Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Giuseppe Giaccone, Cornell University, United States

REVIEWED BY Mark Rosenzweig, Foundation Medicine Inc., United States Yuntao Song, Peking University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Minghua Cao Mcao8025@163.com

RECEIVED 03 November 2023 ACCEPTED 13 May 2024 PUBLISHED 28 May 2024

CITATION

Ke J, Cao M, Zhang W, Huang H, Chen P, Liu J, Shan D, Ke J, Wang Z, Liu J, Li Y and Xiao S (2024) Clinicopathological features of two cases of *ETV6-NTRK3* rearranged papillary thyroid carcinoma: a case report. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1332522. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1332522

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Ke, Cao, Zhang, Huang, Chen, Liu, Shan, Ke, Wang, Liu, Li and Xiao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Clinicopathological features of two cases of *ETV6-NTRK3* rearranged papillary thyroid carcinoma: a case report

Jing Ke¹, Minghua Cao^{2*}, Wenzhong Zhang³, Hua Huang¹, Ping Chen⁴, Jinhua Liu⁴, Dan Shan⁴, Jie Ke¹, Zerui Wang¹, Junchen Liu¹, Yuan Li² and Sheng Xiao⁵

¹Department of Thyroid and Breast Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong, China, ²Department of Thyroid and Breast Surgery, The First People's Hospital of Jiashan County, Jianxing, China, ³Department of General Surgery, Shanghai Pudong New Area People's Hospital, Shanghai, China, ⁴Department of Cytogenetics, Sano Suzhou Precision Medicine Co. Ltd., Suzhou, China, ⁵Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, United States

Rearrangements involving the neurotrophic-tropomyosin receptor kinase (NTRK) gene family (*NTRK1, NTRK2*, and *NTRK3*) have been identified as drivers in a wide variety of human cancers. However, the association between *NTRK* rearranged thyroid carcinoma and clinicopathological characteristics has not yet been established. In our study, we retrospectively reviewed medical records of thyroid cancer patients and identified 2 cases with *NTRK* rearrangement, no additional molecular alterations were observed in either of these cases. The fusion of the rearrangement in both cases was *ETV6*(E4)::*NTRK3*(E14). By analyzing the clinicopathological features of these two cases, we found that both were characterized by multiple tumor nodules, invasive growth, and central lymph node metastases, indicating the follicular subtype of papillary thyroid carcinoma. Immunohistochemical staining profiles showed CD56-, CK19+, Galectin-3+, HBME1+. These clinicopathological features suggest the possibility of *ETV6-NTRK3* rearranged thyroid carcinoma and highlight the importance of performing gene fusion testing by FISH or NGS for these patients.

KEYWORDS

clinicopathological features, thyroid carcinoma, ETV6-NTRK3 rearrangement, the follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma, case report

1 Introduction

Thyroid cancer ranks as the ninth most common cancer worldwide. Despite significant advancements in the management of thyroid cancer, there remain critical challenges in its diagnosis and treatment, leading to poor overall survival rates for certain aggressive subtypes and patients with metastatic thyroid cancer. Accurate diagnosis of thyroid cancer is crucial for guiding appropriate treatment and patient management. Over the past 15 years, the significance of molecular biology in thyroid pathology has not only revolutionized the field but also underscored the intrinsic value of classical histopathology. Pathologists have long identified patterns indicative of specific molecular changes, but the incorporation of molecular tools into their toolkit has bolstered our capacity to prognosticate and predict the effectiveness of targeted treatments. In the new fifth edition of the World Health Organization (WHO) histologic classification of thyroid neoplasms, the classification of thyroid tumors has evolved based on classic histopathology and molecular pathogenesis (1).

Papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) is the most common type of thyroid cancer in China, accounting for over 90% of all thyroid cancer cases (2). The prognosis and treatment of thyroid cancer depend on the tumor type and its stage. Early diagnosis and appropriate treatment can significantly reduce mortality rates and improve prognosis. Apart from age, tumor size, and lymph node involvement, the behavior of PTC is also influenced by the morphological subtypes of genetic mutations (3). Certain subtypes, such as the tall cell subtype (4), the columnar cell subtype (5), and the recently identified hobnail subtype are associated with more aggressive behavior and poorer overall survival (6). In recent years, there has been increasing evidence of molecular alterations that correspond to these pathological subtypes. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) conducted a study on 496 PTCs, confirming the significance of mutations in BRAF and RAS genes, as well as fusion mutations in RET and NTRK1. The study also revealed correlations between morphology and genetic alterations, with BRAF V600E-positive tumors being associated with the conventional and hypercellular variants of PTC, while RAS-positive tumors were linked to the follicular variant of PTC. However, the clinicopathological association of NTRK-rearranged thyroid carcinoma has not yet been established (7).

