Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xuelin Zhou, Capital Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Youtao Liu, MGI Tech Co., Ltd., China Mengmeng Zhai, Alliance Pharma, United States Jing Liu, University of Pennsylvania, United States Binbin Xia, Beijing Luhe Hospital, Capital Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Fengkui Zhang Sfkzhang@ihcams.ac.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Pharmacology of Anti-Cancer Drugs, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 18 November 2022 ACCEPTED 20 January 2023 PUBLISHED 06 February 2023

CITATION

Jiang B, Qi J, Sun M, Zheng W, Wei Y, Wang J and Zhang F (2023) Pharmacokinetics of single- and multipledose flumatinib in patients with chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1101738. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1101738

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Jiang, Qi, Sun, Zheng, Wei, Wang and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Pharmacokinetics of singleand multiple-dose flumatinib in patients with chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia

Bo Jiang¹, Junyuan Qi¹, Mingyuan Sun¹, Weiwei Zheng¹, Yongyue Wei², Jianxiang Wang¹ and Fengkui Zhang^{1*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Experimental Hematology, National Clinical Research Center for Blood Diseases, Institute of Hematology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Tianjin, China, ²Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

Introduction: Flumatinib is a novel, oral breakpoint cluster region-abelson (BCR-ABL) tyrosine kinase inhibitor that has demonstrated manageable safety and promising efficacy in patients with newly diagnosed chronic phase (CP) chronic myeloid leukemia (CML).

Methods: This study evaluated the pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles of flumatinib mesylate tablets at a dose of 400 mg and 600 mg in patients with CML-CP. The study was registered at chictr.org Identifier (ChiCTR2100044700). In this open-label, pharmacokinetic study, eligible patients were administered a single-dose of flumatinib 400 mg or 600 mg on day 1, followed by 2-day washout and 8 consecutive days of once-daily administration. Serial plasma samples were assayed for flumatinib and its metabolites (N-demethylate metabolite M1 and amide-bond hydrolytic metabolite M3).

Results: Twenty-nine patients were assigned to flumatinib 400 mg (n=14) or 600 mg (n=15). Serum concentrations of flumatinib reached maximum measured plasma concentration (Cmax) at a median time of 2 hours after each single dose, and then eliminated slowly with a mean apparent terminal disposition half-life (t1/2) from 16.0 to 16.9 hours. Following single- and multiple-dose administration, flumatinib exposure (Cmax, area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to t hours (AUC_{0-t}), area under the concentration-time curve from 0 hours to infinity (AUC_{0-co})) increased in an approximately dose-proportional manner. There was approximately 4.1- and 3.4- fold drug accumulation at steady-state after multiple-dose administration at 400 mg and 600 mg, respectively. The drug-related AEs associated with both treatments were primarily low-grade and tolerable events.

Conclusion: Analysis of PK parameters indicated that flumatinib exposure increased in an approximately dose-proportional manner. Further research needs to be conducted in a large sample-size study.

KEYWORDS

flumatinib, phase 3, pharmacokinetics, safety, chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia

Introduction

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a hematologic stem-derived malignancy characterized by the presence of a specific chromosomal translocation (chromosome 9, 22) known as the Philadelphia chromosome (Ph), leading to the constitutive activation of the breakpoint cluster region-abelson (BCR-ABL) tyrosine kinase, which plays a crucial part in the pathogenesis of CML (1, 2). Over the past decades, impressive therapeutic responses have been obtained with BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) such as imatinib, nilotinib, dasatinb, bosutinib and radotinib in the first-line treatment of CML-chronic phase (CML-CP) (3, 4), making the life expectancy of patients with CML ultimately approaching that of the general population (5).

Studies over the past decades have demonstrated the predictive value of pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters using area under the curve (AUC) model or the threshold model for efficacy and safety metrics of the drugs (6). A subanalysis of the IRIS study demonstrated that imatinib trough levels were predictive of higher complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) independently of Sokal risk group (7). Population pharmacokinetic analysis of data from several dasatinib clinical studies showed that major cytogenetic response (MCyR) was significantly associated with weighted average steady-state plasma concentrations, and pleural effusion was significantly associated with trough concentration (8). Bosutinib exposure metrics estimated from a population pharmacokinetic model were observed to be identified with the pooled incidence (but not severity) of diarrhea and rash as well as CCyR, major molecular response (MMR), and cumulative complete hematologic response (CHR) at 1 year in patients with newly diagnosed CML-CP (9).

