Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ye Wang, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Medical College of Qingdao University, China

REVIEWED BY Xianfeng Jiang, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, China Jiawei Xu, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jian Hu dr_hujian@zju.edu.cn Weiyu Shen shenweiyu@nbu.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cancer Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 09 August 2022 ACCEPTED 28 December 2022 PUBLISHED 13 February 2023

CITATION

He J, Liang G, Tian H, Wang Y, Yu L, Lv W, Hu J and Shen W (2023) Wht signaling pathway-related gene PRICKLE1 is a prognostic biomarker for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 12:1014902. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.1014902

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 He, Liang, Tian, Wang, Yu, Lv, Hu and Shen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Wnt signaling pathway-related gene PRICKLE1 is a prognostic biomarker for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

Jinxian He^{1,2}, Gaofeng Liang², Hui Tian², Yiqing Wang¹, Li Yu¹, Wang Lv¹, Jian Hu^{1*} and Weiyu Shen^{2*}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, ²Department of Thoracic Surgery, Ningbo Medical Center Lihuili Hospital, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China

Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) has become a major health risk to human health. Although significant clinical progress has been made in the treatment of ESCC, the prognosis of patients still needs to be improved. Therefore, it is important to screen effective molecular indicators for the prognosis of ESCC. In this study, the intersection of up-regulated genes, downregulated genes, and Wnt signaling pathway-related genes in ESCC was taken, and 47 overlapping genes were found. PRICKLE1 was determined to be an independent prognostic factor in ESCC based on univariate and multifactorial COX risk regression models. Kaplan-Meier survival curves showed that patients in the PRICKLE1 high expression group had significantly better overall survival. In addition, we performed various experiments to examine the effects of PRICKLE1 overexpression on proliferation, migration, and apoptosis of ESCC cells. The experimental results showed that the PRICKLE1-OE group had reduced cell viability, significantly lower migration ability and significantly higher apoptosis rate compared to the NC group. Therefore, we hypothesized that high PRICKLE1 expression could be used to predict the survival rate of ESCC patients, which could be used as an independent prognostic indicator for ESCC patients and provide potential applications for ESCC clinical treatment.

KEYWORDS

esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, PRICKLE1, Wnt signaling pathway, overall survival, proliferation, cell migration, apoptosis

1 Introduction

The incidence of esophageal cancer has shown a significantly increasing trend. The main manifestations of esophageal cancer are damage to the esophageal wall and narrowing of the esophageal lumen, and patients often have symptoms such as difficulty in swallowing, dryness, and tightness in the throat (1). Esophageal cancer is very easy to invade and infiltrate and grow, and the symptoms are insidious, so the first diagnosis is often a patient in the

middle or late stage, so the annual survival rate is not high (2). In 2020, the global cancer incidence of esophageal cancer is reported to be 600,000, ranking eighth, and the number of global deaths from esophageal cancer is reported to be 540,000, ranking sixth, making esophageal cancer a major health hazard to human beings (3). The causes of esophageal cancer are still unclear, and it is believed that the occurrence of the disease is influenced by a combination of factors, such as genetics, lifestyle habits, dietary structure, alcoholism and smoking, and environmental characteristics, which are all related to the occurrence of esophageal cancer (4, 5). The histological typing of esophageal cancer is mainly ESCC andesophageal adenocarcinoma, among which, the pathological type of esophageal cancer in China is mainly ESCC, which is more common than esophageal adenocarcinoma (6). Although significant clinical progress has been made in the treatment of ESCC in recent years, the prognosis of patients still needs to be improved. Studies have shown that the occurrence and progression of ESCC involve multi-gene and multipathway alterations (7). Therefore, it is important to screen effective molecular indicators for population screening and prognosis determination of ESCC, which can help prolong the survival time of patients.

The Wnt signaling pathway is one of the five major cell signaling pathways and consists of a series of proteins encoded by oncogenes and oncogenes, which are regulated by several key proteins that are interconnected and mutually regulated (8). The Wnt signaling pathway is evolutionarily conserved and is involved in regulating cell growth and development, differentiation, proliferation, migration, and other growth processes (9). Abnormalities in the Wnt signaling pathway activation may lead to malignant transformation of cells and tumor development, invasion, and metastasis, and are closely related to poor patient prognosis (10). In recent years, this pathway has been a hot topic of research in cancers such as gastric, hepatocellular, colorectal, breast, and pancreatic cancers, and certain results have been achieved (11, 12). This study aimed to identify Wnt signaling pathway genes associated with ESCC prognosis, construct a Nomogram model, analyze single-gene prognosis, and experimentally validate them to improve patients' quality of life.

