Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY John Bianco, Princess Maxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Netherlands

REVIEWED BY Prerana Jha, Karkinos Healthcare Private Limited, India Wade Mueller, Medical College of Wisconsin, United States Randall Treffy, Medical College of Wisconsin, in collaboration with reviewer WM

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhanxiang Wang Wangzx@xmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 06 October 2023 ACCEPTED 29 May 2024 PUBLISHED 11 June 2024

CITATION

Jia F, Kang Y and Wang Z (2024) Case report: A 53-year-old woman with synchronous WHO classification II and IV gliomas. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1308497. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1308497

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Jia, Kang and Wang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Case report: A 53-year-old woman with synchronous WHO classification II and IV gliomas

Fang Jia, Yin Kang and Zhanxiang Wang*

Department of Neurosurgery, Xiamen Key Laboratory of Brain Center, the First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University, School of Medicine, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

Introduction: Glioma is the most common primary intracranial neoplasm with a relatively poor prognosis.

Case presentation: Here, we present a unique case of a 53-year-old woman with two histopathologically distinct gliomas at the initial diagnosis. She presented with headaches and left limb weakness before admission, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed right frontal and basal ganglia area involvement combined with hemorrhage. The patient underwent a navigation-guided craniotomy for tumor removal. Pathological examination revealed the right frontal lobe lesion as a WHO grade II IDH-NOS astrocytoma, but the right parietal lobe lesion was a WHO grade IV IDH-mutant diffuse astrocytoma. Molecular detection of the parietal lesion revealed a point mutation at the R132 locus of the *IDH1* gene, no mutation in the *TERT* promoter, amplification of the epidermal growth factor receptor, and a non-homozygous *CDKN2A/ B* deletion.

Discussion: In-depth epigenomic analysis and molecular examination revealed that one patient had two different brain tumors, underscoring the importance of performing a comprehensive brain tumor workup.

Conclusion: This unique case confirms that adjacent astrocytomas may have different molecular pathogenesis and provides novel insights into the development of gliomas.

KEYWORDS

glioblastoma, astrocytoma, IDH, WHO grade, synchronous, case report

Abbreviations: WHO, World Health Organization; IDH, Isocitrate Dehydrogenase; NOS, Not otherwise specified; PCRR, polymerase chain reaction fluorescent.

Introduction

In 2021, the World Health Organization (WHO) released the fifth edition of the Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System (1). In this updated edition, IDH-mutant glioblastoma, formerly known as "secondary glioblastoma", is now described as IDH-mutant, grade IV astrocytoma. Additionally, astrocytoma with IDH-mutant grade III and synonymous *CDKN2B* and/or *CDKN2A* deletions were also characterized as astrocytoma, IDH mutations, grade IV, albeit with a relatively low histopathologic grade (1, 2). The detailed interaction mechanism between IDH1/2 and CDKN2A/B remains unclear at the biomolecular level. Current research has focused more on the discrepancies between grade 4, IDH-mutant astrocytoma, and glioblastoma (3–6). Few clinical studies have been conducted specifically on this phenotype, and current knowledge remains limited (5).

The simultaneous presence of multiple foci, remarkably homologous foci with different histopathologic compositions, is rare in all types of gliomas (7). Based on radiologic and/or pathologic features, complicating lesions can be divided into multifocal and multicentric categories (8). Multicentric gliomas fail to differentiate due to genetic defects in stem cells, resulting in defective, highly proliferative cell populations that form tumor centers (9). Multifocal gliomas, on the other hand, represent the presence of multiple tumor foci that are differentially associated and have consistent genetic variants (8). Due to this rarity, the underlying molecular relationships of synchronous lesions are poorly understood. Thus far, despite the worse prognosis of multifocal/multicentric gliomas, their treatment is roughly the same as for single lesions (9).

The co-occurrence of astrocytoma of different molecular and histologic classifications at initial diagnosis in a single individual has never been previously described in the literature. We emphasized the importance of integrated genetic and pathology analysis for synchronous gliomas.