Flumatinib, with an optimized structure based on imatinib, is a potent, novel oral second-generation BCR-ABL TKI that has been put on the market in China. In the unpublished preclinical study, the peak concentration could be reached at an average of 5 h after oral administration of flumatinib with mean elimination half-life of 7.32 h in rats. Furthermore, flumatinib mesylate was widely distributed in the tissues of rats, mainly in the gastrointestinal tract, respiratory tract, liver, kidney and reproductive organs, in which the drug concentration was higher than that in plasma. The major drugrelated components in circulation were the parent compound, Ndesmethyl flumatinib (M1), a metabolite with similar pharmacological properties to flumatinib, and the amide hydrolytic metabolite (M3), which was approximately 30% of the parent drug in plasma (10). Besides, the combination of a high-fat diet with flumatinib would increase the bioavailability of flumatinib and M1 (11). In phase 1 (12) and unpublished phase 2 (in TKI treatment-Naïve patients with CML-CP) studies, it showed that the concentration of parent drug could reach steady state after 6-8 days of continuous administration and the molecular response was positively related with trough plasma concentration of flumatinib. Moreover, patients in 600 mg dosing group could reach higher rate of early molecular response than that of patients in 400 mg dosing group. Thus, we conducted the studies to verify the pharmacokinetic parameters of patients with CML-CP at doses of 400 mg and 600 mg and then to use the optimal dose in a larger sample-sized population.

The FESTnd study published previously has demonstrated the efficacy and safety of Flumatinib 600 mg in newly-diagnosed patients with CML-CP (13). Here we reported the pharmacokinetic properties of flumatinib after single and multiple oral doses at a dose of 400 mg or 600 mg.

Materials and methods

Study design

This was an open-label study to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of flumatinib under fasted conditions after single and multiple consecutive doses in patients with CML-CP. According to the phase III (13) recommended dose, 12 subjects at least were scheduled to be enrolled in 400 mg group or 600 mg group respectively. Each patient received a single dose (400 mg or 600 mg) on day 1 followed by 2-day washout, and then on 4-11 days for 8 consecutive days of once-daily administration. The trial was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before they enrolled in the study. This trial has been approved by the Ethics Committee of National Clinical Research Center for Blood Diseases, Institute of Hematology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. The data were collected with the use of electronic case report form (eCRF) and were analyzed by an outsourcing biostatistician. The study was registered at chictr.org Identifier (ChiCTR2100044700).

Patients

Adult patients with CML-CP (18-65 years) with no documented T315I mutation, who were not expected to progress to accelerated phase (AP) or blast crisis (BC) within 3 months, who had not previously received tyrosine kinase inhibitors or any anti-leukemia treatments within 2 weeks (except hydroxyurea) were eligible in this study. Patients needed to have adequate organ function with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status 0-1 (14). Patients without adequate heart, renal, hepatic, pancreatic, endocrine, metabolic, neurological, gastrointestinal, immunological, psychic or coagulation function, as well as normal electrolyte levels were prohibited. Patients with a history of neoplasm were not eligible. We also excluded patients under concomitant treatments that could inhibit or induce the activity of the liver enzyme cytochrome P450-3A4 or that may prolong the QT interval.

Pharmacokinetic evaluations

For PK analysis of single-dose, blood samples were collected on day 1 at baseline 0 (pre-dose), 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively. In terms of PK analysis of multiple consecutive doses, patients were administered flumatinib 400 mg or 600 mg once daily for 8 consecutive days (D4-11) and blood samples were collected at baseline 0 (D11) and 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120 and 144 h after the last dose (timeline table see Supplemental Table S1). For each plasma sample, 4 mL of blood was collected in a tube containing heparin, inverted and mixed 8 to 10 times, and centrifuged at 2000g for 10 min at 4°C after sample collection. The plasma was stored at - 70°C and transported to PK testing unit by cold chain. Plasma concentrations of flumatinib, and its metabolites (Ndemethylate metabolite M1 and amide-bond hydrolytic metabolite M3) were determined by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (15). The serum concentration-time data of flumatinib mesylate were analyzed by a standard noncompartmental method using R software (version 4.0.2). The following parameters were calculated by PKNCA package: sampling time when maximum measured plasma concentration (T_{max}) occurs, maximum measured plasma concentration(C_{max}), minimum measured plasma concentration (C_{\min}) , area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to t $hours(AUC_{0-t})$, area under the concentration-time curve from 0 hours to infinity $(AUC_{0-\infty})$, apparent terminal disposition half-life $(t_{1/2})$, Area under the first moment curve from 0 to t hours(AUMC_{0-t}), Area under the first moment curve from 0 to infinity(AUMC_{$0-\infty$}), mean residue time (MRT_{0-t}), total-body drug clearance for oral administration (CL/F), and volume of distribution based on the terminal phase (VZ/F).