Rearrangements involving the neurotrophic-tropomyosin receptor kinase (NTRK) gene family, namely NTRK1, NTRK2, and NTRK3, have been identified as drivers in a wide range of human cancers (8-10). These rearrangements result in NTRK gene fusions with various partner genes, which confer oncogenic potential by generating chimeric Trk proteins. These proteins constitutively activate kinase function, leading to the downstream stimulation of cellular proliferation through the RAS/RAF/MAPK pathway and PI3K-AKT signaling pathways (11, 12). Larotrectinib and entrectinib, both tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), have been proven to be safe and effective treatment options for patients with NTRK fusion-positive solid tumors. Studies have shown that larotrectinib and entrectinib yield significant responsiveness and minimal primary resistance in thyroid tumors (13-17). However, due to the high cost of testing, not all patients with thyroid cancer who may have an NTRK rearrangement can be tested and treated. In this report, we present the clinicopathological data of two patients with ETV6-NTRK3, which may provide valuable insights for identifying this specific type of thyroid cancer.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Patient selection

For this study, we retrospectively reviewed medical records of thyroid cancer patients treated at our center from January 2021 to December 2022. Two patients were identified from the hospital pathology database. Inclusion criteria were: histologically confirmed thyroid cancer, availability of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue blocks, complete clinical and pathological data, the molecular pathologic test results were negative for *BRAF* mutation. Patients with a history of other malignancies, inadequate tissue specimens were excluded. This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

2.2 Histology and immunohistochemistry

Hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining were performed on 4 μ m thick formalin-fixed paraffinembedded (FFPE) sections from the two patients with NTRKrearranged thyroid cancer. The primary antibodies used were CD56, Cytokeratin 19 (CK19), Galectin-3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), HBME1 (Dako; Carpinteria, CA), and Pan-TRK (pre-diluted; Ventana, Oro Valley, AZ). Appropriate positive and negative controls were included.

2.3 Fluorescence in situ hybridization

FISH analysis was conducted on FFPE sections using commercially available break-apart probe sets (NTRK3) from Guangzhou LBP Medicine Science & Technology Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, PRC.). Fifty interphase nuclei were analyzed. The FFPE specimen underwent standard FISH pretreatment, hybridization, and fluorescence microscopy following specimenspecific protocols. The FISH analysis was independently evaluated by two qualified clinical cytogenetic technologists and interpreted by a board-certified (ABMGG) clinical cytogeneticist.

2.4 Targeted RNA nextgeneration sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from. the representative 10-µm FFPE tumor tissue sections using the FFPE Total RNA Miniprep System (Cat: Z1002, Promega, USA). Reverse transcription (ABclona, Cat #RK20353 and RK20346, China), end repairing (Enzymatics, Cat #Y9140-LC-L, USA), dA-tailing (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA), and adaptor ligation (Enzymatics, Cat #L6030-LC-L, USA) were performed according to standard NGS protocols. PCR enrichment was conducted using primers specific to a group of 73 genes commonly associated with thyroid malignancies. The PCR products were then sequenced using a NovaSeq sequencer

(Illumina, USA). The sequencing results were analyzed using SeqNext software (JSI, Ettenheim, Germany).

3 Results

3.1 Clinical and staging parameters

Clinical and staging parameters are summarized in Table 1. The two patients were 32-year-old and 57-year-old women, both were euthyroid, none of the patients with available information had a family history of thyroid cancer or personal history of prior irradiation. Fine needle aspirate biopsy (FNAB) findings were not available in them. both patients underwent total thyroidectomy and a formal central compartment dissection.

The tumor size of the two cases was 1.5cm and 1.2 cm respectively, both had separate foci of papillary thyroid carcinoma and without extrathyroidal extension. Nodal metastases were noted in both patients with lymph node sampling (1/5 and 5/5 respectively); None of the patients received radioactive iodine. Both patients were free of disease at time of last follow-up (25 and 23months), satisfied with the treatment results, in good mental condition, and showed no signs of anxiety or depression.

