



# Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs Reduce Second Cancer Risk in Patients With Breast Cancer: A Nationwide Population-Based Propensity Score-Matched Cohort Study in Taiwan

**OPEN ACCESS** 

#### Edited by:

Antonino Musolino, University of Parma, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Shereen Elazzazy, Hamad Medical Corporation, Qatar Massimo Ambroggi, Guglielmo da Saliceto Hospital. Italy

#### \*Correspondence:

Shi-Heng Wang wangsh@mail.cmu.edu.tw Yi-Jiun Pan panyijiun@mail.cmu.edu.tw

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Breast Cancer, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 10 August 2021 Accepted: 04 November 2021 Published: 24 November 2021

#### Citation:

Lu Y-C, Chen P-T, Lin M-C, Lin C-C, Wang S-H and Pan Y-J (2021) Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs Reduce Second Cancer Risk in Patients With Breast Cancer: A Nationwide Population-Based Propensity Score-Matched Cohort Study in Taiwan. Front. Oncol. 11:756143. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.756143 Yin-Che Lu<sup>1,2</sup>, Pin-Tzu Chen<sup>1</sup>, Mei-Chen Lin<sup>3,4</sup>, Che-Chen Lin<sup>3,4</sup>, Shi-Heng Wang<sup>5,6\*</sup> and Yi-Jiun Pan<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Hematology–Oncology, Ditmanson Medical Foundation Chia-Yi Christian Hospital, Chia-Yi, Taiwan, <sup>2</sup> Department of Nursing, Min-Hwei Junior College of Health Care Management, Tainan, Taiwan, <sup>3</sup> Management Office for Health Data, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, <sup>4</sup> School of Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan, <sup>5</sup> Department of Occupational Safety and Health, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan, <sup>6</sup> Department of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) reduce mortality in patients with cancer, especially breast cancer, but their influence on second cancer risk is uncertain. This study aimed to examine whether NSAID use is associated with second cancer risk in patients with breast cancer. This population-based propensity score-matched cohort study using Taiwan's National Health Insurance Research Database enrolled patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer (n = 7356) with and without (n = 1839) NSAID therapy from 2000 to 2009. They were followed up until the diagnosis of second cancer, death, or end of 2011. Cox proportional hazard models were used to estimate adjusted hazard ratios (aHR). The NSAID cohort had a lower incidence rate of second cancer than the non-NSAID cohort (5.57 vs. 9.19 per 1,000 person-years), with an aHR of 0.63 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.46-0.87). When compared with the non-NSAID cohort, the second cancer incidence was lower in patients taking non-cyclooxygenase 2 inhibitors (aHR 0.67, 95% CI 0.47–0.94) and in those receiving multiple NSAIDs during follow-up (aHR 0.55, 95% CI 0.37–0.84). A dose–response relationship existed in NSAID cumulative days. The findings demonstrate that NSAID use reduces second cancer risk in a dosedependent manner in patients with primary breast cancer.

Keywords: NSAID, breast cancer, second cancer, cohort study, risk reduction

# INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is one of the rapidly growing cancers in developed countries. In Taiwan, with the increase in breast cancer incidence and its associated medical-resource utilization (1), the chance of early breast cancer detection has increased significantly owing to the implementation of the national policy on mammography screening (2). In addition to resection surgery, patients with breast cancer usually receive adjuvant chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and hormone therapy after surgery. Chemotherapeutic drugs, hormone-based drugs, and radiation contribute to the long-term survival benefit of patients with breast cancer. However, these treatments have some inevitable long-term side effects, especially the increased risk of second cancer development (3). Therefore, with the increase in the long-term survival of patients with breast cancer, the problem of second cancer should be focused on during the follow-up of longterm survivors.

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) include aspirin and cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitors. COX inhibitors are further divided into COX-2 selective and non-selective (non-COX-2) classes. In recent years, aspirin and NSAIDs have been suggested to have inhibitory effects on the pathogenesis of cancerization (4, 5), and evidence in this regard has been accumulating from basic and clinical research (6-9). Some studies have revealed that the use of aspirin or NSAIDs reduce the risk of breast cancer in the general population (10, 11) and in individuals with a family history of breast cancer (12). This cancer-preventive effect has also been observed in certain populations such as patients with chronic dialysis (13), women with obesity (14), and persons without smoking habits (15). Furthermore, reduced cancer-related mortality has been shown in patients with cancer using NSAIDs (16-18). Especially, the use of NSAIDs after breast cancer diagnosis could reduce mortality in patients with breast cancer (19-21). However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has so far examined whether NSAID use has any effect on second cancer risk in patients with breast cancer.

Performing randomized clinical trials with NSAIDs is challenging because these are old patent-off drugs. Conducting clinical trials is a time-consuming and expensive affair. Unlike new anti-cancer drugs, recruiting a sufficient number of patients to participate in NSAID-related clinical trials is difficult. The Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) has collected rich information on drug use history, hospitalization history, and disease event in Taiwanese patients over the past 20 years (22), which serves as a data resource for biomedical research (23). This study aimed to investigate the association of NSAID use with the incidence of second cancer in patients with primary breast cancer by using the NHIRD data.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Data Sources**

We collected data from the NHIRD, derived from Taiwan's single-payer compulsory National Health Insurance Program, which covers up to 99% of the 23 million Taiwanese citizens.

The NHIRD contains inpatient, and outpatient visit dates, medical diagnosis, expenditure, and prescription details. This retrospective cohort study used the Registry of Catastrophic Illness Patient Database (RCIPD), a part of the NHIRD. The insurants in the RCIPD were individuals with fatal illnesses, including 30 categories of diseases requiring long-term extensive care, such as cancer. The disease record system in the NHIRD was built based on the International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of China Medical University (CMUH-104-REC2-115-R4), and the need for informed consent was waived. This study adheres to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

## **Study Subjects**

A population-based cohort study was conducted to explore the association of NSAID use with second cancer risk in patients with primary breast cancer. We established a breast cancer cohort of 64,263 women (aged  $\geq$ 20 years) with newly diagnosed breast cancer (ICD-9-CM 174) from 2000 to 2009.

