



Prospective Study of Isolated Recurrent Tumor Re-irradiation With Carbon-Ion Beams

Katsuyuki Shirai^{1,2*}, Tatsuya Ohno², Jun-ichi Saitoh^{2†}, Masahiko Okamoto², Hiroyuki Katoh^{2†}, Kazutoshi Murata², Hidemasa Kawamura², Atsushi Musha², Takanori Abe², Tatsuji Mizukami², Keiko Akahane¹ and Takashi Nakano²

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Marco Durante, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Italy

Reviewed by:

Renzo Corvò, University of Genoa, Italy Corrado Spatola, Università degli Studi di Catania, Italy

> *Correspondence: Katsuyuki Shirai kshirai@jichi.ac.jp

[†]Present Address:

Jun-ichi Saitoh, Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Toyama, Faculty of Medicine, Toyama, Japan Hiroyuki Katoh, Department of Radiation Oncology, Kanagawa Cancer Center, Asahi-ku, Japan

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Radiation Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 01 January 2019 Accepted: 04 March 2019 Published: 27 March 2019

Citation:

Shirai K, Ohno T, Saitoh J, Okamoto M, Katoh H, Murata K, Kawamura H, Musha A, Abe T, Mizukami T, Akahane K and Nakano T (2019) Prospective Study of Isolated Recurrent Tumor Re-irradiation With Carbon-Ion Beams. Front. Oncol. 9:181. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00181 ¹ Department of Radiology, Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Omiya-ku, Japan, ² Gunma University Heavy Ion Medical Center, Maebashi, Japan

Purpose: To perform a prospective study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of isolated recurrent tumor re-irradiation with carbon-ion radiotherapy (RT).

Methods and Materials: The inclusion criteria were clinically proven recurrent tumors, measurable by computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging, patients ≥ 16 years old, performance status scores between 0 and 2, isolated tumor at a previously irradiated site, and a life expectancy > 6 months. The exclusion criteria were tumor invasion into the gastrointestinal tract or a major blood vessel, uncontrolled infection, early recurrence (<3 months), and severe concomitant diseases. The primary end-point was the local control rate, the secondary end-points including the overall survival rate, and adverse events.

Results: Between December 2013 and March 2016, 22 patients were enrolled in this prospective study. All patients were re-irradiated with carbon-ion RT with radical intent. Five patients had rectal cancer, 4 had sarcoma, 4 had lung cancer, 3 had hepatic cell carcinoma, and 6 had other tumors. The median follow-up time was 26 months. Eight patients developed local recurrence, and the 1- and 2-year local control rates were 71 and 60%, respectively. Eight patients died of their cancers and 2 died of other diseases. The 1- and 2-year overall survival rates were 76 and 67%, respectively. There were no grade 2 or higher acute adverse events and 4 patients (18%) developed grade 3 late adverse events. The group with the longer interval (>16 months) between the first RT and re-irradiation had significantly better outcomes than the shorter interval group (≤16 months).

Conclusions: Re-irradiation, using carbon-ion RT with radical intent, had favorable local control and overall survival rates without severe toxicities for selected patients. Re-irradiation has the potential to improve clinical outcomes for isolated, local, recurrent tumors; further investigations are required to confirm the therapeutic efficacy.

Keywords: carbon-ion radiotherapy, re-irradiation, prospective study, Bragg peak, isolated recurrent tumor

1

INTRODUCTION

Radiotherapy (RT) is widely performed for several types of tumors and is considered to be a curative and non-invasive treatment. Although the recent development of high precision RT has improved tumor control, local recurrence frequently occurs in advanced cases. Local tumor recurrence after RT is considered a refractory disease, and curative treatment options are limited. Salvage surgery is the mainstay for a curative treatment approach for these patients; however, comorbidity (e.g., bleeding and ruptured sutures) levels are high because the radiated tissues are fragile (1, 2). Repeating RT at the same site, i.e., re-irradiation, is difficult, because locally recurring tumors are more radio-resistant than original tumors and re-irradiation exceeds the tolerable dose of radiation for the surrounding normal tissue. Therefore, re-irradiation with curative intent is challenging. In fact, to date, previous re-irradiation was mostly performed as a palliative treatment (3, 4).