3.2 Histological, immunophenotypic, and molecular characterization

Both cases were diagnosed as the follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma (FVPTC) at the time of diagnosis. They were characterized by the presence of multiple tumor nodules, invasive growth, and central lymph node metastases. Histopathological features of the two cases including: a combination of papillary and follicular growth patterns. The tumor is composed of small- to normal-sized follicles and has nuclear features of papillary thyroid carcinoma showing enlarged nuclei, irregular nuclear membranes, and chromatin clearing and glassy nuclei (Figure 1).

TABLE 1	Clinical and staging parameters for ETV6-NTRK3 translocated			
papillary thyroid carcinoma.				

Case	1	2
Age	32Y	57Y
Sex	F	F
Type of Surgery	TT, CCND	TT, CCND
Functional Status	Euthyroid	Euthyroid
Family History of Thyroid Cancer	No	No
Prior History of Irradiation	No	No
FNAB	No	No
pTNM	pT1bN1aM0	pT3aN1aM0
Post-operative I131	No	No
Progression Free Survival (months)	25M	23M

TT, total thyroidectomy; CCND, central compartment neck dissection.





Immunohistochemical staining profiles showed CD56-, CK19+, Galectin-3+, HBME1+ (Figure 2). Both cases presented ETV6-NTRK3 rearrangements with the same fusion point (ETV6(E4):: NTRK3(E14)), the transcript ID of ETV6 and NTRK3 were ENST00000396373.4 and ENST00000394480.2 respectively. FISH analysis confirmed the rearrangement of NTRK3, with nuc ish (NTRK3×1~2) (3'NTRK3 sep 5'NTRK3×1) [34/50]. Additionally, Pan-TRK staining demonstrated positive results (Figure 3).

4 Discussion

Papillary carcinoma is the predominant pathological type of thyroid cancer in the Chinese population, accounting for over 90% (2). In addition to clinical staging, the behavior of papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) is also influenced by its pathological subtypes or genetic variants. In our study, we retrospectively reviewed medical records of thyroid cancer patients treated at our center from January 2021 to December 2022 and identified two of the patients showed NTRK rearrangement in their tumor tissues, specifically the ETV6-NTRK3 rearrangement, both cases had the fusion of exon 4 of ETV6 to exon 14 of NTRK3, ETV6(E4)::NTRK3(E14), and were classified as follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma (FVPTC). The correlation between these clinicopathological features and the molecular subtypes of ETV6-NTRK3 rearrangements suggests that patients with similar clinicopathological features, especially those who are negative for BRAF V600E mutation, should undergo further FISH or ETV6-NTRK3 targeted sequencing.

In molecular pathological studies of thyroid cancer, the prevalence of *NTRK*-related thyroid cancer is relatively low. In a recent study, *NTRK1–3* rearrangements were identified in 13 (2.28%) out of 571 cases of thyroid cancer (18). Another study conducted on 496 adult thyroid tumors in TCGA reported a similar frequency, with NTRK1–3 rearrangements accounting for 2.34% (19). NTRK fusions are more frequently observed in specific populations, such as those with childhood papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) and PTC cases following the Chernobyl reactor accident, with reported frequencies ranging from 2% to 26% and 3% to 15% respectively (8, 20, 21). Ricarte-Filho et al. observed that



NTRK3 fusion occurred in 25% (4/16) of FVPTC cases and in 5% (1/19) of classical PTC cases (22). Prasad et al. found that NTRK3 fusion was present in 100% (3/3) of FVPTC cases, 42.8% (3/7) of solid variant PTC cases, and 7.7% (1/13) of classic papillary PTC cases (8). These findings suggest a higher incidence of NTRK3 fusion in FVPTC compared to other subtypes of PTC.

The identified rearrangements in thyroid tumors so far include *EML4-NTRK3* (23), *ETV6-NTRK3* (8, 20, 22, 24), *IRF2BP2-NTRK1* (23), *TPR-NTRK1* (8, 22, 25), *TPM3-NTRK1* (21, 25), *TFG-NTRK1* (24, 25), *TRIM33-NTRK1* (24) and *SQSTM1-NTRK3* (23, 26). However, these studies have not established a clear association between the pathological types of thyroid cancer and molecular alterations. The two cases we discovered were both adult *ETV6-*

NTRK3 thyroid cancers, with no history of radiation exposure, and exhibited the pathological manifestations of follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma (FVPTC). This specific pathological subtype was observed in both *ETV6-NTRK3* cases, suggesting a potential association between this pathological subtype and ETV6-NTRK3 thyroid cancer.

