Check for updates

**OPEN ACCESS** 

EDITED BY Manash K. Paul, California State University, Los Angeles, United States

#### REVIEWED BY

Xiaoning Peng, Hunan Normal University, China Prateek Bhardwaj, Yale University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Lianmin Zhang Zhanglianmin1031@163.com Zhenfa Zhang Zhangzhenfa@tmu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 18 January 2023 ACCEPTED 12 April 2023 PUBLISHED 28 April 2023

#### CITATION

Liu Z, Xiao Z, Wang X, Zhang L and Zhang Z (2023) Ion channel gene *GJB2* influences the intercellular communication by Up-regulating the SPP1 signaling pathway identified by the single-cell RNA sequencing in lung adenocarcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1146976. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1146976

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Xiao, Wang, Zhang and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Ion channel gene *GJB2* influences the intercellular communication by Up-regulating the SPP1 signaling pathway identified by the single-cell RNA sequencing in lung adenocarcinoma

Zuo Liu<sup>+</sup>, Zengtuan Xiao<sup>+</sup>, Xiaofei Wang, Lianmin Zhang<sup>\*</sup> and Zhenfa Zhang<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Lung Cancer Surgery, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Key Laboratory of Cancer Prevention and Therapy, Tianjin's Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Tianjin, China

**Objective:** Firstly, observe the prognostic significance and the biological functional effects of gap junction protein beta 2 (*GJB2* or Cx26) in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD). Subsequently, explore the role played by *GJB2* in intercellular communication by single-cell RNA sequencing.

**Method:** We made a differential analysis of *GJB2* expression through public databases and investigated the clinical characteristics and prognostic significance. ESTIMATE analysis and Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER) database were utilized to illustrate the association of *GJB2* with immune infiltration and components of the tumor microenvironment. Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG), and Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) were performed to study the biological function of *GJB2*. Cell-cell communication was analyzed using the CellChat R package through sc-RNA data.

**Results:** *GJB2* has an outstanding prognosis value in LUAD and a close relationship was found between *GJB2* and immune infiltration in LUAD. *GJB2* could participate in several tumor biological processes, including extracellular matrix remodeling and upregulation of multiple cancer-related active pathways. *GJB2* related hub-genes influence intercellular communication through the SPP1 signaling pathway.

**Conclusion:** Our study illustrates one mechanism by which *GJB2* exerts its cancer-specific relevant effects, that is, causing changes in intercellular communication through the SPP1 signaling pathway. Blockade of this pathway may limit the functional role of *GJB2* and provide us with promising new perceptions for LUAD treatment.

#### KEYWORDS

GJB2, SPP1, lung adenocarcinoma, immune infiltration, intercellular communication

## Introduction

As is well-known, lung cancer is the most common and fatal tumor in the world (1). The same condition also occurs in China according to the latest national cancer report released by the National Cancer Center in 2022. This report demonstrated that there were about 820 thousand new cases of lung cancer and about 710 thousand deaths in 2020, accounting for about 23.8% of cancer deaths, and lung cancer is the primary cause of cancer-related deaths, both in men and women (2). Therefore, the five-year survival rate of lung cancer is still not ideal, only 15% (3). Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), as an important subtype of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), has the highest incidence rate among NSCLC. The occurrence and progression of LUAD is a complicated multi-step process, which might strongly correlate with the anomalous expression of several genes. Consequently, the development of molecular mechanism of LUAD may identify more accurate targets and therapeutic strategies, which is necessary for LUAD diagnosis and treatment and enhance the prognosis (4, 5).

Gap junction protein beta 2 (GJB2), namely, connexin 26 (Cx26), is localized at chromosome 13q11-12, encoding a membership of the gap junction protein family. Because of the differences and similarities in the connexin sequences, this family could be defined into 5 connexin subfamilies (including  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\epsilon$  or GJA, GJB, GJC, GJD, and GJE). Gap junctions are the structures in membrane surface that contributes to the direct communication between cells. And it's well known that collaborative communication is at the heart of multicellular life (6). Previous studies have demonstrated the prognostic effect of GJB2 on lung cancer starting from the perspective of its ion channel action (7). In other oncological aspects, GJB2 was also reported as an oncogene related to tumor growth and metastasis in colorectal cancer (8), esophageal cancer (9), and breast cancer (10). Hence, we propose the hypothesis that the tumor-promoting effect of GJB2 may correlate with the function of intercellular communication. Subsequently, we conducted this study to detailed clarify the functional significance of GJB2 and further investigated its possible impact on cell-cell chat by single-cell sequencing analysis in LUAD.

In this study, firstly, we validated the clinical significance and prognostic value of *GJB2* through the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). Then we obtained the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) associated with *GJB2* by taking intersection with Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) dataset and performed a multi-omics analysis and functional analysis on them. Finally, the variation in cellular communication caused by DEGs was explored through a GEO single-cell dataset.

## Materials and methods

### Data source

The RNA-seq data for 598 samples consisting of 539 tumor and 59 normal samples with clinical information was downloaded from

TCGA (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/) and transcripts per million (TPM) normalized. GEO dataset GSE31210 contains 246 LUAD patients' expression profiles and then takes intersections with the TCGA database to obtain *GJB2*-related DEGs. The GSE171145 dataset from GEO database collected 40,799 single cells from nine samples of eight LUAD patients and was utilized for analyzing the DEGs-related functions.

# Detecting the differential expression of *GJB2*

The differential expression level of *GJB2* in pan-cancer was downloaded from the TIMER (Tumor Immune Estimation Resource) (https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer/). The *GJB2* mRNA expression from the TCGA database in different clinical conditions was analyzed and then plotted by "limma", "ggplot2", and "ggpubr" packages. The CPTAC (Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium) database was utilized to explore the protein expression of GJB2 in LUAD. The Human Protein Atlas (HPA) (https://www.proteinatlas.org/) was utilized to show the immunohistochemistry (IHC) of *GJB2* in LUAD and nonmalignant samples (11).

