



Dosimetric Predictors of Acute and Chronic Alopecia in Primary Brain Cancer Patients Treated With Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

Silvia Scoccianti^{1*}, Gabriele Simontacchi¹, Daniela Greto¹, Marco Perna¹, Francesca Terziani¹, Cinzia Talamonti², Maria Ausilia Teriaca¹, Giorgio Caramia¹, Monica Lo Russo¹, Emanuela Olmetto¹, Camilla Delli Paoli¹, Roberta Grassi¹, Vincenzo Carfora¹, Calogero Saieva³, Pierluigi Bonomo¹, Beatrice Detti¹, Monica Mangoni¹, Isacco Desideri¹, Giulio Francolini¹, Vanessa Di Cataldo¹, Livia Marrazzo², Stefania Pallotta² and Lorenzo Livi¹

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Tiziana Rancati, National Cancer Institute Foundation (IRCCS), Italy

Reviewed by:

Wilma Desiree Heemsbergen, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Netherlands Michele Avanzo, Aviano Oncological Reference Center (CRO), Italy

*Correspondence:

Silvia Scoccianti silvia.scoccianti@unifi.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Radiation Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 27 November 2019 Accepted: 16 March 2020 Published: 08 April 2020

Citation:

Scoccianti S, Simontacchi G, Greto D, Perna M, Terziani F, Talamonti C, Teriaca MA, Caramia G, Lo Russo M, Olmetto E, Delli Paoli C, Grassi R, Carfora V, Saieva C, Bonomo P, Detti B, Mangoni M, Desideri I, Francolini G, Di Cataldo V, Marrazzo L, Pallotta S and Livi L (2020) Dosimetric Predictors of Acute and Chronic Alopecia in Primary Brain Cancer Patients Treated With Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy. Front. Oncol. 10:467. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.00467 ¹ Radiation Oncology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Careggi, University of Florence, Florence, Italy, ² Medical Physics Unit, Department of Experimental and Clinical Biomedical Sciences "Mario Serio," Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Careggi, University of Florence, Florence, Italy, ³ SC Epidemiology of Risk Factors and Lifestyles, Institute for Study, Prevention, and Oncology Network (ISPRO), Florence, Italy

Purpose: To determine dose constraints that correlate with alopecia in patients treated with photon-based Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy (VMAT) for primary brain tumors.

Methods: During the treatment planning process, the scalp was drawn as a region of interest. Dose received by 0.1 cc ($D_{0.1cc}$), mean dose (D_{mean}), absolute volumes receiving different doses (V_{16Gy} , V_{20Gy} , V_{25Gy} , V_{30Gy} , V_{35Gy} , V_{40Gy} , and V_{43Gy}) were registered for the scalp. Alopecia was assessed according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) v4.0. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis was used to identify parameters associated with hair-loss.

Results: One-hundred and one patients were included in this observational study. At the end of radiotherapy (RT), 5 patients did not develop alopecia (D_{mean} scalp 3.1 Gy). The scalp of the patients with G1 (n = 11) and G2 (n = 85) alopecia received D_{mean} of 10.6 Gy and 11.8 Gy, respectively. At ROC analysis, $V_{16Gy20Gy} \ge 5.2$ cc were the strongest predictors of acute alopecia risk. Chronic hair-loss assessment was available for 74 patients: median time to recovery from G2 alopecia was 5, 9 months. The actuarial rate of hair regrowth was 98.1% at 18 months after the end of RT. At ROC analysis, $V_{40Gy43Gy} \ge 2.2$ cc were the strongest predictors of chronic G2-alopecia risk. V_{20Gy} , V_{40Gy} , and $D_{0,1cc}$ were shown to be independent variables according to correlation coefficient r.

Conclusions: V_{20Gy} and V_{40Gy} were the strongest predictors for acute and chronic G2 hair-loss, respectively. The low-dose bath typical of VMAT corresponds to large areas of acute but transient alopecia. However, the steep dose gradient of VMAT allows to reduce the areas of the scalp that receive higher doses, minimizing the risk of permanent alopecia.

1

The application of our dosimetric findings for the scalp may help in reducing the alopecia risk and also in estimating the probability of hair-loss during patient counseling before starting radiotherapy.

Keywords: alopecia, radiation-induced hair loss, scalp, constraints, predictors, VMAT, brain tumors, radiotherapy

INTRODUCTION

Due to the high radiosensitivity of hair follicles, radiotherapy (RT) may induce hair-loss with a huge psychological impact and, thus, negative effects on patient's quality of life, also in case of limited life expectancy (1-5).

In the treatment of brain tumors, the technology of IMRT and, most recently, rotating gantry IMRT techniques such as VMAT, can produce dose distributions that conform to the target volume and deliver a reduced dose to the critical organs (6).

Recently, due to the increased conformality of IMRT techniques, there has been considerable interest in sparing critical structures not classically included into the list of intracranial organs at risk, such as hippocampus (7) or dorsal vagal complex (8). Likewise, the inclusion of the scalp among the organs at risk may potentially reduce the incidence or the severity of hair loss.

In the present study we included a total of 101 patients whose scalp was drawn as a region of interest to spare during the treatment planning process. The present work reports a dosimetric analysis of the scalp describing the risk of acute and permanent hair-loss following cranial irradiation on limited volume, performed with a VMAT approach.

The primary objective is to define dosimetric predictors for hair-loss with the aim of using them as dose constraints during the inverse planning process. Secondary aims were to analyze the recovery time and to evaluate clinical factors possibly associated with permanent alopecia.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Consecutive patients treated for a primary brain tumor in our Institute with a conventionally fractionated VMAT were included in this observational study. Eligibility criteria included the use of partial brain radiotherapy, conventional fractionation, total dose >50 Gy, life expectancy > 4 months. Exclusion criteria included previous radiation treatment on the brain; previous chemotherapy; the need for whole brain radiotherapy; any previously existing alopecia according to Basic and specific (BASP) classification (9). All patients signed a consent form before enrollment in this institutional review board-approved study. Factors that may have an impact on alopecia such as age, smoking history, use of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) and chemotherapy were registered.

Scalp as a Region of Interest During the Treatment Planning Process

CT (Computed Tomography) image sets for radiation treatment planning were acquired using a Brilliance Big Bore CT (Philips Medical Systems). The slice thickness was 2 mm.

During the contouring process, a region of interest (ROI) was defined for the scalp.

At the moment of simulation CT, beyond the custom thermoplastic mask with the patient in the supine position (used as immobilization device during the treatment, as usual), for each patient a mask in prone position was molded (**Figure 1A**). With the aim of tracing the extension of the follicle-bearing scalp, the line between the hairy scalp and the hairless skin of the face and of the neck was defined with a wire (**Figures 1B,C**). CT scan of the mask in prone position without the patient was acquired for each case. These images were co-registered to the simulation CT of the corresponding patient, in order to avoid the hairless skin beyond the wire.

