



Detecting Corticospinal Tract Impairment in Tumor Patients With Fiber Density and Tensor-Based Metrics

Lucius S. Fekonja^{1,2*}, Ziqian Wang¹, Dogu B. Aydogan³, Timo Roine³, Melina Engelhardt^{1,4}, Felix R. Dreyer^{2,5}, Peter Vajkoczy¹ and Thomas Picht^{1,2,4}

¹ Department of Neurosurgery, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ² Cluster of Excellence: "Matters of Activity. Image Space Material", Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ³ Department of Neuroscience and Biomedical Engineering, Aalto University School of Science, Espoo, Finland, ⁴ Einstein Center for Neurosciences Berlin, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁵ Brain Language Laboratory, Department of Philosophy and Humanities, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

David D. Eisenstat, Royal Children's Hospital, Australia

Reviewed by:

Jyrki Mäkelä Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa, Finland Alireza Mansouri, Pennsylvania State University (PSU), United States

*Correspondence:

Lucius S. Fekonja lucius.fekonja@charite.de orcid.org/0000-0003-1973-4410

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neuro-Oncology and Neurosurgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 28 October 2020 Accepted: 14 December 2020 Published: 27 January 2021

Citation:

Fekonja LS, Wang Z, Aydogan DB, Roine T, Engelhardt M, Dreyer FR, Vajkoczy P and Picht T (2021) Detecting Corticospinal Tract Impairment in Tumor Patients With Fiber Density and Tensor-Based Metrics. Front. Oncol. 10:622358. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.622358 Tumors infiltrating the motor system lead to significant disability, often caused by corticospinal tract injury. The delineation of the healthy-pathological white matter (WM) interface area, for which diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (dMRI) has shown promising potential, may improve treatment outcome. However, up to 90% of white matter (WM) voxels include multiple fiber populations, which cannot be correctly described with traditional metrics such as fractional anisotropy (FA) or apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC). Here, we used a novel fixel-based along-tract analysis consisting of constrained spherical deconvolution (CSD)-based probabilistic tractography and fixel-based apparent fiber density (FD), capable of identifying fiber orientation specific microstructural metrics. We addressed this novel methodology's capability to detect corticospinal tract impairment. We measured and compared tractogram-related FD and traditional microstructural metrics bihemispherically in 65 patients with WHO grade III and IV gliomas infiltrating the motor system. The cortical tractogram seeds were based on motor maps derived by transcranial magnetic stimulation. We extracted 100 equally distributed cross-sections along each streamline of corticospinal tract (CST) for along-tract statistical analysis. Cross-sections were then analyzed to detect differences between healthy and pathological hemispheres. All metrics showed significant differences between healthy and pathologic hemispheres over the entire tract and between peritumoral segments. Peritumoral values were lower for FA and FD, but higher for ADC within the entire cohort. FD was more specific to tumor-induced changes in CST than ADC or FA, whereas ADC and FA showed higher sensitivity. The bihemispheric along-tract analysis provides an approach to detect subject-specific structural changes in healthy and pathological WM. In the current clinical dataset, the more complex FD metrics did not outperform FA and ADC in terms of describing corticospinal tract impairment.

Keywords: tractography, corticospinal tract, diffusion magnetic resonance imaging, motor function, apparent diffusion coefficient, tumor, transcranial magnetic stimulation

Detecting Corticospinal Tract Impairment

INTRODUCTION

In previous studies we introduced the combination of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) cortical motor mapping and tractography to improve surgery of motor eloquent brain tumors (1-4). In a recent study we could also demonstrate that the segmental analysis of diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) derived metrics, such as fractional anisotropy (FA) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), correlated with clinical outcomes (5). Here, we now set out to investigate whether more complex metrics derived from constrained spherical deconvolution (CSD) and probabilistic tractography, which allow for more detailed analysis of the white matter, would prove superior in terms of detecting tumor induced white matter (WM) changes (6). In this context we analyzed the structural impact of gliomas affecting the corticospinal tract (CST) in 65 patients. This was carried out without the generation of a group template because of the lateralized pathology, which allows a clear deduction of interhemispheric differences on the subjectlevel (7). We compared the pathological with the healthy hemisphere and focused on describing tumor-induced changes along the CST with dMRI. We used CSD-based probabilistic tractography at an individual scale within the MRtrix3 framework (8).

DTI enables quantification of the molecular diffusion rate, ADC, or the directional preference of diffusion, FA (9). ADC and FA are established metrics integrated as predictive features in neurosurgical studies (5). The two main diffusion tensor-derived parameters, ADC and FA, are based on voxel-wise eigenvalues, which represent the magnitude of the diffusion process in the principal diffusion orientation and two directions perpendicular to it. These values are influenced by different factors (10). ADC is a measure of the overall diffusivity in a single voxel, regardless of its orientation. It is higher where water diffuses more easily, e.g. in ventricles, lower in structures with high tissue density and consequently more diffusion barriers, such as GM (11). FA describes the directional coherence of water diffusion in tissue and is modulated by numerous biological factors, such as the microstructural and architectural organization of white matter, myelination and non-white matter partial volume effects. Further influences on FA modulation are methodological factors, such as the choice of the estimation, preprocessing methods, and subjective selection of regions of interests (ROIs) (12, 13).

