Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Alessio Bruni, University Hospital of Modena, Italy

REVIEWED BY Mattia Falchetto Osti, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy Duilio Divisi, University of L'Aquila, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Tian-Lu Wang wangtianlu126@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Thoracic Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 11 July 2022 ACCEPTED 03 October 2022 PUBLISHED 18 October 2022

CITATION

Liu C-S, Song Y-Q, Wang R-Z, Wang Z, He R, Xu K, Wang C-Y, Wu Y, Wang Y, Zhang X-f, Li G and Wang T-L (2022) Thorax radiotherapy using ¹⁸F-positron emission tomography/ computed tomography-guided precision radiotherapy is a prognostic factor for survival in patients with extracranial oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer:A two-center propensity score-matched analysis. *Front. Oncol.* 12:991378. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.991378

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liu, Song, Wang, Wang, He, Xu, Wang, Wu, Wang, Zhang, Li and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author (s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Thorax radiotherapy using ¹⁸F-positron emission tomography/computed tomography-guided precision radiotherapy is a prognostic factor for survival in patients with extracranial oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer: A two-center propensity score-matched analysis

Cheng-Sen Liu^{1†}, Ying-Qiu Song^{1†}, Run-Ze Wang^{1†}, Zheng Wang², Rong He², Ke Xu², Chen-Yu Wang³, Yu Wu¹, Ye Wang¹, Xiao-fang Zhang¹, Guang Li⁴ and Tian-Lu Wang^{1*}

¹Department of Radiotherapy, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Liaoning Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ²Department of Thoracic Surgery, Liaoning Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ³Department of Information Management, Liaoning Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ⁴Department of Radiotherapy, The First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China

Background: This retrospective study compared positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) and CT in the treatment of extracranial oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and explored the impact of thorax radiotherapy (TRT) on patient survival.

Methods: We reviewed the medical records of Chinese patients with stage IV extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC who underwent PET/CT or CT at two centers. Propensity score matching (PSM) was used to control differences in patient characteristics between the maintenance chemotherapy alone and TRT plus maintenance chemotherapy groups.

Results: We analyzed 192 eligible patients. The median survival time was better in patients who received PET/CT than in those who only received CT (n = 192, 16 months vs. 6 months, p<0.001). Subgroup analysis showed the median survival time was significantly longer in the TRT plus maintenance group than in the chemotherapy alone group in patients who underwent PET/CT examinations (n = 94, 25 months vs. 11 months, p<0.001). However, there was no statistical difference in survival between both groups in patients who underwent CT examinations (n = 98, 8 months vs. 5 months, p = 0.180). A multifactorial analysis revealed a more favorable prognosis in patients who underwent PET/CT evaluation (HR: 0.343, 95% CI: 0.250-0.471, p <0.001) and TRT (HR: 0.624, 95% CI: 0.464-0.840, p = 0.002), than in those who did not. PSM was consistent with these results.

Conclusions: PET/CT-guided TRT is associated with improved clinical outcomes in patients with stage IV extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC.

KEYWORDS

positron emission tomography/computed tomography, thorax radiotherapy, prognosis, extracranial oligometastatic, non-small cell lung cancer

Introduction

Oligometastasis is a distant metastasis with a limited number and distribution of tumors. Patients with oligometastasis usually have a maximum of 3-5 metastatic sites, excluding the primary site, and no more than 3 different organs that can be treated locally using surgery, radiation therapy, and other treatments to improve survival (1). Currently, oligometastasis is considered the transitional stage of advanced tumors inducing limited to extensive metastases, with the former metastases having significantly different biology and treatment options than those of the latter metastases (2). This is a shift from the conventional oncological thinking, which considers metastasis as an end-stage disease with limited treatment options. In patients with nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) receiving systemic therapy such as chemotherapy, the most common progression site is the original disease site (3-6). Our previous studies have shown that aggressive local consolidation therapy may destroy lesions, slow disease progression, and even improve patient survival (7). The results of a recent meta-analysis showed that combination local therapy in patients with metastatic NSCLC improved patient progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) and did not increase grade ≥ 3 adverse events. These results are consistent with the encouraging findings of most current randomized studies in the context of the lack of large sample phase 3 randomized studies, and support the exploration of local therapy in the treatment of metastatic NSCLC with the aim of achieving a cure (8).

Radiation therapy is one of the main local advanced NSCLC treatments. The clinical outline of the gross tumor volume (GTV) is based on morphological information, such as tissue density and anatomical structure, as provided by computed tomography (CT), but not on corresponding metabolic information. Consequently, potential occult metastases are often undetected, and GTV depiction based on planned CT images can be difficult when there is limited contrast between the

