



Case Report: Metastatic Signet-Ring-Cell Carcinoma of the Bladder From Breast Invasive Lobular Carcinoma Detected by Computed Tomography

Xiaoxiang Jin, Haibin Tang, Hualin Chen and Gang Chen*

Department of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

Secondary bladder tumors are relatively rare among all bladder tumors, while bladder metastases from breast cancer have been rarely reported. Furthermore, signet-ring differentiation may appear in the metastases from a breast invasive lobular carcinoma regardless of whether the primary breast tumor had signet-ring cells, which may cause diagnostic uncertainty. We report a case of a 55-year-old female patient with diffuse bladder thickening as the chief complaint and no specific clinical manifestations. While the cystoscopy showed multiple scattered red protuberances, the biopsy suggested signetring-cell carcinoma. The gastroscopy results suggested poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma with signet-ring cells. Considering the patient's history of invasive lobular carcinoma of the breast, chronic myeloid leukemia, and metastatic endometrial carcinoma from the breast, we performed an immunohistochemical analysis and the results indicated that signet-ring-cell carcinomas of the stomach and bladder originated from the invasive lobular carcinoma of the breast. We performed positron emission tomography/computed tomography and the results showed that there were multiple bone metastases already present. This was the first English case report of invasive lobular carcinoma of the breast metastasizing to the uterus, stomach, bladder, and bones with multiple signet-ring-cell variations. This study shares our reasons for misdiagnosing and opinions on diagnosing and treating for this kind of cases.

Keywords: signet-ring-cell carcinoma, bladder carcinoma, breast invasive lobular carcinoma, metastasis, CT manifestations, clinical diagnosis, multiple cancers, case report

INTRODUCTION

Primary bladder tumor is the most common tumor of the urinary system, while secondary tumors of the bladder are rare, accounting for less than 2% of all bladder neoplasms (1). The most common primary sites include the colon, prostate, and rectum, and the tumors from these sites mainly invade the bladder directly. Tumors from the stomach, lung, or breast invade the bladder with distant metastases (2). Secondary signet-ring-cell carcinomas (SRCCs) are rare. Herein, we report a case of a 55-year-old female patient with metastatic SRCCs in the stomach and bladder from an invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) of the breast.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Alessandro Tafuri, University of Verona, Italy

Reviewed by:

Andrea Panunzio, Università di Verona, Italy Clara Cerrato, University of California, San Diego, United States

> *Correspondence: Gang Chen chengang2308@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Genitourinary Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 14 December 2021 Accepted: 31 January 2022 Published: 16 February 2022

Citation:

Jin X, Tang H, Chen H and Chen G (2022) Case Report: Metastatic Signet-Ring-Cell Carcinoma of the Bladder From Breast Invasive Lobular Carcinoma Detected by Computed Tomography. Front. Oncol. 12:835487. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.835487

1

CASE DESCRIPTION

A 55-year-old female with no relevant medical history was diagnosed with ILC of the breast in November 2013. After preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy was administered twice, modified radical mastectomy was performed. The biopsy showed ILC of the breast $(T_2N_2M_0)$ with right axillary lymph node metastasis. After administering several regimens of postoperative chemotherapy and radiotherapy, the patient recovered gradually and was followed up regularly.

In May 2017, during a regular follow-up, the cancer antigen 125 level was found to be increased. The cervical and endometrial biopsies and immunohistochemistry analysis were performed. The expressions of the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and GATA-3 in endometrial cells were positive, which showed that it was related to the metastatic endometrial carcinoma from the breast (**Figure 1**). Total hysterectomy and bilateral salpingectomy were performed after preoperative chemotherapy was administered twice, followed by four regimens of postoperative supplementary chemotherapy.

In May 2018, bone marrow aspiration was performed because of an unexplained rise in the platelet counts, and a diagnosis of chronic myeloid leukemia was made. The patient was then treated with imatinib (800 mg qd). In June 2020, the treatment was changed to flumatinib (600 mg qd) and in March 2021, it was changed to flumatinib (400 mg qd). The results of the P210/ ABL were negative.

