Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Muhammad Shahid Riaz Rajoka, Tohoku University, Japan

REVIEWED BY

Leena Hilakivi-Clarke, Georgetown University, United States Iram Maqsood, University of Maryland, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Stefano Amatori Stefano.amatori1@uniurb.it

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

[‡]These authors share senior authorship

RECEIVED 19 May 2023 ACCEPTED 18 August 2023 PUBLISHED 01 September 2023

CITATION

Donati Zeppa S, Natalucci V, Agostini D, Vallorani L, Amatori S, Sisti D, Rocchi MBL, Pazienza V, Perri F, Villani A, Binda E, Panebianco C, Mencarelli G, Ciuffreda L, Ferri Marini C, Annibalini G, Lucertini F, Bartolacci A, Imperio M, Virgili E, Catalano V, Piccoli G, Stocchi V, Emili R and Barbieri E (2023) Changes in gut microbiota composition after 12 weeks of a home-based lifestyle intervention in breast cancer survivors during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1225645. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1225645

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Donati Zeppa, Natalucci, Agostini, Vallorani, Amatori, Sisti, Rocchi, Pazienza, Perri, Villani, Binda, Panebianco, Mencarelli, Ciuffreda, Ferri Marini, Annibalini, Lucertini, Bartolacci, Imperio, Virgili, Catalano, Piccoli, Stocchi, Emili and Barbieri. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Changes in gut microbiota composition after 12 weeks of a home-based lifestyle intervention in breast cancer survivors during the COVID-19 lockdown

Sabrina Donati Zeppa^{1†}, Valentina Natalucci^{1†}, Deborah Agostini¹, Luciana Vallorani¹, Stefano Amatori^{1*}, Davide Sisti¹, Marco B. L. Rocchi¹, Valerio Pazienza², Francesco Perri², Annacandida Villani², Elena Binda³, Concetta Panebianco², Gandino Mencarelli³, Luigi Ciuffreda⁴, Carlo Ferri Marini¹, Giosué Annibalini¹, Francesco Lucertini¹, Alessia Bartolacci¹, Marta Imperio¹, Edy Virgili⁵, Vincenzo Catalano⁶, Giovanni Piccoli¹, Vilberto Stocchi⁷, Rita Emili^{6†} and Elena Barbieri^{1‡}

¹Department of Biomolecular Sciences, University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Urbino, Italy, ²Division of Gastroenterology, Fondazione IRCCS "Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza" Hospital, San Giovanni Rotondo, Italy, ³Cancer Stem Cells Unit, Institute for Stem Cell Biology, Regenerative Medicine and Innovative Therapeutics (ISBReMIT), IRCSS Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza, Opera di San Pio da Pietrelcina, San Giovanni Rotondo, Italy, ⁴Breast Surgery Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza, San Giovanni Rotondo, Italy, ⁵School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, University of Camerino, Camerino, Italy, ⁶U.O.C. Oncologia Medica, ASUR Area Vasta 1, Ospedale Santa Maria della Misericordia di Urbino, Urbino, Italy, ⁷Università Telematica San Raffaele, Rome, Italy

Background: Breast cancer (BC) is the second-leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. This study aimed to investigate the effects of a 12-week home-based lifestyle intervention (based on nutrition and exercise) on gut microbial composition in twenty BC survivors of the MoviS clinical trial (protocol: NCT 04818359).

Methods: Gut microbiota analysis through 16S rRNA gene sequencing, anthropometrics, Mediterranean Diet (MD) adherence, and cardiometabolic parameters were evaluated before (Pre) and after (Post) the lifestyle intervention (LI).

Results: Beneficial effects of the LI were observed on MD adherence, and cardiometabolic parameters (pre vs post). A robust reduction of Proteobacteria was observed after LI, which is able to reshape the gut microbiota by modulating microorganisms capable of decreasing inflammation and others involved in improving the lipid and glycemic assets of the host. A significant negative correlation between fasting glucose and *Clostridia_vadinBB60* (r = -0.62), insulin and homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) index and *Butyricicoccus*

genera (r = -0.72 and -0.66, respectively), and HDL cholesterol and *Escherichia/Shigella* (r = -0.59) have been reported. Moreover, positive correlations were found between MD adherence and *Lachnospiraceae_ND3007* (r = 0.50), *Faecalibacterium* (r = 0.38) and *Butyricimonas* (r = 0.39).

Conclusion: These data suggest that adopting a healthy lifestyle, may contribute to ameliorate several biological parameters that could be involved in the prevention of cancer relapses through the modulation of gut microbiota.

KEYWORDS

breast cancer survivors, COVID-19, gut microbiota, Mediterranean diet, physical exercise

1 Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common malignancy in women worldwide and continues to be a cause of death despite screening, early diagnosis, and personalized treatments. Incidence rates of BC have been increasing by about 0.5% per year, and the expected worldwide incidence of BC by 2050 is estimated to be 3.2 million new cases per year (1, 2). In Italy, there are around 55000 newly diagnosed cases of cancer each year, with 13000 resulting in death. These cases account for 14.6% of new cancer diagnoses (3, 4). From an etiological point of view, the onset of BC can be influenced by genetics or epigenetics (e.g., Micro-RNA miR-21, which controls various genes involved in tumor progression) and age (young age is a negative risk factor for BC). It can lead to advanced stages or lower survival rates, reproductive factors (the breast cells differentiation process during pregnancy could be protective), and lifestyle (e.g., less physical activity) (1, 2). This worrying data reflects the need for rigorous prevention and treatment strategies and further studies to understand all possible factors influencing the development of BC and its recurrence.