The scalp volume was defined as a ROI including the hair-bearing tissue between the skin and the outer table of the skull, up to a maximum thickness of 5 mm (4, 10–12) (**Figure 2**).





FIGURE 2 | Scalp ROI (white line) on the simulation C1 of a patient **(A–C)**. 3D-view showing the scalp ROI **(D)**.

The definition of the clinical target volume (CTV) varied according to the primary tumor. The planning target volume (PTV) was generated by adding a 3 mm isotropic margin to CTV.

VMAT plans were generated with Monaco (CMS-Elekta Ltd, UK) using a Monte-Carlo algorithm. Most of the cases were treated with a coplanar-partial arc technique. During the treatment planning, the scalp dose was kept as minimal as possible. Constraints to the other intracranial organs at risk [brainstem, optic chiasm and nerves, cochleas, pituitary (13)] had a higher priority than those of the scalp. The irradiation was delivered, using 6-MV photons with an Elekta Synergy machine equipped with a Beam Modulator multi-leaf collimator.

Hair Loss Assessment

Alopecia was assessed according to CTCAE version 4.0: G1 alopecia was defined as hair-loss of <50% of normal for that individual that is not obvious from a distance but only on close inspection; a different hairstyle may be required to cover the hair loss but it does not require a wig or hairpiece to camouflage; G2 alopecia was defined as hair-loss of \geq 50% normal for that individual that is readily apparent to others; a wig or hairpiece was necessary if the patient desires to completely camouflage the hair loss; associated with psychosocial impact.

At the end of radiotherapy, in order to define the exact extension of the areas of acute alopecia, patients were required to wear the prone mask that had been molded during the simulation CT. Areas of alopecia were defined on the mask with a wire



FIGURE 3 | Posterior view of a patient with a wide area of G2 alopecia (A) at the end of radiotherapy; prone mask with the wire defining the area of alopecia (B); original CT simulation of the patient with coregistration of the wired prone mask (C,D); scalp ROI is black colored, area of alopecia G2 is white colored, white arrows indicate the wire on the mask. 3D-view of the same patient at the treatment planning (E).

(Figures 3A,B); a new CT of the mask without the patient was acquired and, then, co-registered with the original simulation CT of the corresponding patient. Afterwards, areas of alopecia were contoured in order to obtain a treatment planning system-based dosimetric evaluation of the acute hair loss areas (Figures 3C,E).

For all the patients dose-volume histograms of the following ROIs were created: whole scalp, areas where G1 alopecia had developed during the treatment (G1-alopecia_{endofRT}), areas where G2 alopecia had developed during the treatment (G2-alopecia_{endof RT}).

Data regarding volumes in cc were collected both for the whole scalp and for the areas of acute alopecia. The following dosimetric parameters were collected: dose received by 0.1 cc of the ROI ($D_{0.1cc}$), mean dose (D_{mean}), absolute volumes that received 16, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, and 43 Gy (V_{16Gy} , V_{20Gy} , V_{25Gy} , V_{30Gy} , V_{35Gy} , V_{40Gy} , and V_{43Gy}).

Patients were evaluated for hair loss at the end of radiotherapy and, then, every 3 months for the first 3 years of follow up.

G2 hair loss persisting for >9 months after the end of RT was defined as chronic alopecia.

Statistical Methods

A comparison between the dosimetric data was performed with the Mann–Whitney test.

The probability of developing acute G2 alopecia as a function of the $D_{0,1cc}$ was calculated using the maximal likelihood method according to the formula $P(D) = [1 + (D_{50}/D)^{4\gamma 50}]^{-1}$, where the D_{50} was $D_{0,1cc}$ at which 50% of the patients developed acute alopecia and γ 50 was the slope of the curve.

Receiver operating characteristics ROC analysis (14, 15), already adopted by other authors to identify dosimetric parameters associated with RT damage (16, 17), was used to identify the dosimetric parameters related to the risk of G2 alopecia. The maximum value of the Youden index (J) (18) was used for selecting the optimal cut-off point for each dosimetric variable.

Intercorrelation between dosimetric factors was analyzed: dosimetric variables with coefficient r < 0.75 were considered independent predictors.

Impact of clinical factors on incidence of acute alopecia was analyzed with chi-squared ($\chi 2$) test.

Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was carried out concerning alopecia recovery. The observation time was measured from the end of radiotherapy to complete recovery from alopecia or to the last follow-up for cases with the persistence of hairloss. Differences between groups were evaluated by the log-rank test. Cox proportional regression analysis was used to determine the role of selected parameters on the risk of event occurrence by univariate models. Multivariate Cox proportional- hazards regression analysis was performed including only the variables that were shown to be not intercorrelated (coefficient r < 0.75).

All the statistical tests were performed using the IBM-SPSS Statistics software (Statistical Package for Social Science, version 22).

RESULTS

A total of 101 patients were included in the study. Characteristics of the patients are in **Table 1**.

Prescription doses ranged between 50.4 and 60 Gy in conventional fractionation. Mean scalp volume was 234.8 cc (SD 46.9).

Dosimetry of the whole scalp was available for all the patients. Of note, among the dosimetric parameters whose values were collected, V_{20Gy} , V_{40Gy} , and $D_{0,1cc}$ were shown to be independent variables according to correlation coefficient r.

Acute Alopecia

Clinical and dosimetric evaluation at the end of RT was available for all the patients.

		n	Proportion (%)
Patients	All	101	100
Gender	Female	48	47.5
	Male	53	52.5
Age	Mean 51.7		
	Age <14	4	4.0
	Age \geq 14	97	96.0
	Age < 50	38	37.6
	Age ≥ 50	63	62.4
Smoking history	no	68	67.3
	yes	33	32.7
Histology	High grade gliomas	68	67.3
	Low grade gliomas	12	11.9
	Meningioma	10	9.9
	Others	11	10.9
Antiepilectic drugs during radiotherapy	no	24	23.8
	yes	77	76.2
Concomitant chemotherapy	no	41	40.6
	Temozolomide	60	59.4
Chemotherapy	no	34	33.7
after radiotherapy	Temozolomide	59	58.4
	Procarbazine, vincristine, lomustine	8	7.9

TABLE 1 | Patients characteristics

Acute Alopecia: Dosimetry of the Whole Scalp

Five patients who were treated for deep tumors (pituitary adenomas n = 4; parasellar meningioma n = 1) did not develop any area of alopecia. The remaining 96 patients developed acute alopecia: 11 developed G1 alopecia only whereas 85 patients developed G2 alopecia (G2 only n = 52; G1+G2 n = 33). Significant differences in the dosimetric parameters were found between the scalp of the patients who did not develop alopecia and the scalp of patients who developed acute G1 alopecia and G2 alopecia (**Table 2**).