Carbon-ions have good dose-localizing properties, because of the Bragg peak, and the dose to the surrounding normal tissue can be minimized. Moreover, a carbon-ion beam has high biological effectiveness, resulting in favorable clinical outcomes even for radio-resistant tumors (5). Recently, carbon-ion RT has been reported to show efficacy for several radio-resistant tumors, such as bone and soft tissue sarcoma (6). Therefore, carbonion RT is expected to overcome local tumor recurrence after RT without severe, adverse events. Here, we performed a prospective study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of re-irradiation by using carbon-ion RT for isolated recurrent tumors after RT.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study Design

All patients with isolated recurrent tumors after the first RT were prospectively treated with carbon-ion RT at the Gunma University Heavy Ion Medical Center (UMIN000014513). The inclusion criteria were as follows: a clinically proven recurrent tumor, tumor measurable by computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), age \geq 16 years, performance status scores between 0 and 2, an isolated tumor occurring at a previously irradiated site, and a life expectancy of more than 6 months. The exclusion criteria were as follows: tumor invasion into the gastrointestinal tract or a major blood vessel, uncontrolled infection, early (<3 months after the first RT) tumor recurrence, and severe concomitant diseases.

The pretreatment evaluations included a physical examination, CT, MRI, and 18-fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET). Patients were seen every month for the first 6 months and every 3 months thereafter. MRI and/or CT assessments were performed alternately every 3 months, and FDG-PET was carried out annually. The primary end-point was the local control rate, and the secondary end-points included the overall survival rate and adverse events. Acute and late adverse events were evaluated according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 4.0. This study was reviewed and approved by the relevant institutional review board (No. 1108).

Carbon-Ion Radiotherapy

All patients provided written informed consent before undergoing carbon-ion RT. The details of RT techniques and treatment planning have been reported previously (7, 8). The dose of carbon-ion RT was expressed as "Gy (relative biological effectiveness [RBE])." RBE was set as 3.0 from previous experimental data (5). Re-irradiation was performed with radical intent, and the policy of dose-fractionation and dose-constraint was the same as that of the first carbon-ion RT. For example, hepatic cell carcinoma patients received C-ion RT with 52.8 Gy (RBE) to 60.0 Gy (RBE) in four fractions for usual cases and 60.0 Gy (RBE) in 12 fractions for close-togastrointestinal tract cases (9, 10). There were no patients treated with concomitant therapy including chemotherapy, targeting therapy, or immunotherapy.

Statistical Analyses

The local control and overall survival rates were estimated by the Kaplan–Meier method. To compare subgroups, univariate analyses were performed using the log-rank test. Analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 25.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and a P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient and Tumor Characteristics

Between December 2013 and March 2016, 22 patients were enrolled in this prospective study (**Supplemental Table 1**). All patients were re-irradiated with radical intent. The median patient age at re-irradiation was 67 years (range: 17–89), and there were 13 male patients (59%). There were 14 patients with recurrence of primary tumors and 8 with recurrence in the lymph nodes. After the first RT, centrally and marginally located recurrence was observed in 20 and 3 patients, respectively. As a first treatment, photon therapy was performed in 9 patients and carbon-ion RT was performed in 13. There were 5 patients with rectal cancer, 4 with lung cancer, 4 with sarcoma, 3 with hepatic cell carcinoma, and 6 with other tumors. The median interval between the first RT and re-irradiation with carbon-ion RT was 16 months. A representative case of re-irradiation for lung cancer is shown in **Figure 1**.

Clinical Outcomes

The median follow-up time after re-irradiation was 26 months (range: 3–41 months). The details of the first RT and re-irradiation with carbon-ion RT are shown in **Supplemental Table 2**. During the follow-up, 8 patients developed local recurrence, and the 1- and 2-year local control rates were 71 and 60%, respectively (**Figure 2**). The interval between the first RT and re-irradiation was a significant factor for local control (**Figure 3**, P = 0.03). The other patient and tumor characteristics were not associated with local control (**Table 1**).