The accumulation ratio Rac_{Cmax} and Rac_{AUC} were calculated as follows:

 $Rac_{Cmax} = C_{max}(Day 11)/C_{max}(Day 1)$

 $\operatorname{Rac}_{\operatorname{AUC}} = \operatorname{AUC}_{0-t}(\operatorname{Day} 11) / \operatorname{AUC}_{0-t}(\operatorname{Day} 1).$

Safety evaluation

Safety was evaluated according to NCI CTC AE 4.03 including symptoms and signs, vital signs, blood routine, urine routine, blood biochemistry, coagulation function, electrocardiogram, ultrasound, chest X-ray, etc, until 28 days after the first dose.

Statistical analysis

The sample size of 12 patients at least per flumatinib group met the National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) guideline for PK studies (16). The PK parameters of flumatinib mesylate were summarized by descriptive statistics, including arithmetic means, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation. Median values and ranges were provided for T_{max}. Categorical data were analyzed by chisquare test or exact test, depending on which method best fit data. To analyze pharmacokinetic parameters between the two groups, an exploratory two-sample t-test was carried out using the logtransformed values of parameters. When comparing the parameters of single and multiple-dose administration, if the data were normally distributed, the paired two-sample t-test, or the signed rank sum test was adopted. Twenty-nine subjects were involved in the PK analysis of single dose. For PK analysis of multiple consecutive doses, the serum concentration-time data of patients numbered 209 in the 400 mg dose group and numbered 107 in the 600 mg dose group on day 11 of administration were missing and were not included in the analysis. Safety analyses included all patients who received more than 1 dose of Flumatinib. All the statistical analyses were conducted using R software (version 4.0.2).

Results

Patient characteristics

From 6 March 2017 to 3 August 2017, twenty-nine eligible patients with CML-CP were assigned to receive flumatinib 400 mg (n=15) or 600 mg (n =14). The cut-off date for this study was 31 August 2017, with 28 days of follow-up for the last enrolled patient. The demographic and baseline characteristics of all subjects involved in this study were presented in Table 1. Sex, age, ECOG performance status, Median hemoglobin, Median platelet count, and Median white-cell count were well-balanced in the two study groups.

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics and baseline information of patients.

Characteristics	400 mg (n=15)	600 mg (n=14)	Р					
Median age (yr)	47.93 ± 11.45	46.36 ± 12.63	0.727					
Sex, n								
Male	11	9	0.700					
Female	4	5						
ECOG, n								
0	14	13	1.000					
1	1	1						
Median hemoglobin (IQR)- g/L [#]	122 (145,67)	125.5 (149,83)	0.339					
Median platelet count (IQR)-×10 ⁹ /L [#]	331 (1405,27)	354.5 (1081,101)	0.770					
Median white-cell count (IQR)-×10 ⁹ /L [#]	5.29 (32.03,1.52)	12.53 (24.58,2.7)	0.192					

"The description of hemoglobin, platelet and white cells was the median and analyzed by t test.

Single-dose pharmacokinetics

The mean plasma concentrations over time of flumatinib, M1, and M3 in patients with CML-CP on day 1 after oral administration for two dose levels (400 mg and 600 mg) are illustrated in Figure 1. The PK parameters of flumatinib, M1 and M3 derived from the plasma concentration-time curves on day 1 are summarized in Table 2. Flumatinib was rapidly absorbed with a median t_{max} of 2.0 hours and then eliminated slowly with a $t_{1/2}$ from 16.0 to 16.9 hours following a single-dose of flumatinib 400 mg and 600 mg. Arithmetic mean C_{max} values of 38.0 ± 12.5 ng/ml and 61.9 ± 50.0 ng/ml, AUC_{0-t} values of 536.3 ± 179.9 ng·h/ml and 746.7 ± 534.7 ng·h/ml, and AUC_{0-∞} values of 564.3 ± 196.7 ng·h/ml and 777.4 ± 550.2 ng·h/ml were observed for 400 mg and 600 mg, respectively. A non-significant upward trend in C_{max} , AUC_{0-t}, or AUC_{0-∞} was observed between flumatinib 400 mg and 600 mg, suggesting that flumatinib exposure increased in an approximately dose-proportional manner.

Similarly, the PK parameters of M1 and M3 showed a non-significant upward trend in C_{max} , AUC_{0-t} , and $AUC_{0-\infty}$ between two doses of Flumatinib.

Multiple-dose pharmacokinetics

The PK of flumatinib, M1, and M3 after multiple-dose administration at steady- state were also investigated. The mean plasma concentration of flumatinib, M1, and M3 analyzed on D11 are shown in Figure 2. The mean PK parameters at steady-state derived from the plasma concentration-time curves are summarized in Table 3.

