



A Simple Model Established by Blood Markers Predicting Overall Survival After Radical Resection of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma

Li-xiang Zhang¹, Lei Chen^{2,3} and A-Man Xu^{1*}

¹ Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Department of General Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China, ² Department of General Surgery, Xiang'an Hospital Affiliated to Xiamen University, Xiamen, China, ³ Department of General Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Hefei, China

Background: The prognostic prediction after radical resection of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) has not been well-established. We aimed to establish a prognostic model for PDAC based on a new score system, which included a clinical routine serum marker.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Francesco Giovinazzo, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Paul Willemsen, Ziekenhuisnetwerk Antwerpen Middelheim, Belgium Armando Orlandi, Agostino Gemelli University Polyclinic, Italy

> *Correspondence: A-Man Xu jinhyq@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Surgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 22 December 2019 Accepted: 30 March 2020 Published: 30 April 2020

Citation:

Zhang L, Chen L and Xu A-M (2020) A Simple Model Established by Blood Markers Predicting Overall Survival After Radical Resection of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Front. Oncol. 10:583. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.00583 **Methods:** A total of 438 patients who underwent curative PDAC at the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University from January 2007 to January 2014 were included in this study. Univariate and multivariate analyses were used to screen for prognostic risk factors. We constructed the nomogram based on Cox proportional hazard regression models. The construction of the new score models was analyzed by the receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC curve), which were compared with other clinical indexes.

Results: Multivariate analysis showed that TNM stage, CA199, CEA, globulin, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) were independent prognostic factors. The new score system had a higher AUC value than other risk factors, and the C-index of the nomogram was highly consistent for evaluating survival of PDAC patients in the validation groups and training group, and the external population also verified the nomogram.

Conclusions: For the patients with PDAC after radical surgery, we developed a precise model to predict the prognosis based on the serum markers and other clinical indicators. For surgeons and patients, this score system can be an effective help.

Keywords: pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, NLR, LMR, prognosis, cancer

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic cancer mortality ranks fifth in the most common cancers (1), and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) accounts for more than 90% of all pancreatic cancer cases (2). Pancreatectomy is considered the only means of curative treatment of PDAC, which provides a chance of cure and longer survival; however, the cancer recurrence rate and prognosis are still not optimistic even after radical resection, and the 5-year survival rate is only 25% (3). TNM stage, tumor size, vascular invasion, and other tumor pathological characteristics are associated with the prognosis of PDAC; however, they are hard to get before surgery. At present, studies indicated that

1

serum markers correlated with the cancer-specific survival time (4–6); among them, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and lymphocyteto-monocyte ratio (LMR) as predictors have been studied worldwide in recent years. Additionally, there are also other serum indexes which can evaluate the prognosis of cancer. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)/alanine aminotransferase (ALT) was reported to be the predictor of overall survival (OS) in some tumors (7). Besides, the level of albumin and the albumin globulin ratio, which can reflect the nutrient condition, are associated with the prognosis of patients (8). In this study, we are trying to find more clinical serum markers which can help assess the prognosis of PDAC and then build a reliable new score system.

Cancer-related systemic inflammatory response pushes forward an immense influence on the progression and outcome of tumors (4, 9), such as NLR, PLR, and LMR. Studies also found blood enzyme markers including γ -glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), AST, and ALT, which can reflect the liver function and play a vital clinical significance in the prognosis of some cancers (10, 11). The presence of malnutrition can cause postoperative complications and poor prognosis (12), and nutritional markers have significant value for predicting survival. However, the relationship between these blood indexes and prognosis after PDAC resection remains unclear.

There are few studies that had republished to access the prediction of inflammatory markers, nutritional markers, and blood enzyme markers for OS in PDAC patients. Nomogram is a statistic model with a high reliability. In this research, we established a nomogram to explore the value of blood markers and then built a reliable model to predict OS after radical resection of PDAC.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

We collected blood data and clinical data from PDAC patients who were hospitalized in the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University from January 2007 to January 2014. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, patients were analyzed retrospectively during the research. The major criteria for inclusion in the study were included: (1) all patients were diagnosed as PDAC by pathological diagnosis; (2) the tumor is resectable; (3) the patients did not have heart disease or any major organ failure; and (4) peripheral blood tests were obtained within 1 week before operation. The major exclusion criteria for this study are as follows: (1) patients have a history of malignant tumors or various primary tumors; (2) they had received radiotherapy or chemotherapy before treatment; (3) they suffered from certain diseases that might affect peripheral blood cell count, such as infection; and (4) patients died because of lung infection, pulmonary embolism, and other surgery complications. This study included 438 PDAC patients and the external population including 82 PDAC patients who were hospitalized in the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University from January 2015 to January 2016.

