Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Katherine Samaras, St Vincent's Hospital Sydney, Australia

REVIEWED BY

Petros Christopoulos, Heidelberg University Hospital, Germany Reinhold Munker, University of Kentucky, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Giuseppe L. Banna giuseppe.banna@nhs.net

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share last authorship

RECEIVED 11 February 2023 ACCEPTED 15 May 2023 PUBLISHED 31 May 2023

CITATION

Anpalakhan S, Huddar P, Behrouzi R, Signori A, Cave J, Comins C, Cortellini A, Addeo A, Escriu C, McKenzie H, Barone G, Murray L, Pinato DJ, Ottensmeier C, Campos S, Muthuramalingam S, Chan S, Gomes F and Banna GL (2023) Immunotherapy-related adverse events in real-world patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer on chemoimmunotherapy: a Spinnaker study sub-analysis. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1163768. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1163768

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Anpalakhan, Huddar, Behrouzi, Signori, Cave, Comins, Cortellini, Addeo, Escriu, McKenzie, Barone, Murray, Pinato, Ottensmeier, Campos, Muthuramalingam, Chan, Gomes and Banna. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Immunotherapy-related adverse events in real-world patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer on chemoimmunotherapy: a Spinnaker study sub-analysis

Shobana Anpalakhan¹, Prerana Huddar², Roya Behrouzi², Alessio Signori³, Judith Cave⁴, Charles Comins⁵, Alessio Cortellini^{6,7}, Alfredo Addeo⁸, Carles Escriu⁹, Hayley McKenzie⁴, Gloria Barone¹⁰, Lisa Murray¹, David J. Pinato^{6,11}, Christian Ottensmeier¹², Sara Campos¹, Sethupathi Muthuramalingam¹, Samuel Chan¹, Fabio Gomes^{2†} and Giuseppe L. Banna^{1*†}

¹Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust, Portsmouth, United Kingdom, ²The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom, ³University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, ⁴University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, ⁵Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol, United Kingdom, ⁶Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, ⁷Medical Oncology, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Campus Bio-Medico, Roma, Italy, ⁸University Hospital Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland, ⁹The Clatterbridge Cancer Centre NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom, ¹⁰University Hospitals of Northamptonshire, Northampton, United Kingdom, ¹¹Division of Oncology, Department of Translational Medicine, University of Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy, ¹²The Clatterbridge Cancer Centre NHS Foundation Trust, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

Background: The Spinnaker study evaluated survival outcomes and prognostic factors in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer receiving first-line chemoimmunotherapy in the real world. This sub-analysis assessed the immunotherapy-related adverse effects (irAEs) seen in this cohort, their impact on overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS), and related clinical factors.

Methods: The Spinnaker study was a retrospective multicentre observational cohort study of patients treated with first-line pembrolizumab plus platinumbased chemotherapy in six United Kingdom and one Swiss oncology centres. Data were collected on patient characteristics, survival outcomes, frequency and severity of irAEs, and peripheral immune-inflammatory blood markers, including the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII).

Results: A total of 308 patients were included; 132 (43%) experienced any grade irAE, 100 (32%) Grade 1–2, and 49 (16%) Grade 3–4 irAEs. The median OS in patients with any grade irAES was significantly longer (17.5 months [95% CI,

13.4–21.6 months]) than those without (10.1 months [95% CI, 8.3–12.0 months]) (p<0.001), either if Grade 1–2 (p=0.003) or Grade 3–4 irAEs (p=0.042). The median PFS in patients with any grade irAEs was significantly longer (10.1 months [95% CI, 9.0–11.2 months]) than those without (6.1 months [95% CI, 5.2–7.1 months]) (p<0.001), either if Grade 1–2 (p=0.011) or Grade 3–4 irAEs (p=0.036). A higher rate of irAEs of any grade and specifically Grade 1–2 irAEs correlated with NLR <4 (p=0.013 and p=0.018), SII <1,440 (p=0.029 ad p=0.039), response to treatment (p=0.001 and p=0.034), a higher rate of treatment discontinuation (p<0.00001 and p=0.041), and the NHS-Lung prognostic classes (p=0.002 and p=0.008).