The median t_{max} after repeated administration of 400 mg or 600 mg was 2.0 hours, at which mean C_{max} were 96.2 ± 25.0 ng/mL and 129.0 ± 75.8 ng/mL with a non-significant upward trend. Similar trends were also seen in AUC_{0-t}, and AUC_{0-∞}. The mean plasma trough concentration of flumatinib at 400 mg and 600 mg at steady-state were 29.5 ± 12.4 and 33.1 ± 14.3 ng/mL respectively. The comparison of AUC_{0-t} and AUC_{0-∞} at steady-state and at day 1 demonstrated approximately 4.1- and 3.4- fold drug accumulation after oral administration at 400 mg and 600 mg, respectively. This accumulation had no significant difference between the two groups. Additionally, the $t_{1/2}$ of flumatinib were 2.1- and 2.0-fold longer at steady-state than that of single-dose. Furthermore, MRT of flumatinib increased nearly 2-fold at steady-state compared with Day 1 in both groups. Besides, the mean $R_{ac,AUC}$ of flumatinib, M1, and M3 at 400

mg were 3.4, 2.2, and 1.9 while those at 600 mg were 3.9, 2.7, and 2.2, which suggested a cumulative effect, so as $R_{ac,Cmax}$, though the differences had no statistical significance.

Safety evaluations

Safety evaluations were performed on all patients (Table 4). Hematological adverse events (AE) especially neutropenia and thrombocytopenia occurred less frequently in 600 mg group (7.1%, 0%) compared with 400 mg group (13.3%, 13.3%). The most common non-hematological AE in 400 mg group was haematuria (26.7%) and diarrhea (13.3%) while diarrhea (28.6%), hypertriglyceridemia (21.4%), arthritis (21.4%), and nausea (14.3%) occurred more often in 600 mg group. Excluding one patient with arthritis of grade 3, which was not related to the treatment, all the non-hematological adverse events were of 1 to 2 grades. Generally, drug-related AEs associated with both treatments were primarily low-grade and tolerable events.

Discussion

Flumatinib is derived by replacing the phenyl ring of imatinib with a pyridine group and introducing trifluoromethyl group. These structural modifications allow flumatinib to achieve higher potency (17). Compared to other TKIs (imatinib, nilotinib, dasatinb, bosutinib and radotinib), the chemical structure of flumatinib is similar to imatinib, nilotinib, and radotinib. Flumatinib, like Nilotinib and radotinib, contains trifluoromethyl group (18).

In an unpublished phase 2 study, both flumatinib 400 mg and 600 mg exhibited significantly higher rate of MMR compared with imatinib in newly diagnosed CML-CP. Furthermore, flumatinib 600 mg was more effective than 400 mg, with a similar safety profile. The efficacy and safety of flumatinib 600mg was further confirmed in the subsequent phase 3 study (FESTnd). In the FESTnd study, compared with imatinib, flumatinib 600mg treatment demonstrated significantly higher rate of MMR, early molecular response (EMR), CCyR, and deep molecular response (DMR) in patients with CML-CP (13).

The present study was to demonstrate the pharmacokinetic profile of flumatinib at a dose of 400mg and 600mg. The t_{max} was the same between 400mg and 600mg after the single dose. A non-significant upward trend in C_{max} , AUC_{0-t} , or $AUC_{0-\infty}$ was observed



TABLE 2 Pharmacokinetic parameters of flumatinib and two major metabolites following a single-dose administration.