During a routine follow-up with a computed tomography (CT) scan of the pelvic region in August 2020, it was found that the bladder wall had thickened, with the thickest part approximately 9.2 mm. The CT value was approximately 36 HU on a plain scan and 56 HU on an enhanced scan. The bilateral ureters were slightly dilated, and no obvious enlarged lymph nodes were found in the pelvic cavity. The uterus and bilateral appendages were not shown. There was a small amount of fluid in the pelvic cavity. The soft tissues of the abdominal and pelvic wall swelled slightly (Figures 2A, B). The cystoscopy showed that the appearance of the mucous membrane of each wall was normal with only a local thickening. No new organisms were found. The bilateral ureteral openings were normal. (Figures 3A, B). The patient experienced bladder distension after an injection of approximately 300 ml of normal saline. We considered it might be neurogenicbladder dysfunction at first. However, the patient had no high-risk factors such as diabetes or neurological diseases and she did not experience obvious hematuria, frequent urination, voiding pain, or other discomforts, and the creatinine and eGFR were normal (Supplementary Figures 1A, B). Therefore, we only suggested the patient active surveillance.

In March 2021, the pelvic CT showed a significant thickening of the bladder wall (**Figure 2C**). The CT value was approximately 39 HU on a plain scan and 47 HU, 63 HU, and 79 HU on enhanced scans in the arterial venous and delayed phases, respectively. The bilateral ureters were still slightly dilated, and no





FIGURE 2 | (A) The bladder wall thickened (August, 2020); (B) The bilateral ureter was slightly dilated (August, 2020); (C) The bladder wall was significantly thickened (March, 2021); (D) Hydronephrosis in the right kidney (March, 2021); (E) The bladder wall was significantly thicker than before (May, 2021); (F) Hydronephrosis in bilateral kidneys (May, 2021); (G) The bladder wall was still thick (September, 2021); (H) Hydronephrosis was slightly less than before (September, 2021).

obvious enlarged lymph nodes were found in the pelvic cavity. The uterus and bilateral appendages were not shown and some small lymph nodes could be seen in the right inguinal region. There was a small amount of fluid in the pelvic cavity. The soft tissues of the abdominal and pelvic wall swelled slightly. However, unlike previously, there was hydronephrosis in the right kidney, and the pelvis antero-posterior diameter was estimated to be 22mm (**Figure 2D**). The patient still did not experience any chief urinary symptoms and the creatinine and eGFR were normal (**Supplementary Figures 1A, B**). This time, we still didn't realize

it was malignant. Because based on our previous experience, bladder cancer is more likely to show new organisms protruding into the cavity while bladder wall thickening is mostly related to non-cancerous lesions. And considering there were only slowly aggravated thickening of the bladder wall and the renal function was normal the whole time, we still cautiously suggested her active surveillance without placements of ureteral stents or nephrostomy tubes. Because for the patients who have histories of multiple tumors with poor physical conditions, sometimes active interventions may have a potentially negative impact in them.





In May 2021, the pelvic CT showed that the bladder wall was significantly thicker than previously observed. The CT value was approximately 39 HU on a plain scan and 45 HU, 64 HU, and 74 HU on enhanced scans in the arterial, venous, and delayed phases, respectively. The bilateral ureters showed a greater dilation than previously observed, and there was hydronephrosis in the bilateral kidneys. The pelvis anteroposterior diameter was estimated to be 21mm in right kidney and 14mm in left kidney, respectively. No obvious enlarged lymph nodes were found in the pelvic cavity. There were no changes in right inguinal lymph nodes and pelvic effusion compared to last time, but the swelling soft tissues of the abdominal and pelvic wall disappeared (Figures 2E, F). Based on rapidly progressive aggravations of the bladder wall thickening in the CT manifestations, we considered that there was a possibility of malignancy and we suggested that a cystoscopy should be performed immediately even though there were no significant clinical symptoms with normal renal function. The cystoscopy showed the presence of some small red protuberances around the left ureteral opening. The bladder wall had a tough, leather-like appearance. The right ureteral opening could not be seen (Figures 3C, D). After injecting about 100 ml of normal saline, the patient experienced bladder distension. We advised the patient to performed the pathological biopsy, but she refused this time without telling us the reason. We speculated

that it may be related to the economic burden or psychological stress because the patient suffered from multiple cancers for a long time. The patient was asked to follow up regularly.