Conclusions: These results confirm survival outcome benefits in patients with irAEs and suggest a higher likelihood of Grade 1–2 irAEs in patients with lower NLR or SII values or according to the NHS-Lung score.

KEYWORDS

lung cancer, immunotherapy, immune-related adverse effects, neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio (NLR), systemic immune-inflammation index (SII), overall survival, non-small cell lung cancer, progression free survival

Background

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide, with most cases being non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (1, 2). The pharmacological management of patients with NSCLC has had major advancements as a result of the immunotherapy options now available (3–6). One such option is pembrolizumab, a programmed death-1 (PD-1) inhibitor. Its use alongside chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC regardless of programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) status has demonstrated improved survival outcomes and is now the standard of care (7).

With these immunotherapeutic options come a multitude of immunotherapy-related adverse effects (irAEs) affecting various bodily systems (3–6). However, previous analyses have reported that patients with irAEs tended to have better survival outcomes than patients without irAEs, but these were observed in trial cohorts (8). A retrospective study of patients with advanced NSCLC on immunotherapy alone also concluded that improved survival outcomes were seen among patients with irAEs. Another study of patients either on chemoimmunotherapy or immunotherapy alone at a German centre found that patients with irAEs survived longer though (9). However, data within a real-world cohort of patients who are solely on combined chemoimmunotherapy for their advanced NSCLC has yet to be presented.

The retrospective Spinnaker study assessed the efficacy of chemoimmunotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC and subsequently established the NHS-Lung score as a tool to inform prognostic information in these patients (10). This score consisted of the following factors: a high number of metastatic sites, squamous histology of the tumour, and a high systemic immuneinflammatory index (SII). The present analysis following on from the Spinnaker study aims to assess the irAEs seen in this real-world patient cohort, the frequency and severity of these irAEs, and their impact on survival outcomes, and identify related clinical factors.

Materials and methods

The Spinnaker study was a retrospective multicentre cohort study, which included patients with histologically confirmed advanced NSCLC, no actionable genetic alterations, and any PD-L1 tumour proportion score (TPS). These patients were of Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG PS) ≤ 1 . They received first-line chemotherapy alongside pembrolizumab at one of seven different centres (six in the United Kingdom and one in Switzerland) between March 2018 and April 2021 (10).

Data were collected on patient characteristics, tumour characteristics, survival outcomes, disease response, frequency and severity of irAEs, treatment discontinuation rates, and peripheral immune-inflammatory blood markers such as the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and SII. NLR was derived from the ratio of the number of neutrophils to the number of lymphocytes measured from a blood count check of a peripheral blood test taken within 14 days of the treatment start date. A high NLR was defined as ≥ 4 as

Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; CTC-AE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; irAEs, immunotherapy-related adverse effects; NHS-Lung, N = number of metastatic sites (cut-off \geq 3), H = histology (i.e., squamous), S = SII (\geq 1440); NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocytes ratio; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; PS, performance status; SII, systemic immune-inflammation index; TPS, tumour proportion score.

previously reported (11). The SII was calculated from the product of the NLR and the platelet count, with the cut-off threshold being \geq 1,440 (12). The definition of irAEs was based on the causality established by the responsible physician in each participating centre between the AE and immunotherapy. The severity of the irAEs was agreed on by clinical judgement and graded referring to the common toxicity criteria (CTC)-AE version 5. In the subgroup analysis, patients who developed an irAE that started as Grade 1–2 before progressing to Grade 3–4 were counted as a single case of irAE of any grade.

The primary endpoint of this analysis was to describe the frequency, type, and severity of irAEs observed in these patients and how these affected their survival outcomes (i.e., overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS)). Secondary endpoints included assessing for possible clinical factors influencing the likelihood of irAEs.