 $D_{0,1cc}$ varied widely (**Figure 4**). D_{50} , i.e., $D_{0,1cc}$ at which 50% of the patients developed acute alopecia was found to be 33,0 \pm 0,2 Gy. The slope of the curve ($\gamma 50$) was 1,58 \pm 0,05 (**Figure 5**).

Acute Alopecia: Dosimetry of the Areas of Alopecia

Volumetric data regarding the areas of alopecia were collected in order to define the amount of hair loss in terms of percentage of the scalp volume at the end of radiotherapy (**Figure 6**). The mean volume of G1-alopecia_{end-of-RT} and G2-alopecia_{end-of-RT} was 26.6 and 66.1 cc, respectively. On average, G1-alopecia_{end-of-RT} and G2-alopecia_{end-of-RT} and G2-alopecia_{end-of-RT} corresponded to 11.9% (SD 10.4) and 41.7% (SD 20.0) of the whole scalp volume, respectively. The

TABLE 2 Dosimetric comparison between the scalp of the patients who did not develop alopecia and the scalp of the patients who developed G1 or G2 alopecia at the
end of radiotherapy: mean values and standard deviations (in brackets) of dosimetric variables.

n				,	,,	,	Mean V _{30Gy}	,	,	,
	(Gy)	(Gy)	(cc)	(cc)	(cc)	(cc)	(cc)	(cc)	(cc)	
5	19.7 (± 12.6)	3.1 (± 1.5)	2.7 (± 3.7)	1.4 (± 1.9)	0.6 (± 1.1)	0.2 (± 0.5)	0.04 (± 0.09)	0	0	
11	40.2 (± 15.2)	10.6 (± 5.0)	45.2 (± 40.4)	31.0 (± 33.9)	22.2 (± 26.9)	15.6 (± 20.5)	10.8 (± 15.6)	7.4 (± 12.1)	5.8 (± 10.0)	
ey Test	0.02	0.001	0.002	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.90	0.90	0.09	
85	47.3 (± 9.2)	11.8 (± 4.4)	68.8 (± 37.7)	50.6 (± 33.4)	34.8 (± 27.5)	23.1 (± 22.0)	14.3 (± 16.9)	8.4 (± 12.0)	5.9 (± 9.3)	
y Test	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.001	0.004	0.005	
	5 11 ey Test	(Gy) 5 19.7 (± 12.6) 11 40.2 (± 15.2) ey Test 0.02 85 47.3 (± 9.2)	(Gy) (Gy) 5 19.7 (± 12.6) 3.1 (± 1.5) 11 40.2 (± 15.2) 10.6 (± 5.0) ay Test 0.02 0.001 85 47.3 (± 9.2) 11.8 (± 4.4)	(Gy) (Gy) (cc) 5 19.7 (\pm 12.6) 3.1 (\pm 1.5) 2.7 (\pm 3.7) 11 40.2 (\pm 15.2) 10.6 (\pm 5.0) 45.2 (\pm 40.4) ey Test 0.02 0.001 0.002 85 47.3 (\pm 9.2) 11.8 (\pm 4.4) 68.8 (\pm 37.7)	(Gy)(Gy)(cc)(cc)5 $19.7 (\pm 12.6)$ $3.1 (\pm 1.5)$ $2.7 (\pm 3.7)$ $1.4 (\pm 1.9)$ 11 $40.2 (\pm 15.2)$ $10.6 (\pm 5.0)$ $45.2 (\pm 40.4)$ $31.0 (\pm 33.9)$ ey Test 0.020.0010.0020.02 85 $47.3 (\pm 9.2)$ $11.8 (\pm 4.4)$ $68.8 (\pm 37.7)$ $50.6 (\pm 33.4)$	(Gy)(Gy)(cc)(cc)(cc)(cc)5 $19.7 (\pm 12.6)$ $3.1 (\pm 1.5)$ $2.7 (\pm 3.7)$ $1.4 (\pm 1.9)$ $0.6 (\pm 1.1)$ 11 $40.2 (\pm 15.2)$ $10.6 (\pm 5.0)$ $45.2 (\pm 40.4)$ $31.0 (\pm 33.9)$ $22.2 (\pm 26.9)$ 29 Test 0.02 0.001 0.002 0.02 0.03 85 $47.3 (\pm 9.2)$ $11.8 (\pm 4.4)$ $68.8 (\pm 37.7)$ $50.6 (\pm 33.4)$ $34.8 (\pm 27.5)$	(Gy)(Gy)(cc)(cc)(cc)(cc)(cc)(cc)5 $19.7 (\pm 12.6)$ $3.1 (\pm 1.5)$ $2.7 (\pm 3.7)$ $1.4 (\pm 1.9)$ $0.6 (\pm 1.1)$ $0.2 (\pm 0.5)$ 11 $40.2 (\pm 15.2)$ $10.6 (\pm 5.0)$ $45.2 (\pm 40.4)$ $31.0 (\pm 33.9)$ $22.2 (\pm 26.9)$ $15.6 (\pm 20.5)$ 29 Test 0.02 0.001 0.002 0.02 0.03 0.04 85 $47.3 (\pm 9.2)$ $11.8 (\pm 4.4)$ $68.8 (\pm 37.7)$ $50.6 (\pm 33.4)$ $34.8 (\pm 27.5)$ $23.1 (\pm 22.0)$	(Gy)(Gy)(cc)((Gy)(Gy)(Cc)(

 $D_{0.1cc}$, dose received by 0.1 cc; D_{mean} , mean dose; V_{xGy} , percentage of the scalp volume receiving $\geq x Gy$. Bold text indicates statistical significance.



mean volume of alopecia of any grade was 70.7 cc (corresponding to 30.2% of the scalp, SD 20.7)

Significant differences in the dosimetric parameters were found when G1-alopecia $_{end-of-RT}$ were compared with alopecia G2 $_{end-of-RT}$ (Table 3).

Acute Alopecia: ROC Analysis

At ROC analysis, all the dosimetric variables were found to be reliable parameters to distinguish patients at low-risk from those at high-risk of acute G2 alopecia (**Table 4**).

 V_{16Gy} and V_{20Gy} were found to be the strongest predictors for acute alopecia (AUC 0.776 and 0.792, respectively). Cut-off values for high risk of development of alopecia at the end of radiation treatment were 16.7 cc and 5.2 cc for V_{16Gy} and V_{20Gy} , respectively.