Comparison of *GJB2* expression in normal and tumor tissues by acquiring data from TCGA and Genotype Tissue Expression project (GTEx) (12) which catalogued gene expression from healthy individuals. To address the batch effect in different samples and data processing from different sources, we referred to the processed data from Wang Q et al. which was successfully corrected for batch effects for TCGA and GTEx (13). The relevant data could be available on Figshare (https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.5330593).

## Clinical characteristics of GJB2 expression

The heatmap and correlation analyses between *GJB2* expression and underlying clinical parameters were investigated by "limma", "ggpubr" and "ComplexHeatmap" R language packages. Nomograms and calibration curves were, respectively, drawn by "survival", "survminer", "regplot" and "rms" packages. Univariate and multivariate COX regression analyses were conducted separately, then corresponding results were visualized by forest plots.

### Identification of DEGs

All data were processed by R software. "Limma" package was utilized for identifying DEGs between the *GJB2* high-expression group and *GJB2* low-expression group in the TCGA and GEO datasets. An adjust P value < 0.05 and the absolute log2 fold change (logFC) > 1 were defined as the screening criteria for DEGs. Heatmaps and volcanic maps of DEGs were constructed and the overlapping DEGs between GSE31210 and TCGA were displayed through the VennDiagram.

### PPI network and the top 11 hub-genes

The online tool Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING, http://string-db.org) (14) was utilized to get interactive relationships of the overlapping DEGs. A Confidence score  $\geq 0.4$  was considered significant. The results of the analysis were imported into Cytoscape 3.9.1 (http://www.cytoscape.org/) (15) to establish and visualize a PPI (Protein-protein interaction) network model. Subsequently, the plug-in app cytoHubba (16) was used to select the top 11 hub-genes from the PPI network according to the node degree.

#### Functional analysis of GJB2-related genes

Gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses were performed based on the *GJB2*-related DEGs by "clusterProfiler" package of R language, and relevant result visualization was conducted by "enrichplot" package of R software.

### GeneMANIA

GeneMANIA (http://genemania.org/) is an easy to use webportal for studying protein-protein interactions according to gene functions (17). The GJB2 protein association network was constructed from the GeneMANIA tool based on physical interactions, co-expression, predicted, co-localization, pathway, and genetic interactions.

### Gene set enrichment analysis

To further investigate the potential functional effects of *GJB2*, we divided the LUAD individuals from the TCGA database into two groups according to the median expression level of *GJB2* and conducted GSEA software version 4.2.2 (www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp) (18) to explore whether genes that were differentially regulated between the two groups were enriched in cancer-related biological pathways. The annotated gene sets, c2.cp.kegg. v2022.1.Hs.symbols.gmt and h.all.v2022.1.Hs.symbols.gmt, were selected as the reference gene set. FDR (q value) < 0.05 and P value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Finally, the log fold change (FC) values calculated by the software were imported into the R software and visualization analysis of the data was conducted by "clusterProfiler", "enrichplot" packages.

# Correlation between *GJB2* and tumor immune infiltrating cells

To clarify the underlying immunomodulatory mechanism of GJB2, we evaluated the correlation between GJB2 and multiple immune infiltrating cells and immune checkpoints in TCGA-LUAD samples, which were calculated by the CIBERSORT

algorithm and then visualized using R language packages. In addition, the relationship between *GJB2* copy number variation (CNV) and various immune infiltrates was explored by somatic copy number alteration (SCNA) mode from the TIMER database.

#### The sc-RNA seq data analysis

Using the Seurat package in R software, Seurat objects were created for each sample with the cell-by-gene count matrix (min.cells =3, min.features =250). Then we reserved the cells of nFeature\_RNA>50 and mitochondrial gene percentage<10%. After normalization, the top 1500 genes, selected as the top variable features, were used as principal component analysis (PCA) by using the FindVariableFeatures of the Seurat package. Significant principal components (PCs) were identified using the pcaJackStraw function. Then, the top 15 PCs were utilized to the "TSNE (t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding)" dimensionality reduction. The resolution was set =0.5 and the cells were clustered by the FindClusters function. Finally, the specific cluster's marker genes were identified by FindAllMarkers function. Cellular clusters were annotated using the Cell-Marker 2.0 dataset (19) to identify the cell types to which multiple clusters belong.

#### Intercellular communication analysis

Cell-cell communication was determined using the CellChat R package that contains ligand-receptor interaction databases for human and mouse which can describe the cell-cell chat networks through sc-RNA data to compute intercellular communication within the identified cell subtypes (20). Then, the relevant visualization function in this R package was utilized to show the intercellular communication networks from the target cell cluster to different cell clusters.

### Statistical analysis

Kaplan-Meier Plotters and corresponding log-rank tests were used to analyze the survival status. The correlation of *GJB2* with various immune cell infiltration was calculated by Spearman's test. A positive correlation was defined as an R-value >0.1, while a negative regulatory relationship was defined as an R-value <-0.1. P value <0.05 was thought statistically significant (\*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001).

## Results

# Multi-perspective analysis of *GJB2* expression

First of all, we evaluated *GJB2* expression in different types of tumors by the TIMER database and concluded that the high expression of *GJB2* was associated with a variety of cancers, and LUAD is one of the affected tumors (Figure 1A). Subsequently, by

analyzing the processed data corrected for batch effects, we found that the expression of *GJB2* in LUAD tumor tissues (483 samples in TCGA) was higher than that in nonmalignant lung tissues (313 samples in GTEx, 59 samples in TCGA) (Figure 1B). In addition, for the same individual, the expression of *GJB2* in the normal samples (pre-disease) and LUAD tissues (post-disease) was different. The *GJB2* in the tumor condition was elevated meaningfully, indicating that the content of *GJB2* was upregulated with the LUAD progressing (P < 0.001) (Figure 1C). Next, the difference in GJB2 protein expression between the two groups was explored through the CPTAC database and LUAD group showed a relatively higher-level contrast to the normal group (P = 0.004, Figure 1D).