Clinical data were analysed by descriptive statistics using percentages for binary variables and medians for continuous variables, with their respective dispersion values reported. The chi-square test was used when comparing binary variables, and a significance value of p<0.05 was defined. The OS was calculated from the treatment start date until death or the date of the last follow-up, and the PFS was calculated from the treatment start date to disease progression or death from any cause. Patients who had not had any events at the time of the analysis were censored. OS and PFS were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and reported as medians with 95% confidence limits (95% CI) and compared using a two-sided log-rank test with an acceptable significance value of p<0.05 (13). A Spearman correlation test was performed between the irAE subgroups (i.e., any grade, Grade 1-2, and Grade 3-4) and various patients and tumour and blood marker prognostic factors. We performed an exploratory Cox regression analysis according to the irAEs. As more than one organ toxicity may occur in the same patient, we first assessed the role of single versus multiple organ irAEs, then according to the type of single organ irAEs occurring in at least 10 patients. The statistical analysis was carried out by SigmaPlot software version 12.5 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA).

This study was registered and approved as an audit by the multiple participating sites, with the coordinating centre being Portsmouth Hospital University NHS Trust (United Kingdom). Clinical data were anonymised before sharing with the coordinating centre for analysis. The audit procedures were compliant with the Data Protection Act 2018, the precepts of Good Clinical Practice guidelines with regard to the collection, storage, processing, and disclosure of personal information, and the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki for all human or animal experimental investigations.

Results

Frequency, type, and severity of irAEs

The Spinnaker study included 308 patients from seven different centres (10). The characteristics of this patient cohort are described in Table 1. The median follow-up duration was 18 months (15.0–20.1 months). There were 132 cases of irAEs of any grade (43% of

TABLE 1 Patient characteristics and outcomes.

Characteristic	No. (%) [range]
Age	
Median	65 [37-84]
≥70 years	98 (32)
Gender	
Male	171 (56)
Female	137 (44)
Smoking history	
Never	25 (8)
Former	192 (62)
Current	91 (30)
Histology	
Squamous	51 (17)
Adenocarcinoma	246 (80)
Other ^a	11 (3)
ECOG PS	
0	127 (41)
1	181 (59)
Stage	
IIIB/IVA	24 (8)
IVA	113 (37)
IVB	171 (56)
BMI ^b	
Median	24.8 [15.0-43.9]
Underweight/normal	16 (5)/146 (47)
Overweight/obese	100 (32)/46 (15)
Number of metastatic sites	
≥ 3	103 (33)
Brain metastases	31 (10)
Liver metastases	37 (12)
PD-L1 IHC Ab ^c	
22C3/SP263	145 (49)/151 (51)
Negative	165 (56)
Positive	111 (37)
High	20 (7)
N/A	12 (4)
Oncogene (EGFR/ALK/ROS1)	3 (1)
Pre-treatment steroids	33 (11)
NLR ≥4	164 (53)
SII ≥ 1,444	154 (50)
	(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Characteristic	No. (%) [range]			
Type of chemotherapy				
Cisplatin-Pemetrexed	24 (8)			
Carboplatin-Pemetrexed	240 (78)			
Carboplatin-Paclitaxel	44 (14)			
Best response ^d				
CR	2 (1)			
PR	197 (67)			
SD	52 (18)			
PD	45 (15)			
N/A	12 (2)			
GCSF given	59 (19)			
irAE				
Any grade	132 (43)			
G1-G2	100 (32)			
G3-G4	49 (16)			
Treatment discontinuation ^e	72 (23)			
Median follow up (months) [95% CI]	18.0 [15.9–20.1]			
Median OS (months) [95% CI]	12.7 [10.2–15.2]			
Median PFS (months) [95% CI]	8.0 [7.1-8.8]			

Ab, antibody; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; GCSF, granulocyte colonystimulating factor; IHC, immunohistochemistry; mo., months; NA, not assessable; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; No. Number; OS, overall survival; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand-1; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; SII, systemic immune-inflammatory index; TPS, tumour proportion score; yr, yr, ear.

^aIncluding poorly differentiated (No. 6), undifferentiated (No. 2), sarcomatoid (No. 1), adenosquamous (No. 1), and pleomorphic (No. 1) histology.