In contrast to DTI, CSD can distinguish complex fiber populations in the brain. In brief, CSD estimates fiber orientation distributions (FODs) within each voxel, based on the expected signal from a single collinearly oriented fiber population (14). By leveraging the rich information in FODs, probabilistic tractography algorithms, such as the iFOD2, have been proposed to address limitations of tensor-based tractography methods (15). In up to 90% of all WM voxels, multiple fiber orientations were observed, and 30 to 40% of these WM voxels contain more than three fiber populations (16–19). Moreover, non-white matter contamination is present in more than a third of the WM voxels (12) and has been addressed by multi-tissue CSD methods (20–22).

A complete picture about the underlying white matter architecture is highly relevant with regard to adequate risk estimation and neurosurgical planning (23). To that end, in addition to the conventional DTI measures, modern CSD-based fiber density (FD) and fixel-based analysis (FBA) methods offer promising opportunities since they are related to the intra-axonal restricted compartment that is specific to a certain fiber orientation within a voxel (24). Based on its advantages for the analysis of crossing fiber regions, we expect this metric to improve the detection of tumor-induced changes along the CST and obtain more specific information about the microstructural effects of tumors in combination with traditional FA or ADC measures. Furthermore, we expect higher specificity of FD in detecting the peritumoral segments, most importantly at the tumor-white matter interface, which is surgically the most important area. However, the translation of advanced neuroimaging to clinical settings is slow both in terms of adapting modern methods and imaging protocols. While there exist tools to use the modern CSD and probabilistic tractography with conventional images, for tumor patients, little is known about how applicable they prove with existing conventional neuroimaging protocols. Nevertheless, clinical feasibility, robustness, and methodological superiority have been proven (25, 26). Until now, fixel-based studies have concentrated on group analyses without subject-specific examination of tumor patients for neurosurgical planning (24). We developed a new variant of FD for the fiber orientation specific along-tract investigation of microstructural properties in relation to infiltrating tumors.

Importantly, we used state-of-the-art TMS methods for motor mapping to find functionally critical regions of interest (ROIs) and used these as seed points to generate streamlines. This approach is shown to be highly effective for surgical planning (4); therefore it is superior to studying the whole CST, which lacks information about patient and tumor specific functional consequences of neurosurgery.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ethical Standard

The study proposal is in accordance with ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Commission of the Charité University Hospital (#EA1/016/19). All patients provided written informed consent for medical evaluations and treatments within the scope of the study.

Patient Selection

We included n = 65 left- and right-handed adult patients in this study (25 females, 40 males, average age 55.6, SD = 15.2, age range 24–81). Only patients with an initial diagnosis of unilateral WHO grade III and IV gliomas (14 WHO grade III, 51 WHO

Abbreviations: ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; CSD, constrained spherical deconvolution; CST, corticospinal tract; dMRI, diffusion magnetic resonance imaging; DTI, diffusion tensor imaging; FA, fractional anisotropy; FD, fiber density; FDI, first dorsal interosseous; FOD, fiber orientation distribution; GM, gray matter; MEP, motor evoked potentials; nTMS, navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation; WM, white matter.

grade IV) were included (**Table 1**). All tumors were infiltrating M1 and the CST or implied critical adjacency, either in the left or right hemisphere. Patients with recurrent tumors, previous radiochemotherapy, multicentric or non-glial tumors were not considered.

Image Acquisition

MRI data were acquired on a Siemens Skyra 3T scanner (Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 32-channel receiver head coil at Charité University Hospital, Berlin, Department of Neuroradiology. These data consisted of a high-resolution T1-weighted structural (TR/TE/TI 2300/2.32/900 ms, 9° flip angle, 256 × 256 matrix, 1 mm isotropic voxels, 192 slices, acquisition time: 5 min) and a single shell dMRI acquisition (TR/TE 7500/95 ms, $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm³ voxels, 128 × 128 matrix, 60 slices, 3 b 0 volumes), acquired at b = 1,000 s/mm² with 40 gradient orientations, for a total acquisition time of 12 min.

Preprocessing and Processing of MRI Data

All T1 images were registered to the dMRI data sets using Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTs) with the Symmetric Normalization (SyN) transformation model (27, 28). The preprocessing of dMRI data included the following and was performed within MRtrix3 (8) in order: denoising (29), removal of Gibbs ringing artefacts (30), correction of subject motion (31), eddy-currents (32) and susceptibility-induced distortions (33) in FMRIB Software Library (34), and subsequent bias field correction with ANTs N4 (35). Each dMRI data set and processing step was visually inspected for outliers and artifacts. Scans with excessive motion were initially excluded (over 10% outlier slices). We upsampled the dMRI data to a 1.3 mm isotropic voxel size before computing FODs to increase anatomical contrast and improve downstream tractography results and statistics. To obtain ADC and FA scalar maps, we first used diffusion tensor estimation using iteratively reweighted linear least squares estimator, resulting in scalar maps of tensorderived parameters (13, 36). For voxel-wise modeling we used a robust and fully automated and unsupervised method. This method allowed to obtain three-tissue response functions representing single-fiber combined white and gray matter and

TABLE 1 Patient demographics.				
	Number (%)			
Demographics				
Sample size	65			
Age	55.6 ± 15.2			
Female	25(38)			
Male	40(62)			
Glioma Degree				
Glioma III	14 (22)			
Glioma IV	51 (78)			
Tumor Location				
Frontal	33 (51)			
Temporal	7 (11)			
Insular	9 (14)			
Parietal	16 (25)			

cerebrospinal fluid from our data with subsequent use of multitissue CSD to obtain tissue specific orientation distribution functions and white matter FODs (20, 22, 37).