The exclusion criteria of the study were as follows: preexisting cancers other than breast cancer, history of using NSAIDs before the diagnosis of breast cancer, and second cancer within 2 years of newly diagnosed breast cancer. Cancer takes time to develop; hence, we determined that the second cancer must occur at least two years after the primary breast cancer. This definition was intended to clearly define that these two events did not occur simultaneously. A total of 17,269 patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer who were NSAID-naïve at diagnosis and did not have other preexisting cancers were selected. Patients with NSAID treatment 2 years after the newly diagnosed breast cancer were further excluded (n = 3,379). In this study, the NSAID cohort was defined as patients with NSAID treatment within 730 days (2 years) of the initial date of breast cancer diagnosis to avoid immortal time. Day 731 after the diagnosis date of breast cancer was defined as the index date. The flowchart is shown in Figure 1.

## Covariates

The distribution of age and baseline comorbidities was compared between patients with and without NSAID treatment (n = 11,436and 2,454, respectively) (Table 1). Sixteen baseline comorbidities were identified in our study, including congestive heart failure (ICD-9-CM codes 398.91, 425, and 428), dementia (ICD-9-CM codes 290.0-290.4, 294.1, and 331.0-331.2), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (ICD-9-CM codes 491, 492, and 496), rheumatic disease (ICD-9-CM odes 710, 714, 725, and A430), peptic ulcer disease (ICD-9-CM codes 531-534), cirrhosis (ICD-9-CM codes 571, A347), hypertension (ICD-9-CM codes 401-405, A260, and A269), diabetes (ICD-9-CM code 250), hypercholesterolemia (ICD-9-CM code 272.0), hyperlipidemia (ICD-9-CM codes 272.2 and 272.4), ischemic heart disease (ICD-9-CM codes 410-414 and 429), atrial fibrillation and flutter (ICD-9-CM code 427.3), cardiovascular disease (ICD-9-CM codes 410-414, 428, 430-438, and 440-448), peripheral



vascular disease (ICD-9-CM code 440-444), chronic kidney disease (ICD-9-CM codes 580-589 and A350), and depression (ICD-9-CM codes 296.2, 296.3, 296.82, 300.4, 309.0, 309.1, 309.28, and 311). The criterion of at least one inpatient or two outpatient admissions for such diseases before the initial date of breast cancer diagnosis was used to enhance diagnostic precision.

Breast cancer-related treatments, including chemotherapy, hormone therapy, target therapy, and surgery, were also identified. Chemotherapy was defined as the administration of one of the following drugs: docetaxel, paclitaxel, doxorubicin, and epirubicin, which are essential components in various chemotherapeutic regimens. Hormone therapy was defined as the administration of one of the following hormone-based drugs: tamoxifen, anastrozole, letrozole, and exemestane. Target therapy was defined as treatment with trastuzumab. Surgical treatment was defined as having undergone any of the following procedures: partial mastectomy (ICD-9-CM code 85.2-85.25), total mastectomy, and modified radical mastectomy (ICD-9-CM code 85.4-85.48).

## Study Cohorts With Propensity Score Matching (PSM)

Patients with NSAID therapy within 2 years were older and had a higher proportion of baseline comorbidity, chemotherapy, hormone therapy, target therapy, and surgery than those without NSAID therapy (**Table 1**). In this study, 1:4 PSM was performed to identify the non-NSAID cohort. The propensity

score was estimated by logistic regression for the controlling of breast cancer year, age, and baseline comorbidities. Following PSM, 7,356 patients with and 1,839 patients without NSAID treatment were included in this study. Both cohorts were followed up until the occurrence of a secondary cancer (ICD-9-CM 140–208, except for breast cancer), death, or end of 2011.

In the NSAID cohort, NSAID products and their dosage were retrieved based on the treatment history during the follow-up. Three different NSAIDs were specified in the study cohort, namely, aspirin, COX-2 inhibitors, and non-COX-2 inhibitors. The NSAID dosage was grouped based on cumulative days: <7, 8–28, 29–90, and >90 days.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Categorical variables were presented as numbers (percentage), and continuous variables were expressed as means (standard deviation). Cox proportional hazards regression model analyses were performed to assess the hazard ratio (HR) of second cancer for the NSAID cohort in comparison with the non-NSAID cohort. Death could be a competing risk for second cancer; hence, competing risk analysis was conducted by using Fine and Gray's proportional hazards regression analysis (24) to estimate the subdistribution HR (SHR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Age- and comorbidity-stratified SHR of second cancer were also estimated for the NSAID cohort. Data management and statistical analyses were performed using SAS software 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The significance level was set at P < 0.05 using two-tailed tests.

## RESULTS

After the PSM, the baseline characteristics were well balanced between the NSAID and non-NSAID cohorts (**Table 1**). The incidence rate per 1,000 person-years for second cancer was 5.57 in the NSAID cohort and 9.19 in the non-NSAID cohort (**Figure 2**). After adjusting for age, comorbidities, and breast cancer-related treatment, the adjusted SHR of NSAID use was 0.63 (95% CI 0.46–0.87) for second cancer incidence.

The association of second cancer risk with NSAID type and dosage is shown in **Figure 2**. When compared with the non-NSAID cohort, the second cancer incidence was significantly lower in patients taking non-COX-2 inhibitors (adjusted SHR 0.67, 95% CI 0.47–0.94) and in those receiving multiple NSAIDs during follow-up (adjusted SHR 0.55, 95% CI 0.37–0.84). Higher cumulative days of NSAID use was associated with a lower incidence of second cancer in a dose–response manner (p for trend 0.001), and the adjusted SHR was 0.43 (95% CI 0.27–0.69) in patients using NSAID for >90 days. The dose–response relationship was also observed for a specific NSAID type. Patients using non-COX-2 inhibitors for >28 days and COX-2 along with non-COX-2 inhibitors for >90 days had a lower incidence of second cancer, with adjusted SHR of 0.62 (95% CI 0.40–0.95) and 0.24 (95% CI 0.09–0.61), respectively.

The association of comorbidity with second cancer is shown in **Supplementary Figure 1**. Patients with liver cirrhosis (adjusted SHR 1.52, 95% CI 1.06–2.18) had a higher HR for second cancer.

#### TABLE 1 | Distribution of age, comorbidity, breast cancer-related treatment, and second cancer between the non-NSAID and NSAID cohorts.

