Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Richard Chahwan, University of Zurich, Switzerland

REVIEWED BY Richard J. Bende, Academic Medical Research (AMR), Netherlands Linda B. Baughn, Mayo Clinic, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Andreas Agathangelidis agathan@biol.uoa.gr

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cancer Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 13 December 2022 ACCEPTED 24 January 2023 PUBLISHED 08 February 2023

#### CITATION

Gkoliou G, Agathangelidis A, Karakatsoulis G, Lalayanni C, Papalexandri A, Medina A, Genuardi E, Chlichlia K, Hatjiharissi E, Papaioannou M, Terpos E, Jimenez C, Sakellari I, Ferrero S, Ladetto M, Sanz RG, Belessi C and Stamatopoulos K (2023) Differences in the immunoglobulin gene repertoires of IgG versus IgA multiple myeloma allude to distinct immunopathogenetic trajectories. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1123029. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1123029

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Gkoliou, Agathangelidis, Karakatsoulis, Lalavanni, Papalexandri, Medina, Genuardi, Chlichlia, Hatiiharissi, Papaioannou, Terpos, Jimenez, Sakellari, Ferrero, Ladetto, Sanz, Belessi and Stamatopoulos. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Differences in the immunoglobulin gene repertoires of IgG versus IgA multiple myeloma allude to distinct immunopathogenetic trajectories

Glykeria Gkoliou<sup>1,2</sup>, Andreas Agathangelidis<sup>3\*</sup>, Georgos Karakatsoulis<sup>1,4</sup>, Chrysavgi Lalayanni<sup>5</sup>, Apostolia Papalexandri<sup>5</sup>, Alejandro Medina<sup>6</sup>, Elisa Genuardi<sup>7</sup>, Katerina Chlichlia<sup>2</sup>, Evdoxia Hatjiharissi<sup>8</sup>, Maria Papaioannou<sup>8</sup>, Evangelos Terpos<sup>9</sup>, Cristina Jimenez<sup>6</sup>, Ioanna Sakellari<sup>5</sup>, Simone Ferrero<sup>7</sup>, Marco Ladetto<sup>7</sup>, Ramon Garcia Sanz<sup>6</sup>, Chrysoula Belessi<sup>10</sup> and Kostas Stamatopoulos<sup>1,11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Applied Biosciences, Centre for Research and Technology Hellas, Thessaloniki, Greece, <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupoli, Greece, <sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, School of Science, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece, <sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Sciences, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece, <sup>5</sup>Hematology Department and HCT Unit, G. Papanikolaou Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece, <sup>6</sup>Hematology Department, University Hospital of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain, <sup>7</sup>Department of Molecular Biotechnologies and Health Sciences, Hematology Division, University of Turin, Turin, Italy, <sup>8</sup>First Department of Internal Medicine, AHEPA University Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece, <sup>9</sup>Department of Clinical Therapeutics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece, <sup>10</sup>Hematology Department, Nikea General Hospital, Piraeus, Greece, <sup>41</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

The analysis of the immunogenetic background of multiple myeloma (MM) has proven key to understanding disease ontogeny. However, limited information is available regarding the immunoglobulin (IG) gene repertoire in MM cases carrying different heavy chain isotypes. Here, we studied the IG gene repertoire in a series of 523 MM patients, of whom 165 and 358 belonged to the IgA and IgG MM groups, respectively. IGHV3 subgroup genes predominated in both groups. However, at the individual gene level, significant (p<0.05) differences were identified regarding IGHV3-21 (frequent in IgG MM) and IGHV5-51 (frequent in IgA MM). Moreover, biased pairings were identified between certain IGHV genes and IGHD genes in IgA versus IgG MM. Turning to the imprints of somatic hypermutation (SHM), the bulk of rearrangements (IgA: 90.9%, IgG: 87.4%) were heavily mutated [exhibiting an IGHV germline identity (GI) <95%]. SHM topology analysis disclosed distinct patterns in IgA MM versus IgG MM cases expressing B cell receptor IG encoded by the same IGHV gene: the most pronounced examples concerned the IGHV3-23, IGHV3-30 and IGHV3-9 genes. Furthermore, differential SHM targeting was also identified between IgA MM versus IgG MM, particularly in cases utilizing certain IGHV genes, alluding to functional selection. Altogether, our detailed immunogenetic evaluation in the largest to-date series of IgA and IgG MM patients reveals certain distinct features in the IGH gene repertoires and SHM. These findings suggest distinct immune trajectories for IgA versus IgG MM, further underlining the role of external drive in the natural history of MM.

KEYWORDS

multiple myeloma, immunogenetics, immunoglobulin isotypes, immunoglobulin a, immunoglobulin g, immunoglobulin gene repertoire, somatic hypermutation (SHM), n-glycosylation

## Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a malignancy characterized by the accumulation of terminally differentiated clonal plasma cells (PCs) in the bone marrow (BM). It accounts for 10% of hematologic malignancies and 0.8% of all cancer diagnoses, respectively, with 130,000 new cases every year worldwide (1). The main feature of MM is the secretion of a specific monoclonal immunoglobulin (IG) by the malignant PCs which can be detected in the serum and/or the urine of patients with MM. MM is classified into different types depending on the isotype of the IG heavy and light chain. The predominant myeloma type is IgG (52%), followed by IgA (21%), light chain (16%), bi-clonal (2%), and IgM (0.5%), while IgD and IgE myelomas are rather infrequent (2).