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation

Non-invasive functional motor mapping of both pathologic and healthy hemispheres was performed in each patient using navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS) with Nexstim eXimia Navigated Brain Stimulation. Briefly, each patient's head was registered to the structural MRI through the use of anatomical landmarks and surface registration. The composite muscle action potentials were captured by the integrated electromyography unit (EMG) (sampling rate 3 kHz, resolution 0.3 mV; Neuroline 720, Ambu). The muscle activity (motor evoked potential, MEP amplitude \geq 50 μ V) was recorded by surface electrodes on the abductor pollicis brevis and first dorsal interosseous. Initially, the first dorsal interosseous hotspot, defined as the stimulation area that evoked the strongest MEP, was determined. Subsequently, the resting motor threshold, defined as the lowest stimulation intensity that repeatedly elicits MEPs, was defined using a threshold-hunting algorithm within the Nexstim eximia software. Mapping was performed at 105% resting motor threshold and 0.25 Hz. All MEP amplitudes >50 μ V (peak to peak) were considered as motor positive responses and exported in the definitive mapping (38). The subject-specific positive responses of the first dorsal interosseous were exported as binary $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm³ voxel masks per response in the T1 image space.

Tractography

Probabilistic tractography was performed in each hemisphere with the iFOD2 algorithm by using the above mentioned nTMS derived cortical seeding ROI. A second inclusion ROI was defined in the medulla oblongata. Tracking parameters were set to default with a FOD amplitude cutoff value of 0.1, a streamline minimum length of 5× voxel size and a maximum streamline length of 100× voxel size. For each tractogram describing the CST, we computed 5,000 streamlines per hemisphere. Each streamline of the tractograms was resampled along its length to 100 points. Peritumoral segments were defined in relation to the resampled points within the range 1-100 in all individual tractograms by visual inspection performed by one neuroscientist and one expert neurosurgeon with 4 and 20 years of experience, in that order. Subsequently, values of associated FA, ADC, and FD scalar maps were sampled along the derived 100 segments of each streamline (Figures 1 and 2). The code used for the tractography pipeline is archived as a shell script on Zenodo (https://zenodo.org/record/3732348) and openly accessible (39).

Computation of Along-Tract FD Values Using FBA

A fixel is considered as a specific fiber population within a voxel (7, 24). For each subject, segmentations of continuous FODs *via* the integrals of the FOD lobes were performed to produce discrete fixel maps which are developed to indicate voxel-based measures of axon diameters, weighted by their relative volumes







FIGURE 2 | CST tractogram with mapped ADC (left), FA (middle), and FD (right) scalar values, illustrating the methodological differences of scalar map sampling.

within voxels (24, 40). With higher-order diffusion models, such as CSD, parameters related to FD can be extracted for individual fixels (7). FBA is able to identify effects in specific fiber pathways and in crossing fiber regions, unlike voxel-based analysis (7). After obtaining the fixels for all voxels in an image, FD values along CST tractograms were computed in four steps: (i) fixels associated with CSTs were obtained using fixel tract-density imaging, (ii) fixels in the image were thresholded based on the CST fixels which eliminates the contributions of other tracts that are present in these voxels, (iii) the mean FD of the remaining fixels were exported as a scalar image, and (iv) FD values were interpolated along the 100 sampled points of each streamline present in the CST tractograms. The code used for the tractbased fixel image construction pipeline is archived as a shell script on Zenodo (https://zenodo.org/record/3732348) and openly accessible (39).

Statistical Analysis

Confirmatory statistical analysis was performed using RStudio version 1.2.5019 (https://rstudio.com) with R version 3.6.1 (https://cran.r-project.org). We compared FD with traditional tensor-derived ADC and FA to study signal changes between healthy and pathological hemispheres. To analyze the behavior of the different metrics, we used the above mentioned resampled

streamlines, comparing the median values for each of the 100 CST segments per 5,000 streamlines per hemisphere. To model the tumor-related effect on each metric, a linear mixed model (package lmerTest_3.1-0 under R version 3.6.1) was built for each metric using the metric's value as dependent variable, hemisphere (0, healthy; 1, pathological) as independent variable and a random intercept for subjects (41). Thus, each model contained 13,000 data points (65 subjects * 2 hemispheres * 100 median tract segment values per streamline). Further, we repeated this analysis for the peritumoral area according to our hypothesis to find stronger effects in these segments. Each of these models contained 4,138 data points, with each subject contributing a different number of peritumoral segments depending on tumor location and size. All effects were considered significant using a two-sided p-value of 0.05. All models were examined for patterns in the residuals (deviation from normality via QQ-plots, pattern fitted values vs. residuals). All plots were generated with the ggplot2 library within tidyverse (42, 43). Tests for sensitivity (n of true positive predicted segments/n of true positive predicted segments + n of false negative predicted segments) and specificity (*n* of true negative predicted segments/*n* of true negative predicted segments + n of false positive predicted segments) were based on classified tract segments (0 non-tumorous, 1 tumorous) in relation to the

obtained significant or non-significant differences between healthy and pathological hemispheres per segment (classified as 0 and 1). These tests were performed with Bonferroni-adjusted alpha levels of 0.0005 (0.05/100) and thresholded only for large effects (\geq 0.474) with Cliff's delta due to the non-normal distribution. The script used to perform the statistical analysis and produce this manuscript is available on and archived in Zenodo (39).

Data Availability

Parts of the data that support the findings of this study are not publicly available due to information that could compromise the privacy of the research participants but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. However, code we have used is openly available under the following address (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3732348) and is cited at the corresponding passage in the article (39).