Parameters	400 mg (n=15)	600 mg (n=14)	Р	
Flumatinib				
$T_{max}(h)^{\#}$	2.0(2.0-6.0)	2.0(2.0-3.0)	0.3631	
C _{max} (ng/mL)	38.0 ± 12.5	61.9 ± 50.0	0.1242	
AUC _{0-t} (h*ng/mL)	536.3 ± 179.9	746.7 ± 534.7	0.4185	
$AUC_{0-\infty}(h*ng/mL)$	564.3 ± 196.7	777.4 ± 550.2	0.4230	
CL/F(L/h)	793.0 ± 275.2	1254.9 ± 1314.2	0.2525	
V _Z /F(L)	19192.5 ± 7087.0	28670.1 ± 29618.0	0.3691	
t _{1/2} (h)	16.9 ± 2.4	16.0 ± 2.2	0.3181	
$AUMC_{0-t}(h*h*ng/mL)$	10102.0 ± 4396.7	12786.6 ± 8898.1	0.7114	
$AUMC_{0-\infty}(h*h*ng/mL)$	12840.9 ± 6135.2	15709.2 ± 10420.3	0.6998	
MRT _{0-t} (h)	18.3 ± 2.1	16.9 ± 2.1	0.0977	
M1			I	
T _{max} (h) [#]	2.0(0.5-3.0)	1.5(0.5-3.0)	0.5334	
C _{max} (ng/mL)	17.3 ± 14.8	20.1 ± 17.8	0.4919	
AUC _{0-t} (h*ng/mL)	121.2 ± 49.1	150.6 ± 93.1	0.5681	
AUC _{0-∞} (h*ng/mL)	127.9 ± 52.2	160.8 ± 102.2	0.5489	
CL/F(L/h)	3620.5 ± 1378	5603.3 ± 4824.6	0.1667	
V _Z /F(L)	96236.2 ± 47017	127464.3 ± 57764.9	0.0921	
t _{1/2} (h)	18.6 ± 5.1	18.9 ± 6.0	0.9662	
AUMC _{0-t} (h*h*ng/mL)	1817.3 ± 862.0	2296.3 ± 1440.5	0.7929	
AUMC _{0-∞} (h*h*ng/mL)	2485.9 ± 1203.2	3365.8 ± 2473.4	0.7009	
MRT _{0-t} (h)	14.7 ± 2.3	14.7 ± 3.8	0.7101	
M3			1	
T _{max} (h) [#]	2.0(1.0-4.0)	2.0(2.0-4.0)	0.1543	
C _{max} (ng/mL)	7.0 ± 1.9	8.8 ± 3.4	0.1358	
AUC _{0-t} (h*ng/mL)	68.4 ± 29.0	79.1 ± 34.4	0.5313	
AUC _{0-∞} (h*ng/mL)	74.3 ± 32.8	85.4 ± 34.9	0.4986	
CL/F(L/h)	6621.0 ± 3642.5	9293.5 ± 7646.4	0.1505	
V _Z /F(L)	61964.3 ± 18494.8	92331.9 ± 33450.7	0.0053	
t _{1/2} (h)	7.4 ± 2.7	8.5 ± 3.4	0.5172	
AUMC _{0-t} (h*h*ng/mL)	660.1 ± 356.8	785.6 ± 497.8	0.7299	
AUMC _{0-∞} (h*h*ng/mL)	904 ± 517.1	1074.8 ± 623.2	0.6172	
MRT ₀₋₁ (h)	9.1 ± 2.5	9.1 ± 2.8	0.8641	

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle\#} The~T_{max}$ was analyzed by the median(maxium - minum) and the rest were described by the mean \pm SD.

between flumatinib 400 mg and 600 mg, suggesting that flumatinib exposure increased in an approximately dose-proportional manner after the single dose.

The PK profile of flumatinib after multiple-dose was compatible with once-daily dosing. After 6 days of consistent dosing once daily, the plasma concentration of flumatinib, M1, and M3 all reached the steady condition. The median t_{max} in the two groups was the same as each other, the maximum of which in the group 400mg was shorter

than that of single-dose. Repeated dosing could increase the value of C_{max} and AUC and the Rac- $_{Cmax}$ and Rac- $_{AUC}$ were both more than 1 which was an implication of accumulation effect of flumatinib. The exposure of flumatinib of multiple-dose was 2-2.5 than that of single-dose. Moreover, C_{min} didn't differ significantly between 400mg and 600mg and the varieties were close to each other. Likewise, the varieties between individuals of C_{max} were higher in the group of 600mg than 400mg. It was not clear whether the varieties in C_{max} had



TABLE 3 Pharmacokinetic parameters of flumatinib and two major metabolites following a multiple-dose administration.