Case presentation

The patient, a previously healthy 53-year-old woman, attended a local clinic with a progressive headache accompanied by nonprojectile vomiting. Later, the patient's symptoms worsened, and she developed weakness in the left limb. She underwent an MRI scan, which showed occupational hemorrhage in the right frontal lobe and basal ganglia region and blood accumulation in the knee of the corpus callosum with subcerebral falciform herniation. The larger lesion was located in the right parietal lobe, showing signs of isometric T1-weighted images (T1WIs) and T2WIs and contrast enhancement with peri-lesion edema and midline shift, size approximately 5.1*4.2*3.7 cm³ (Figures 1A, B). The other lesion was a saccular mass located in the right frontal lobe (Figures 1C). Several nodular, clustered bands of edema with hyperintensity on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images and diffusionweighted imaging (DWI) were seen (Figures 1D-G). She subsequently underwent a navigation-guided trans-frontal craniotomy using electrophysiology to detect functional brain areas. A sub-total resection (STR) was performed to avoid causing dysfunction in the basal ganglia region. Intraoperative ultrasound was used to assess tumor resection. Postoperatively, the patient's left-sided muscle strength recovered from grade II to grade IV, and MRI revealed minimal contrast enhancement of residual lesions (Figure 1H).

Histopathological analysis of the parietal lesion demonstrated a WHO grade IV IDH-mutant diffuse astrocytoma with mesenchymal vascular, endothelial cell proliferation, and palisading necrosis. Microscopically, the cells were dense, tightly arranged, and of variable size, coarse chromatin, visible nucleoli, and nuclear schizophrenia was atypical (Figures 2A, B). The cells were positive for GFAP, IDH1, Olig-2, NeuN, Nestin, NF, S100, CD34, SYN, EMN, and Vimentin, but negative for ATRX by immunohistochemistry. The Ki-67 proliferation index was significantly increased, labeling up to approximately 55% of tumor cells. Most cells showed strong p53 staining (80%), and immunofluorescence of EGFR was positive. Detection of CDKN2A/ B by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) suggested nonpurifying deletions (Figures 2C, D). PCRR-Fluorescent with QIAamp FFPE Tissue Kit confirmed the presence of IDH1 R132 mutation and revealed no TERT promoter mutations (Table 1, Supplementary Figure S1). Pathological diagnosis of the frontal lesion was a WHO grade II IDH-NOS (Isocitrate Dehvdrogenase-Not otherwise specified) diffuse astrocytoma without necrosis and microvascular proliferation (Figures 2E, F). The Ki-67 proliferation index was slightly increased, marking approximately 2% of tumor cells. The cells were positive for p53, and ATRX staining was not observed (Supplementary Figure S2). The patient tolerated the operation well, continued to improve clinically, and achieved a Karnofsky Performance Scale (KPS) score of 80 at discharge. The patient will then be treated one month later with concurrent temozolomide (TMZ) and radiation, the standard Stupp regimen. Based on follow-up MRI, the patient is currently in a tolerable disease state (Supplementary Figure S3).

Discussion

In this study, we describe the first case of a patient with two adjacent but histologically distinct primary gliomas at initial treatment. The most notable finding was a WHO grade IV diffuse astrocytoma with the IDH1 R132 mutation in the right parietal basal ganglia region pathology, which differed from the WHO grade II astrocytoma, IDH-NOS found in the right frontal lobe lesion.

This discovery raises two possible pathogenic mechanisms. One speculation is that the right parietal lesion is a secondary lesion derived from the frontal tumor. The different IDH mutations may suggest an evolved trajectory resulting in more invasive clones able to metastasize transmission (8, 10). In this case, glioma cells in the parietal lesion probably possess a more remarkable ability to metastasize through cerebrospinal fluid or cortical tract fibers. Another speculation is the existence of two separate and synchronously evolving multicentric tumors. Higher proportions of IDH1 mutations have been reported in low-grade astrocytomas compared with those in primary glioblastomas and



FIGURE 1

Magnetic resonance imaging findings during the patients' treatment. (A) Axial post-enhancement T1 weighted images showing a right-sided contrast lesion in the parietal and basal ganglia area, measuring $5.1^{*}4.2^{*}3.7$ cm³, and a right frontal lobe cystic mass. (B) Axial post-enhancement T2 weighted Propeller images to avoid artifacts. (C) Heterogenous, T1 weighted isometric lesion in the basal ganglia area, with midline shift and sub-calcaneal hernia. (D) Axial T1-FLAIR-weighted images showing parietal lesions as heterogeneous lesions with high contrast frontal cystic masses. Diffusion tensor imaging (E) showing the cerebral neurofibril trajectory and factional anisotropy (F). (G) Preoperative sagittal T1-weighted images reveal two heterogeneous lesions with peripheral edema zone. (H) Postoperative sagittal T1-weighted images showing sub-total tumor resection with partial contrast (to avoid neurological deficits).

oligodendrogliomas (11–13). Considering this may be an early clonal mutation, different IDH1 mutations may indicate the simultaneous progression of two separate low-grade gliomas (14). In 1996, Watanabe et al. reported the presence of distinct genetic alterations in what were previously called primary and secondary glioblastomas (15). EGFR alterations are prevalent in primary

glioblastoma but infrequent in secondary glioblastoma. P53 mutations are much more common in secondary glioblastoma but rare in primary glioblastoma. Interestingly, both p53 (80% positive) and EGFR alterations were detected in the right parietal lesion. But we do not suggest that these two lesions are bi-primary or completely separate tumors since they share the same P53 and



TABLE 1 Comparison of the immunohistochemistry and genetic findings between the right frontal and parietal lobe lesions.