Eight patients died of their cancers and 2 died of other diseases. The 1- and 2-year overall survival rates were 76 and 67%,



respectively (**Figure 2**). The interval between the first RT and reirradiation was a significant factor for overall survival (**Figure 4**, P < 0.01). The other patient and tumor characteristics were not associated with overall survival (**Table 1**).

Adverse Events

Acute and late adverse events are shown in **Supplemental Table 3**. There were no grade 2 or higher acute adverse events. There were 4 patients (18%) with grade



FIGURE 2 | Local control and overall survival curves for all patients treated with re-irradiation by means of carbon-ion radiotherapy. The 1- and 2-year local control rates were 71 and 60%, respectively (solid line). The 1- and 2-year overall survival rates were 76 and 67%, respectively (dotted line).



3 late adverse events. Three patients had grade 3 urinary tract obstructions due to ureteral strictures, which were possibly associated with the effect of re-irradiation to pelvic tumors. All these patients were treated with ureteral stent insertions, and their symptoms immediately improved. One patient with sarcoma at the shoulder developed grade 3 peripheral motor neuropathy of the upper limb and a grade 3 skin ulcer, requiring plastic surgery. Although he was treated several times with photon therapy, carbon-ion RT, and surgery for the repeated local recurrence, this re-irradiation was considered one of the factors in the development of adverse events. There were no grade 4 or higher late adverse events.

DISCUSSION

shorter interval group of 36% (P < 0.01).

We here report the clinical outcomes of a prospective study of re-irradiation using carbon-ion RT in 22 patients with isolated tumor recurrence after the first RT. The 2-year local control and overall survival rates were 60 and 67%, respectively. There were no grade 2 or higher acute adverse events. Although 4 patients (18%) developed grade 3 late adverse events, there were no grade 4 or higher events.

interval group was 100%, which was significantly better than that of the

Recently, re-irradiation by means of stereotactic body radiotherapy and proton therapy have been reported for head and neck tumors, lung cancer, and abdominal tumors (**Table 2**). In

TABLE 1 | Univariate analysis for local control and overall survival.

| Characteristics | | n = 22 | Local c | ontrol | Overall survival | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|--|
| | | | At 2-year follow-up | P-value | At 2-year follow-up | P-value | |
| Age (years) | ≥ 67 | 11 | 64% | | 64% | | |
| | < 67 | 11 | 53% | 0.88 | 70% | 0.67 | |
| Sex | Male | 13 | 48% | | 62% | | |
| | Female | 9 | 75% | 0.30 | 75% | 0.93 | |
| Recurrent site | Primary tumor | 14 | 52% | | 64% | | |
| | Lymph node | 8 | 71% | 0.57 | 71% | 0.84 | |
| First radiotherapy | Photon | 9 | 50% | | 88% | | |
| | Carbon-ion | 13 | 68% | 0.65 | 54% | 0.35 | |
| Interval between the first radiotherapy and re-irradiation | > 16 months | 11 | 80% | | 100% | | |
| | \leq 16 months | 11 | 42% | 0.03 | 36% | < 0.01 | |
| Disease | Rectal cancer | 5 | 50% | | 100% | | |
| | Sarcoma | 4 | 50% | | 25% | | |
| | Lung cancer | 4 | 50% | | 75% | | |
| | Hepatic cell carcinoma | 3 | 100% | | 67% | | |
| | Other tumors | 6 | 67% | 0.76 | 67% | 0.67 | |
| | | | | | | | |



| Reference | Disease type | Treatment | Study design | Patient number | Local control | Overall survival | Late grade \ge 3 | Late grade 5 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Jensen et al. (11) | Head and neck | Carbon-ion | Retrospective | 52 | 47% (2 y) | 63% (2 y) | 15% | 0% |
| McDonald et al. (12) | Head and neck | Proton | Retrospective | 61 | 80% (2 y) | 33% (2 y) | 15% | 5% |
| Romesser et al. (13) | Head and neck | Proton | Multi-institutional retrospective | 92 | 55% (2 y) | 43% (2 y) | 13% | 2% |
| Spencer et al. (14) | Head and neck | Photon | Prospective | 81 | - | 16% (2 y) | - | 7% |
| Yamazaki et al. (15) | Head and neck | Photon | Multi-institutional retrospective | 107 | 64% (2 y) | 35% (2 y) | 21% | 8% |
| Karube et al. (16) | Lung ca. | Carbon-ion | Retrospective | 29 | 67% (2 y) | 69% (2 y) | 0% | 0% |
| McAvoy et al. (17) | Lung ca. | Proton | Retrospective | 33 | 24% (2 y) | 33% (2 y) | 30% | 0% |
| Chao et al. (18) | Lung ca. | Proton | Multi-institutional prospective | 57 | - | 43% (2 y) | 12% | 10% |
| Ebara et al. (19) | Lung ca. | Photon | Retrospective | 44 | - | 18% (2 y) | 9% | 0% |
| Liu et al. (20) | Lung ca. | Photon | Retrospective | 77 | - | 74% (2 y) | 21% | 1% |
| Shiba et al. (21) | Gynecologic ca. | Carbon-ion | Retrospective | 16 | 94% (3 y) | 74% (З у) | 0% | 0% |
| Fernandes et al. (22) | Esophageal ca. | Proton | Prospective | 14 | - | 14 months (MST) | 29% | 14% (acute and late) |
| Boimel et al. (23) | Pancreatic ca. | Proton | Retrospective | 15 | 72% (1 y) | 30% (2 y) | 0% | 0% |
| Huang et al. (24) | Hepatic cell carcinoma | Photon | Retrospective | 36 | - | - | 36% | 25% |
| Abusaris et al. (25) | Pelvic tumor | Photon | Retrospective | 27 | 53% (2 y) | 37% (2 у) | 0% | 0% |
| This study | Several diseases | Carbon-ion | Prospective | 22 | 60% (2 y) | 67% (2 y) | 18% | 0% |

TABLE 2 Previous studies of re-irradiation with carbon-ion, proton, and photon beams for various tumors.

Ca., cancer; y, year; MST, median survival time.

head and neck tumors, the 2-year overall survival rates were 16-43% for photon and proton therapy (12-15). Grade 3 or higher late adverse events were observed in 13-21% of the patients and treatment-related death was observed in 2-8% for these patients. In particular, carotid blowout syndrome should be carefully considered as a life-threatening complication of head and neck tumors after re-irradiation. Jensen et al. reported a retrospective study of carbon-ion RT for head and neck tumors; these findings revealed that the 2-year overall survival rate was 63% and that there was no treatment-related death. In lung cancer, the 2year overall survival rates were 18-74% in photon and proton therapy (17-20). Most studies have not shown local control, and 1 study of re-irradiation using proton therapy showed a 2year local control rate of 24% (17). Severe radiation pneumonitis often developed, and grade 3 or higher late adverse events were observed in 9-30% of cases. In our study, there was no severe radiation pneumonitis after re-irradiation. A retrospective study of carbon-ion RT for lung cancer by Karube et al. showed that the 2-year overall survival rate was 67% without severe pneumonitis (16). In abdominal tumors, the 2-year overall survival rates were 30 to 37% for proton and photon therapy (23, 25). One prospective study of proton therapy for recurrent esophageal cancer found that grade 5 esophageal fistulas and ulcers were observed in 14% of patients during the acute and late periods (22). A retrospective study of photon therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma showed that severe RT-induced liver disease (grade 3 or higher) was observed in 36% and treatment-related death was 25% of the cases (24). Gastrointestinal and liver adverse events should be carefully assessed when re-irradiation is performed for abdominal tumors. The patients in our study exhibited no severe liver dysfunction or intestinal perforation. Moreover, Shiba et al. reported a retrospective study of carbon-ion RT for gynecologic cancer and found that the 3-year overall survival rate was 74% without intestinal toxicity (21).

It is difficult to compare carbon-ion RT with other forms of RT because these studies included several types of cancer (**Table 2**). However, our current and previously reported studies of carbonion RT showed 2- or 3-year overall survival rates of 63–74%, which were better outcomes than those obtained with proton and photon therapy (11, 16, 21). This may be because carbonion beams have a higher radiation biological efficacy than photon or proton therapies. Recent high precision therapy using photon and proton have also developed, and further investigations of reirradiation are required to compare carbon-ion RT with other RT modalities.

Severe late adverse events were relatively uncommon (grade 3 or higher were 0–18%) and treatment-related death was not observed in carbon-ion RT studies; thus, this therapy seems safer than either photon or proton therapy. The reduction in adverse events with carbon-ion RT may be associated with its good dose-localizing properties, improving the focus of the radiation on the tumor. These results indicate that carbon-ion beams have strong potential for use as an optical beam for re-irradiation of local tumor recurrence after the initial RT.

In this study, tumor invasions into the gastrointestinal tract or a major blood vessel were excluded to avoid high irradiation of these serial organs. Therefore, intestinal perforations or arterial ruptures may not be observed with carbon-ion RT in the selected patients. Urinary tract obstruction due to a ureteral stricture was observed in 3 patients, indicating that the ureter should be considered as an organ at risk during re-irradiation. On the other hand, severe adverse events were uncommon in parallel organs, such as the lung and liver. Also, it may be relatively safe to reirradiate previously irradiated tissue that has no function, such as fibrosis. Further study is required to establish the dose constraints in a re-irradiation setting.

It is important to identify optimal candidates for reirradiation, because this treatment has a potential risk of severe adverse events. In this study, the interval between the first RT and re-irradiation was a significant factor for local control and overall survival. The longer interval group (≥ 16 months) had a better prognosis than the shorter interval group (<16 months). In studies of re-irradiation for lung cancer, it has been reported that a longer interval between the first RT and re-irradiation was associated with better survival (26, 27). A multi-institutional cohort study of re-irradiation for head and neck tumors indicated that more than a 2-year interval from the first RT to re-irradiation is a factor indicating better prognosis (28). Also, slow-growing recurrent tumors can be less malignant than rapid growing tumors. These results indicate that recurrent tumors associated with longer periods after the first RT might be optimal candidates for re-irradiation with carbon-ion RT.

The present study had a few limitations, such as the small number of patients (n = 22) and the single-institutional study design. However, there have been few prospective studies of re-irradiation in general, and re-irradiation using carbon-ion RT has not been reported previously. Therefore, this study offers useful information on the effectiveness of carbon-ion as a re-irradiation therapy. Further, multi-institutional studies are required to further validate the efficacy of re-irradiation with carbon-ion RT.

In conclusion, re-irradiation with carbon-ion RT with radical intent offered favorable local control and overall survival rates, without severe toxicities, for the selected patients. Such reirradiation has the potential to improve the clinical outcomes for

REFERENCES

- Putten L, Bree R, Doornaert PA, Buter J, Eerenstein SE, Rietveld DH, et al. Salvage surgery in post-chemoradiation laryngeal and hypopharyngeal carcinoma: outcome and review. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital.* (2015) 35:162–72.
- Antonoff MB, Correa AM, Sepesi B, Nguyen QN, Walsh GL, Swisher SG, et al. Salvage pulmonary resection after stereotactic body radiotherapy: A feasible and safe option for local failure in selected patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2017) 154:689–99. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2017.03.142
- Nieder C, Langendijk JA, Guckenberger M, Grosu AL. Second re-irradiation: a narrative review of the available clinical data. *Acta Oncol.* (2018) 57:305–10. doi: 10.1080/0284186X.2017.1409433
- Baliga S, Kabarriti R, Ohri N, Haynes-Lewis H, Yaparpalvi R, Kalnicki S, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for recurrent head and neck cancer: a critical review. *Head Neck*. (2017) 39:595–601. doi: 10.1002/hed.24633
- Kanai T, Endo M, Minohara S, Miyahara N, Koyama-ito H, Tomura H, et al. Biophysical characteristics of HIMAC clinical irradiation system for heavy-ion radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (1999) 44:201–10. doi: 10.1016/S0360-3016(98)00544-6

isolated local recurrent tumors previously irradiated with photon or particle therapy. Further investigations are required to confirm its therapeutic efficacy in a multi-institutional setting.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All datasets generated for this study are included in the manuscript and/or the **Supplementary Files**.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was reviewed and approved by an institutional review board at Gunma University Heavy Ion Medical Center (No. 1108). The protocol was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and all patients provided their written informed consent.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KS, TO, and JS designed and directed the analysis. KS, MO, HirK, KM, and HidK generated a database and performed data collection. KS, AM, TA, TM, and KA contributed to the analysis of the results and performed statistical analysis. TO and TN supervised the project.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Presented at the 60th Annual Meeting of the American Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (ASTRO), San Antonio, TX, October 21–24, 2018. We thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc. 2019.00181/full#supplementary-material

- Kamada T, Tsujii H, Blakely EA, Debus J, De Neve W, Durante M, et al. Carbon ion radiotherapy in Japan: an assessment of 20 years of clinical experience. *Lancet Oncol.* (2015) 16:e93–e100. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70412-7
- Shirai K, Saitoh JI, Musha A, Abe T, Kobayashi D, Takahashi T, et al. Prospective observational study of carbon-ion radiotherapy for nonsquamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Cancer Sci.* (2017) 108:2039– 44. doi: 10.1111/cas.13325
- Shirai K, Kawashima M, Saitoh JI, Abe T, Fukata K, Shigeta Y, et al. Clinical outcomes using carbon-ion radiotherapy and dose-volume histogram comparison between carbon-ion radiotherapy and photon therapy for T2b-4N0M0 non-small cell lung cancer-A pilot study. *PLoS ONE*. (2017) 12:e0175589. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0175589
- Shibuya K, Ohno T, Katoh H, Okamoto M, Shiba S, Koyama Y, et al. A feasibility study of high-dose hypofractionated carbon ion radiation therapy using four fractions for localized hepatocellular carcinoma measuring 3 cm or larger. *Radiother Oncol.* (2019) 132:230–5. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2018.10.009.
- Shiba S, Shibuya K, Katoh H, Koyama Y, Okamoto M, Abe T, et al. No deterioration in clinical outcomes of carbon ion radiotherapy for sarcopenia patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* (2018) 38:3579–86. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.12631.