Eventually, the IHC result was also obtained from HPA database (Figure 1E).

# The *GJB2* mRNA expression and clinical characteristics of LUAD

Patients with varying expression levels of *GJB2* showed distinct patterns of clinical characteristics. Increasing *GJB2* expression, age distribution, gender, race, T stage, N stage, M stage, and tumor TNM stage showed asymmetric distribution in the TCGA database, while patients' OS displayed a declining tendency (Figure 2A).



*GJB2* was upregulated in LUAD. (A) *GJB2* expression levels in diverse kinds of tumors. Data was extracted from the TCGA database by TIMER. (B) *GJB2* expression in LUAD tissues compared to that in nonmalignant lung tissues. (C) *GJB2* expression pre-disease and post-disease in the same patient. (D) Differential expression of GJB2 protein between LUAD and nonmalignant lung tissues in the CPTAC data resource. (E) *GJB2* immunochemistry in LUAD and normal tissue using data from HPA. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001.

Comparative analysis was also performed on different groups of these samples. Results showed that *GJB2* was most highly enriched in stage III LUAD and there was a distinct expression difference between stage I and stage II(P=0.011) and between stage I and stage III (P=0.002, Figure 2B). Also, increased in tumor N stage, the expression of *GJB2* gene tended to rise and a significant difference was observed between N0 and N1(P=0.014), between N0 and N2 (P=0.016, Figure 2C). Moreover, when the tumor progressed from T1 to T2, the *GJB2* gene expression was also elevated clearly (P=0.001, Figure 2D). Thus, the expression of *GJB2* was independent of factors such as age, gender and race, but it shows an upward trend as the disease progresses.

#### Prognostic value of GJB2 in LUAD

The up-regulated *GJB2* expression was associated with worse prognosis in LUAD, while patients with down-regulated *GJB2* expression showed a better survival both in PFS (progression-free survival) and OS (P =0.026, P<0.001, Figures 3A, B). The median OS for the individuals in the high *GJB2* expression group was 3.28

years (range: 2.74-4.09 years), and the median OS for the low *GJB2* expression group was 4.47 years (range: 4.10-8.68 years). Therefore, we thought that the expression of *GJB2* was closely associated with the progression of LUAD. And a related prognostic analysis was performed.

Univariate and multivariate Cox analyses were performed to analyze the clinical characteristics of 512 samples with complete clinical information from the TCGA-LUAD dataset. In the univariate Cox analysis, the TNM stage (HR = 1.625, P<0.001, 95% CI: 1.414-1.868) and GJB2 expression (HR = 1.149, P =0.001, 95% CI: 1.057-1.249) were significant prognostic factors for LUAD (Figure 3C). Then we conducted a multivariate Cox analysis and concluded that TNM stage (HR = 1.612, 95% CI: 1.395-1.862, P <0.001) and GJB2 expression (HR = 1.127, 95% CI: 1.037-1.225, P =0.005) were still the independent prognostic factors for LUAD (Figure 3D). Subsequently, a prognostic nomogram including clinical characteristics parameters and GJB2 expression was constructed to predict 1-, 3-, and 5-year overall survival according to the stepwise COX regression model (Figure 3E). The C-index of this prognostic nomogram was 0.685. The ROC curves of the model was depicted and the AUC values were 0.736, 0.722



Frontiers in Oncology

and 0.692 at 1, 3 and 5 years, respectively. Calibration plots showed that the nomogram performed a good fit for predicting OS for LUAD patients (Figure 3F).

# Screening of the *GJB2*-related DEGs and construction of PPI network

We first screened out *GJB2*-related DEGs in the TCGA-LUAD database with the filtering criteria of  $|log_2FC| > 1$  and adj. p-value < 0.05. As a result, 1009 DEGs were extracted from the TCGA-LUAD dataset (Figure 4A). The top 30 genes with positive or negative

correlation with *GJB2* were displayed in the heatmap (Figure 4B). To further obtain accurate *GJB2*-associated differential genes, gene expression microarrays regarding LUAD were searched in the GEO database. And the expression profile chip data GSE31210 were found which contained 246 LUAD samples. Volcanic map and heatmap showing representative DEGs associated with *GJB2* in Figures 4C, D. The results of DEGs from the TCGA and GEO databases are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. The Venn package was utilized to identify the intersecting DEGs from both databases, and generate the Venn map (Figure 4E). Eventually, 139 *GJB2*-related DEGs with high reliability were obtained which were designated for subsequent analyses. Figure 4G illustrates the



#### FIGURE 3

Prognostic value of *GJB2* in LUAD. (A) Progression-free survival curve based on TCGA-LUAD. (B) Overall survival curve based on TCGA-LUAD. (C) Univariate Cox regression analysis of prognosis-related risk factors. (D) Multivariate Cox regression analysis of prognosis-related risk factors. (E) A nomogram for predicting survival of LUAD. (F) A calibration curve and ROC curve for the nomogram model.



#### FIGURE 4

(A) Volcanic map of 1009 DEGs in TCGA and related representative genes. Red: up-regulation; green: downregulation; black: normally expressed mRNAs. (B) Heatmap of representative top 60 genes based on high and low *GJB2* expression group in TCGA. (C) Volcanic map of 670 DEGs in GSE31210 dataset and related representative genes. (D) Heatmap of representative top 60 genes based on high and low *GJB2* expression group in GSE31210 dataset. (E) Volcanic map of compared by the intersecting DEGs from GEO and TCGA. (F) Top 11 genes with high node degree in cytoscape.
(G) Visualized PPI analysis of DEGs based on cytoscape.

protein-protein interaction (PPI) network among 139 genes, where the orange pattern in the outer circle represents a positive correlation with *GJB2* expression, in contrast to the green pattern in the inner circle, which represents a negative regulatory relationship. And the top 11 hub-genes from the PPI network were selected with high node degree with the help of the plug-in app cytoHubba in Cytoscape software (Figure 4F).