2 Methodology

2.1 Data sources

RNA-seq data of ESCC and its clinical information were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas database, containing ESCC samples (N=82) and paracancerous tissue samples (N=11), clinical information included data on the age, race, clinical stage, and overall survival (OS) of the sample, with OS defined as the time from any cause or last follow-up diagnosis to death.Wnt signaling pathwayrelated genes (N=167) were downloaded from the MSigDB database (https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb/index.jsp).

2.2 Differential expression analysis

Using P<0.05 and |Log2FC|>1 as the screening threshold for differentially expressed genes (DEGs), differential analysis of ESCC

cancer and paraneoplastic tissues was performed using the R software "limma" package. Venn diagrams were used to intersect the DEGs and Wnt signaling pathway-related genes to obtain the differentially expressed Wnt signaling pathway-related genes in ESCC.

2.3 Identification of prognosis-related genes

One-way COX regression analysis was performed on DEGs related to the Wnt signaling pathway, genes related to prognosis were screened using the Log-rank test, and forestplot was plotted using the R package "forestplot".

2.4 Nomogram construction

Independent influences on patient prognosis were determined based on univariate and multifactorial COX risk regression models. Based on the independent influences on prognosis, a Nomogram was constructed using the R package "rms" and a line graph was drawn.

2.5 Single-gene prognostic analysis

The overall survival of patients in the single gene high and low expression groups was analyzed by the R package Kaplan-Meier and Log-rank test. ROC curves were used to assess the predictive performance of prognostic genes for 1, 2, and 3-year survival of patients.

2.6 Cell culture and processing

The human esophageal cancer KYSE-450 cell line and KYSE-410 cell line and human normal esophageal squamous epithelial cell Het-1A was inoculated in RPMI 1640 culture medium (containing 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin 100 U/mL, and streptomycin 100 μ g/mL) and cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and saturated humidity, and the culture medium was changed once in 2 d. When the cell growth density reached about 90%, the cells were passaged at a ratio of 1:2. Cells in the logarithmic growth phase were taken for experiments.

Cells were transfected with lentiviral overexpression vector or empty vector using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent. The cells were transfected with the PRICKLE1-OE group and control group respectively, and the transfected cells were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Refer to the kit instructions for the specific transfection operation.

2.7 Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction

Total RNA was extracted using the TRIzol kit (Invitrogen, USA). cDNA was synthesized using the reverse transcription kit. qPCR was performed using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (TaKaRa, Japan). GAPDH was used as an internal reference, and the primer sequences were as in Table 1. follow the kit instructions. The experiment was repeated 3 times.

Gene	Forward 5'-3'	Reverse 5'-3'
PRICKLE1	TTTGCTTGCTTACCAGAGGAAA	ACTGGCAATACCGTACCTCAT
GAPDH	CTGGGCTACACTGAGCACC	AAGTGGTCGTTGAGGGCAATG

2.8 Immunoblotting assay

Cells were lysed using cell lysis buffer. total protein concentration was determined by BCA method. Proteins were separated using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and transferred to PVDF membranes. After sealing with 5% skim milk, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight. The primary antibody consisted of Anti- β Catenin (ab32572, abcam). Membranes were washed 3 times with TBST and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibodies at room temperature. Target bands were detected using ECL. GAPDH (ab8245, abcam) was used as an internal control. Repeat the experiment 3 times.

2.9 CCK-8

Esophageal cancer cells overexpressing PRICKLE1 were digested and inoculated into 96-well plates, and the cell density was adjusted to $1^{*}10^{4}$ cells per well using culture medium, with 3 replicate wells per group. Add 10 μ l CCK-8 solution to each well, incubate in the incubator for 2 h, and detect the absorbance at 450 nm using an enzyme marker. The percentage of cell viability was obtained.

2.10 Cell migration

A marker pen was used to draw horizontal lines evenly across the back of the 6-well plate, approximately every $0.5 \sim 1$ cm, across the wells, with at least 5 lines across each well. About 5×10^5 cells were added to the wells, and the next day the marker was used with the tip of the gun compared to a straightedge and scratched as far down as possible to the horizontal line behind. Wash the cells with PBS 3

times, remove the scratched down cells, add serum-free medium; put into 37° C, 5% CO₂ incubator and incubate; take samples according to 0h and 24h, observe the migration of cells at specific locations with inverted microscope and take pictures.