tumor and the surrounding tissue with similar density, such as in cases of mediastinal invasion or pulmonary atelectasis (9). ¹⁸Ffluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography-CT (¹⁸FDG-PET/CT) is of great value for early lung cancer detection, differential diagnoses of isolated nodules in lungs and mediastinum lymph nodes, clinical staging and detection of distant metastases, treatment efficacy determination, recurrence and metastasis follow-ups, and prognosis (10, 11). ¹⁸FDG, the most widely used PET imaging agent in clinical practice, enters the cell, following which ¹⁸FDG-PET imaging distinguishes between benign and malignant lesions based on glucose metabolism, which is significantly correlated with tumor pathological response (12). Most lung cancers are highly metabolic in nature, and abnormal cancer cell proliferation requires glucose overutilization. Accordingly, ¹⁸FDG-PET/CT has the advantages of both functional and anatomical structural imaging. ¹⁸FDG-PET/CT is better than traditional imaging methods and is increasingly preferred by clinicians for the diagnosis, treatment, and treatment efficacy evaluation of lung cancer and other tumors (13-15). Combining PET imaging with radiation therapy facilitates molecular image-guided dose mapping strategies that can create non-uniform dose distributions within the target area (16). To this end, PET can be used to visualize and quantify specific biological processes, including glucose metabolism, cell proliferation, and hypoxia, which are closely related to the radiotherapy process (17).

We demonstrated that local consolidation therapy (LCT) is superior to maintenance therapy in patients with oligometastatic NSCLC receiving PET/CT guidance (7). However, we did not directly compare NSCLC patients who underwent PET/CT to those who underwent conventional CT, and there is no direct evidence to confirm a significant difference in the prognostic benefit between these two screening methods in patients with oligometastatic NSCLC. Undertreatment may significantly contribute to treatment failure or tumor recurrence, but overtreatment can cause serious side effects and physical damage. Minimizing unnecessary treatment while ensuring efficacy is important for the survival and quality of life of patients with advanced NSCLC. To further improve the diagnosis and treatment of oligometastatic NSCLC, we retrospectively studied the medical records of patients with extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC who underwent PET/CT and conventional CT at two centers to investigate the differences between both examination methods and the impact of radiation therapy on the primary lung cancer site and on the survival of patients with extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC.

Materials and methods

Study population

We retrospectively analyzed Chinese patients with stage IV extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC who had undergone PET/ CT or CT scans at the First Hospital of China Medical University and Liaoning Cancer Hospital from February 2013 to November 2019. From patients' medical records, we assessed age, sex, smoking history, patient status, tumor size, lymphatic metastases, histological type, primary tumor site, treatment choice, and date of death. Inclusion criteria were patients with extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC with complete medical records who received thorax radiotherapy (TRT) with systemic therapy or only systemic therapy. PET/CT or CT was conducted on selected patients within one month before treatment. Patients with major organ dysfunction, more than one primary tumor, unknown metastatic status, multiple metastases (number >5), malignant pleural effusion, or who underwent targeted therapy or immunotherapy were excluded.

Propensity score matching ((PSM), performed using KPS, Smoke, Position, N classification, and Weight loss) was used to create a maintenance chemotherapy alone group, and a thorax radiotherapy (TRT) plus maintenance chemotherapy group, to reduce the effects of selection bias and confounding variables. The PSM function of the IBM SPSS software (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) was used to estimate the propensity score, and 1:1 nearest neighbor matching was used with a caliper width of 0.02 for PSM. A Chi-square test was used to check the covariate balance of each subgroup before and after PSM.

Statistical analysis

The number and clinical characteristics of all eligible patients were documented, and the Chi-square test was used to compare the categorical variables. The time from each patient's first extracranial oligometastatic diagnosis to the last follow-up or death was recorded as overall survival time (OST). The survival curves of different variables were determined using the Kaplan–Meier method and then checked by a log-rank test. The results are reported as hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Forest plots were used to describe the subgroup analysis of all the data. From the univariate analysis results, significant variables (p<0.1) were selected and placed in a Cox regression model and then a multivariable analysis, to ensure that significant variables (p<0.05) associated with the prognosis of patients with extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC were identified. IBM SPSS was used for the statistical analysis, and GraphPad Prism8 was used to draw the survival curve and forest plots.

Results

Patient characteristics

For the analysis, 192 of a total of 532 patients with advanced NSCLC at two medical centers were selected; 113 patients were male, and 79 were female, with a median age of 60 years. We used PSM to select 122 eligible patients of the 192 patients with extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC and divided them into a CT group and a PET/CT group (Figure 1). Among all patients, the one-year and two-year overall survival rates were 41.7% and 15.1%, respectively, and the median survival time was 10.5 months. Patient demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Univariate analysis

Considering tumor heterogeneity, we did not examine the relationship between different chemotherapy regimens and survival time. Important variables included in the univariate analysis before and after PSM, including T and N classifications, image (PET/CT vs. CT) and therapy, (TRT vs. NO TRT) are presented in Tables 2 and 3 (all p<0.1). The median survival time was better in patients who received PET/CT than in those who only received CT (before PSM: 16 months vs 6 months; and after PSM: 19 months vs 6 months, both, p<0.001). The median survival time was significantly better in the TRT plus maintenance group than in the chemotherapy alone group (before PSM: 13 months vs 8 months, p<0.001; and after PSM: 14 months vs 8 months, p<0.001).

Survival analysis and subgroup analysis

Figures 2 and 3 show that patients who underwent PET/CT were significantly associated with improved survival times. A subgroup analysis for those who underwent PET/CT examination, found a significant difference between the aforementioned groups: before PSM, 25 months vs 11 months; and after PSM, 27 months vs 11 months, (both, p<0.001).