Parameters	400 mg (n=15)	600 mg (n=14)	Р	
Flumatinib				
$T_{max}(h)^{\#}$	2.0 (2.0-4.0)	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	0.5918	
C _{max} (ng/mL)	96.2 ± 25.0	129.0 ± 75.8	0.1613	
C _{min} (ng/mL)	29.5 ± 12.4	33.1 ± 14.3	0.4885	
AUC _{0-t} (h*ng/mL)	2211.9 ± 745.6	2570.4 ± 1060.9	0.3629	
$AUC_{0-\infty}(h*ng/mL)$	2303.5 ± 779.0	2660.4 ± 1073.2	0.3785	
CLss_F(L/h)	356.6 ± 93.2	458.0 ± 155.4	0.0793	
V _Z _F(L)	18294.1 ± 8167.1	21774.6 ± 10791.5	0.4435	
t _{1/2} (h)	35.4 ± 12.1	32.3 ± 9.9	0.4957	
AUMC _{0-t} (h*h*ng/mL)	68519.4 ± 26904.3	75270.6 ± 31087.3	0.6423	
$AUMC_{0-\infty}(*h*ng/mL)$	87421.1 ± 39295.5	92842.2 ± 35870.7	0.7120	
MRT _{0-t} (h)	30.6 ± 3.2	29.1 ± 3.3	0.3868	
R _{ac,AUC}	3.4	3.9	/	
R _{ac,Cmax}	2.9	2.6	/	
M1				
$T_{max}(h)^{\#}$	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	2.0 (0.5-3.0)	0.8881	
C _{max} (ng/mL)	21.0 ± 6.7	30.9 ± 23.5	0.1123	
C _{min} (ng/mL)	4.1 ± 1.7	5.2 ± 3.7	0.3730	
AUC _{0-t} (h*ng/mL)	415.5 ± 144.5	515.5 ± 312.9	0.2810	
$AUC_{0-\infty}(h*ng/mL)$	498.5 ± 185.9	613.8 ± 333.7	0.2454	
CLss_F(L/h)	2140.0 ± 833.9	2748.1 ± 932.8	0.1439	
V _Z _F(L)	190521.8 ± 147843.6	282342.1 ± 204931.4	0.2130	
t _{1/2} (h)	64.8 ± 53.7	69.6 ± 44.0	0.6299	
AUMC _{0-t} (h*h*ng/mL)	15923.9 ± 6579.0	19040.2 ± 10041.8	0.3145	
$AUMC_{0-\infty}(h*h*ng/mL)$	39482.6 ± 31880.1	45923.0 ± 29292.1	0.3202	
MRT _{0-t} (h)	37.7 ± 3.4	37.6 ± 3.8	0.7595	
R _{ac,AUC}	2.2	2.7		
R _{ac,Cmax}	1.6	1.9	/	

(Continued)

TABLE 3 Continued

Parameters	400 mg (n=15)	600 mg (n=14)	Р	
M3				
$T_{max}(h)^{\#}$	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.0-4.0)	0.0595	
C _{max} (ng/mL)	10.6 ± 3.0	12.4 ± 4.3	0.2640	
C _{min} (ng/mL)	1.9 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.9	0.7163	
AUC _{0-t} (h*ng/mL)	166.2 ± 69.0	178.8 ± 67.1	0.5155	
$AUC_{0-\infty}(h*ng/mL)$	172.4 ± 70.1	186.9 ± 67.7	0.4765	
CLss_F(L/h)	3794.4 ± 1514.6	4881.3 ± 1546.8	0.0626	
V _Z _F(L)	85639.2 ± 29325.5	125975.3 ± 54235.8	0.0396	
t _{1/2} (h)	16.4 ± 4.5	18.4 ± 7.6	0.5694	
AUMC _{0-t} (h*h*ng/mL)	3110.0 ± 1859.4	3030.5 ± 1477.4	0.8396	
$AUMC_{0-\infty}(h*h*ng/mL)$	3754.2 ± 2113.4	3864.3 ± 1710.2	0.6699	
MRT _{0-t} (h)	17.5 ± 3.8	16.6 ± 3.7	0.8296	
R _{ac,AUC}	1.9	2.2	/	
R _{ac,Cmax}	1.5	1.6	/	

[#]The T_{max} was analyzed by the median(maxium - minum) and the rest were described by the mean \pm SD.

TABLE 4 AEs and newly occurring and worsen hematological or biochemical laboratory abnormalities.

	400mg (n=15)			600mg (n=14)			Total (n=29)
AEs		Severe Grades		(0()	Severe Grades		All Grades
	n(%)	1-2 n(%)	3-4 n(%)	n(%)	1-2 n(%)	3-4 n(%)	n(%)
Hematological Abnormalities							
Neutropenia	2(13.3%)	1(6.7%)	1(6.7%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(7.1%)	3(10.3%)
Thrombocytopenia	2(13.3%)	0	2(13.3%)	0	0	0	2(6.9%)
Anemia	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
Laboratory Abnormalities							
Hypertriglyceridemia	0	0	0	3(21.4%)	3(21.4%)	0	3(10.3%)
Lipase Elevation	1(6.7%)	1(6.7%)	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	2(6.9%)
Hyperbilirubinemia	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
ALT Elevation	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
AST Elevation	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
Hypocalcemia	1(6.7%)	1(6.7%)	0	0	0	0	1(3.4%)
Hyperuricemia	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
Non-hematological AEs							
Diarrhea	2(13.3%)	2(13.3%)	0	4(28.6%)	4(28.6%)	0	6(20.7%)
Hematouria	4(26.7%)	4(26.7%)	0	0	0	0	4(13.8%)
Arthritis	0	0	0	3(21.4%)	2(14.3%)	1(7.1%)	3(10.3%)
Dental Ulcer	1(6.7%)	1(6.7%)	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	2(6.9%)
Nausea	0	0	0	2(14.3%)	2(14.3%)	0	2(6.9%)
Rash	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)

