



Association of Caveolin-1 Expression With Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Pei Chen^{1†}, Yu-ling Zhang^{1*†}, Bai Xue² and Guo-ying Xu²

¹ Department of Basic Medicine, Jiangsu College of Nursing, Huai'an, China, ² Department of Medical Technology, Jiangsu College of Nursing, Huai'an, China

Purpose: The prognostic value of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer remains uncertain. Hence, this meta-analysis was performed to evaluate the prognostic value of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer, as well as ascertain the relationship between caveolin-1 expression and clinicopathological characteristics of prostate cancer patients.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Ghulam Nabi, University of Dundee, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Zeyad D. Nassar, University of Adelaide, Australia Xianda Zhao, University of Minnesota. United States

> ***Correspondence:** Yu-ling Zhang hywxzyl@163.com

⁺These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Genitourinary Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 16 May 2020 Accepted: 23 November 2020 Published: 08 January 2021

Citation:

Chen P, Zhang Y, Xue B and Xu G-y (2021) Association of Caveolin-1 Expression With Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Oncol. 10:562774. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.562774 **Methods:** The PubMed, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure and Chinese Biology Medicine databases were electronically searched to retrieve published studies on caveolin-1 expression in prostate cancer. After study selection and data extraction, the meta-analysis was conducted using Review manager 5.3 software. Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was used to estimate the pooled effect. Funnel plot was used to assess publication bias.

Results: A total of ten studies were enrolled, which included 3976 cases of prostate cancer, 72 cases of high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN), and 157 normal controls. Results of the meta-analysis showed that the positive rate of caveolin-1 expression in prostate cancer was 18.28 times higher than that in normal control (OR= 18.28, 95% CI: 9.02-37.04, p<0.01), and 4.73 times higher than that in HGPIN (OR= 4.73, 95% CI: 2.38-9.42, p<0.01). The relationship between caveolin-1 and clinicopathological characteristics of prostate cancer showed that the differences in caveolin-1 expression in patients with prostate-specific antigen (PSA) >10 vs. \leq 10 (OR=2.09, 95% CI: 1.35-3.22, p<0.01), differentiation degree low vs. medium/high (OR=2.74, 95% CI: 1.84-4.08, p<0.01), TNM stage T3+T4 vs. T1+T2 (OR=2.77, 95% CI: 1.78-4.29, p<0.01), and lymph node metastasis present vs. absent (OR=2.61, 95% CI: 1.84-3.69, p<0.01) were statistically significant. The correlation analysis between caveolin-1 and the survival time of patients with prostate cancer demonstrated that caveolin-1 was closely related to the prognosis of prostate cancer patients (HR=1.50, 95% CI: 1.28-1.76, p<0.01).

Conclusion: Caveolin-1 is overexpressed in prostate cancer, which can serve as a risk factor and adverse clinicopathological feature of prostate cancer. Caveolin-1 can also predict poor survival in prostate cancer patients after radical prostatectomy.

Keywords: prostate cancer, caveolin-1, prognosis, meta-analysis, clinicopathological parameters

INTRODUCTION

According to the official cancer epidemic statistics, about 914,000 new cases of prostate cancer were detected in 2008, accounting for 13.8% of all male cancer incidence, and the fifth highest of all cancer incidence (regardless of gender) (1). The majority (80%) of newly diagnosed cases are localized prostate cancer, while the others are advanced or metastatic prostate cancer (2). Overall survival (OS) rate is very high in localized disease, but decreases dramatically in advanced and metastatic cases, with the 5-years survival rate of 26%–30% (3). Therefore, it is critical to explore effective biomarkers for early diagnosis, and for predicting tumor progression and prognosis, which will significantly reduce mortality, especially in advanced or metastatic prostate cancer patients.