However, no statistical difference in survival was found between the chemotherapy alone and the TRT plus maintenance groups for those who underwent CT examination: before PSM, 8 months vs 5 months, p = 0.180; and after PSM, 7 months vs 5 months, (p = 0.236).

Multifactorial analysis

Factors with significant differences in univariate analysis were subjected to multifactorial analysis. In the multifactorial analysis (Table 4), patients who underwent PET/CT (before PSM: HR: 0.343, 95% CI: 0.250–0.471 and after PSM, HR: 0.323, 95% CI: 0.217–0.480, (both p < 0.001) and TRT before PS,: HR: 0.624, 95% CI: 0.464–0.840, (p = 0.002) and after PSM, HR: 0.569, 95% CI: 0.385–0.841, (p = 0.005), had a more favorable prognosis than those who underwent CT and chemotherapy alone.

Discussion

Traditional NSCLC staging is based primarily on history, fiberoptic bronchoscopy, CT, magnetic resonance imaging, and other routine imaging examinations. If the lung cancer stage is inaccurate, an inappropriate treatment regimen will inevitably have an adverse impact on patient survival. PET/CT is an advanced diagnostic medical imaging technique that shows local metabolic function at a refined anatomical level. It has a superior sensitivity and specificity to other examination methods, and allows a more effective detection of asymptomatic metastatic foci and metastatic lymph nodes (18). Staging is more accurate when both metabolic function and anatomical information are assessed (19). CT imaging may be less applicable in classifying patients as oligometastases due to its relatively less sensitive imaging. Because occult lesions are not detected during CT imaging, the number of metastases recorded

Characteristics		BEFORE PSM		AFTER PSM			
	CT (N=98)	PET/CT (N=94)	P value	CT (N=61)	PET/CT (N=61)	P value	
Age (years)							
<60	53	40	0.110	34	26	0.147	
≥60	45	54		27	35		
Sex							
Female	35	44	0.118	28	27	0.856	
Male	63	50		33	34		
KPS							
<90	43	57	0.002	31	31	1	
≥90	55	37		30	30		
Smoke							
No	47	66	0.002	36	38	0.711	
Yes	51	28		25	23		
Pathological pattern							
SQC	43	29	0.062	28	21	0.196	
Adenocarcinoma and others	55	65		33	40		
Position							
Central	51	67	0.006	40	39	0.850	
Peripheral	47	27		21	22		
T classification							
T1-2	39	43	0.405	23	28	0.359	
T3-4	59	51		38	33		
N classification							
N0-1	18	32	0.013	14	13	0.827	
N2-3	80	62		47	48		
Therapy							
No TRT	46	50	0.386	35	32	0.585	
TRT	52	44		26	29		
Weight loss							
≤5%	74	61	0.026	40	40	1	
>5%	24	33		21	21		
Number of metastasis							
1	32	23	0.540	16	15	0.770	
2	24	23		17	17		
3	14	19		9	10		
4	18	15		13	9		
5	10	14		6	10		
No. of metastatic organs	10			Ũ	10		
1	56	46	0.384	33	32	0.389	
2	32	33	0.001	22	18	01005	
3	10	15		6	11		
Lung metastasis	48	49	0.663	29	32	0.587	
Bone metastasis	40	49	0.575	30	28	0.537	
Liver metastasis	11	40	0.917	7	7	1	
Adrenal metastasis	5	5	1	3	1	0.619	
Other metastasis	42	46	0.398	26	33	0.819	
Mixed metastasis	42	40	0.398	20	29	0.203	

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of patients.

N, number of cases/controls; TRT, thorax radiotherapy; PSM, propensity score matching; The PSM was performed using KPS, Smoke, Position, N classification, Weight loss; which were subdivided according to the median values; AD, adenocarcinoma.

Characteristics	Ν	Median survival time (months)	1-year os (%)	2-years os (%)	X^2	P value
Age(years)						
<60	93	11	39.8	15.1	0.004	0.950
≥60	99	10	43.4	15.1		
Sex						
Female	79	11	44.3	20.3	2.382	0.123
Male	113	9	39.8	11.5		
KPS						
<90	100	10	39.0	44.6	0.033	0.855
≥90	92	11	17.0	18.5		
Smoke						
No	113	10	39.8	15.0	0.026	0.872
Yes	79	11	44.3	15.2		
Pathological pattern						
SQC	72	10	38.9	9.7	1.308	0.253
Adenocarcinoma and others	120	10	43.3	18.3		
Position						
Central	118	12	46.6	18.6	2.536	0.111
Peripheral	74	8	33.8	9.5		
T classification	71	0	55.0	7.0		
T1-2	82	12	48.8	19.5	3.819	0.051
T3-4	110	9	36.4	11.8	5.619	0.051
N classification	110	7	50.4	11.0		
	50	15	56.0	24.0	7.560	0.006
N0-1	50	15	56.0	24.0	7.560	0.006
N2-3	142	10	36.6	12.0		
Image	0.0	<i>,</i>	25.2	2.0	50 (20	-0.001
CT	98	6	25.3	2.0	58.629	< 0.001
PET/CT	94	16	63.8	28.7		
Weight loss			10 -		0.004	
≤5%	135	11	43.7	12.6	0.204	0.651
>5%	57	10	36.8	21.1		
Thorax radiotherapy						
No	96	8	32.2	6.3	13.118	< 0.001
Yes	96	13	51.0	24.0		
Number of metastasis						
1	55	10	41.8	16.4	1.635	0.802
2	47	9	42.6	12.8		
3	33	12	45.5	12.1		
4	33	9	36.4	21.2		
5	24	11	41.7	12.5		
No. of metastatic organs						
1	102	10	42.2	14.7	0.289	0.865
2	65	11	41.5	16.9		
3	25	11	40.0	12.0		
Lung metastasis	97	11	45.4	17.5	1.499	0.221
Bone metastasis	90	11	38.9	16.7	0.021	0.884
Liver metastasis	22	9	36.4	13.6	0.340	0.560
Adrenal metastasis	10	5	40.0	0	4.143	0.042
Other metastasis	88	11	40.9	12.5	0.458	0.498
Mixed metastasis	89	11	41.6	15.7	0.026	0.871