	Befor	e PSM		After 1		
Variable	NSAID non-users N = 2454 (17.7%)	NSAID users N = 11436 (82.3%)	p-value	NSAID non-users N = 1839 (20.0%)	NSAID users N = 7356 (80.0%)	p-value
Age, years			0.014			0.430
<40	761 (31)	3641 (31.8)		592 (32.2)	2485 (33.8)	
40–64	1457 (59.4)	6492 (56.8)		1062 (57.7)	4155 (56.5)	
≥65	236 (9.6)	1303 (11.4)		185 (10.1)	716 (9.7)	
Mean (SD)	50.6 (10.8)	50.7 (11.1)	0.753	50.4 (11.0)	49.9 (10.7)	0.061
Comorbidity						
Congestive heart failure	31 (1.3)	201 (1.8)	0.083	29 (1.6)	106 (1.4)	0.665
Dementia	21 (0.9)	66 (0.6)	0.112	11 (0.6)	40 (0.5)	0.779
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	124 (5.1)	927 (8.1)	< 0.001	119 (6.5)	440 (6.0)	0.432
Rheumatic disease	103 (4.2)	675 (5.9)	0.001	92 (5.0)	345 (4.7)	0.573
Peptic ulcer	368 (15)	2446 (21.4)	< 0.001	348 (18.9)	1304 (17.7)	0.232
Liver Cirrhosis	380 (15.5)	2266 (19.8)	< 0.001	325 (17.7)	1240 (16.9)	0.405
Hypertension	435 (17.7)	2662 (23.3)	< 0.001	383 (20.8)	1421 (19.3)	0.145
Diabetes mellitus	228 (9.3)	1381 (12.1)	< 0.001	190 (10.3)	744 (10.1)	0.782
Hyperlipidemia	71 (2.9)	363 (3.2)	0.468	56 (3.0)	197 (2.7)	0.389
Hypercholesterolemia	240 (9.8)	1499 (13.1)	< 0.001	216 (11.7)	807 (11.0)	0.345
Ischemic heart disease	147 (6)	1074 (9.4)	< 0.001	132 (7.2)	488 (6.6)	0.406
Atrial fibrillation	57 (2.3)	287 (2.5)	0.589	42 (2.3)	171 (2.3)	0.917
Cerebrovascular disease	225 (9.2)	1600 (14)	< 0.001	210 (11.4)	764 (10.4)	0.198
Peripheral vascular disease	29 (1.2)	194 (1.7)	0.066	26 (1.4)	95 (1.3)	0.681
Chronic kidney disease	123 (5)	717 (6.3)	0.018	96 (5.2)	389 (5.3)	0.907
Depression	103 (4.2)	693 (6.1)	< 0.001	98 (5.3)	338 (4.6)	0.185
Breast cancer-related treatment						
Chemotherapy	833 (33.9)	4364 (38.2)	< 0.001	728 (39.6)	2941 (40.0)	0.758
Hormone therapy	1694 (69)	8298 (72.6)	< 0.001	1353 (73.6)	5336 (72.5)	0.374
Target therapy	146 (5.9)	856 (7.5)	0.008	135 (7.3)	535 (7.3)	0.920
Surgery	2160 (88)	10468 (91.5)	< 0.001	1697 (92.3)	6803 (92.5)	0.767

PSM, propensity score matching.

Characteristics	Ν	Event (n=196)	Person- year≉	Incidence rate			SHR (95% C.L)	p-value
NSAID								
No	1839	55	5986	9.19		1	Ref.	
Yes	7356	141	25323	5.57	<b></b>		0.63 (0.46-0.87)	0.005
NSAID type								
None	1839	55	5986	9.19			Ref.	
Aspirin	67	2	168	11.87	•	, ,	0.93 (0.20-4.24)	0.921
COX-2	115	3	331	9.06	•	·	0.75 (0.23-2.51)	0.643
Non-COX-2	5348	92	17215	5.34	<b>⊢</b> •−−1		0.67 (0.47-0.94)	0.022
With more than one NSAID type	1826	44	7609	5.78	<b>→</b> →→		0.55 (0.37-0.84)	0.005
COX-2 + Non-COX-2	1101	23	4390	5.24	<b>—</b> •—––		0.54 (0.32-0.90)	0.018
Aspirin + COX-2	23	2	68	29.34		• ,	2.30 (0.48-10.96)	0.295
Aspirin + Non-COX-2	458	12	1992	6.02	·•	<u> </u>	0.66 (0.34-1.27)	0.213
NSAID dosage (cumulative days)								
None	1839	55	5986	9.19			Ref.	
\$7	1200	18	2872	6.27	·•	<b>L</b>	0.73 (0.42-1.25)	0.245
8-28	2519	47	7828	6.00	·•	L.	0.73 (0.49-1.09)	0.120
29-90	2148	48	8076	5.94	·•	-	0.70 (0.47-1.03)	0.072
>90	1489	28	6547	4.28	<b></b>		0.43 (0.27-0.69)	< 0.001
p for trend	1402	20	0547	4.60			0.45 (0.27-0.05)	0.001
Non-COX-2 (only)								0.001
None	1839	55	5986	9.19			Ref.	
≤7	1142	16	2732	5.86			0.69 (0.39-1.21)	0.197
8-28	2206	39	6722	5.80		Γ.		0.197
>28	2200	39	7760	4.77		Г	0.74 (0.48-1.12)	
	2000	37	7760	4.77			0.62 (0.40-0.95)	0.027
p for trend								0.032
COX-2 + Non-COX-2								
None	1839	55	5986	9.19			Ref.	
≤28	183	6	623	9.63	•	· · · · ·	0.93 (0.38-2.29)	0.870
29-90	415	12	1539	7.80	•	+	0.72 (0.38-1.36)	0.308
>90	503	5	2228	2.24	H•		0.24 (0.09-0.61)	0.003
p for trend								0.001
Aspirin + Non-COX-2								
None	1839	55	5986	10.55			Ref.	
≤28	89	1	343	3.25		,	0.39 (0.05-2.79)	0.347
29-90	155	8	669	12.83		• • •	1.37 (0.63-3.02)	0.429
>90	214	3	981	3.32	· •	+	0.30 (0.09-1.04)	0.057
p for trend								0.144
					0 0.5	1 1.5 2 2	.5	

FIGURE 2 | Incidence and hazard ratio of second cancer for NSAID treatment, NSAID type, and dosage.