Considering the prognosis may be poor without immediate intervention, we communicated with the patient many times. Finally, on May 24, 2021, the patient agreed to perform the second cystoscopy with a biopsy at the same time. The findings of the cystoscopy were similar to the previous findings, and a biopsy was performed this time. The pathological biopsy revealed the presence of signet-ring-cell carcinoma. An immunohistochemical analysis was performed. The results for caudal-type homeobox 2 (CDX-2), cytokeratin 20 (CK20), and Villin were negative, while the result for GATA-3 was positive (Figures 4A-E). Based on the histological findings and the previous diagnosis of breast ILC, a diagnosis of metastatic bladder SRCC from the breast was made. Gastroscopy and enterostomy were recommended. Gastroscopy showed there were hypertrophic protuberances of the mucous membrane in the fundus and body of the stomach, and the biopsy suggested poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma with signet-ring cells. The neoplastic cells were positive for ER, PR, and GATA-3 and negative for CDX-2, CK20, Villin and E-cadherin (Figures 4F-M). The results showed that the gastric carcinoma also originated from the breast. We performed positron emission tomography (PET)/CT scans, and the results showed that multiple bone metastases were already present.



FIGURE 4 | The representative staining results of bladder carcinoma [(A). H&E, ×200; (B) CDX-2, ×200; (C) CK20, ×200; (D) GATA-3, ×200; (E) Villin, ×200]; The representative staining results of gastric carcinoma [(F) H&E, ×200; (G) CDX-2, ×200; (H) CK20, ×200; (I) GATA-3, ×200; (J) Villin, ×200; (K) estrogen receptor, ×200; (L) progesterone receptor, ×200; (M) E-cadherin, ×200].

Given the presence of multiple metastases, the patient was treated with apatinib mesylate (250 mg qd) and Tegafur Gimeracil Oteracil Potassium Capsule (40 mg bid). The patient returned to us on September 27, 2021, after three months of chemotherapy. She still experienced no typical urinary symptoms with normal renal function the whole time, and she had only a little gastrointestinal discomfort due to the chemotherapy. The CT scan showed that the bladder wall was still significantly thick. The CT value was approximately 38 HU on a plain scan and 45 HU, 62 HU, and 76 HU on enhanced scans in the arterial, venous, and delayed phases, respectively. The bilateral ureter dilation and hydronephrosis were slightly less than previously observed. The pelvis antero-posterior diameter was estimated to be 17mm in right kidney and 9mm in left kidney, respectively (Figure 2G, H). The cystoscopy showed there were still some small red protuberances on the bladder wall. The right ureteral opening could not be seen (Figure 3E, F). In the present case, chemotherapy appeared to be effective because for the patient with rapid progress at the beginning (from March 2021 to May 2021), there was no further aggravation after the chemotherapy. What's more, the patient had no chief complaint in urinary system with normal renal function the whole time. and the patient was advised to follow up the renal function every month and the enhanced CT every 3months.

DISCUSSION

Metastatic lesions in the bladder represent less than 2% of all bladder neoplasms. Bladder metastatic tumors were mainly obtained from an autopsy series (1, 3, 4). Most of them reached the bladder by direct invasion from the prostate, female genital tract, and lower gastrointestinal tract. The other primary tumors originated from the stomach, breast, or lungs (2). About 45% of breast cancers have metastases, which can occur in almost any organ. Breast cancer often metastasizes to the bones, lungs, liver, and brain, known as organotropisms (5). Bladder metastases from breast cancer are extremely rare, with only about 65 cases reported (5, 6). The average time of urinary bladder metastases from the primary diagnosis of breast cancer is approximately 90 months (5). The main pathological subtypes of breast cancer are ductal and lobular carcinomas. ILCs, accounting for 8% to 14% of the cases, are the second most common subtype (6). Urinary bladder metastases from breast cancer are more common in ILC than in invasive ductal carcinomas (5-10).