(Continued)

TABLE 4 Continued

	400mg (n=15)			600mg (n=14)			Total (n=29)
AEs	n(%)	Severe Grades		n(0/)	Severe Grades		All Grades
		1-2 n(%)	3-4 n(%)	n(%)	1-2 n(%)	3-4 n(%)	n(%)
Angioedema	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
Alopecia	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
Fever	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
Abdominal Distension	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
Abdominal Pain	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)
Pyspepsia	1(6.7%)	1(6.7%)	0	0	0	0	1(3.4%)
Phlebitis	0	0	0	1(7.1%)	1(7.1%)	0	1(3.4%)

influenced the hematological responses. But the same C_{min} implicated that 600mg could be better to improve the exposure and could be cleared at the pace of 400mg. Eight patients in the group of 600mg and 6 patients in the group of 400mg had abnormal values of WBC at baseline. And most patients' WBC could decrease to the normal range in one month after taking the first dose. One patient treated with 400mg and two patients treated with 600mg didn't acquire hematological responses.

The PK properties of flumatinib are similar to those of imatinib in some respects. Both flumatinib and imatinib were absorbed rapidly after a single-dose administration with median t_{max} of 2.0 hours and 2–4 hours, respectively. The $t_{1/2}$ of flumatinib in plasma was 16.0–16.9 hours, similar to that of imatinib (15 hours). Flumatinib exposure increased in an approximately dose-proportional manner. Similarly, the drug exposure of imatinib was dose proportional for the range of 25–1,000 mg (19). The PK properties of flumatinib differ slightly from those of nilotinib in some respects. After a single-dose administration, the absorption of nilotinib (median t_{max} occurring at 4 hours) was slightly slower than that of flumatinib. The $t_{1/2}$ of nilotinib was greater (24.4 hours) than that of flumatinib. However, similar to flumatinib and imatinib, the drug exposure of nilotinib was nearly dose proportional for the range of 50–400 mg (20).

The safety profiles in the two groups were generally the same and most adverse events were tolerable. The hematological adverse events were more often in the group of 400mg than that in the group of 600mg mainly because more patients in the group of 400mg had normal peripheral blood cells at baseline. The most nonhematological adverse events were gastrointestinal abnormalities including omit, diarrhea, dental ulcer, abdominal discomfort, etc. Diarrhea occurred in six patients, 2 in group 400mg and 4 in group 600mg, and could take place more than once. Events such as rash, fever, alopecia, and angioedema appeared once in patients of group 600mg. The differences in occurrence rates of non-hematological events might result from more plasma exposure of 600mg. There is no denying that the toxicity evaluation just represented part of the safety profile during the early period after the first dose. More confirmed studies needed to be conducted to get more information about flumatinib in patients with CML-CP.

In conclusion, flumatinib exposure increased in an approximately dose-proportional manner. Since the difference was not statistically significant (flumatinib 600 mg vs. 400 mg), conclusions need to be drawn with caution. Further research needs to be conducted in a large sample-size study.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of National Clinical Research Center for Blood Diseases, Institute of Hematology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

BJ and JQ wrote the manuscript; JW and FZ designed the research; BJ, JQ, MS, and WZ performed the research; BJ and YW analyzed the data; YW contributed new reagents/analytical tools. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank YW and Mengli Nie from Department of Biostatistics of Nanjing Medical University for their help in statistical analysis and Jie Yang, Yale Duan, and Xiaoxi Zeng from Hansoh Pharmaceuticals for their assistance with medical editorial work.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that this study received funding from Hansoh Pharmaceuticals. The funder had the following involvement with the study: assistance in the review of the unpublished phase 1 and 2 clinical studies of flumatinib, as well as the revision of the grammar of the manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Rowley JD. A new consistent chromosomal abnormality in chronic myelogenous leukaemia identified by quinacrine fluorescence and giemsa staining. *Nature* (1973) 243 (5405):290–3. doi: 10.1038/243290a0

2. Groffen J, Stephenson JR, Heisterkamp N, de Klein A, Bartram CR, Grosveld G. Philadelphia Chromosomal breakpoints are clustered within a limited region, bcr, on chromosome 22. *Cell* (1984) 36(1):93–9. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(84)90077-1

3. Hochhaus A, Baccarani M, Silver RT, Schiffer C, Apperley JF, Cervantes F, et al. European LeukemiaNet 2020 recommendations for treating chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* (2020) 34(4):966–84. doi: 10.1038/s41375-020-0776-2

4. Deininger MW, Shah NP, Altman JK, Berman E, Bhatia R, Bhatnagar B, et al. Chronic Myeloid Leukemia, Version 2.2021, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. (2020) 18(10):1385–415. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2020.0047.