RESULTS

TMS mapping, the calculation of TMS-ROI-based streamlines and the extraction of ADC, FA and FD were feasible in each subject (cf. **Figure 3**) and showed either close tumor-tract





distance (<8mm, n = 3) or adjacency or direct infiltration of the CST by the tumor (n = 62). Visual inspection of boxplots showed differences between pathological and healthy hemispheres for ADC, FA, and FD (Figure 4A). As expected, these differences were larger when looking at the peritumoral area only (Figure 4B). Further, a larger variability in ADC values could be observed in the pathological hemisphere in general and the peritumoral area specifically. When plotting values along the entire CST, distinct patterns of variation between hemispheres could be observed. ADC showed no significant differences in the nonperitumoral segments but showed significant differences in peritumoral segments, even stronger than FA and FD. In contrast, FA and FD values showed differences both in the non-peritumoral and peritumoral segments (Figures 5, 6, Table 2). The distribution of tumors along the CST is indicated in Figure 6. Additionally, the tumor-induced variability in peritumoral ADC values in contrast to the entire CST becomes particularly evident here (Figure 5). Finally, the information shown in Figure 2 highlights and visualizes the advantages of FOD representation in regard to multiple fiber populations. The CSD method identifies multiple appropriately oriented fiber populations in a voxel including multiple fiber populations, while the DTI-based method does not represent multiple fiber populations within each voxel and does not

provide an orientation estimate corresponding to any of the existing fiber populations (25), cf. **Figure 3**.

Group Wise Analysis

The results from the mixed model analysis confirmed our hypotheses. We expected FD to improve the detection of tumor-induced changes along the tract, in combination with traditional FA or ADC measures. Furthermore, we expected stronger effects in the peritumoral segments. Our results show significant differences between healthy and pathological hemispheres for ADC, FA, and FD in the peritumoral areas (Table 2). As expected, these effects can be confirmed in the peritumoral segments in all tested values (Table 3). Figures 4 and 5 illustrate significantly lower values in the pathological hemisphere within the entire cohort and even greater differences within the peritumoral segments for FD. Calculations for sensitivity and specificity yielded 63, 74, and 42% sensitivity and 68, 53, and 76% specificity for ADC, FA, and FD in that order, reflecting a higher sensitivity for ADC and FA to tumor induced microstructural differences, whereas FD showed higher specificity to local WM architecture complexities or orientation dispersion.

In addition to these analyses, we calculated the mean of the entire cohort of ADC, FA, and FD differences between the







healthy and pathological hemispheres with respect to healthy segments only, pathological segments only, and healthypathological WM interface (range of three voxels) for tumor external as well as internal segments (**Figure 7**). The results indicate that ADC is strongly altered within the pathological WM area, while FA and FD show alterations along the entire CST. Furthermore, FD shows stronger differences in the healthypathological WM interface.

Subject-Specific Analysis

The differences are illustrated by means of two example cases (**Figure 8** and **Table 3**). Four further case-specific examples are

given in the supplementary materials (**Supplementary Figures 1–4** & **Supplementary Tables 1–4**). The exemplary cases were randomly selected by a script. Case A: This patient in his 80's was brought to our emergency room with suspected stroke. A sudden weakness in the legs had occurred, causing the patient to collapse without losing consciousness. Furthermore, it was reported that the patient had been suffering from dizziness for several weeks. Conventional MRI confirmed a left parietal mass with extensive perifocal edema. The patient was diagnosed with a left postcentral WHO grade IV glioblastoma and right leg emphasized hemiparesis. The indication for resection of the mass was given.

Case B: This patient in his 60's presented with a several weeks' history of dysesthesia in his left arm and right hand with associated arm weakness. He also felt insecure when walking and suffered from a general weakness. Conventional MRI confirmed the presence of a right frontal mass. Following this, the patient was referred to our clinic. The patient was diagnosed with a complex focal seizure with right precentral WHO grade IV glioblastoma and Todd's paresis which included transient left hemiparesis. The indication for resection of the mass was given.

Our results show significant differences between healthy and pathological hemispheres in FD over the entire CST (p <.01 and p <.01) for both cases (**Table 3**). Case A shows significant differences in FA over the entire CST and in the peritumoral segments (p <.01 and p <.01). In addition, a significant difference (p <.05) can be seen in the peritumoral area as well with respect to ADC. However, case B shows no significant differences for ADC and FA, neither between the entire healthy and pathological hemispheres nor in the peritumoral segments.

The values of the two hemispheres overlap here in the nonperitumoral area, similar to the group-wise results described above. Case A shows less overlap for FA and FD, also in the nonperitumoral segments, while ADC shows large overlap.

TABLE 2 | Results of linear mixed model analysis.

	Dependent variable:						
	FA	ADC	FD	FA Peritumoral	ADC Peritumoral	FD Peritumoral	
Pathologic hemispheres	-0.042	0.0001	-0.046	-0.075	0.0001	-0.067	
	(-0.047, -0.038)	(0.00005, 0.0001)	(-0.052, -0.039)	(-0.082, -0.069)	(0.0001, 0.0001)	(-0.076, -0.057)	
	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	
Constant	0.560	0.001	0.718	0.540	0.001	0.729	
	(0.552, 0.567)	(0.001, 0.001)	(0.704, 0.732)	(0.515, 0.564)	(0.001, 0.001)	(0.689, 0.769)	
	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	p < 2e-16	
Observations	13,000	13,000	13,000	4,138	4,138	4,138	
Log Likelihood	7,926.707	97,226.680	2,486.618	3,201.497	31,060.070	1,495.331	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	-15,845.410	-194,445.400	-4,965.236	-6,394.995	-62,112.150	-2,982.661	
Bayesian Inf. Crit.	-15,815.520	-194,415.500	-4,935.345	-6,369.683	-62,086.840	-2,957.349	

Models 1–3 show results for the entire CST for FA, ADC, and FD, models 4–6 for the peritumoral segments respectively. The table shows regression coefficients for the fixed effect of hemisphere and the intercept with their respective standard error in brackets. Further, number of observations for each model, the log likelihood ratio, Akaike information criterion, and Bayesian information criterion are stated.