Data Collection and Follow-Up

Through the hospital medical record room, we collected the patient's demographic data and clinical pathology data, including age, gender, tumor location, tumor size, differentiation grade, vascular invasion, and nerve invasion.

According to the AJCC 7th TNM staging system, we categorized the pathological tumor stage. The routine laboratory data for testing were as follows: neutrophil, lymphocyte, platelet, ALT, AST, GGT, albumin, globulin, and so on.

We obtained the peripheral blood tests within a week before operation, and the indexes NLR, PLR, and LMR were determined. NLR was counted by strict neutrophil count divided by strict lymphocyte count. PLR was counted by strict platelet count divided by strict lymphocyte count. LMR was counted by dividing the strict lymphocyte count by the strict monocyte count. According to the median, all variables (CEA, CA199, AFP, NLR, PLR, LMR, etc.) were divided into a low group and a high group.

The enrolled patients were followed up as expected. Their follow-up dates were obtained by telephone and from the clinic. The behavior was performed at regular intervals (every 90 days in 2 years after surgery, every 180 days in 3 or 5 years of age, and every year after 5 years). We followed up all patients, excluding 74 patients, of whom 54 lost contact, 12 died of non-cancer-related deaths, and 8 died because of surgical complications after surgery, and finally, 438 PDAC patients were included in the study. And we also got the 1- and 3-year survival information of the external population.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, and they were analyzed by Student *T*-test; categorical values were identified by quartile (P25, P75), and they were counted by chi-square test or Fisher exact test. The multivariate and univariate survival analyses were carried out using the Cox appropriate hazard pattern. We used the Harrell concordance index (C-index) in the nomogram to assess the model performance. The accuracy of the new scoring system was verified by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and the calibration curve. The SPSS app (version 16.0) and RStudio software (1.1.447-2009-2018; RStudio, Inc.) were used to described the entire data.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

The baseline characteristics of 438 patients (306 in the training group and 132 in the validation group) showed no significant difference in most variables between the training group and the validation group (p > 0.05) (**Table 1**).

Abbreviations: PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; ROC curve, receiver operator characteristic curve; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GGT, the γ -glutamyl transpeptidase; C-index, concordance index; AUC, area under the ROC curve; OS, overall survival.

TABLE 1 Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of patients in
training cohort and validation cohort.

racteristics of patients in	TABLE 2 Univariate analysis of the training cohort.
-----------------------------	---

Variables	Training cohort $(n = 306)$	Validation cohort (n = 132)	P 0.751	
Age (years)	56.2 ± 11.4	55.9 ± 11.0		
Body mass index (Kg/m ²)	22.4 (20.6, 24.5)	23.0 (20.9,24.5)	0.249	
Tumor size (cm)	4.0 (3.0,6.0)	4.0 (3.0,5.0)	0.561	
Prothrombin time activity(s)	13.3 (12.7, 13.9)	13.20 (12.60,13.83)	0.399	
APTT(s)	36.90 (34.32,40.2)	36.40 (34.0,40.3)	0.291	
ALT	45 (19,203)	39 (18,140.5)	0.177	
AST	36 (18, 95)	42 (19,133.25)	0.135	
GGT	75 (20.0,420.0)	86.5 (20.0, 573.5)	0.200	
CEA (g/L)	3.0 (1.6,5.65)	3.85 (2.1, 7.12)	0.986	
CA199 (µmol/L)	74 (10, 717.02)	136.9 (19, 1019.75)	0.236	
AFP (mmol/l)	2.80 (2.0, 4.0)	2.98 (2.35, 4.03)	0.301	
Gender			0.836	
Male	170 (55.56%)	92 (69.70%)		
Female	136 (44.44%)	40 (30.30%)		
T stage			0.001	
T1	20 (6.54%)	13 (9.85%)		
T2	143 (46.73%)	35 (26.52%)		
ТЗ	126 (41.18%)	71 (53.79%)		
T4	17 (5.56%)	13 (9.85%)		
N stage			0.004	
NO	209 (68.30%)	71 (53.79%)		
N1-3	97 (31.70%)	61 (46.21%)		
M stage			0.011	
MO	266 (86.93%)	102 (77.27%)		
M1	40 (13.07%)	30 (22.73%)		
Nerve invasion			0.014	
Yes	248 (81.05%)	93 (70.45%)		
No	58 (18.95%)	39 (29.55%)		
Vascular invasion			0.067	
Yes	230 (75.16%)	88 (66.67%)		
No	76 (24.84%)	44 (33.33%)		