Immunogenetic analysis of MM has proven key to understanding disease ontogenesis. Indeed, relevant studies have reported: (i) clonal relationship between switch variants expressed by the BM PCs and myeloma progenitors in the BM and blood (3, 4); (ii) virtual absence of the inherently autoreactive IGHV4-34 gene (5-7); and, (iii) patterns of somatic hypermutation (SHM) suggestive of a postgerminal center (GC) derivation (8, 9). Yet, limited evidence exists about the architecture of the IG gene repertoire in different types of MM characterized by the expression of different heavy chain isotypes, in particular IgA versus IgG. This is relevant for the ontogeny of MM, especially in the context of conflicting evidence regarding the immunogenetic profiles of IgA versus IgG memory B cells in non neoplastic conditions. Indeed, a higher SHM burden has been reported for CD27<sup>+</sup>IgA<sup>+</sup> versus CD27<sup>+</sup>IgG<sup>+</sup> normal memory B cells, perhaps due to the distinct microenvironment of the respective immune responses, especially given that IgA class switching occurs mostly in mucosa-associated lymphoid tissues (10). On the other hand, studies in IgA and IgG expressing B cells in different settings (11-13) have found an overall similar burden of SHM; finally, BM IgG PC may display higher SHM rates compared to circulating IgG memory B cells (14). At the clinical level, patients with IgA MM exhibit a higher incidence of t(4;14) (40% in IgA versus 13% in IgG), shorter progression-free survival (PFS) and worse overall survival (OS) compared to patients with IgG MM (15, 16).

Here, we investigated potential differences in the immunogenetic profiles of IgA versus IgG MM in a large multi-institutional cohort.

We report distinct profiles particularly regarding the individual frequencies of particular IGH genes, the topology and molecular features of SHM and the characteristics of the heavy variable complementarity determining region 3 (VH CDR3). These findings allude to different antigen exposure trajectories and/or affinity maturation processes for MM subtypes, i.e. IgA and IgG MM, further underscoring the key role of microenvironmental interactions in the pathogenesis of MM.

## Materials and methods

#### Study group

All patients were diagnosed with MM following the IMWG criteria (17). Collected samples derived from collaborating Institutions in Greece (n=176), Italy (n=72) and Spain (n=201) and the IMGT/LIGM-DB public database (18) (n=74). The sequence datasets from the Italian and Spanish groups have been reported previously (references (19) and (20), respectively). The study was approved by the local Ethics Review Committees of each participating Institution and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

# Sample collection and sequencing analysis of IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ gene rearrangements

BM aspirates were collected from 449 patients with MM with a PC infiltration of at least 20%. Available immunogenetic data for the remaining 74 cases were retrieved from the IMGT/LIGM-DB public database (18). On the basis of serum immunoelectrophoresis/ immunoblot analysis, 165 and 358 cases expressed clonotypic IG gene rearrangements belonging to the IgA and IgG isotypes, respectively.

BM mononuclear cells (BMMCs) were isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque density centrifugation. Genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction, total cellular RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis were performed as previously described (7, 21, 22). IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ gene rearrangements were PCR-amplified using Leader and IGHJ

primers as previously described (7). PCR products were subjected to bidirectional Sanger sequencing.

#### IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ gene rearrangement sequence analysis

IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ gene rearrangement sequences were annotated with the use of the IMGT/V-QUEST tool (23, 24). Only productive rearrangements were subjected to further analysis. IGHV, IGHD, and IGHJ gene usage, SHM molecular features and topology, and the characteristics (amino acid length and composition) of the VH CDR3 were extracted for each sequence.

IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ gene rearrangements were analyzed for VH CDR3 stereotypy through the use of our validated bioinformatics pipeline (25). In detail, a set of immunogenetic criteria were applied on the clustering process: (i) utilization of IGHV genes originating from the same phylogenetic clan, (ii)  $\geq$  50% amino acid identity and  $\geq$ 70% similarity of the VH CDR3, (iii) same VH CDR3 length and, (iv) same offset of the common amino acid pattern.

The NetNglyc tool was used for the prediction of N-Glycosylation sites across the IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ gene rearrangement sequences, based on the application of artificial neural networks for the examination of the sequence context of Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr sequons (26).

## Statistical analysis and data visualization tools

Descriptive statistics for qualitative variables included counts and frequency distributions. To examine potential differences in terms of immunogenetic characteristics between the two patient groups (IgA MM versus IgG MM), the chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were applied. For all comparisons a significance level of  $\alpha$ =0.05 was set. All statistical analyses were performed in R v.4.1.1.

Data visualization was performed in the R environment, with the open-source data visualization framework RawGraphs (https:// rawgraphs.io/) and the Circos software package (http://mkweb. bcgsc.ca/tableviewer/).

#### Results

## The IGHV gene repertoires of IgA and IgG MM patients display both shared but also unique characteristics

All 7 IGHV gene subgroups were represented in our cohort. In specific, IGHV3 predominated at cohort level (279/523 rearrangements; 53.3%), followed by IGHV4 (96/523 rearrangements; 18.4%) (Supplemental Table 1). At the individual IGHV gene level, 47 distinct IGHV genes were identified. Seven IGHV genes were defined as frequent (individual frequency  $\geq$ 4%), collectively accounting for 41.9% of the total repertoire: IGHV3-30, IGHV3-23, IGHV3-9, IGHV3-33, IGHV4-59, IGHV2-5 and IGHV1-69 (Supplemental Table 2).