TABLE 3 A, B | Subject A and B results of linear mixed model analysis.

	Dependent variable:						
	FA	ADC	FD	FA Peritumoral	ADC Peritumoral	FD Peritumoral	
Pathologic hemispheres	0.021	0.00000	0.077	0.055	0.00004	-0.058	
	(0.008, 0.034)	(-0.00002, 0.00002)	(0.038, 0.117)	(0.031, 0.080)	(0.00002, 0.0001)	(-0.138, 0.023)	
	p = 0.012	p = 1	p = 0.0012	p = 0.00006	p = 0.00012	p = 0.972	
Constant	0.517	0.001	0.645	0.371	0.001	0.705	
	(0.488, 0.546)	(0.001, 0.001)	(0.611, 0.678)	(0.331, 0.411)	(0.001, 0.001)	(0.640, 0.771)	
	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	
Observations	200	200	200	40	40	40	
Log Likelihood	172.557	1,488.435	69.184	44.545	339.211	15.904	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	-337.115	-2,968.871	-130.368	-81.090	-670.423	-23.807	
Bayesian Inf. Crit.	-323.921	-2,955.678	-117.175	-74.334	-663.667	-17.052	

	Dependent variable:						
	FA	ADC	FD	FA Peritumoral	ADC Peritumoral	FD Peritumoral	
Pathologic hemispheres	-0.006	0.00001	-0.033	0.013	0.00000	-0.012	
	(-0.022, 0.011)	(-0.00000, 0.00003)	(-0.057, -0.010)	(-0.020, 0.045)	(-0.00002, 0.00003)	(-0.037, 0.012)	
	p = 1	p = 0.606	p = 0.036	p = 1	p = 1	p = 1	
Constant	0.562	0.001	0.705	0.459	0.001	0.543	
	(0.539, 0.585)	(0.001, 0.001)	(0.665, 0.744)	(0.432, 0.486)	(0.001, 0.001)	(0.510, 0.577)	
	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	p < 1.2e-15	
Observations	200	200	200	90	90	90	
Log Likelihood	176.595	1,624.744	87.184	83.431	723.449	78.396	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	-345.190	-3,241.488	-166.367	-158.862	-1,438.898	-148.793	
Bayesian Inf. Crit.	-331.997	-3,228.295	-153.174	-148.863	-1,428.898	-138.793	

Models 1–3 show results for the entire CST for FA, ADC, and FD, models 4–6 for the peritumoral segments respectively. The table shows regression coefficients for the fixed effect of hemisphere and the intercept with their respective standard error in brackets. Further, number of observations for each model, the log likelihood ratio, Akaike information criterion, and Bayesian information criterion are stated.

DISCUSSION

Morbidity due to brain tumor growth and their surgical treatment is often caused by impairment of relevant WM. Neuroimaging-based characterization of the healthy-

pathological WM interface area is therefore crucial for neurosurgical planning. DTI based tractography has seen a widespread adoption in clinical neuroscience and practice in the recent years. Especially the combination of TMS and DTI for motor function-informed tractography has shown promising



results. Yet, the interpretation of differences as measured by tensor-based scalar values is particularly challenging in regions with crossing fibers, since tensors reflect only the main diffusion direction (16, 44). Because the tensor representation is not able to distinguish crossing fiber populations present in the majority of the WM voxels, FA offers limited opportunities to quantitatively study WM integrity (11, 16). Nevertheless, diffusion anisotropy can provide unique information about axonal anomalies (45) as it decreases as a consequence of loss of coherence in the preferred main diffusion direction (9). In this context, studies also show that ADC is generally higher in damaged tissue due to increased free diffusion. This suggests that we can compare values of abovementioned metrics with a population average in order to determine whether they are unusually high or low, e.g. by comparing the subject-specific values of WM pathways of the healthy hemisphere with those of the pathological hemisphere or compare group-wise pathological populations with healthy ones (45).

It has already been confirmed that many voxels along the CST contain considerable contributions of multiple fiber populations (25, 26). Nevertheless, our results indicate more significant segment-wise differences between the healthy and pathological hemispheres for FA and ADC in comparison to FD. This result was found in the group and individual tests. While results could marginally differ with the use of other seeding strategies (*e.g.* anatomical landmarks for ROI selection), we believe when

comparing different hemispheres, it is more reliable to determine seed regions based on their function using TMS. The investigation of other pathways may result in another order for the sensitivity and specificity of the metrics due to, for instance, different contributions of multiple fiber populations or extra axonal signal. Therefore, future investigations could study whether FD is more beneficial for the analysis of fiber tracts, which pass through even more complicated WM regions with highly variable fiber compositions.