Variable	β	HR (95% CI for HR)	Р	
Statistically non-signific	ant factors			
Gender	-0.478	0.620 (0.330–1.165)	0.137	
Age	0.378	1.460 (0.909–2.346)	0.118	
BMI	0.001	1.001 (0.999–1.001)	0.057	
ALT	0.001	1.001 (0.999–1.001)	0.075	
Hemoglobin	0.099	0.905 (0.717–1.141)	0.401	
APTT	0.011	1.011 (0.984–1.038)	0.413	
Triglycerides	0.017	1.016 (0.806–1.281)	0.888	
Cholesterol	-0.076	0.926 (0.735–1.167)	0.518	
AFP	0.043	0.957 (0.759–1.206)	0.710	
Location(head and other location)	0.038	1.038 (0.814–1.324)	0.759	
Statistically significant f	actors			
Tumor size	0.396	0.673 (0.502–0.903)	0.008	
NLR	0.754	2.124 (1.675–2.695)	< 0.001	
PLR	0.463	1.588 (1.257–2.004)	< 0.001	
LMR	-0.782	0.457 (0.360–0.579)	< 0.001	
CEA	0.500	1.650 (1.305–2.085)	< 0.001	
CA199	0.562	1.754 (1.390–2.215)	< 0.001	
γ-GT	0.001	1.000 (0.999–1.000)	0.250	
TNM stage(I/II vs III/IV)	0.657	1.928 (1.468–2.532)	< 0.001	
Nerve invasion	0.323	1.380 (1.057–1.802)	0.017	
Vascular invasion	0.409	1.505 (1.173–1.932)	0.001	
Globulin	0.339	0.7125 (0.563–0.901)	< 0.001	
Albumin	-0.245	0.782 (0.620–0.986)	0.038	
AST	0.001	1.001 (1.000-1.002)	0.024	
Differentiation grade	0.104	1.109 (1.009–1.218)	0.030	
PT	0.103	1.108 (1.001–1.227)	0.047	

TABLE 3 | Multivariate analysis of the training cohort.

Variable	Exp(coef)	Exp(-coef)	Lower 0.95	Upper 0.95	Р	
LMR	0.6821	1.4660	0.5015	0.9278	0.01478	
CA199	1.4253	0.7016	1.1165	1.8195	0.00444	
CEA	1.3577	0.7366	1.0614	1.7366	0.01492	
TNM	1.5900	0.6289	1.1934	2.1185	0.00154	
NLR	1.5422	0.6484	1.1416	2.0833	0.00476	
Globulin	0.7810	1.2805	0.6158	0.9903	0.04135	

BMI, Body mass index; AFP, Alpha-fetoprotein; ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, y-glutamyl transpeptidase.

Prognostic Factors of the Training Cohort

Univariate risk factors of OS are shown in Table 2. The result showed that albumin, globulin, CEA, CA199, LMR, PLR, NLR, vascular invasion, nerve invasion, TNM, tumor size, and GGT were significant indicators, and *p*-values of variables less than 0.05 in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. The results showed that TNM, CEA, CA199, LMR, NLR, and globulin were independent prognostic factors for OS (Table 3).

Prognostic Nomogram for Survival

The OS rate of PDAC was predicted by constructing a nomogram based on COX regression models (Figure 1). Each subgroup variable was assigned a corresponding score for the construction of this nomogram. A score system was used to assign a score of 0 to 100 for each subgroup variable according to the specific situation of each PDAC, and then we predicted the corresponding OS rates. The nomogram scoring system is shown in Table 4.



FIGURE 1 | Nomogram for predicting overall survival after curative resection of PDAC.

TABLE 4 | Nomogram scoring system.

LMR	Points	NLR	Points	CA199	Points	CEA	Points	TNM	Points	Globulin	Points
High	0	High	93	Low	0	Low	0	1,11	0	Low	0
Low	82	Yes	0	High	76	High	66	III,IV	100	High	53
Total points 1-Year surv		Year surviva	l probability	Total po	ints	3-year surviva	l probability	Total	points	5-year survival	probability
436		0.2	2	308		0.1		2	23	0.1	
374		0.3	}	231		0.2	2	14	46	0.2	
315		0.4		169		0.3		84		0.3	
255		0.5		110		0.4		25		0.4	
190		0.6	3	50		0.5	5				
113		0.7	7								
12		0.8	3								

Validation of the Nomogram

The calibration curve was used to validate the model's ability for predicting the OS of patients with PDAC (**Figures 2–5**). The model C-index values in the training group and the verification group were 0.697 and 0.634, respectively. To further validate the performance of the model, the ROC curve was plotted for the nomogram (**Figures 6**, 7), and the area under the curve (AUC) of the nomogram was large, which indicated that the constructed nomogram was a reliable score system.

Kaplan–Meier Curves

In addition, we divided the training group into three groups according to the total score of the nomogram (low risk: <100; intermediate risk: 100–200; and high risk: >200) (**Figure 8**). The Kaplan–Meier curves show excellent prediction results in the nomogram predicting survival.

Verification Through the External Population

According to the nomogram and the score of our study, we drew the 1-year (**Figure 9**) and 3-year (**Figure 10**) calibration curves and ROC curve (**Figure 11**) of the external population, and the results of the curve have high consistency with those of our training group.

DISCUSSION

Due to limitations of diagnostic techniques, early-stage patients are often difficult to detect, resulting in poor prognosis of PDAC, so pancreatectomy is considered the main treatment currently, but its 5-year survival rate is low. Therefore, many researchers have done a lot of investigations for the improvement of the



survival in the training set.









prognosis of PDAC. Many researches have shown that elevated markers may be associated with prognosis in patients with PDAC. Many prognostic factors have been defined, such as lymph node metastasis, tumor size, degree of differentiation, TNM stage, and vascular invasion. However, because these prognostic factors are difficult to determine before operation, scientists have made extensive research on prognostic serum markers in recent years. This study attempted firstly to establish prognostic nomogram combining serum markers (including inflammatory markers and tumor markers) and clinicopathological characteristics to assess the probability of 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS and to make a highly accurate model of PDAC patients.

Based on multivariate analysis, the results showed that TNM stage, CA199, CEA, globulin, NLR, and LMR were independent prognostic factors for OS. So we developed a nomogram of these markers, and the C-index was 0.697, which indicated our





6



new model is highly accurate in predicting the prognosis of PDAC. Moreover, the AUC of the nomogram is larger than the AUC of other independent factors. Therefore, the nomogram based on multiple factors has greater prognostic value of PDAC patients.

In recent years, nomograms have shown high reliability for predicting tumor progression as a statistic model. Nomograms have better value for predicting prognosis than does TNM stage in some cancers (13, 14). This model has been identified as a new standard, and our study has the same conclusion that the AUC of a nomogram is larger than the AUC of TNM stage. Moreover, it can be applied in the clinic, which can help surgeons to evaluate the prognosis of patients and apply the appropriate treatment. As for PDAC patients, we can collect their clinical information and know their prognosis by their corresponding scores. As for patients with high scores, they need more to be further investigated through physical examination and follow-up, and clinicians need to conduct a comprehensive assessment to improve their prognosis. For surgeons and patients, this score system can help effectively.

Our nomogram contains six variables in which NLR and LMR are consistent with previous studies (15–18). Studies have suggested that systemic inflammation is an important factor which can affect the progression and long-term survival of cancer patients (19). As simple and inexpensive clinical markers, NLR, LMR, and PLR can reflect the state of inflammation, and they are associated with poor prognosis of some tumors but are less reported in PDAC. In this study, NLR and LMR were independent risk factors, while PLR was not. The possible mechanism is that the systemic inflammation caused



survival in the external population.



by malignant tumors can releases a large number of proinflammatory mediators, such as CRP, fibrinogen, VEGF, and TGF- α . These factors stimulate tumor growth and metastasis (20); meanwhile, the antitumor immune response of T cells and natural killer cells in the system, which may be surrounded by a number of neutrophils, may decrease the opportunity to have contact with tumor cells and may have adverse effects on the prognosis of patients (21); besides, monocytes also play an important role in tumor progression (22). Macrophages (TAMs), which are derived from circulating monocytes, can promote tumor migration and proliferation (23). The study of Alexandros Giakoustidis also got the same conclusion (24), so NLR and LMR should be included in the regular assessment indexes of PDAC patients.

Globulin has attracted more and more researchers' attention as an independent prognostic indicator of tumor-related diseases in recent years. Albumin-to-globulin ratio was related with the prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma, small-cell lung cancer, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma (25, 26). In the present study, our results suggest that globulin is significantly associated with the prognosis of PDAC patients. Globulin is a significant component of the inflammatory microenvironment and is produced by immune organs, including a large number of inflammatory response proteins. The level of globulin is related to the inflammatory status of the body. Besides, when the expression of globulin is too high, it can lead to damage of cells and suppress the immunologic function, which can contribute to the progression of malignant tumors (27). In addition to being a diagnostic marker for disease, globulin levels also play a key role in tumor progression and invasion in relation with cell proliferation and apoptosis (28-30).

Our research has several potential limitations: first, the external population needs more cases to verify the results; second, the included patients who had undergone surgical resection could

REFERENCES

- Raimondi S, Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB. Epidemiology of pancreatic cancer: an overview. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2009) 6:699– 708. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2009.177
- 2. Hidalgo M. Pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med. (2010) 362:1605– 17. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra0901557
- Richter A, Niedergethmann M, Sturm JW, Lorenz D, Post S, Trede M, et al. Long-term results of partial pancreaticoduodenectomy for ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreatic head: 25-year experience. World J Surg. (2003) 27:324–9. doi: 10.1007/s00268-002-6659-z
- Balkwill F, Mantovani A. Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? *Lancet*. (2001) 357:539–45. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)04046-0
- Coussens LM, Werb Z. Inflammation and cancer. Nature. (2002) 420:860– 7. doi: 10.1038/nature01322
- Proctor MJ, Talwar D, Balmar SM, O'Reilly DS, Foulis AK, Horgan PG, et al. The relationship between the presence and site of cancer, an inflammationbased prognostic score and biochemical parameters. Initial results of the Glasgow Inflammation Outcome Study. Br J Cancer. (2010) 103:870– 6. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6605855
- Chen SL, Li JP, Li LF, Zeng T, He X. Elevated Preoperative Serum Alanine Aminotransferase/Aspartate Aminotransferase (ALT/AST) ratio is associated with better prognosis in patients undergoing curative treatment for gastric adenocarcinoma. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2016) 17:E911. doi: 10.3390/ijms 17060911
- Bountziouka V, Panagiotakos BD. Statistical methods used for the evaluation of reliability and validity of nutrition assessment tools used in medical research. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2010) 16:3770– 5. doi: 10.2174/138161210794455102
- 9. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*. (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

not represent all PDAC patients.

In summary, TNM stage, CEA, CA199, globulin, NLR, and LMR levels were risk factors for the prognosis, and the novel nomogram model had reliable prognostic value for PDAC patients.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University and the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LZ and A-MX made contributions to the main work. They designed this study and drafted and revised this manuscript. LC provided and helped analyzed the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