TABLE 2 Univariate analysis between prognostic factors and overall survival (Before PSM).

N, number of cases/controls; PSM, propensity score matching.

Characteristics	Ν	mediansurvival time (months)	1-year os(%)	2-years os(%)	X2	P value
Age(years)						
<60	60	11	41.7	15.0	0.306	0.580
≥60	62	9	40.3	19.4		
Sex						
Female	55	11	40.0	20.0	0.040	0.841
Male	67	10	41.8	14.9		
KPS						
<90	62	9	35.5	14.5	0.030	0.863
≥90	60	11	46.7	20.0		
Smoke						
No	74	10	39.2	16.2	0.066	0.798
Yes	48	11	43.8	18.8		
Pathological pattern						
SQC	49	11	42.9	14.3	0.059	0.809
Adenocarcinoma and others	73	10	39.7	19.2		
Position						
Central	79	11	43.0	17.7	0.120	0.729
Peripheral	43	9	37.2	16.3		
T classification						
T1-2	51	12	47.1	19.6	0.955	0.329
T3-4	71	9	36.6	15.5		
N classification						
N0-1	27	14	55.6	25.9	3.086	0.079
N2-3	95	10	36.8	14.7		
Image						
CT	61	6	14.8	3.3	41.656	< 0.001
PET/CT	61	19	67.2	31.1		
Weight loss						
≤5%	80	11	47.5	16.2	0.964	0.326
>5%	42	8	28.6	19.0		
Thorax radiotherapy						
No	67	8	29.8	6.0	15.448	< 0.001
Yes	55	14	54.5	30.9		
Number of metastasis						
1	31	11	41.9	16.1	0.542	0.969
2	34	9	41.2	17.6		
3	19	11	42.1	21.1		
4	22	10	36.4	18.2		
5	16	11	43.8	12.5		
No. of metastatic organs						
1	65	10	41.5	16.9	0.488	0.783
2	40	11	40.0	20.0		
3	17	11	41.2	11.8		
Lung metastasis	61	11	45.9	18.0	0.415	0.519
Bone metastasis	58	11	41.4	19.0	0.279	0.597
Liver metastasis	14	8	35.7	21.4	0.128	0.720
Adrenal metastasis	4	5	0	0	9.191	0.002
Other metastasis	59	11	39.0	13.6	0.064	0.800
		**	00.0	1010	5.001	0.000

TABLE 3 Univariate analysis between prognostic factors and overall survival (After PSM).

N, number of cases/controls; PSM, propensity score matching.



PSM n=122). (**C**, **D**) Kaplan–Meier curve of overall survival for patients who did and did not receive PET/CT in the CT group (before PSM n=98, after PSM n=61). (**E**, **F**) Kaplan–Meier curve of overall survival for patients who did and did not receive TRT in the PET/CT group (before PSM n=94, after PSM n=61).

is less than the true picture (20, 21). This can lead to the misclassification of patients with extensive metastases as those who develop oligometastases, and the limited use of local therapy for this group of patients, which may be one of the

reasons why the prognosis of patients who received PET/CTguided thorax radiotherapy in our study was better than that of patients who underwent CT examination. PET/CT can also improve the accuracy of depicting the target area for