FD Metrics in Clinical Settings

To better account for the complex microstructural organization of WM and its quantitative analysis, FD, which uses higher-order dMRI models such as FODs to analyze differences along WM pathways, allows to consider multiple fiber populations within a voxel. Multiple studies for group-wise statistical analysis of dMRI measures were published earlier (7, 24, 44). In contrast to these group-wise study designs, we used FD for an individual assessment of a specific tract for clinical validation. However, the presented higher sensitivity of ADC and FA indicates that these metrics are more appropriate and robust for peritumoral analysis. However, this may be due to the fact that FD has underperformed due to insufficient raw data. This finding highlights the need for better dMRI quality in clinical routine to be able to integrate advanced neuroimaging methods into clinical workflows. The discrepancy between clinical scan quality



and advanced neuroimaging highlights the need to optimize raw data acquisition in order to leverage advanced neuroimaging modalities and methods into the clinical workflow (22, 25).

Our results demonstrate the feasibility of FD along-tract analysis as a tool to describe subject-and tract-specific tumorinduced changes. Moreover, our results demonstrate the addition of further information to that obtained only *via* ADC or FA. Earlier fixel studies, designed for group wise analysis of pathology-related effects, demonstrated that fixel-analyses are sensitive to WM changes in a variety of pathologies (7, 24). In this study, we focused on subject-specific analyses, which showed higher sensitivity for ADC and FA, but higher specificity for FD. These findings are in line with other studies (23, 46). The higher specificity of FD in relation to correctly predict healthy segments is particularly relevant for presurgical analysis and intraoperative navigation in relation to risk assessment, but also for retrospective evaluation or outcome prediction models.

ADC, FA, and FD Characteristics in Brain Tumor Patients

In both cases subject-specific differences between the healthy and pathological hemispheres can be seen in the tumorous segments. Furthermore, differences between the non-pathological and pathological area can be seen as well in non-tumorous segments. This result may indicate a global effect of gliomas on the entire CST and neural connectivity, affecting diffusion and voxel-wise white matter architecture modeling, especially in regard to FD. The results are consistent with the expected behavior of the different diffusion measures: ADC was higher in the pathological hemispheres which is attributed to the damaged tissue leading to increased diffusion. This finding might reflect the tumor-related degression of WM integrity, the edema surrounding the tumor and related increase of free-water (23). FA and FD showed lower values in the pathological hemispheres compared to the corresponding segments in the healthy hemispheres. This result is consistent with the effect of the glioma-related loss of coherence in the preferred main diffusion directions (FA) and reduced fiber density (FD). This might be explained by the tumor infiltration or edema affecting the CST (23). The ADC and FD values show a higher overlap of the healthy and pathological hemispheres in the non-peritumoral area.

Limitations

Tractography suffers from a range of limitations that make its routine use problematic (47). It is well known that tractograms contain false positive (48) and false negative (49) streamlines. In addition, tractography cannot distinguish between afferent and efferent connections, and streamlines may terminate improperly (18). The dMRI data used for this study consists of a typical clinical single-shell acquisition, and is thus suboptimal for fiber density measurement due to incomplete attenuation of apparent extra-axonal signal (44). In this study we focused on the CST. For other white matter pathways, our results might be different. Further studies could integrate a variety of fiber bundles to investigate the need for FD in along-tract statistical analysis.

CONCLUSIONS

Our results show that the direct comparison between healthy and pathological hemispheres is sensitive to glioma-induced changes in structural integrity of the CST measured by different dMRI derived metrics. In contrast to our hypothesis, according to our data and analysis, FD did not outperform FA or ADC, and all three metrics showed similar results for indicating tumorinduced changes of the CST. This finding highlights the need for better scans in clinical routine if one wants to introduce advanced neuroimaging modalities into clinical workflows.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://doi.org/10. 5281/zenodo.3744339.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Commission of the Charité University Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

REFERENCES

- Rosenstock T, Grittner U, Acker G, Schwarzer V, Kulchytska N, Vajkoczy P, et al. Risk stratification in motor area-related glioma surgery based on navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation data. *J Neurosurg* (2017) 126 (4):1227–37. doi: 10.3171/2016.4.JNS152896
- Krieg SM, Picht T, Sollmann N, Bahrend I, Ringel F, Nagarajan SS, et al. Resection of Motor Eloquent Metastases Aided by Preoperative nTMS-Based Motor Maps-Comparison of Two Observational Cohorts. *Front Oncol* (2016) 6:261. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2016.00261
- Lefaucheur JP, Picht T. The value of preoperative functional cortical mapping using navigated TMS. *Neurophysiol Clin* (2016) 46(2):125–33. doi: 10.1016/ j.neucli.2016.05.001
- Picht T, Frey D, Thieme S, Kliesch S, Vajkoczy P. Presurgical navigated TMS motor cortex mapping improves outcome in glioblastoma surgery: a controlled observational study. *J Neurooncol* (2016) 126(3):535–43. doi: 10.1007/s11060-015-1993-9
- Rosenstock T, Giampiccolo D, Schneider H, Runge SJ, Bahrend I, Vajkoczy P, et al. Specific DTI seeding and diffusivity-analysis improve the quality and prognostic value of TMS-based deterministic DTI of the pyramidal tract. *NeuroImage Clin* (2017) 16:276–85. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2017.08.010
- 6. Dhollander T, Clemente A, Singh M, Boonstra F, Civier O, F. D. D J, et al. Fixel-based Analysis of Diffusion MRI: Methods, Applications, Challenges

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LF and TP designed the study. LF and ZW processed the data, performed all analyses, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. BA, TR, ME, FD, PV, and TP contributed to the project management through discussions. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