Furthermore, the association of NSAID treatment with second cancer stratified by age and comorbidities is depicted in **Figure 3**. NSAID treatment was associated with a lower second cancer incidence in patients with hypertension (adjusted SHR 0.37, 95% CI 0.20–0.68) but not in those without hypertension (adjusted SHR 0.74, 95% CI 0.51–1.10), and the hypertension–NSAID interaction reached a borderline significance (p = 0.077).

The association of NSAID treatment with different types of second cancer is shown in **Figure 4**. The NSAID cohort demonstrated lower HR for hepatic, biliary tract, gallbladder, and pancreatic cancers (adjusted SHR 0.26, 95% CI 0.09–0.76) than the non-NSAID cohort. However, NSAID use did not have a protective effect in case of a subsequent gynecologic cancer (adjusted SHR 0.84, 95% CI 0.38–1.88). The statistical power of such second cancer stratified analyses could be limited because of the small sample size for each specific second cancer.

## DISCUSSION

In this nationwide population-based cohort study of patients with primary breast cancer, PSM was used to establish the NSAID and non-NSAID cohorts that were similar in terms of baseline characteristics, and their subsequent second cancer risk was investigated. This study also evaluated the sub-classifications of NSAIDs, their combined use, and NSAID dosage in cumulative days with respect to the patients' carcinogenicity. This study showed that the NSAID cohort had a lower incidence of second cancer than the non-NSAID cohort. The risk was

	Non	NSAID u	ien	NS	SAID user	*	Compared to non-NS.	AID users	p-value
Variables	Event	Person- year	IR	Event	Person- year	IR	SHR (95% CI)	p-value	for interactio
Age at baseline									0.506
<40 40-64	9 36	2021 3396	4.45 10.60	33 85	9125 13912	3.62	0.81 (0.38-1.71) 0.60 (0.41-0.89)	0.577	
≥65	10	569	17.58	23	2286	10.06	0.59 (0.27-1.32)	0.199	
Baseline comorbidity	10	207	11.50		2280	10.00	0.07 (0.21-1.02)	0.177	
Congestive heart failure									0.965
No	52	5896	8.82	137	25056	5.47	0.63 (0.45-0.87)	0.005	
Yes	3	90	33.33	4	268	14.95	→ 0.82 (0.06-11.00)	0.878	
Dementia No	54	5941	9.09	138	25208	5.47	0.62 (0.45-0.85)	0.003	0.446
Yes	34	45	22.30	3	115	26.03	0.02 (0.43-0.83)	0.005	
COPD		~	22.50			20.00			0.765
No	50	5671	8.82	129	24229	5.32	0.62 (0.45-0.87)	0.005	
Yes	5	315	15.86	12	1095	10.96	0.65 (0.20-2.15)	0.480	
Rheumatic disease									0.386
No Yes	54	5767 219	9.36 4.57	135 6	24395 929	5.53 6.46	0.61 (0.44-0.84) 1.42 (0.19-10.55)	0.003 0.734	
Peptic uker disease		219	4.57	0	727	0.40	1.42 (0.19-10.33)	0.734	0.877
No	44	5199	8,46	113	22201	5.09	0.62 (0.44-0.89)	0.010	0.071
Yes	ü	787	13.98	28	3122	8.97	0.64 (0.30-1.37)	0.245	
Liver Cirrhosis									0.726
No	43	5164	8.33	109	21965	4.96	0.62 (0.43-0.90)	0.012	
Yes Hypertension	12	822	14.59	32	3358	9.53	0.72 (0.36-1.42)	0.341	0.077
No	36	5054	7.12	111	21378	5.19	0.74 (0.51-1.10)	0.134	0.077
Yes	19	932	20.39	30	3945	7.60	0.37 (0.20-0.68)	0.002	
Diabetes mellitus									0.536
No	44	5480	8.03	121	23222	5.21	0.66 (0.47-0.93)	0.019	
Yes Hyperlpidemia	11	505	21.74	20	2101	9.52	0.48 (0.22-1.07)	0.073	0.674
No	53	5835	9.05	135	24851	5.43	0.62 (0.45-0.86)	0.004	0.024
Yes	2	151	13.21	6	472	12.70	1.78 (0.01-260)	0.820	
Hypercholesterolemia									0.127
No	44	5538	7.95	126	23450	5.37	0.71 (0.50-1.00)	0.053	
Yes Ischemic heart disease	11	448	24.53	15	1873	8.01	0.36 (0.16-0.81)	0.013	0.556
No	51	5696	8.95	133	24048	5.53	0.65 (0.46-0.90)	0.010	0.356
Yes	4	290	13.79	8	1275	6.27	0.50 (0.10-2.38)	0.380	
Atrial fbrillation									0.603
No	53	5893	8.99	137	24906	5.50	0.64 (0.47-0.89)	0.008	
Yes Cerebrovascular disease	2	93	21.54	4	417	9.59			0.704
No	49	5497	8.91	128	23417	5.47	0.62 (0.45-0.88)	0.006	0.794
Yes	6	489	12.28	13	1906	6.82	0.67 (0.19-2.35)	0.529	
Peripheral vascular disease									0.850
No	54	5912	9.13	140	25150	5.57	0.63 (0.46-0.87)	0.005	
Yes Chronic kidney disease	1	74	13.54	1	173	5.78	0.06 (0.01-0.75)	0.028	0.765
No	52	5696	9.13	133	24195	5.5	0.62 (0.45-0.87)	0.005	0.765
Yes	3	290	10.34	8	1128	7.09	→ 0.99 (0.24-4.03)	0.984	
Depression									0.235
No	51	5784	8.82	137	24476	5.6	0.65 (0.47-0.91)	0.011	
Yes Breast cancer-related treatment	4	202	19.79	4	847	4.72	0.17 (0.03-0.90)	0.037	
Breast cancer-related treatment Cherotherapy									0.367
No	36	3789	9.50	101	16062	6.29	0.69 (0.47-1.02)	0.065	
Yes	19	2197	8.65	40	9262	4.32	0.51 (0.29-0.88)	0.016	
Hormone therapy									0.294
No Yes	41	1745	8.02	46	7051	6.52	0.83 (0.44-1.57)	0.573	
Yes Target therapy	41	4241	9.67	95	18272	5.20	0.57 (0.39-0.83)	0.004	0.656
No No	53	5665	9.36	134	23941	5.69	0.62 (0.45-0.86)	0.004	0.096
Yes	2	321	6.22	2	1382	5.07	0.89 (0.16-5.00)	0.898	
Surgery									0.224
No	5	667	7.50	19	2394	7.94	→ 1.13 (0.32-3.99)	0.848	
Yes	50	5319	9,40	122	22929	5.32	0.59 (0.42-0.82)	0.002	
							2 2.5		
	_			_					
							d cancer for NS		

particularly lower for patients undergoing non-COX-2 treatments, those receiving non-COX-2 along with COX-2 during the follow-up, and those receiving NSAID dosage for a cumulative period of >90 days.

