M, Fornasari L, et al. Extracellular vesicle-mediated transfer of CLIC1 protein is a novel mechanism for the regulation of glioblastoma growth. *Oncotarget* (2015) 6(31):31413–27. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.5105
- Treps L, Edmond S, Harford-Wright E, Galan-Moya EM, Schmitt A, Azzi S, et al. Extracellular vesicle-transported Semaphorin3A promotes vascular permeability in glioblastoma. *Oncogene* (2016) 35(20):2615–23. doi:10.1038/onc.2015.317
- Shao H, Chung J, Balaj L, Charest A, Bigner DD, Carter BS, et al. Protein typing of circulating microvesicles allows real-time monitoring of glioblastoma therapy. *Nat Med* (2012) 18(12):1835–40. doi:10.1038/nm.2994
- 25. Chen WW, Balaj L, Liau LM, Samuels ML, Kotsopoulos SK, Maguire CA, et al. BEAMing and droplet digital PCR analysis of mutant IDH1 mRNA in glioma patient serum and cerebrospinal fluid extracellular vesicles. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* (2013) 2:e109. doi:10.1038/mtna.2013.28
- 26. Li CC, Eaton SA, Young PE, Lee M, Shuttleworth R, Humphreys DT, et al. Glioma microvesicles carry selectively packaged coding and non-coding RNAs which alter gene expression in recipient cells. *RNA Biol* (2013) 10(8):1333–44. doi:10.4161/rna.25281
- Graner MW, Alzate O, Dechkovskaia AM, Keene JD, Sampson JH, Mitchell DA, et al. Proteomic and immunologic analyses of brain tumor exosomes. *FASEB J* (2009) 23(5):1541–57. doi:10.1096/fj.08-122184
- Svensson KJ, Kucharzewska P, Christianson HC, Skold S, Lofstedt T, Johansson MC, et al. Hypoxia triggers a proangiogenic pathway involving cancer cell microvesicles and PAR-2-mediated heparin-binding EGF signaling in endothelial cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2011) 108(32):13147–52. doi:10.1073/pnas.1104261108

- Lang HL, Hu GW, Chen Y, Liu Y, Tu W, Lu YM, et al. Glioma cells promote angiogenesis through the release of exosomes containing long non-coding RNA POU3F3. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* (2017) 21(5):959–72.
- Shao H, Chung J, Lee K, Balaj L, Min C, Carter BS, et al. Chip-based analysis of exosomal mRNA mediating drug resistance in glioblastoma. *Nat Commun* (2015) 6:6999. doi:10.1038/ncomms7999
- Muller L, Muller-Haegele S, Mitsuhashi M, Gooding W, Okada H, Whiteside TL. Exosomes isolated from plasma of glioma patients enrolled in a vaccination trial reflect antitumor immune activity and might predict survival. *Oncoimmunology* (2015) 4(6):e1008347. doi:10.1080/2162402X.2015.1008347
- Akers JC, Ramakrishnan V, Kim R, Skog J, Nakano I, Pingle S, et al. MiR-21 in the extracellular vesicles (EVs) of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF): a platform for glioblastoma biomarker development. *PLoS One* (2013) 8(10):e78115. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078115
- 33. Yang JK, Yang JP, Tong J, Jing SY, Fan B, Wang F, et al. Exosomal miR-221 targets DNM3 to induce tumor progression and temozolomide resistance in glioma. J Neurooncol (2017) 131(2):255–65. doi:10.1007/s11060-016-2308-5
- 34. Manterola L, Guruceaga E, Gallego Perez-Larraya J, Gonzalez-Huarriz M, Jauregui P, Tejada S, et al. A small noncoding RNA signature found in exosomes of GBM patient serum as a diagnostic tool. *Neuro Oncol* (2014) 16(4):520–7. doi:10.1093/neuonc/not218
- Akers JC, Ramakrishnan V, Kim R, Phillips S, Kaimal V, Mao Y, et al. miRNA contents of cerebrospinal fluid extracellular vesicles in glioblastoma patients. *J Neurooncol* (2015) 123(2):205–16. doi:10.1007/s11060-015-1784-3
- Guescini M, Genedani S, Stocchi V, Agnati LF. Astrocytes and glioblastoma cells release exosomes carrying mtDNA. J Neural Transm (2010) 117(1):1–4. doi:10.1007/s00702-009-0288-8
- 37. Garcia-Romero N, Carrion-Navarro J, Esteban-Rubio S, Lazaro-Ibanez E, Peris-Celda M, Alonso MM, et al. DNA sequences within glioma-derived extracellular vesicles can cross the intact blood-brain barrier and be detected in peripheral blood of patients. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8(1):1416–28. doi:10.18632/ oncotarget.13635
- Raposo G, Stoorvogel W. Extracellular vesicles: exosomes, microvesicles, and friends. J Cell Biol (2013) 200(4):373–83. doi:10.1083/jcb.201211138