There are three known caveolins (caveolin-1, 2, and 3) that are ubiquitously expressed in all cell types (4, 5), and are involved in binding, localizing and regulating various signaling proteins (6). Caveolin-1 is an integral membrane protein encoded by the CAV1 gene, which is related to the formation of caveolae. The caveolin-1 gene is located at chromosome 7q31.1 and has two subtypes (a and b), which have a high incidence of tumor suppressor gene loss in various tumor types. The structure and function of the caveolin-1 gene family are highly conserved in different species, indicating that it is essential for maintaining cellular functions. Caveolin-1 mainly interacts with various signal transduction molecules through phosphorylation/dephosphorylation signaling. It plays an important role in cholesterol and lipid transport, membrane transport, signal transduction, and cell adhesion. Moreover, caveolin-1 is involved in the regulation of various signaling pathways such as cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, migration, and angiogenesis, and is closely correlated with the occurrence, development, invasion, and metastasis of tumors. The carcinogenic role of caveolin-1 has been identified in many tumors, suggesting that it may act as a novel therapeutic target for tumors.

Caveolin-1 is reportedly expressed in prostate cancer, and may be related to the clinicopathological characteristics of prostate cancer patients. However, due to sample size limitation, ethnic differences and other factors, the results from different studies have been inconsistent. Hence, this metaanalysis was conducted to comprehensively evaluate the role of caveolin-1 in early diagnosis, progression prediction, and prognosis of prostate cancer, as well as to clarify the relationship between caveolin-1 and the clinicopathological characteristics of prostate cancer patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This meta-analysis was based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) guidelines (7).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria: (1) Patients with prostate cancer confirmed by pathology. (2) Immunohistochemistry was used to detect the expression of caveolin-1. (3) Full texts were available. (4) The

Exclusion criteria: (1) Animal experiment; (2) Comment, review or case report; (3) The effective data could not be extracted.

When duplicate studies were identified, the study with the most complete data and higher methodological quality was included.

Literature Search

The PubMed, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure and Chinese Biology Medicine databases were electronically searched from their inception to June 2020. The following search strategy and key words were used: ("Prostate Cancer" OR "Cancer, Prostate" OR "Prostate Carcinoma" OR "Carcinoma, Prostate" OR "Prostate Neoplasm" OR "Neoplasm, Prostate") AND ("Caveolin-1" OR "Caveolin" OR CAV1). The reference lists of retrieved articles were also manually reviewed to identify additional potentially relevant studies.

Data Extraction

Using a pre-specified, standardized data extraction form, two researchers independently completed the data extraction and sorting. Any disagreements were resolved by discussion to achieve a consensus. The extracted data mainly included the first author's name, country, date of publication, number of patients and controls, tumor differentiation, serum prostatespecific antigen (PSA) level, clinical stage, and lymph node metastasis. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) scale was used to evaluate the quality of enrolled studies.

Statistical Analysis

Review manager 5.3 software was used for statistical analysis. Pooled odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI were used to evaluate the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer patients and controls. The $\chi 2$ and I² tests were used to judge heterogeneity between studies. If I²<50% and p>0.10, there was no statistical heterogeneity, and a fixed effects model was selected for data consolidation. If I²>50% and p<0.10, there was statistical heterogeneity, and a random effects model was used for data consolidation. If the heterogeneity was very high, only descriptive score was given. The inverted funnel chart was used to analyze publication bias.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Enrolled Studies

Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 10 studies (8–17) were enrolled, which included 3976 cases of prostate cancer, of which 962 were positive for caveolin-1. Moreover, 72 cases were high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia of prostate (HGPIN), of which 15 were positive for caveolin-1. A total of 157 normal controls were included, of which 23 were positive for caveolin-1. Eight studies reported the relationship between the differentiation degree of prostate cancer cells and caveolin-1 expression. Seven

studies reported the relationship between serum level of PSA and caveolin-1 expression. Seven studies reported the relationship between TNM clinical stage and caveolin-1 expression. Six studies reported the relationship between lymph node metastasis and caveolin-1 expression. The literature retrieval process is shown in **Figure 1**, and the basic characteristics of the studies are shown in **Table 1**.

Quality Evaluation of Included Studies

The NOS scale was used to evaluate the quality of the included studies, mainly based on the selection of cases and controls, the representativeness of cases, the control of confounding factors, the consistency of detection methods and other aspects. The results are shown in **Table 2**.

Sensitivity Analysis and Publication Bias

Sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the influence of each study on the overall result by sequentially eliminating each study. The results showed that no individual study significantly affected the pooled ORs, suggesting that the results of this meta-analysis were stable and reliable.