Factors Impacting on Acute Alopecia

Gender ($\chi 2$ test: p = 0.19), age ($\chi 2$ test: p = 0.37), smoking history ($\chi 2$ test: p = 0.65), use of AEDs ($\chi 2$ test: p = 0.09), concomitant chemotherapy ($\chi 2$ test: p = 0.17) did not have any significant impact on acute hairloss incidence.



Chronic Alopecia

All the cases of persistent alopecia were an evolution of acute alopecia (i.e., all the patients who had chronic alopecia, had had previous acute alopecia in the same areas that did not recover; on the contrary, all the patients who had had no acute alopecia (n = 5) did not develop chronic alopecia).

Hair-loss assessment for G2-alopecia was available for 74 patients. The mean follow-up was 9.7 months. At the moment of analysis, 65/74 (87.8%) patients had a complete G2 recovery.

Late recovery from G2 hairloss was possible: 3 patients recovered between 12 and 18 months. Median time to recovery was 5.9 months (SD 2.8 months). Actuarial rate of G2 recovery was 49.2, 87.0, 92.2, and 98.1% at 6, 9, 12, and 18 months after the end of RT (**Figure 7**).

Chronic Alopecia: Dosimetry of the Whole Scalp

Dosimetric analysis of the whole scalp excluded patients with a follow-up shorter than 3 months (n = 5). Dosimetric parameters



TABLE 3 | Dosimetric comparison between the areas of G1 alopecia and the areas of G2 alopecia at the end of radiotherapy: mean values and standard deviations (in brackets) of dosimetric variables.

	n	Mean D _{0.1cc} (Gy)	Mean D _{mean} (Gy)	Mean V _{16Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{20Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{25Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{30Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{35Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{40Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{43Gy} (cc)
G1-Alopecia _{end of RT}	44	33.4 (± 14.3)	16.5 (± 8.3)	11.9 (± 14.6)	7.6 (± 9.6)	6.5 (± 11.8)	3.8 (± 7.9)	2.4 (± 6.4)	1.6 (± 5.4)	1.3 (± 4.8)
G2-Alopeciaend of RT	85	44.6 (± 11.2)	20.3 (± 6.4)	40.2 (± 35.4)	31.5 (± 30.5)	21.8 (± 24.3)	14.8 (± 18.9)	9.2 (± 14.3)	5.4 (± 10.2)	3.6 (± 7.7)
p-value from Mann-Wi	hitney Test	0.0001	0.002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.001

 $D_{0.1cc}$, dose received by 0.1 cc; D_{mean} , mean dose; V_{xGy} , percentage of the scalp volume receiving $\geq x Gy$. Bold text indicates statistical significance.

of the whole scalp of 8 patients who had a persistent alopecia (>9 months) were compared with the dosimetric data of 66 patients who had an intact scalp within 9 months after the end of RT (**Table 5**). Of note, patients who had not developed alopecia at the end of radiotherapy (n = 5) were included among these 66 patients. V_{40Gy} and V_{43Gy} were statistically different between these two groups (Mann–Whitney test p = 0.028 and p = 0.036).

Chronic Alopecia: ROC Analysis

At ROC analysis, several dosimetric variables were significantly related to the risk of permanent alopecia (**Table 6**). Among these, V_{40Gy} and V_{43Gy} were the strongest predictors for chronic G2-alopecia (AUC = 0.738 and 0.725, respectively): patients whose scalp ROI had $V_{40Gy43Gy}$.

Kaplan–Meier Analysis and Cox Regression for Recovery From Alopecia

All the dosimetric parameters that were found to be significant predictors of chronic G2-alopecia at the ROC analysis ($D_{0.1cc}$, D_{mean} , V_{30Gy} , V_{35Gy} , V_{40Gy} , and V_{43Gy}) and all the clinical variables were included in the Kaplan–Meier analysis (**Table 7**).

Patients were stratified according to the cut-off values defined at the ROC analysis for each dosimetric variable with the aim to have dichotomous variables. All the tested dosimetric parameters significantly impacted on recover probability.

Age had a significant impact on recover probability (age > 14 = 97.9 vs. age $\leq 14 = 100\%$; log-rank test p = 0,01). No other clinical factors (gender, smoking history, use of AEDs, chemotherapy) significantly influenced the recover probability. Impact on recover probability due to different chemotherapy schedules was not tested because, among the cases with trichological follow-up, nearly all patients who had sequential chemotherapy received temozolomide (52 out of the 53).

Age and all the above mentioned dichotomous dosimetric variables were found to be significant at univariate Cox regression (**Table 8**). $D_{0,1cc}$ maintained significance also when tested as a continuous variable (p = 0,001) at the univariate analysis.

Among the dosimetric factors, only V_{40Gy} and $D_{0,1cc}$ were included in the multivariate Cox regression, because they were

TABLE 4 Receiver operating characteristics (RO	C) analysis for G2 alopecia at the end of radiotherapy.
--	---

Dosimetric variable	AUC	<i>p</i> -value for AUC	Cut-off value	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	Incidence of acute G2 alopecia		Fisher exact test p-value
						Low risk, %	High risk, %	
D _{0.1cc}	0.740	0.008	36.2 Gy	87.1	68.7	50.0%	93.7%	0.0000
Mean dose	0.714	0.02	6.9 Gy	87.1	56.2	55.0%	91.3%	0.0006
V _{16Gy}	0.776	0.001	16.7 cc	92.9	62.5	37.5%	92.9%	0.0000
V _{20Gy}	0.792	0.0003	5.2 cc	100.0	56.2	0%	91.4%	0.0000
V _{25Gy}	0.768	0.002	5.5 cc	85.9	68.7	52.2%	93.5%	0.0000
V _{30Gy}	0.756	0.003	2.3 cc	85.9	68.7	52.2%	93.6%	0.0000
V _{35Gy}	0.736	0.005	0.7 cc	82.4	68.7	57.7%	93.3%	0.0005
V _{40Gy}	0.685	0.02	0.6 cc	72.9	75.0	65.7%	93.9%	0.0004
V _{43Gy}	0.670	0.04	0.1 cc	70.6	68.7	69.4%	92.3%	0.004

 $D_{0.1cc}$, Dose to 0.1 cc of the scalp volume; V_{xGy} , percentage of the scalp volume receiving $\geq x$ Gy; AUC, area under curve at ROC analysis. Bold text indicates statistical significance.



shown to be independent predictors of chronic G2-alopecia according to correlation coefficient r. Multivariate analysis confirmed the predictive value of age (p = 0.0002) and V_{40Gy} (p = 0.02).

DISCUSSION

Hair loss, either temporary or permanent, is one of the most stressful side effects for patients undergoing oncologic treatment (1-5). Radiation-induced alopecia may permanently alter the self-perception of the neurooncological patients and have a significant impact on their quality of life (2, 5).