The gut microbiota is a newly emerging field of study as an additional environmental risk factor in a patient with BC, especially associated with metabolic dysfunctions. Recent evidence has described the role of gut microbiota dysbiosis in the development of BC via estrogen-dependent mechanisms linking the production of microbial-derived metabolites, adaptive immune response, and estrogen metabolism (5). Moreover, microbial metabolites have been demonstrated to express anticancer properties, including lithocholic acid, butyrate, and cadaverine (6, 7). Novel approaches targeting the gut microbiota have been proposed to preserve or restore normobiosis in the prevention and treatment of BC (7). The gut microbiota is influenced by several factors: some are unmodifiable (e.g., age, genetics, sex), and others are modifiable (e.g., lack of a regular diet and physical activity) (8). In particular, diet is a key component of the relationship between humans and their microbial residents and plays an important role in modulating its composition and diversity, affecting human health through this interaction (9). Changes in the host's eating habits are reflected in the bacterial metabolism and induce the proliferation of the species most suitable for the use of the nutrients consumed (10). A westerntype diet, rich in fat, salt, meat, refined flour, and sugar, can induce a state of dysbiosis, which is a change in the structural and functional balance of the gut microbial population often associated with obesity, diabetes and chronic inflammation (11). It has been recognized that 35% of all cancers are associated with dietary intake, including 50% of breast carcinomas (12), and it is likely that microbiota can be involved in carcinogenesis. On the other hand, correct dietary habits can positively influence intestinal microbiota, restore gut eubiosis, and hence improve overall host health.

The Mediterranean Diet (MD) is recognized by UNESCO as a cultural heritage of humanity. It is considered one of the healthiest nutritional patterns because it prefers raw foods to processed ones, and it provides a high consumption of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, unsaturated fats such as olive oil, fish, eggs, nuts, legumes, and poultry, reducing red meat and sugars (13). Along with protection against diabetes and metabolic diseases, a reduction in BC has also been shown (14). It has been demonstrated that MD improves the microbiota composition compared to other diets (15). Moreover, Pellegrini et al. (16) demonstrated that MD, in addition to probiotics, can positively affect gut microbiota composition and that these effects translate into the improvement of metabolic and anthropometric parameters.

Low saturated and high ω -3 fatty acids intake has been linked to anti-inflammatory signaling, which is also mediated by microbiota (17, 18). High amounts of fibers and polyphenols that exert a prebiotic action on specific strains lead to increased short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), lowering cancer risk and cardio-metabolic diseases (19, 20). Fermentable fibers allow bacteria to produce butyrate, acetate, and propionate (21) that regulate immunity and metabolism via microbiota (22). The protection from cancer seems to be improved by increased *Bifidobacterium* genera (23) and decreased *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (15). Furthermore, adherence to the MD increases α -diversity, which expresses the number of species present in the microbiota and positively correlates with overall health (24).

In both animal and human investigations, physical exercise was associated with favorable modifications in the gut microbiota's diversity, richness, and composition (25). Positive associations have been shown in humans between butyrate-producing bacteria, α -diversity, and cardiorespiratory fitness (26), as well as between athletes and sedentary controls in terms of SCFA concentrations and higher turnover of carbohydrates and proteins. When fecal samples from nineteen people belonging to three cohorts (adults elite, youth elite, and youth non-elite athletes) were analyzed, it was found that top athletes had higher microbial diversity, a distinct taxonomic and functional composition, and a substantial correlation with athletic performance (27). Exercise alone does not account for changes in the microbiota composition; these changes also have to do with nutritional consumption, which research has shown to be altered by exercise itself (28). In a study by Donati Zeppa et al. (29), nine weeks of high-intensity exercise caused a shift in the gut microbial population towards a healthier microbiome in healthy male college students. Moreover, changes in the gut microbiota composition were correlated with dietary, body composition, and performance variables. Cheng et al. (30) recently demonstrated that a combined 8.6-month aerobic exercise and low-carbohydrate dietary intervention increased microbiome diversity and stability in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Further, Furber et al. (31) reported a significant association between microbial stability following a dietary intervention and athletic performance in a cohort of highly trained athletes.

Several studies have been carried out on the role of microbiota in human health, and particular attention should be paid to the frail population. In this regard, BC survivors represent a population in which the combined influence of cancer treatment and advancing age often coincides with several physiological changes harmful to cardio-metabolic health, including increased adiposity (32), dysbiosis (33), and reduced cardiorespiratory fitness (34). When BC survivors complete primary treatments (i.e., chemotherapy and radiotherapy), women face several challenges in the long term, and some of them continue with hormone therapy for 5 to 10 years. Side effects of treatments include significant changes in anxiety, fatigue, and sleep dysfunction (35), as well as changes in the metabolic profile (36). Thus, interventions based on diet and physical activity have the potential to reduce comorbidity and prevent BC recurrences (37, 38). An increasing need for such lifestyle interventions was highlighted during the pandemic emergency, which further increased the health risks for people with a poor lifestyle (39, 40).

We previously reported that a 12-week home-based lifestyle intervention focused on MD and an aerobic exercise training program performed during the COVID-19 home confinement significantly ameliorated cardiometabolic parameters in BC survivors (41). Here we report the gut microbial composition before and after the lifestyle intervention in twenty BC survivors. The present study explores the effect of lifestyle (MD and aerobic exercise) in modulating the gut microbial composition in twenty BC survivors during the first wave of the COVID-19 lockdown.

2 Methods

2.1 Study design and population

The MoviS clinical trial (protocol no. NCT04818359) was designed as an open-label randomized controlled trial with two parallel groups (1:1 randomization ratio with the control arm). However, due to the imposed COVID-19 pandemic restrictions, after the approval of the institutional ethics committee, the study protocol was amended (protocol no. 29/20, 22/04/2020). As reported by Natalucci et al. (41), the forced changes in the study protocol made the difference in cardiometabolic parameters between the intervention and control arms negligible, providing similar adaptations between groups. Therefore, due to the lack of meaningful differences between the two interventions, the results of the two groups were combined. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study, and the research was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The women included in this study were recruited at the "Santa Maria della Misericordia" Hospital of Urbino (Italy), as they were eligible for the inclusion and exclusion criteria reported in https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04818359. A sample size was considered (n=20), according to a previously published paper (35).

2.2 Lifestyle intervention

Following surgery and primary therapies (chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy), eligible participants participated in a lifestyle intervention. As previously described, participants (intervention and control arms) received 12-week lifestyle (nutrition and exercise) educational counseling and program (41). All participants received nutritional advice based on MD during the intervention phase, while only the intervention arm participated in a 12-week exercise training program (2 on-site and 1 remotely supervised aerobic session per week) with progressive increases in exercise intensity (from 40% to 70% of heart rate reserve) and duration (from 20 to 60 min).

Although different methods can be used to prescribe exercise intensity (42, 43), heart rate (HR) reserve was used in the current study to account for physiological adjustments that occur during prolonged aerobic exercise (e.g., cardiovascular drift) (44). The recommended quality (exercise intensity) and quantity (exercise volume) of aerobic exercise for participants were attained and exceeded gradually by increasing exercise intensity and duration (45). However, as a result of COVID-19 pandemic restrictions put in place, from the 4th week, the type of supervision was adapted to exclusively remotely supervised aerobic exercise (3 sessions per week). Weekly phone calls from the exercise specialist, who provided the weekly exercise prescription and tailored feedback based on the training logs, were used for supervision.

Both remotely and on-site supervised training sessions consisted of aerobic exercise (i.e., walking, running, or cycling). On-site aerobic exercise sessions (for the first 4 weeks) were performed using treadmill or stationary bikes. While the remotely supervised sessions were performed using treadmill or stationary bikes if the participant had them available at home or through other outdoor exercises (e.g., walking without the aid of tools) according to the possibilities and preferences of the participants. Regardless of the exercise modality, the sessions were performed at individualized exercise intensities (e.g., walking speed and grade or cycling wattage), allowing each participant to reach and maintain the prescribed target HR during the training sessions.

2.3 Sample collection and 16S rRNA gene (rDNA) sequencing

Fecal samples were collected at baseline and after 12 weeks in tubes filled with DNA stabilization buffer (CANVAX Biotech). For 16S rDNA sequencing, the total microbial DNA was extracted using the QIAamp PowerFecal DNA Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. After assessing DNA concentration and purity, samples were stored at -80° until processing. Library Preparation for the Illumina 16S Metagenomic Sequencing protocol was implemented starting from 12.5 ng of each DNA extract which was subjected to V3-V4 hypervariable regions of the bacterial 16S rDNA amplification using the universal primers previously published by Klindworth et al. (46) with Illumina adapters. Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Milan, Italy) were utilized for the first PCR amplicons purification before the second round of PCR aimed to barcode the libraries using the Illumina dual-index system (Nextera XT Index Kit, Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) necessary for multiplexing. After the second purification step, the Qubit dsDNA BR Kit assay was employed to quantify the eluted DNA, which was then diluted to 4nM and pooled. Sequence data generated as FASTQ files, deposited in the Arrayexpress repository under accession code E-MTAB-12486. FASTQ raw sequencing data were imported into QIIME2 v.2021.244, and Illumina primers were removed using the q2cutadapt plugin in trim-paired mode (47). Denoising of trimmed sequences was performed in paired-end mode using the q2-dada2 plugin (48). The q2-feature-classifier plugin was used to perform the taxonomy assignment of the amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) (49) based on the pre-trained Naïve Bayes classifier SILVA 138 99% operational taxonomic units (OTUs) full-length sequence dataset (50). The workflow from sample collection to data analysis is reported in Figure 1.

2.4 Statistical analyses

Data are reported as median and quartiles (Q1-Q3). The microbial alpha diversity indexes (OTUs number and Shannon's Effective Number of Species (ENS)), which describe the richness of the microbial community (51), were calculated with the *diversity* function of the *vegan* R package, in pre- and post-intervention, and compared by a Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired data. The choice for the use of Shannon's effective number is that it describes the taxa to be considered important in a sample, and it is calculated by the exponential of the Shannon-Wiener index, which considers the differences in the abundance of each species. For further analysis, data were filtered for a relative abundance of 0.1% in both pre- and post-training conditions. A permutational analysis of



variance (PERMANOVA) for repeated measures on a Bray-Curtis distance matrix was applied to test pre-post differences in the relative abundances at different taxonomic levels; post-hoc comparisons were conducted with a Wilcoxon test, and a false discovery rate (FDR) with Benjamini-Hochberg correction was applied to account for multiple comparisons. Effect sizes (r) were then calculated with the *rstatix* and *coin* R packages. To assess prepost changes in global genera abundances, a non-metric dimensional scaling (nMDS) with Bray-Curtis distance was used. A multivariate analysis of variance for repeated measures (RM-MANOVA) was applied to test pre-post changes of physical and hematological variables. Lastly, a correlation plot was built to explore correlations between pre-post variations of genera abundances and variations of physical and hematological parameters; for graphical reasons, only significant correlations were reported ($r_{0.05,20} \ge 0.378$). Statistical analyses were conducted using R Studio 4.1; the alpha value was set at the standard level of 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Sample characteristics

The analysis included twenty women who were originally enrolled in the main trial (S1 Appendix). Baseline sample characteristics are described in Table 1. The mean age of the sample was 51.8 ± 7.8 years old, and the time since diagnosis was 10.2 ± 3.1 months.

Variations in cardiorespiratory fitness, body composition, blood biomarkers, and MD adherence are reported in Table 2. Significant changes in the post-intervention measures were detected for maximal oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$), fasting glucose, testosterone concentration, HOMA, triglycerides, LDL, and adherence to MD [MeDiet Score by DianaWeb, as reported in Natalucci et al. (41)].

3.2 Microbial composition

After the lifestyle intervention, as shown in Figure 2, there was a significant (p=0.025) increase in OTUs number. The preintervention count was 212.0 (Q1: 155.8; Q3 = 242.0), while the post-intervention number was 224.5 (Q1: 151.5; Q3: 252.2), which represents a 5.7% increase. However, there was no significant (p=0.620) change in the effective number of species (ENS) as measured by Shannon's ENS index. The ENS count remained relatively constant, with a pre-intervention count of 37.2 (Q1: 25.4; Q3: 45.7) and a post-intervention count of 36.8 (Q1: 21.5; Q3: 44.2).

PERMANOVA revealed significant differences between pre and post-intervention at phylum (F=0.62; p=0.035) and order levels (F=0.51; p=0.036). *Post-hoc* comparisons at the phylum level detected a significant reduction in the abundance of Proteobacteria (pre: 3.01% (1.45-4.43), post: 2.22% (1.03-2.80); V=189; p=0.006, ES=0.701), while no significant changes were reported for any of the other phyla (Figure 3). Although not significant (p=0.067), a moderate effect-size (ES=0.410) increase in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes (F/B) ratio could be noticed, which showed values of 1.19 (0.82-1.43) in the pre-intervention and 1.24 (0.99-1.55) in the post-intervention.

At the order level, significant changes were observed for Lactobacillales (p=0.040, ES=0.459), Acidaminococcales (p=0.048, ES=0.442), and Burkholderiales (p=0.005, ES=0.609). However, these p-values become not significant when applying FDR correction for multiple comparisons (p=0.380 for Lactobacillales and Acidaminococcales, p=0.110 for Burkholderiales).

At the genus level, significant decreases in Odoribacter (p=0.024), Erysipelotrichaceae_UCG-003 (p=0.020), Coprococcus (p=0.042), Lachnospiraceae_UCG-004 (p=0.015), Sutterella (p=0.007) and a significant increase in Colidextribacter (p=0.044) were observed. However, when an exploratory non-metric multidimensional scaling was used to compare global genera abundances at the two times, ellipses were almost perfectly overlapping (Figure 4), supporting the absence of significant results in the PERMANOVA.

TABLE 1 Clinical baseline characteristics of the sample (n = 20), reported as absolute and relative frequencies.

	n	%	
Disease stage			
0	4	20%	
Ι	10	50%	
П	6	30%	
III	-	-	
Menopausal Status at diagnosis			
Pre-menopausal	3	15%	
Post-menopausal	17	85%	
Surgery type			
Mastectomy	3	15%	
Quadrantectomy	16	80%	
Lumpectomy	1	5%	
Treatment in Addition to Surgery			
Radiation	7	35%	
Chemotherapy	2	10%	
Radiation + Chemotherapy	7	35%	
None	4	20%	
Current Endocrine Therapy			
Tamoxifen	5	25%	
Aromatase inhibitor	10	50%	
None	5	25%	

TABLE 2 Variations in cardiorespiratory fitness, body composition, and blood biomarkers.

	Pre	Post	p(F) (η_p^2)
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.99 ± 2.74	23.72 ± 2.32	0.311 (0.054)
Fat mass (%)	29.15 ± 4.78	29.11 ± 3.76	0.952 (0.000)
^V O _{2max} (ml⋅kg ⁻¹ ⋅min ⁻¹)	32.55 ± 4.54	35.78 ± 6.07	<0.001 (0.617)
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	100.15 ± 10.84	90.45 ± 11.55	<0.001 (0.597)
Testosterone (ng/mL)	0.28 ± 0.14	0.21 ± 0.17	0.018 (0.259)
Insulin (microU/mL)	6.99 ± 4.27	5.69 ± 3.73	0.091 (0.143)
HOMA index	1.81 ± 1.44	1.32 ± 1.02	0.036 (0.211)
Progesterone (ng/mL)	0.47 ± 0.25	0.47 ± 0.22	0.977 (0.000)
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	102.60 ± 43.68	87.02 ± 43.29	0.018 (0.263)
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	207.10 ± 31.99	197.85 ± 33.31	0.119 (0.123)
HDL (mg/dL)	59.15 ± 14.37	58.10 ± 11.89	0.539 (0.020)
LDL (mg/dL)	129.90 ± 23.44	120.75 ± 26.98	0.022 (0.246)
hs-CRP (mg/L)	1.30 ± 0.84	1.07 ± 0.88	0.078 (0.155)
Mediterranean diet score	7.65 ± 2.32	9.25 ± 2.38	0.002 (0.406)

BMI, body mass index; VO_{2max}, maximal oxygen uptake; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; Mediterranean diet score: MeDiet Score by DianaWeb.

3.3 Correlations with physical variables

A correlation matrix has been used to explore associations between variations of anthropometric and cardiometabolic variables and genera abundances (Figure 5). Negative correlations were reported between fasting glucose and *Clostridia_vadinBB60* (r=-0.62), insulin and HOMA index and *Butyricicoccus* genera (r = -0.72 and -0.66, respectively), and HDL cholesterol and *Escherichia/Shigella* (r = -0.59). Moreover, positive correlations were found between MD adherence and *Lachnospiraceae_ND3007* (r = 0.50), *Faecalibacterium* (r = 0.38), and *Butyricimonas* (r = 0.39).

4 Discussion

The risk of cancer recurrence and the increased risk of chronic diseases brought on by cancer treatments endanger the well-being of cancer survivors (52). Improving lifestyle behaviors attenuates these risks, and in particular, nutrition and physical activity have been considered powerful allies to cancer patients and survivors, as they positively impact body mass, physical fitness, fatigue, depressive symptoms, anxiety, inflammatory profile, and quality of life (53–57). Inversely, unhealthy eating patterns and a sedentary lifestyle are the main contributors to metabolic syndrome, which is associated with chronic low-grade systemic inflammation and symptoms in patients with BC who have undergone chemotherapy and survivors (58–60).

Diet and exercise are known to alter the composition of the gut microbiota, with resulting health-improving effects (61, 62). Up to date, a limited number of human studies have uncovered that exercise could play a beneficial role in gut health, increasing microbial diversity and changing microbiota composition depending on training intensity and duration (29). Only a few clinical studies investigated the effects of dietary interventions on the gut microbiome and/or metabolome in patients with cancer (63).

In this study, we investigated the impact of a home-based lifestyle intervention on the gut microbiota of BC survivors, demonstrating remarkable changes. In particular, a significant decrease in Proteobacteria has been observed. This phylum is normally associated with the instability of the microbial community that can lead to dysbiosis or disease (64). Furthermore, *Escherichia/Shigella* bacteria belonging to the Proteobacteria phylum possess β -glucuronidase enzymes, which produce free estrogens from conjugated estrogens derived from the liver; free estrogens can re-enter the bloodstream and reach different organs, including the breast (65).

Physical exercise has been previously reported to reduce inflammatory processes, decreasing Proteobacteria but also increasing Actinobacteria (29). Though representing only a small percentage of the total microbial community, Actinobacteria play a key role in maintaining the homeostasis of the gastrointestinal tract by increasing the expression of occluding junctions, regulating the synthesis and catabolism of mucins, providing energy for epithelial cell proliferation, and stimulating the immune system. In particular, Bifidobacteria (belonging to the phylum Actinobacteria) produce lactate, which can be used by a group of microorganisms defined as "lactate users" to produce butyrate, an SCFA that plays an important role in regulating energy metabolism and insulin sensitivity (66). Moreover, the F/B ratio increased after the lifestyle intervention, although not statistically significant. This result agrees with other studies in which increases in the F/B ratio



occur following physical activity (24, 29) and is particularly important since Firmicutes are the main producers of SCFA butyrate. Furthermore, a relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$) and F/B ratio in healthy young adults was also

reported by Durk et al. (67). A slight but not significant increase was observed in Actinobacteria and Bifidobacteria after 12 weeks of a home-based lifestyle intervention in BC survivors, which exerts an inflammatory and beneficial metabolic effect (68). Interestingly, at





the order level, Lactobacillales were found to be significantly increased after the lifestyle intervention. This order includes bacteria belonging to the genus of *Lactobacillus*, which are microorganisms with anti-inflammatory functions and may have a role against BC, as already suggested (69). Moreover, the lifestyle intervention produced a decrease in *Sutterella*, a bacterial genus previously found enriched in patients with BC (70). The

correlation analysis between bacterial genera and anthropometric and cardiometabolic variables evidenced a strong positive association between adherence to MD and the butyrate producers *Lachnospiraceae_ND3007, Faecalibacterium*, and *Butyricimonas*, in agreement with previous studies (71, 72).

An increase in the consumption of dried fruit and cereal-based meals, and a reduction of red meat, desserts, and glasses of wine per



week (data not shown) have been observed in all participants. The improvement of the MeDiet score obtained from the lifestyle intervention is particularly interesting for glycemic control, as highlighted by the amelioration of metabolic and inflammatory parameters (Table 2). The MD has been linked to improved health, including the production of SCFAs and anti-inflammatory properties that reduce the risk of chronic inflammatory diseases such as type II diabetes (73–75).

Another butyrate-producing microorganism, *Butyricicoccus*, was negatively correlated with insulin levels and the HOMA index. This result is in line with previous studies demonstrating that butyrate acts as an insulin-sensitizing agent (76, 77). Moreover, *Butyricicoccus* was previously found to be enriched in physically active people with respect to less active individuals, as reported by Magzdal et al. (78). The same was observed for *Clostridia_vadinBB60* which, in our study, was negatively correlated with fasting glucose. An interesting inverse association was found between the pro-inflammatory bacteria *Escherichia/Shigella* and HDL cholesterol.

The present study shows that a lifestyle intervention based on physical activity and adherence to the MD in patients with BC was able to shape gut microbiota towards a healthier profile by modulating some microorganisms capable of decreasing inflammation and others capable of improving the lipid and glycemic assets of the host. The study recognizes certain limitations. Due to the relatively small sample size, the data are preliminary and should be interpreted with caution. Also, the absence of a control group should be acknowledged. Even though significant changes have been noted, it is still unknown whether also tiny modifications have any biological significance. Nonetheless, it's among the earliest studies which demonstrate how a lifestyle intervention in previously sedentary BC survivors can lead to an improvement in the composition of their gut microbial community. In order to gain a more comprehensive understanding of this topic, further research is required.

This study is part of the MoviS Trial (NCT04818359), a randomized controlled trial on the effect of aerobic exercise training on quality of life among BC survivors starting in January 2020 and ending in 2025. The forced changes in the study protocol, imposed by COVID-19 pandemic restrictions, made the difference between IA and CA interventions for the first recruited group of BC survivors negligible, and the results of the two groups were combined [Natalucci et al., 2021 (41)] qualifying this study as a pre-post assessment. Although the lack of a control group represents a limitation, no healthy control group, and no No-Covid control group were included, further studies on the MoviS cohort will allow a comparison of these preliminary data obtained on gut microbiota and verify whether some other factors (e.g., Covid-19 restrictions) could better explain the changes in the gut microbiota induced by a 12-weeks lifestyle intervention in this particular BC survivors population.

In this study, we enrolled BC survivors at high risk of recurrence due to their sedentary condition, metabolic syndrome, inflammatory level, and dysmetabolism in the pandemic emergency, and we verified the effect of a lifestyle intervention in the improvement of cardio-metabolic condition and microbiota in a pre- and post-intervention assessment.

The elaborations presented in this study should be contextualized, taking into account the type of BC survivor population in Italy during the first Covid lockdown that tended to aggravate the BC health status by worsening their lifestyle and, consequently, their prognosis. In this line, we verified, for example, the profile of sedentary behavior among 781 BC survivors belonging to the cohort of the Italian DianaWeb project in the period of the first Covid lockdown. We observed a trend toward a decrease in physical activity (22%, 57%, and 26% for walking activity, vigorous activity, and total PA, respectively), and the sitting and lying time increased up to 54.2% [Natalucci et al., 2021 (40)], suggesting how COVID-19 emergency increased the unhealthy behaviors in BC patients, indicative of a possible higher risk of worse prognosis.

Our findings suggest that adopting a healthy lifestyle, by affecting the gut microbiota, may help to improve some biological parameters, such as the reduction of the inflammatory pattern involved in health maintenance, improvement of the prognosis, and reduction of the recurrence risk.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/, E-MTAB-12486.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: SDZ, RE and EBa. Sample collection: VN, SDZ, DA and LV. Metagenomic experiments and analyses: VP, FP, AV, EBi, CP, GM, LC and EV. Clinical parameters evaluation: VN, CFM, FL, RE and VC. Statistical analyses: SA, DS and MR. Supervision: GP, VS, RE and EBa. Writing – Original draft: SDZ, VN, DA, SA, GA, VP, FP, LC and EBa. Writing – Review and Editing: All authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This project is partially funded by the Ateneum project: "Promozione della salute e della sicurezza alimentare" (D.R. 446/ 2020). This project has also received funding from the Banca di Credito Cooperativo Metauro for the programme '*Stile di vita attivo e microbiota nel tumore al seno*' Delibera n. 157/2021 - 13/7/2021 Department of Biomolecular Sciences, University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Urbino, Italy. This study received funding from Fonte Plose SPA and FAB Group SRL. These commercial entities were not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article, or the decision to submit it for publication.

Acknowledgments

V.N. was supported in this research by the co-founded Postdoctoral Fellowship (Delibera n. 38/2019 27/02/2019 Department of Biomolecular Sciences, University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Urbino, Italy; Associazione Culturale "Golden Brain" ETS; Associazione "Le Contrade di Urbino" and "Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Pesaro"). V.P. is supported by "Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC) under IG 2019 - ID 23006 project. The authors also thank Fonte Plose SPA, and FAB Group SRL for the contributions; and II Gentil Verde for the support in the event organizations. The authors thank all the participants of the study and extend their gratitude to Eugenio Grassi, Roberta Saltarelli, Donatella Sarti and Anna Villarini for their valuable assistance during data acquisition.

References

1. Tao Z, Shi A, Lu C, Song T, Zhang Z, Zhao J. Breast cancer: epidemiology and etiology. Cell Biochem Biophys (2015) 72(2):333-8. doi: 10.1007/s12013-014-0459-6

 Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2021. CA Cancer J Clin (2021) 71(1):7–33. doi: 10.3322/caac.21654

3. Mangone L, Bisceglia I, Michiara M, Musolino A, Mazzoleni G, Caldarella A, et al. Breast cancer in Italy: stage and region distribution. *Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press)* (2022) 14:125–31. doi: 10.2147/BCTT.S360244

4. AIOM, AIRTUM, Osservatorio Nazionale Screening and Passi e Passi d'argento, SIAPeC-IAP. I numeri del cancro in Italia 2022. *Brescia IT* (2022).

5. Yang J, Tan Q, Fu Q, Zhou Y, Hu Y, Tang S, et al. Gastrointestinal microbiome and breast cancer: correlations, mechanisms and potential clinical implications. *Breast Cancer* (2017) 24(2):220–8. doi: 10.1007/s12282-016-0734-z

6. Miko E, Kovacs T, Sebo E, Toth J, Csonka T, Ujlaki G, et al. Microbiomemicrobial metabolome-cancer cell interactions in breast cancer-familiar, but unexplored. *Cells* (2019) 8(4):293. doi: 10.3390/cells8040293

7. Ruo SW, Alkayyali T, Win M, Tara A, Joseph C, Kannan A, et al. Role of gut microbiota dysbiosis in breast cancer and novel approaches in prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. *Cureus* (2021) 13(8):e17472. doi: 10.7759/cureus.17472

8. Sisti D, Pazienza V, Piccini F, Citterio B, Baffone W, Donati Zeppa S, et al. A proposal for the reference intervals of the Italian microbiota "Scaffold" in healthy adults. *Sci Rep* (2022) 12(1):3952. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-08000-x

9. Donovan SM. Introduction to the special focus issue on the impact of diet on gut microbiota composition and function and future opportunities for nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome to improve human health. *Gut Microbes* (2017) 8 (2):75–81. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2017.1299309

10. Zmora N, Suez J, Elinav E. You are what you eat: diet, health and the gut microbiota. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2019) 16(1):35–56. doi: 10.1038/s41575-018-0061-2

11. Wang F, Roy S. Gut homeostasis, microbial dysbiosis, and opioids. *Toxicol Pathol* (2017) 45(1):150-6. doi: 10.1177/0192623316679898

12. Anand P, Kunnumakkara AB, Sundaram C, Harikumar KB, Tharakan ST, Lai OS, et al. Cancer is a preventable disease that requires major lifestyle changes. *Pharm Res* (2008) 25(9):2097–116. doi: 10.1007/s11095-008-9661-9

13. Willett WC, Sacks F, Trichopoulou A, Drescher G, Ferro-Luzzi A, Helsing E, et al. Mediterranean diet pyramid: A cultural model for healthy eating. *Am J Clin Nutr* (1995) 61(6 Suppl):1402S–6S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/61.6.1402S

14. van den Brandt PA, Schulpen M. Mediterranean diet adherence and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer: results of a cohort study and meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* (2017) 140(10):2220–31. doi: 10.1002/ijc.30654

15. Klement RJ, Pazienza V. Impact of different types of diet on gut microbiota profiles and cancer prevention and treatment. *Medicina (Kaunas)* (2019) 55(4):84. doi: 10.3390/medicina55040084

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that can be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1225645/ full#supplementary-material

16. Pellegrini M, Ippolito M, Monge T, Violi R, Cappello P, Ferrocino I, et al. Gut microbiota composition after diet and probiotics in overweight breast cancer survivors: A randomized open-label pilot intervention trial. *Nutrition* (2020) 74:110749. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2020.110749

17. Bagga D, Wang L, Farias-Eisner R, Glaspy JA, Reddy ST. Differential effects of prostaglandin derived from omega-6 and omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on cox-2 expression and il-6 secretion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2003) 100(4):1751–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0334211100

18. Wisniewski PJ, Dowden RA, Campbell SC. Role of dietary lipids in modulating inflammation through the gut microbiota. *Nutrients* (2019) 11(1):117. doi: 10.3390/ nu11010117

19. Donohoe DR, Collins LB, Wali A, Bigler R, Sun W, Bultman SJ. The warburg effect dictates the mechanism of butyrate-mediated histone acetylation and cell proliferation. *Mol Cell* (2012) 48(4):612–26. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.08.033

20. Haro C, Garcia-Carpintero S, Alcala-Diaz JF, Gomez-Delgado F, Delgado-Lista J, Perez-Martinez P, et al. The gut microbial community in metabolic syndrome patients is modified by diet. J Nutr Biochem (2016) 27:27–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2015.08.011

21. Slavin J. Fiber and prebiotics: mechanisms and health benefits. *Nutrients* (2013) 5(4):1417–35. doi: 10.3390/nu5041417

22. Nicholson JK, Holmes E, Kinross J, Burcelin R, Gibson G, Jia W, et al. Host-gut microbiota metabolic interactions. *Science* (2012) 336(6086):1262–7. doi: 10.1126/science.1223813

23. Di Daniele N, Di Renzo L, Noce A, Iacopino L, Ferraro PM, Rizzo M, et al. Effects of Italian mediterranean organic diet vs. Low-protein diet in nephropathic patients according to mthfr genotypes. J Nephrol (2014) 27(5):529–36. doi: 10.1007/s40620-014-0067-y

24. Clarke SF, Murphy EF, O'Sullivan O, Lucey AJ, Humphreys M, Hogan A, et al. Exercise and associated dietary extremes impact on gut microbial diversity. *Gut* (2014) 63(12):1913–20. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306541

25. Clauss M, Gerard P, Mosca A, Leclerc M. Interplay between exercise and gut microbiome in the context of human health and performance. *Front Nutr* (2021) 8:637010. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.637010

26. Estaki M, Pither J, Baumeister P, Little JP, Gill SK, Ghosh S, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a predictor of intestinal microbial diversity and distinct metagenomic functions. *Microbiome* (2016) 4(1):42. doi: 10.1186/s40168-016-0189-7

27. Han M, Yang K, Yang P, Zhong C, Chen C, Wang S, et al. Stratification of athletes' Gut microbiota: the multifaceted hubs associated with dietary factors, physical characteristics and performance. *Gut Microbes* (2020) 12(1):1–18. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2020.1842991

28. Donati Zeppa S, Sisti D, Amatori S, Gervasi M, Agostini D, Piccoli G, et al. Highintensity interval training promotes the shift to a health-supporting dietary pattern in young adults. *Nutrients* (2020) 12(3):843. doi: 10.3390/nu12030843 29. Donati Zeppa S, Amatori S, Sisti D, Gervasi M, Agostini D, Piccoli G, et al. Nine weeks of high-intensity indoor cycling training induced changes in the microbiota composition in non-athlete healthy male college students. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr* (2021) 18(1):74. doi: 10.1186/s12970-021-00471-z

30. Cheng R, Wang L, Le S, Yang Y, Zhao C, Zhang X, et al. A randomized controlled trial for response of microbiome network to exercise and diet intervention in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Commun* (2022) 13(1):2555. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29968-0

31. Furber MJW, Young GR, Holt GS, Pyle S, Davison G, Roberts MG, et al. Gut microbial stability is associated with greater endurance performance in athletes undertaking dietary periodization. *mSystems* (2022) 7(3):e0012922. doi: 10.1128/msystems.00129-22

32. Vance V, Mourtzakis M, McCargar L, Hanning R. Weight gain in breast cancer survivors: prevalence, pattern and health consequences. *Obes Rev* (2011) 12(4):282–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2010.00805.x

33. Sheflin AM, Whitney AK, Weir TL. Cancer-promoting effects of microbial dysbiosis. *Curr Oncol Rep* (2014) 16(10):406. doi: 10.1007/s11912-014-0406-0

34. Jones LW, Courneya KS, Mackey JR, Muss HB, Pituskin EN, Scott JM, et al. Cardiopulmonary function and age-related decline across the breast cancer survivorship continuum. J Clin Oncol (2012) 30(20):2530–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.39.9014

35. Paulsen JA, Ptacek TS, Carter SJ, Liu N, Kumar R, Hyndman L, et al. Gut microbiota composition associated with alterations in cardiorespiratory fitness and psychosocial outcomes among breast cancer survivors. *Support Care Cancer* (2017) 25 (5):1563–70. doi: 10.1007/s00520-016-3568-5

36. Godinho-Mota JCM, Mota JF, Goncalves LV, Soares LR, Schincaglia RM, Prado CM, et al. Chemotherapy negatively impacts body composition, physical function and metabolic profile in patients with breast cancer. *Clin Nutr* (2021) 40(5):3421–8. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2020.11.020

37. Di Renzo L, Gualtieri P, Pivari F, Soldati L, Attina A, Cinelli G, et al. Eating habits and lifestyle changes during covid-19 lockdown: an Italian survey. *J Transl Med* (2020) 18(1):229. doi: 10.1186/s12967-020-02399-5

38. Montemurro N. The emotional impact of covid-19: from medical staff to common people. *Brain Behav Immun* (2020) 87:23-4. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.032

39. Bennett G, Young E, Butler I, Coe S. The impact of lockdown during the covid-19 outbreak on dietary habits in various population groups: A scoping review. *Front Nutr* (2021) 8:626432. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.626432

40. Natalucci V, Villarini M, Emili R, Acito M, Vallorani L, Barbieri E, et al. Special attention to physical activity in breast cancer patients during the first wave of covid-19 pandemic in Italy: the dianaweb cohort. *J Pers Med* (2021) 11(5):381. doi: 10.3390/jpm11050381

41. Natalucci V, Marini CF, Flori M, Pietropaolo F, Lucertini F, Annibalini G, et al. Effects of a home-based lifestyle intervention program on cardiometabolic health in breast cancer survivors during the covid-19 lockdown. *J Clin Med* (2021) 10(12):2678. doi: 10.3390/jcm10122678

42. Ferri Marini C, Sisti D, Leon AS, Skinner JS, Sarzynski MA, Bouchard C, et al. Hrr and V O2r fractions are not equivalent: is it time to rethink aerobic exercise prescription methods? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2021) 53(1):174–82. doi: 10.1249/ MSS.000000000002434

43. Ferri Marini C, Sisti D, Skinner JS, Sarzynski MA, Bouchard C, Amatori S, et al. Effect of individual characteristics and aerobic training on the %Hrr-%V O2r relationship. *Eur J Sport Sci* (2023) 23(8):1600–11. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2022.2113441

44. Ferri Marini C, Federici A, Skinner JS, Piccoli G, Stocchi V, Zoffoli L, et al. Effect of steady-state aerobic exercise intensity and duration on the relationship between reserves of heart rate and oxygen uptake. *PeerJ* (2022) 10:e13190. doi: 10.7717/peerj.13190

45. Campbell KL, Winters-Stone KM, Wiskemann J, May AM, Schwartz AL, Courneya KS, et al. Exercise guidelines for cancer survivors: consensus statement from international multidisciplinary roundtable. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2019) 51 (11):2375–90. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000002116

46. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. Evaluation of general 16s ribosomal rna gene pcr primers for classical and nextgeneration sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2013) 41(1):e1. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks808

47. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnetjournal* (2011) 17(1):10–2. doi: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200

48. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJ, Holmes SP. Dada2: high-resolution sample inference from illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods* (2016) 13 (7):581–3. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869

49. Bokulich NA, Kaehler BD, Rideout JR, Dillon M, Bolyen E, Knight R, et al. Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with qiime 2's Q2-feature-classifier plugin. *Microbiome* (2018) 6(1):90. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0470-z

50. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The silva ribosomal rna gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2013) 41(Database issue):D590–6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219