Subgroup	N		Hazard Ratio(95%CI)	Subgroup	Ν		Hazard Ratio(95%CI)
All patients	n=192	+∎+ -	0.595(0.442,0.801)	All patients	n=122	H B -1 -	0.603(0.443,0.821)
Age		-	,,	Age		_	
< 60	n=93		0.600(0.392,0.920)	<60	n=60	⊢ ∎→+	0.588(0.376,0.919)
≥60	n=99	⊢ ∎ -	0.564(0.370,0.859)	≥60	n=62	⊢∎ →	0.588(0.381,0.907)
Gender		-		Gender		-	
Female	n=79	⊢ ∎	0.546(0.343,0.869)	Female	n=55		0.522(0.320,0.850)
Male	n=113	⊢ ∎→	0.648(0.438,0.957)	Male	n=67		0.668(0.447,0.997)
KPS		-		KPS		-	
< 90	n=100	H H H -	0.330(0.203,0.539)	<90	n=62	H e H -	0.312(0.186,0.525)
≥90	n=92	⊢ .	0.837(0.552,1.268)	≥90	n=60		0.869(0.568,1.328)
Smoke		-		Smoke		-	
No	n=113	H H H H	0.434(0.290,0.648)	No	n=74	H B -1 -	0.418(0.273,0.640)
Yes	n=79	⊢ ∎ ⊣	0.822(0.519,1.301)	Yes	n=48	·∎	0.856(0.537,1.363)
Pathological pattern				Pathological pattern		-	
Squamous carcinoma	n=72	⊢ ∎+1	0.696(0.434,1.114)	Squamous carcinoma	n=49	⊢_∎- +1	0.692(0.431,1.113)
Adenocarcinoma and others	n=120		0.562(0.383,0.826)	Adenocarcinoma and others	n=73	∎	0.569(0.379,0.855)
Position		-		Position		-	
Central	n=118	H.	0.624(0.427,0.912)	Central	n=79	⊢∎ →	0.650(0.437,0.966)
Peripheral	n=74		0.503(0.306,0.827)	Peripheral	n=43		0.499(0.300,0.830)
T classification		-		T classification		-	
T ₁₋₂	n=82		0.660(0.414,1.051)	T ₁₋₂	n=51	⊢∎→	0.668(0.414,1.077)
T ₃₋₄	n=110	H B -1 -	0.532(0.359,0.788)	T ₃₋₄	n=71		0.535(0.355,0.805)
N classification		-		N classification		-	
N ₀₋₁	n=50		0.683(0.369,1.263)	N ₀₋₁	n=27		0.689(0.359,1.320)
N ₂₋₃	n=142		0.563(0.399,0.796)	N ₂₋₃	n=95	H B -1 -	0.551(0.386,0.787)
Therapy	00	1.	0.774/0.5404.404)	Therapy			
NO TRT	n=96		0.774(0.516,1.161) 0.385(0.247,0.599)	NO TRT	n=67		0.770(0.508,1.168)
TRT weight loss	n=96	H B -1 -	0.385(0.247,0.599)	TRT	n=55	+=	0.402(0.252,0.641)
≪5%	n=135	L.	0.651(0.457,0.928)	weight loss			
>5%	n=135		0.459(0.262,0.806)	≤5% >5%	n=80		0.689(0.475,0.999)
No.of matastatic organs	11-57		0.459(0.262,0.808)	> 5% No.of matastatic organs	n=42		0.435(0.246,0.769)
1	n=102	⊢∎ +	0.621(0.413,0.935)	1			0 (01/0 110 0 005)
2	n=65		0.561(0.328,0.959)	2	n=65 n=40		0.621(0.413,0.935)
3	n=25		0.434(0.168,1.118)	2	n=40 n=17		0.565(0.319,0.998) 0.433(0.146,1.282)
-							
			2.0 2.5 3.0 avour CT			0.01 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0	
	Favours	PEI/CI P	avour CT		Favour	s PET/CT Favou	rCI
	Be	fore PSN	ſ		A	After PSM	
			_				

radiotherapy, avoiding missed target areas or increased exposure. Using PET/CT during an NSCLC radiotherapy program improves target volume delineation reliability and allows higher radiation doses without increasing the risks of side effects (22). Accordingly, the volume of the scheduled irradiation target can be more accurately limited to morphologically and functionally defined tumor areas. Fewer normal tissues can be irradiated and higher total tumor doses can be used to seek optimal therapeutic effects and develop more rational treatments. Studies report that occult extra-thoracic metastases can be found in up to 37% of patients with advanced NSCLC, altering 14%-26% of NSCLC treatment decision (23). PET/CT has become the standard imaging tool for characterizing lung nodules (24), initial staging (25, 26), treatment planning, treatment response assessment (27), recurrence staging (28, 29), and lung cancer monitoring. The widespread clinical use of FDG-PET/CT in patients with lung cancer has improved staging and restaging accuracies, allowing for better treatment planning and response to treatment assessments. To further explore the role of PET/CT in the

TABLE 4	Multivariate	analysis	between	prognostic	factors a	nd o	overall survi	val.
---------	--------------	----------	---------	------------	-----------	------	---------------	------

Factor		Before PSM			After PSM	
	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value HR
T classification (T1-2 vs.T3-4)	1.138	0.830-1.561	0.422	0.915	0.606-1.380	0.670
N classification (N0-1vs. N2-3)	1.268	0.872-1.843	0.214	1.493	0.899-2.481	0.121
Image (CT vs. PET/CT)	0.343	0.250-0.471	<0.001	0.323	0.217-0.480	<0.001
Therapy (No TRT vs. TRT)	0.624	0.464-0.840	0.002	0.569	0.385-0.841	0.005

09

treatment of extracranial oligometastases, we compared the prognosis of patients who underwent PET/CT and CT. We found that PET/CT-localized TRT improved survival, while CT imaging-guided radiotherapy did not, indicating a significant advantage in the use of PET/CT in the extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC therapeutic schedule. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report the significance of PET/CT compared with CT in guiding TRT for extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC, and the findings need to be validated by further expansion of the sample size and prospective studies.