## Functional analysis of GJB2-related DEGs

To predict the potential biological function and corresponding pathways of these significant DEGs, Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses were performed. With the filtering criteria of p.adjust < 0.05 and q value < 0.05, GJB2-related DEGs were mainly enriched in 61 biological processes (GO-BP), 17 cell components (GO-CC), 35 molecular functions (GO-MF) and 3 KEGG (Supplementary Table 2). Meanwhile, we combined the results from GeneMANIA, which was more helpful to understand protein-protein interactions and GJB2 gene family functions (Figure 5C). The results of GO term enrichment analysis varied from GO classification. As for the biological processes, we discovered that the GJB2-associated genes were mainly enriched in extracellular matrix organization, extracellular structure organization, and external encapsulating structure organization (Figures 5A, B). Additionally, GJB2 was enriched in the collagen-containing extracellular matrix, collagen trimer, and connexin complex (Figure 5B). Also, we found that GJB2 was associated with the molecular functions of extracellular matrix structural constituents and extracellular matrix structural constituents conferring tensile strength (Figure 5B). KEGG pathway analysis also revealed the functional enrichment in ECM-receptor interaction, focal adhesion, and IL-17 signaling pathway (Figure 5D).

### Gene set enrichment analysis

Based on the target sets c2.cp.kegg.v2022.1, a total of 98 gene sets were found with the screening criteria, both p value and q value < 0.05. Among them, several important LUADrelated pathways could be observed significantly enriched, including pathways in cancer (P<0.001), nod-like receptor signaling pathway (P<0.001), MAPK signaling pathway (P<0.001), apoptosis (P<0.001), P53 signaling pathway (P<0.001), JAK-STAT signaling pathway (P<0.001), TGF-Beta signaling pathway (P<0.001), WNT signaling pathway (P=0.003), non-small cell lung cancer pathway (P=0.023) (Figure 6A). Detailed enrichment analysis information was displayed in Supplementary Table 3. Furthermore, through the association network diagram composed by the enriched functional pathways, we found that some genes can be involved in multiple signaling pathways (Figure 6C).

Based on the target set hallmark, a total of 45 gene sets were found with the screening criteria, both p value and q value <0.05, including gene sets associated with apoptosis, epithelial mesenchymal transition, G2M checkpoint, IL2-STAT5 signaling, IL6-JAK-STAT3 signaling, KRAS signaling up, m-TORC1 signaling, MYC targets V1, NOTCH signaling, P53 pathway, P13K-AKT-mTOR signaling, TNFA signaling *via* NFKB (Figure 6B). Detailed enrichment analysis results are shown in Supplementary Table 3. And the ridge plot showed the distribution and overlap of core genes of the first 25 enriched gene sets in the GSEA analysis (Supplementary Figure S1).

# Correlation analyses of *GJB2* with immune infiltration and immune checkpoints

We also attempted to investigate whether GJB2 was associated with immune infiltration and immune checkpoint expression. Firstly, by the ESTIMATE analysis, we found there was a distinct immune-score difference between GJB2 high-expression group and GJB2 low-expression group (Figure 7A). To further explore the GIB2-associated immune infiltration level, we elucidated the correlation between GJB2 and different immune infiltrates (Figure 7B). Specifically, the high GJB2 expression correlated with a unique infiltrating degree of the immune cell populations. For example, M0 macrophages, cluster of differentiation (CD)4 memory-activated T cells, activated mast cells, and M1 macrophages were positively correlated with GIB2 (R>0.1, P<0.05) while resting mast cells, activated NK cells, naive B cells, and monocytes were negatively correlated with GJB2 (R<-0.1, P<0.05) (Figures 7C, D). In addition, we compared the immune cell infiltration levels in LUAD with different somatic copy number alterations in GJB2. Copy number in arm-level gain spanning the GJB2 gene locus was associated with decreased immune cell infiltration in CD4+ T cells or macrophages. And copy number deep deletion in GJB2 was also associated with decreased immune infiltrates in macrophages (Figure 7E). Finally, the results of correlation analysis of immune checkpoints from the TIMER database indicated that GJB2 was positively correlated with TNFSF4, CD276, TNFRSF9, PDCD1LG2, CD274, and HAVCR2 (R>0.25 P<0.01; Figure 7F). In conclusion, our research results revealed the crucial significance of GJB2 on immune infiltration levels and correlations between immune checkpoints in LUAD.

# Cellular composition in single cell RNA-seq dataset

By the *GJB2*-related DEGs functional analysis, we identified the important role of *GJB2* in the extracellular matrix (ECM) activity and ECM–receptor interaction. Analysis of the tumor microenvironment also suggested an intrinsic rich infiltration of immune cells. Because of the unique role of the *GJB2* itself, we attempted to explain these biological processes from the perspective of intercellular communication and elucidate what kind of role the ion channel gene *GJB2* plays in this process. Therefore, a scRNA-seq data analysis was performed. After searching for the GEO database, GSE171145 which collected 40,799 single cells from nine samples of eight LUAD patients caught our attention. Finally, single-cell data for 11,759 cells of nine LUAD samples were included after quality filtering (Supplementary



Figure 2). Unsupervised clustering analysis and the TSNE method were (Figure 8B). We then furperformed for visualizing nineteen cell clusters according to the most expression for the mean F

important differentially expressed genes in different cell clusters (Figure 8A). Detailed information of the marker genes for each cluster is shown in Supplementary Figures 3A, B. Finally, they were annotated with seven common major cell types, including T cells, B cells, macrophages, epithelial cells, neotrophils, fibroblasts, and endothelial cells in nine samples from eight LUAD patients (Figure 8B). We then fully evaluated the significantly different expression for the mean RNA expression of *GJB2*-related DEGs in the LUAD samples by using the AverageExpression function of Seurat. And the mean RNA expression of the hub-genes in cytoscape networks was shown by violin plot and scatter plot with significantly different percentages of major cell types. But due to sample reasons, the expression of MMP3 and SPRR1A in the hub-genes was not detected in the dataset (Supplementary Figure 4).