Subsequently, IGHV gene repertoires were studied separately in IgG MM and IgA MM. Predominance of the IGHV3 subgroup was evident in both groups, accounting for 181/358 rearrangements (50.6%) in the IgG group and 98/165 rearrangements (59.4%) in the IgA group. Eight IGHV genes were characterized as frequent in the IgG group and 9 in the IgA group, respectively. Of these, only 4 IGHV genes, namely IGHV3-23, IGHV3-30, IGHV3-9 and IGHV4-59, were characterized as frequent in both groups. The remaining frequent IGHV genes showed variations in utilization frequency among the 2 MM types, with some differences reaching statistical significance. The most prominent differences concerned the IGHV3-21 gene, which exhibited a frequency of 4.2% in IgG MM versus only 0.6% in IgA MM (p-value <0.05), and the IGHV5-51 gene, accounting for 4.7% in IgG MM versus 1.2% in IgA MM (p-value=0.05) (Supplemental Table 2) (Figure 1).

## Shared and distinct VH CDR3 characteristics in IgA versus IgG multiple myeloma

#### IGHD gene repertoires

At cohort level, IGHD3 subgroup genes predominated (195/523 cases; 37.3%) followed by IGHD2 (99/523 cases; 18.3%) and IGHD6 genes (86/523 cases; 16.4%) (Supplemental Table 3). In total, 25 distinct IGHD genes were identified in the present cohort (Supplemental Table 4); the most frequent was IGHD3-10 (54/523



#### FIGURE 1

IgG (gray) MM patients. Asterisks indicate the statistically significant differences between the two groups (p-value < 0.05(\*)).

cases; 10.3%), followed by IGHD3-3 (45/523 cases; 8.6%) and IGHD3-22 (44/523 cases; 8.4%).

IGHD gene repertoire analysis was also performed in relation to heavy chain isotype expression, which confirmed the predominance of IGHD3 subgroup genes in both MM groups. However, the second most common IGHD gene subgroup differed, being IGHD2 in IgG MM versus IGHD6 in IgA MM; moreover, IGHD1 genes were twice as frequent in IgG versus IgA MM (pvalue <0.05) (Supplemental Table 3). At the individual IGHD gene level, the only significant difference concerned the IGHD5-12 gene that was more frequent in IgA MM compared to IgG MM (6.7% versus 2.5%, respectively; p-value <0.05) (Supplemental Table 4) (Supplemental Figure 1).

Analysis of the IGHD gene reading frames (RF) revealed an overrepresentation of RF2 in both IgA MM and IgG MM (46.6% in both groups) (Supplemental Table 5). RF1 and RF3 displayed similar relative frequencies in IgA MM (45 cases; 27.2% and 43 cases; 26%, respectively). In contrast, in IgG MM RF2 was followed by RF3 (118 cases, 32.9%), while RF1 displayed the smallest relative frequency (73 cases, 20.3%).

Subsequently, the distribution of RFs was compared at the individual IGHD gene level. Focusing on IGHD genes that were frequent in both MM types, we noted that the utilization of the IGHD3-10 gene in RF2 was significantly more frequent in IgG MM compared to IgA MM (57.9% versus 33.3%, p-value <0.05), whereas RF3 predominated in cases expressing the IGHD5-12 gene belonging to IgA MM versus IgG MM (63.6% versus 57.1%, p-value <0.05) (Supplemental Table 6).

#### IGHJ gene repertoires

The IGHJ4 gene was identified in 252/523 rearrangements of the total cohort (48.2%), followed by IGHJ6 (103/523 rearrangements; 19.7%) (Supplemental Table 7). At the heavy chain isotype level, the IGHJ4 gene was the most common in both IgG and IgA MM, followed in both cases by the IGHJ6 gene (Supplemental Table 7).

#### Biased pairings of IGHV/IGHD/IGHJ genes

Distinct patterns of associations of certain IGHV genes with IGHD and IGHJ genes were identified between IgA MM versus IgG MM (Figure 2). The most striking cases concerned: (i) IGHV3-30 in IgA MM, where 4/20 cases (20%) recombined with IGHD3-10, (ii) IGHV4-59 in IgG MM, where 5/16 cases (31%) recombined with IGHD2-21.

#### VH CDR3 properties

The median VH CDR3 length at cohort level was 15 amino acids (aa) (range: 6-29), with no differences between IgA MM versus IgG MM. However, statistically significant differences were identified regarding the distribution of IgA and IgG cases based on the VH CDR3 length. More particularly, a higher frequency of IgG cases expressed 14 aa long VH CDR3 (58/358 IgG cases; 16.2%) compared to IgA cases (15/165 cases; 9.1%) (p-value <0.05). The opposite trend was observed for cases carrying VH CDR3 of 19 amino acids, which were enriched in the IgA group (16/165 cases; 9.7%) compared to the IgG group (17/358 cases; 4.7%) (p-value <0.05) (Figure 3) (Supplemental Table 8).

All productive IgA and IgG MM IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ gene rearrangements of the present cohort were subjected to analysis for VH CDR3 stereotypy using our established bioinformatics (25). However, this analysis did not identify clusters of immunogenetically related sequences, supporting that VH CDR3 stereotypy does not occur (or is very infrequent) in MM.