- Booth AM, Fang Y, Fallon JK, Yang JM, Hildreth JE, Gould SJ. Exosomes and HIV Gag bud from endosome-like domains of the T cell plasma membrane. *J Cell Biol* (2006) 172(6):923–35. doi:10.1083/jcb.200508014
- Raiborg C, Stenmark H. The ESCRT machinery in endosomal sorting of ubiquitylated membrane proteins. *Nature* (2009) 458(7237):445–52. doi:10.1038/ nature07961
- Trajkovic K, Hsu C, Chiantia S, Rajendran L, Wenzel D, Wieland F, et al. Ceramide triggers budding of exosome vesicles into multivesicular endosomes. *Science* (2008) 319(5867):1244–7. doi:10.1126/science.1153124
- Ghossoub R, Lembo F, Rubio A, Gaillard CB, Bouchet J, Vitale N, et al. Syntenin-ALIX exosome biogenesis and budding into multivesicular bodies are controlled by ARF6 and PLD2. *Nat Commun* (2014) 5:3477. doi:10.1038/ ncomms4477
- Baietti MF, Zhang Z, Mortier E, Melchior A, Degeest G, Geeraerts A, et al. Syndecan-syntenin-ALIX regulates the biogenesis of exosomes. *Nat Cell Biol* (2012) 14(7):677–85. doi:10.1038/ncb2502
- 44. Villarroya-Beltri C, Gutierrez-Vazquez C, Sanchez-Cabo F, Perez-Hernandez D, Vazquez J, Martin-Cofreces N, et al. Sumoylated hnRNPA2B1 controls the sorting of miRNAs into exosomes through binding to specific motifs. *Nat Commun* (2013) 4:2980. doi:10.1038/ncomms3980
- Kunadt M, Eckermann K, Stuendl A, Gong J, Russo B, Strauss K, et al. Extracellular vesicle sorting of alpha-synuclein is regulated by sumoylation. *Acta Neuropathol* (2015) 129(5):695–713. doi:10.1007/s00401-015-1408-1
- Colombo M, Raposo G, Thery C. Biogenesis, secretion, and intercellular interactions of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* (2014) 30:255–89. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101512-122326
- Mateescu B, Kowal EJ, van Balkom BW, Bartel S, Bhattacharyya SN, Buzas EI, et al. Obstacles and opportunities in the functional analysis of extracellular vesicle RNA – an ISEV position paper. *J Extracell Vesicles* (2017) 6(1):1286095. doi:10.1080/20013078.2017.1286095
- Hsu C, Morohashi Y, Yoshimura S, Manrique-Hoyos N, Jung S, Lauterbach MA, et al. Regulation of exosome secretion by Rab35 and its GTPase-activating proteins TBC1D10A-C. *J Cell Biol* (2010) 189(2):223–32. doi:10.1083/ jcb.200911018

- Ekstrom EJ, Bergenfelz C, von Bulow V, Serifler F, Carlemalm E, Jonsson G, et al. WNT5A induces release of exosomes containing pro-angiogenic and immunosuppressive factors from malignant melanoma cells. *Mol Cancer* (2014) 13:88. doi:10.1186/1476-4598-13-88
- Lespagnol A, Duflaut D, Beekman C, Blanc L, Fiucci G, Marine JC, et al. Exosome secretion, including the DNA damage-induced p53-dependent secretory pathway, is severely compromised in TSAP6/Steap3-null mice. *Cell Death Differ* (2008) 15(11):1723–33. doi:10.1038/cdd.2008.104
- Villarroya-Beltri C, Baixauli F, Mittelbrunn M, Fernandez-Delgado I, Torralba D, Moreno-Gonzalo O, et al. ISGylation controls exosome secretion by promoting lysosomal degradation of MVB proteins. *Nat Commun* (2016) 7:13588. doi:10.1038/ncomms13588
- Muralidharan-Chari V, Clancy J, Plou C, Romao M, Chavrier P, Raposo G, et al. ARF6-regulated shedding of tumor cell-derived plasma membrane microvesicles. *Curr Biol* (2009) 19(22):1875–85. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.09.059
- Keller S, Sanderson MP, Stoeck A, Altevogt P. Exosomes: from biogenesis and secretion to biological function. *Immunol Lett* (2006) 107(2):102–8. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2006.09.005
- D'Asti E, Garnier D, Lee TH, Montermini L, Meehan B, Rak J. Oncogenic extracellular vesicles in brain tumor progression. *Front Physiol* (2012) 3:294. doi:10.3389/fphys.2012.00294
- Quesenberry PJ, Aliotta J, Deregibus MC, Camussi G. Role of extracellular RNA-carrying vesicles in cell differentiation and reprogramming. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2015) 6:153. doi:10.1186/s13287-015-0150-x
- Lau J, Ilkhanizadeh S, Wang S, Miroshnikova YA, Salvatierra NA, Wong RA, et al. STAT3 blockade inhibits radiation-induced malignant progression in glioma. *Cancer Res* (2015) 75(20):4302–11. doi:10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-14-3331