2.11 Apoptosis

Collect the cells, resuspend 1×10^5 cells in 200µL Binding Buffer, and add 4µL 0.5 mg/mL PI and 2µL Annexin V-FITC solution. Incubate at room temperature for 15 min at avoidance of light, and perform fluorescence detection by flow cytometry.

2.12 Statistical processing

GraphPad Prism 6 was used for data processing. The measurement data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, and the data were compared between groups using a t-tset. all experiments were repeated three times. p<0.05 was considered to be a significant difference.

3 Results

3.1 Differential expression analysis

Differential analysis of ESCC samples and paracancer tissue samples yielded 3451 up-regulated genes and 761 down-regulated genes (Figures 1A, B). The intersection of up-regulated genes, downregulated genes, and Wnt signaling pathway-related genes in ESCC was taken, and 47 overlapping genes were found (Figure 1C).



FIGURE 1

Differential expression analysis (A) Volcano plot showing DEGs of ESCC; (B) Heat map showing the distribution of DEGs of ESCC; (C) Venn diagram, overlapping genes were obtained.

3.2 Identification of prognosis-related genes

The results of one-way COX regression analysis showed that prickle sense protein 1 (PRICKLE1) was a prognosis-related Wnt signaling pathway gene (Figure 2, P<0.05).

3.3 Nomogram construction

One-way COX risk regression analysis showed that PRICKLE1 was a prognosis-associated Wnt signaling pathway gene (Figure 3A, P<0.05). Multi-factor COX risk regression analysis showed that PRICKLE1 may be an independent prognostic factor (Figure 3B, P<0.05). Nomogram prediction model showed that PRICKLE1 was an independent prognostic factor in ESCC, and PRICKLE1 had the best predictive ability for a 1-year prognosis in ESCC patients (Figures 3C, D).

3.4 Single-gene prognostic analysis

The samples were classified into the PRICKLE1 high expression group and PRICKLE1 low expression group according to the amount of individual gene expression (Figure 4A). Kaplan-Meier survival curves showed that the overall survival of patients in the PRICKLE1 high expression group was significantly better (Figure 4B, P<0.05). ROC showed that PRICKLE1 predicted 1-year prognosis of ESCC patients with AUC value was the largest (Figure 4C).



FIGURE 2

Identification of prognosis-related genes. The forest plot shows PRICKLE1 as a prognosis-related Wnt signaling pathway gene.

3.5 PRICKLE1 overexpression inhibits cell migration and promotes apoptosis

Through experimental validation, we found that the expression of PRICKLE1 was reduced in esophageal cancer; PRICKLE1 was able to attenuate Wnt/ β -catenin signaling; the cell viability was reduced, the migration ability was significantly lower and the apoptosis rate was significantly higher in the PRICKLE1-OE group compared with the NC group (Figures 5A–F, P<0.05).

4 Discussion

The early symptoms of ESCC patients are not obvious and easily ignored by patients and physicians, and patients are often in the middle to late stages when they are clinically diagnosed with ESCC, which is characterized by progressive dysphagia as the main clinical manifestation and a low survival rate (13). Although the techniques for treating ESCC have improved significantly in recent years, the five-year survival rate of the disease is still less than 20% (14). Therefore, there is a clinical need to actively investigate in depth the prognosis-related biomarkers of ESCC to provide important clues and directions for targeted therapy. In recent years, molecular biology has become a hot spot for clinical research, especially the Wnt signaling pathway in tumors (15).