## Differential imprints of somatic hypermutation in subgroups of IgA versus IgG multiple myeloma

Cases were classified following the germline identity % (GI%) into the following subgroups: (i) truly unmutated [=100%], (ii) minimally mutated [>=99% and <100%], (iii) borderline mutated [>=97% and <99%], (iv) mutated [>=95% and <97%] and (v) heavily mutated [<95%]). The vast majority of rearrangements (471/523 cases, 90.1%) were heavily mutated (Supplemental Table 9), with a median GI of 92%; there was no difference in the median percent GI values burden between IgA versus IgG MM (91.3 GI% in IgA MM versus 92% in IgG MM). Only a single rearrangement from the IgA group was truly unmutated.

The analysis of SHM targeting at the individual IGHV gene level initially focused on IGHV genes that were characterized as frequent in both the IgG and IgA MM groups, namely IGHV3-23, IGHV3-30, IGHV3-9 and IGHV4-59. Cases expressing the IGHV3-23 and IGHV4-59 genes displayed significantly higher R:S ratios in VH CDRs versus VH FRs in IgG MM (p-value <0.001 and p-value < 0.01, respectively). When looking at individual regions of the VH domain, both the IgG and IgA groups displayed higher R:S ratios in VH CDR1 and VH CDR2 versus the VH FR regions (Figure 4). In contrast, significant differences were identified regarding the R:S ratios in the VH CDR1 domain between IgA and IgG patients carrying the IGHV1-18, IGHV3-48 and IGHV5-51 genes. In detail, the R:S ratio in the VH CDR1 of IGHV1-18 and IGHV5-51 gene rearrangements in patients with IgG MM was significantly higher compared to the respective IgA MM patients (10.5 in IgG versus 0.7 in IgA for IGHV1-18 rearrangements and 2.5 in IgG versus 0 in IgA for IGHV5-51 rearrangements, respectively; p-values <0.05 in both cases). The opposite trend was observed in cases expressing the IGHV3-48 gene, where the R:S ratio in the VH CDR1 in patients with IgG MM was significant lower compared to the respective ratio in IgA MM patients (0 in IgG versus 1.3 in IgA, respectively; p-value <0.05) (Supplemental Table 10).

Using the NetNglyc tool we detected N-glycosylation sequons in 93/523 IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ gene rearrangements (17.8%), with no significant differences between IgA MM (23/165 cases, 13.9%) versus IgG MM (70/358 cases, 19.5%) (p-value = 0.15). Of these, 38 cases carried novel N-glycosylation sequons introduced by SHM, again with no significant differences in frequency between IgA MM (8/23 cases, 34.8%) versus IgG MM (30/70 cases, 42.8%) (Supplemental Table 11).

Removal of germline encoded N-glycosylation sequons due to SHM was also observed in 18/430 cases (4.2%), again with a similar



distribution among the 2 MM types [7/142 IgA cases (4.9%) versus 11/288 IgG cases (3.8%)]. Interestingly, 5/18 cases where such removal was documented expressed the IGHV4-39 gene; in 4/5 cases (2 each concerning IgA or IgG MM) this was due to a recurrent Proline for Lysine substitution at position VH FR3 69 (Supplemental Table 12).

# Discussion

In the present study we assessed the impact of antigen selection in shaping the IG gene repertoire of MM through an in-depth analysis of the clonotypic IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ gene rearrangements in patients expressing alpha or gamma isotypes.



## Overall, the IGH gene repertoire in the present series was similar to those reported in previous reports (6, 7, 19), with the IGHV3-23, IGHV3-30, IGHV3-9 and IGHV4-59 genes predominating. However, certain IGHV or IGHD genes (e.g. IGHV2-5 and IGHD5-12) are herein reported as frequent for the first time, highlighting the added value of our study in offering a more comprehensive view of the immunogenetic landscape of MM. In keeping with the literature (19, 20), IGHV3 subgroup genes predominated in both IgA MM and IgG MM. Such predominance has also been noted in B cell lymphoproliferations, including monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) (27–29), chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) (30, 31). A significant difference concerned IGHV4-34 that is conspicuously infrequent (<1%) in MM, yet it is very frequent in both hematologic malignancies, such as CLL and MCL

(25, 31). Moreover, our cohort was notable for underrepresentation of the IGHV1-69, IGHV3-7 and IGHV1-8 genes, which have been reported as frequent in CLL and/or MCL (30, 31).

Studies in memory B cells have not identified differences in IG gene repertoires between IgA versus IgG memory B cells (10, 12, 32, 33). On these grounds, the herein reported distinctive features between IgA versus IgG MM do not appear to reflect the normal B cell differentiation, but rather allude to distinct selection forces shaping, at least in part, the respective BcR IG repertoires. This argument was reinforced by our finding of differential patterns of associations of certain IGHV genes with certain IGHD and IGHJ genes in IgG MM versus IgA MM types.