Parietal lobe lesion	Frontal lobe lesion
WHO-grade IV, IDH 1 R132 mutation	WHO-grade II, IDH-NOS
Ki67 (55%+), p53 (80% +)	Ki67 (2%+), p53 (+)
TERT-promoter, wildtype	TERT-promoter, wildtype
ATRX (-) by IHC	ATRX (-) by IHC
EGFR-positive, CDKN2A-negative by FISH	

ATRX mutations and are anatomically adjacent. The discoveries suggest that ATRX and P53 mutations may first appeared in glial precursor cells. Afterwards, these cells acquired EGRF mutations and became grade IV diffuse astrocytoma. The remaining cells turned into IDH-NOS grade II astrocytoma. This case suggests that IDH mutations could subsequently occur after other genetic changes. In 2020, Yoon et al. made a similar point (16). In their case, the new lesion was diagnosed as glioblastoma six years after a surgical of IDH-mutant diffuse astrocytoma. In recent years, exosomes have been found to perform an important role in intercellular communication, and exosomes secreted by cancer cells have a powerful ability to alter the distant and local microenvironment (17). We speculate that glioma cells in the frontal and parietal lesions may communicate via nanoscale vesicles in neurons and glial cells.

A literature search identified only two published cases of oligodendrogliomas WHO grade-2 and astrocytomas WHO grade-2 (18). Singha et al. described two similar patients with seizure who suffered from oligodendrogliomas of the left parietal lobe and astrocytomas of the right frontal lobe (18). At 6-month follow-up, the patients' imaging and clinical status remained stable. However, they only performed routine pathological examinations and immunohistochemical tests and did not provide molecular profiling to demonstrate the different biological backgrounds of the two gliomas reported.

Another critical point is the impact of the novel WHO classification in 2021 on clinical care compared to the WHO classification in 2016 (1, 19). Before this update, the patient's right parietal tumor was classified as an IDH-mutant glioblastoma and, therefore, should receive a gross tumor resection and adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy (20). Based on the latest 2021 WHO classification, the tumor is currently classified as a WHO grade IV IDH-mutant diffuse astrocytoma. Considering her health condition, we performed a sub-total tumor resection.

Conclusion

We report here a rare case of adjacent multifocal diffuse astrocytoma with distinct WHO grades, IDH mutations, and biological background. A combination of molecular and histologic parameters uncovered distinct clonal origins of the two lesions. We also highlighted the differences between the 2016 WHO classification and the updated 2021 version regarding glioma classifications.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The participants provided their written informed consent for the publication of their potentially-identifying data and/or images.

References

1. Louis DN, Perry A, Wesseling P, Brat DJ, Cree IA, Figarella-Branger D, et al. The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. *Neuro Oncol.* (2021) 23:1231–51. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noab106

 Brat DJ, Aldape K, Colman H, Figrarella-Branger D, Fuller GN, Giannini C, et al. cIMPACT-NOW update 5: recommended grading criteria and terminologies for IDH-mutant astrocytomas. Acta Neuropathol. (2020) 139:603–8. doi: 10.1007/s00401-020-02127-9

Author contributions

FJ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. ZW: Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1308497/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Detection of TERT, IDH1/2 mutations in tumor individualized therapy-related genes in the parietal lesion.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

The whole diagnostic histopathology report for this patient.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

Magnetic resonance images two months after discharge. (A) Axial postenhancement FLAIR images. (B, C). Axial T2-weighted propeller images after enhancement to avoid artifacts. (D). Axial T1-FLAIR weighted images. Diffusion tensor images revealing nerve fiber trajectories (E) and anisotropy (F) in the brain. Sagittal (G) and coronal (H) T1-weighted images.