# Global comparative analysis of intercellular communication in LUAD

In order to study the interactions of multiple kinds of cells in the LUAD TME, the strength and number of interactions between ligandreceptor pairs among 7 cell types in our dataset were investigated by cellphoneDB. Extensive and active intercellular information exchange can be observed. The number of different types of intercellular interactions is shown in Figure 8C. The global interaction network in the selected sc-RNA seq dataset among the 7 cell types is depicted in Figure 8D, where the thicker line implicates more interactions with other cell types. It was also observed that macrophages, epithelial cells, fibroblast, and endothelial cells were more active in intercellular interactions among clusters. Furthermore, the bubble map in Figure 8E indicated the community probability of all the ligand-receptor pairs that exert an important role during interactions between different cell types in LUAD TME.



#### FIGURE 7

(A) Immune-score difference between *GJB2* high-expression group and low-expression group. (B) Changes of 22 immune cell subtypes between high and low *GJB2* expression groups in LUAD tumor samples. (C, D) Correlation between *GJB2* and immune infiltrating cells in LUAD. (E) *GJB2* CNV affects the infiltrating levels of B cells, CD4+ T cells, macrophages, and neotrophils in LUAD. (F) Correlations between *GJB2* and various immune checkpoints. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001.

# Intercellular communication influenced by hub-genes

By comparing the TCGA database with the GEO database, we obtained the hub-genes that were influenced by *GJB2* and detected

their expression in single-cell datasets. Among many ligand-receptor pairs mediating the exchange of information between cells, our research found that the hub-genes could be involved in cellular ligand-receptor pair information communication mediated by the SPP1 signaling pathway (Figure 9A). In the SPP1 signaling pathway



network, macrophage act as senders, and fibroblasts, epithelial cells, endothelial cells, B cells, T cells, and macrophage act as receivers thus enabling intercellular communication (Figure 9B). And in this cell-chat communication, the ligand SPP1 interacts between cells through five kinds of receptors on different types of cell membranes (Figure 9C). Among them, the SPP1-CD44 axis plays an important

role in this signaling pathway and other interacting ligand-receptor pairs include SPP1- $\alpha\nu\beta1$  integrin, SPP1- $\alpha\nu\beta5$  integrin (Figure 9D). Figure 9E also indicated the intercellular communication probability, which means that a higher frequency of information exchange between macrophages and fibroblasts is often achieved through the SPP1 signaling pathway. And the expression of ligand-receptor genes



associated with this signaling pathway in different cell types is shown in Supplementary Figure 4.

# SPP1 signaling pathway in the *GJB2* differentially expressed groups

By analyzing the GSE171145 dataset, we obtained the expression of GJB2 in each sample, and then selected three samples (GSM5219675, GSM5219680, GSM5219682) with high expression of GJB2 as the high expression group and three samples (GSM5219678, GSM5219679, GSM5219682) with low expression as the low expression group (Figure 10A). Using the same method, the cells in the two groups were clustered into several cell types. The cells in the high expression group were divided into the same 7 types, but the cells in the low expression group could only be divided into 6 types due to the low distribution of endothelial cells (Figures 10B, E). The expression distribution of *GJB2* and related hub-genes are shown in Supplementary Figure 5. Most of these genes are expressed in cancer-associated fibroblasts, and SPP1 is mainly expressed in macrophages. Upregulation of these genes mainly reinforces the cell-communication between macrophages and fibroblasts. Figures 10C, F shows the SPP1 signaling pathway in the two groups, respectively. And Figures 10D, G detailly illustrates the communication probability between different cells in this signaling pathway, respectively. From this we can conclude that the high



#### FIGURE 10

(A) GJB2 expression in each sample in the single-cell dataset. (B) TSNE plots of GJB2-high expression scRNA-seq data. (C) SPP1 signaling pathway network in high expression group. (D) The intercellular communication probability between different cell types in the SPP1 signaling network in high expression group. (E) TSNE plots of GJB2-low expression scRNA-seq data. (F) SPP1 signaling pathway network in low expression group. (G) The intercellular communication probability between different cell types in the SPP1 signaling network in low expression group. (G) The intercellular communication probability between different cell types in the SPP1 signaling network in low expression group.

expression group has a stronger communication probability than the low expression group in this signaling pathway.

## Discussion

Based on our bioinformatics analyses, we found that GJB2 expression level was remarkably elevated in LUAD tissues, both in mRNA and protein expression level. And the high expression in post-disease compared to pre-disease indicated that GJB2 was significantly associated with the onset and development of LUAD. Subsequently, the correlation of GJB2 with clinical characteristics in the TCGA-LUAD database implied that GJB2 expression was positively related to tumor size, node metastasis condition, and clinical stage. Multivariate Cox analysis revealed that the expression of GJB2 was an independent prognosis factor for LUAD. In summary, supplemented by the Kaplan-Meier Plotter results, our analysis demonstrated that GJB2 could act as a prognostic biomarker and exert a role in prospective prediction for LUAD. However, further studies should be performed to elucidate the possible biological functions of GJB2 in LUAD.