Overall, the present cohort of MM cases resembled normal B cells in terms of VH CDR3 length distribution and amino acid



#### FIGURE 4

Topology of somatic hypermutation in IgA versus IgG multiple myeloma. Ratio of replacement to silent mutations (R:S) in the VH FRs and VH CDRs in cases expressing the 4 commonly frequent IGHV genes of MM patients with IgG and IgA isotypes: IGHV3-23 (**A**), IGHV3-30 (**B**), IGHV4-59 (**C**) and IGHV3-9 (**D**). Asterisks indicate the statistically significant differences between the VH FR and VH CDR regions (p-value  $\leq 0.05(*)$ , p-value  $\leq 0.01$  (\*\*) and p-value  $\leq 0.001$  (\*\*\*)).

composition (34, 35), in sharp contrast to other malignancies of mature B cells e.g. chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) or marginal zone lymphoma (MZL) (31, 36, 37). In keeping with the literature (19, 20) and in contrast with CLL, MCL or splenic marginal zone lymphoma (SMZL) (31, 38–40), VH CDR3 stereotypy was not observed in our cohort. Of note, however, when considering IgG MM and IgA MM cases separately, skewing to particular CDR3 lengths was noted, in some instances reaching statistical significance. Considering the fundamental role of the VH CDR3 in antigen recognition, at least in the pre-immune repertoire (41), this observation supports differences at the level of selection of the corresponding MM progenitor cells, particularly regarding the type of selecting elements, the timing and the precise location of antigenic interactions within the secondary lymphoid tissues.

The vast majority of cases in our cohort bore a significant number of SHMs, in line with previous studies (6, 7, 42), supporting the notion that the MM transforming events concern post-germinal center B cell progenitors (8, 43). This finding, along with SHM profiles characteristic of encounter with classical antigen(s), typified by preferential targeting of replacement mutations in VH CDRs rather than VH FRs, further corroborates the notion that the MM progenitor cells were selected by antigens during their process of differentiation into plasma cells (9, 44). A noteworthy novel finding concerned the differences observed in at least some subgroups of IgA MM versus IgG MM cases expressing BcR IG with the same IGHV gene. On these grounds, we argue that not only antigen exposure trajectories but also affinity maturation processes may differ for IgA MM versus IgG MM, further underlining the role of microenvironmental interactions in the pathogenesis of the disease. This notion is further supported by a recent study on transgenic mice reporting the existence of similar SHM patterns between two B cell subpopulations located at different tissues, i.e. Peyer's Patches germinal center B cells and splenic B cells (45). In this context, the identification of different SHM imprints in IgA compared to IgG MM plasma cells may allude to distinct modes of antigen exposure of MM progenitors in different microenvironmental niches.

N-glycosylation concerns the modification of IGs (or other proteins) by the addition of N-glycans to specific sequons; this modification can have a significant impact on the structure, stability, and biological function of the glycosylated molecules (46). Novel N-glycosylation sites introduced by SHM in the clonotypic IG are a very frequent feature in follicular lymphoma (FL) (~80%) and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLCL) (51% in germinal-center Bcell-like DLBCL and 6% in activated B-cell-like DLBCL) (47-50). Furthermore, N-glycosylation of the clonotypic BcR IG has also been reported, albeit less frequently, in plasma cell disorders and B cell malignancies, such as AL amyloidosis (51-53). Prompted by evidence that SHM-induced changes in N-glycosylation of the IG variable domains is a mechanism redeeming B cells away from autoreactivity (54), here we sought to explore whether SHM within the clonotypic VH domain may lead to the creation of novel N-glycosylation sites or the disruption of germline-encoded ones. This is of potential clinical relevance if one also considers the generally low incidence of autoreactive phenomena in MM. Interestingly, examination of the particular SHMs leading to the creation and/or disruption of N- glycosylation sequons revealed the existence of recurrent amino acid substitutions at particular positions in certain IGHV genes (e.g. IGHV1-46 and IGHV4-39), albeit with no distinguishing features between IgA MM versus IgG MM.

In conclusion, in-depth analysis of the IG gene repertoire in the largest so far series of MM patients offers evidence supporting a role for antigens in disease pathogenesis. Distinct immunogenetic profiles in IgA MM versus IgG MM allude to distinct ontogenetic trajectories for particular subtypes of MM with potential impact on clonal behavior and clinical outcome.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Centre for Research and Technology Hellas, Thessaloniki, Greece. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## Author contributions

GG performed research, analyzed data and wrote the paper. AA supervised research and wrote the paper; GK performed statistical analysis. CL, AP, AM, EG performed research. KC, EH, MP, ET, CJ, IS, SF, ML, RS, CB provided samples and supervised research. KS designed the study, supervised research and wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This project was supported in part by the "Quant-ALL" project funded by Greece and the European Union with grant agreement no. MIS 5053938 and the project ODYSSEAS (Intelligent and Automated Systems for enabling the Design, Simulation, and Development of Integrated Processes and Products), implemented under the "Action for the Strategic Development on the Research and Technological Sector", funded by the Operational Programme "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship, and Innovation" (NSRF 2014-2020), and cofinanced by Greece and the European Union with grant agreement no. MIS 5002462. This research was also co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Regional Development Fund) through the Operational Programme "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship, and Innovation" (NSRF 2014-2020) in the context of the project "BBMRI: Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure", implemented under the action "Reinforcement of the Research and Innovation Infrastructure" (MIS5028275).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