- Kohsaka S, Wang L, Yachi K, Mahabir R, Narita T, Itoh T, et al. STAT3 inhibition overcomes temozolomide resistance in glioblastoma by downregulating MGMT expression. *Mol Cancer Ther* (2012) 11(6):1289–99. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-11-0801
- Dubois LG, Campanati L, Righy C, D'Andrea-Meira I, Spohr TC, Porto-Carreiro I, et al. Gliomas and the vascular fragility of the blood brain barrier. *Front Cell Neurosci* (2014) 8:418. doi:10.3389/fncel.2014.00418
- Nakano I, Garnier D, Minata M, Rak J. Extracellular vesicles in the biology of brain tumour stem cells – implications for inter-cellular communication, therapy and biomarker development. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* (2015) 40:17–26. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.02.011
- Kowal J, Arras G, Colombo M, Jouve M, Morath JP, Primdal-Bengtson B, et al. Proteomic comparison defines novel markers to characterize heterogeneous populations of extracellular vesicle subtypes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2016) 113(8):E968–77. doi:10.1073/pnas.1521230113
- 61. Warburg O. On the origin of cancer cells. *Science* (1956) 123(3191):309–14. doi:10.1126/science.123.3191.309
- Garnier D, Magnus N, Meehan B, Kislinger T, Rak J. Qualitative changes in the proteome of extracellular vesicles accompanying cancer cell transition to mesenchymal state. *Exp Cell Res* (2013) 319(17):2747–57. doi:10.1016/j. yexcr.2013.08.003
- Tauro BJ, Greening DW, Mathias RA, Mathivanan S, Ji H, Simpson RJ. Two distinct populations of exosomes are released from LIM1863 colon carcinoma cell-derived organoids. *Mol Cell Proteomics* (2013) 12(3):587–98. doi:10.1074/ mcp.M112.021303
- Warburg O. The metabolism of carcinoma cells. J Cancer Res (1925) 9(1):148–63. doi:10.1158/jcr.1925.148
- Lin H, Patel S, Affleck VS, Wilson I, Turnbull DM, Joshi AR, et al. Fatty acid oxidation is required for the respiration and proliferation of malignant glioma cells. *Neuro Oncol* (2017) 19(1):43–54. doi:10.1093/neuonc/now128
- Brat DJ, Mapstone TB. Malignant glioma physiology: cellular response to hypoxia and its role in tumor progression. *Ann Intern Med* (2003) 138(8): 659–68. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-138-8-200304150-00014
- Talasila KM, Rosland GV, Hagland HR, Eskilsson E, Flones IH, Fritah S, et al. The angiogenic switch leads to a metabolic shift in human glioblastoma. *Neuro Oncol* (2017) 19(3):383–93. doi:10.1093/neuonc/now175
- Cohen AL, Holmen SL, Colman H. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in gliomas. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep (2013) 13(5):345. doi:10.1007/s11910-013-0345-4
- 69. Khurshed M, Molenaar RJ, Lenting K, Leenders WP, van Noorden CJF. In silico gene expression analysis reveals glycolysis and acetate anaplerosis in

IDH1 wild-type glioma and lactate and glutamate anaplerosis in IDH1mutated glioma. *Oncotarget* (2017). doi:10.18632/oncotarget.17106

- Agnihotri S, Zadeh G. Metabolic reprogramming in glioblastoma: the influence of cancer metabolism on epigenetics and unanswered questions. *Neuro Oncol* (2016) 18(2):160–72. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nov125
- Xu S, Shao QQ, Sun JT, Yang N, Xie Q, Wang DH, et al. Synergy between the ectoenzymes CD39 and CD73 contributes to adenosinergic immunosuppression in human malignant gliomas. *Neuro Oncol* (2013) 15(9):1160–72. doi:10.1093/neuonc/not067
- Chalmin F, Ladoire S, Mignot G, Vincent J, Bruchard M, Remy-Martin JP, et al. Membrane-associated Hsp72 from tumor-derived exosomes mediates STAT3-dependent immunosuppressive function of mouse and human myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *J Clin Invest* (2010) 120(2):457–71. doi:10.1172/JCI40483
- Nagaraj S, Gabrilovich DI. Regulation of suppressive function of myeloid-derived suppressor cells by CD4+ T cells. *Semin Cancer Biol* (2012) 22(4):282–8. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2012.01.010
- 74. van der Vos KE, Abels ER, Zhang X, Lai C, Carrizosa E, Oakley D, et al. Directly visualized glioblastoma-derived extracellular vesicles transfer RNA to microglia/macrophages in the brain. *Neuro Oncol* (2016) 18(1):58–69. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nov244