The Wnt signaling pathway is a key pathway in cell development and regulation of growth, which is not only important in early embryonic development, organ formation, and tissue regeneration but also closely related to the cellular carcinogenesis process (16). In a normally growing mature organism, the Wnt signaling pathway is turned off. A mutation in one of the signaling members of the Wnt signaling pathway leads to overactivation of the pathway, resulting in abnormal proliferation and differentiation of many cells, which in turn leads to tumor formation and promotes tumor development (17). It has been shown that abnormalities in the Wnt signaling pathway exist in a variety of human tumors, such as breast, gastric, hepatocellular, colorectal, and prostate cancers (18). The Wnt signaling pathway is a major mechanism in tumor biology, and the activation of this pathway involves various processes such as Wnt2, β-catenin, GSK3β, and Axin. Nakajima M et al. suggested that Axin-2 acts as a negative regulator in the Wnt signaling pathway and is involved in physiological processes such as embryonic development, cell differentiation, and organ formation. Among 81 ESCC patients, five ESCC patients had Axin gene polymorphism as well as reduced Axin protein expression, and low expression of Axin was associated with the clinicopathological characteristics of ESCC patients and was beneficial in predicting the prognosis of patients (19). chu CY's team concluded that miRNAs related to the Wnt signaling pathway can regulate the development of ESCC cells and affect the prognosis of patients, and Wnt signaling pathway-related genes could be used as potential therapeutic targets for ESCC (20). Deng F's team found that Wnt2 and β -catenin were highly expressed and GSK3B was lowly expressed in ESCC samples; and the positive rate of Wnt2 was positively correlated with the malignancy of ESCC, the expression of GSK3 β was significantly correlated with the



FIGURE 3

Nomogram construction (A) single-factor COX risk regression model; (B) multi-factor COX risk regression model; (C) column line graph predicting patient survival; (D) calibration curve showing the predictive performance of PRICKLE1 on patient survival at 1, 2 and 3 years.



FIGURE 4

Single-gene prognostic analysis (A) Grouping according to individual gene expression; (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves showing patient survival; (C) ROC showing the predictive performance of PRICKLE1 on patient survival at 1, 2, and 3 years.



FIGURE 5

Experimental validation (A) Expression of PRICKLE1 in normal human esophageal epithelial cells and esophageal cancer cells; (B) qPCR showing mRNA expression in normal human esophageal epithelial cells and esophageal cancer cells; (C) WB showing the activation level of Wnt/b-catenin signaling pathway in NC group and PRICKLE1-OE group; (D) CCK8 showing cell viability in NC group and PRICKLE1-OE group; (E) Cell scratch assay showing cell migration in the NC group and PRICKLE1-OE groups; (F) Flow cytometry showing apoptosis in the NC group and PRICKLE1-OE groups, with the sum of cells in Q2 and Q3 in the NC group being 9.71% and the sum of cells in Q2 and Q3 in the PRICKLE1-OE group, *P<0.05; Compared with the NC group, *P<0.05.

tumor location of ESCC, and β -catenin was closely associated with the clinicopathological process in GSK3 β -negative ESCC samples (21).

PRICKLE1, a nuclear receptor, is a member of the non-classical Wnt/planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway, where Wnt/PCP signaling controls tissue polarity and cell motility and mediates collective migration events.PRICKLE1 is also a negative regulator in the Wnt signaling pathway, which regulates cells mainly through ubiquitination/deubiquitination and serine/threonine kinase phosphorylation (22).In various cell lines, PRICKLE1 regulates

directed cell migration, while at the molecular level, PRICKLE1 regulates the subcellular localization of related proteins as a way to coordinate directed cell migration (23). In different tumor cells, PRICKLE1 has different regulatory roles. On the one hand, it has been found that PRICKLE1 is highly expressed in breast cancer and its upregulation correlates with increased phosphorylation of AKT and its downstream components. PRICKLE1 enhances the migration ability and proliferation of cancer cells by acting on cytoskeleton-related interacting proteins and can be used as a marker of poor

prognosis in breast cancer patients (24). Zhou R's group reported that gastric cancer patients with PRICKLE1 expression were elevated, which may be because PRICKLE1 affects cytoskeletal reorganization by activating mTOR signaling, thus enhancing migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells (25). On the other hand, PRICKLE1 can attenuate Wnt/β-catenin signaling through the degradation inactivation of β -catenin and may act as a tumor suppressor in some cancers (26). It has been suggested that PRICKLE1 is a disheveled (DVL)-associated protein and exerts tumor suppressive effects by antagonizing DVL recruitment; PRICKLE1 expression is reduced in colorectal cancer tissues compared with normal tissues, and PRICKLE1 deficiency may allow further tumor progression (27). In addition, in colorectal cancer patients, PRICKLE1 can directly interact with DVL to mediate ubiquitin-proteasome pathway degradation. Also, PRICKLE1 can negatively regulate Wnt/βcatenin activity, which in turn affects the proliferative activity of colorectal cancer cells (28).