KS has received grant support from Abbvie: Honoraria, Travel expenses, Janssen: Honoraria, Travel expenses, Research funding. ET has received grant support from GSK: Honoraria, Research funding, Novartis: Honoraria, Genesis: Honoraria, Research funding, BMS: Honoraria, Amgen: Honoraria, Travel expenses, Research funding, EUSA Pharma: Honoraria, Travel expenses, Takeda: Honoraria, Travel expenses, Research funding, Janssen: Honoraria, Research funding; Sanofi: Honoraria, Research funding. SF has received grant support from Janssen: Consultancy, Honoraria, Research funding, Advisory board, EUSA Pharma: Consultancy, Honoraria, Advisory board, Abbvie: Consultancy, Sandoz: Consultancy, Incyte: Advisory board, Itfarmaco: Advisory board, Clinigen: Advisory board, Morphosys: Research funding, Gilead: Research funding, Beigene: Research funding; Gentili: Honoraria.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1123029/ full#supplementary-material

## References

1. Chesi M, Bergsagel PL. Molecular pathogenesis of multiple myeloma: Basic and clinical updates. Int J Hematol (2013) 97(3):313–23. doi: 10.1007/s12185-013-1291-2

2. Bal S, Giri S, Godby KN, Costa LJ. Revisiting the impact of immunoglobulin isotypes in multiple myeloma. *Ann Hematol* (2022) 101(4):825–9. doi: 10.1007/s00277-022-04783-1

3. Taylor BJ, Kriangkum J, Pittman JA, Mant MJ, Reiman T, Belch AR, et al. Analysis of clonotypic switch junctions reveals multiple myeloma originates from a single class switch event with ongoing mutation in the isotype-switched progeny. *Blood* (2008) 112 (5):1894–903. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-01-129221

4. Kosmas C, Stamatopoulos K, Stavroyianni N, Belessi C, Viniou N, Yataganas X. Molecular analysis of immunoglobulin genes in multiple myeloma. *Leuk Lymphoma* (1999) 33(3–4):253–65. doi: 10.3109/10428199909058425

5. Frøyland M, Thompson KM, Thorpe SJ, Sahota SS, Gedde-Dahl T, Bogen B. A VH4-34+ myeloma protein with weak autoreactivity. *Haematologica* (2007) 92(5):690–3. doi: 10.3324/haematol.10850

6. González D, González M, Balanzategui A, Sarasquete ME, López-Pérez R, Chillón MC, et al. Molecular characteristics and gene segment usage in IGH gene rearrangements in multiple myeloma. *Haematologica* (2005) 90(7):906–13.

7. Hadzidimitriou A, Stamatopoulos K, Belessi C, Lalayianni C, Stavroyianni N, Smilevska T, et al. Immunoglobulin genes in multiple myeloma: Expressed and nonexpressed repertoires, heavy and light chain pairings and somatic mutation patterns in a series of 101 cases. *Haematologica* (2006) 91(6):781–7.

8. Cowan G, Weston-Bell NJ, Bryant D, Seckinger A, Hose D, Zojer N, et al. Massive parallel IGHV gene sequencing reveals a germinal center pathway in origins of human multiple myeloma. *Oncotarget* (2015) 6(15):13229–40. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.3644

9. Bakkus MHC, Heirman C, Van Riet I, Van Camp B, Thielemans K. Evidence that multiple myeloma ig heavy chain VDJ genes contain somatic mutations but show no intraclonal variation. *Blood* (1992) 80(9):2326–35. doi: 10.1182/blood.V80.9.2326.2326

10. Berkowska MA, Driessen GJA, Bikos V, Grosserichter-Wagener C, Stamatopoulos K, Cerutti A, et al. Human memory b cells originate from three distinct germinal centerdependent and -independent maturation pathways. *Blood* (2011) 118(8):2150–8. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-04-345579

11. Benckert J, Schmolka N, Kreschel C, Zoller MJ, Sturm A, Wiedenmann B, et al. The majority of intestinal IgA+ and IgG+ plasmablasts in the human gut are antigen-specific. *J Clin Invest* (2011) 121(5):1946–55. doi: 10.1172/JCI44447

12. IJspeert H, van Schouwenburg PA, van Zessen D, Pico-Knijnenburg J, Driessen GJ, Stubbs AP, et al. Evaluation of the antigen-experienced b-cell receptor repertoire in healthy children and adults. *Front Immunol* (2016) 7(OCT):1–13. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00410

13. Schickel J-N, Glauzy S, Ng Y-S, Chamberlain N, Massad C, Isnardi I, et al. Self-reactive VH4-34-expressing IgG b cells recognize commensal bacteria. *J Exp Med* (2017) 214(7):1991–2003. doi: 10.1084/jem.20160201

14. Scheid JF, Mouquet H, Kofer J, Yurasov S, Nussenzweig MC, Wardemann H. Differential regulation of self-reactivity discriminates between IgG+ human circulating memory b cells and bone marrow plasma cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2011) 108 (44):18044–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1113395108

15. Sato S, Kamata W, Okada S, Tamai Y. Clinical and prognostic significance of t (4; 14) Translocation in multiple myeloma in the era of novel agents. *Int J Hematol* (2021) 113(2):207–13. doi: 10.1007/s12185-020-03005-6

16. Chang H, Sloan S, Li D, Zhuang L, Yi Q-L, Chen CI, et al. The t (4;14) is associated with poor prognosis in myeloma patients undergoing autologous stem cell transplant. *Br J Haematol* (2004) 125(1):64–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2004.04867.x

17. Kumar S, Paiva B, Anderson KC, Durie B, Landgren O, Moreau P, et al. International myeloma working group consensus criteria for response and minimal residual disease assessment in multiple myeloma. *Lancet Oncol* (2016) 17(8):e328–46. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30206-6