In our study, drug subgroup analysis unexpectedly revealed that the protective effect of NSAID is often derived from non-COX-2 drugs. The use of aspirin and COX-2 drugs had no lowering effect on the incidence of second cancer in patients with primary breast cancer. Aspirin was the most disputed drug among all NSAIDs. The reason for the increased attention was that aspirin exerted a protective effect in the normal population based on the findings of clinical studies (25-27). However, this drug could not reduce breast cancer-specific mortality in patients with primary breast cancer, as reported by many clinical studies (28-30). Strasser-Weippl et al. also showed that COX-2 combined with aspirin has no effect on the disease-free survival of patients with breast cancer (31). Our study suggested that non-COX-2 and COX-2 drugs have different effects in reducing the incidence of second cancer in patients with breast cancer. Such inconsistent findings might reflect the actual drug consumption frequency among the people in Taiwan (aspirin N = 67, COX-2 N = 115, and non-COX-2 N = 5348). The fact that >90% of the patients were in the non-COX2 cohort made the number of patients in the other two cohorts (aspirin and COX-2) too small to reveal their statistical effect. Furthermore, many studies have shown that non-selective COX-2 drugs have better anti-cancer activity than selective COX-2 agents (12, 32, 33).

Another important study finding was that using more than one NSAID during the follow-up had variable effects in reducing the incidence of second cancer as the use of COX-2 along with non-COX-2 drugs during the follow-up could reduce second cancer risk. However, aspirin combined with either COX-2 or non-COX-2 drug did not reduce second cancer risk. He et al. also found that the use of aspirin combined with COX-2 reduces COX-2 chemopreventive effects in colorectal cancer (34). Additionally, gastrointestinal studies have demonstrated that the concomitant use of aspirin and COX-2 reduces the gastrointestinal benefit of COX-2 (35, 36). Our study reveals that the NSAID dosage is proportional to the reduction in the incidence of second cancer risk. Other studies have also demonstrated that the cancer-preventive effect of NSAID is dose-dependent (7, 13, 16), including an intake duration of at least 90 days (6, 37-39), 180 days (15, 39), or longer (years) (14, 33).

Our study showed that NSAID treatment is associated with a lower second cancer incidence in patients aged 40-64 years (SHR = 0.60, p-value = 0.011) as well as in patients aged >65 years (SHR = 0.59, though not reached statistical significance) (**Figure 3**). This result implies that both pre-menopausal and post-menopausal women with breast cancer can derive chemopreventive benefit by using NSAID. Previous studies have also shown that NSAID exhibits its chemopreventive effect in pre- and post-menopausal women (12, 25, 32); nonetheless, some studies have shown that post-menopausal women could particularly benefit from the NSAID anti-cancer effect (26, 27, 33).

Lu et al.

Event     PY     IR     Event     PY     IR       Second cancer     Gynecologic (uterus, ovary, cervical)     8     5986     1.34     28     25323     1.11	~			NSAID	treatment							
Second cancer       Gynecologic (uterus, ovary, cervical)     8     5986     1.34     28     25323     1.11	Cancer	No			Yes						SHR (95%CI)	p-value
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Event	PY	IR	Event	PY	IR					
Lung     5     5986     0.84     15     25323     0.59	Second cancer								1			
Colon and rectum     8     5986     1.34     16     25323     0.63	Gynecologic (uterus, ovary, cervical)	8	5986	1.34	28	25323	1.11	•-		4	0.84 (0.38-1.88)	0.66
Hepatic, bilary tract, galbladder, pancreas   8   5986   1.34   8   25323   0.32   →   0.26 (0.09-0.76)   0.     Thyroid   3   5986   0.50   15   25323   0.59   →   →   1.25 (0.38 ± 1.0)   0.     Hematologic (leukemia, lymphoma, myeloma)   4   5986   0.67   8   25323   0.20   →   →   0.49 (0.14-165)   0.     Urologic   1   5986   0.67   7   25323   0.20   →   →   1.60 (0.12-21.81)   0.     Gastro-esophageal   4   5986   0.67   10   25323   0.39   →   →   1.60 (0.12-21.81)   0.	Lung	5	5986	0.84	15	25323	0.59	•		-	0.70 (0.26-1.89)	0.48
Thyroid 3 5986 0.50 15 25323 0.59 → 1.25 (0.38-4.10) 0.   Hematologic (leukemia, lymphoma, myekoma) 4 5986 0.67 8 25323 0.32 → 0.49 (0.14-1.65) 0.   Urologic 1 5986 0.67 5 25323 0.20 → 1.60 (0.12-21.81) 0.   Gastro-esophageal 4 5986 0.67 10 25323 0.39 → 0.56 (0.18-1.79) 0.	Colon and rectum	8	5986	1.34	16	25323	0.63	<b>⊢</b> −●			0.52 (0.21-1.25)	0.14
Hematologic (leukemia, lymphoma, myeloma)   4   5986   0.67   8   25323   0.32	Hepatic, biliary tract, gallbladder, pancreas	8	5986	1.34	8	25323	0.32	<b></b>			0.26 (0.09-0.76)	0.01
Urobgic     1     5986     0.17     5     25323     0.20     →     1.60 (0.12-21.81)     0.       Gastro-esophageal     4     5986     0.67     10     25323     0.39     →     0.56 (0.18-1.79)     0.	Thyroid	3	5986	0.50	15	25323	0.59	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>&gt;</b>	1.25 (0.38-4.10)	0.71
Gastro-esophageal 4 5986 0.67 10 25323 0.39 0.56 (0.18-1.79) 0.	Hematologic (leukemia, lymphoma, myeloma)	4	5986	0.67	8	25323	0.32	<b>—</b> •—			0.49 (0.14-1.65)	0.24
	Urologic	1	5986	0.17	5	25323	0.20	<b></b>	· •	<b>&gt;</b>	1.60 (0.12-21.81)	0.72:
	Gastro-esophageal	4	5986	0.67	10	25323	0.39	•			0.56 (0.18-1.79)	0.32
Head & Neck 1 5986 0.17 4 25323 0.16 $\rightarrow$ 1.29 (0.09-19.33) 0.	Head & Neck	1	5986	0.17	4	25323	0.16		•	<b></b>	1.29 (0.09-19.33)	0.85
Others 13 5986 2.17 32 25323 1.26 0.55 (0.28-1.08) 0.	Others	13	5986	2.17	32	25323	1.26	·•	4		0.55 (0.28-1.08)	0.08