Funnel chart was used to evaluate the publication bias of the studies. The shape of the funnel chart did not show any evidence of significant asymmetry in the dominant model (**Figure 2**).



TABLE 1 | Detailed characteristics of enrolled studies.

First Author	Ν	(Caveolin-1 Positive)	Differentiation (High/Low)	PSA0-10/>10 (ng/ml)	Clinical stagel-II/III-IV	Lymph node metastases(+/-)	Survival analysis
		Control	Prostate cancer	HGPIN		(-3,,			
Wang XM (8)	67		29/47	2/20	27/20	6/41	26/21		_
Mohammed DA (9)	65	5/25	18/20	12/20	7/13	10/10		16/4	-
Liu ZG (10)	67	2/20	29/47		27/20	6/41	26/21		-
Zeng YW (11)	143	6/69	41/59	6/15	29/30	5/54	34/25	22/37	+
Wei SP (12)	72	1/30	17/42		24/18	11/31	25/17		-
Karam JA (13)	232		70/232		210/20			6/226	+
Satoh T (14)	152		46/152		132/20	87/64	137/15	10/139	+
Yang G (15)	189		47/189		166/23	75/53*	165/17	27/162	+
Yang G (16)	111	1/13	23/71	3/17			29/42	25/46	-
Mathieu R (17)	3,117		642/3,117					73/3,044	+

HGPIN, high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia; PSA, prostate specific antigen.

TABLE 2 | Quality evaluation of included studies.

First Author	1	2	3	4	5A	5 B	6	Ø	8	NOS Scores
Wang XM (8)	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	5
Mohammed DA (9)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	8
Liu ZG (10)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	8
Zeng YW (11)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	8
Wei SP (12)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	8
Karam JA (13)	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	5
Satoh T (14)	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	5
Yang G (15)	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	5
Yang G (16)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	8
Mathieu R (17)	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	5

(1) Whether the determination of cases was appropriate; (2) Representativeness of cases; (3) Selection of control; (4) Determination of control; (5) In the design and analysis, the comparability of case and control was considered. A: control the most important confounding factors, B: control any confounding factors; (6) Definition of exposure; (7) Whether the same method was used for case and control exposure; (8) No response rate.



(D) Expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer with lymph node metastasis. (G) Caveolin-1 acts as a predictor for tumor prognosis.

Results of Meta-Analysis Comparison of Caveolin-1 Expression Between Prostate Cancer and Control

Five studies reported the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer cases and controls, which included 239 cases of prostate cancer, of which 128 cases were positive for caveolin-1, with a positive rate of 53.56%. Among the 157 controls, 15 were positive for caveolin-1, with a positive rate of 9.55%. There was no heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 0\%$, p=0.72). The fixed effects model was used for meta-analysis. The results showed that the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer was 18.28 times higher than that in normal controls (OR=18.28, 95% CI: 9.02–37.04, p<0.01) (**Figure 3**).

Comparison of Caveolin-1 Expression Between Prostate Cancer and HGPIN

Four studies reported the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer and HGPIN, which included 197 cases of prostate cancer, of which 111 cases were positive for caveolin-1, with a positive rate of 56.35%. Among the 72 cases of HGPIN, 23 cases were positive for caveolin-1, with a positive rate of 31.94%. There was no heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 15\%$, p=0.32). The fixed effects model was used for meta-analysis. The results showed that the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer was 4.73 times higher than that in HGPIN (OR=4.73, 95% CI: 2.38-9.42, p<0.01) (Figure 4).

Relationship Between Caveolin-1 Expression and Clinicopathological Characteristics of Prostate Cancer