Primary SRCCs of the bladder are uncommon, with an estimated prevalence of 0.24% of all primary bladder cancers (11, 12). Metastatic SRCCs of the bladder are rarer (12–24). We searched the PubMed, MEDLINE, Embase, and Google Scholar databases using key words such as "gastric or stomach", "signet-ring-cell carcinoma", "breast or mammary", "bladder", and "metastasis". To the best of our knowledge, there have been no case reports of ILCs of the breast metastasizing to the uterus, stomach, bladder, and bones with multiple SRC variations.

For treatment, it is necessary to determine whether the tumor is primary or secondary. IHC is useful in differential diagnoses (25, 26). Recently, GATA-3 has been known as a specific marker for breast cancer, with almost 100% ILC of the breast expressing GATA-3, while the positive rate of GATA-3 expression may be less than 5% in primary tumors of the gastrointestinal tract and bladder (27). CDX-2 is a marker expressed only in normal gastrointestinal epithelial cells and tumors with more than two thirds of the cases of gastric adenocarcinomas expressing CDX2. However, in breast cancer, CDX2 is usually negative. Thus, it can also be used to identify tumors from the gastrointestinal tract or the breast (27). ER is expressed exclusively in breast carcinoma, but approximately 20% of breast SRCCs may be negative for ER (27, 28), whereas some studies have found that up to 30% of gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas were positive for ER. PR is also a common biomarker in breast carcinoma. In breast and ovarian adenocarcinoma, CK20 may often be negative. CK20 is positive in the gastrointestinal tumors (29-31). ER combined with CK20 and PR may be helpful in differentiating tumors that originate from the gastrointestinal region from those that originate in the breast (32, 33). Villin are produced mainly by epithelial cells that form brush borders. Villin-producing cells have been reported to be found in the epithelial cells of the intestinal mucosa and gallbladder. Thus, Villin may also be a useful marker for gastrointestinal cancer (34). It has been postulated that loss of E-cad expression is an early gatekeeper event in *in situ* lobular breast cancer and a precursor of invasive lobular breast cancer (35, 36). In our case, the bladder SRCC expressed GATA-3 and was negative for CDX-2, CK20, and Villin. The gastric SRCC expressed GATA-3, ER, and PR and was negative for CDX-2, CK20, Villin and E-cadherin. The endometrial carcinoma expressed ER, PR, and GATA-3. Therefore, we considered that all three metastatic tumors were from breast cancer.

However, the results of the immunohistochemical analyses are not always accurate. Diagnoses should be based on clinical manifestations, pathological biopsies, and imaging findings. For primary SRCCs, at present, surgical treatment is generally recommended, and it is possible for patients to have long-term disease-free survival (37). For secondary SRCCs, while chemotherapy is mainly used, the overall prognosis is poor. Our patient chose the chemotherapy regimen of apatinib mesylate (250 mg qd) and the tegafur gimeracil oteracil potassium capsules (40 mg bid). The chemotherapy appeared to be effective at the time because the hydronephrosis was slightly less than previously observed.

There are three special points in this case. First, the patient was not diagnosed accurately for approximately nine months, and when diagnosed finally, the PET/CT scans indicated bone metastasis. Generally, the manifestations of bladder metastatic tumors can be divided into two types: the protuberant type and the diffuse type. Almost all patients with gross hematuria show a protuberant mass during the imaging scans. In patients with lower urinary tract symptoms as the first symptom, the imaging findings are often diffuse wall thickening (12–23, 38). In our case, the pelvic CT scan showed the manifestation of the diffuse thickening of the bladder wall for nine months before the diagnosis of SRCC. However, no further treatment was made at that time because the patient showed no obvious clinical symptoms. Furthermore, the rarity of urinary bladder metastases made us relax our vigilance. We analyzed the following reasons