Local consolidation therapy (LCT) is a common treatment for oligometastatic NSCLC. In 2016, Gomez et al. (30) were the first to report the results of a phase II randomized trial that compared standard maintenance therapy (n = 24) to LCT (n = 24)25). The median patient follow-up was 12.39 months, and the PFS was significantly better in the maintenance treatment group than in the local consolidation group (11.9 months vs. 3.9 months, p = 0.0054). Adverse events were similar between both groups, with no treatment-induced grade 4 adverse events or deaths. Gomez et al. (31) reported the results of their latest longterm clinical study in 2019 and confirmed that PFS (median, 14.2 months [95% CI, 7.4 to 23.1 months] with LCT, vs. 4.4 months [95% CI, 2.2 to 8.3 months] with maintenance therapy or observation; p = 0.022) and overall survival (median, 41.2) months [95% CI, 18.9 months to not reached] with LCT, vs. 17.0 months [95% CI, 10.1 to 39.8 months] with maintenance therapy or observation, (p = 0.017) was significantly improved by the early inclusion of LCT. They also reported that both initial LCT before progression and delayed LCT after progression contributed to improved overall survival. In 2018, Iyengar et al. reported results from a phase II randomized trial comparing standard maintenance therapy with and without stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR) in a patient population nearly identical to that of the trial population reported by Gomez et al. They showed that PFS was significantly better in the SABR plus maintenance chemotherapy group, than in the maintenance chemotherapy alone group (9.7 months vs. 3.5 months, p = 0.01) (6). Gomez et al. and Iyengar et al. both conducted phase II trials in patients with oligometastatic NSCLC to study the prognostic impact of aggressive local therapy. Both trials reported a significant increase in PFS by increasing aggressive local therapy. Moreover, our previous study showed that PET/CT-guided LCT was significantly effective in patients with oligometastatic advanced lung cancer [7]. Overall survival rates were much higher in the LCT group than in the chemotherapy alone group (13 months vs. 7 months, (p =0.002). The incidence of side effects was similar between the LCT and chemotherapy alone groups, and there were no treatment-related adverse outcomes or deaths. To explore more effective and personalized treatment options for oligometastatic lung cancer, we focused on evaluating the efficacy of extracranial oligometastases treated with PET/CT vs. CT guided TRT and

revealed that similar efficacy was achieved with PET/CT while CT was less effective, meaning that it is possible to achieve satisfactory outcomes with treatments and that PET/CT guides a more precise thorax radiotherapy regimen to patients with extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC than that of CT. There are several possible mechanisms that could explain the survival benefits of TRT. First, after systemic treatment, hard-to-treat malignant cells, which are unlikely to be eliminated by subsequent maintenance therapy and can serve as a source of metastatic spread, are left behind. However, in such cases, TRT may reduce the number of such cells. Second, TRT may enhance the effects of systemic therapy by possibly making residual lesions more sensitive to subsequent maintenance therapy. A third possibility is that radiotherapy kills tumor cells by modulating the immune system. Radiotherapists may be subjective in the process of outlining tumor target areas based on CT images, and the influence of experience of PET/CT on the accuracy of tumor target area outlining can further affect the efficacy of radiotherapy. In particular, patients with advanced lung cancer often have complications such as pulmonary atelectasis, which is difficult to identify with the tumor lesions. Therefore, we believe that CT plays a limited role in the process of target area outlining, and it is difficult to improve the prognosis of patients with lung cancer. A well-defined lesion is shown in PET/CT, which can exclude the influence of subjective factors on the accuracy of target area outlining and thus improve the efficacy of radiotherapy.

Immunotherapy was not included in this study because the patient information we analyzed was first obtained in 2013. As research progresses, it is increasingly recognized that there are complex interactions between radiotherapy and the immune system. In addition to producing a local therapeutic effect at the irradiated tumor site, radiotherapy can also cause spontaneous tumor regression in non-irradiated lesions; this is known as the abscopal effect (32). Although the abscopal effect was studied for decades, the exact mechanism of this phenomenon is still unclear, and Demaria et al. (33) first linked the distal effect of radiotherapy to an immune-mediated mechanism. Preclinical studies suggest that radiotherapy is equivalent to an "agonist" in immunotherapy, making tumor cells more susceptible to T-cell-mediated immune attacks by modulating the immune system. Radiotherapy can enhance anti-tumor immune effects by inducing the release of more neoantigens from damaged tumor cells, enhancing the expression of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules, and upregulating chemokines, cell adhesion molecules, and other immunomodulatory cell surface molecules, thereby inducing immunogenic cell death (34). In terms of increasing attention to immunotherapy, the possibility of combining radiotherapy with immunotherapy is worth exploring, and this combination to produce synergistic antitumor activity shows great application prospects and development potential in the future.