^bBMI was calculated using the formula of weight/height² (kilograms/square metres) and categorized according to the World Health Organization (WHO) categories: underweight (BMI<18.5), normal weight (18.5 \leq BMI \leq 24.9), overweight (25 \leq BMI \leq 29.9), obese (BMI \geq 30).

^cNegative, TPS >1%; positive, TPS 1-49%; high, TPS \ge 50%.

^dBy RECIST version 1.1 criteria.

^eDue to toxicity.

patients). One hundred patients (32%) developed Grade 1–2 irAEs, and 49 patients (16%) had Grade 3–4 irAEs. Table 2 describes the range of irAEs seen and their frequency. The three most common bodily systems affected by irAEs of any grade were the skin (12%), bowel (7%), and thyroid (7%). This distribution was similar for Grade 1–2 irAEs. The most frequently seen Grade 3–4 irAEs were colitis and pneumonitis (5% each) and hepatitis and skin toxicity (2% each). A total of 72 patients (23%) discontinued treatment due to toxicity.

Association of irAEs with survival outcomes

The median OS was significantly longer in all three subgroups of patients with any grade, Grade 1–2, and Grade 3–4 irAEs than in

patients without irAEs (Table 3, Figure 1). Patients with irAEs of any grade had a median OS of 17.5 months (95% CI, 13.4–21.6 months), while patients without these irAEs had a median OS of 10.1 months (95% CI, 8.3–12.0 months) (p<0.001). Patients experiencing Grade 1–2 irAEs had a significantly longer median OS of 16.6 months (95% CI, 12.6–20.6 months) compared to those without who had a median OS of 11.8 months (95% CI, 10.1–13.6 months) (p=0.003). Patients experiencing Grade 3–4 irAEs also had a significantly longer median OS of 24.0 months (95% CI, 9.0–39.1 months) compared to those without who had a median OS of 12.1 months (95% CI, 9.8–14.5 months) (p=0.042).

The median PFS was significantly longer in all three subgroups of patients with any grade, Grade 1–2, and Grade 3–4 irAEs than in patients without irAEs (Table 4, Figure 2). Patients with irAEs of any grade had a median PFS of 10.1 months (95% CI, 9.0–11.2 months), while patients without these irAEs had a median PFS of 6.1 months (95% CI, 5.2–7.1 months) (p<0.001). Patients experiencing Grade 1–2 irAEs had a significantly longer median PFS of 9.6 months (95% CI, 8.1–11.1 months) compared to those without who had a median PFS of 7.0 months (95% CI, 5.9–8.1 months) (p=0.011). Patients experiencing Grade 3–4 irAEs also had a significantly longer median PFS of 10.5 months (95% CI, 7.2–13.7 months) compared to those without who had a median PFS of 7.5 months (95% CI, 6.4–8.5 months) (p=0.036).

Correlation of irAE with clinical prognostic factors

In the groups of patients with irAEs of any grade and those specifically with irAEs of Grade 1–2, a higher frequency of irAEs occurred in patients with NLR <4 (p=0.013 and p=0.018, respectively), SII <1,440 (p=0.029 and p=0.039, respectively), lower NHS-Lung score (p=0.002 and p=0.008, respectively), better disease response (p=0.001 and p=0.034, respectively) and if their treatment had been discontinued (p<0.00001 and p=0.041, respectively). In patients with Grade 3–4 irAEs, however, a higher frequency of irAEs was observed only in patients with better disease response (p=0.039) and if treatment had been discontinued (p=0.0001). There were no associations detected between the occurrence of irAEs and gender, pre-treatment PS, or PDL1 TPS.

Association of irAEs type with survival outcomes

Both single and multiple organ irAEs were significantly associated with longer OS (p<0.001 and p=0.032, respectively), whereas only single-organ irAEs were significantly associated with longer PFS (p=0.002 and p=0.056). Within the limits of a non-landmark analysis, among single-organ irAEs, thyroid irAEs were significantly associated with both longer OS and PFS (p=0.009 and p=0.032), whereas skin irAEs were associated with longer OS (p=0.032) but not PFS (p=0.066) (Supplementary Table S3, S4).