In this study, based on univariate and multifactorial COX risk regression analysis, we observed that PRICKLE1 was an independent prognostic factor in ESCC and that PRICKLE1 had the best predictive power for 1-year prognosis in ESCC patients. In addition, survival analysis showed that patients in the PRICKLE1 high expression group had significantly better overall survival and better prognostic significance in ESCC patients. Through experimental validation, we found that the expression of PRICKLE1 was reduced in esophageal cancer; PRICKLE1 was able to attenuate Wnt/β-catenin signaling; and the cell viability was reduced, the migration ability was significantly reduced and the apoptosis rate was significantly higher in the PRICKLE1-OE group compared with the NC group. This indicates that PRICKLE1 is closely related to the development of ESCC cells. as a class of non-classical Wnt signaling pathway core proteins, PRICKLE1 can effectively inhibit the proliferation and migration of ESCC and increase apoptosis by attenuating the effect of Wnt/β-catenin signaling.

In summary, high PRICKLE1 expression can be used to predict the survival of ESCC patients and can be used as a prognostic indicator for ESCC patients, providing a potential application for ESCC clinical treatment. The present study identified the relationship between PRICKLE1 and the prognosis of ESCC patients, but further elucidation of more detailed regulatory mechanisms is still needed in future studies. In addition, this study has not determined whether Wnt signaling pathway inhibitors are more effective in ESCC patients with high PRICKLE1 expression, and we await further studies in the future.

References

1. Li S, Chen H, Man J, Zhang T, Yin X, He Q, et al. Changing trends in the disease burden of esophageal cancer in China from 1990 to 2017 and its predicted level in 25 years. *Cancer Med* (2021) 10(5):1889–99. doi: 10.1002/cam4.3775

2. Kakeji Y, Oshikiri T, Takiguchi G, Kanaji S, Matsuda T, Nakamura T, et al. Multimodality approaches to control esophageal cancer: development of chemoradiotherapy, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy. *Esophagus*. (2021) 18(1):25-32. doi: 10.1007/s10388-020-00782-1

3. Huang J, Koulaouzidis A, Marlicz W, Lok V, Chu C, Ngai CH, et al. Global burden, risk factors, and trends of esophageal cancer: an analysis of cancer registries from 48 countries. *Cancers*. (2021) 13(1):141. doi: 10.3390/cancers13010141

4. Li J, Xu J, Zheng Y, Gao Y, He S, Li H, et al. Esophageal cancer: Epidemiology, risk factors and screening. *Chin J Cancer Res* (2021) 33(5):535. doi: 10.21147/j.issn.1000-9604.2021.05.01

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

JH, GL, and HT: writing the article, conception and design, data collection; YW: writing the article, data collection, critical revision of the article; LY: conception and design, analysis and interpretation, data collection; WL: analysis and interpretation, data collection, writing the article; JH: obtaining funding, critical revision of the article, writing the article; WS: critical revision of the article, data collection, writing the article. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Ningbo Clinical Research Center for thoracic & breast neoplasms (2021L002), NINGBO Medical & Health Leading Academic Discipline Project (2022-F02), the major science and technology projects of Zhejiang, China (2020C03058), and Zhejiang Lung Cancer Center (JBZX-202007).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

5. Li B, Liu Y, Peng J, Sun C, Rang W. Trends of esophageal cancer incidence and mortality and its influencing factors in China. *Risk Manage Healthcare Policy* (2021) 14:4809. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S312790

6. He Z, Ke Y. Precision screening for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in China. *Chin J Cancer Res* (2020) 32(6):673. doi: 10.21147/j.issn.1000-9604.2020.06.01

7. Cui Y, Chen H, Xi R, Cui H, Zhao Y, Xu E, et al. Whole-genome sequencing of 508 patients identifies key molecular features associated with poor prognosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cell Res* (2020) 30(10):902–13. doi: 10.1038/s41422-020-0333-6

8. Gou Y, Jin D, He S, Han S, Bai Q. RNF168 is highly expressed in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and contributes to the malignant behaviors in association with the wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway. *Aging (Albany NY)* (2021) 13(4):5403. doi: 10.18632/aging.202471

 Zhao YL, Li JB, Li YJ, Li SJ, Zhou SH, Xia H. Capn4 promotes esophageal squamous cell carcinoma metastasis by regulating ZEB1 through the wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. *Thorac Cancer* (2019) 10(1):24–32. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.12893

10. Taciak B, Pruszynska I, Kiraga L, Bialasek M, Król M. Wnt signaling pathway in development and cancer. J Physiol Pharmacol (2018) 69(2):185–96. doi: 10.26402/jpp.2018.2.07