- Ma H, Zhang L, Tang B, Wang Y, Chen R, Zhang B, et al. Glutamyltranspeptidase is a prognostic marker of survival and recurrence in radiofrequency-ablation treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2014) 3084–9. doi: 10.1245/s10434-014-3724-4
- Liu X, Meng QH, Ye Y, Hildebrandt MA, Gu J, Wu X. Prognostic significance of pretreatment serum levels of albumin, LDH and total bilirubin in patients with non-metastatic breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis*. (2015) 36:243– 8. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgu247
- Gomes de Lima KV, Maio R. Nutritional status, systemic inflammation and prognosis of patients with gastrointestinal cancer. *Nutr Hosp.* (2012) 27:707– 14. doi: 10.3305/nh/2012.27.3.5567
- Zivanovic O, Jacks LM, Iasonos A, Leitao MM Jr, Soslow RA, Veras E, et al. A nomogram to predict postresection 5-year overall survival for patients with uterine leiomyosarcoma. *Cancer.* (2012) 118:660–9. doi: 10.1002/cncr.26333
- Iasonos A, Schrag D, Raj GV, Panageas KS. How to build and interpret a nomogram for cancer prognosis. J Clin Oncol. (2008) 26:1364– 70. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.12.9791
- Ying HQ, Deng QW, He BS, Pan YQ, Wang F, Sun HL, et al. The prognostic value of preoperative NLR, d-NLR, PLR and LMR for predicting clinical outcome in surgical colorectal cancer patients. *Med Oncol.* (2014) 31:305. doi: 10.1007/s12032-014-0305-0
- Azab B, Shah N, Radbel J, Tan P, Bhatt V, Vonfrolio S, et al. Pretreatment neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio is superior to platelet/lymphocyte ratio as a predictor of long-term mortality in breast cancer patients. *Med Oncol.* (2013) 30:432. doi: 10.1007/s12032-012-0432-4
- Li GJ, Xu HW, Ji JJ, Yang F, Gao BQ. Prognostic value of preoperative lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Oncol Targets Ther.* (2016) 9:1085–92. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S96707
- Cooper CL, O'Toole SA, Kench JG. Classification, morphology and molecular pathology of premalignant lesions of the pancreas. *Pathology*. (2013) 45:286– 304. doi: 10.1097/PAT.0b013e32835f2205

- Roxburgh CS, Salmond JM, Horgan PG, Oien KA, McMillan DC.The relationship between the local and systemic inflammatory responses and survival in patients undergoing curative surgery for colon and rectal cancers. J Gastrointest Surg. (2009) 13:2011–8. doi: 10.1007/s11605-009-1034-0
- Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell. (2010) 140:883–99. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025
- Smyth MJ, Dunn GP, Schreiber RD. Cancer immunosurveillance and immunoediting: the roles of immunity in suppressing tumor development and shaping tumor immunogenicity. *Adv Immunol.* (2006) 90:1–50. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2776(06)90001-7
- 22. Evani SJ, Prabhu RG, Gnanaruban V, Finol EA, Ramasubramanian AK. Monocytes mediate metastatic breast tumor cell adhesion to endothelium under flow. *FASEB J.* (2013) 27:3017–29. doi: 10.1096/fj.12-224824
- Condeelis J, Pollard JW. Macrophages: obligate partners for tumor cell migration, invasion, and metastasis. *Cell.* (2006) 124:263–6. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.007
- 24. Giakoustidis A, Neofytou K, Neves MA, Giakoustidis D, Louri E, Cunningham D, et al. Identifying the role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelets-to-lymphocyte ratio as prognostic markers in patients undergoing resection of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg.* (2018) 22:197–207. doi: 10.14701/ahbps.2018.22.3.197
- Lv GY, An L, Sun XD, Hu YL, Sun DW. Pretreatment albumin to globulin ratio can serve as a prognostic marker in human cancers: a meta-analysis. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2018) 476:81–91. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2017.11.019
- 26. Jing CY, Fu YP, Shen HJ, Zheng SS, Lin JJ, Yi Y, et al. Albumin to gamma-glutamyltransferase ratio as a prognostic indicator in intrahepatic

cholangiocarcinoma after curative resection. *Oncotarget.* (2017) 8:13293-303. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.14530

- 27. Shiroyama T, Suzuki H, Tamiya M, Tamiya A, Tanaka A, Okamoto N, et al. Pretreatment advanced lung cancer inflammation index (ALI) for predicting early progression in nivolumab-treated patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Med.* (2017) 7:13–20. doi: 10.1002/cam4.1234
- Fortunati N, Catalano MG, Boccuzzi G, Frairia R. Sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), estradiol and breast cancer. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. (2010) 316:86–92. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2009.09.012
- Berndt SI, Chatterjee N, Huang WY, Chanock SJ, Welch R, Crawford ED, et al. Variant in sex hormone-binding globulin gene and the risk of prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* (2007) 16:165– 8. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0689
- Qu X, Pang Z, Yi W, Wang Y, Wang K, Liu Q, et al. High percentage of alpha1-globulin in serum protein is associated with unfavorable prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Med Oncol.* (2014) 31:238. doi: 10.1007/s12032-014-0238-7

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Zhang, Chen and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.