for the missed diagnosis of the patient: 1) In general, the most common manifestations of bladder malignant tumors were hematuria, obvious space-occupying lesions, or obvious lower urinary tract symptoms (5). However, the urinary symptoms of this patient were not obvious all the time. This made us ignore the possibility of a tumor when there were only atypical bladder wall thickenings in the CT scans and negative findings from the cystoscopy, resulting in a missed diagnosis. 2) Metastatic bladder cancer is extremely rare, and it is often not included in the differential diagnosis when it is encountered with negative findings from the cystoscopy and with inconspicuous clinical symptoms. In fact, the metastatic pattern of ILC tends to occur as a diffuse thickening of the mucosa rather than as a discrete nodule (5). However, the subsequent progressive thickening of the bladder wall and the patient's medical history of breast ILC should have prompted us to consider whether there was a risk of metastatic bladder cancer.

Second, there was a history of multiple cancers in this case. At present, the patient has a history of ILC of the breast, metastatic endometrial carcinoma from the breast, and chronic myeloid leukemia. Combined with the bone metastasis and the metastatic SRCC of the bladder and stomach, she had six types of cancers in different sites. There was no oncological disease in the patient's family members, so we thought there may have been some mutations in the patient's genes. We suggested the patient to do some genetic assessments, but the patient refused due to the high cost of genetic analysis. In the future, with the patient's agreement, we intend to identify any related genes.

Third, there were no SRCs in the breast ILC; however, SRCs were found in the gastric and bladder metastases. SRC breast cancer was characterized in 1976 (39, 40). This type of tumor accounts for approximately 1% of all breast cancer cases (29, 39, 41). It is characterized by a particularly high proportion of signet-ring-shaped cells and is considered as a subtype of lobular carcinoma (39, 40, 42–47). Moreover, after searching the PubMed, Embase and Web of science databases, we found that SRCs may occur in metastases only and not in the primary tumors (39, 48). In other words, signet-ring differentiation may appear in the metastases from a breast ILC regardless of whether the primary breast tumor had SRCs, which may cause diagnostic uncertainty (39, 41, 43, 49). Due to this unique feature of breast ILC, in our opinion, if there is breast ILC combined with SRCC of other organs, we should consider whether there is a metastasis from the breast.

CONCLUSIONS

Metastatic bladder SRCCs from breast ILCs are rare clinically. Atypical clinical symptoms and negative cystoscopy results often lead to a very high rate of missed diagnosis, which may delay treatment and affect the prognosis. Clinically, when we encounter patients that present with only atypical findings in CT scans such as bladder wall thickening, we should be careful about whether there is a possibility of metastatic bladder carcinoma, irrespective of whether the urinary symptoms and cystoscopy changes are typical, especially for those who have multiple cancer histories. Furthermore, when we encounter SRCCs in patients with histories of breast ILC, we should immediately consider whether there has been an SRC-type variation during the metastasis from breast ILC. Biopsies should be performed as early as possible and the immunohistochemical analysis is helpful in determining the primary lesion.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Chongqing Medical University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XX contributed to the conception and design of the study. GC provided study materials or patients. HB and HL collected and assembled data. XX wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

The authors declare that this study received funding from the Senior Medical Talents Program of Chongqing for Young and Middle-aged from Chongqing Health Commission Program under Grant [number 2019GDRC017]. The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.835487/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | (A) The level of creatinine of this patient from August 2020 to December 2021; (B) The level of eGFR of this patient from August 2020 to December 2021.