By analyzing the expression of GJB2-associated genes in the TCGA database and GEO database, we obtained the GJB2associated DEGs. GO functional analyses of these DEGs showed that ion channel gene GJB2 could exert a vital role in migrationrelated biofunctions like extracellular matrix organization, cell -matrix adhesion, cell-substrate junction, focal adhesion, collagen trimer, integrin binding, and so on. On the one hand, collagen and fibronectin are significant ingredients of the ECM needed to support cell motility. On the other hand, a related study showed connexin expression had also been related to elevated migration and invasiveness of cancer cells (21). Moreover, in our study, increasing the GJB2 expression level, the lymph node stage gradually deteriorated (Figure 2C), which was consistent with the function of GJB2. Most of the current research, including several cancers, such as skin (22), prostate (23), and colorectal carcinoma (8), suggested the function of Cx26 or Cx43 being linked to enhancing the motility and aggressiveness of cancer cells. Polusani, S. R et. also explored the underlying mechanism of gap junction proteins in metastasis or other tumor progressions in Hela cells (24).

GSEA and KEGG functional analyses suggested high GJB2 expression was closely correlated with several cancer-related signaling pathways. For instance, the dysregulation of apoptosis signaling pathways predicts the occurrence and progression of malignancies, which also contributes to therapeutic drug resistance and immune escape (25). The JAK/STAT signaling pathway could be activated by a variety of cytokines (26) and the high expression of JAK2 modules could result in the proliferation, invasion, and migration of LUAD cells (27). Nod-like receptor (NLR) signaling pathway plays an important role in regulating cytokine production, aberrant activation of NLRs could be observed in diverse malignancies, leading to the tissue microenvironment imbalance and elevating the neoplastic risk (28). In addition, by analyzing the correlation network plot of enriched genes with their functional/pathway gene sets, we found that some genes can be involved in multiple LUAD-related regulation pathways in GJB2 high expression group, such as FGF family, PDGFB, PDGFRB, and so on. FGF family, namely, the fibroblast growth factor family, receiving signals from their receptors, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, or FGFR4, could take part in cellular bioprocesses, related metabolism and exert the role of signaling cascades in angiogenesis and immune evasion associated with oncology (29). Disordered FGF signals result in human diseases, such as breast cancer (30), colorectal cancer (31), and so on. In terms of the interaction between FGF and connexins, Kurt A. Schalpe et al. reported that FGF-1 transiently increased the membrane permeability through hemichannels composed of different connexins in Hela cells (32).

Based on the results of the ESTIMATE analysis, we discovered that high GJB2 expression correlated with higher Immune Score and ESTIMATE Score, compared with the low expression group, which may demonstrate that GJB2 could induce specific immune cell population infiltration. Through further analyses, we found that the expressions of macrophages M0 and M1 were important components of immune cell enrichment. Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), as the major infiltrating leukocytes of TME, exert a crucial role in the connection between inflammation and cancer (33). TAMs can kill tumor cells, promote tumor growth and angiogenesis, reshape tissue. Among them, M1 macrophages are thought to be tumorkilling macrophages, mainly exerting the role of anti-tumor and promoting-immune (34). Although an increased infiltration of M1 macrophages in the high GJB2 expressing group was observed, this is also a malignant feature of some tumors (35). Combing with the results of GJB2 functional analysis, we found that GJB2 exerted an important role in extracellular matrix remodeling. At the same time, macrophage polarization is also associated with the component changes in the TME, which includes conditions such as low pH, hypoxia, and ECM reshaping (36). Thus, the difference in macrophage between the two groups may correlate with the changes in extracellular matrix properties caused by GIB2 expression. In addition, based on our bioinformatic analyses, the expression of GJB2 always accompanies with some elevated expression of immune checkpoints, such as TNFSF4, CD276, TNFRSF9, PDCD1LG2, CD274, and HAVCR2. These modules, as immune checkpoint molecules, always played a costimulatory or coinhibitory dual role in the immune immunoregulatory system (37-39).

By the above analysis of bulk RNA-seq, we preliminarily demonstrate an important role for the ion channel gene GJB2 in extracellular matrix remodeling and upregulation of cancer-related signaling pathways. Subsequently, we explored whether ion channel gene GJB2 played a role in intercellular communication exchange at the single-cell level. After single-cell sample quality control and Cellchat packages related analysis, we concluded that GJB2 related hubgenes were involved in intercellular communication by influencing the SPP1 signaling pathway. SPP1, namely secreted phosphoprotein 1, was also known as osteopontin, which is a secreted chemokine-like glycophosphoprotein (40). SPP1 is a significant component of the extracellular matrix, secreted by many kinds of cell types including osteoclasts, fibroblasts, immune cells, and tumor cells (41). The interaction between SPP1 and CD44 played an important role in this signaling pathway. In this dataset, SPP1 is mainly expressed in macrophages and CD44 could be expressed in macrophages, fibroblasts, epithelial cells and T-cells. In the lung cancer study of

Jane Zhou et al. they proved that exogenous activated SPP1 fragments can promote the migration and invasion of CL1-5 cells in vitro, and CD44 and  $\alpha v\beta 3$  integrin were important effectors in this process. The addition of separate 0xb3 integrin and CD44-specific antibodies greatly limited the migration and invasion ability of tumor cells (42). In colorectal cancer, related experiments also proved that the interaction between tumor-associated macrophages and CD44positive cancer cells via SPP1-CD44 is important for colorectal cancer progression (43). Certainly, the altered intercellular communication induced by the upregulation of GJB2 in tumor tissues may be reflected not only in the cell-chat between macrophage-epithelial cells through the reinforced SPP1-CD44 axis, thus promoting tumor progression, but also in the altered function of fibroblasts induced by the upregulation of GJB2associated hub-genes. A newly published single-cell analysis in colon cancer liver metastases demonstrates the presence of a tight spatial proximity and cross-talk network between SPP1+ macrophages and fibroblasts, which contributes to reduced CD8+ T-cells function and upregulation of regulatory T cells forming a suppressive immune microenvironment (44). Therefore, from the results of the changes in intercellular communication in Figure 10 and Supplementary Figure 5, it is also likely that GJB2 and related genes contribute to tumor progression by upregulating the macrophage-fibroblast cross-talk and enhancing the immunosuppressive effects of fibroblasts.