The relationship between the expression of caveolin-1 and clinicopathological characteristics of prostate cancer, including tumor differentiation, level of serum PSA, TNM clinical stage, and lymph node metastasis was explored. The results demonstrated that overexpression of caveolin-1 was correlated with low grade differentiation. The rate of caveolin-1 expression in low grade differentiated prostate cancer cases was 2.74 times higher than that in high grade (OR=2.74, 95% CI: 1.84–4.08, p<0.01, **Figure 5**). Overexpression of caveolin-1 expression in prostate cancer with PSA >10 ng/ml was 2.09 times higher than that in prostate cancer with PSA <10 ng/ml (OR = 2.09, 95% CI: 1.35–3.22, p< 0.01, **Figure 6**). Overexpression of caveolin-1 was also correlated with TNM stages (III+IV) and lymph node









metastasis (+). The rate of caveolin-1 expression was 2.77 and 3.91 times higher in TNM stages (III+IV) and lymph node metastasis (+) than that in TNM stages (I + II) and lymph node metastasis (-) [(OR=2.77, 95% CI: 1.78-4.29, p<0.01, **Figure** 7) and (OR=2.61, 95% CI: 1.84–3.69, p<0.01, **Figure 8**)], respectively. No obvious heterogeneity was observed among the included studies while analyzing the relationship between caveolin-1 and tumor differentiation, level of serum PSA, TNM clinical stage and lymph node metastasis.

Caveolin-1 Acts as a Predictor for Tumor Prognosis

Five studies reported the relationship between the overexpression of caveolin-1 and the survival time of prostate cancer patients after radical prostatectomy. Meta-analysis showed that HR = 1.50, 95%

CI: 1.28–1.76, p<0.01 (**Figure 9**), indicating that the survival time of patients with caveolin-1 overexpression was significantly lower than that of patients with normal expression after radical prostatectomy, suggesting that caveolin-1 is closely related to the prognosis of prostate cancer patients.

DISCUSSION

Caveolins are a family of scaffolding proteins that coat 50–100 nm plasma membrane invaginations (18). Caveolin-1 is an essential structural component of caveolae. Earlier studies indicated that caveolin-1 was related to endocytosis, signaling and lipid disorders, while recent studies focused on clarifying its relevance in cancer. Caveolin-1 is expressed in esophageal carcinoma (19, 20), prostate







FIGURE 7 | Forest plot on the effect of clinical stage on the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer. Seven studies reported the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer with different TNM stages. No heterogeneity was observed among the included studies (l^2 =35%, p=0.16). Results showed that the rate of caveolin-1 expression was 2.77 times higher in TNM stages (II + IV) than that in TNM stages (I + II).

	Positi	ve	Negat	ive		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Karam JA 2007	4	6	66	226	3.3%	4.85 [0.87, 27.12]	
Mathieu R 2016	22	73	620	3044	58.6%	1.69 [1.02, 2.80]	- -
Mohammed DA 2017	16	16	2	4	0.3%	33.00 [1.20, 908.19]	
Satoh T 2003	5	10	41	139	8.0%	2.39 [0.66, 8.70]	
Yang G 1998	14	25	9	46	8.1%	5.23 [1.79, 15.32]	
Yang G 1999	11	27	36	162	17.6%	2.41 [1.03, 5.64]	
Zeng YL 2013	20	22	21	37	4.1%	7.62 [1.55, 37.45]	
Total (95% CI)		179		3658	100.0%	2.61 [1.84, 3.69]	•
Total events	92		795				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 8.	.99, df = 6	(P = 0.	17); 12 = 3	33%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 5.41 (P	< 0.00	001)				Favours [Positive] Favours [Negative]

FIGURE 8 | Forest plot on the effect of lymph node metastasis on caveolin-1 expression in prostate cancer. Six studies reported the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer with lymph node metastasis. No heterogeneity was observed among the included studies (l^2 =33%, p=0.17). Results showed that the rate of caveolin-1 expression was 2.61 times higher in positive lymph node metastasis (+) than that in negative lymph node metastasis (-).

Study or Subgroup Karam JA 2007	log[Hazard Ratio]	0.42	3.7%	IV, Fixed, 95% CI 3.10 [1.36, 7.05]	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Mathieu R 2016	0.29		65.9%			
Satoh T 2003	0.67					
Yang G 1999	0.73	0.32	6.4%	2.08 [1.11, 3.89]		
Zeng YL 2013	0.42	0.21	14.9%	1.52 [1.01, 2.30]		
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.50 [1.28, 1.76]	•	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	6.30, df = 4 (P = 0.18	8); I² = 1	37%			100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.97 (P < 0.0000	01)			Favours (experimental) Favours [control]	100
					studies reported the relationship betw	

cancer (8–17), colon cancer (21), breast cancer (22), bladder cancer (23), lung cancer (24), and other cancers (25, 26), and acts as a tumor promoter or suppressor. As a tumor promoter, high expression of caveolin-1 drives tumorigenesis by inhibiting apoptosis, facilitating anchorage-independent growth, drug resistance as well as metastasis (27, 28). In contrast, caveolin-1 also acts as a tumor suppressor and its low expression favors tumor progression (29, 30).