3. Stewart J, Sahgal A, Chan AKM, Soliman H, Tseng CL, Detsky J, et al. Pattern of recurrence of glioblastoma versus grade 4 IDH-mutant astrocytoma following chemoradiation: A retrospective matched-cohort analysis. *Technol Cancer Res Treat.* (2022) 21:15330338221109650. doi: 10.1177/15330338221109650

4. Sohn B, An C, Kim D, Ahn SS, Han K, Kim SH, et al. Radiomics-based prediction of multiple gene alteration incorporating mutual genetic information in glioblastoma

and grade 4 astrocytoma, IDH-mutant. J Neurooncol. (2021) 155:267–76. doi: 10.1007/s11060-021-03870-z

5. Wong QH, Li KK, Wang WW, Malta TM, Noushmehr H, Grabovska Y, et al. Molecular landscape of IDH-mutant primary astrocytoma Grade IV/glioblastomas. *Mod Pathol.* (2021) 34:1245–60. doi: 10.1038/s41379-021-00778-x

6. Ensign SPF, Jenkins RB, Giannini C, Sarkaria JN, Galanis E, Kizilbash SH. Translational significance of CDKN2A/B homozygous deletion in isocitrate dehydrogenase-mutant astrocytoma. *Neuro-Oncology.* (2023) 25:28–36. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noac205

7. Terakawa Y, Yordanova YN, Tate MC, Duffau H. Surgical management of multicentric diffuse low-grade gliomas: functional and oncological outcomes: clinical article. *J Neurosurg.* (2013) 118:1169–75. doi: 10.3171/2013.2.JNS121747

8. Agopyan-Miu A, Banu MA, Miller ML, Troy C, Hargus G, Canoll P, et al. Synchronous supratentorial and infratentorial oligodendrogliomas with incongruous IDH1 mutations, a case report. *Acta Neuropathol Commun.* (2021) 9:160. doi: 10.1186/ s40478-021-01265-9

9. Patil CG, Eboli P, Hu J. Management of multifocal and multicentric gliomas. Neurosurg Clin N Am. (2012) 23:343-50. doi: 10.1016/j.nec.2012.01.012

10. Sun Z, Zhao Y, Wei Y, Ding X, Tan C, Wang C. Identification and validation of an anoikis-associated gene signature to predict clinical character, stemness, IDH mutation, and immune filtration in glioblastoma. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:939523. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.939523

11. Appay R, Dehais C, Maurage C-A, Alentorn A, Carpentier C, Colin C, et al. CDKN2A homozygous deletion is a strong adverse prognosis factor in diffuse Malignant IDH-mutant gliomas. *Neuro-Oncology*. (2019) 21:1519–28. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noz124

12. Macaulay RJ. Impending impact of molecular pathology on classifying adult diffuse gliomas. *Cancer Control.* (2015) 22:200-5. doi: 10.1177/107327481502200211

13. Theeler BJ, Ellezam B, Melguizo-Gavilanes I, de Groot JF, Mahajan A, Aldape KD, et al. Adult brainstem gliomas: Correlation of clinical and molecular features. J Neurol Sci. (2015) 353:92–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2015.04.014

14. Visani M, Acquaviva G, Marucci G, Paccapelo A, Mura A, Franceschi E, et al. Non-canonical IDH1 and IDH2 mutations: a clonal and relevant event in an Italian cohort of gliomas classified according to the 2016 World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. *J Neuro-Oncol.* (2017) 135:245–54. doi: 10.1007/s11060-017-2571-0

15. Watanabe K, Tachibana O, Sata K, Yonekawa Y, Kleihues P, Ohgaki H. Overexpression of the EGF receptor and p53 mutations are mutually exclusive in the evolution of primary and secondary glioblastomas. *Brain Pathol.* (1996) 6:217–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3639.1996.tb00848.x

16. Yoon BH, Park JS, Kang S, Kwon NJ, Lee KS, Kim CY, et al. IDH-wildtype secondary glioblastoma arising in IDH-mutant diffuse astrocytoma: a case report. *Br J Neurosurg.* (2023) 37:1233–6. doi: 10.1080/02688697.2020.1837733

17. Zhang L, Yu D. Exosomes in cancer development, metastasis, and immunity. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer*. (2019) 1871:455-68. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan. 2019.04.004

18. Singhal I, Coss D, Mueller W, Straza M, Krucoff MO, Santos-Pinheiro F. Case report: Two unique cases of co-existing primary brain tumors of glial origin in opposite hemispheres. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:1018840. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.1018840

19. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK, et al. The 2016 world health organization classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. *Acta Neuropathol.* (2016) 131:803–20. doi: 10.1007/s00401-016-1545-1

20. Melhem JM, Detsky J, Lim-Fat MJ, Perry JR. Updates in IDH-wildtype glioblastoma. *Neurotherapeutics*. (2022) 19:1705–23. doi: 10.1007/s13311-022-01251-6