- Gabrusiewicz K, Yuuri H, Jun W, Maiti S, David H, Xu L, et al. TMIC-09 glioblastoma stem cell-derived exsomes promote M2 polarization of human monocytes. *Neuro Oncol* (2015) 17(Suppl 5):v216. doi:10.1093/neuonc/ nov236.09
- de Vrij J, Maas SL, Kwappenberg KM, Schnoor R, Kleijn A, Dekker L, et al. Glioblastoma-derived extracellular vesicles modify the phenotype of monocytic cells. *Int J Cancer* (2015) 137(7):1630–42. doi:10.1002/ijc.29521
- Domenis R, Cesselli D, Toffoletto B, Bourkoula E, Caponnetto F, Manini I, et al. Systemic T cells immunosuppression of glioma stem cell-derived exosomes is mediated by monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *PLoS One* (2017) 12(1):e0169932. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169932
- Iorgulescu JB, Ivan ME, Safaee M, Parsa AT. The limited capacity of malignant glioma-derived exosomes to suppress peripheral immune effectors. *J Neuroimmunol* (2016) 290:103–8. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2015.11.025
- Kaur B, Khwaja FW, Severson EA, Matheny SL, Brat DJ, Van Meir EG. Hypoxia and the hypoxia-inducible-factor pathway in glioma growth and angiogenesis. *Neuro Oncol* (2005) 7(2):134–53. doi:10.1215/S1152851704001115
- Olive V, Jiang I, He L. mir-17-92, a cluster of miRNAs in the midst of the cancer network. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* (2010) 42(8):1348–54. doi:10.1016/j. biocel.2010.03.004
- Svensson KJ, Belting M. Role of extracellular membrane vesicles in intercellular communication of the tumour microenvironment. *Biochem Soc Trans* (2013) 41(1):273–6. doi:10.1042/BST20120248

- Munoz JL, Rodriguez-Cruz V, Rameshwar P. High expression of miR-9 in CD133+ glioblastoma cells in chemoresistance to temozolomide. *J Cancer Stem Cell Res* (2015) 3:e1003. doi:10.14343/JCSCR.2015.3e1003
- Munoz JL, Bliss SA, Greco SJ, Ramkissoon SH, Ligon KL, Rameshwar P. Delivery of functional anti-miR-9 by mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes to glioblastoma multiforme cells conferred chemosensitivity. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* (2013) 2:e126. doi:10.1038/mtna.2013.60
- Hegi ME, Diserens AC, Gorlia T, Hamou MF, de Tribolet N, Weller M, et al. MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. N Engl J Med (2005) 352(10):997–1003. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa043331
- Boccard SG, Marand SV, Geraci S, Pycroft L, Berger FR, Pelletier LA. Inhibition of DNA-repair genes Ercc1 and Mgmt enhances temozolomide efficacy in gliomas treatment: a pre-clinical study. *Oncotarget* (2015) 6(30):29456–68. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.4909
- Smith JS, Tachibana I, Passe SM, Huntley BK, Borell TJ, Iturria N, et al. PTEN mutation, EGFR amplification, and outcome in patients with anaplastic astrocytoma and glioblastoma multiforme. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2001) 93(16):1246–56. doi:10.1093/jnci/93.16.1246
- Putz U, Howitt J, Doan A, Goh CP, Low LH, Silke J, et al. The tumor suppressor PTEN is exported in exosomes and has phosphatase activity in recipient cells. *Sci Signal* (2012) 5(243):ra70. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2003084
- Escudier B, Dorval T, Chaput N, Andre F, Caby MP, Novault S, et al. Vaccination of metastatic melanoma patients with autologous dendritic cell (DC) derived-exosomes: results of thefirst phase I clinical trial. *J Transl Med* (2005) 3(1):10. doi:10.1186/1479-5876-3-10
- Morse MA, Garst J, Osada T, Khan S, Hobeika A, Clay TM, et al. A phase I study of dexosome immunotherapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. J Transl Med (2005) 3(1):9. doi:10.1186/1479-5876-3-9
- Ela S, Mager I, Breakefield XO, Wood MJ. Extracellular vesicles: biology and emerging therapeutic opportunities. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* (2013) 12(5):347–57. doi:10.1038/nrd3978
- Sharma A, Khatun Z, Shiras A. Tumor exosomes: cellular postmen of cancer diagnosis and personalized therapy. *Nanomedicine* (2016) 11(4):421–37. doi:10.2217/nnm.15.210

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Mondal, Kumari Singh, Panda and Shiras. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.