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer in men, accounting for 10%-20% of all cancer types. Recent studies have shown that caveolin-1 is closely related to the occurrence and development of prostate cancer. The serum level of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer patients is significantly higher than that in normal and benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH) patients (31). Moreover, the expression of caveolin-1 is positively correlated with prostate cancer progression and metastasis (32). The expression of caveolin-1 in erosive cells was about two times higher than that in non-erosive cells. Caveolin-1 mediates the aggregation of membrane proteins and the signaling of cell proliferation, leading to the occurrence and development of tumors. Therefore, overexpression of caveolin-1 in aggressive prostate cancer cells can confer a survival advantage to these cells, leading to disease progression (33). Due to insufficient samples, ethnic differences, different detection methods, and other factors, previous studies on the relationship between caveolin-1 and prostate cancer showed significantly divergent or conflicting results. Hence, this meta-analysis was conducted to verify the correlation between caveolin-1 and prostate cancer through comprehensive statistical analysis.

The results of this meta-analysis indicated that the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer was 18.28 times higher than that in the normal controls and 4.73 times higher than that in HGPIN, suggesting that caveolin-1 plays an important role in HGPIN and prostate cancer. PSA is the most commonly used serological marker for the diagnosis of prostate cancer. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved PSA detection as an indicator for general survey of men over 50 years of age. The PSA value of normal men is <4 ng/ml. The results of the present meta-analysis indicated that the expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer with PSA >10 ng/ml was 2.09 times higher than that with PSA <10 ng/ml, suggesting that the expression of caveolin-1 increases gradually with carcinogenesis, and is a promotive factor for the occurrence and development of prostate cancer.

Univariate analysis showed that caveolin-1 overexpression in prostate cancer patients was significantly correlated with low grade differentiation (OR=2.74, p<0.01), advanced clinical stage (OR=2.77, p<0.01), and positive lymph node metastasis (OR=3.91, p<0.01). Caveolin-1 expression is known to be closely related to the invasion and metastasis of prostate cancer. Caveolin-1 overexpression also predicts poor survival in patients with prostate cancer. The patients with high expression of caveolin-1 had a shorter survival time than those with normal expression of caveolin-1, which can be used as an important reference index to predict the prognosis in prostate cancer.

Tahir SA et al. (31) reported that elevated preoperative levels of serum Caveolin-1 predicted decreased time to cancer recurrence. And Steiner I et al. (34) also reported that lower Caveolin-1 mRNA expression was associated with biochemical recurrence. However, Mathieu R et al. (17) indicated that Caveolin-1 was an independent predictor of BCR in multivariable analyses that adjusted for the effects of standard clinicopathological features, but, it did not add prognostically relevant information to established predictors of BCR, limiting its use in clinical practice. Although the above results indicate that Cav-1 is closely related to prostate cancer recurrence, the application of Caveolin-1 in prostate cancer recurrence still needs further research to verify.

This is the first study to report the different expression levels of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer tissues, precancerous lesion tissues (HGPIN) and normal prostate tissues, confirming that caveolin-1 is overexpressed in prostate cancer and HGPIN tissues. In prostate cancer patients, the expression of caveolin-1 was closely related to cancer cell differentiation, clinical stage, lymph node metastasis, and serum PSA level. Similar to the results of Liu et al. (35), this study also found that overexpression of caveolin-1 predicts poor prognosis in prostate cancer patients after radical prostatectomy.