In our study, we applied immunohistochemical staining with CD56, HBME-1, CK19 and Galectin-3 for distinction of follicular variant of papillary carcinoma from follicular adenoma (FA) and follicular carcinoma. CD56 is a neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) that is normally expressed in thyroid follicular cells. Reduced expression of CD56 is associated with malignant tumors. Its expression is decreased or completely absent in papillary thyroid



(A) Both cases of FVPTC demonstrated ETV6::NTRK3 fusion with identical breakpoints, involving ETV6 exon 4 and NTRK3 exon 14. (B) FISH analysis using a dual-color break-apart NTRK3 probe (green signal for 5'NTRK3 and red signal for 3'NTRK3) confirmed NTRK3 rearrangement.
(C) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) using a Pan-TRK antibody revealed weak cytoplasmic and nuclear staining in ETV6-NTRK3 rearranged thyroid cancer.

carcinoma, follicular carcinoma, and anaplastic carcinoma. CK19 expression is significantly higher in classical PTC and follicular variant PTC than in follicular thyroid carcinoma (FTC) and follicular adenoma, so it can be used to distinguish between thyroid papillary carcinoma and follicular adenoma. Galectin 3 plays a role in cell apoptosis regulation and cell movement, and is involved in the progression of thyroid cancer. HBME-1 expression in follicular variant PTC is significantly higher than in follicular adenoma or follicular carcinoma. The best combination for FVPTC cases was HBME-1, CD56, CK19 and Galectin 3, with a sensitivity reaching 91.1% (27).

FISH can detect large structural variations at the DNA level and is commonly used in clinical laboratories to detect oncogenic fusions in solid tumors. In theory, split probes have sufficient sensitivity and specificity for chromosomal abnormalities, but there are practical technical considerations in interpreting split FISH assays. Shorter split lengths are difficult to distinguish from split lengths in some normal cells, which can lead to false-negative results (28). Additionally, while positive results from split probes indicate the presence of structural variations involving the probe gene, it cannot be determined whether the abnormality leads to functional transcriptional fusion. The advantages of FISH include the small amount of material required, typically only a few unstained slides (usually one unstained slide per examination), and a turnaround time of only a few days (29).

In recent years, the application of immunohistochemistry (IHC) in the detection of NTRK rearrangements has been widely discussed. Studies have observed the clinical and pathological characteristics of *NTRK1/3* fusion PTC, examined the utility of pan-TRK IHC, and compared IHC with fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) and next-generation sequencing (NGS). It was found that pan-TRK IHC has a sensitivity of 58.3% and a specificity of 100% for *NTRK1/3* rearrangements in *BRAF*^{V600E}-negative PTC. Pan-TRK IHC shows high specificity and moderate sensitivity for NTRK1/3 rearranged PTC, and should be interpreted with caution (30).

In conclusion, previous studies on NTRK-related thyroid cancers have demonstrated their molecular diversity, encompassing multiple subtypes, with papillary thyroid carcinomas (PTCs) being the most prevalent (31). Our study identified ETV6-NTRK3 as the most common fusion type in PTC among the Chinese population. The pathological and immunohistochemical characteristics indicated that the follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma (FVPTC) was the predominant subtype. Both cases examined exhibited a multi-nodular histological appearance and displayed an early tendency for extensive lymphovascular dissemination., These clinicopathological features suggest the possibility of ETV6-NTRK3 rearranged thyroid carcinoma and emphasize the importance of conducting FISH or targeted RNA next-generation sequencing (NGS) for these patients. One of the limitations of our study is its retrospective nature, as our patients did not receive TRK inhibitors to provide information on treatment response. Previous studies have demonstrated that ETV6-NTRK3 fusion tumors exhibit the highest sensitivity to entrectinib and larotrectinib, with minimal adverse effects (19). The incidence of grade 3 or 4 treatment-related adverse events, as defined by the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), is 15% (11, 15). Therefore, correlation studies between ETV6-NTRK3 and clinicopathological characteristics are essential for identifying *ETV6-NTRK3* rearranged thyroid cancer based on clinicopathological manifestations, thereby providing greater clinical benefits to these patients.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

JinK: Resources, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HH: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. WZ: Writing – review & editing. PC: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. DS: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. SX: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. JieK: Resources, Writing – review & editing. JCL: Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing. YL: Writing – review & editing. MC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by a research grant from The First People's Hospital of Jiashan County and the Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University (grant no. HXKT20221018).

Acknowledgments

We thank the patient's family for their help in the preparation of this case report.