TABLE 2 Immunotherapy-related adverse effects.

irAE, No. (%)	Any grade No (%)	G1–G2 No (%)	G3–G4 No (%)
Any irAE	132 (43)	100 (32)	49 (16)
Skin	44 (14)	37 (12)	7 (2)
Colitis ^a	37 (12)	21 (7)	16 (5)
Thyroid	22 (7)	21 (7)	1 (0)
Pneumonitis	25 (8)	11 (4)	14 (5)
Liver ^b	16 (5)	9 (3)	7 (2)
Nephritis ^b	9 (3)	7 (2)	2 (1)
Hypophysitis	7 (2)	5 (2)	2 (1)
Arthritis	4 (1)	3 (1)	1 (0)
Adrenal	2 (1)	1 (0)	1 (0)
Myasthenia	1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)

^aOnly one toxic death in the whole series attributed to immunotherapy-related colitis.

^bIncludes both laboratory abnormalities and diagnosis.

Discussion

The results of this analysis have shown that patients with irAEs of any grade had better survival outcomes regardless of the grade of the irAE. Potential predictors for the development of Grade 1–2 irAEs have also been identified including the NLR, the SII, the NHS-Lung score, disease response, and treatment discontinuation. Grade 3–4 irAEs were predicted only by disease response and treatment discontinuation. A possible explanation for the lack of correlation observed between Grade 3–4 irAEs and the other factors listed above could be due to the relatively low incidence of Grade 3–4 irAEs. The main limitations of this analysis include its retrospective nature and the lack of information on the timing of the irAEs observed. Nevertheless, the Spinnaker study was a multicentre project with a real-life cohort of patients lending itself to the generalisability of the results of this present analysis.

A previous pooled analysis of the IMpower130, IMpower132, and IMpower150 trials mirrored the findings from this analysis. It noted that patients with advanced NSCLC on a combination of chemotherapy, atezolizumab, and/or bevacizumab who experienced irAEs had longer OS compared to those without (8). This was also reflected in the outcomes of retrospective studies of patients with NSCLC who had received immunotherapy (9, 14). A previous work has shown that concurrent GCSF prophylaxis use in a proportion of patients in this cohort had no confounding impact on survival outcomes (15).

A systematic review of 51 studies assessing the use of immunotherapy in various solid malignancies, including lung cancers, detected a positive association between the development of irAEs and survival outcomes (16). Other works have echoed these findings among a variety of tumours being treated with immunotherapy (17–20). However, this present analysis highlights these associations between the presence of irAEs and improved survival outcomes among patients being treated with combined chemoimmunotherapy.

The results reported could be explained by a higher efficacy of immunotherapy in patients experiencing irAEs, thus conferring improved survival outcomes but potentially more irAEs. Therefore, the presence of irAEs could serve as a useful

irAE		No.	Median [95% confidence interval]	p-value
Any irAE	No	176	10.1 [8.3–12.0]	p < 0.001
	Yes	132	17.5 [13.4–21.6]	
G1-2 irAE	No	205	11.8 [10.1–13.6]	p = 0.003
	Yes	100	16.6 [12.6-20.6]	
G3-4 irAE	No	256	12.1 [9.8–14.5]	p = 0.042
	Yes	49	24.0 [9.0–39.1]	

TABLE 3 Overall survival according to grade of immunotherapy-related adverse effects.



TABLE 4 Progression-free survival according to grade of immunotherapy-related adverse effects.

irAE		No.	Median [95% confidence interval]	p-value
Any irAE	No	176	6.1 [5.2–7.1]	p < 0.001
	Yes	132	10.1 [9.0–11.2]	
G1-2 irAE	No	205	7.0 [5.9–8.1]	p = 0.011
	Yes	100	9.6 [8.1-11.1]	
G3-4 irAE	No	256	7.5 [6.4–8.5]	p = 0.036
	Yes	49	10.5 [7.2–13.7]	

indicator for treatment response and survival. The potential for an immortal bias is also recognized, with possibly more irAEs being detected in patients surviving longer. A landmark analysis or a time-dependent Cox analysis could not be performed as the time of the immunotherapy-related adverse event was not recorded. Notably, in the present analysis, patients with irAEs had a higher rate of treatment discontinuation potentially indicating a low impact of the length of immunotherapy treatment on the improved survival outcomes.