To our knowledge, this is the first study reporting a dosevolume analysis of the scalp describing the risk of hair-loss following a photon-based, conventionally fractionated VMAT treatment on a limited brain volume. Herein we reported a dosimetric analysis based on a TPS-based calculation to find a dose-response relationship for acute and chronic alopecia. Besides, although some authors reported about the possibility of hair regrowth within some months after irradiation (19, 20), to our knowledge, this is the first observational study focusing on the analysis of recovery time of the scalp damage.

Dose-Response Relationship for Acute Alopecia

On review of the available literature regarding photon-based radiotherapy, the doses that have been reported to cause hairloss varied widely. Doses as low as 2 and 3 Gy in a single fraction might cause temporary alopecia according to some authors (4, 10, 21, 22). In a study regarding the use of VMAT for whole-brain irradiation (WBRT) in patients with multiple brain metastases (19), the authors hypothesized that the threshold dose for temporary alopecia is around 10 Gy in 5 fractions. By contrast, Archambeau et al. (23) described that acute epilation may be produced by a total dose of 20 Gy in conventional fractionation.

The risk of acute alopecia during IMRT has been explored also for patients with head and ncek cancer: Rosenthal et al. (24) provided recursive partitioning analysis in order to estimate dose thresholds associated with observed toxicities in a series of patients with oropharyngeal cancer treated with IMRT: they found that alopecia in the occipital region occurred more frequently when scalp maximum dose was > 30 Gy (48% of cases) vs. < 30 Gy (19% of cases).

Our experience confirmed that acute alopecia may be caused by very low doses: acute G2 alopecia developed also in areas where D_{mean} may be as low as 1.9 Gy.

However, the fact that we found significant differences regarding the dose received by the whole scalp between patients that did not develop alopecia and patients who presented acute hair-loss, demonstrated that a dose relationship with acute alopecia exists. That was also confirmed by the dosimetric analysis regarding the areas of alopecia: G2-alopecia_{end-of-RT} received significantly higher doses than G1-alopecia_{end-of-RT} (**Table 3**). Lastly, the relationship between dose and acute alopecia was also evidenced by the ROC analysis that showed that

TABLE 5 | Dosimetric comparison of the scalp of the patients who had persistent alopecia at 9 months compared with the scalp of the patients who had complete recover within 9 months: mean values and standard deviations (in brackets) of dosimetric variables.

ROI	n	Mean D _{0.1cc} (Gy)	Mean D _{mean} (Gy)	Mean V _{16 Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{20 Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{25 Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{30 Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{35 Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{40 Gy} (cc)	Mean V _{43 Gy} (cc)
Scalp patients with alopecia at 9 month-follow up	8	53.2 (± 4.1)	14.1 (± 4.4)	78.7 (± 31.3)	57.8 (± 25.0)	40.1 (± 17.4)	28.4 (± 12.7)	19.6 (± 9.7)	12.6 (± 8.4)	8.7 (± 7.6)
Scalp patients with complete recovery from alopecia within 9 months after RT	66	46.7 (± 10.6)	11.6 (± 4.7)	66.8 (± 39.3)	49.5 (± 35.4)	34.7 (± 29.9)	23.4 (± 24.3)	14.8 (± 18.7)	8.9 (± 13.3)	6.4 (± 10.4)
p-value from Mann-Whitney Test		0.12	0.09	0.29	0.34	0.24	0.14	0.053	0.028	0.036

 $D_{0.1cc}$, dose received by 0.1 cc; D_{mean} , mean dose; V_{xGy} , percentage of the scalp volume receiving $\geq x$ Gy. Bold text indicates statistical significance.

TABLE 6 | Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis for G2 alopecia at 9 months after the end of radiotherapy.

Dosimetric variable	AUC	<i>p</i> -value for AUC	Cut-off	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	Incidence of G2 alopecia at 9 mor		Fisher exact test <i>p</i> -value
						Low risk, %	High risk, %	
D _{0.1cc}	0.684	0.008	47.6 Gy	100.00	51.5	0.0%	17.4%	0.007
Mean dose	0.669	0.04	10.1 Gy	100.00	43.9	0.0%	14.0%	0.02
V _{30Gy}	0.662	0.04	11.4 cc	100.00	39.4	0.0%	13.1%	0.04
V _{35Gy}	0.710	0.001	9.3 cc	100.00	54.5	0.0%	17.4%	0.005
V _{40Gy}	0.738	<0.0001	5.4 cc	100.00	63.64	0.0%	21.0%	0.0000
V _{43Gv}	0.725	0.0002	2.2 cc	100.00	59.1	0.0%	19.5%	0.001

 $D_{0.1cc}$, Dose to 0.1 cc of the scalp volume; V_{xGy} , percentage of the scalp volume receiving $\geq x$ Gy; AUC, area under curve at ROC analysis. Bold text indicates statistical significance.

the most important predictors of acute alopecia were $\rm V_{16Gy}$ and $\rm V_{20Gy}$ (Table 4).

Consequently, during the treatment planning process, the doses to the scalp should be kept as low as possible. However, by maintaining $V_{16Gy} < 16.7$ cc and $V_{20Gy} < 5.2$ cc, the risk of acute alopecia may be limited. Since these two variables were found to be interdependent, considering the better AUC and statistical significance at the ROC analysis, we would suggest to try to meet preferably the specified constraints for V20, with the aim of reducing the risk of acute alopecia.

Moreover, we also found that 50% of the patients who received $D_{0.1cc}$ of 33 Gy developed acute alopecia at the end of radiotherapy. All these data may be precious to predict the risk of acute hairloss when we talk with the patients about the toxicity of the radiation treatment.

Dose-Response Relationship for Chronic Alopecia

Our data showed that a dose-effect relationship exists for chronic alopecia as well: the scalp of patients who completely recovered from G2 alopecia received lower doses than the scalp of patients who had persistent alopecia at 9 months. Of note, the difference between these two groups of patients was significant only in terms of high doses (V_{40Gy} , V_{43Gy}) (**Table 6**). Noteworthy, at ROC analysis lower doses (<30 Gy) were not associated with chronic G2-alopecia, while the most important predictors of persistent alopecia were V_{40Gy} and V_{43Gy} (**Table 7**).

All these data taken together indicate that, although low doses (i.e., 16–20 Gy), are critical for acute alopecia (that is likely to recover within some months), higher doses (i.e., 40–43 Gy) are crucial for persistent alopecia.