The deficiency of this study should be mentioned that it is a bioinformatics analysis based on data mining and public databases, and relevant biological experiments should be further carried out to fully demonstrate the alteration of SPP1 signaling pathway among tumor cells, macrophages, and fibroblasts caused by the upregulation of *GJB2* in tumor tissues.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, based on our study, we confirmed that GJB2 was up-regulated in LUAD, and its expression level was associated with clinical parameters and prognosis status of LUAD individuals. Additionally, bulk RNA-seq analysis elucidated that the expression of GJB2 could associate with the status of immune cell infiltration and the expression of some types of immune checkpoints. Relevant functional analyses revealed a role for GJB2in extracellular matrix remodeling and activation of cancer-related signaling pathways. Eventually, through the single-cell sequenced data, we concluded that GJB2 related hub-genes were involved in intercellular communication by influencing the SPP1 signaling pathway. One mechanism by which GJB2 exerts its cancerspecific relevant effects could be traced back to the changes in this pathway. A promising strategy for LUAD research was provided.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## Author contributions

ZZ conceived the study, obtained funding. LZ designed study strategy. ZX acquired the data and drafted the manuscript. XW critically revised the manuscript. ZL performed statistical analysis and technical support. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number: 82273119).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1146976/ full#supplementary-material

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Supplementary The distribution and overlap of core genes of the first 25 enriched gene sets.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Quality control of single cells in LUAD samples. (A) Relationship between the percentage of mitochondrial genes and mRNA reads or relationship between the number of mRNA and mRNA reads. (B) Scatterplot of the top 1500 highly variable genes. (C) Violin-plot before quality control illustrating the number of genes and percentage of mitochondrial genes in each cell type from nine samples. (D) Principal component analysis of each sample. (E) Significant principal components were identified using the jackStraw function. (F) Heat map of each principal component feature gene.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

A Heat map of the most important differentially expressed genes in different cell clusters. B. Marker genes for each cell type.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4

Hub-genes expression in single-cell datasets. (A) Violin plots of hub-genes in single-cell datasets. (B) Expression of related genes in the SPP1 signaling pathway in different cell types.

## References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Zheng R, Zhang S, Zeng H, Wang S, Sun K, Chen R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality in China, 2016. J Natl Cancer Center (2022) 2:1-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jncc.2022.02.002

3. Oberndorfer F, Mullauer L. Molecular pathology of lung cancer: current status and perspectives. *Curr Opin Oncol* (2018) 30:69-76. doi: 10.1097/CCO.000000000000429

4. Youlden DR, Cramb SM, Baade PD. The international epidemiology of lung cancer: geographical distribution and secular trends. *J Thorac Oncol* (2008) 3:819–31. doi: 10.1097/[TO.0b013e31818020eb

5. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2021. CA Cancer J Clin (2021) 71:7–33. doi: 10.3322/caac.21654

6. Evans WH. Cell communication across gap junctions: a historical perspective and current developments. *Biochem Soc Trans* (2015) 43:450–9. doi: 10.1042/BST20150056

7. Lu A, Shi Y, Liu Y, Lin J, Zhang H, Guo Y, et al. Integrative analyses identified ion channel genes GJB2 and SCNN1B as prognostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets for lung adenocarcinoma. *Lung Cancer* (2021) 158:29–39. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2021.06.001

8. Ezumi K, Yamamoto H, Murata K, Higashiyama M, Damdinsuren B, Nakamura Y, et al. Aberrant expression of connexin 26 is associated with lung metastasis of colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2008) 14:677–84. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-1184

9. Inose T, Kato H, Kimura H, Faried A, Tanaka N, Sakai M, et al. Correlation between connexin 26 expression and poor prognosis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2009) 16:1704–10. doi: 10.1245/s10434-009-0443-3

10. Liu Y, Pandey PR, Sharma S, Xing F, Wu K, Chittiboyina A, et al. ID2 and GJB2 promote early-stage breast cancer progression by regulating cancer stemness. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2019) 175:77–90. doi: 10.1007/s10549-018-05126-3

11. Uhlen M, Zhang C, Lee S, Sjostedt E, Fagerberg L, Bidkhori G, et al. A pathology atlas of the human cancer transcriptome. *Science* (2017) 357(6352):eaan2507. doi: 10.1126/science.aan2507

12. Consortium GT. The genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) project. Nat Genet (2013) 45:580-5. doi: 10.1038/ng.2653

13. Wang Q, Armenia J, Zhang C, Penson AV, Reznik E, Zhang L, et al. Unifying cancer and normal RNA sequencing data from different sources. *Sci Data* (2018) 5:180061. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.61

14. Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, Forslund K, Heller D, Huerta-Cepas J, et al. STRING v10: protein-protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2015) 43:D447–52. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1003

15. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* (2003) 13:2498–504. doi: 10.1101/gr.1239303

16. Chin CH, Chen SH, Wu HH, Ho CW, Ko MT, Lin CY. cytoHubba: identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. *BMC Syst Biol* (2014) 8 (Suppl 4):S11. doi: 10.1186/1752-0509-8-S4-S11

17. Warde-Farley D, Donaldson SL, Comes O, Zuberi K, Badrawi R, Chao P, et al. The GeneMANIA prediction server: biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2010) 38:W214–20. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq537

18. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2005) 102:15545–50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506580102

19. Hu C, Li T, Xu Y, Zhang X, Li F, Bai J, et al. CellMarker 2.0: an updated database of manually curated cell markers in human/mouse and web tools based on scRNA-seq data. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2023) 51:D870–D6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac947