There have been a number of reports suggesting potential predictive markers to identify patients who are more likely to develop irAEs. A prospective cohort study of patients with a solid or haematological malignancy in a French cancer centre found severe irAEs in those who had a PS ≥ 2 (21). All patients in the Spinnaker study were of PS 0-1, and therefore, a similar comparison to PS \geq 2 cannot be made. However, Ruste et al. also reported that patients with a high NLR had severe irAEs. This contradicts this present analysis' finding of an association between an NLR of <4 and the development of irAEs. Our findings are supported by other works that found a higher frequency of irAEs in patients with a low NLR (22-25) and the fact that the presence of irAEs is consistently associated with better survival outcomes and a low NLR is a prognostic indicator and predictive marker of response to immunotherapy in advanced NSCLC (11, 26, 27). The NLR and/or SII have already been incorporated in prognostic scoring tools for these patients such as the NHS-Lung score, Lung Immune Prognostic Index (LIPI), and Lung Immune Prognostic score (LIPS) (10, 28-31). In addition to this, other works have highlighted the use of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) levels and tumour burden to predict the occurrence of irAEs (32-34).

Conclusions

The results of this retrospective analysis have shown that patients with irAEs had better survival outcomes. It has identified potential predictors of patients developing irAEs, including the NLR score, the SII score, the NHS-Lung score, disease response, and treatment discontinuation. The NHS-Lung score is an easy-to-use tool that can help predict not only prognoses in patients with advanced NSCLC on



chemoimmunotherapy but also the likelihood of irAEs. The use of these scores may lead to a more proactive approach to identifying patients at risk of irAEs and therefore their prompt management, avoiding these irAEs progressing in severity.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

Conceptualisation: GB, AA, FG Methodology: GB, AS Software: GB, AS Validation; AS Formal analysis: GB, AS Investigation: JC, AC, CE, DP, CO, CC, SCh, SM. Resources: LM, SCa Data curation: PH, RB, CC, AC, AA, HM, GB Original draft: SA, GB Supervision: GB, CE, AA Project administration: LM, SCa All authors contributed to manuscript revision and approved the submitted version. FG and GB contributed equally as co-last authors.

Conflict of interest

GB received grant consultancies from Astrazeneca and Astellas Pharma. AC received speaker fees and grant consultancies by Astrazeneca, MSD, IQVIA, OncoC4, and EISAI. AA received consulting fees from BMS, Astrazeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Roche, MSD, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, and Astellas, and speakers fees from Eli Lilly and Astrazeneca. DP received lecture fees from ViiV Healthcare, Bayer Healthcare, BMS, Roche, EISAI, and Falk Foundation; travel expenses from BMS and Bayer Healthcare; consulting fees for Mina Therapeutics, EISAI, Roche, Avamune, Exact Sciences, Mursla, DaVolterra, and Astra Zeneca; and research funding to institution from MSD and BMS. GB received travel expenses from Novartis. CO reports personal fees from BMS.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1163768/ full#supplementary-material

10.3389/fonc.2023.1163768

References

1. Sher T, Dy GK, Adjei AA. Small cell lung cancer. *Mayo Clinic Proc* (2008) 83:355–67. doi: 10.4065/83.3.355

2. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: A Cancer J Clin* (2018) 68:394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492

3. Howlader N, Forjaz G, Mooradian MJ, Meza R, Kong CY, Cronin KA, et al. The effect of advances in lung-cancer treatment on population mortality. *New Engl J Med* (2020) 383:640–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1916623