LF and TP acknowledge the support of the Cluster of Excellence Matters of Activity. Image Space Material funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy–EXC 2025. TR received support from the Finnish Cultural Foundation. We acknowledge support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the Open Access Publication Fund of Charité– Universitätsmedizin Berlin.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the DFG (EXC 2025). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the DFG. We thank Heike Schneider for the numerous TMS-mappings.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2020. 622358/full#supplementary-material

and Opportunities. In: *Open Science Framework*. OSF Preprints (2020). doi: 10.31219/osf.io/zu8fv

- Raffelt DA, Smith RE, Ridgway GR, Tournier JD, Vaughan DN, Rose S, et al. Connectivity-based fixel enhancement: Whole-brain statistical analysis of diffusion MRI measures in the presence of crossing fibres. *Neuroimage* (2015) 117:40–55. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.05.039
- Tournier JD, Smith R, Raffelt D, Tabbara R, Dhollander T, Pietsch M, et al. MRtrix3: A fast, flexible and open software framework for medical image processing and visualisation. *Neuroimage* (2019) 202:116137. doi: 10.1016/ j.neuroimage.2019.116137
- 9. Soares JM, Marques P, Alves V, Sousa N. A hitchhiker's guide to diffusion tensor imaging. *Front Neurosci* (2013) 7:31. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00031
- Colby JB, Soderberg L, Lebel C, Dinov ID, Thompson PM, Sowell ER. Alongtract statistics allow for enhanced tractography analysis. *Neuroimage* (2012) 59(4):3227–42. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.004
- Van Hecke W, Emsell L, Sunaert S. Diffusion Tensor Imaging A Practical Handbook. New York: Springer (2016). doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3118-7
- Roine T, Jeurissen B, Perrone D, Aelterman J, Leemans A, Philips W, et al. Isotropic non-white matter partial volume effects in constrained spherical deconvolution. *Front Neuroinform* (2014) 8:28. doi: 10.3389/fninf.2014.00028
- Veraart J, Sijbers J, Sunaert S, Leemans A, Jeurissen B. Weighted linear least squares estimation of diffusion MRI parameters: strengths, limitations, and pitfalls. *Neuroimage* (2013) 81:335–46. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.028

- Tournier JD, Calamante F, Gadian DG, Connelly A. Direct estimation of the fiber orientation density function from diffusion-weighted MRI data using spherical deconvolution. *Neuroimage* (2004) 23(3):1176–85. doi: 10.1016/ j.neuroimage.2004.07.037
- Tournier JD, Mori S, Leemans A. Diffusion tensor imaging and beyond. Magn Reson Med (2011) 65(6):1532–56. doi: 10.1002/mrm.22924
- Jeurissen B, Leemans A, Tournier JD, Jones DK, Sijbers J. Investigating the prevalence of complex fiber configurations in white matter tissue with diffusion magnetic resonance imaging. *Hum Brain Mapp* (2013) 34 (11):2747–66. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22099
- Riffert TW, Schreiber J, Anwander A, Knösche TR. Beyond fractional anisotropy: extraction of bundle-specific structural metrics from crossing fiber models. *Neuroimage* (2014) 100:176–91. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.06.015
- Tournier JD. Diffusion MRI in the brain Theory and concepts. Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc (2019) 112-113:1–16. doi: 10.1016/j.pnmrs.2019.03.001
- Vos SB, Jones DK, Jeurissen B, Viergever MA, Leemans A. The influence of complex white matter architecture on the mean diffusivity in diffusion tensor MRI of the human brain. *Neuroimage* (2012) 59(3):2208–16. doi: 10.1016/ j.neuroimage.2011.09.086
- 20. Dhollander T, Raffelt D, Connelly A. Unsupervised 3-tissue response function estimation from single-shell or multi-shell diffusion MR data without a coregistered T1 image. In: *ISMRM Workshop on Breaking the Barriers of Diffusion MRI*. Lisbon, Portugal: Proceedings of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. (2016). p. 5.
- Roine T, Jeurissen B, Perrone D, Aelterman J, Philips W, Leemans A, et al. Informed constrained spherical deconvolution (iCSD). *Med Image Anal* (2015) 24(1):269–81. doi: 10.1016/j.media.2015.01.001
- 22. Jeurissen B, Tournier JD, Dhollander T, Connelly A, Sijbers J. Multi-tissue constrained spherical deconvolution for improved analysis of multi-shell diffusion MRI data. *Neuroimage* (2014) 103:411–26. doi: 10.1016/j. neuroimage.2014.07.061
- Mormina E, Longo M, Arrigo A, Alafaci C, Tomasello F, Calamuneri A, et al. MRI Tractography of Corticospinal Tract and Arcuate Fasciculus in High-Grade Gliomas Performed by Constrained Spherical Deconvolution: Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* (2015) 36 (10):1853–8. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A4368
- Raffelt DA, Tournier JD, Smith RE, Vaughan DN, Jackson G, Ridgway GR, et al. Investigating white matter fibre density and morphology using fixelbased analysis. *Neuroimage* (2017) 144(Pt A):58–73. doi: 10.1016/ j.neuroimage.2016.09.029
- Farquharson S, Tournier JD, Calamante F, Fabinyi G, Schneider-Kolsky M, Jackson GD, et al. White matter fiber tractography: why we need to move beyond DTI. J Neurosurg (2013) 118(6):1367–77. doi: 10.3171/2013.2.JNS121294
- 26. Petersen MV, Lund TE, Sunde N, Frandsen J, Rosendal F, Juul N, et al. Probabilistic versus deterministic tractography for delineation of the corticosubthalamic hyperdirect pathway in patients with Parkinson disease selected for deep brain stimulation. *J Neurosurg* (2017) 126(5):1657–68. doi: 10.3171/ 2016.4.JNS1624
- Grabner G, Janke AL, Budge MM, Smith D, Pruessner J, Collins DL. Symmetric atlasing and model based segmentation: an application to the hippocampus in older adults. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv* (2006) 9(Pt 2):58–66. doi: 10.1007/11866763_8
- Avants BB, Tustison NJ, Song G, Cook PA, Klein A, Gee JC. A reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image registration. *Neuroimage* (2011) 54(3):2033–44. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.09.025
- Veraart J, Novikov DS, Christiaens D, Ades-Aron B, Sijbers J, Fieremans E. Denoising of diffusion MRI using random matrix theory. *Neuroimage* (2016) 142:394–406. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.08.016
- Kellner E, Dhital B, Kiselev VG, Reisert M. Gibbs-ringing artifact removal based on local subvoxel-shifts. *Magn Reson Med* (2016) 76(5):1574–81. doi: 10.1002/mrm.26054
- Leemans A, Jones DK. The B-matrix must be rotated when correcting for subject motion in DTI data. *Magn Reson Med* (2009) 61(6):1336–49. doi: 10.1002/mrm.21890
- Andersson JLR, Graham MS, Drobnjak I, Zhang H, Filippini N, Bastiani M. Towards a comprehensive framework for movement and distortion correction of diffusion MR images: Within volume movement. *Neuroimage* (2017) 152:450–66. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.02.085