REFERENCES

- 1. Saphir O. Signet-Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Urinary Bladder. *Am J Pathol* (1955) 31(2):223–31.
- Ganem EJ, Batal JT. Secondary Malignant Tumors of the Urinary Bladder Metastatic From Primary Foci in Distant Organs. J Urol (1956) 75(6):965–72. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(17)66911-8
- 3. Veroux G, Romeo G, Pedulla G. Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Bladder. Archivio Italiano Di Urol (1963) 36:107-37.
- Holmäng S, Borghede G, Johansson SL. Primary Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Bladder: A Report on 10 Cases. *Scandinavian J Urol Nephrol* (1997) 31 (2):145–8. doi: 10.3109/00365599709070320
- Karjol U, Jonnada P, Cherukuru S, Chandranath A. Bladder Metastasis From Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review. *Cureus* (2020) 12(3):e7408. doi: 10.7759/ cureus.7408
- Luczyńska E, Pawlik T, Chwalibóg A, Anioł J, Ryś J. Metastatic Breast Cancer to the Bladder Case Report and Review of Literature. J Radiol Case Rep (2010) 4(5):19–26. doi: 10.3941/jrcr.v4i5.395
- Dória MT, Maesaka JY, Martins SNF, Silveira TP, Boufelli G, Siqueira SA, et al. Gastric Metastasis as the First Manifestation of an Invasive Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast. *Autopsy Case Rep* (2015) 5(3):49–53. doi: 10.4322/acr.2015.018
- Arpino G, Bardou VJ, Clark GM, Elledge RM. Infiltrating Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast: Tumor Characteristics and Clinical Outcome. *Breast Cancer Res: BCR* (2004) 6(3):R149–56. doi: 10.1186/bcr767
- Harake MD, Maxwell AJ, Sukumar SA. Primary and Metastatic Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast. *Clin Radiol* (2001) 56(8):621–30. doi: 10.1053/ crad.2001.0766
- Winston CB, Hadar O, Teitcher JB, Caravelli JF, Sklarin NT, Panicek DM, et al. Metastatic Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast: Patterns of Spread in the Chest, Abdomen, and Pelvis on CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* (2000) 175(3):795– 800. doi: 10.2214/ajr.175.3.1750795
- Ota T, Shinohara M, Kinoshita K, Sakoma T, Kitamura M, Maeda Y. Two Cases of Metastatic Bladder Cancers Showing Diffuse Thickening of the Bladder Wall. *Japanese J Clin Oncol* (1999) 29(6):314–6. doi: 10.1093/jjco/29.6.314
- Okutur K, Eren OO, Demir G. Metastasis of Gastric Signet-Ring Cell Carcinoma to the Urinary Bladder: A Case Report and Review of the Literature. Case Rep Oncol Med (2015) 2015:127516. doi: 10.1155/2015/127516
- Nakamura K, Hihara T, Nishiumi T, Yoneyama T. A Case of Metastatic Urinary Bladder Tumor From Gastric Carcinoma. *Hinyokika Kiyo Acta Urol* Japonica (1992) 38(7):845–7.
- Saba NF, Hoenig DM, Cohen SI. Metastatic Signet-Ring Cell Adenocarcinoma to the Urinary Bladder. *Acta Oncol (Stockholm Sweden)* (1997) 36(2):219–20. doi: 10.3109/02841869709109233
- Uemura M, Inoue H, Nishimura K, Mizutani S, Miyoshi S. Metastatic Bladder Tumor Disseminated Lymphogenously From Gastric Cancer: A Case Report. *Hinyokika Kiyo Acta Urol Japonica* (2000) 46(10):723–5.
- Valero Puerta JA, Medina Pérez M, García Carriazo M, Valpuesta Fernández I, Sánchez González M, Mompean FO. Bladder Metastasis of Signet-Ring Cell Adenocarcinoma From the Stomach. *Archivos Espanoles Urol* (2000) 53 (9):839–41.
- Kim HC, Kim SH, Hwang SI, Lee HJ, Han JK. Isolated Bladder Metastases From Stomach Cancer: CT Demonstration. *Abdominal Imaging* (2001) 26 (3):333–5. doi: 10.1007/s002610000163
- Antunes AA, Siqueira TMJr., Falcão E. Vesical Metastasis of Gastric Adenocarcinoma. Int Braz J Urol: Off J Braz Soc Urol (2004) 30(5):403–5. doi: 10.1590/S1677-55382004000500009
- Neves TR, Covita A, Soares M, Monteiro P, Nogueira R, Lima MS, et al. Bladder Metastasis of Gastric Adenocarcinoma. Report of 2 Cases and Bibliographic Review. Archivos Espanoles Urol (2011) 64(6):544–50.
- Sharma PK, Vijay MK, Das RK, Chatterjee U. Secondary Signet-Ring Cell Adenocarcinoma of Urinary Bladder From a Gastric Primary. Urol Ann (2011) 3(2):97–9. doi: 10.4103/0974-7796.82177
- Vigliar E, Marino G, Matano E, Imbimbo C, Rossella de C, Insabato L. Signet-Ring-Cell Carcinoma of Stomach Metastatic to the Bladder: A Case Report With Cytological and Histological Correlation and Literature Review. *Int J Surg Pathol* (2013) 21(1):72–5. doi: 10.1177/1066896912445922
- 22. Kalra S, Manikandan R, Dorairajan LN, Badhe B. Synchronously Detected Secondary Signet Ring Cell Urinary Bladder Malignancy From the Stomach