4. Mazieres J, Rittmeyer A, Gadgeel S, Hida T, Gandara DR, Cortinovis DL, et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel in pretreated patients with NSCLC: final results from the randomized phase 2 POPLAR and phase 3 OAK clinical trials. *J Thorac Oncol* (2021) 16:140–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2020.09.022

5. Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, Leighl N, Balmanoukian AS, Eder JP, et al. Pembrolizumab for the treatment of non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *New Engl J Med* (2015) 372:2018–28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501824

6. Brahmer J, Reckamp KL, Baas P, Crinò L, Eberhardt WEE, Poddubskaya E, et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced squamous-cell non–Small-Cell lung cancer. *New Engl J Med* (2015) 373:123–35. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1504627

7. Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, Esteban E, Felip E, Angelis F, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *New Engl J Med* (2018) 378:2078–92. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1801005

8. Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Cappuzzo F, Nishio M, Mok TSK, Reck M, et al. Pooled analyses of immune-related adverse events (irAEs) and efficacy from the phase 3 trials IMpower130, IMpower132, and IMpower150. *J Clin Oncol* (2021) 39:527–535. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2021.39.15_suppl.9002

9. Daniello I, Elshiaty M, Bozorgmehr F, Kuon J, Kazdal D, Schindler H, et al. Therapeutic and prognostic implications of immune-related adverse events in advanced non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2021.703893

10. Banna GL, Cantale O, Muthuramalingam S, Cave J, Comins C, Cortellini A, et al. Efficacy outcomes and prognostic factors from real-world patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer treated with first-line chemoimmunotherapy: the spinnaker retrospective study. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2022) 110. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2022.108985

11. Suh KJ, Kim SH, Kim YJ, Kim M, Keam B, Kim TM, et al. Post-treatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio at week 6 is prognostic in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancers treated with anti-PD-1 antibody. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2018) 67:459–70. doi: 10.1007/s00262-017-2092-x

12. Tavakkoli M, Wilkins CR, Mones JV, Mauro MJ. A novel paradigm between leukocytosis, G-CSF secretion, neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Front Oncol* (2019) 9:295. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00295

13. Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J Am Stat Assoc (1958) 53(282):457–81. doi: 10.1080/01621459.1958.10501452

14. Grangeon M, Tomasini P, Chaleat S, Jeanson A, Souquet-Bressand M, Khobta N, et al. Association between immune-related adverse events and efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer* (2019) 20 (3):201–7. doi: 10.1016/j.cllc.2018.10.002

15. Anpalakhan S, Huddar P, Behrouzi R, Signori A, Cave J, Comins C, et al. The effects of GCSF primary prophylaxis on survival outcomes and toxicity in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer on first-line chemoimmunotherapy: a Sub-analysis of the spinnaker study. *Int J Mol Sci* (2023) 24(2). doi: 10.3390/ijms24021746

16. Hussaini S, Chehade R, Boldt RG, Raphael J, Blanchette P, Vareki SM, et al. Association between immune-related side effects and efficacy and benefit of immune checkpoint inhibitors - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2021) 92. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2020.102134

17. Zhong L, Wu Q, Chen F, Liu J, Xie X. Immune-related adverse events: promising predictors for efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2021) 70(9):2559–76. doi: 10.1007/s00262-020-02803-5

18. Petrelli F, Grizzi G, Ghidini M, Ghidini A, Ratti M, Panni S, et al. Immunerelated adverse events and survival in solid tumors treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Immunother* (2020) 43(1):1–7. doi: 10.1097/CJI.0000000000000300 19. Cheung Y-MM, Wang W, McGregor B, Hamnvik O-PR. Associations between immune-related thyroid dysfunction and efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2022) 71(8):1795–812. doi: 10.1007/s00262-021-03128-7

20. Nigro O, Pinotti G, Galitiis F, Pietro FRD, Giusti R, Filetti M, et al. Late immunerelated adverse events in long-term responders to PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint inhibitors: a multicentre study. *Eur J Cancer* (2020) 134:19–28. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2020.04.025