To our knowledge, the only existing dosimetric study finding a dose-response relationship that described the probability of alopecia after photon-based radiotherapy has been reported in 2004 by Lawenda et al. (25). The authors retrospectively reviewed 26 patients and they concluded that follicle doses of 43 Gy are associated with a 50% risk of permanent alopecia. Their results are notably different from our findings due to two main reasons. First, the authors provided a very rough estimate of the follicle dose, based on the sum of the entrance and exit doses for each contributing radiation field, according to a formula that took into account the absolute dose delivered to the isocenter for the radiation field of interest; by contrast, the present study provided an accurate calculation of the dose to the scalp using a dose-volume histogram analysis calculated by the treatment planning system. Secondly and even more importantly, the patients included in the study from Lawenda et al. were treated with simple conventional photon techniques (typical field arrangements included parallel-opposed fields and right-angle field pairs). On the other hand, all the patients in our series were treated with VMAT-technique. The numerous beam angles and resultant highly conformal dose distributions of intensitymodulated treatments (IMRT and VMAT) make these modalities particularly suited to scalp dose reduction. The use of arcs, typical

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TABLE 7}}\xspace$ | Kaplan Meier analysis for factors impacting on the recovery probability from G2 alopecia.

Variable	pts	Events	%recovery	p-value log rank tes
Sex				
F	33	28	-	0.99
M	41	37		
Age				
≤14	4	4	100.0	0.01
>14	70	61	97.9	0.70
≤50	30	28	-	
>50	44	37		
Smoking hi	story			
No	51	46	-	0.54
Yes	23	19		
Antiepilecti	c drugs			
No	17	16	-	0.14
Yes	57	49		
Concomita	nt chemo	therapy		
No	25	23	-	0.14
Yes	49	42		
Sequential of	chemothe	erapy		
No	21	19	-	0.17
Yes	53	46		
D _{0.1 cc}				
<47.6 Gy	34	30	99.9	0.001
≥47.6Gy	40	35	96.6	
D _{mean}				
<10.1 Gy	29	27	99.9	0.002
≥10.1 Gy	45	38	96.7	
V 30 Gy				
<11.4 cc	26	24	99.9	0.0001
≥11.4 cc	48	41	97.0	
V35 Gy				
<9.3 cc	36	32	99.9	0.0001
≥9.3 cc	38	33	96.4	
40 Gy				
<5.4 cc	42	38	99.9	0.0001
≥5.4 cc	32	27	95.6	
V _{43 Gy}				
<2.2 cc	34	34	99.9	0.0001
≥2.2 cc	40	31	96.9	
Total	74	65		

Bold text indicates statistical significance.

of the VMAT technique, may further minimize the high doses to the scalp because the surface dose is distributed over the length of the arc (19). The investigation of Penoncello et al. (26) confirmed that VMAT may be superior in minimizing dose to the scalp than static-field IMRT.

The possibility to reduce the dose to the scalp with IMRT techniques has been extensively explored in patients treated WBRT for brain metastases (10–12, 19, 27). These studies differ from the present study for several reasons: firstly, the number of patients included was significantly lower (range 6(12)-17(27) patients) compared to our experience; secondly, the prescription

TABLE 8 | Univariate Cox regression for variables impacting the recovery probability from G2 alopecia.

Variable	p-value	HR	95% CI
Age > 14 y	0.017	0.27	0.09–0.80
$D_{0.1cc} > 47.6 Gy$	0.001	0.40	0.23–0.69
D _{mean} > 10.1 Gy	0.003	0.43	0.24–0.75
$V_{30Gy} > 11.4 \text{ cc}$	0.0001	0.39	0.22-0.67
$V_{35Gy} > 9.3 \text{ cc}$	0.0001	0.33	0.19–0.57
$V_{40Gy} > 5.4 \text{ cc}$	0.0001	0.35	0.20-0.63
$V_{43Gy} > 2.2 \text{ cc}$	0.0001	0.36	0.21-0.64

 $D_{0.1cc},$ Dose to 0.1 cc of the scalp volume;V_{xGy}, percentage of the scalp volume receiving \geq x Gy; HR, Hazard Ratio; Cl, Confidence interval. Bold text indicates statistical significance.

dose for WBRT (EQD2 28–36 Gy) is significantly different than the one used for primary tumors (EQD2 50, 4–60 Gy). Thirdly, most of them did not include clinical data on alopecia: plans of patients who had been previously treated with conventional opposed lateral fields were simply replanned with IMRT to confirm the potential advantage of IMRT techniques in reducing scalp dose (10, 11, 28–30). Lastly, although some series including clinical evaluation of alopecia exist (12, 27, 28), their authors did not generate hypotheses about dose/permanent hair loss relationship and they did not provide clear dose constraints to minimize the risk of chronic alopecia.

Due to the very superficial location of the scalp, the existing uncertainty in the superficial dose calculation deserves some considerations. The accuracy of dose modeling in the build-up region mainly depends on the dose calculation algorithm used in a specific treatment planning system (TPS) (31). MC simulations have been used as a reference tool for superficial dosimetry evaluation of dose calculation algorithms in the commercially available TPS (32, 33) because they were shown to be consistent with measurements obtained by extrapolation chambers (34, 35). To our knowledge, there are no published studies specifically evaluating the accuracy of dose calculation in the build-up region for Monaco TPS. However, since Monaco TPS uses a MonteCarlo algorithm, we can assume that superficial dose is estimated by this TPS with reasonable accuracy.

In this clinical experience, the majority of patients (95%) presented acute alopecia in a wide area of the scalp (by average 30.2%). This phenomenon is due to the fact the highly conformal dose distribution achieved with VMAT comes with the cost of a larger volume of normal tissue receiving low radiation doses that are sufficient to cause an acute injury to the hair bulbs.

On the other hand, VMAT led to satisfying results in terms of hair regrowth (actuarial recovery rate = 98.1% at 18 months after the end of radiotherapy) because of the high conformality and rapid dose fall-off. We believe that the application of our dosimetric findings may further decrease the risk of radiation-induced hair-loss: maintaining $V_{40Gy} < 5.4$ and $V_{43Gy} < 2.2$ cc may help in reducing the risk of radiation-induced chronic alopecia. Since these two variables were found to be interdependent, considering the AUC and statistical significance at the ROC analysis, we would suggest to try to meet preferably the specified constraints for V40 in order to minimize the risk

of chronic alopecia. Noteworthy, the importance of V40 was confirmed also by the multivariate analysis.

Time to recovery was related to the dose, as shown by Kaplan-Meier analysis and Cox regression analysis that confirmed the significant impact of the dichotomous dosimetric variables on recovery probability during the follow-up. Furthermore, $D_{0,1cc}$ maintained significance also when tested as a continuous variable (p = 0,001) at the univariate Cox regression analysis.

Age ≤ 14 was the only clinical factor to be significantly associated with a greater probability of recovery. Younger age was identified as a positive factor also in the series of Rogers et al. (36).

In our experience, chemotherapy was not related to a higher risk of alopecia. Of note, the majority of chemotherapy-treated patients in the present series received temozolomide, whereas, in other experiences where this relationship was found, other drugs with a stronger alopecia-inducing power were used (10, 25). Notably, increased risk due to smoking history was not evidenced in our series.

Another point to mention is that the definition of dosimetric thresholds for chronic alopecia may also help in estimating the risk of this relevant side effect when discussing the toxicity of treatment with our patients. So far, indeed, the scarcity of available data about radiation-induced hair loss has led to great difficulties in providing risk estimates for given doses when radiation treatment is discussed with patients (37).

Keypoints and Pitfalls of the Study

Strengths of this study are the following: first, given the little literature on possible predictors of radiation induced alopecia in patients treated with photons, this study adds new information, especially considering the fact that it concerns VMAT technique. Secondly, to our knowledge, this is the first existing observational study with detailed measurements of the endpoint on patients treated with photons. On the other hand, our study has several limitations: the lack of a validation cohort to confirm our dosimetric results is probably the most important shortcoming. Secondly, a quality-of-life assessment or a patient-reported outcome data to describe how the patients psychologically experienced the hair loss would have added value to our research. Thirdly, an important drawback of our work is the lack of a more advanced modeling to robustly predict the risk of radiation induced alopecia. In this regard, a very recent study (38) provided normal tissue complication probability (NTCP) model for alopecia in patients treated with scanning beam protontherapy. Although it is necessary to take into account the different dose distribution in the superficial tissues for protons (which makes their results not applicable to photon-based radiotherapy), it is of interest to know that relative scalp surface

REFERENCES

- Freites-Martinez A, Shapiro J, Goldfarb S, Nangia J, Jimenez JJ, Paus R, et al. Hair disorders in patients with cancer. J Am Acad Dermatol. (2019) 80:1179–96. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2018.03.055
- Irvine L, Jodrell N. The distress associated with cranial irradiation: a comparison of patient and nurse perceptions. *Cancer Nurs.* (1999) 22:126– 33 doi: 10.1097/00002820-199904000-00004

receiving 21 Gy (S_{21Gy}) and age were selected as predictive factors for acute G2 alopecia whereas $D_{2\%}$ (near maximum scalp dose) was found to be related to permanent G2 alopecia.

CONCLUSIONS

We recommend contouring the scalp and including it into the organs at risk list.

According to our results, the steep gradient typical of VMAT gives the possibility to limit the volume of the scalp that receives higher doses that are associated with a greater risk of chronic G2-alopecia. At the same time, by using VMAT, a great proportion of the scalp volume will receive low doses that are sufficient to cause acute but transient alopecia in the majority of patients.

Our study provided new constraints for the scalp to use during the inverse planning process that may help in reducing the probability of hair-loss. Once a treatment is planned, these dose thresholds may help also in estimating the risk of alopecia for each single case. Future developments of our research may provide a validation cohort to confirm further improvement in terms of alopecia-free survival.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SS and GS conceived the study. SS was in charge of overall direction and planning. MP, FT, MT, GC, ML, EO, CD, RG, and VC performed the contouring of the cases at the treatment planning system and were responsible for the clinical evaluation of the alopecia at the end of the treatment and during the follow-up. CT, LM, and SP were responsible for the plans. SS processed the experimental data. CS performed the statistical analysis. SS wrote the manuscript with inputs from DG, PB, BD, MM, ID, GF, VD, CT, LM, SP, and LL. All authors discussed the results and contributed to final manuscript.

- Steinmann D, Paelecke-Habermann Y, Geinitz H, Aschoff R, Bayerl A, Bölling T, et al. Prospective evaluation of quality of life effects in patients undergoing palliative radiotherapy for brain metastases. *BMC Cancer.* (2012) 12:283. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-12-283
- Haider M, Hamadah I, Almutawa A. Radiation- and chemotherapyinduced permanent alopecia: case series. J Cutan Med Surg. (2013) 17:55– 61. doi: 10.2310/7750.2012.12033

- Munro AJ, Biruls R, Griffin AV, Thomas H, Vallis KA. Distress associated with radiotherapy for malignant disease: a quantitative analysis based on patients perceptions. Br J Cancer. (1989) 60:370–4. doi: 10.1038/bjc.1989.287
- Lee, NY, Terezakis SA. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy. J Surg Oncol. (2008) 97:691–6. doi: 10.1002/jso.21014
- Gondi V, Tomé WA, Mehta MP. Why avoid the hippocampus? A comprehensive review. *Radiother Oncol.* (2010) 97:370– 6 doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2010.09.013
- Beddok A, Faivre JC, Coutte A, Guévelou JL, Welmant J, Clavier JB, et al. Practical contouring guidelines with an MR-based atlas of brainstem structures involved in radiation-induced nausea and vomiting. *Radiother Oncol.* (2019) 130:113–20. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2018.08.003
- Lee WS, Ro BI, Hong SP, Bak H, Sim WY, Kim DW, et al. A new classification of pattern hair loss that is universal for men and women: basic and specific (BASP) classification. J Am Acad Dermatol. (2007) 57:37– 46. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2006.12.029
- Roberge D, Parker W, Niazi TM, Olivares M. Treating the contents and not the container: dosimetric study of hair-sparing whole brain intensity modulated radiation therapy. *Technol Cancer Res Treat.* (2005) 4:567– 70. doi: 10.1177/153303460500400510
- Mancini BR, Wilkinson JB, Kim LH, Shaitelman SF, Yan D, Ionascu D, et al. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy or volumetric-modulated arc therapy to reduce alopecia, xerostomia, and otitis after whole brain radiation therapy for brain metastases: a planning analysis. *J Radiat Oncol.* (2013) 2:177–83. doi: 10.1007/s13566-013-0090-y
- Mahadevan A, Sampson C, LaRosa S, Floyd SR, Wong ET, Uhlmann EJ, et al. Dosimetric analysis of the alopecia preventing effect of hippocampus sparing whole brain radiation therapy. *Radiat Oncol.* (2015) 10:245 doi: 10.1186/s13014-015-0555-9
- Scoccianti S, Detti B, Gadda D, Greto D, Furfaro I, Meacci F, et al. Organs at risk in the brain and their dose-constraints in adults and in children: a radiation oncologist's guide for delineation in everyday practice. *Radiother Oncol.* (2015) 114:230–8. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2015.01.016
- Metz CE. Basic principles of ROC analysis. Semin Nucl Med. (1978) 8:283– 98. doi: 10.1016/S0001-2998(78)80014-2
- Zweig MH, Campbell G. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) plots: a fundamental evaluation tool in clinical medicine. *Clin Chem.* (1993) 39:561– 77. doi: 10.1093/clinchem/39.4.561
- Pinnix CC, Cella L, Andraos TY, Ayoub Z, Milgrom SA, Gunther J, et al. Predictors of hypothyroidism in hodgkin lymphoma survivors after intensity modulated versus 3-dimensional radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2018) 101:530–40. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2018.03.003
- Cella L, Conson M, Caterino M, De Rosa N, Liuzzi R, Picardi M, et al. Thyroid V30 predicts radiation-induced hypothyroidism in patients treated with sequential chemo-radiotherapy for hodgkin's lymphoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2012) 82:1802–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.09.054
- Youden WJ. An index for rating diagnostic tests. Cancer. (1950) 3:32–5. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142
- 19. De Puysseleyr A, Van De Velde J, Speleers B, Vercauteren T, Goedgebeur A, Van Hoof T, et al. Hair-sparing whole brain radiotherapy with volumetric arc therapy in patients treated for brain metastases: dosimetric and clinical results of a phase II trial. *Radiat Oncol.* (2014) 9:170. doi: 10.1186/1748-717X-9-170
- Olsen E. Anagen hair-loss: radiation. In: Olsen EA, editor. Disorders of Hair Growth: Diagnosis and Treatment. New York, NY: McGraw Hill; Libraries Australia (1994). p. 225–226
- Vaccaro M, Guarneri F, Brianti P, Cannavò SP. Temporary radiation-induced alopecia after embolization of a cerebral arteriovenous malformation. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* (2015) 40:88–90. doi: 10.1111/ced.12472
- Seol JE, Kim DH, Park SH, Cho GJ, Kim H. Three cases of radiation-induced temporary alopecia with hair microscopic examination: "coudability hair" might not be specific for alopecia areata. *Int J Trichology*. (2018) 10:40– 3. doi: 10.4103/ijt.ijt_74_17
- Archambeau JO, Pezner R, Wasserman T. Pathophysiology of irradiated skin and breast. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. (1995) 31:1171–85. doi: 10.1016/0360-3016(94)00423-I
- Rosenthal DI, Chambers MS, Fuller CD, Rebueno NC, Garcia J, Kies MS, et al. Beam path toxicities to non-target structures during intensity-modulated radiation therapy for head and neck cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2008) 72:747–55. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2008.01.012