Masquerading as Genitourinary Tuberculosis. BMJ Case Rep (2015) 2015: bcr2014206120. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2014-206120

- Kazaz İVerifytat, Arslan A, Çolak F, Kazaz SN, Mungan S, Karagüzel E. Bladder Metastasis of Gastric Signet-Ring Cell Carcinoma. Urol Case Rep (2019) 22:62–3. doi: 10.1016/j.eucr.2018.10.013
- 24. Langel DJ, Yeatts RP, White WL. Primary Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Eyelid: Report of a Case Demonstrating Further Analogy to Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast With a Literature Review. Am J Dermatopathol (2001) 23(5):444–9. doi: 10.1097/00000372-200110000-00010
- Bates AW, Baithun SI. The Significance of Secondary Neoplasms of the Urinary and Male Genital Tract. Virchows Archiv: Int J Pathol (2002) 440 (6):640–7. doi: 10.1007/s00428-001-0549-x
- Michels J, Barbour S, Cavers D, Chi KN. Metastatic Signet-Ring Cell Cancer of the Bladder Responding to Chemotherapy With Capecitabine: Case Report and Review of Literature. *Can Urol Assoc J J l'Association Des Urol Du Canada* (2010) 4(2):E55–7. doi: 10.5489/cuaj.831
- Chu PG, Weiss LM. Immunohistochemical Characterization of Signet-Ring Cell Carcinomas of the Stomach, Breast, and Colon. *Am J Clin Pathol* (2004) 121(6):884–92. doi: 10.1309/A09ERYMFR64NERDW
- 28. Tot T. The Role of Cytokeratins 20 and 7 and Estrogen Receptor Analysis in Separation of Metastatic Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast and Metastatic Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Gastrointestinal Tract. APMIS: Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scandinavica (2000) 108(6):467–72. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0463.2000.d01-84.x
- Li X, Feng YF, Wei WD, Liu P, Xie ZM, Wang J, et al. Signet-Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Breast: A Case Report. World J Surg Oncol (2013) 11(1):183. doi: 10.1186/1477-7819-11-18
- Borst MJ, Ingold JA. Metastatic Patterns of Invasive Lobular Versus Invasive Ductal Carcinoma of the Breast. Surgery (1993) 114(4):637–41; discussion 41-2.
- Lamovec J, Bracko M. Metastatic Pattern of Infiltrating Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast: An Autopsy Study. J Surg Oncol (1991) 48(1):28–33. doi: 10.1002/ jso.2930480106
- He CL, Chen P, Xia BL, Xiao Q, Cai FL. Breast Metastasis of Gastric Signet-Ring Cell Carcinoma: A Case Report and Literature Review. World J Surg Oncol (2015) 13:120. doi: 10.1186/s12957-015-0538-1
- 33. Subik K, Lee JF, Baxter L, Strzepek T, Costello D, Crowley P, et al. The Expression Patterns of ER, PR, HER2, CK5/6, EGFR, Ki-67 and AR by Immunohistochemical Analysis in Breast Cancer Cell Lines. *Breast Cancer: Basic Clin Res* (2010) 4:35–41.
- 34. Jiang Q, Liu S, Xiong H, Pen J, Cai K, Yang Y, et al. Metastatic Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Bilateral Breasts and Ovaries From Gastrointestinal Tract in a Young Breastfeeding Female - A Case Report. J Cancer (2011) 2:484–9. doi: 10.7150/jca.2.484
- Hunt NC, Douglas-Jones AG, Jasani B, Morgan JM, Pignatelli M. Loss of E-Cadherin Expression Associated With Lymph Node Metastases in Small Breast Carcinomas. Virchows Arch (1997) 430:285–9. doi: 10.1007/BF01092751
- Oka H, Shiozaki H, Kobayashi K, Inoue M, Tahara H, Kobayashi T, et al. Expression of E-Cadherin Cell Adhesion Molecules in Human Breast Cancer Tissues and its Relationship to Metastasis. *Cancer Res* (1993) 53:1696–701.
- Wong HH, Chu P. Immunohistochemical Features of the Gastrointestinal Tract Tumors. J Gastrointestinal Oncol (2012) 3(3):262–84. doi: 10.3978/ j.issn.2078-6891.2012.019
- Terada T. An Immunohistochemical Study of Primary Signet-Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Stomach and Colorectum: II. Expression of MUC1, MUC2, MUC5AC, and MUC6 in Normal Mucosa and in 42 Cases. Int J Clin Exp Pathol (2013) 6(4):613–21.
- 39. Santaolalla F, Ereño C, Martínez A, Del Rey AS, Zabala A. Mandibular Metastasis of a Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Breast in a Patient Who Underwent Bilateral Mastectomy More Than 25 Years Earlier. *Breast Care* (*Basel Switzerland*) (2009) 4(3):192–4. doi: 10.1159/000220594
- Steinbrecher JS, Silverberg SG. Signet-Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Breast. Mucinous Variant Infiltrating Lobular Carcinoma? Cancer (1976) 37(2):828– 40. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(197602)37:2<828::aid-cncr2820370231>3.0.co;2-n
- Eltorky M, Hall JC, Osborne PT, el Zeky F. Signet-Ring Cell Variant of Invasive Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast. A Clinicopathologic Study of 11 Cases. Arch Pathol Lab Med (1994) 118(3):245–8.
- 42. Blazicević V, Staklenac B, Kristek J, Pajtler M, Krajinović Z, Stimac D, et al. "Signet-Ring" Cell Invasive Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast - Accidental