- Jensen AD, Poulakis M, Nikoghosyan AV, Chaudhri N, Uhl M, Münter MW, et al. Re-irradiation of adenoid cystic carcinoma: analysis and evaluation of outcome in 52 consecutive patients treated with rasterscanned carbon ion therapy. *Radiother Oncol.* (2015) 114:182–88. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2015.01.002
- McDonald MW, Zolali-Meybodi O, Lehnert SJ, Estabrook NC, Liu Y, Cohen-Gadol AA, et al. Reirradiation of recurrent and second primary head and neck cancer with proton therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2016) 96:808–19. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.07.037
- Romesser PB, Cahlon O, Scher ED, Hug EB, Sine K, DeSelm C, et al. Proton beam reirradiation for recurrent head and neck cancer: multi-institutional report on feasibility and early outcomes. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2016) 95:386–95. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.02.036
- Spencer SA, Harris J, Wheeler RH, Machtay M, Schultz C, Spanos W, et al. RTOG 96-10: reirradiation with concurrent hydroxyurea and 5-fluorouracil in patients with squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2001) 51:1299–304. doi: 10.1016/S0360-3016(01)01745-X
- Yamazaki H, Ogita M, Himei K, Nakamura S, Suzuki G, Yoshida K, et al. Reirradiation using robotic image-guided stereotactic radiotherapy of recurrent head and neck cancer. *J Radiat Res.* (2016) 57:288–93. doi: 10.1093/jrr/rrw004
- Karube M, Yamamoto N, Tsuji H, Kanematsu N, Nakajima M, Yamashita H, et al. Carbon-ion re-irradiation for recurrences after initial treatment of stage I non-small cell lung cancer with carbon-ion radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol.* (2017) 125:31–5. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2017.07.022
- McAvoy SA, Ciura KT, Rineer JM, Allen PK, Liao Z, Chang JY, et al. Feasibility of proton beam therapy for reirradiation of locoregionally recurrent non-small cell lung cancer. *Radiother Oncol.* (2013) 109:38–44. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2013.08.014
- Chao HH, Berman AT, Simone CB II, Ciunci C, Gabriel P, Lin H, et al. Multiinstitutional prospective study of reirradiation with proton beam radiotherapy for locoregionally recurrent non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2017) 12:281–92. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2016.10.018
- 19. Ebara T, Tanio N, Etoh T, Shichi I, Honda A, Nakajima N. Palliative reirradiation for in-field recurrence after definitive radiotherapy in patients with primary lung cancer. *Anticancer Res.* (2007) 27:531–34.
- Liu H, Zhang X, Vinogradskiy YY, Swisher SG, Komaki R, Chang JY. Predicting radiation pneumonitis after stereotactic ablative radiation therapy in patients previously treated with conventional thoracic radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2012) 84:1017–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.02.020
- 21. Shiba S, Okonogi N, Kato S, Wakatsuki M, Kobayashi D, Kiyohara H, et al. Clinical impact of re-irradiation with carbon-ion radiotherapy for lymph

node recurrence of gynecological cancers. *Anticancer Res.* (2017) 37:5577-83. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.11991

- Fernandes A, Berman AT, Mick R, Both S, Lelionis K, Lukens JN, et al. A prospective study of proton beam reirradiation for esophageal cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2016) 95:483–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2015.12.005
- Boimel PJ, Berman AT, Li J, Apisarnthanarax S, Both S, Lelionis K, et al. Proton beam reirradiation for locally recurrent pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Gastrointest Oncol.* (2017) 8:665–74. doi: 10.21037/jgo.2017.03.04
- Huang Y, Chen SW, Fan CC, Ting LL, Kuo CC, Chiou JF. Clinical parameters for predicting radiation-induced liver disease after intrahepatic reirradiation for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiat Oncol.* (2016) 11:89. doi: 10.1186/s13014-016-0663-1
- Abusaris H, Hoogeman M, Nuyttens JJ. Re-irradiation: outcome, cumulative dose and toxicity in patients retreated with stereotactic radiotherapy in the abdominal or pelvic region. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. (2012) 11:591–7. doi: 10.7785/tcrt.2012.500261
- 26. McAvoy S, Ciura K, Wei C, Rineer J, Liao Z, Chang JY, et al. Definitive reirradiation for locoregionally recurrent non-small cell lung cancer with proton beam therapy or intensity modulated radiation therapy: predictors of high-grade toxicity and survival outcomes. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2014) 90:819–27. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.07.030
- Kruser TJ, McCabe BP, Mehta MP, Khuntia D, Campbell TC, Geye HM, et al. Reirradiation for locoregionally recurrent lung cancer: outcomes in small cell and non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Am J Clin Oncol.* (2014) 37:70–6. doi: 10.1097/COC.0b013e31826b9950
- Ward MC, Riaz N, Caudell JJ, Dunlap NE, Isrow D, Zakem SJ, et al. Refining patient selection for reirradiation of head and neck squamous carcinoma in the IMRT era: a multi-institution cohort study by the MIRI collaborative. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2018) 100:586–94. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.06.01223

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Shirai, Ohno, Saitoh, Okamoto, Katoh, Murata, Kawamura, Musha, Abe, Mizukami, Akahane and Nakano. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.