This study had a few limitations. First, only published English and Chinese studies were enrolled in the meta-analysis, which may have led to publication bias. Second, due to limitation of included studies, the sample size enrolled in the meta-analysis was relatively small. Third, due to different detection methods and criteria, the enrolled studies had some heterogeneity. Fourth, because of different race and age, the subjects included in each study were also a source of heterogeneity. Fifth, because the included articles did not report detailed survival data, we could only use the Kaplan-Meier curve in the survival analysis to infer the corresponding results, which may have overestimated or underestimated the real survival data. Hence, the results of this meta-analysis should be verified by additional larger sample size and well-designed studies.

CONCLUSION

The results of this meta-analysis demonstrated that caveolin-1 is overexpressed in prostate cancer. The overexpression of caveolin-1 is associated with an unfavorable clinicopathological status, including a high level of serum PSA, low degree of differentiation, advanced clinical stage, and positive lymph node metastasis. Hence, the overexpression of caveolin-1 predicts poor survival. Caveolin-1 could be a new candidate tumor marker for prostate cancer, which could provide clinical basis for the diagnosis and prognosis evaluation.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets presented in this study are included in the article/ supplementary material.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

REFERENCES

- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer* (2010) 127(12):2893–917.
- Li J, Siegel DA, King JB. Stage-specific incidence rates and trends of prostate cancer by age, race, and ethnicity, United States, 2004-2014. Ann Epidemiol (2018) 28(5):328–30.
- Steele CB, Li J, Huang B, Weir HK. Prostate cancer survival in the United States by race and stage (2001-2009): Findings from the CONCORD-2 study. *Cancer* (2017) 123 Suppl 24(Suppl 24):5160–77.
- Gupta R, Toufaily C, Annabi B. Caveolin and cavin family members: dual roles in cancer. *Biochimie* (2014) 107 Pt B:188–202.
- 5. Liu P, Rudick M, Anderson RG. Multiple functions of caveolin-1. J Biol Chem (2002) 277(44):41295–8.
- 6. Parat MO, Riggins GJ. Caveolin-1, caveolae, and glioblastoma. *Neuro Oncol* (2012) 14(6):679–88.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PloS Med* (2009) 6(7):1000097.
- Wang X, Liu Z, Yang Z. Expression and clinical significance of Caveolin-1 in prostate Cancer after transurethral surgery. *BMC Urol* (2018) 18(1):102.
- 9. Mohammed DA, Helal DS. Prognostic significance of epithelial/stromal caveolin-1 expression in prostatic hyperplasia, high grade prostatic intraepithelial hyperplasia and prostatic carcinoma and its correlation with microvessel density. *J Egypt Natl Canc Inst* (2017) 29(1):25–31.
- 10. Liu ZG. Expression and clinical significance of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer [D]. Guangxi Medical University (2015).
- Zeng YW, Lu GY, Gao WY, Xuan LP, Deng XB, Fu X. The expression and significance of caveolin-1, p63 and CK34 β E12 in 59 cases of prostate cancer. *Chongqing Med J* (2013) 42(14):1589–92.
- 12. Wei SP. Expression of caveolin-1 in prostate cancer and its clinical biological significance [D]. Huazhong University of science and technology (2010).
- Karam JA, Lotan Y, Roehrborn CG, Ashfaq R, Karakiewicz PI, Shariat SF. Caveolin-1 overexpression is associated with aggressive prostate cancer recurrence. *Prostate* (2007) 67(6):614–22.
- Satoh T, Yang G, Egawa S, Addai J, Frolov A, Kuwao S, et al. Caveolin-1 expression is a predictor of recurrence-free survival in pT2N0 prostate carcinoma diagnosed in Japanese patients. *Cancer* (2003) 97(5):1225–33.
- Yang G, Truong LD, Wheeler TM, Thompson TC. Caveolin-1 expression in clinically confined human prostate cancer: a novel prognostic marker. *Cancer Res* (1999) 59(22):5719–23.
- Yang G, Truong LD, Timme TL, Ren C, Wheeler TM, Park SH, et al. Elevated expression of caveolin is associated with prostate and breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (1998) 4(8):1873–80.
- Mathieu R, Klatte T, Lucca I, Mbeutcha A, Seitz C, Karakiewicz PI, et al. Prognostic value of Caveolin-1 in patients treated with radical prostatectomy: a multicentric validation study. *BJU Int* (2016) 118(2):243–9.
- Ketteler J, Klein D. Caveolin-1, cancer and therapy resistance. Int J Cancer (2018) 143(9):2092–104.
- Ando T, Ishiguro H, Kimura M, Mitsui A, Mori Y, Sugito N, et al. The overexpression of caveolin-1 and caveolin-2 correlates with a poor prognosis and tumor progression in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol Rep* (2007) 18:601–9.
- 20. Kato K, Hida Y, Miyamoto M, Hashida H, Shinohara T, Itoh T, et al. Overexpression of caveolin-1 in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma correlates with lymph node metastasis and pathologic stage. *Cancer* (2002) 94:929–33.