21. Ruste V, Goldschmidt V, Laparra A, Messayke S, Danlos F-X, Romano-Martin P, et al. The determinants of very severe immune-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: a prospective study of the French REISAMIC registry. *Eur J Cancer* (2021) 158:217–24. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2021.08.048

22. Peng L, Wang Y, Liu F, Qiu X, Zhang X, Fang C, et al. Peripheral blood markers predictive of outcome and immune-related adverse events in advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with PD-1 inhibitors. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2020) 69 (9):1813–22. doi: 10.1007/s00262-020-02585-w

23. Liu W, Liu Y, Ma F, Sun B, Wang Y, Luo J, et al. Peripheral blood markers associated with immune-related adverse effects in patients who had advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with PD-1 inhibitors. *Cancer Manag Res* (2021) 13:765–71. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S293200

24. Fujimoto A, Toyokawa G, Koutake Y, Kimura S, Kawamata Y, Fukuishi K, et al. Association between pretreatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and immunerelated adverse events due to immune checkpoint inhibitors in patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Thorac Cancer* (2021) 12(15):2198–204. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.14063

25. Pavan A, Calvetti L, Maso AD, Attili I, Bianco PD, Pasello G, et al. Peripheral blood markers identify risk of immune-related toxicity in advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with immune-checkpoint inhibitors. *Oncologist* (2019) 24(8):1128–36. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0563

26. Zer A, Sung MR, Walia P, Khoja L, Maganti M, Labbe C, et al. Correlation of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and absolute neutrophil count with outcomes with PD-1 axis inhibitors in patients with advanced non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer* (2018) 19(5):426–34. doi: 10.1016/j.cllc.2018.04.008

27. Takeda T, Takeuchi M, Saitoh M, Takeda S. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio after four weeks of nivolumab administration as a predictive marker in patients with pretreated non-small-cell lung cancer. *Thorac Cancer* (2018) 9(10):1291–9. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.12838

28. Mezquita L, Auclin E, Ferrara R, Charrier M, Remon J, Planchard D, et al. Association of the lung immune prognostic index with immune checkpoint inhibitor outcomes in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *JAMA Oncol* (2018) 4 (3):351–7. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.4771

29. Banna GL, Cortellini A, Cortinovis DL, Tiseo M, Aerts JGJV, Barbieri F, et al. The lung immuno-oncology prognostic score (LIPS-3): a prognostic classification of patients receiving first-line pembrolizumab for PD-L1 \geq 50% advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Eur Soc Med Oncol Open* (2021) 6(2). doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2021.100078

30. Rebuzzi SE, Prelaj A, Friedlaender A, Cortellini A, Addeo A, Genova C, et al. Prognostic scores including peripheral blood-derived inflammatory indices in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Crit Rev Oncol/Hematol* (2022) 179. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2022.103806

31. Banna GL, Friedlaender A, Tagliamento M, Mollica V, Cortellini A, Rebuzzi SE, et al. Biological rationale for peripheral blood cell-derived inflammatory indices and related prognostic scores in patients with advanced non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *Curr Oncol Rep* (2022) 24(12):1851–62. doi: 10.1007/s11912-022-01335-8

32. Hara M, Nagasaki T, Shiga K, Takahashi H, Takeyama H. High serum levels of interleukin-6 in patients with advanced or metastatic colorectal cancer: the effect on the outcome and the response to chemotherapy plus bevacizumab. *Surg Today* (2017) 47 (4):483–9. doi: 10.1007/s00595-016-1404-7

33. Pistillo MP, Fontana V, Morabito A, Dozin B, Laurent S, Carosio R, et al. Soluble CTLA-4 as a favorable predictive biomarker in metastatic melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab: an Italian melanoma intergroup study. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2019) 68(1):97–107. doi: 10.1007/s00262-018-2258-1

34. Sakata Y, Kawamura K, Ichikado K, Shingu N, Yasuda Y, Eguchi Y, et al. The association between tumor burden and severe immune-related adverse events in non-small cell lung cancer patients responding to immune-checkpoint inhibitor treatment. *Lung Cancer* (2019) 130:159–61. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2019.02.011