FIGURE 4 | Incidence and hazard ratio of specific second cancer for NSAID. PY, person-years; IR, incidence rate, per 1000-per years; SHR, subdistribution hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

This study observed that liver cirrhosis is associated with increased second cancer incidence (see **Supplementary Figure 1**). Globally, Taiwan was a country with a high prevalence of hepatitis B and hepatitis C (40), which caused a higher incidence of liver cirrhosis and lead to an increased cancer incidence (41, 42). In our study, the protective influence of NSAID on second cancers was more predominant in patients with hypertension. Such patients have been well-educated to take antihypertensive drugs periodically, and previous studies have proposed that antihypertensive drugs might increase cancer risk (43–45). The interactive effect between NSAIDs and antihypertensive drugs needs to be explored further.

Although NSAID use decreased second cancer in many organ systems, only hepatic, biliary tract, and pancreatic cancers showed significance (**Figure 4**). Previous studies in Taiwan have also found that NSAID use decreased hepatocellular carcinoma risk in patients with chronic hepatitis B and infection (6, 16, 37).

A key strength of this study is the novelty of examining the association between NSAID use and second cancer incidence in patients with primary breast cancer. The use of a nationwide representative cohort design with large sample sizes and the application of PSM to consider a range of comorbidities and breast cancer-related treatments and to balance their distributions in the two cohorts with and without NSAID therapy are other important advantages. Furthermore, this study has provided empirical evidence for the association of NSAID use with second cancer risk reduction in a dose–response manner.

However, this study also has several limitations. First, the study is relatively old and has a relatively short study period, i.e., from 1997 to 2011. It takes at least 4–5 years for second cancer to develop in primary breast cancer survivors. We selected women with newly diagnosed breast cancer from 2000 to 2009 and then followed them up in 2011. A longer follow-up period might allow more cases of primary breast cancer to fit into our study and allow more second cancer events to appear, making statistical evidence more meaningful. Second, the patient's tumor, lymph node, metastasis stage, body mass index, and family history could not be obtained because of the limitation of the NHIRD. This study only explored recordable risk factors based on reliable clinical classifications. Although the distributions of

these factors, including covariates, were significantly different between the two groups (patients with and without NSAID therapy), they were well balanced after PSM. Third, on the pathologic subtypes of primary breast cancer was not available. We assumed indirectly that patients who ever received hormone therapy were estrogen-receptor or progesterone-receptor positive, and those who received target therapy had human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 overexpression. The triple negative breast cancer might have been missed in this type of analysis. Finally, a causal relationship between NSAID consumption and second cancer risk could not be inferred directly owing to the observational nature of our study.

In conclusion, with a large collection of approximately 10,000 patients with breast cancer in Taiwan, this population-based cohort study showed that those taking non-COX-2 inhibitors and those receiving multiple NSAIDs during follow-up had a lower second cancer incidence in a dose-dependent manner. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate the relationship between NSAID use and second cancer incidence in patients with primary breast cancer. Studies with a prospective design, larger sample size, and longer follow-up period are needed to further verify our findings.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data set for this article are not publicly available because public availability of the data set is restricted by local regulations to protect privacy. Any researcher interested in accessing the data set can submit an application form to the Ministry of Health and Welfare requesting access.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of China Medical University (CMUH-104-REC2-115-R4), and the need for informed consent was waived. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Study concept and design: Y-CL and S-HW. Acquisition of data: S-HW and Y-JP. Analysis and interpretation of data: all authors. Drafting of the manuscript: Y-CL, S-HW, and Y-JP. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all authors. Statistical analysis: S-HW, M-CL, and C-CL. Obtaining funding: Y-CL, S-HW, and Y-JP. Administrative, technical, or material support: Y-CL, S-HW, and Y-JP. Study supervision: S-HW and Y-JP. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# FUNDING

This study was supported by Ditmanson Medical Foundation Chia-Yi Christian Hospital (Grant No. R104-9), China Medical University Hospital, Taiwan (DMR-109-161), China Medical