Conclusions

PET/CT-guided TRT is associated with improved clinical outcomes in patients with stage IV extracranial oligometastatic NSCLC when compared to that of CT. Advances in radiotherapy technology have improved radiotherapy precision and effectiveness while ensuring treatment safety. Optimization and innovation of radiotherapy technology in positioning, target area outlining, planning, and beam projection will continue to be a strong driving force for applying and developing radiotherapy in patients with lung cancer. Future basic research and large-sample randomized clinical trials are required to optimize further combinations of radiotherapy and systemic therapy, specifically in terms of targeted therapy and immunotherapy for patients with NSCLC.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent from the (patients/participants OR patients/participants legal guardian/next of kin) was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

CSL, YQS and RZW; formal analysis: CSL and YQS; data curation: RH and KX; software management: CYW; project administration: ZW; validation: GL; visualization: YWu, YWa and XfZ; draft review & editing: all authors; funding acquisition: TLW, YQS, additional resources: TLW.

References

1. Hellman S, Weichselbaum RR. Oligometastases. J Clin Oncol (1995) 13(1):8-10. doi: 10.1200/JCO.1995.13.1.8

2. Kalinauskaite GG, Tinhofer II, Kufeld MM, Kluge AA, Grün AA, Budach VV, et al. Radiosurgery and fractionated stereotactic body radiotherapy for patients with lung oligometastases. *BMC Cancer* (2020) 20:404. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-06892-4

Funding

This study has received funding by the Cancer Research Program of National Cancer Center [NCC2017A08], the Liaoning Province Natural Science Foundation [2020-MS-065], the Liaoning Province Natural Science Foundation [2017225054], the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities [LD202127, LD202221], Joint fund of Science & Technology Department of Liaoning Province and State Key Laboratory of Robotics, China [2019-KF-01-01], the Tumor Mass spectrometry Center project [ZP202013, ZP202008], Key Laboratory of Tumor Radiosensitization and Normal Tissue Radioprotection Project of Liaoning Province [2018225102], Liaoning Provincial Medical and Industrial Cross-Joint Fund [2021-YGJC-02].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all patients and their families for their active cooperation and all hospital staff for their assistance in the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

6. Iyengar P, Wardak Z, Gerber DE, Tumati V, Ahn C, Hughes RS, et al. Consolidative radiotherapy for limited metastatic non-Small-Cell lung cancer: A

^{3.} Weichselbaum RR. The 46th David a. karnofsky memorial award lecture: Oligometastasis-from conception to T reatment. *J Clin Oncol* (2018) 36(32):3240– 50. doi: 10.1200/JCO.18.00847

^{4.} Foster CC, Pitroda SP, Weichselbaum RR. Staging the metastatic spectrum through integration of clinical and molecular features. *J Clin Oncol* (2019) 37:1270–6. doi: 10.1200/JCO.18.02021

^{5.} Pitroda SP, Weichselbaum RR. Integrated molecular and clinical staging defines the spectrum of metastatic cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2019) 16:581–8. doi: 10.1038/s41571-019-0220-6

phase 2 randomized clinical trial. JAMA Oncol (2018) 4:e173501. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.3501

7. Song YQ, Wang N, Qiao Y, He L, Li X, Zhang XF, et al. Treatment patterns and survival after 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/ computed tomography-guided local consolidation therapy for oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer: a two-center propensity score-matched analysis. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* (2020) 146:1021–31. doi: 10.1007/s00432-020-03134-9

8. Wu Y, Verma V, Liang F, Lin Q, Zhou Z, Wang Z, et al. Local consolidative therapy versus systemic therapy alone for metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* (2022) S0360-3016(22):00169–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2022.02.023. S0360-3016(22)00169-9.

9. Mercieca S, Belderbos JSA, van Herk M. Challenges in the target volume definition of lung cancer radiotherapy. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* (2021) 10(4):1983–98. doi: 10.21037/tlcr-20-627

10. Guo H, Xu K, Duan G, Wen L, He Y. Progress and future prospective of FDG-PET/CT imaging combined with optimized procedures in lung cancer: toward precision medicine. *Ann Nucl Med* (2022) 36(1):1–14. doi: 10.1007/s12149-021-01683-8

11. Liao X, Liu M, Wang R, Zhang J. Potentials of non-invasive 18F-FDG PET/ CT in immunotherapy prediction for non-small cell lung cancer. *Front Genet* (2022) 12:810011. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.810011

12. Kaira K, Serizawa M, Koh Y, Takahashi T, Yamaguchi A, Hanaoka H, et al. Biological significance of 18F-FDG uptake on PET in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* (2014) 83(2):197–204. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2013.11.025

13. Nagano H, Takumi K, Nakajo M, Fukukura Y, Kumagae Y, Jinguji M, et al. Dual-energy CT-derived electron density for diagnosing metastatic mediastinal lymph nodes in non-small cell lung cancer: Comparison with conventional CT and FDG PET/CT findings. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* (2022) 218(1):66–74. doi: 10.2214/ AJR.21.26208

14. Eze C, Schmidt-Hegemann NS, Sawicki LM, Kirchner J, Roengvoraphoj O, Käsmann L, et al. PET/CT imaging for evaluation of multimodal treatment efficacy and toxicity in advanced NSCLC-current state and future directions. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2021) 48(12):3975–89. doi: 10.1007/s00259-021-05211-8

15. Salaün PY, Abgral R, Malard O, Querellou-Lefranc S, Quere G, Wartski M, et al. Good clinical practice recommendations for the use of PET/CT in oncology. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2020) 47(1):28–50. doi: 10.1007/s00259-019-04553-8