Finding Associated With Intraductal Papilloma: A Case Report. *cases J* (2009) 2(1):130. doi: 10.1186/1757-1626-2-130

- Liu SM, Chen DR. Signet-Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Breast. *Pathol Int* (2000) 50(1):67–70. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1827.2000.01007.x
- 44. Misonou J, Kanda M, Miyake T, Kawamura T, Maekawa I, Hishiyama H, et al. An Autopsy Case of Triple Cancers Including Signet-Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Breast–Report of a Rare Case With Reference to a Review of the Literature. *Japanese J Surg* (1990) 20(6):720–5. doi: 10.1007/BF02471041
- 45. DiCostanzo D, Rosen PP, Gareen I, Franklin S, Lesser M. Prognosis in Infiltrating Lobular Carcinoma. An Analysis of "Classical" and Variant Tumors. Am J Surg Pathol (1990) 14(1):12–23. doi: 10.1097/00000478-199001000-00002
- Breslow A, Brancaccio ME. Intracellular Mucin Production by Lobular Breast Carcinoma Cells. Arch Pathol Lab Med (1976) 100(11):620–1.
- Gad A, Azzopardi JG. Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast: A Special Variant of Mucin-Secreting Carcinoma. J Clin Pathol (1975) 28(9):711–6. doi: 10.1136/ jcp.28.9.711
- Kondo Y, Akita T, Sugano I, Isono K. Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Breast. Acta Pathol Japonica (1984) 34(4):875–80. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1827.1984.tb07617.x

 Raju U, Ma CK, Shaw A. Signet Ring Variant of Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast: A Clinicopathologic and Immunohistochemical Study. *Modern Pathol: Off J United States Can Acad Pathol Inc* (1993) 6(5):516–20.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Jin, Tang, Chen and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.