# REFERENCES

- Chang HT, Shi HY, Wang BW, Yeh SJ. Breast Cancer Incidence and Predictors of Surgical Outcome: A Nationwide Longitudinal Study in Taiwan. *Clin Oncol* (*R Coll Radiol*) (2017) 29(6):362–9. doi: 10.1016/j.clon.2017.01.005
- Yen AM, Tsau HS, Fann JC, Chen SL, Chiu SY, Lee YC, et al. Population-Based Breast Cancer Screening With Risk-Based and Universal Mammography Screening Compared With Clinical Breast Examination: A Propensity Score Analysis of 1429890 Taiwanese Women. *JAMA Oncol* (2016) 2(7):915–21. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.0447
- Lu YC, Lu CL, Chen YY, Chen PT, Lin MS, Chen W, et al. Trend of Incidence of Second Primary Malignancies Following Breast Cancer in Taiwan: A 12-Year Nationwide Cohort Study. *Breast J* (2016) 22(3):360–2. doi: 10.1111/tbj.12582
- Cai Y, Yousef A, Grandis JR, Johnson DE. NSAID Therapy for PIK3CA-Altered Colorectal, Breast, and Head and Neck Cancer. *Adv Biol Regul* (2020) 75:100653. doi: 10.1016/j.jbior.2019.100653
- Bacchi S, Palumbo P, Sponta A, Coppolino MF. Clinical Pharmacology of non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs: A Review. Antiinflamm Antiallergy Agents Med Chem (2012) 11(1):52–64. doi: 10.2174/187152312803476255
- Lee TY, Hsu YC, Tseng HC, Yu SH, Lin JT, Wu MS, et al. Association of Daily Aspirin Therapy With Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Patients With Chronic Hepatitis B. *JAMA Intern Med* (2019) 179(5):633–40. doi: 10.1001/ jamainternmed.2018.8342
- Kuo CN, Pan JJ, Huang YW, Tsai HJ, Chang WC. Association Between Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and Colorectal Cancer: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* (2018) 27(7):737–45. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0876
- Qiao Y, Yang T, Gan Y, Li W, Wang C, Gong Y, et al. Associations Between Aspirin Use and the Risk of Cancers: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *BMC Cancer* (2018) 18(1):288. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4156-5
- Pennock ND, Martinson HA, Guo Q, Betts CB, Jindal S, Tsujikawa T, et al. Ibuprofen Supports Macrophage Differentiation, T Cell Recruitment, and Tumor Suppression in a Model of Postpartum Breast Cancer. *J Immunother Cancer* (2018) 6(1):98. doi: 10.1186/s40425-018-0406-y
- Takkouche B, Regueira-Mendez C, Etminan M. Breast Cancer and Use of Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs: A Meta-Analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst (2008) 100(20):1439–47. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djn324
- Clarke CA, Canchola AJ, Moy LM, Neuhausen SL, Chung NT, Lacey JV Jr, et al. Regular and Low-Dose Aspirin, Other Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Medications and Prospective Risk of HER2-Defined Breast Cancer: The California Teachers Study. *Breast Cancer Res* (2017) 19(1):52. doi: 10.1186/ s13058-017-0840-7
- 12. Kim S, Shore DL, Wilson LE, Sanniez EI, Kim JH, Taylor JA, et al. Lifetime Use of Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and Breast Cancer Risk:

University, Taiwan (CMU108-MF-62; CMU108-S-23; CMU109-MF-111; CMU110-S-22), Taiwan Ministry of Health and Welfare Clinical Trial Center (MOHW109-TDU-B-212-114004), MOST Clinical Trial Consortium for Stroke (MOST 109-2321-B-039-002), and Tseng-Lien Lin Foundation, Taichung, Taiwan.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Yu-Fen Li for her help with the analysis of the NHIRD.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2021.756143/ full#supplementary-material

Results From a Prospective Study of Women With a Sister With Breast Cancer. BMC Cancer (2015) 15:960. doi: 10.1186/s12885-015-1979-1

- Ou SM, Chen YT, Chao PW, Lee YJ, Liu CJ, Yeh CM, et al. Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drug Use Is Associated With Cancer Risk Reduction in Chronic Dialysis Patients. *Kidney Int* (2013) 84(1):198–205. doi: 10.1038/ki.2013.79
- Neill AS, Nagle CM, Protani MM, Obermair A, Spurdle AB, Webb PM, et al. Aspirin, Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs, Paracetamol and Risk of Endometrial Cancer: A Case-Control Study, Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int J Cancer* (2013) 132(5):1146–55. doi: 10.1002/ijc.27717
- Daugherty SE, Pfeiffer RM, Sigurdson AJ, Hayes RB, Leitzmann M, Schatzkin A, et al. Nonsteroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs and Bladder Cancer: A Pooled Analysis. Am J Epidemiol (2011) 173(7):721–30. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwq437
- Yeh CC, Lin JT, Jeng LB, Ho HJ, Yang HR, Wu MS, et al. Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs Are Associated With Reduced Risk of Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma Recurrence After Curative Liver Resection: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Ann Surg (2015) 261(3):521–6. doi: 10.1097/ SLA.000000000000746
- Verdoodt F, Dehlendorff C, Friis S, Kjaer SK. Non-Aspirin NSAID Use and Ovarian Cancer Mortality. *Gynecol Oncol* (2018) 150(2):331–7. doi: 10.1016/ j.ygyno.2018.06.018
- Lin JL, Lin JX, Zheng CH, Li P, Xie JW, Wang JB, et al. Relationship Between Aspirin Use of Esophageal, Gastric and Colorectal Cancer Patient Survival: A Meta-Analysis. BMC Cancer (2020) 20(1):638. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-07117-4
- Leite AM, Macedo AVS, Jorge AJL, Martins WA. Antiplatelet Therapy in Breast Cancer Patients Using Hormonal Therapy: Myths, Evidence and Potentialities - Systematic Review. Arquivos Brasileiros Cardiologia (2018) 111(2):205–12. doi: 10.5935/abc.20180138
- Huang XZ, Gao P, Sun JX, Song YX, Tsai CC, Liu J, et al. Aspirin and Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs After But Not Before Diagnosis Are Associated With Improved Breast Cancer Survival: A Meta-Analysis. *Cancer Causes Control* (2015) 26(4):589–600. doi: 10.1007/s10552-015-0539-y
- Kwan ML, Habel LA, Slattery ML, Caan B. Nsaids and Breast Cancer Recurrence in a Prospective Cohort Study. *Cancer Causes Control* (2007) 18 (6):613–20. doi: 10.1007/s10552-007-9003-y
- 22. Sung SF, Hsieh CY, Hu YH. Two Decades of Research Using Taiwan's National Health Insurance Claims Data: Bibliometric and Text Mining Analysis on Pubmed. J Med Internet Res (2020) 22(6):e18457. doi: 10.2196/18457
- Hsieh CY, Su CC, Shao SC, Sung SF, Lin SJ, Kao Yang YH, et al. Taiwan's National Health Insurance Research Database: Past and Future. *Clin Epidemiol* (2019) 11:349–58. doi: 10.2147/CLEP.S196293
- Fine JP, Gray RJ. A Proportional Hazards Model for the Subdistribution of a Competing Risk. J Am Stat Assoc (1999) 94:496–509. doi: 10.1080/ 01621459.1999.10474144