Conflict of interest

Authors PC, JHL, and DS were employed by the company Sano Suzhou Precision Medicine Co. Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Baloch ZW, Asa SL, Barletta JA, Ghossein RA, Juhlin CC, Jung CK, et al. Overview of the 2022 WHO classification of thyroid neoplasms. *Endocr Pathol.* (2022) 33:27–63. doi: 10.1007/s12022-022-09707-3

 Miranda-Filho A, Lortet-Tieulent J, Bray F, Cao B, Franceschi S, Vaccarella S, et al. Thyroid cancer incidence trends by histology in 25 countries: a population-based study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2021) 9:225–34. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(21) 00027-9

3. Lang BH, Wong CK. Validation and comparison of nomograms in predicting disease-specific survival for papillary thyroid carcinoma. *World J Surg.* (2015) 39:1951–8. doi: 10.1007/s00268-015-3044-2

4. Jalisi S, Ainsworth T, Lavalley M. Prognostic outcomes of tall cell variant papillary thyroid cancer: a meta-analysis. *J Thyroid Res.* (2010) 2010:325602. doi: 10.4061/2010/325602

5. Chen JH, Faquin WC, Lloyd RV, Nosé V. Clinicopathological and molecular characterization of nine cases of columnar cell variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Mod Pathol.* (2011) 24:739–49. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2011.2

6. Asioli S, Erickson LA, Righi A, Lloyd RV. Papillary thyroid carcinoma with hobnail features: histopathologic criteria to predict aggressive behavior. *Hum Pathol.* (2013) 44:320–8. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2012.06.003

7. Network. CGAR. Integrated genomic characterization of papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Cell.* (2014) 159:676–90. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.050

8. Prasad MI, Vyas M, Horne MJ, Virk RK, Morotti R, Liu Z, et al. NTRK fusion oncogenes in pediatric papillary thyroid carcinoma in northeast United States. *Cancer*. (2016) 122:1097–107. doi: 10.1002/cncr.29887

9. Desai MA, Mehrad M, Ely KA, Bishop JA, Netterville J, Aulino JM, et al. Secretory carcinoma of the thyroid gland: report of a highly aggressive case clinically mimicking undifferentiated carcinoma and review of the literature. *Head Neck Pathol.* (2019) 13:562–72. doi: 10.1007/s12105-018-0995-2

10. Dogan S, Wang L, Ptashkin RN, Dawson RR, Shah JP, Sherman EJ, et al. Mammary analog secretory carcinoma of the thyroid gland: A primary thyroid adenocarcinoma harboring ETV6-NTRK3 fusion. *Mod Pathol.* (2016) 29:985–95. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2016.115

11. Vaishnavi A, Le AT, Doebele RC. TRKing down an old oncogene in a new era of targeted therapy. *Cancer Discovery*. (2015) 5:25–34. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-0765

12. Prete A, Borges de Souza P, Censi S, Muzza M, Nucci N, Sponziello M. Update on fundamental mechanisms of thyroid cancer. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2020) 11:102. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00102

13. Laetsch TW, DuBois SG, Mascarenhas L, Turpin B, Federman N, Albert CM, et al. Larotrectinib for paediatric solid tumours harbouring NTRK gene fusions: phase 1 results from a multicentre, open-label, phase 1/2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* (2018) 19:705–14. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30119-0

14. Hong DS, DuBois SG, Kummar S, Farago AF, Albert CM, Rohrberg KS, et al. Larotrectinib in patients with TRK fusion-positive solid tumours: a pooled analysis of three phase 1/2 clinical trials. *Lancet Oncol.* (2020) 21:531–40. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045 (19)30856-3

15. Doebele RC, Drilon A, Paz-Ares L, Siena S, Shaw AT, Farago AF, et al. Entrectinib in patients with advanced or metastatic NTRK fusion-positive solid tumours: integrated analysis of three phase 1–2 trials. *Lancet Oncol.* (2020) 21:271–82. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30691-6

16. Gatalica Z, Xiu J, Swensen J, Vranic S. Molecular characterization of cancers with NTRK gene fusions. *Mod Pathol.* (2019) 32:147–53. doi: 10.1038/s41379-018-0118-3

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

17. Drilon A, Laetsch TW, Kummar S, DuBois SG, Lassen UN, Demetri GD, et al. Efficacy of larotrectinib in TRK fusion-positive cancers in adults and children. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:731–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1714448