20. Jin S, Guerrero-Juarez CF, Zhang L, Chang I, Ramos R, Kuan CH, et al. Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12:1088. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21246-9

21. Defamie N, Chepied A, Mesnil M. Connexins, gap junctions and tissue invasion. *FEBS Lett* (2014) 588:1331–8. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2014.01.012

22. Kamibayashi Y, Oyamada Y, Mori M, Oyamada M. Aberrant expression of gap junction proteins (connexins) is associated with tumor progression during multistage mouse skin carcinogenesis in vivo. *Carcinogenesis* (1995) 16:1287–97. doi: 10.1093/ carcin/16.6.1287

23. Tate AW, Lung T, Radhakrishnan A, Lim SD, Lin X, Edlund M. Changes in gap junctional connexin isoforms during prostate cancer progression. *Prostate* (2006) 66:19–31. doi: 10.1002/pros.20317

24. Polusani SR, Kalmykov EA, Chandrasekhar A, Zucker SN, Nicholson BJ. Cell coupling mediated by connexin 26 selectively contributes to reduced adhesivity and increased migration. *J Cell Sci* (2016) 129:4399-410. doi: 10.1242/jcs.185017

25. Hassan M, Watari H, AbuAlmaaty A, Ohba Y, Sakuragi N. Apoptosis and molecular targeting therapy in cancer. *BioMed Res Int* (2014) 2014:150845. doi: 10.1155/2014/150845

26. O'Shea JJ, Holland SM, Staudt LM. JAKs and STATs in immunity, immunodeficiency, and cancer. *N Engl J Med* (2013) 368:161–70. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMra1202117

27. Xu Y, Jin J, Xu J, Shao YW, Fan Y. JAK2 variations and functions in lung adenocarcinoma. *Tumour Biol* (2017) 39:1010428317711140. doi: 10.1177/1010428317711140

28. Liu P, Lu Z, Liu L, Li R, Liang Z, Shen M, et al. NOD-like receptor signaling in inflammation-associated cancers: from functions to targeted therapies. *Phytomedicine* (2019) 64:152925. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2019.152925

29. Katoh M. Therapeutics targeting FGF signaling network in human diseases. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* (2016) 37:1081–96. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2016.10.003

30. Holdman XB, Welte T, Rajapakshe K, Pond A, Coarfa C, Mo Q, et al. Upregulation of EGFR signaling is correlated with tumor stroma remodeling and tumor recurrence in FGFR1-driven breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* (2015) 17:141. doi: 10.1186/s13058-015-0649-1

31. Sonvilla G, Allerstorfer S, Stattner S, et al. FGF18 in colorectal tumour cells: autocrine and paracrine effects. *Carcinogenesis* (2008) 29:15–24. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgm202

32. Schalper KA, Palacios-Prado N, Retamal MA, Shoji KF, Martinez AD, Saez JC. Connexin hemichannel composition determines the FGF-1-induced membrane permeability and free [Ca2+]i responses. *Mol Biol Cell* (2008) 19:3501-13. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e07-12-1240

33. Belgiovine C, D'Incalci M, Allavena P, Frapolli R. Tumor-associated macrophages and anti-tumor therapies: complex links. *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2016) 73:2411–24. doi: 10.1007/s00018-016-2166-5

34. Yunna C, Mengru H, Lei W, Weidong C. Macrophage M1/M2 polarization. *Eur J Pharmacol* (2020) 877:173090. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173090

35. Feng Z, Qu J, Liu X, Liang J, Li Y, Jiang J, et al. Integrated bioinformatics analysis of differentially expressed genes and immune cell infiltration characteristics in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Sci Rep* (2021) 11:16696. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-96274-y

36. Smirnova T, Bonapace L, MacDonald G, Kondo S, Wyckoff J, Ebersbach H, et al. Serpin E2 promotes breast cancer metastasis by remodeling the tumor matrix and polarizing tumor associated macrophages. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7:82289–304. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.12927

37. Zhou WT, Jin WL. B7-H3/CD276: an emerging cancer immunotherapy. Front Immunol (2021) 12:701006. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.701006

38. Das M, Zhu C, Kuchroo VK. Tim-3 and its role in regulating anti-tumor immunity. *Immunol Rev* (2017) 276:97–111. doi: 10.1111/imr.12520

39. Bolandi N, Derakhshani A, Hemmat N, Baghbanzadeh A, Asadzadeh Z, Afrashteh Nour M, et al. The positive and negative immunoregulatory role of B7 family: promising novel targets in gastric cancer treatment. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22 (19):10719. doi: 10.3390/ijms221910719

40. Thalmann GN, Sikes RA, Devoll RE, Kiefer JA, Markwalder R, Klima I, et al. Osteopontin: possible role in prostate cancer progression. *Clin Cancer Res* (1999) 5:2271–7.

41. Pang X, Gong K, Zhang X, Wu S, Cui Y, Qian BZ, et al. Osteopontin as a multifaceted driver of bone metastasis and drug resistance. *Pharmacol Res* (2019) 144:235-44. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2019.04.030

42. Chiou J, Chang YC, Tsai HF, Lin YF, Huang MS, Yang CJ, et al. Follistatinlike protein 1 inhibits lung cancer metastasis by preventing proteolytic activation of osteopontin. *Cancer Res* (2019) 79:6113–25. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-0842

43. Rao G, Wang H, Li B, Huang L, Xue D, Wang X, et al. Reciprocal interactions between tumor-associated macrophages and CD44-positive cancer cells *via* osteopontin/CD44 promote tumorigenicity in colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2013) 19:785–97. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2788

44. Sathe A, Mason K, Grimes SM, Zhou Z, Lau BT, Bai X, et al. Colorectal cancer metastases in the liver establish immunosuppressive spatial networking between tumor-associated SPP1+ macrophages and fibroblasts. *Clin Cancer Res* (2023) 29:244–60. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-22-2041