- Bertrand KA, Bethea TN, Gerlovin H, Coogan PF, Barber L, Rosenberg L, et al. Aspirin Use and Risk of Breast Cancer in African American Women. *Breast Cancer Res* (2020) 22(1):96. doi: 10.1186/s13058-020-01335-1
- Bardia A, Olson JE, Vachon CM, Lazovich D, Vierkant RA, Wang AH, et al. Effect of Aspirin and Other Nsaids on Postmenopausal Breast Cancer Incidence by Hormone Receptor Status: Results From a Prospective Cohort Study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2011) 126(1):149–55. doi: 10.1007/s10549-010-1074-x
- Cao Y, Tan A. Aspirin Might Reduce the Incidence of Breast Cancer: An Updated Meta-Analysis of 38 Observational Studies. *Med (Baltimore)* (2020) 99(38):e21917. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000021917
- Mc Menamin UC, Cardwell CR, Hughes CM, Murray LJ. Low-Dose Aspirin Use and Survival in Breast Cancer Patients: A Nationwide Cohort Study. *Cancer Epidemiol* (2017) 47:20–7. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2016.12.008
- Murray LJ, Cooper JA, Hughes CM, Powe DG, Cardwell CR. Post-Diagnostic Prescriptions for Low-Dose Aspirin and Breast Cancer-Specific Survival: A Nested Case-Control Study in a Breast Cancer Cohort From the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *Breast Cancer Res* (2014) 16(2):R34. doi: 10.1186/ bcr3638
- Barron TI, Murphy LM, Brown C, Bennett K, Visvanathan K, Sharp L. De Novo Post-Diagnosis Aspirin Use and Mortality in Women With Stage I-III Breast Cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev (2015) 24(6):898–904. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-1415
- 31. Strasser-Weippl K, Higgins MJ, Chapman JW, Ingle JN, Sledge GW, Budd GT, et al. Effects of Celecoxib and Low-Dose Aspirin on Outcomes in Adjuvant Aromatase Inhibitor-Treated Patients: CCTG MA. 27. J Natl Cancer Inst (2018) 110(9):1003–8. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djy017
- 32. Dierssen-Sotos T, Gomez-Acebo I, de Pedro M, Perez-Gomez B, Servitja S, Moreno V, et al. Use of Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and Risk of Breast Cancer: The Spanish Multi-Case-Control (MCC) Study. BMC Cancer (2016) 16(1):660. doi: 10.1186/s12885-016-2692-4
- 33. Johannesdottir SA, Chang ET, Mehnert F, Schmidt M, Olesen AB, Sorensen HT. Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and the Risk of Skin Cancer: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. *Cancer* (2012) 118(19):4768–76. doi: 10.1002/cncr.27406
- 34. He P, Yang C, Ye G, Xie H, Zhong W. Risks of Colorectal Neoplasms and Cardiovascular Thromboembolic Events After the Combined Use of Selective COX-2 Inhibitors and Aspirin With 5-Year Follow-Up: A Meta-Analysis. *Colorectal Dis* (2019) 21(4):417–26. doi: 10.1111/codi.14556
- 35. Yuan JQ, Yang M, Threapleton DE, Qi XS, Ye DQ, Mao C, et al. Systematic Review With Meta-Analysis: The Gastrointestinal Benefits of COX-2 Selective Inhibitors With Concomitant Use of Low-Dose Aspirin. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* (2016) 44(8):785–95. doi: 10.1111/apt.13776
- 36. Lanas A, Garcia-Rodriguez LA, Arroyo MT, Gomollon F, Feu F, Gonzalez-Perez A, et al. Risk of Upper Gastrointestinal Ulcer Bleeding Associated With Selective Cyclo-Oxygenase-2 Inhibitors, Traditional Non-Aspirin Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs, Aspirin and Combinations. *Gut* (2006) 55(12):1731–8. doi: 10.1136/gut.2005.080754
- 37. Lee TY, Hsu YC, Tseng HC, Lin JT, Wu MS, Wu CY. Association of Daily Aspirin Therapy With Hepatocellular Carcinoma Risk in Patients With

Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol (2020) 18 (12):2784-2792.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2020.04.036

- Rahme E, Ghosn J, Dasgupta K, Rajan R, Hudson M. Association Between Frequent Use of Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and Breast Cancer. BMC Cancer (2005) 5:159. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-5-159
- Wu CY, Wu MS, Kuo KN, Wang CB, Chen YJ, Lin JT. Effective Reduction of Gastric Cancer Risk With Regular Use of Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs in Helicobacter Pylori-Infected Patients. J Clin Oncol (2010) 28 (18):2952–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2009.26.0695
- Su SY, Lee WC. Mortality Trends of Liver Diseases From 1981 to 2016 and the Projection to 2035 in Taiwan: An Age-Period-Cohort Analysis. *Liver Int* (2019) 39(4):770–6. doi: 10.1111/liv.14027
- Hung TH, Liang CM, Hsu CN, Tai WC, Tsai KL, Ku MK, et al. Association Between Complicated Liver Cirrhosis and the Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Taiwan. *PloS One* (2017) 12(7):e0181858. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0181858
- Chien J, Liu J, Lee MH, Jen CL, Batrla-Utermann R, Lu SN, et al. Risk and Predictors of Hepatocellular Carcinoma for Chronic Hepatitis B Patients With Newly Developed Cirrhosis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol (2016) 31(12):1971–7. doi: 10.1111/jgh.13422
- 43. Bangalore S, Kumar S, Kjeldsen SE, Makani H, Grossman E, Wetterslev J, et al. Antihypertensive Drugs and Risk of Cancer: Network Meta-Analyses and Trial Sequential Analyses of 324,168 Participants From Randomised Trials. *Lancet Oncol* (2011) 12(1):65–82. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70260-6
- Hicks BM, Filion KB, Yin H, Sakr L, Udell JA, Azoulay L. Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and Risk of Lung Cancer: Population Based Cohort Study. *BMJ* (2018) 363:k4209. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k4209
- 45. Harding JL, Sooriyakumaran M, Anstey KJ, Adams R, Balkau B, Brennan-Olsen S, et al. Hypertension, Antihypertensive Treatment and Cancer Incidence and Mortality: A Pooled Collaborative Analysis of 12 Australian and New Zealand Cohorts. J Hypertens (2016) 34(1):149–55. doi: 10.1097/ HJH.000000000000770

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Lu, Chen, Lin, Lin, Wang and Pan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.