18. Giudicelli V, Duroux P, Ginestoux C, Folch G, Jabado-Michaloud J, Chaume D, et al. IMGT/LIGM-DB, the IMGT comprehensive database of immunoglobulin and T cell receptor nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2006) 34(Database issue):781–4. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkj088

19. Ferrero S, Capello D, Svaldi M, Boi M, Gatti D, Drandi D, et al. Multiple myeloma shows no intra-disease clustering of immunoglobulin heavy chain genes. *Haematologica* (2012) 97(6):849–53. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2011.052852

20. Medina A, Jiménez C, Sarasquete ME, González M, Chillón MC, Balanzategui A, et al. Molecular profiling of immunoglobulin heavy-chain gene rearrangements unveils new potential prognostic markers for multiple myeloma patients. *Blood Cancer J* (2020) 10(2). doi: 10.1038/s41408-020-0283-8

21. Trudel S, Ghamlouch H, Dremaux J, Delette C, Harrivel V, Marolleau JP, et al. The importance of an in-depth study of immunoglobulin gene rearrangements when ascertaining the clonal relationship between concomitant chronic lymphocytic leukemia and multiple myeloma. *Front Immunol* (2016) 7(DEC):1–7. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2016.00625

22. Murray F, Darzentas N, Hadzidimitriou A, Tobin G, Boudjogra M, Scielzo C, et al. Stereotyped patterns of somatic hypermutation in subsets of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia: implications for the role of antigen selection in leukemogenesis. *Blood* (2008) 111:1524–33. doi: 10.1182/blood-2007-07-099564

23. Brochet X, Lefranc MP, Giudicelli V. IMGT/V-QUEST: The highly customized and integrated system for IG and TR standardized V-J and V-D-J sequence analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2008) 36(Web Server issue):503–8. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn316

24. Lefranc MP, Giudicelli V, Ginestoux C, Jabado-Michaloud J, Folch G, Bellahcene F, et al. IMGT<sup>®</sup>, the international ImMunoGeneTics information system<sup>®</sup>. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2009) 37(SUPPL. 1):1006–12. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn838

25. Agathangelidis A, Chatzidimitriou A, Gemenetzi K, Giudicelli V, Karypidou M, Plevova K, et al. Higher-order connections between stereotyped subsets: implications for improved patient classification in CLL. *Blood* (2021) 137(10):1365–76. doi: 10.1182/blood.2020007039

26. Gupta R, Brunak S. Prediction of glycosylation across the human proteome and the correlation to protein function. *Pac Symp Biocomput* (2002), 310–22.

27. Varettoni M, Zibellini S, Capello D, Arcaini L, Rossi D, Pascutto C, et al. Clues to pathogenesis of waldenström macroglobulinemia and immunoglobulin m monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance provided by analysis of immunoglobulin heavy chain gene rearrangement and clustering of b-cell receptors. *Leuk Lymphoma* (2013) 54(11):2485–9. doi: 10.3109/10428194.2013.779689

28. Martín-Jiménez P, García-Sanz R, Balanzategui A, Alcoceba M, Ocio E, Sanchez ML, et al. Molecular characterization of heavy chain immunoglobulin gene rearrangements in waldenström's macroglobulinemia and IgM monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Haematologica* (2007) 92(5):635–42. doi: 10.3324/haematol.10755

29. Sahota SS, Leo R, Hamblin TJ, Stevenson FK. Ig VH gene mutational patterns indicate different tumor cell status in human myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Blood* (1996) 87(2):746–55. doi: 10.1182/blood.V87.2.746.bloodjournal872746

30. Stamatopoulos K, Belessi C, Moreno C, Boudjograh M, Guida G, Smilevska T, et al. Over 20% of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia carry stereotyped receptors: Pathogenetic implications and clinical correlations. *Blood* (2007) 109(1):259–70. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-03-012948

31. Hadzidimitriou A, Agathangelidis A, Darzentas N, Murray F, Delfau-Larue MH, Pedersen LB, et al. Is there a role for antigen selection in mantle cell lymphoma? immunogenetic support from a series of 807 cases. *Blood* (2011) 118(11):3088–95. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-03-343434

32. Wu YCB, Kipling D, Dunn-Walters DK. The relationship between CD27 negative and positive b cell populations in human peripheral blood. *Front Immunol* (2011) 2 (DEC). doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2011.00081

33. Kitaura K, Yamashita H, Ayabe H, Shini T, Matsutani T, Suzuki R. Different somatic hypermutation levels among antibody subclasses disclosed by a new next-generation sequencing-based antibody repertoire analysis. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8 (MAY):1–11. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00389

34. Shi B, Ma L, He X, Wang X, Wang P, Zhou L, et al. Comparative analysis of human and mouse immunoglobulin variable heavy regions from IMGT/LIGM-DB with IMGT/ HighV-QUEST. *Theor Biol Med Model* (2014) 11:30. doi: 10.1186/1742-4682-11-30

35. Soto C, Bombardi RG, Branchizio A, Kose N, Matta P, Sevy AM, et al. High frequency of shared clonotypes in human b cell receptor repertoires. *Nature* (2019) 566 (7744):398-402. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-0934-8