- Andersson JL, Skare S, Ashburner J. How to correct susceptibility distortions in spin-echo echo-planar images: application to diffusion tensor imaging. *Neuroimage* (2003) 20(2):870–88. doi: 10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00336-7
- Jenkinson M, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Smith SM. Fsl. Neuroimage (2012) 62(2):782–90. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.09.015
- Tustison NJ, Avants BB, Cook PA, Zheng Y, Egan A, Yushkevich PA, et al. N4ITK: improved N3 bias correction. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* (2010) 29 (6):1310–20. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2010.2046908
- Basser PJ, Mattiello J, LeBihan D. MR diffusion tensor spectroscopy and imaging. *Biophys J* (1994) 66(1):259–67. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(94)80775-1
- Tournier JD, Calamante F, Connelly A. Robust determination of the fibre orientation distribution in diffusion MRI: non-negativity constrained superresolved spherical deconvolution. *Neuroimage* (2007) 35(4):1459–72. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.02.016
- 38. Picht T, Schmidt S, Brandt S, Frey D, Hannula H, Neuvonen T, et al. Preoperative functional mapping for rolandic brain tumor surgery: comparison of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation to direct cortical stimulation. *Neurosurgery* (2011) 69(3):581–8; discussion 588. doi: 10.1227/ NEU.0b013e3182181b89
- Fekonja LS, Wang Z, Aydogan DB, Roine T, Engelhardt M, Dreyer FR, et al. Code used in article "CSD-based metric for along- tract statistical analysis in primary motor tumor patients". (2020). doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3732349
- Smith RE, Tournier JD, Calamante F, Connelly A. SIFT: Sphericaldeconvolution informed filtering of tractograms. *Neuroimage* (2013) 67:298–312. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.11.049
- Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB. ImerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. J Stat Softw (2017) 82(13):26. doi: 10.18637/ jss.v082.i13
- 42. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York: Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated (2009).
- Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan L, François R, et al. Welcome to the Tidyverse. J Open Source Softw (2019) 4(43):1686. doi: 10.21105/joss.01686
- 44. Raffelt D, Tournier JD, Rose S, Ridgway GR, Henderson R, Crozier S, et al. Apparent Fibre Density: a novel measure for the analysis of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance images. *Neuroimage* (2012) 59(4):3976–94. doi: 10.1016/ j.neuroimage.2011.10.045
- 45. Mori S, Tournier JD. Chapter 7 New Image Contrasts from Diffusion Tensor Imaging: Theory, Meaning, and Usefulness of DTI-Based Image Contrast. In: Introduction to Diffusion Tensor Imaging (Second Edition). San Diego: Academic Press (2014). doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-398398-5.00007-2
- 46. Chamberland M, Raven EP, Genc S, Duffy K, Descoteaux M, Parker GD, et al. Dimensionality reduction of diffusion MRI measures for improved tractometry of the human brain. *Neuroimage* (2019) 200:89–100. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.06.020
- Schilling KG, Nath V, Hansen C, Parvathaneni P, Blaber J, Gao Y, et al. Limits to anatomical accuracy of diffusion tractography using modern approaches. *Neuroimage* (2019) 185:1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.10.029
- Maier-Hein KH, Neher PF, Houde JC, Cote MA, Garyfallidis E, Zhong J, et al. The challenge of mapping the human connectome based on diffusion tractography. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8(1):1349. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01285-x
- 49. Aydogan DB, Jacobs R, Dulawa S, Thompson SL, Francois MC, Toga AW, et al. When tractography meets tracer injections: a systematic study of trends and variation sources of diffusion-based connectivity. *Brain Struct Funct* (2018) 223(6):2841–58. doi: 10.1007/s00429-018-1663-8

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Fekonja, Wang, Aydogan, Roine, Engelhardt, Dreyer, Vajkoczy and Picht. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.