- Alshenawy HA, Ali MA. Differential caveolin-1 expression in colon carcinoma and its relation to E-cadherin-β-catenin complex. *Ann Diagn Pathol* (2013) 17(6):476–82.
- 22. Patani N, Martin LA, Reis-Filho JS, Dowsett M. The role of caveolin-1 in human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2012) 131(1):1-15. doi: 10.1007/s10549-011-1751-4
- 23. Raja SA, Shah STA, Tariq A, Bibi N, Sughra K, Yousuf A, et al. Caveolin-1 and dynamin-2 overexpression is associated with the progression of bladder cancer. *Oncol Lett* (2019) 18(1):219–26.
- 24. Wu J, Di D, Zhao C, Pan Q, Liu Y, Zhang X, et al. Clinical Significance of Gli-1 And Caveolin-1 Expression in the Human Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* (2018) 19(2):401–6.
- 25. Campbell L, Al-Jayyoussi G, Gutteridge R, Gumbleton N, Griffiths R, Gumbleton S, et al. Caveolin-1 in renal cell carcinoma promotes tumour cell invasion, and in co-operation with pERK predicts metastases in patients with clinically confined disease. *J Transl Med* (2013) 11:255.
- Barresi V, Giuffre' G, Vitarelli E, Todaro P, Tuccari G. Caveolin-1 immunoexpression in human gastric cancer: histopathogenetic hypotheses. *Virchows Arch* (2008) 453(6):571–8.
- 27. Wang Z, Wang N, Liu P, Peng F, Tang H, Chen Q, et al. Caveolin-1, a stressrelated oncotarget, in drug resistance. *Oncotarget* (2015) 6(35):37135–50.
- Nwosu ZC, Ebert MP, Dooley S, Meyer C. Caveolin-1 in the regulation of cell metabolism: a cancer perspective. *Mol Cancer* (2016) 15(1):71. doi: 10.1186/ s12943-016-0558-7
- Burgermeister E, Liscovitch M, Röcken C, Schmid RM, Ebert MP. Caveats of caveolin-1 in cancer progression. *Cancer Lett* (2008) 268(2):187–201.
- Rimessi A, Marchi S, Patergnani S, Pinton P. H-Ras-driven tumoral maintenance is sustained through caveolin-1-dependent alterations in calcium signaling. Oncogene (2014) 33(18):2329-40.
- Tahir SA, Frolov A, Hayes TG, Mims MP, Miles BJ, Lerner SP, et al. Preoperative serum caveolin-1 as a prognostic marker for recurrence in a radical prostatectomy cohort. *Clin Cancer Res* (2006) 12(16):4872–5.
- Corn PG, Thompson TC. Identification of a novel prostate cancer biomarker, caveolin-1: Implications and potential clinical benefit. *Cancer Manag Res* (2010) 2:111–22.
- 33. Ifere GO, Desmond R, Demark-Wahnefried W, Nagy TR. Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism influences aggressive behavior in prostate cancer cells by deregulating cholesterol homeostasis. *Int J Oncol* (2013) 43 (4):1002–10.
- Steiner I, Jung K, Miller K, Stephan C, Erbersdobler A. Expression of endothelial factors in prostate cancer: a possible role of caveolin-1 for tumour progression. Oncol Rep (2012) 27(2):389–95.
- Liu JM, Cheng SH, Liu XX, Xia C, Wang WW, Ma XL. Prognostic value of caveolin-1 in genitourinary cancer: a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med* (2015) 8(11):20760–8.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Chen, Zhang, Xue and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.