16. Nestle U, Schimek-Jasch T, Kremp S, Schaefer-Schuler A, Mix M, Küsters A, et al. Imaging-based target volume reduction in chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (PET-plan): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2020) 21(4):581–92. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30013-9

17. Pasqualetti F, Panichi M, Sollini M, Sainato A, Galli L, Morganti R, et al. [18F]Fluorocholine PET/CT-guided stereotactic body radiotherapy in patients with recurrent oligometastatic prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2020) 47(1):185–91. doi: 10.1007/s00259-019-04482-6

18. Grootjans W, de Geus-Oei LF, Troost EG, Visser EP, Oyen WJ, Bussink J. PET in the management of locally advanced and metastatic NSCLC. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2015) 12(7):395–407. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.75

19. Lee KA, Rangaswamy G, Lavan NA, Dunne M, Collins CD, Small C, et al. ICORG 06-35: a prospective evaluation of PET-CT scan in patients with non-operable or non-resectable non-small cell lung cancer treated by radical 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy: a phase II study. *Ir J Med Sci* (2019) 188(4):1155-61. doi: 10.1007/s11845-019-02019-2

20. Sundahl N, Lievens Y. Radiotherapy for oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer: a narrative review. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* (2021) 10(7):3420-31. doi: 10.21037/tlcr-20-1051

21. Sundahl N, Gillessen S, Sweeney C, Ost P. When what you see is not always what you get: Raising the bar of evidence for new diagnostic imaging modalities. *Eur Urol* (2021) 79(5):565–7. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2020.07.029

22. Kong FM, Ten Haken RK, Schipper M, Frey KA, Hayman J, Gross M, et al. Effect of midtreatment PET/CT-adapted radiation therapy with concurrent chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced non-Small-Cell lung cancer: A phase 2 clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol* (2017) 3(10):1358–65. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.0982

23. Oikonomou A, Khalvati F, Tyrrell PN, Haider MA, Tarique U, Jimenez-Juan L, et al. Radiomics analysis at PET/CT contributes to prognosis of recurrence and survival in lung cancer treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy. *Sci Rep* (2018) 8(1):4003. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-22357-y

24. Ruilong Z, Daohai X, Li G, Xiaohong W, Chunjie W, Lei T. Diagnostic value of 18F-FDG-PET/CT for the evaluation of solitary pulmonary nodules: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nucl Med Commun* (2017) 38(1):67–75. doi: 10.1097/MNM.00000000000605

25. Ung YC, Maziak DE, Vanderveen JA, Smith CA, Gulenchyn K, Lacchetti C, et al. 18Fluoro-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography in the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer: a systematic review. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2007) 99(23):1753–67. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djm232

26. Huellner MW, de Galiza Barbosa F, Husmann L, Pietsch CM, Mader CE, Burger IA, et al. TNM staging of non-small cell lung cancer: Comparison of PET/ MR and PET/CT. J Nucl Med (2016) 57(1):21–6. doi: 10.2967/ jnumed.115.162040

27. Hicks RJ. Role of 18F-FDG PET in assessment of response in non-small cell lung cancer. J Nucl Med (2009) 50 Suppl 1:31S-42S. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.108.057216

28. Onishi Y, Ohno Y, Koyama H, Nogami M, Takenaka D, Matsumoto K, et al. Non-small cell carcinoma: comparison of postoperative intra- and extrathoracic recurrence assessment capability of qualitatively and/or quantitatively assessed FDG-PET/CT and standard radiological examinations. *Eur J Radiol* (2011) 79 (3):473–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2010.04.027

29. van den Berg LL, Klinkenberg TJ, Groen HJM, Widder J. Patterns of recurrence and survival after surgery or stereotactic radiotherapy for early stage NSCLC. J Thorac Oncol (2015) 10(5):826-31. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0000 00000000483

30. Gomez DR, Blumenschein GRJr, Lee JJ, Hernandez M, Ye R, Camidge DR, et al. Local consolidative therapy versus maintenance therapy or observation for patients with oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer without progression after first-line systemic therapy: a multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol* (2016) 17(12):1672–82. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30532-0

31. Gomez DR, Tang C, Zhang J, Blumenschein GRJr, Hernandez M, Lee JJ, et al. Local consolidative therapy vs. maintenance therapy or observation for patients with oligometastatic non-Small-Cell lung cancer: Long-term results of a multi-institutional, phase II, randomized study. *J Clin Oncol* (2019) 37(18):1558–65. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.00201

32. Abuodeh Y, Venkat P, Kim S. Systematic review of case reports on the abscopal effect. *Curr Probl Cancer* (2016) 40(1):25-37. doi: 10.1016/ j.currproblcancer.2015.10.001

33. Demaria S, Ng B, Devitt ML, Babb JS, Kawashima N, Liebes L, et al. Ionizing radiation inhibition of distant untreated tumors (abscopal effect) is immune mediated. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* (2004) 58(3):862–70. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2003.09.012

34. Daly ME, Monjazeb AM, Kelly K. Clinical trials integrating immunotherapy and radiation for non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* (2015) 10(12):1685–93. doi: 10.1097/JTO.00000000000686