11. Ghosh N, Hossain U, Mandal A, Sil PC. The wnt signaling pathway: a potential therapeutic target against cancer. *Ann New York Acad Sci* (2019) 1443(1):54–74. doi: 10.1111/nyas.14027

12. Jackstadt R, Hodder MC, Sansom OJ. WNT and β -catenin in cancer: Genes and therapy. Annu Rev Cancer Biol (2020) 4:177–96. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cancerbio-030419-033628

13. Codipilly DC, Qin Y, Dawsey SM, Kisiel J, Topazian M, Ahlquist D, et al. Screening for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: recent advances. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy* (2018) 88(3):413–26. doi: 10.1016/j.gie.2018.04.2352

14. Hamai Y, Emi M, Ibuki Y, Murakami Y, Nishibuchi I, Nagata Y, et al. Early recurrence and cancer death after trimodal therapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* (2019) 39(3):1433–40. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.13259

15. Abbaszadegan MR, Riahi A, Forghanifard MM, Moghbeli M. WNT and NOTCH signaling pathways as activators for epidermal growth factor receptor in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cell Mol Biol letters* (2018) 23(1):1–9. doi: 10.1186/s11658-018-0109-x

16. Wu Y-F, Wang C-Y, Tang W-C, Lee Y-C, Ta HDK, Lin L-C, et al. Expression profile and prognostic value of wnt signaling pathway molecules in colorectal cancer. *Biomedicines*. (2021) 9(10):1331. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9101331

17. Zhang Y, Wang X. Targeting the wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway in cancer. J Hematol Oncol (2020) 13(1):1–16. doi: 10.1186/s13045-020-00990-3

18. Jung Y-S, Park J-I. Wnt signaling in cancer: the rapeutic targeting of wnt signaling beyond β -catenin and the destruction complex. *Exp Mol Med* (2020) 52(2):183–91. doi: 10.1038/s12276-020-0380-6 19. Nakajima M, Fukuchi M, Miyazaki T, Masuda N, Kato H, Kuwano H. Reduced expression of axin correlates with tumour progression of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Br J cancer* (2003) 88(11):1734–9. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6600941

20. Chu C-Y, Wang R, Liu X-L. Roles of wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway related microRNAs in esophageal cancer. *World J Clin Cases* (2022) 10(9):2678. doi: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i9.2678

21. Deng F, Zhou K, Cui W, Liu D, Ma Y. Clinicopathological significance of wnt/βcatenin signaling pathway in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Int J Clin Exp pathol* (2015) 8(3):3045.

22. Daulat AM, Finetti P, Revinski D, Silveira Wagner M, Camoin L, Audebert S, et al. ECT2 associated to PRICKLE1 are poor-prognosis markers in triple-negative breast cancer. *Br J cancer* (2019) 120(9):931–40. doi: 10.1038/s41416-019-0448-z

23. Jiang D, He Y, Mo Q, Liu E, Li X, Huang L, et al. PRICKLE1, a Wnt/PCP signaling component, is overexpressed and associated with inferior prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. *J Trans Med* (2021) 19(1):1–17. doi: 10.1186/s12967-021-02873-8

24. Daulat AM, Bertucci F, Audebert S, Sergé A, Finetti P, Josselin E, et al. PRICKLE1 contributes to cancer cell dissemination through its interaction with mTORC2. *Dev Cell* (2016) 37(4):311–25. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2016.04.011

25. Zhou R, Tang Z, Li H, Wang X, Sun Y. PRICKLE1 promotes gastric cancer metastasis by activating mTOR signaling. Am J Trans Res (2021) 13(5):4266.

26. Pehlivan M, Cerci B, Sercan HO. The intracellular negative regulator genes of the wrt signaling in imatinib treatment. (2021). doi: 10.5455/annalsmedres.2021.01.111

27. Galamb O, Kalmár A, Péterfia B, Csabai I, Bodor A, Ribli D, et al. Aberrant DNA methylation of WNT pathway genes in the development and progression of CIMP-negative colorectal cancer. *Epigenetics* (2016) 11(8):588–602. doi: 10.1080/15592294.2016.1190894

28. Groulx J-F, Giroux V, Beauséjour M, Boudjadi S, Basora N, Carrier JC, et al. Integrin α 6A splice variant regulates proliferation and the wnt/ β -catenin pathway in human colorectal cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis* (2014) 35(6):1217–27. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgu006