Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Anurag Kumar Singh, Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg, Germany

REVIEWED BY Yongkun Wei, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States Evin Iscan, Dokuz Eylül University, Türkiye Shravan Girada, University of California, San Diego, United States Paras Gaur, The University of Iowa, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Seetharama D. Jois Sois@lsu.edu

RECEIVED 13 July 2023 ACCEPTED 21 August 2023 PUBLISHED 11 September 2023

CITATION

Schultz DF, Billadeau DD and Jois SD (2023) EGFR trafficking: effect of dimerization, dynamics, and mutation. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1258371. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1258371

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Schultz, Billadeau and Jois. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

EGFR trafficking: effect of dimerization, dynamics, and mutation

Destiny F. Schultz¹, Daniel D. Billadeau^{1,2} and Seetharama D. Jois^{3*}

¹Department of Immunology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, United States, ²Division of Oncology Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, United States, ³Department of Pathobiological Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, United States

Spontaneous dimerization of EGF receptors (EGFR) and dysregulation of EGFR signaling has been associated with the development of different cancers. Under normal physiological conditions and to maintain homeostatic cell growth, once EGFR signaling occurs, it needs to be attenuated. Activated EGFRs are rapidly internalized, sorted through early endosomes, and ultimately degraded in lysosomes by a process generally known as receptor down-regulation. Through alterations to EGFR trafficking, tumors develop resistance to current treatment strategies, thus highlighting the necessity for combination treatment strategies that target EGFR trafficking. This review covers EGFR structure, trafficking, and altered surface expression of EGFR receptors in cancer, with a focus on how therapy targeting EGFR trafficking may aid tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment of cancer.

KEYWORDS

EGFR trafficking, dimerization, cancer, clathrin-mediated endocytosis, lysosome

Introduction

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is largely considered to be the most wellstudied receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) (1–3). Following the initial discovery of epidermal growth factor (EGF) by Stanley Cohen in 1963, the EGFR family was identified as receptors for EGF (4, 5). Consisting of four members, ErbB1-4 (human epidermal growth factor receptors HER1-4), both homo- and hetero-dimerization of receptors within this family lead to downstream signaling. So far, eight EGFR (HER1) ligands have been reported. However, there are no known ligands for EGFR family member HER2(6–10) despite reports that HER2 undergoes dimerization with other EGF receptors and generates signals for cell growth (11). Importantly, HER2 overexpression and mutation have been observed in many human cancers and the presence of these abnormalities can determine clinical treatment [reviewed in (12)].

Signaling pathways engaged by the EGFR family regulate cell growth, differentiation, invasion, and wound healing. The signal transduction mechanism is tightly regulated by ligand binding to extracellular domains (ECD) of EGFRs, resulting in a change in

conformation and dimerization, passing the signal from outside the cell to the cytoplasmic side via the transmembrane domain and finally cross-phosphorylation by the cytosolic kinase domain (1-3). Adapter proteins help carry out the downstream signaling events leading to the activation of transcription factors for cell growth. Signaling is terminated by receptor downregulation, whereby active receptors undergo endocytosis and are sorted into the lysosome for degradation following ubiquitination. However, some of the receptor molecules are recycled back to the cell surface to maintain the number of EGF receptors on the surface in a process termed EGFR recycling (13-16) (Figure 1). The disruption of proper EGFR signaling and trafficking leads to diseases like cancer, where overexpression or activating mutations within EGFR promote tumor growth. Current treatments for these types of cancers include tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), which inhibit downstream signaling by directly impairing EGFR tyrosine kinase activity. However, many patients have tumors that become non-responsive to TKIs, thus drawing the need for new treatment strategies in EGFR-driven tumors (17-19).

In the present review, we summarize the role that EGFR structure, dimerization, and trafficking play in signal modulation (Figure 1). Further, we discuss how targeting of these processes in combination may be able to overcome current treatment limitations for tumors that overexpress EGFR or have an EGFR-activating mutation.

Biochemical basis of activation

All four EGF receptors have a similar structure, with a nearly 620 amino acid extracellular domain (ECD), short transmembrane domain (TM), juxtamembrane domain (JM), a 540 amino acid intracellular region containing the kinase domain (KD), and a

carboxy-terminal tail made of 230 amino acids with multiple phosphorylation sites (Figure 2). The ECD consists of domains I to IV: I and III are involved in ligand binding, and domains II and IV are cysteine-rich domains and contain a string of disulfide bonds. Structures of EGFR "open" and "closed" conformations have been elucidated by X-ray crystallography (9, 20, 21). In the closed (tethered) conformation (Figure 3), domain II forms contact with domain IV, thereby blocking any other molecular contact with domain II. Domains I and III form a huge groove on one side of the molecule that can be occupied by EGFR ligands. Upon ligand binding, domains I and III come close, promoting the extended conformation in which domain IV moves away from domain II, thus opening domain II and IV for interaction of its dimerization partner (open conformation). Different possible dimers of EGFR (e.g., EGFR-EGFR, EGFR-HER2, HER2-HER3) have a similar extracellular dimer structure (Figure 3). Importantly, HER2, which is not known to interact with any EGF ligands, exists in an open conformation, thus allowing it to partner with other EGFR molecules that have bound ligand. Dimerization of the ECD then induces dimerization of the TM helical region through N-terminal GxxxG-like motifs. Further, the JM domain also contacts its partner EGFR molecule resulting in asymmetric dimerization of the kinase domain. EGFRs that are studied in detergent micelles suggest that the dimerization of ECD does not necessarily lead to the dimerization of the kinase domains (23, 24). The kinase domain contains C and N-lobes; upon dimerization, the C-lobe of one kinase interacts with the N-lobe of the dimerization partner's kinase allowing for cross-phosphorylation. This results in downstream signaling through the recruitment of adaptor proteins to the phosphorylated tyrosine residues (25). Although the biochemical action of EGFR is described at the molecular level, most of the information about EGFR structure and mechanism of action is based on the structure of individual domains since full-length EGFR



FIGURE 1

Schematic of EGFR Trafficking and Signaling. (A) Clathrin-mediated endocytosis of EGFR dimers and monomers under low ligand conditions promotes receptor recycling, while ubiquitinated dimers will be degraded. (B) Distinct signaling adaptors are associated with EGFR dimers at the plasma membrane and endosome. (C) Clathrin-independent endocytosis occurs under high ligand concentration, promotes receptor ubiquitination, and subsequent lysosomal degradation. Created with BioRender.com.



structure is difficult to elucidate with available experimental methods. Therefore, one has to put the available structural pieces together to get the purported overall structure of full-length EGFR family members, and to infer mechanisms contributing to their dimerization and signaling in cells.

of EGFRs, PDB ID: 3NJP (20), 2KS1 (21), 3GOP (22),

The ECD of EGFRs is known to dimerize upon ligand addition and induce the dimerization of the intracellular kinase domain, however, there are reports that isolated kinase domains dimerize and activate signaling when JM segments are present (22, 26, 27). Therefore, it is proposed that ligand-free EGFRs can undergo dimerization and exist as active and inactive dimers. In support of this notion is the observation that overexpression of wild type EGFR leads to ligand-independent activation of IRF3, rather than the ERK or AKT pathways, which has been termed non-canonical EGFR signaling (28). This has been further supported by changes in distribution within the plasma membrane, with high EGFR expression promoting oligomerization and ligand-independent phosphorylation that appears to have no impact on ERK or AKT signaling (28, 29). In addition to EGF-induced and ligandindependent activation, EGFR activation can be induced by six other ligands (30). These EGFR ligands have a varying affinity, induce specific dimerization pairings of the four EGFRs, and lead to distinct cellular outcomes. Thus, activation of EGFR signaling can occur in scenarios outside of EGF addition, which leads to specific receptor dimerization/oligomerization and varying cellular response.

The plasma membrane also plays a role in modulating EGFR dimerization, activation, and autoinhibition. Within the plasma membrane, cholesterol-rich lipid microdomains have been found to promote quick movement of EGFR and HER2, which allows for rapid dimerization and signaling upon ligand addition (31–34). Conversely, depletion of membrane cholesterol through methyl- β -cyclodextrin treatment leads to the accumulation of EGFR within confined regions of the membrane and therefore promotes ligand-

independent receptor activation (31). While these findings were in live cells using microscopic techniques, they have limitations in terms of what EGFR is doing at a structural level within the membrane that recent molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have started to fill in.

The EGFR kinase domain surface has many basic residues (27), which are shielded by the C-terminal domain in the active dimer. MD simulations suggest that the EGFR kinase domain is attached to the membrane by interaction of the basic residues with anionic lipids (35). Thus, the active site of the kinase domain is not exposed. On the other hand, asymmetric dimers of kinases have less interaction with anionic lipids, and the kinase domain is available for phosphorylation, making EGFR active (36). Although EGFR ECDs are assumed to be perpendicular to the plane formed by the cell membrane, MD simulations studies found that the ECD of EGFR molecules lie down on the membrane. This orientation brings the EGF-binding site adjacent to the membrane surface where it can interact with the membrane-bound ligand (37). This asymmetric nature of EGFR dimers, where one of the ligands is bound to the membrane as well as EGFR and the other only bound to EGFR, may lead to negative cooperativity. Although MD simulations provide some insight into the dynamic nature of EGFR receptors and their dimers, these structures are modeled based on X-ray crystal structure and solution structure using NMR and modeling methods. In reality, dimerization and activation of the kinase domain seem to depend on the microenvironment and charges of lipid head groups facing the cytoplasmic side of the membrane (24, 27, 35-37). Thus, signal transduction processes at the molecular level have yet to be elucidated in detail.

Moving forward, structural elucidation of full-length EGFRs using cryo-EM and molecular modeling may provide new insights into the signal transduction process of EGFR family members. Furthermore, EGFRs that lack part or full ECD have been found in clinical studies (38–40), calling into question the importance of ECD and conformational changes associated with ECD and TM for kinase activity. Thus, altered or mutated forms of EGFR exist in disease states of patients, which don't fit into the current models, and hence extensive structural and functional studies of EGF family receptors are needed to address those limitations.

Regulation of EGFR trafficking and signaling

In 1976, Carpenter and Cohen published the first paper on EGFR trafficking, postulating that EGFR-bound growth factor could enter human fibroblasts and be degraded within the lysosome (41). However, little was known about the regulation of this pathway and whether it served a purpose for receptor signaling. It is now appreciated that along the pathway to the lysosome, several steps participate in the regulation of EGFR trafficking and signaling, including endocytosis, protein recycling, and protein degradation (Figure 1A).

At the plasma membrane, active EGFR dimers generate a conformation that leads to the trans-phosphorylation of tyrosine



residues in the cytoplasmic tail, promoting the recruitment of numerous signaling adaptor proteins that engage pathways such as the RAS-MAPK cascade and the phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) pathway. In addition to signaling adaptors, phosphorylation of EGFR at Tyrosine 1068 and 1086 leads to growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (Grb2) binding, thus promoting the recruitment of adaptor protein complex-2 (AP-2) and allowing EGFR to undergo clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) (42, 43). CME is also regulated by ubiquitination and acetylation of EGFR (44), with clathrin acting as a signaling scaffold for the AKT pathway (45). However, recent literature suggests endocytosis of EGFR is more complicated than the canonical CME model, with subsets of clathrin-coated pits that may not require AP-2, and instead rely on other endocytic adaptors, to promote endocytosis (46, 47). A further layer of complexity to EGFR endocytosis is added when ligand concentration is taken into consideration. In contrast to low concentration of ligand, which promotes CME, high concentration of ligand promotes ubiquitination of active EGFR by the E3 ubiquitin ligase Cbl, thus allowing it to undergo rapid clathrin-independent endocytosis

(CIE) and steering it toward eventual degradation (46). Thus, a simplified model of EGFR dimer activation and endocytosis has been generated, however this fails to address any further clustering of active dimers and endocytosis of EGFR monomers.

Unlike the simplified model, which only requires phosphorylation of a dimer for signaling to occur, a more complicated model emerges whereby this activation depends on ligand addition and further oligomerization of EGFR (48, 49). Under low ligand concentration, it's been thought that ligandbound dimerized EGFR can trans-phosphorylate nearby dimers that are unbound, thus amplifying the signal (48). However, this model is apart from the traditional endocytosis model and more work is needed to elucidate if endocytosis of all receptors within the oligomer would occur in a CME manner. In contrast to oligomers, more work has been done on how inactive monomers internalize. Unbound EGFRs are internalized at a slower rate compared to ligand-bound EGFRs (50), which may in part be due to endocytic regulation based on signals from active dimers. Active EGFR triggers p38 activation, which phosphorylates EGFR monomers near Serine 1015, resulting in CME (51). Thus, ligand concentration and receptor activation are closely linked to the regulation of endocytosis for both active dimers and unbound monomers.

Following endocytosis, EGFR traffics to the early endosome, where decisions are then made for receptor recycling or degradation. Apart from these pathways, EGFRs are also known to be transported into the nucleus after early endosomal sorting to participate in transcriptional regulation (52, 53). While signaling is initiated at the plasma membrane by ligand binding and dimerization, whether the receptor continues to signal from the endosome remains highly debated. Early literature suggested active EGFR continued to signal from the endosome until incorporation into intralumenal vesicles (ILVs), thus inhibiting access of the EGFR C-terminus to cytosolic signaling effectors. The first study to assess the relationship between signaling and cellular location utilized mutant dynamin and proposed that phospholipase C gamma (PLCy) and Shc signaling occur at the plasma membrane while further EGFR phosphorylation, ERK, and PI3K signaling derive from the endosome (54). Further literature suggested this may be in part due to which adaptors are associated with EGFR at these cellular locations, with some only interacting at the plasma membrane or intracellularly and others appearing in both populations (55). Some adaptors appeared to traffic from the plasma membrane to the endosome with EGFR upon EGF addition and sustain signaling throughout this process (56-58) and adaptors/scaffolds that aid in signaling at the endosome, such as Shoc2, have also been reported (59). Utilizing spatial proteomics, many signaling molecules have also been found in proximity to endosomal EGFR (60). However, the depletion of dynamin in mouse fibroblasts suggested signaling was unimpacted and therefore a majority of EGFR signaling may occur at the plasma membrane (61). Further, RAS primarily localizes to the plasma membrane and not the endosome, thus limiting where EGFR signaling mediated by RAS can occur (62, 63). These studies highlight that while some adaptors traffic with EGFR to the endosome, signaling may be limited by localization of other required components to the plasma membrane (Figure 1B). Thus, the debate on where signaling occurs is still ongoing but may depend on factors like cell type, protein depletion, method of overexpression, or technical limitations.

While at the endosome, one potential fate for EGFR is recycling back to the plasma membrane, which is mediated by the Retromer, Retriever, COMMD/CCDC22/CCDC93 (CCC), and WASP and SCAR homologue (WASH) complexes (64). Depletion of these complexes promotes lysosomal degradation of cargo (65, 66) thus suggesting more of an active process for cargo selection in recycling than previously appreciated (59). For unbound EGFR monomers, this recycling is regulated by p38-mediated phosphorylation either downstream of low-concentration EGF addition (51) or phosphatidic acid signaling (67), though it remains unclear which recycling machinery is necessary for this process. On the way back to the plasma membrane, it's also thought that any spontaneously active monomers are shut off by protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B)-mediated dephosphorylation (68). In addition to active cargo selection, proteins that inhibit selection for degradation, like lipocalin-2, also induce recycling and sustained EGFR activity (69). Outside of recycling logistics for monomers, most research on EGFR recycling and signaling has been conducted in the context of benefitting cancer growth and progression, as discussed later.

Alternatively, endosomal EGFR may undergo selection for lysosomal degradation. Entrance into the degradation pathway is reliant upon EGFR ubiquitination, by ligases such as Cbl and ZNRF1, thus allowing recognition by the endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRTs) for incorporation into ILV (59, 70-73). Subsequent fusion of the multivesicular body (MVB) with the lysosome leads to EGFR degradation (74). Mutations in the ESCRT pathway have been associated with endosomal EGFR accumulation and enhanced signaling, but delayed receptor turnover, thus providing some support for the endosomal signaling model. Post-translational modification of the ESCRT machinery, such as glycosylation of HRS/HGS, has also been shown to play a role in regulation of EGFR degradation and signaling (75). It's been suggested that ligand concentration/method of internalization dictates receptor fate, with clathrin independent endocytosis under high ligand concentration leading to receptor degradation (Figure 1C). Interactions with the ER may provide some cues for determining EGFR fate, with ER resident proteins able to keep the endosome in the perinuclear region of the cell to promote degradation and signal termination (76, 77). Thus, degradation and signaling are not only mediated by the ESCRT machinery, but also by intracellular localization of the endosomal compartment.

Spatially, the recycling and degradation machinery reside in different microdomains within the endosome, and a present area of focus is how cargo moves into the proper microdomain. Thus far, there have been studies linking recycling machinery such as WASH and receptor-mediated endocytosis -8 (RME-8) to recruitment and activity of ESCRT-0 component HRS, though no direct interaction has been found (78, 79). Based on actin nucleation being regulated by WASH, the transition to ESCRT-0 and choice between cargo recycling and degradation may be partially dependent upon actin binding/recognition. Further, RME-8 interacts with Hsc70 to disassemble endosomal clathrin, which is thought to be critical

for assembly of HRS and transition to a degradative microdomain (80, 81). Thus, coats of either actin or clathrin play a role in determining the endosomal microdomain which cargo is incorporated into and therefore fate of the cargo. In addition to these coats providing a microdomain platform, studies in plants have shown a direct interaction between ESCRT-associated ALIX and retromer subunits (82), thus suggesting there may be additional processes/mechanisms by which these microdomains are regulated. Cumulatively, these microdomains are a continued area of study which may provide the ability to modulate trafficking and signaling of receptor cargo.

Limitations of EGFR-mediated cancer treatment strategies

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) serve as the main therapy to target EGFR in cancer and have evolved through many generations. Imatinib was the first TKI approved for cancer therapy just over two decades ago (83) and was followed by first-generation EGFRspecific TKIs, gefitinib and erlotinib, thus revolutionizing targeted therapy by kinase inhibitors (84). Presently, there are four generations of TKIs, with those up through the third being approved for clinical use (Table 1) (104, 105). However, TKIs only delay tumor growth, and most tumors develop resistance within two years due to intrinsic or acquired changes.

Intrinsic resistance can be caused by genetic aberrations in multiple cancer signaling pathways, as is the case non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with EGFR T790 mutation who do not respond to gefitinib and instead maintain proliferative and cell survival signaling in the presence of TKIs using alternative pathways such as integrin signaling (106, 107). It was shown that interaction of EGFR and integrin β4 can affect the sensitivity of gefitinib treatment in gastric cancer (108). Third generation TKIs, such as Osimertinib, have been developed to treat these patients (109), however they develop drug resistance through unknown mechanisms (110). Fourth generation inhibitors or multitargeting TKIs such as brigatinib and vandetanib are developed to overcome the resistance developed by third generation TKIs. However, brigatinib therapy seems to develop resistance due to NTRK rearrangement in some patients (99-103). A small-molecule EGFR inhibitor, ERAS-801 has received an orphan drug

TABLE 1 Representative EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors from each generation to overcome resistance and mutations of EGFR kinase domain.

TKI/year of approval	Structure	Targeted mutation/ reversible, irreversible	Possible Resistance development	Reference			
First generation							
Erlotinib 2004		Glu746-Ala750 deletion in exon 19 and the common p.Leu858Arg substitution in exon 21 Reversible	EGFR T790M HER2 amplification	(84)			
Gefitinib 2003		Glu746-Ala750 deletion in exon 19 and the common p.Leu858Arg substitution in exon 21 Reversible	EGFR T790M HER2 amplification	(85, 86)			
Lapatinib 2007		Targets both EGFR and HER2 kinase Reversible	Overexpression with activation of other tyrosine receptor kinases Axl, MET, IGF-1R, VEGF	(87)			
Second generation							
Afatinib 2013		Del19/L858R uncommon <i>EGFR</i> mutations (S768I/G719X/L861Q) Irreversible	EGFR T790M HER2 amplification MET amplification	(88)			

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

TKI/year of approval	Structure	Targeted mutation/ reversible, irreversible	Possible Resistance development	Reference			
Dacomitinib 2018		EGFR exon 19 deletion or exon 21 L858R substitution mutations Irreversible	EGFR T790M HER2 amplification MET amplification	(89) (90)			
Neratinib 2017	$\begin{array}{c} H_{0}C \longrightarrow O \\ H_{3}C \\ H_{3}C \end{array} \xrightarrow{H_{0}} H_{0} \\ H_{3}C \end{array} \xrightarrow{H_{0}} H_{0} \\ H_{1}C \\ \end{array}$	Targets EGFR, HER1, HER2, and HER4. Irreversible	HER2 alteration, alterations in the HER3/PI3K/protein kinase B, AKT (mTOR) and MAPK signaling.	(91–93)			
Third generation							
Rociletinib 2022	O HN NH F F F F	EGFR Ex19del L858R, T790M Irreversible	EGFR C797S HER2 amplification, MET amplification KRAS mutation	(94) (95)			
Osimertinib 2015		EGFR T790M and EGFR sensitive L858R and Del19 Irreversible	EGFR C797S MET amplification HER2 amplification/mutation PIK#CA Amplification/mutation	(96) (97)			
Olmutinib 2016		EGFR Ex19del L858R, T790M Irreversible	EGFR C797S	(98, 99)			
Fourth generation or Multi-target TKIs							
Brigatinib 2017		EGFR Ex19de L858R, C797S T790M Reversible	Possible NTRK rearrangement (LIPI-NTRK1)	(100) (99)			
Vandetanib 2011	H ₃ C _N H ₃ C _O H ₃ C _O H ₃ C _O H _N F HN F Br	VEGFR, EGFR and RET tyrosine kinases. Reversible	Substitution at codon 904 in the activation loop of the RET kinase domain	(101-103)			

Structure of TKIs were drawn based on structures in Selleckchem.com.

10.3389/fonc.2023.1258371

designation by the FDA for malignant glioma. It is an orally available molecule that has significant CNS penetration (111). Another small molecule tucatinib, a reversible TKI, is known to bind to HER2 with specificity. Tucatinib in combination with antibody trastuzumab has shown efficacy in breast cancer and this drug was recently approved by FDA for the treatment of HER2 positive metastatic breast cancer (112, 113). Acquired resistance is caused by on-target secondary mutations and new generations of TKIs were developed to overcome the resistance (86, 114), but these still lack the ability to address drug resistance associated with altered receptor trafficking (115-117). For example, in a subset of NSCLC the mutant EGFRs preferentially recycle rather than degrade, leading to enhanced signaling by EGFR and the proto-oncogene tyrosine kinase Src (14, 116, 118-121). Cumulatively, further understanding and modulation of EGFR trafficking in cancer may provide an additional treatment option to bypass resistance to TKI treatment.

One of the mechanisms utilized by cancer cells to develop resistance is to avoid ubiquitin-mediated degradation of EGFR. In tumors harboring EGFR variant VIII, signaling is independent of ligand addition and remains constitutively active, however ubiquitination by the ubiquitin ligase Cbl promotes lysosomal degradation and therefore signal termination (122, 123). However, further EGFR mutations, which impair the interaction with Cbl, limit incorporation into MVBs for lysosomal degradation and instead cause prolonged signaling (124). Another way to avoid ubiquitin-mediated degradation is relocation of EGFR to the nucleus mediated by the tumor suppressor protein TIP30 (125, 126) and nuclear translocation signals within the juxtamembrane region (127), thus mutation at this level would also render TKI therapy ineffective and promote resistance.

Another mechanism of resistance is inhibition of EGFR downregulation by HER2. HER2 can act as an inhibitor of the downregulation of other EGFR family members due to the ECD existing in an open conformation thereby promoting receptor heterodimerization (2, 128-130). Overexpression of HER2 is thought to impact EGFR trafficking in two ways: decrease downregulation/internalization from the plasma membrane (131-135), and/or reroute internalized EGFR from the degradation pathway to the recycling pathway (136). Multiple proposed mechanisms exist for how HER2 may inhibit downregulation including inducing a conformation change, interactions with lipid raft components (137), and inhibition of clathrin coated pits (138, 139). In contrast, HER2 may promote recycling of EGFR since it isn't contained in an endolysosomal compartment (138, 140-143) and is recycled back to the plasma membrane in a sortilin-related receptor 1 (SORLA)-dependent manner (144, 145). It's also been thought that at least some regulation of HER2 trafficking is through the kinase domain, with binding of Hsp90 allowing for sequestration and preventing catalytic activity of HER2 (71, 146-148). Lastly, it was recently shown in breast cancer cells that pharmacologic inhibition of endosomal recycling using primaquine or knockdown of the Rab coupling protein led to the lysosomal accumulation and degradation of HER2 (149). These manipulations were found to synergize with anti-HER2 therapies and overcome resistance to the TKI lapatinib, thus suggesting that manipulating endosomal recycling could be a viable strategy to overcome resistance to therapies targeting the EGFR family of receptors.

Thus far, antibodies have been designed to target HER2 trafficking mechanisms, however these rely on HER2 being the main source of resistance for a tumor. One such antibody, Trastuzumab, has been found to inhibit ligand-independent activation, promote internalization, and prevent shedding of the HER2 ECD (150-153). In the case that a truncated form is present that lacks the ECD (p95HER2) (154-157), it's thought that resistance to trastuzumab can develop, but thus far clinical studies have found no significant difference in survival among patients with p95HER2 relative to those lacking it (40, 158-160). Pertuzumab has also been shown to prevent dimerization of HER2 (161) and a combination with trastuzumab produces synergistic effects (162-166). Additionally, polyclonal, and bispecific anti-HER2 antibodies have been shown to promote rapid internalization and subsequent degradation of HER2 (165, 167, 168). These data show that HER2 can be targeted and regulate EGFR in cancer, however they fail to address how trafficking of EGFR, HER2, and HER3 impacts other receptors. EGFR trafficking in the absence of other family members has been extensively studied, but EGFR trafficking in these conditions is an ongoing area of research.

Combining TKIs with EGFR trafficking inhibitors for cancer therapy

Since the main mechanism of resistance to TKI therapy involve vesicular trafficking, either by avoiding ubiquitin-mediated degradation or through HER2 trafficking, it stands to reason that a combination therapy approach could be effective. Preliminary understanding of efficacy in part derives from HER2 antibody studies, as discussed in the previous section, but also from existing TKIs that can alter trafficking of HER2 at high concentration. An example of this is Neratinib, a pan-HER TKI (169), which inhibits kinase activity and induces the internalization of EGFR/HER2 receptors through CME leading to their degradation only at a high dose (170). While adjusting TKI concentrations can be easily done in the context of tumor cell lines, it poses a potential toxicity problem when translating to humans. As such, further development of either TKIs that alter trafficking at low concentration or of small molecules that can be combined with TKIs is necessary.

One of the trafficking steps often dysregulated during TKI resistance in endocytosis, so preliminary studies on combination therapy have utilized molecules that inhibit CME. Through *in vitro* work and mouse models, it's become appreciated that pairing the TKI gefitinib with endocytosis inhibitors decreases tumor cell survival (171, 172). Thus, a model has been formed that upon CME inhibition, EGFR undergoes macropinocytotic-dependent internalization, thereby promoting lysosomal degradation rather than receptor recycling (173). Depending on the molecule used to inhibit CME, mechanisms of internalization beyond macropinocytosis may also be utilized. This is the case with the small molecule DPBA, which mediates flotillin-dependent

internalization through lipid rafts rather than relying on posttranslational modification of EGFR (174), thus allowing it to work for WT or TKI resistant tumors. However, CME is just one step of EGFR trafficking and several other steps exist before EGFR degradation that may also be beneficial to target for combination therapy.

After endocytosis, EGFR moves through the endocytic system where it may continue to signal until incorporation into a MVB for degradation. Studies have suggested that ability to sustain EGFR signaling from endosomes promotes apoptosis (175), however the cell lines utilized are known to undergo EGFR-mediated death. Additional studies have supported the notion by knockdown of Neuropilin-2 leading to entrapment of EGFR in early endosomes and subsequent cell death (176), though the definitive linkage between EGFR signaling and cell death remains unclear. Targeting this step of trafficking may prove to be the most controversial approach to TKI resistance due to the unknowns on whether EGFR actually signals from endosomes. Further questions arise when considering that most endocytic machinery have been historically considered tumor suppressors, and the sustained EGFR signaling thought to be advantageous for survival. Thus, advanced understanding of endosomal EGFR signaling and how it may impact tumor viability are necessary before this strategy is viable for combination therapy with TKIs.

Finally, nuclear translocation of EGFR is another mechanism of TKI resistance that may be a useful target for combination therapy. Like the strategies above for keeping EGFR at endosomes to modulate signaling, strategies at this step of trafficking aim to inhibit nuclear translocation and therefore keep EGFR at endosomes. Early studies in cell lines have shown that small molecules, such as Primaquine and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, block nuclear translocation and may promote changes in EGFR signaling and cell death (177, 178). However, limitations of these studies are apparent in that both treatments may have unintended consequences for other cell processes that make it hard to discern EGFR-dependence. Additionally, combination of these therapies with TKIs has not yet been assessed, therefore highlighting additional understanding required before these approaches can be translated to patients. Though many steps in EGFR trafficking exist that can be utilized to overcome resistance to TKI therapy, research on combination of TKIs with endocytosis inhibitors is the most advanced but still has a way to go before advancing to the clinic. Thus, combination of EGFR trafficking and TKIs presents an understudied area that has potential opportunity to benefit cancer patients with TKI resistance.

Concluding remarks

The EGFR family of growth factor receptors remains the most extensively studied receptor family due to its clear association with cancer development and progression. Indeed, overexpression of these receptors, mutation, evasion of degradation, enhanced recycling, and/or altered signaling pathways of EGFR results in cancer development through enhancing downstream signaling. The generation of detailed structures of these receptors has provided important insight into the underlying molecular mechanisms contributing to receptor activation/dimerization and signal transduction. Moreover, they have provided atomic level detail on the mechanism of action of TKIs and monoclonal antibodies targeting the EGFR family of receptors. Many tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been developed as therapeutic agents for cancer. However, most EGFR-expressing tumors eventually become resistant to these inhibitors, thus requiring new treatment strategies. It is becoming clear that EGFR trafficking has implications in different types of cancer and that the development of resistance to TKIs is related to altered EGFR trafficking. Therefore, in addition to TKI therapy, EGFR/HER2 trafficking may be an additional target for cancer treatment. Findings in this area could increase efficacy and overcome resistance to TKI treatments that occur in the patient population.

Author contributions

SJ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DB: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The authors declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research work was supported by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) of the National Institutes of Health (5R01CA255176-03) to SJ and DDB.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Burgess AW, Cho HS, Eigenbrot C, Ferguson KM, Garrett TP, Leahy DJ, et al. An open-and-shut case? Recent insights into the activation of EGF/ErbB receptors. *Mol Cell* (2003) 12:541–52. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(03)00350-2

2. Ferguson KM. Structure-based view of epidermal growth factor receptor regulation. Annu Rev Biophys (2008) 37:353-73. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.biophys.37.032807.125829

3. Lemmon MA, Schlessinger J, Ferguson KM. The EGFR family: not so prototypical receptor tyrosine kinases. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* (2014) 6:a020768. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a020768

4. Cohen S. Isolation of a mouse submaxillary gland protein accelerating incisor eruption and eyelid opening in the new-born animal. *J Biol Chem* (1962) 237:1555–62. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)83739-0

5. Cohen S, Elliott GA. The stimulation of epidermal keratinization by a protein isolated from the submaxillary gland of the mouse. *J Invest Dermatol* (1963) 40:1–5. doi: 10.1038/jid.1963.1

6. Heikinheimo K, Voutilainen R, Happonen RP, Miettinen PJ. EGF receptor and its ligands, EGF and TGF-alpha, in developing and neoplastic human odontogenic tissues. *Int J Dev Biol* (1993) 37:387–96.

 Yarden Y, Sliwkowski MX. Untangling the ErbB signalling network. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol (2001) 2:127–37. doi: 10.1038/35052073

8. Ogiso H, Ishitani R, Nureki O, Fukai S, Yamanaka M, Kim JH, et al. Crystal structure of the complex of human epidermal growth factor and receptor extracellular domains. *Cell* (2002) 110:775–87. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00963-7

9. Ferguson KM, Berger MB, Mendrola JM, Cho HS, Leahy DJ, Lemmon MA. EGF activates its receptor by removing interactions that autoinhibit ectodomain dimerization. *Mol Cell* (2003) 11:507–17. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(03)00047-9

10. Harris RC, Chung E, Coffey RJ. EGF receptor ligands. *Exp Cell Res* (2003) 284:2–13. doi: 10.1016/S0014-4827(02)00105-2

11. Citri A, Skaria KB, Yarden Y. The deaf and the dumb: the biology of ErbB-2 and ErbB-3. *Exp Cell Res* (2003) 284:54–65. doi: 10.1016/S0014-4827(02)00101-5

12. Galogre M, Rodin D, Pyatnitskiy M, Mackelprang M, KOman I. A Review of HER2 overexpression and somatic mutations in cancers. *Crit Rev Oncology/ Hematology* (2023) 103997. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2023.103997

13. Lill NL, Sever NI. Where EGF receptors transmit their signals. *Sci Signal* (2012) 5:pe41. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2003341

14. Singh B, Coffey RJ. Trafficking of epidermal growth factor receptor ligands in polarized epithelial cells. *Annu Rev Physiol* (2014) 76:275–300. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-021113-170406

15. Tomas A, Futter CE, Eden ER. EGF receptor trafficking: consequences for signaling and cancer. *Trends Cell Biol* (2014) 24:26–34. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2013.11.002

16. Bakker J, Spits M, Neefjes J, Berlin I. The EGFR odyssey - from activation to destruction in space and time. J Cell Sci (2017) 130:4087–96. doi: 10.1242/jcs.209197

 Jackman D, Pao W, Riely GJ, Engelman JA, Kris MG, Janne PA, et al. Clinical definition of acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol (2010) 28:357–60. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2009.24.7049

18. Camidge DR, Pao W, Sequist LV. Acquired resistance to TKIs in solid tumours: learning from lung cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2014) 11:473-81. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.104

19. Santoni-Rugiu E, Melchior LC, Urbanska EM, Jakobsen JN, Stricker K, Grauslund M, et al. Intrinsic resistance to EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors in EGFRmutant non-small cell lung cancer: differences and similarities with acquired resistance. *Cancers (Basel)* (2019) 11. doi: 10.3390/cancers11070923

20. Lu C, Mi LZ, Grey MJ, Zhu J, Graef E, Yokoyama S, et al. Structural evidence for loose linkage between ligand binding and kinase activation in the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Mol Cell Biol* (2010) 30:5432–43. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00742-10

21. Mineev KS, Bocharov EV, Pustovalova YE, Bocharova OV, Chupin VV, Arseniev AS. Spatial structure of the transmembrane domain heterodimer of ErbB1 and ErbB2 receptor tyrosine kinases. *J Mol Biol* (2010) 400:231–43. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2010.05.016

22. Red Brewer M, Choi SH, Alvarado D, Moravcevic K, Pozzi A, Lemmon MA, et al. The juxtamembrane region of the EGF receptor functions as an activation domain. *Mol Cell* (2009) 34:641–51. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2009.04.034

23. Mi LZ, Grey MJ, Nishida N, Walz T, Lu C, Springer TA. Functional and structural stability of the epidermal growth factor receptor in detergent micelles and phospholipid nanodiscs. *Biochemistry* (2008) 47:10314–23. doi: 10.1021/bi801006s

24. Wang Z, Longo PA, Tarrant MK, Kim K, Head S, Leahy DJ, et al. Mechanistic insights into the activation of oncogenic forms of EGF receptor. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* (2011) 18:1388–93. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.2168

25. Kovacs E, Zorn JA, Huang Y, Barros T, Kuriyan J. A structural perspective on the regulation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Annu Rev Biochem* (2015) 84:739–64. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-060614-034402

26. Thiel KW, Carpenter G. Epidermal growth factor receptor juxtamembrane region regulates allosteric tyrosine kinase activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2007) 104:19238–43. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0703854104

 Jura N, Endres NF, Engel K, Deindl S, Das R, Lamers MH, et al. Mechanism for activation of the EGF receptor catalytic domain by the juxtamembrane segment. *Cell* (2009) 137:1293–307. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.04.025
Chakraborty S, Li L, Puliyappadamba VT, Guo G, Hatanpaa KJ, Mickey B, et al.

28. Chakraborty S, Li L, Puliyappadamba VT, Guo G, Hatanpaa KJ, Mickey B, et al. Constitutive and ligand-induced EGFR signalling triggers distinct and mutually exclusive downstream signalling networks. *Nat Commun* (2014) 5 5811. doi: 10.1038/ncomms6811

29. Byrne PO, Hristova K, Leahy DJ. EGFR forms ligand-independent oligomers that are distinct from the active state. *J Biol Chem* (2020) 295:13353–62. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.RA120.012852

30. Singh B, Carpenter G, Coffey RJ. EGF receptor ligands: recent advances. *F1000Res* (2016) 5. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.9025.1

31. Orr G, Hu D, Ozcelik S, Opresko LK, Wiley HS, Colson SD. Cholesterol dictates the freedom of EGF receptors and HER2 in the plane of the membrane. *Biophys J* (2005) 89:1362–73. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.104.056192

32. Puri C, Tosoni D, Comai R, Rabellino A, Segat D, Caneva F, et al. Relationships between EGFR signaling-competent and endocytosis-competent membrane microdomains. *Mol Biol Cell* (2005) 16:2704–18. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e04-07-0596

33. Hofman EG, Bader AN, Gerritsen HC, Van Bergen En Henegouwen PM. EGF induces rapid reorganization of plasma membrane microdomains. *Commun Integr Biol* (2009) 2:213–4. doi: 10.4161/cib.2.3.7877

34. Irwin ME, Mueller KL, Bohin N, Ge Y, Boerner JL. Lipid raft localization of EGFR alters the response of cancer cells to the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor gefitinib. *J Cell Physiol* (2011) 226:2316–28. doi: 10.1002/jcp.22570

35. Endres NF, Das R, Smith AW, Arkhipov A, Kovacs E, Huang Y, et al. Conformational coupling across the plasma membrane in activation of the EGF receptor. *Cell* (2013) 152:543–56. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.032

36. Arkhipov A, Shan Y, Das R, Endres NF, Eastwood MP, Wemmer DE, et al. Architecture and membrane interactions of the EGF receptor. *Cell* (2013) 152:557–69. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.030

37. Arkhipov A, Shan Y, Kim ET, Shaw DE. Membrane interaction of bound ligands contributes to the negative binding cooperativity of the EGF receptor. *PloS Comput Biol* (2014) 10:e1003742. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003742

38. Kumagai T, Davis JG, Horie T, O'rourke DM, Greene MI. The role of distinct p185neu extracellular subdomains for dimerization with the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor and EGF-mediated signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2001) 98:5526–31. doi: 10.1073/pnas.071060598

39. Iqbal N, Iqbal N. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in cancers: overexpression and therapeutic implications. *Mol Biol Int* (2014) 2014:852748. doi: 10.1155/2014/852748

40. Chumsri S, Sperinde J, Liu H, Gligorov J, Spano JP, Antoine M, et al. High p95HER2/HER2 ratio associated with poor outcome in trastuzumab-treated HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer NCCTG N0337 and NCCTG 98-32-52 (Alliance). *Clin Cancer Res* (2018) 24:3053–8. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-1864

41. Carpenter G, Cohen S. 125I-labeled human epidermal growth factor. Binding, internalization, and degradation in human fibroblasts. *J Cell Biol* (1976) 71:159–71. doi: 10.1083/jcb.71.1.159

42. Sorkin A, Di Fiore PP, Carpenter G. The carboxyl terminus of epidermal growth factor receptor/erbB-2 chimerae is internalization impaired. *Oncogene* (1993) 8:3021–8.

43. Jiang X, Huang F, Marusyk A, Sorkin A. Grb2 regulates internalization of EGF receptors through clathrin-coated pits. *Mol Biol Cell* (2003) 14:858–70. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e02-08-0532

44. Goh LK, Huang F, Kim W, Gygi S, Sorkin A. Multiple mechanisms collectively regulate clathrin-mediated endocytosis of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *J Cell Biol* (2010) 189:871–83. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201001008

45. Garay C, Judge G, Lucarelli S, Bautista S, Pandey R, Singh T, et al. Epidermal growth factor-stimulated Akt phosphorylation requires clathrin or ErbB2 but not receptor endocytosis. *Mol Biol Cell* (2015) 26:3504–19. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E14-09-1412

46. Sigismund S, Woelk T, Puri C, Maspero E, Tacchetti C, Transidico P, et al. Clathrin-independent endocytosis of ubiquitinated cargos. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2005) 102:2760–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0409817102

47. Pascolutti R, Algisi V, Conte A, Raimondi A, Pasham M, Upadhyayula S, et al. Molecularly distinct clathrin-coated pits differentially impact EGFR fate and signaling. *Cell Rep* (2019) 27:3049–61.e3046. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.017

48. Needham SR, Roberts SK, Arkhipov A, Mysore VP, Tynan CJ, Zanetti-Domingues LC, et al. EGFR oligomerization organizes kinase-active dimers into competent signalling platforms. *Nat Commun* (2016) 7:13307. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms13307

49. Liang SI, Van Lengerich B, Eichel K, Cha M, Patterson DM, Yoon TY, et al. Phosphorylated EGFR dimers are not sufficient to activate Ras. *Cell Rep* (2018) 22:2593-600. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.031

50. Wiley HS, Herbst JJ, Walsh BJ, Lauffenburger DA, Rosenfeld MG, Gill GN. The role of tyrosine kinase activity in endocytosis, compartmentation, and down-regulation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *J Biol Chem* (1991) 266:11083–94. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)99131-3

51. Tanaka T, Zhou Y, Ozawa T, Okizono R, Banba A, Yamamura T, et al. Ligandactivated epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling governs endocytic trafficking of unliganded receptor monomers by non-canonical phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem* (2018) 293:2288–301. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M117.811299

52. Bryant DM, Stow JL. Nuclear translocation of cell-surface receptors: lessons from fibroblast growth factor. *Traffic* (2005) 6:947–54. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2005.00332.x

53. Wang YN, Yamaguchi H, Hsu JM, Hung MC. Nuclear trafficking of the epidermal growth factor receptor family membrane proteins. *Oncogene* (2010) 29:3997–4006. doi: 10.1038/onc.2010.157

54. Vieira AV, Lamaze C, Schmid SL. Control of EGF receptor signaling by clathrinmediated endocytosis. *Science* (1996) 274:2086–9. doi: 10.1126/science.274.5295.2086

55. Burke P, Schooler K, Wiley HS. Regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling by endocytosis and intracellular trafficking. *Mol Biol Cell* (2001) 12:1897–910. doi: 10.1091/mbc.12.6.1897

56. Sorkin A, Von Zastrow M. Signal transduction and endocytosis: close encounters of many kinds. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol (2002) 3:600–14. doi: 10.1038/nrm883

57. Fortian A, Sorkin A. Live-cell fluorescence imaging reveals high stoichiometry of Grb2 binding to the EGF receptor sustained during endocytosis. *J Cell Sci* (2014) 127:432–44. doi: 10.1242/jcs.137786

58. Heckman CA, Biswas T, Dimick DM, Cayer ML. Activated protein kinase C (PKC) is persistently trafficked with epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor. *Biomolecules* (2020) 10. doi: 10.3390/biom10091288

59. Eden ER, Huang F, Sorkin A, Futter CE. The role of EGF receptor ubiquitination in regulating its intracellular traffic. *Traffic* (2012) 13:329–37. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2011.01305.x

60. Perez Verdaguer M, Zhang T, Surve S, Paulo JA, Wallace C, Watkins SC, et al. Time-resolved proximity labeling of protein networks associated with ligand-activated EGFR. *Cell Rep* (2022) 39:110950. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110950

61. Sousa LP, Lax J, Shen H, Ferguson SM, De Camilli P, Schlessinger J. Suppression of EGFR endocytosis by dynamin depletion reveals that EGFR signaling occurs primarily at the plasma membrane. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2012) 109:4419–24. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1200164109

62. Pinilla-Macua I, Watkins SC, Sorkin A. Endocytosis separates EGF receptors from endogenous fluorescently labeled HRas and diminishes receptor signaling to MAP kinases in endosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2016) 113:2122–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1520301113

63. Surve S, Watkins SC, Sorkin A. EGFR-RAS-MAPK signaling is confined to the plasma membrane and associated endorecycling protrusions. *J Cell Biol* (2021) 220. doi: 10.1083/jcb.202107103

64. Mcnally KE, Cullen PJ. Endosomal retrieval of cargo: retromer is not alone. *Trends Cell Biol* (2018) 28:807-22. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2018.06.005

65. Steinberg F, Gallon M, Winfield M, Thomas EC, Bell AJ, Heesom KJ, et al. A global analysis of SNX27-retromer assembly and cargo specificity reveals a function in glucose and metal ion transport. *Nat Cell Biol* (2013) 15:461–71. doi: 10.1038/ncb2721

66. Mcnally KE, Faulkner R, Steinberg F, Gallon M, Ghai R, Pim D, et al. Retriever is a multiprotein complex for retromer-independent endosomal cargo recycling. *Nat Cell Biol* (2017) 19:1214–25. doi: 10.1038/ncb3610

67. Metz C, Oyanadel C, Jung J, Retamal C, Cancino J, Barra J, et al. Phosphatidic acid-PKA signaling regulates p38 and ERK1/2 functions in ligand-independent EGFR endocytosis. *Traffic* (2021) 22:345–61. doi: 10.1111/tra.12812

68. Baumdick M, Bruggemann Y, Schmick M, Xouri G, Sabet O, Davis L, et al. EGFdependent re-routing of vesicular recycling switches spontaneous phosphorylation suppression to EGFR signaling. *Elife* (2015) 4. doi: 10.7554/eLife.12223

69. Yammine L, Zablocki A, Baron W, Terzi F, Gallazzini M. Lipocalin-2 regulates epidermal growth factor receptor intracellular trafficking. *Cell Rep* (2019) 29:2067–77.e2066. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.10.015

70. Levkowitz G, Waterman H, Zamir E, Kam Z, Oved S, Langdon WY, et al. c-Cbl/ Sli-1 regulates endocytic sorting and ubiquitination of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Genes Dev* (1998) 12:3663–74. doi: 10.1101/gad.12.23.3663

71. Shen F, Lin Q, Childress C, Yang W. Identification of the domain in ErbB2 that restricts ligand-induced degradation. *Cell Signal* (2008) 20:779-86. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2007.12.021

72. Flores-Rodriguez N, Kenwright DA, Chung PH, Harrison AW, Stefani F, Waigh TA, et al. ESCRT-0 marks an APPL1-independent transit route for EGFR between the cell surface and the EEA1-positive early endosome. *J Cell Sci* (2015) 128:755–67. doi: 10.1242/jcs.161786

73. Kazan JM, Desrochers G, Martin CE, Jeong H, Kharitidi D, Apaja PM, et al. Endofin is required for HD-PTP and ESCRT-0 interdependent endosomal sorting of ubiquitinated transmembrane cargoes. *iScience* (2021) 24:103274. doi: 10.1016/ jisci.2021.103274

74. Futter CE, Pearse A, Hewlett LJ, Hopkins CR. Multivesicular endosomes containing internalized EGF-EGF receptor complexes mature and then fuse directly with lysosomes. *J Cell Biol* (1996) 132:1011–23. doi: 10.1083/jcb.132.6.1011

75. Wu L, Cheng Y, Geng D, Fan Z, Lin B, Zhu Q, et al. O-GlcNAcylation regulates epidermal growth factor receptor intracellular trafficking and signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2022) 119:e2107453119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2107453119

76. Cremer T, Jongsma MLM, Trulsson F, Vertegaal ACO, Neefjes J, Berlin I. The ER-embedded UBE2]1/RNF26 ubiquitylation complex exerts spatiotemporal control over the endolysosomal pathway. *Cell Rep* (2021) 34:108659. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108659

77. Torrino S, Tiroille V, Dolfi B, Dufies M, Hinault C, Bonesso L, et al. UBTD1 regulates ceramide balance and endolysosomal positioning to coordinate EGFR signaling. *Elife* (2021) 10. doi: 10.7554/eLife.68348

78. Norris A, Tammineni P, Wang S, Gerdes J, Murr A, Kwan KY, et al. SNX-1 and RME-8 oppose the assembly of HGRS-1/ESCRT-0 degradative microdomains on endosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2017) 114:E307–16. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1612730114

79. Macdonald E, Brown L, Selvais A, Liu H, Waring T, Newman D, et al. HRS-WASH axis governs actin-mediated endosomal recycling and cell invasion. *J Cell Biol* (2018) 217:2549–64. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201710051

80. Raiborg C, Wesche J, Malerod L, Stenmark H. Flat clathrin coats on endosomes mediate degradative protein sorting by scaffolding Hrs in dynamic microdomains. *J Cell Sci* (2006) 119:2414–24. doi: 10.1242/jcs.02978

81. Wenzel EM, Schultz SW, Schink KO, Pedersen NM, Nahse V, Carlson A, et al. Concerted ESCRT and clathrin recruitment waves define the timing and morphology of intraluminal vesicle formation. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9:2932. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05345-8

82. Hu S, Li B, Wu F, Zhu D, Zouhar J, Gao C, et al. Plant ESCRT protein ALIX coordinates with retromer complex in regulating receptor-mediated sorting of soluble vacuolar proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2022) 119:e2200492119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2200492119

83. Iqbal N, Iqbal N. Imatinib: a breakthrough of targeted therapy in cancer. Chemother Res Pract (2014) 2014:357027. doi: 10.1155/2014/357027

84. He J, Huang Z, Han L, Gong Y, Xie C. Mechanisms and management of 3rd –generation EGFR–TKI resistance in advanced non–small cell lung cancer (Review). *Int J Oncol* (2021) 59. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2021.5270

85. Kazandjian D, Blumenthal GM, Yuan W, He K, Keegan P, Pazdur R. FDA approval of gefitinib for the treatment of patients with metastatic EGFR mutation-positive non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2016) 22:1307–12. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2266

86. Rosell R, Carcereny E, Gervais R, Vergnenegre A, Massuti B, Felip E, et al. Erlotinib versus standard chemotherapy as first-line treatment for European patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (EURTAC): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2012) 13:239–46. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70393-X

87. Opdam FL, Guchelaar HJ, Beijnen JH, Schellens JH. Lapatinib for advanced or metastatic breast cancer. *Oncologist* (2012) 17:536–42. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2011-0461

88. Park K, Tan EH, O'byrne K, Zhang L, Boyer M, Mok T, et al. Afatinib versus gefitinib as first-line treatment of patients with EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (LUX-Lung 7): a phase 2B, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2016) 17:577–89. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30033-X

89. Wu YL, Cheng Y, Zhou X, Lee KH, Nakagawa K, Niho S, et al. Dacomitinib versus gefitinib as first-line treatment for patients with EGFR-mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (ARCHER 1050): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2017) 18:1454–66. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30608-3

90. Westover D, Zugazagoitia J, Cho BC, Lovly CM, Paz-Ares L. Mechanisms of acquired resistance to first- and second-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Ann Oncol* (2018) 29:110–9. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx703

91. Deeks ED. Neratinib: first global approval. Drugs (2017) 77:1695-704. doi: 10.1007/s40265-017-0811-4

92. Jackisch C, Barcenas CH, Bartsch R, Palma JD, Gluck S, Harbeck N, et al. Optimal strategies for successful initiation of neratinib in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer* (2021) 21:e575–83. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2021.02.001

93. Eli LD, Kavuri SM. Mechanisms of neratinib resistance in HER2-mutant metastatic breast cancer. *Cancer Drug Resist* (2022) 5:873–81. doi: 10.20517/ cdr.2022.48

94. Li R, Zhou X, Yao H, Li L. Four generations of EGFR TKIs associated with different pathogenic mutations in non-small cell lung carcinoma. *J Drug Target* (2020) 28:861–72. doi: 10.1080/1061186X.2020.1737934

95. Sequist LV, Soria JC, Camidge DR. Update to rociletinib data with the RECIST confirmed response rate. *N Engl J Med* (2016) 374:2296–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1602688

96. RaMalingam SS, Vansteenkiste J, Planchard D, Cho BC, Gray JE, Ohe Y, et al. Overall survival with osimertinib in untreated, EGFR-mutated advanced NSCLC. N Engl J Med (2020) 382:41–50. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1913662

97. Reungwetwattana T, Nakagawa K, Cho BC, Cobo M, Cho EK, Bertolini A, et al. CNS response to osimertinib versus standard epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in patients with untreated EGFR-mutated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* (2018) 36:3290-3297. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2018.78.3118

98. Passaro A, Guerini-Rocco E, Pochesci A, Vacirca D, Spitaleri G, Catania CM, et al. Targeting EGFR T790M mutation in NSCLC: From biology to evaluation and treatment. *Pharmacol Res* (2017) 117:406–15. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2017.01.003

99. Duggirala KB, Lee Y, Lee K. Chronicles of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors: targeting EGFR C797S containing triple mutations. *Biomol Ther (Seoul)* (2022) 30:19–27. doi: 10.4062/biomolther.2021.047

100. Xiao Z, Huang X, Xie B, Xie W, Huang M, Lin L. Primary resistance to brigatinib in a patient with lung adenocarcinoma harboring ALK G1202R mutation and LIPI-NTRK1 rearrangement. *Onco Targets Ther* (2020) 13:4591–5. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S249652

101. Commander H, Whiteside G, Perry C. Vandetanib: first global approval. Drugs (2011) 71:1355–65. doi: 10.2165/11595310-00000000-00000

102. Chau NG, Haddad RI. Vandetanib for the treatment of medullary thyroid cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2013) 19:524–9. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2353

103. Nakaoku T, Kohno T, Araki M, Niho S, Chauhan R, Knowles PP, et al. A secondary RET mutation in the activation loop conferring resistance to vandetanib. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9:625. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-02994-7

104. Roskoski R Jr. Properties of FDA-approved small molecule protein kinase inhibitors. *Pharmacol Res* (2019) 144:19–50. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2019.03.006

105. Pottier C, Fresnais M, Gilon M, Jerusalem G, Longuespee R, Sounni NE. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors in cancer: breakthrough and challenges of targeted therapy. *Cancers (Basel)* (2020) 12. doi: 10.3390/cancers12030731

106. Yu HA, Arcila ME, Rekhtman N, Sima CS, Zakowski MF, Pao W, et al. Analysis of tumor specimens at the time of acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI therapy in 155 patients with EGFR-mutant lung cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* (2013) 19:2240–7. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2246

107. Eberwein P, Laird D, Schulz S, Reinhard T, Steinberg T, Tomakidi P. Modulation of focal adhesion constituents and their down-stream events by EGF: On the cross-talk of integrins and growth factor receptors. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2015) 1853:2183–98. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2015.06.004

108. Huafeng J, Deqing Z, Yong D, Yulian Z, Ailing H. A cross-talk between integrin beta4 and epidermal growth factor receptor induces gefitinib chemoresistance to gastric cancer. *Cancer Cell Int* (2018) 18:50. doi: 10.1186/s12935-018-0548-5

109. Cross DA, Ashton SE, Ghiorghiu S, Eberlein C, Nebhan CA, Spitzler PJ, et al. AZD9291, an irreversible EGFR TKI overcomes T790M-mediated resistance to EGFR inhibitors in lung cancer. *Cancer Discovery* (2014) 4:1046–61. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-0337

110. Thress KS, Paweletz CP, Felip E, Cho BC, Stetson D, Dougherty B, et al. Acquired EGFR C797S mutation mediates resistance to AZD9291 in non-small cell lung cancer harboring EGFR T790M. *Nat Med* (2015) 21:560–2. doi: 10.1038/nm.3854

111. Rodriguez SMB, Kamel A, Ciubotaru GV, Onose G, Sevastre A-S, Sfredel V, et al. An overview of EGFR mechanisms and their implications in targeted therapies for glioblastoma. *Int J Mol Sci* (2023) 24:11110. doi: 10.3390/ijms241311110

112. Schlam I, Swain SM. HER2-positive breast cancer and tyrosine kinase inhibitors: the time is now. *NPJ Breast Cancer* (2021) 7:56. doi: 10.1038/s41523-021-00265-1

113. Strickler JH, Cercek A, Siena S, Andre T, Ng K, Van Cutsem E, et al. Tucatinib plus trastuzumab for chemotherapy-refractory, HER2-positive, RAS wild-type unresectable or metastatic colorectal cancer (MOUNTAINEER): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol* (2023) 24:496–508. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(23) 00150-X

114. Chen D, Song Z, Cheng G. Clinical efficacy of first-generation EGFR-TKIs in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer harboring EGFR exon 20 mutations. *Onco Targets Ther* (2016) 9:4181-6. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S108242

115. Rao DS, Bradley SV, Kumar PD, Hyun TS, Saint-Dic D, Oravecz-Wilson K, et al. Altered receptor trafficking in Huntingtin Interacting Protein 1-transformed cells. *Cancer Cell* (2003) 3:471–82. doi: 10.1016/S1535-6108(03)00107-7

116. Chung BM, Raja SM, Clubb RJ, Tu C, George M, Band V, et al. Aberrant trafficking of NSCLC-associated EGFR mutants through the endocytic recycling pathway promotes interaction with Src. *BMC Cell Biol* (2009) 10:84. doi: 10.1186/1471-2121-10-84

117. Cao X, Zhu H, Ali-Osman F, Lo HW. EGFR and EGFRvIII undergo stress- and EGFR kinase inhibitor-induced mitochondrial translocalization: a potential mechanism of EGFR-driven antagonism of apoptosis. *Mol Cancer* (2011) 10:26. doi: 10.1186/1476-4598-10-26

118. Boerner JL, Danielsen A, Maihle NJ. Ligand-independent oncogenic signaling by the epidermal growth factor receptor: v-ErbB as a paradigm. *Exp Cell Res* (2003) 284:111–21. doi: 10.1016/S0014-4827(02)00096-4

119. Donepudi M, Resh MD. c-Src trafficking and co-localization with the EGF receptor promotes EGF ligand-independent EGF receptor activation and signaling. *Cell Signal* (2008) 20:1359–67. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2008.03.007

120. Roepstorff K, Grovdal L, Grandal M, Lerdrup M, Van Deurs B. Endocytic downregulation of ErbB receptors: mechanisms and relevance in cancer. *Histochem Cell Biol* (2008) 129:563–78. doi: 10.1007/s00418-008-0401-3

121. Shan Y, Eastwood MP, Zhang X, Kim ET, Arkhipov A, Dror RO, et al. Oncogenic mutations counteract intrinsic disorder in the EGFR kinase and promote receptor dimerization. *Cell* (2012) 149:860–70. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.063

122. Davies GC, Ryan PE, Rahman L, Zajac-Kaye M, Lipkowitz S. EGFRvIII undergoes activation-dependent downregulation mediated by the Cbl proteins. *Oncogene* (2006) 25:6497–509. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1209662

123. Gan HK, Kaye AH, Luwor RB. The EGFRvIII variant in glioblastoma multiforme. J Clin Neurosci (2009) 16:748–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2008.12.005

124. Huang F, Kirkpatrick D, Jiang X, Gygi S, Sorkin A. Differential regulation of EGF receptor internalization and degradation by multiubiquitination within the kinase domain. *Mol Cell* (2006) 21:737–48. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.02.018

125. Li A, Zhang C, Gao S, Chen F, Yang C, Luo R, et al. TIP30 loss enhances cytoplasmic and nuclear EGFR signaling and promotes lung adenocarcinogenesis in mice. *Oncogene* (2013) 32:2273–2281, 2281e 2271-2212. doi: 10.1038/onc.2012.253

126. Shuai S, Liao X, Wang H, Liu L, Mei S, Cao J, et al. TIP30 overcomes gefitinib resistance by regulating cytoplasmic and nuclear EGFR signaling in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Sci* (2021) 112:4139–50. doi: 10.1111/cas.15000

127. Hsu SC, Hung MC. Characterization of a novel tripartite nuclear localization sequence in the EGFR family. *J Biol Chem* (2007) 282:10432-40. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M610014200

128. Agus DB, Akita RW, Fox WD, Lewis GD, Higgins B, Pisacane PI, et al. Targeting ligand-activated ErbB2 signaling inhibits breast and prostate tumor growth. *Cancer Cell* (2002) 2:127–37. doi: 10.1016/S1535-6108(02)00097-1

129. Arteaga CL. Can trastuzumab be effective against tumors with low HER2/Neu (ErbB2) receptors? *J Clin Oncol* (2006) 24:3722–5. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.5268

130. Lee-Hoeflich ST, Crocker L, Yao E, Pham T, Munroe X, Hoeflich KP, et al. A central role for HER3 in HER2-amplified breast cancer: implications for targeted therapy. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68:5878–87. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0380

131. Hendriks BS, Opresko LK, Wiley HS, Lauffenburger D. Coregulation of epidermal growth factor receptor/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) levels and locations: quantitative analysis of HER2 overexpression effects. *Cancer Res* (2003) 63:1130–7.

132. Austin CD, De Maziere AM, Pisacane PI, Van Dijk SM, Eigenbrot C, Slivkowski MX, et al. Endocytosis and sorting of ErbB2 and the site of action of cancer therapeutics trastuzumab and geldanamycin. *Mol Biol Cell* (2004) 15:5268–82. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e04-07-0591

133. Citri A, Gan J, Mosesson Y, Vereb G, Szollosi J, Yarden Y. Hsp90 restrains ErbB-2/HER2 signalling by limiting heterodimer formation. *EMBO Rep* (2004) 5:1165– 70. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7400300

134. Longva KE, Pedersen NM, Haslekas C, Stang E, Madshus IH. Herceptininduced inhibition of ErbB2 signaling involves reduced phosphorylation of Akt but not endocytic down-regulation of ErbB2. *Int J Cancer* (2005) 116:359–67. doi: 10.1002/ ijc.21015

135. Bertelsen V, Stang E. The mysterious ways of ErbB2/HER2 trafficking. *Membranes (Basel)* (2014) 4:424–46. doi: 10.3390/membranes4030424

136. Worthylake R, Opresko LK, Wiley HS. ErbB-2 amplification inhibits downregulation and induces constitutive activation of both ErbB-2 and epidermal growth factor receptors. *J Biol Chem* (1999) 274:8865–74. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.13.8865

137. Raina D, Uchida Y, Kharbanda A, Rajabi H, Panchamoorthy G, Jin C, et al. Targeting the MUC1-C oncoprotein downregulates HER2 activation and abrogates trastuzumab resistance in breast cancer cells. *Oncogene* (2014) 33:3422–31. doi: 10.1038/onc.2013.308

138. Hommelgaard AM, Lerdrup M, Van Deurs B. Association with membrane protrusions makes ErbB2 an internalization-resistant receptor. *Mol Biol Cell* (2004) 15:1557–67. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e03-08-0596

139. Cortese K, Howes MT, Lundmark R, Tagliatti E, Bagnato P, Petrelli A, et al. The HSP90 inhibitor geldanamycin perturbs endosomal structure and drives recycling ErbB2 and transferrin to modified MVBs/lysosomal compartments. *Mol Biol Cell* (2013) 24:129–44. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e12-04-0282

140. Haslekas C, Breen K, Pedersen KW, Johannessen LE, Stang E, Madshus IH. The inhibitory effect of ErbB2 on epidermal growth factor-induced formation of clathrincoated pits correlates with retention of epidermal growth factor receptor-ErbB2 oligomeric complexes at the plasma membrane. *Mol Biol Cell* (2005) 16:5832–42. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e05-05-0456

141. Lerdrup M, Bruun S, Grandal MV, Roepstorff K, Kristensen MM, Hommelgaard AM, et al. Endocytic down-regulation of ErbB2 is stimulated by cleavage of its C-terminus. *Mol Biol Cell* (2007) 18:3656–66. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e07-01-0025

142. Pedersen NM, Madshus IH, Haslekas C, Stang E. Geldanamycin-induced down-regulation of ErbB2 from the plasma membrane is clathrin dependent but proteasomal activity independent. *Mol Cancer Res* (2008) 6:491–500. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-07-0191

143. Asp N, Pust S, Sandvig K. Flotillin depletion affects ErbB protein levels in different human breast cancer cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2014) 1843:1987–96. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.04.013

144. Li Q, Ma W, Li T. Sortilin as a new membrane inhibitor of EGFR trafficking for overcoming resistance to EGFR inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Dis* (2018) 10:S3186–91. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.08.25

145. Pietila M, Sahgal P, Peuhu E, Jantti NZ, Paatero I, Narva E, et al. SORLA regulates endosomal trafficking and oncogenic fitness of HER2. *Nat Commun* (2019) 10:2340. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10275-0

146. Xu W, Yuan X, Xiang Z, Mimnaugh E, Marcu M, Neckers L. Surface charge and hydrophobicity determine ErbB2 binding to the Hsp90 chaperone complex. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* (2005) 12:120–6. doi: 10.1038/nsmb885

147. Sidera K, Gaitanou M, Stellas D, Matsas R, Patsavoudi E. A critical role for HSP90 in cancer cell invasion involves interaction with the extracellular domain of HER-2. *J Biol Chem* (2008) 283:2031–41. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M701803200

 Kancha RK, Bartosch N, Duyster J. Analysis of conformational determinants underlying HSP90-kinase interaction. *PloS One* (2013) 8:e68394. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0068394
Mishra A, Hourigan D, Lindsay AJ. Inhibition of the endosomal recycling

149. Mishra A, Hourigan D, Lindsay AJ. Inhibition of the endosomal recycling pathway downregulates HER2 activation and overcomes resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in HER2-positive breast cancer. *Cancer Lett* (2022) 529:153–67. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2022.01.003

150. Molina MA, Codony-Servat J, Albanell J, Rojo F, Arribas J, Baselga J. Trastuzumab (herceptin), a humanized anti-Her2 receptor monoclonal antibody, inhibits basal and activated Her2 ectodomain cleavage in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61:4744–9.

151. Junttila TT, Akita RW, Parsons K, Fields C, Lewis Phillips GD, Friedman LS, et al. Ligand-independent HER2/HER3/PI3K complex is disrupted by trastuzumab and is effectively inhibited by the PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941. *Cancer Cell* (2009) 15:429–40. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2009.03.020

152. Ram S, Kim D, Ober RJ, Ward ES. The level of HER2 expression is a predictor of antibody-HER2 trafficking behavior in cancer cells. *MAbs* (2014) 6:1211–9. doi: 10.4161/mabs.29865

153. Fehling-Kaschek M, Peckys DB, Kaschek D, Timmer J, Jonge N. Mathematical modeling of drug-induced receptor internalization in the HER2-positive SKBR3 breast cancer cell-line. *Sci Rep* (2019) 9:12709. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-49019-x

154. Scaltriti M, Rojo F, Ocana A, Anido J, Guzman M, Cortes J, et al. Expression of p95HER2, a truncated form of the HER2 receptor, and response to anti-HER2 therapies in breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2007) 99:628–38. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djk134

155. Bao W, Fu HJ, Jia LT, Zhang Y, Li W, Jin BQ, et al. HER2-mediated upregulation of MMP-1 is involved in gastric cancer cell invasion. Arch Biochem Biophys (2010) 499:49–55. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2010.05.009

156. Zagozdzon R, Gallagher WM, Crown J. Truncated HER2: implications for HER2-targeted therapeutics. *Drug Discovery Today* (2011) 16:810–6. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2011.06.003

157. Tse C, Gauchez AS, Jacot W, Lamy PJ. HER2 shedding and serum HER2 extracellular domain: biology and clinical utility in breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2012) 38:133–42. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2011.03.008

158. Sperinde J, Jin X, Banerjee J, Penuel E, Saha A, Diedrich G, et al. Quantitation of p95HER2 in paraffin sections by using a p95-specific antibody and correlation with outcome in a cohort of trastuzumab-treated breast cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* (2010) 16:4226–35. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-0410

159. Cappuzzo F, Cho YG, Sacconi A, Ali G, Siclari O, Incarbone M, et al. p95HER2 truncated form in resected non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* (2012) 7:520–7. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e318249e13f

160. Han SW, Cha Y, Paquet A, Huang W, Weidler J, Lie Y, et al. Correlation of HER2, p95HER2 and HER3 expression and treatment outcome of lapatinib plus capecitabine in her2-positive metastatic breast cancer. *PloS One* (2012) 7:e39943. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039943

161. Franklin MC, Carey KD, Vajdos FF, Leahy DJ, De Vos AM, Sliwkowski MX. Insights into ErbB signaling from the structure of the ErbB2-pertuzumab complex. *Cancer Cell* (2004) 5:317–28. doi: 10.1016/S1535-6108(04)00083-2

162. Rufo M. ["Do you want a little brother or a little sister?"]. *Ann Pediatr (Paris)* (1988) 35:694–5.

163. Friedman LM, Rinon A, Schechter B, Lyass L, Lavi S, Bacus SS, et al. Synergistic down-regulation of receptor tyrosine kinases by combinations of mAbs: implications

for cancer immunotherapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (2005) 102:1915–20. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0409610102

164. Zhu W, Okollie B, Artemov D. Controlled internalization of Her-2/ neu receptors by cross-linking for targeted delivery. *Cancer Biol Ther* (2007) 6:1960–1966.0. doi: 10.4161/cbt.6.12.4979

165. Ren XR, Wei J, Lei G, Wang J, Lu J, Xia W, et al. Polyclonal HER2-specific antibodies induced by vaccination mediate receptor internalization and degradation in tumor cells. *Breast Cancer Res* (2012) 14:R89. doi: 10.1186/bcr3204

166. Leyton JV. Improving receptor-mediated intracellular access and accumulation of antibody therapeutics-the tale of HER2. *Antibodies (Basel)* (2020) 9. doi: 10.3390/ antib9030032

167. Szymanska M, Fosdahl AM, Nikolaysen F, Pedersen MW, Grandal MM, Stang E, et al. A combination of two antibodies recognizing non-overlapping epitopes of HER2 induces kinase activity-dependent internalization of HER2. *J Cell Mol Med* (2016) 20:1999–2011. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.12899

168. Cheng J, Liang M, Carvalho MF, Tigue N, Faggioni R, Roskos LK, et al. Molecular mechanism of HER2 rapid internalization and redirected trafficking induced by anti-HER2 biparatopic antibody. *Antibodies (Basel)* (2020) 9. doi: 10.3390/ antib9030049

169. Tiwari SR, Mishra P, Abraham J. Neratinib, A novel HER2-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor. *Clin Breast Cancer* (2016) 16:344–8. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2016.05.016

170. Santamaria S, Gagliani MC, Bellese G, Marconi S, Lechiara A, Dameri M, et al. Imaging of endocytic trafficking and extracellular vesicles released under neratinib treatment in ERBB2(+) breast cancer cells. *J Histochem Cytochem* (2021) 69:461–73. doi: 10.1369/00221554211026297

171. Jo U, Park KH, Whang YM, Sung JS, Won NH, Park JK, et al. EGFR endocytosis is a novel therapeutic target in lung cancer with wild-type EGFR. *Oncotarget* (2014) 5:1265–78. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.1711

172. Kim B, Park YS, Sung JS, Lee JW, Lee SB, Kim YH. Clathrin-mediated EGFR endocytosis as a potential therapeutic strategy for overcoming primary resistance of EGFR TKI in wild-type EGFR non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Med* (2021) 10:372–85. doi: 10.1002/cam4.3635

173. Menard L, Floc'h N, Martin MJ, Cross D. Reactivation of mutant-EGFR degradation through clathrin inhibition overcomes resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Cancer Res* (2018) 78:3267–79. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-2195

174. Yao N, Wang CR, Liu MQ, Li YJ, Chen WM, Li ZQ, et al. Discovery of a novel EGFR ligand DPBA that degrades EGFR and suppresses EGFR-positive NSCLC growth. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* (2020) 5:214. doi: 10.1038/s41392-020-00251-2

175. Rush JS, Quinalty LM, Engelman L, Sherry DM, Ceresa BP. Endosomal accumulation of the activated epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) induces apoptosis. J Biol Chem (2012) 287:712-22. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.294470

176. Dutta S, Roy S, Polavaram NS, Stanton MJ, Zhang H, Bhola T, et al. Neuropilin-2 regulates endosome maturation and EGFR trafficking to support cancer cell pathobiology. *Cancer Res* (2016) 76:418–28. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1488

177. Cordero JB, Cozzolino M, Lu Y, Vidal M, Slatopolsky E, Stahl PD, et al. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D down-regulates cell membrane growth- and nuclear growthpromoting signals by the epidermal growth factor receptor. *J Biol Chem* (2002) 277:38965–71. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M203736200

178. Kim JH, Choi HS, Lee DS. Primaquine inhibits the endosomal trafficking and nuclear localization of EGFR and induces the apoptosis of breast cancer cells by nuclear EGFR/Stat3-mediated c-Myc downregulation. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22. doi: 10.3390/ jims222312961