- Lawenda BD, Gagne HM, Gierga DP, Niemierko A, Wong WM, Tarbell NJ, et al. Permanent alopecia after cranial irradiation: dose-response relationship. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2004) 60:879–87. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2004.04.031
- 26. Penoncello GP, Ding GX. Skin dose differences between intensitymodulated radiation therapy and volumetric-modulated arc therapy and between boost and integrated treatment regimens for treating head and neck and other cancer sites in patients. *Med Dos.* (2016) 41:80– 6. doi: 10.1016/j.meddos.2015.09.001
- 27. Kao J, Darakchiev B, Conboy L, Ogurek S, Sharma N, Ren X, et al. Tumor directed, scalp sparing intensity modulated whole brain radiotherapy for brain metastases. *Technol Cancer Res Treat.* (2015) 14:547–55. doi: 10.7785/tcrt.2012.500426
- Ting J, Thomas CR, McClure JA, Scarbrough TJ. "Alopecia-less" whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT) via IMRT: preliminary experience and outcomes. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2005) 63(Suppl. 1):S263–4. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.07.451
- Witek M, Vahknenko Y, Siglin J, Harrison A, Xiao Y, Lui H, et al. Dose reduction to the scalp with hippocampal sparing is achievable with intensity modulated radiotherapy. *Int J Med Phys Clin Eng Radiat Oncol.* (2014) 3:176–82. doi: 10.4236/ijmpcero.2014.33023
- Pokhrel D, Sood S, Lominska C, Kumar P, Badkul R, Jiang H, et al. Potential for reduced radiation-induced toxicity using intensity-modulated arc therapy for whole-brain radiotherapy with hippocampal sparing. *J Appl Clin Med Phys.* (2015) 16:131–41. doi: 10.1120/jacmp.v16i5.5587
- Panettieri V, Barsoum P, Westermark M, Brualla L, Lax I. AAA and PBC calculation accuracy in the surface build-up region in tangential beam treatments. Phantombreast case study with the MonteCarlo code PENELOPE. *Radiother Oncol.* (2009) 93:94–101. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2009.05.010
- Cao Y, Yang X, Yang Z, Qiu X, Lv Z, Lei M, et al. Superficial dose evaluation of four dose calculation algorithms. *Radiat Phys and Chem.* (2017) 137:23– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2016.02.032
- Wang L, Cmelak AJ, Ding GX. A simple technique to improve calculated skin dose accuracy in a commercial treatment planning system. J Appl Clin Med Phys. (2018) 19:191–7. doi: 10.1002/acm2.12275
- Abdel-Rahman W, Seuntjens JP, Verhaegen F, Deblois F, Podgorsak EB. Validation of montecarlo calculated surface doses for megavoltage photon beams. *Med Phys.* (2005) 32:286–98. doi: 10.1118/1.1829401
- Devic S, Seuntjens J, Abdel-Rahman W. Accurate skin dose measurements using radiochromic film in clinical applications. *Med Phys.* (2006) 33:1116– 24. doi: 10.1118/1.2179169
- 36. Rogers S, Donachie P, Sugden E, Sharpe G, English M, Robinson K, et al. Comparison of permanent hair-loss in children with standard risk PNETS of the posterior fossa following radiotherapy alone or chemotherapy and radiotherapy after surgical resection. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2011) 57:1074– 6. doi: 10.1002/pbc.22992
- 37. Shakespeare TP, Dwyer M, Mukherjee R, Yeghiaian-Alvandi R, Gebski V. Estimating risks of radiotherapy complications as part of informed consent: the high degree of variability between radiation oncologists may be related to experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2002) 54:647–53. doi: 10.1016/S0360-3016(02)02996-6
- Palma G, Taffelli A, Fellin F, D'Avino V, Scartoni D, Tommasino F, et al. Modelling the risk of radiation induced alopecia in brain tumor patients treated with scanned proton beams. *Radiother Oncol.* (2019) 144:127– 34. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2019.11.013

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Scoccianti, Simontacchi, Greto, Perna, Terziani, Talamonti, Teriaca, Caramia, Lo Russo, Olmetto, Delli Paoli, Grassi, Carfora, Saieva, Bonomo, Detti, Mangoni, Desideri, Francolini, Di Cataldo, Marrazzo, Pallotta and Livi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.