18. Solomon JP, Linkov I, Rosado A, Mullaney K, Rosen EY, Frosina D, et al. NTRK fusion detection across multiple assays and 33,997 cases: diagnostic implications and pitfalls. *Mod Pathol.* (2020) 33:38–46. doi: 10.1038/s41379-019-0324-7

19. Okamura R, Boichard A, Kato S, Sicklick JK, Bazhenova L, Kurzrock R. Analysis of NTRK alterations in pan-cancer adult and pediatric Malignancies: implications for NTRK-targeted therapeutics. *JCO Precis Oncol.* (2018) 2018:1–20. doi: 10.1200/PO.18.00183

20. Leeman-Neill RJ, Kelly LM, Liu P, Brenner AV, Little MP, Bogdanova TI, et al. ETV6-NTRK3 is a common chromosomal rearrangement in radiation-associated thyroid cancer. *Cancer.* (2014) 120:799–807. doi: 10.1002/cncr.28484

21. Rabes HM, Demidchik EP, Sidorow JD, Lengfelder E, Beimfohr C, Hoelzel D, et al. Pattern of radiation-induced RET and NTRK1 rearrangements in 191 postchernobyl papillary thyroid carcinomas: biological, phenotypic, and clinical implications. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2000) 6:1093–103.

22. Ricarte-Filho JC, Li S, Garcia-Rendueles ME, Montero-Conde C, Voza F, Knauf JA, et al. Identification of kinase fusion oncogenes in post-Chernobyl radiation-induced thyroid cancers. *J Clin Invest.* (2013) 123:4935–44. doi: 10.1172/JCI69766

23. Liang J, Cai W, Feng D, Teng H, Mao F, Jiang Y, et al. Genetic landscape of papillary thyroid carcinoma in the Chinese population. *J Pathol.* (2018) 244:215–26. doi: 10.1002/path.5005

24. Pfeifer A, Rusinek D, Żebracka-Gala J, Czarniecka A, Chmielik E, Zembala-Nożyńska E, et al. Novel TG-FGFR1 and TRIM33-NTRK1 transcript fusions in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. (2019) 58:558-66. doi: 10.1002/gcc.22737

25. Musholt TJ, Musholt PB, Khaladj N, Schulz D, Scheumann GF, Klempnauer J. Prognostic significance of RET and NTRK1 rearrangements in sporadic papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Surgery*. (2000) 128:984–93. doi: 10.1067/msy.2000.110845

26. Iyama K, Matsuse M, Mitsutake N, Rogounovitch T, Saenko V, Suzuki K, et al. Identification of three novel fusion oncogenes, SQSTM1/NTRK3, AFAP1L2/RET, and PPFIBP2/RET, in thyroid cancers of young patients in fukushima. *Thyroid.* (2017) 27:811–8. doi: 10.1089/thy.2016.0673

27. Dunđerović D, Lipkovski JM, Boričic I, Soldatović I, Božic V, Cvejić D. Tatić, Defining the value of CD56, CK19, Galectin 3 and HBME-1 in diagnosis of follicular cell derived lesions of thyroid with systematic review of literature. *Diagn Pathol.* (2015) 10:196. doi: 10.1186/s13000-015-0428-4

28. Martin V, Bernasconi B, Merlo E, Balzarini P, Vermi W, Riva A, et al. ALK testing in lung adenocarcinoma: technical aspects to improve FISH evaluation in daily practice. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2015) 10:595–602. doi: 10.1097/JTO.000000000000444

29. Hsiao SJ, Zehir A, Sireci AN, Aisner DL. Detection of tumor NTRK gene fusions to identify patients who may benefit from tyrosine kinase (TRK) inhibitor therapy. *J Mol Diagn*. (2019) 21:553–71. doi: 10.1016/j.jmoldx.2019.03.008

30. Lee YC, Chen JY, Huang CJ, Chen HS, Yang AH, Hang JF. Detection of NTRK1/ 3 rearrangements in papillary thyroid carcinoma using immunohistochemistry, fluorescent *in situ* hybridization, and next-generation sequencing. *Endocr Pathol.* (2020) 31:348–58. doi: 10.1007/s12022-020-09648-9

31. Chu YH, Dias-Santagata D, Farahani AA, Boyraz B, Faquin WC, Nosé V, et al. Clinicopathologic and molecular characterization of NTRK-rearranged thyroid carcinoma (NRTC). *Mod Pathol.* (2020) 33:2186–97. doi: 10.1038/s41379-020-0574-4