5. Bower H, Björkholm M, Dickman PW, Höglund M, Lambert PC, Andersson TM-L. Life expectancy of patients with chronic myeloid leukemia approaches the life expectancy of the general population. *J Clin Oncol* (2016) 34(24):2851–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.66.2866

6. Rousseau A, Marquet P. Application of pharmacokinetic modelling to the routine therapeutic drug monitoring of anticancer drugs. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* (2002) 16 (4):253–62. doi: 10.1046/j.1472-8206.2002.00086.x

7. Larson RA, Druker BJ, Guilhot F, O'Brien SG, Riviere GJ, Krahnke T, et al. Imatinib pharmacokinetics and its correlation with response and safety in chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia: A subanalysis of the IRIS study. *Blood J Am Soc Hematology.* (2008) 111(8):4022–8. doi: 10.1182/blood-2007-10-116475

8. Wang X, Roy A, Hochhaus A, Kantarjian HM, Chen T-T, Shah NP. Differential effects of dosing regimen on the safety and efficacy of dasatinib: Retrospective exposure-response analysis of a phase III study. *Clin pharmacology: Adv applications.* (2013) 5:85. doi: 10.2147/CPAA.S42796

9. Hsyu P-H, Mould DR, Upton RN, Amantea M. Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationship of bosutinib in patients with chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia. *Cancer chemotherapy Pharmacol* (2013) 71(1):209–18. doi: 10.1007/s00280-012-1998-4

10. Gong A, Chen X, Deng P, Zhong D. Metabolism of flumatinib, a novel antineoplastic tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in chronic myelogenous leukemia patients. *Drug Metab disposition.* (2010) 38(8):1328-40. doi: 10.1124/dmd.110.032326

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1101738/ full#supplementary-material

11. Kuang Y, H-I S, Yang G-p, Pei Q, Yang X-y, Ye L, et al. Effect of high-fat diet on the pharmacokinetics and safety of flumatinib in healthy Chinese subjects. *Cancer Chemotherapy Pharmacol* (2020) 86(3):339-46. doi: 10.1007/s00280-020-04117-w

12. Gong A. PHARMACOKINETIC AND METABOLIC STUDY OF FLUMATINIB IN LEUKEMIA PATIENTS [Doctor]. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (2010). http://med.wanfangdata.com.cn/Paper/Detail?id=DegreePaper_Y1827458

13. Zhang L, Meng L, Liu B, Zhang Y, Zhu H, Cui J, et al. Flumatinib versus Imatinib for Newly Diagnosed Chronic Phase Chronic Myeloid Leukemia: A Phase III, Randomized, Open-label, Multi-center FESTnd Study. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2021) 27 (1):70–7. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-1600.

14. Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET, et al. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern cooperative oncology group. *Am J Clin Oncol* (1982) 5(6):649–56. doi: 10.1097/0000421-198212000-00014

15. Yang Y, Liu K, Zhong D, Chen X. Simultaneous determination of flumatinib and its two major metabolites in plasma of chronic myelogenous leukemia patients by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol BioMed Life Sci* (2012) 895-896:25–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2012.03.008

16. CFDA. Technical guideline of clinical pharmacokinetics research on chemical drug. *Chin Food Drug Administration* (2005) Guideline(No. [H] GCL 1-2).

17. Muller MC. New drug for chronic myeloid leukemia might stimulate the market. Clin Cancer Res (2021) 27(1):3–4. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-3684

18. Xu XL, Cao YJ, Zhang W, Rao GW. Research status, synthesis and clinical application of recently marketed and clinical BCR-ABL inhibitors. *Curr Med Chem* (2022) 29(17):3050–78. doi: 10.2174/0929867328666211012093423

19. Peng B, Hayes M, Resta D, Racine-Poon A, Druker BJ, Talpaz M, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of imatinib in a phase I trial with chronic myeloid leukemia patients. *J Clin Oncol* (2004) 22(5):935–42. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2004.03.050

20. Tanaka C, Yin OQ, Sethuraman V, Smith T, Wang X, Grouss K, et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitor nilotinib. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* (2010) 87(2):197–203. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2009.208