36. Agathangelidis A, Hadzidimitriou A, Rosenquist R, Stamatopoulos K. Unlocking the secrets of immunoglobulin receptors in mantle cell lymphoma: implications for the origin and selection of the malignant cells. *Semin Cancer Biol* (2011) 21(5):299–307. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2011.09.009

37. Darzentas N, Hadzidimitriou A, Murray F, Hatzi K, Josefsson P, Laoutaris N, et al. A different ontogenesis for chronic lymphocytic leukemia cases carrying stereotyped antigen receptors: molecular and computational evidence. *Leukemia* (2010) 24(1):125–32. doi: 10.1038/leu.2009.186

38. Stamatopoulos K, Agathangelidis A, Rosenquist R, Ghia P. Antigen receptor stereotypy in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* (2017) 31(2):282-91. doi: 10.1038/leu.2016.322

39. Agathangelidis A, Darzentas N, Hadzidimitriou A, Brochet X, Murray F, Yan XJ, et al. Stereotyped b-cell receptors in one-third of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: A molecular classification with implications for targeted therapies. *Blood* (2012) 119 (19):4467–75. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-11-393694

40. Zibellini S, Capello D, Forconi F, Marcatili P, Rossi D, Rattotti S, et al. Stereotyped patterns of b-cell receptor in splenic marginal zone lymphoma. *Haematologica* (2010) 95 (10):1792–6. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2010.025437

41. Xu JL, Davis MM. Diversity in the CDR3 region of V(H) is sufficient for most antibody specificities. *Immunity* (2000) 13(1):37-45. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)00006-6 42. Rettig MB, Vescio RA, Cao J, Wu CH, Lee JC, Han E, et al. VH gene usage in multiple myeloma: Complete absence of the VH4.21 (VH4-34) gene. *Blood [Internet]* (1996) 87(7):2846–52.

43. González D, van der Burg M, García-Sanz R, Fenton JA, Langerak AW, González M, et al. Immunoglobulin gene rearrangements and the pathogenesis of multiple myeloma. *Blood* (2007) 110(9):3112–21. doi: 10.1182/blood-2007-02-069625

44. Vescio RA, Cao J, Hong CH, Lee JC, Wu CH, Der Danielian M, et al. Myeloma ig heavy chain V region sequences reveal prior antigenic selection and marked somatic mutation but no intraclonal diversity. *J Immunol* (1995) 155(5):2487–97. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.155.5.2487

45. Yeap LS, Hwang JK, Du Z, Meyers RM, Meng FL, Jakubauskaite A, et al. Sequenceintrinsic mechanisms that target AID mutational outcomes on antibody genes. *Cell* (2015) 163(5):1124–37. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.10.042

46. Esmail S, Manolson MF. Advances in understanding n-glycosylation structure, function, and regulation in health and disease. *Eur J Cell Biol* (2021) 100(7–8). doi: 10.1016/j.ejcb.2021.151186

47. McCann KJ, Johnson PWM, Stevenson FK, Ottensmeier CH. Universal nglycosylation sites introduced into the b-cell receptor of follicular lymphoma by somatic mutation: a second tumorigenic event? *Leukemia England*; (2006) 20:530–4. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2404095

48. Odabashian M, Carlotti E, Araf S, Okosun J, Spada F, Gribben JG, et al. IGHV sequencing reveals acquired n-glycosylation sites as a clonal and stable event during follicular lymphoma evolution. *Blood* (2020) 135(11):834-44. doi: 10.1182/ blood.2019002279

49. Zhu D, McCarthy H, Ottensmeier CH, Johnson P, Hamblin TJ, Stevenson FK. Acquisition of potential n-glycosylation sites in the immunoglobulin variable region by somatic mutation is a distinctive feature of follicular lymphoma. *Blood* (2002) 99(7):2562–8. doi: 10.1182/blood.V99.7.2562

50. Chiodin G, Allen JD, Bryant DJ, Rock P, Martino EA, Valle-Argos B, et al. Insertion of atypical glycans into the tumor antigen-binding site identifies DLBCLs with distinct origin and behavior. *Blood [Internet]* (2021) 138(17):1570–82. doi: 10.1182/ blood.2021012052

51. Dispenzieri A, Larson DR, Rajkumar SV, Kyle RA, Kumar SK, Kourelis T, et al. Nglycosylation of monoclonal light chains on routine MASS-FIX testing is a risk factor for MGUS progression. *Leukemia* (2020) 34(10):2749–53. doi: 10.1038/s41375-020-0940-8

52. Kourelis T, Murray DL, Dasari S, Kumar S, Barnidge D, Madden B, et al. MASS-FIX may allow identification of patients at risk for light chain amyloidosis before the onset of symptoms. *Am J Hematol* (2018) 93(11):E368–70. doi: 10.1002/ajh.25244

53. Mellors PW, Dasari S, Kohlhagen MC, Kourelis T, Go RS, Muchtar E, et al. MASS-FIX for the detection of monoclonal proteins and light chain n-glycosylation in routine clinical practice: a cross-sectional study of 6315 patients. *Blood Cancer J [Internet]* (2021) 11(3). doi: 10.1038/s41408-021-00444-0

54. Sabouri Z, Schofield P, Horikawa K, Spierings E, Kipling D, Randall KL, et al. Redemption of autoantibodies on anergic b cells by variable-region glycosylation and mutation away from self-reactivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2014) 111(25):E2567–75. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1406974111