51. Xia Y, Sun J, Chen D-G. Statistical Analysis of Microbiome Data with R. Singapore: Springer (2018).

52. Kelley MM, Kue J, Brophy L, Peabody AL, Foraker RE, Yen PY, et al. Mobile health applications, cancer survivors, and lifestyle modification: an integrative review. *Comput Inform Nurs* (2021) 39(11):755–63. doi: 10.1097/CIN.000000000000781

53. De Cicco P, Catani MV, Gasperi V, Sibilano M, Quaglietta M, Savini I. Nutrition and breast cancer: A literature review on prevention, treatment and recurrence. *Nutrients* (2019) 11(7):1514. doi: 10.3390/nu11071514

54. Dieli-Conwright CM, Orozco BZ. Exercise after breast cancer treatment: current perspectives. *Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press)* (2015) 7:353–62. doi: 10.2147/ BCTT.S82039

55. Rock CL, Doyle C, Demark-Wahnefried W, Meyerhardt J, Courneya KS, Schwartz AL, et al. Nutrition and physical activity guidelines for cancer survivors. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2012) 62(4):243–74. doi: 10.3322/caac.21142

56. Schmidt ME, Wiskemann J, Armbrust P, Schneeweiss A, Ulrich CM, Steindorf K. Effects of resistance exercise on fatigue and quality of life in breast cancer patients undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy: A randomized controlled trial. *Int J Cancer* (2015) 137(2):471–80. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29383

57. Schmitz KH, Courneya KS, Matthews C, Demark-Wahnefried W, Galvao DA, Pinto BM, et al. American college of sports medicine roundtable on exercise guidelines for cancer survivors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2010) 42(7):1409–26. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181e0c112

58. Bruno E, Gargano G, Villarini A, Traina A, Johansson H, Mano MP, et al. Adherence to wcrf/aicr cancer prevention recommendations and metabolic syndrome in breast cancer patients. *Int J Cancer* (2016) 138(1):237–44. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29689

59. Hojman P. Exercise protects from cancer through regulation of immune function and inflammation. *Biochem Soc Trans* (2017) 45(4):905–11. doi: 10.1042/BST20160466

60. Hojman P, Gehl J, Christensen JF, Pedersen BK. Molecular mechanisms linking exercise to cancer prevention and treatment. *Cell Metab* (2018) 27(1):10–21. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.09.015

61. Campaniello D, Corbo MR, Sinigaglia M, Speranza B, Racioppo A, Altieri C, et al. How diet and physical activity modulate gut microbiota: evidence, and perspectives. *Nutrients* (2022) 14(12):2456. doi: 10.3390/nu14122456

62. Monda V, Villano I, Messina A, Valenzano A, Esposito T, Moscatelli F, et al. Exercise modifies the gut microbiota with positive health effects. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* (2017) 2017:3831972. doi: 10.1155/2017/3831972

63. Parida S, Sharma D. The microbiome and cancer: creating friendly neighborhoods and removing the foes within. *Cancer Res* (2021) 81(4):790-800. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-2629

64. Shin NR, Whon TW, Bae JW. Proteobacteria: microbial signature of dysbiosis in gut microbiota. *Trends Biotechnol* (2015) 33(9):496-503. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2015.06.011

65. Fernandez MF, Reina-Perez I, Astorga JM, Rodriguez-Carrillo A, Plaza-Diaz J, Fontana L. Breast cancer and its relationship with the microbiota. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* (2018) 15(8):1747. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15081747

66. Parada Venegas D, de la Fuente MK, Landskron G, Gonzalez MJ, Quera R, Dijkstra G, et al. Short chain fatty acids (Scfas)-mediated gut epithelial and immune regulation and its relevance for inflammatory bowel diseases. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:277. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.00277

67. Durk RP, Castillo E, Marquez-Magana L, Grosicki GJ, Bolter ND, Lee CM, et al. Gut microbiota composition is related to cardiorespiratory fitness in healthy young adults. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab* (2019) 29(3):249–53. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0024

68. O'Callaghan A, van Sinderen D. Bifidobacteria and their role as members of the human gut microbiota. *Front Microbiol* (2016) 7:925. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00925

69. Mendoza L. Potential effect of probiotics in the treatment of breast cancer. Oncol Rev (2019) 13(2):422. doi: 10.4081/oncol.2019.422

70. Hou MF, Ou-Yang F, Li CL, Chen FM, Chuang CH, Kan JY, et al. Comprehensive profiles and diagnostic value of menopausal-specific gut microbiota in premenopausal breast cancer. *Exp Mol Med* (2021) 53(10):1636–46. doi: 10.1038/s12276-021-00686-9

71. Beam A, Clinger E, Hao L. Effect of diet and dietary components on the composition of the gut microbiota. *Nutrients* (2021) 13(8):2795. doi: 10.3390/nu13082795

72. Garcia-Mantrana I, Selma-Royo M, Alcantara C, Collado MC. Shifts on gut microbiota associated to mediterranean diet adherence and specific dietary intakes on general adult population. *Front Microbiol* (2018) 9:890. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00890

73. Bagheri S, Zolghadri S, Stanek A. Beneficial effects of anti-inflammatory diet in modulating gut microbiota and controlling obesity. *Nutrients* (2022) 14(19):3985. doi: 10.3390/nu14193985

74. Gantenbein KV, Kanaka-Gantenbein C. Mediterranean diet as an antioxidant: the impact on metabolic health and overall wellbeing. *Nutrients* (2021) 13(6):1951. doi: 10.3390/nu13061951

75. Martin-Pelaez S, Fito M, Castaner O. Mediterranean diet effects on type 2 diabetes prevention, disease progression, and related mechanisms. A review. *Nutrients* (2020) 12(8):2236. doi: 10.3390/nu12082236

76. Arora T, Tremaroli V. Therapeutic potential of butyrate for treatment of type 2 diabetes. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) (2021) 12:761834. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.761834

77. Gao Z, Yin J, Zhang J, Ward RE, Martin RJ, Lefevre M, et al. Butyrate improves insulin sensitivity and increases energy expenditure in mice. *Diabetes* (2009) 58 (7):1509–17. doi: 10.2337/db08-1637

78. Magzal F, Shochat T, Haimov I, Tamir S, Asraf K, Tuchner-Arieli M, et al. Increased physical activity improves gut microbiota composition and reduces shortchain fatty acid concentrations in older adults with insomnia. *Sci Rep* (2022) 12 (1):2265. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-05099-w